## Uptake, Translocation, Accumulation, and Phytotoxicity of Platinum Group Elements (PGE) on Potato, Lettuce, and Barley

Zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines Doktors der Naturwissenschaften an der Fakultät für Bauingenieur-, Geo- und Umweltwissenschaften der

> Universität Karlsruhe genehmigte DISSERTATION

> > von

Mag. rer.nat. Hassan Aboughalma aus Ägypten 2004

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: Referentin: Prof. Dr. Doris Stüben Korreferent: PD Dr. Claus Buschmann Korreferent: Prof. Dr. Jörg Matschullat

## Uptake, Translocation, Accumulation, and Phytotoxicity of Platinum Group Elements (PGE) on Potato, Lettuce, and Barley

PhD

Thesis For Acquirement of the Academic Degree "Dr.rer.nat. Submitted to the Fakultät für Bauingenieur-, Geo- und Umweltwissenschaften University of Karlsruhe

by

Mag. rer. nat. Hassan Aboughalma From Egypt

2004

Date of the Oral Exam: Supervisors: Prof. Dr. Doris Stüben PD Dr. Claus Buschmann

Prof. Dr. Jörg Matschullat

## **Dedicated to:**

Yara, Ranya, Cornelie, And My Mother

## **Table of Contents:**

List of Tables iv
List of Figuresv
Acknowledgmentsviii
Abstractix
Kurzfassungxi

## Chapter I: Introduction and Aim of the Present Study......1

## Chapter II: State of the Art

2.1 Properties, Occurrence and Usage of Platinum Group Elements (PGE)	4
2.1.1 Some Chemical and Physical Properties of PGE	4
2.1.2 Natural Occurrences and Mining of PGE	4
2.1.3 PGE Usage in the Jewellery Industry	5
2.1.4 PGE for Dental and Medical Usage	6
2.1.5 PGE Usage in Automobile Industries	6
2.1.6 Further Usages of PGE	8
2.2 PGE Emissions into the Environment	9
2.2.1 Car Catalytic Converters as a Main Emission Source of PGE	9
2.2.2. PGE Emission Forms and Amounts Released from Autocatalysts	10
2.2.3 PGE Emission Rates from Autocatalysts	11
2.2.4 Importance of PGE Emissions from Autocatalysts	12
2.2.5 Other Emission Sources of the PG	12
2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments	14
<b>2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments</b>	14 14
<ul><li>2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments</li><li>2.3.1 PGE in the Atmosphere</li><li>2.3.1.1 Relationship between Grain Sizes and Seasonal Variations and PGE</li></ul>	14 14
<ul> <li>2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments</li> <li>2.3.1 PGE in the Atmosphere</li> <li>2.3.1.1 Relationship between Grain Sizes and Seasonal Variations and PGE Concentration in the Atmosphere</li></ul>	14 14 14
<ul> <li>2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments</li></ul>	14 14 14 15
<ul> <li>2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments</li></ul>	14 14 15 16
<ul> <li>2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments.</li> <li>2.3.1 PGE in the Atmosphere.</li> <li>2.3.1.1 Relationship between Grain Sizes and Seasonal Variations and PGE Concentration in the Atmosphere.</li> <li>2.3.1.2 Solubility of PGE Emitted from Autocatalysts.</li> <li>2.3.2 PGE in the Pedosphere.</li> <li>2.3.3 PGE in Living Organisms.</li> </ul>	14 14 15 16 17
<ul> <li>2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments.</li> <li>2.3.1 PGE in the Atmosphere.</li> <li>2.3.1.1 Relationship between Grain Sizes and Seasonal Variations and PGE Concentration in the Atmosphere.</li> <li>2.3.1.2 Solubility of PGE Emitted from Autocatalysts.</li> <li>2.3.2 PGE in the Pedosphere.</li> <li>2.3.3 PGE in Living Organisms.</li> <li>2.3.4 PGE in Plant Species.</li> </ul>	14 14 15 16 17 17
<ul> <li>2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments.</li> <li>2.3.1 PGE in the Atmosphere.</li> <li>2.3.1.1 Relationship between Grain Sizes and Seasonal Variations and PGE Concentration in the Atmosphere.</li> <li>2.3.1.2 Solubility of PGE Emitted from Autocatalysts.</li> <li>2.3.2 PGE in the Pedosphere.</li> <li>2.3.3 PGE in Living Organisms.</li> <li>2.3.4 PGE in Plant Species.</li> <li>2.3.4.1 Uptake Pathways of PGE by different Plants Species.</li> </ul>	14 14 15 16 17 17 17 17
<ul> <li>2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments.</li> <li>2.3.1 PGE in the Atmosphere.</li> <li>2.3.1.1 Relationship between Grain Sizes and Seasonal Variations and PGE Concentration in the Atmosphere.</li> <li>2.3.1.2 Solubility of PGE Emitted from Autocatalysts.</li> <li>2.3.2 PGE in the Pedosphere.</li> <li>2.3.3 PGE in Living Organisms.</li> <li>2.3.4 PGE in Plant Species.</li> <li>2.3.4.1 Uptake Pathways of PGE by different Plants Species.</li> <li>2.3.4.2 Accumulation of PGE in Different Plant Parts.</li> </ul>	14 14 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 20
<ul> <li>2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments.</li> <li>2.3.1 PGE in the Atmosphere.</li> <li>2.3.1.1 Relationship between Grain Sizes and Seasonal Variations and PGE Concentration in the Atmosphere.</li> <li>2.3.1.2 Solubility of PGE Emitted from Autocatalysts.</li> <li>2.3.2 PGE in the Pedosphere.</li> <li>2.3.3 PGE in Living Organisms.</li> <li>2.3.4 PGE in Plant Species.</li> <li>2.3.4.1 Uptake Pathways of PGE by different Plants Species.</li> <li>2.3.4.2 Accumulation of PGE in Different Plant Parts.</li> <li>2.3.4.3 Phytotoxicity of PGE on Plant Species.</li> </ul>	14 14 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 20 21

### **Chapter III: Methodology**

3.1 Experimental Design and PGE Exposure	25
3.1.1 Field Experiments (Catalyst's Powders)	25
3.1.1.1 Introduction	25
3.1.1.2 Field Experiment with Barley	

3.1.1.3 Field Experiment with Lettuce	29
3.1.2 Greenhouse Experiments (Soluble PGE Chloride Salts)	29
3.1.2.1 Introduction	29
3.1.2.2 High versus Low Platinum and Palladium Concentrations in the	
Hydroponic Medium	30
3.1.2.2.1 High-Level Platinum and Palladium Treatments (2000 µg/l)	32
3.1.2.2.1.1 Growth Chamber Experiment with Potato (I)	32
3.1.2.2.1.2 Growth Chamber Experiment with Barley	32
3 1 2 2 2 Low-Level Platinum and Palladium Treatments (300 µg/l)	33
3 1 2 2 2 1 Growth Chamber Experiment with Potato (II)	33
3 1 2 2 2 2 Growth Chamber Experiment with Lettuce	33
3.1.2.2.3 Visible Phytotoxic Symptoms after Treatment with PtCl <sub>4</sub> and PdCl <sub>2</sub>	33
3.1.3 Determination of Plant Physiological Parameters	33
3 1 3 1 Photosynthetic Gas Exchange and Transpiration	34
3 1 3 2 Chlorophyll Fluorescence	35
3.1.3.2 Content of Chlorophylls and Carotenoids	
3 1 3 3 1 Chlorophylls and Carotenoids Determined in Acetone Extract	
3 1 3 3 2 Chlorophylls Determined by SPAD Measurement	30 37
3.1.3.4 Leaf Area Index	
3.1.3.5 Fresh and Dry Weight	
3.2 Sampling and Sample Proparation	37
3.3 Analytical Methods of PCF Determination in Plant Samples	30
3.3.1 Microwaya Digestion of the Plant Samples	
3.3.2 Tellurium Co-Precipitation	40
3.3.3 Isotone Dilution and Snike Ontimization	40
3.3.4 Analytical Techniques	+0
3.3.4.1 Mass Spectrometry	0 <del>ب</del>
3.3.5 Limit of Detection	0 <del>ب</del>
3.3.6 Analytical Reproducibility	++ ۸۸
Chantar IV: Dasults	
Chapter IV. Results	
I Distribution of PCF in Field Crown Cron Plants	50
1 Distribution of DGE in Barlay Plants	50
4.1 Distribution of PGE in Lattuce Plants	50
4.2 Distribution of FOE in Lettuce Flants	
II Distribution of Platinum and Palladium in the Croonhouse Plants	53
A 2 Distribution of Platinum and Palladium in Low Level Treated Plants (300 µg/l)	55 54
4.5 Distribution of Platinum and Palladium by Potato (II) and Lettuce	
4.5.1 Accumulation of Platinum and Palladium in Potato Organs	+5 55
A. Accumulation of Platinum and Palladium in Lattuce Organs	55 56
A Distribution of Distinum and Dalladium in High Level Treated Diants (2000 u.g/l)	50 56
4.4 Distribution of Platinum and Palladium by Parlay and Potate (I)	
4.4.1 Accumulation of Platinum and Palladium in Patata Organs	30 57
A. Accumulation of Flathulli and Palladium in Polato Organs	/ C
D. Flaunun and Panadium Accumulation in Barley Shoots and Koots	
4.5 1 Distingue and collection Detion in the Large Large Large Directed Director (200 and 1)	00
4.3.1 Fraunum and paradium katios in the Low-Level Treated Plants ( $300 \ \mu g/l$ )	00
A. POIAIO	00

B. Lettuce	63
4.5.2 Platinum and Palladium Ratios in High-Level Treated Plants (2000 µg/l)	63
A. Potato	63
A. Barley	64
4.6 Comparison of Platinum and Palladium Ratios in Potato at Low-Level	
(300µg/l) and High-Level (2000 µg/l) Treatments	65
4.7 Visible Symptoms of Platinum and Palladium Phytotoxicity on Crop Plants	67

### **Chapter V: Discussion**

5.1 The Influence of Traffic Density on PGE Uptake by Crop Plants	69
5.2 Uptake Pathways of PGE by Field Grown Lettuce	70
5.3 Bioavailability of PGE from Catalyst's Powders and their Mobility in Soils	72
5.4 Uptake und Accumulation of Platinum and Palladium:	72
5.5 Distribution of Platinum and Palladium in Greenhouse Plants	75
Accumulation, Transport, Mobility, and Binding of PGE by Crop Plants	75
5.5.1 Retention of Platinum and Palladium in Plant Roots	75
5.5.2 Distribution of Platinum and Palladium in Potato Tubers	77
5.5.3 Translocation of Platinum and Palladium within Crop Plants	80
5.5.4 The Role of Xylem and Phloem in the Transport of Platinum and Palladium	83
5.5.5 Binding, Exclusion, and Tolerance of Crop Plant to Platinum and Palladium	85
5.6 Phytotoxicity of PGE on Crop Plants	87
Chapter VI: Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations	90
VII References	93

## **VII** Appendices

### List of Tables:

(1):	PGE concentrations in the two autocatalyst's powders used in the present field
	experiments
(2):	Treatment type, label, as well as number of pots from the field experiment with
	barley (and later lettuce) at both locations. (*) only three lettuce plants because one
	plant died
(3):	Composition of the MS nutrient solution modified after Murashige & Skoog
	(1962)
(4):	Operating settings of the microwave digestion procedure of the plant samples39
(5):	Instrumental settings of the Axiom (VG Elemental, Cheshire, England)42
	<ul> <li>(1):</li> <li>(2):</li> <li>(3):</li> <li>(4):</li> <li>(5):</li> </ul>

## List of Figures:

Figure (1):	A modern three-way catalytic converter for the reduction of the greenhouse gases carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NOx), and hydrocarbons (HC) (from: Johnson Matthey 2003) 7
Figure (3):	Preparing the pot soil for treatments with catalyst powder at the botanical garden
Figure (4):	Soil of a pot at the botanical garden after adding and homogenising of 10g grounded catalyst powder for the experiment with barley (later lettuce).
Figure (5):	Platinum concentration (ng/g DW) in potato samples determined at ISAS and IMG
Figure (6):	Palladium concentration (ng/g DW) in potato samples determined at ISAS and IMG
Figure (7):	Rhodium concentration (ng/g DW) in potato samples determined at ISAS and IMG
Figure (8):	Comparison between platinum concentration (ng/g DW) in grass samples determined by voltammetry and ICP-MS (Data from Klueppel et al. 1998, see Table 10B; Appendix B)
Figure (9):	Platinum concentration (µg/kg DW) in duplicate potato samples47
Figure (10):	Palladium concentration (µg/kg DW) in duplicate potato samples
Figure (11):	Rhodium concentration (µg/kg DW) in duplicate potato samples
Figure (12):	Repeated platinum, palladium and rhodium determinations in a potato sample $[n=9, SD: Rh = 0.1, Pt and Pd = 0.3]$
Figure (13):	Distribution of rhodium, palladium, and platinum in lettuce shoots grown at the botanical garden (BG) site (Control plants = 1st bar, CATPt-treatment = 2nd bar, and CATPd-treatment = $3$ rd bar) (mean $\pm$ SD)
Figure (14):	Distribution of rhodium, palladium, and platinum in lettuce shoots grown at the highway (HW) site (Control plants = 1st bar, CATPt-treatment = 2nd bar, and CATPd-treatment = $3rd bar$ ) (mean + SD).
Figure (15):	The ratios of Pt/Rh and Pd/Rh in shoots of CATPt and CATPd treated lettuce grown at both sites (mean $\pm$ SD).
Figure (16):	Comparison between platinum and palladium concentration in potato (II) and lettuce plants treated with 300 $\mu$ g/l chloride salt (shoots, roots, and total concentration). Left y-axis: shoot content, right y-axis: Total (average) and root content of Pt and Pd treated plants
Figure (17):	Comparison between platinum and palladium concentration in leaves and stems of potato and lettuce plants treated with 300 $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts. Left y-axis: leaf content, right y-axis: stem content of Pt and Pd treated plants
Figure (18):	Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in aerial parts as well as tuber of potato plants treated with 300 $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean $\pm$ SD)
Figure (19):	Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in roots of potato plants treated with 300 $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean ± SD).
Figure (20):	Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in leaves and stems of lettuce plants treated with 300 $\mu g/l$ platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean $\pm$ SD)

Figure (21): Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in roots of lettuce plants treated with 300 $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean ± SD).
Figure (22): Comparison between platinum and palladium concentrations in potato and barley plants treated with 2000 µg/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (shoots, roots, and average concentration).
Figure (23): Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in aerial organs as well as tubers of potato plants treated with 2000 $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean ± SD).
Figure (24): Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in roots of potato plants treated with 2000 $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean $\pm$ SD).
Figure (25): Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in barley shoots treated with $2000 \ \mu g/l \ (mean \pm SD).$
Figure (26): Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in barley roots treated with 2000 $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean ± SD)59
Figure (27): Shoot : root ratio of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in the low-level (300 $\mu g/l$ ) treated potato plants (mean $\pm$ SD)61
Figure (28): Root : shoot ratio of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in the low-level (300 $\mu g/l$ ) treated potato plants (mean $\pm$ SD)61
Figure (29): Ratios of platinum (dark bars) and palladium (white bars) in the low-level (300 $\mu g/l$ ) treated potato plants organs (mean $\pm$ SD)
Figure (30): Leaf : stem ratio in lettuce plants treated with 300 µg/l platinum (left) and palladium (right) salts (mean ± SD)62
Figure (31): Shoot : root ratio in lettuce plants treated with 300 µg/l platinum (left) and palladium (right) salts (mean ± SD)
Figure (32): Root : shoot ratio in lettuce plants treated with 300 $\mu$ g/l platinum (left) and palladium (right) salts (mean ± SD)63
Figure (33): Shoot : root ratio calculated for platinum (left) and palladium (right) in the organs of 2000 µg/l treated potato plants
Figure (34): Root : shoot ratio calculated for platinum (left) and palladium (right) in the organs of 2000 µg/l treated potato plants
Figure (35): Ratios calculated for platinum (dark) and palladium (white) in the organs of the $2000 \ \mu g/l$ treated potato plants (mean $\pm$ SD)
Figure (36): Shoot : root ratio calculated for platinum (left) and palladium (right) in barley plants treated with 2000 µg/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean ± SD).
Figure (37): Root : shoot ratio calculated for platinum (left) and palladium (right) in barley plants treated with 2000 $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean $\pm$ SD).
Figure (38): Comparison between platinum ratio in the different organs of potato plants treated with 300 and 2000 $\mu$ g/l platinum chloride salt (mean ± SD)
Figure (39): Comparison between palladium ratio in the different organs of potato plants treated with 300 and 2000 µg/l palladium chloride salt (mean ± SD).
Figure (40): Comparison of shoot : root and root : shoot ratio of platinum (left) as well as palladium (right) in potato plants treated with 2000 and 300 µg/l platinum and palladium chloride salts.
Figure (41): Phytotoxic symptoms: chlorosis and brown leaf edges of potato leaves treated with platinum salt (2000 µg/l)

Figure (42): Platinum (300 µg/l) treated potato plants showing phytotoxic symptoms but less drastic than the palladium treated ones (Figure 43)
Figure (43): Early death of palladium (300 µg/l) treated plants (right) compared to controls (left).
Figure (44): Clear white roots of a control potato plant but lower tuber yield than platinum and palladium treated plants (Figure 45 and 46)
Figure (45): Dark brown roots and more tuber yield of a platinum (300 µg/l) treated potato plant compared to a control in Figure 44.
Figure (46): A palladium (300 µg/l) treated potato plant showing dark roots and more tuber yield than control plant in Figure 44
Figure (47): A control lettuce plant showing whiter roots than platinum and palladium treated ones
Figure (48): A platinum (300 µg/l) treated lettuce plant showing brown roots (compare to Figure 47)
Figure (49): A palladium (300 µg/l) treated lettuce plant showing brown roots (compare to Figure 47)
Figure (50): The increase of platinum concentration in shoots (dark) and roots (light) of potato plants. (a = Pt concentration in low-level and b) Pt concentration in high-level treated plants
Figure (51): The increase of palladium concentration in shoots (dark) and roots (light) of potato plants. (a = Pd concentration in low-level and b) Pd concentration in high-level treated plants
Figure (52): Platinum and palladium concentration, expressed in µmol/kg DW, in barley shoots. Both noble metals show similar concentration in barley shoots.
Figure (53): Platinum and palladium concentration, expressed in µmol/kg DW, in barley roots. Palladium concentration is higher than platinum in roots
Figure (54): Palladium shows higher concentration (expressed in $\mu$ mol/kg DW) than platinum
in lettuce roots

#### Acknowledgments

I thank:-

*Prof. Dr. Doris Stüben*, Head of the Institute of Mineralogy and Geochemistry, University of Karlsruhe, for giving me possibility to pursuit this PhD, her supervision, for use of the Institute's facilities.

Ass. Prof. Dr. Claus Buschmann, Institute of Botany II, University of Karlsruhe, for his continuous encouragement and providing me with equipments and the Institute's facilities during my work and for his valuable discussions. His support and wise counsel were invaluable.

*Prof. Heinz-Günther Stosch* for his great support and encouragement during the hard phase shortly before my oral exam. Thanks so much for being at the Institute of Mineralogy and Geochemisty in Karlsruhe. *Prof. Dr. Jörg Matschullat* for his co-supervision of this PhD.

*Mrs. Claudia Mößner*, for helping me to introduce the big world of ICP-MS, her comments, discussion, technical assistance, and patience during the large number of PGE determinations using the Axiom. I express my gratitude to *Cornelia Haug* and *Barbara Ehlermann* for helping me during the lab work.

*Mr. Peter Schaupp*, who helped at various stages in implementation of the field and hydroponic experiments and his keen capacity overcoming all technical problems, whatever they were. To **K. Nikoloski** a unique thank you is due.

Dr. M. Mokry for loan of pots for the hydroponic experiments, Mr. Schneider and Mr. U. Lengert providing potato tubers. A special thank is extended to Simone Gießler and Tobias Roth, my HIWIs helped carry out the field and hydroponic experiments and during the sample preparation.

*Dr. G. Langsdorf,* Institute of Botany II, for her guidance and assistance during the hydroponic experiments in the growth chamber. *Mr. U. Becksmann*, Head of FZU, helped carry out the hydroponic experiments and giving me time to finish my PhD in FZU.

**Prof. Dr. M. Schlaak, Dr. T. Pies, Dr. S. Zimmerma** for their critical language revision of some parts or the whole thesis. A special thank to **Mr. E. Rodriguez** (ARCADIS, New Jersey/USA) for his valuable comments and his critical review of this thesis is due.

*Dr. Z. Berner*, for his assistance during the lab work, *Dr. U. Kramar* for his valuable discussion and comments, *Dr. D. Eckhardt* for providing me with references, *Dr. G. Ott*, for his technical assistance.

Finally, I'd like to extend my thanks to a large number of colleagues at the Institute of Mineralogy and Geochemisty, M. Meudt, F. Pujol, H. Haile-Tolera, F. Wagner, J. Dikikh, and M. Harting. The IMG-staff in the FZU, especially Dr. A. Stögbauer for the "small talks" during our coffee breaks, Dr. Xie X. and Dr. U. Berg for the great atmosphere during my stay in FZU. To each of them a unique thank you is due.

My beloved wife Cornelie, for her great support, endless patience during my stay for long nights at work, helped to type the reference list. Thank is due to for always being there for me.

H. Aboughalma

Karlsruhe, December 2004

#### Abstract:

The transition elements platinum, palladium, and rhodium are widely used in the automobile industry. Production of catalytic converters is the principal application field of the so called Platinum Group Elements (PGE). Since the introduction of autocatalysts to reduce the emission of the greenhouse gases; CO, NO<sub>X</sub>, and HC, the concentration of PGE in environmental samples such as road dusts, soils, and plants is steadily increasing. The uptake pathways, accumulation, and transport of PGE emitted from autocatalysts in crop plants are poorly understood. However, the present study deals with these subjects in addition to the phytotoxicity of these metals on crop plants. Field experiments with lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) were conducted at two sites. The first site lies close to the German Highway A5 and the second site is within the botanical garden of the University of Karlsruhe/Germany. The expected emissions of PGE at the highway site were higher than those at the botanical garden site. In addition to an expected atmospheric uptake by aerial organs, PGE uptake via plant roots was enabled by separate addition of two catalyst's powders containing the three noble metals, platinum, palladium and rhodium. Furthermore, greenhouse experiments using PGE soluble chloride salts; that were added to the hydroponic medium, were conducted with potato (Solanum tuberosum L.), barley (Hordeum Vulgare L.), and lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) under controlled conditions, whereby the uptake was exclusively made via the plant roots. The crop plants were divided into their organs and the PGE concentrations were determined using HR-ICP-MS. The phytotoxicity of PGE on the crop plants was visibly manifested and some physiological parameters were determined. Lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) plants that were treated with the catalyst's powders showed higher PGE concentrations than the control ones, implying the uptake of the precious metals via the plant roots. PGE concentrations determined in the field grown lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) demonstrate, not only the solubility of platinum, palladium, and rhodium in the soil, but also the higher bioavailability of palladium, rather than platinum and rhodium. Platinum and palladium are mainly retained in the roots of the crop plants grown in the growth chamber. The noble metals are translocated from roots to shoots showing high mobility within the plants and phototoxic symptoms were more severe in potatoes (Solanum tuberosum L.) than in lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) and barley (Hordeum *Vulgare* L.). Palladium, to a higher extent than platinum, is mainly retained in plant roots, whilst platinum; to a higher extent, is taken up into aerial organs. The Monocotyledonous (barley) plant showed an overall higher total concentration, but lower shoot platinum and palladium concentrations than the dicotyledonous one (potato). Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) accumulated more platinum and palladium than lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.). Palladium is not only more mobile within the potato and lettuce than platinum, but was also severely toxic on the potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) when compared to platinum. There were no severe phytotoxic effects from the PGE on barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). Visible phytotoxic symptoms observed in the crop plants are stunted growth, chlorosis, blackening of the root system, small leaves, and brown patches on leaves. It has clearly been established that the differences in platinum and palladium concentrations in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) organs were due to the differences in phytotoxic effects of both elements on plants rather differences in amount of platinum or palladium applied for the uptake. The principal difference between platinum and palladium was seen in the portion taken up into either the aerial parts or retained in the roots of the three plant species.

#### Kurzfassung:

Die Übergangsmetalle, Platin, Palladium und Rhodium werden weltweit in der Automobilindustrie verwendet. Die Herstellung der Autoabgaskatalysatoren zählt zu den Hauptanwendungen der sogenannten Platingruppenelemente (PGE). Seit der Einführung der Autoabgaskatalysatoren, um die Emissionen der Treibhausgase CO, NOx und HC zu reduzieren, nimmt die Konzentration der PGE in den Umweltproben wie Strassensedimenten, Böden und Pflanzen ständig zu. Die Aufnahmepfade, Akkumulation und der Transport der aus den Abgaskataysatoren emittierten PGE in den Nutzpflanzen sind wenig bekannt. Die vorliegende Arbeit befasst sich zusätzlich zu der Phytotoxizität dieser Metalle auf Nutzpflanzen mit diesen Themen. Es wurden an zwei Standorten Feldversuche mit Salat (Lactuca sativa L.) durchgeführt. Der erste Standort liegt direkt an der A5 und der zweite innerhalb des Botanischen Gartens der Universität Karlsruhe. Die erwarteten Emissionen der PGE an dem Autobahn-Standort waren höher als die am Standort Botanischen Garten. Zusätzlich zu einer atmosphärischen Aufnahme über die oberirdischen Pflanzenorganen wurde die Aufnahme über die Pflanzenwurzel ermöglicht. Dies geschah durch getrennten Zusatz von zwei Katalysatorenpulver, die die Edelmetalle Platin, Palladium und Rhodium in unterschiedlichen Konzentrationen enthielten.

Überdies wurden Gewächshausversuche mit Kartoffeln (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), Gerste (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) und Salat (*Lactuca sativa* L.) unter kontrollierten Bedingungen durchgeführt, um die Aufnahme ausschließlich über die Wurzel zu ermöglichen. Als Kontaminationsquelle wurden lösliche PGE-Chloridsalze verwendet. Die Nutzpflanzen wurden in einzelne Pflanzenorgane unterteilt und deren Gehalte an PGE mittels HR-ICP-MS bestimmt. Die phytotoxischen Auswirkungen der PGE auf die Nutzpflanzen wurden visuell manifestiert und die pflanzenphysiologischen Parameter ermittelt. Die Salatpflanzen, die mit Katalysatorpulver behandelt waren, zeigten einen höheren PGE-Gehalt als die Kontrollpflanzen, was die Aufnahme der Edelmetalle über die Wurzeln impliziert.

Die ermittelten PGE Konzentrationen im feldgewachsenen Salat (*Lactuca sativa* L.) sehen nicht nur die Löslichkeit von Platin, Palladium und Rhodium im Boden vorraus, sondern auch die höhere Bioverfügbarkeit von Palladium eher als die von Platin und Rhodium. Platin und Palladium wurden hauptsächlich in den Wurzeln der Nutzpflanzen, die im Gewächshaus angepflanzt waren, retentiert. Die Edelmetalle wurden von der Wurzel in den Spross transportiert, was die hohe Mobilität innerhalb der Pflanzen zeigt. Die phytotoxischen Symptome waren schwerwiegender bei den Kartoffeln (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) als bei der Geste (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) und dem Salat (*Lactuca sativa* L.), die im Gewächshaus

angezogen waren. Palladium wurde, in größerem Umfang als Platin, hauptsächlichen in den Wurzeln der Gewächshauspflanzen retentiert während Platium in größerem Umfang als Palladium in den Spross aufgenommen wurde. Die monocotyle Pflanze (Gerste) zeigte insgesamt höhere Konzentrationen, aber niedrigere Platin- und Palladiumkonzentrationen im Spross als die dicotyle Pflanze (Kartoffel). Die Kartoffel (Solanum tuberosum L.) akkumulierte mehr Platin und Palladium als Salat (Lactuca sativa L.). Das Palladium war innerhalb von Kartoffel und Salat nicht nur mobiler als Platin, sondern hatte im Vergleich zu Platin auch sehr toxische Auswirkungen auf die Kartoffelpflanzen, die im Gewächshaus angezogen waren. Hingegen waren keine schweren toxischen Auswirkungen der PGE auf Gerste (Hordeum Vulgare L.) und Salat (Lactuca sativa L.) zu beobachten. Die sichtbaren phytotoxischen Symptome, die bei den Gewächshauspflanzen beobachtet wurden, waren verkümmertes Wachstum, Chlorosis, Schwärzung des Wurzelwerkes, kleine Blätter sowie braune Flecken auf den Blättern. Es wurde deutlich gezeigt, dass die Unterschiede im Platinund Palladiumgehalt in den Kartoffelorganen auf die unterschiedlichen phytotoxischen Auswirkungen der beiden Metalle auf die Pflanzen zurückzuführen sind. Diese Unterschiede waren unabhängig von den Mengen an Platin und Palladium, die für die Aufnahme eingesetzt waren. Der Hauptunterschied zwischen Platin und Palladium waren die Mengen, die entweder in den oberirdischen Organen aufgenommen oder in den Wurzeln der drei Pflanzen retentiert wurde.

**Chapter I** 

•

## **Introduction and Aim of the Present Study**

"Don't throw a stone in the holy Nile, don't throw your waste in front of thou neighbour's house, don't build your house blocking the sun or the wind from thou of your neighbour; for if you did, the gods shall have no mercy, and will abolish your wealth and shall not escort you on your final trip to eternity.....

The book of the dead, 1500 BC

#### I. Introduction and Aim of the Present Study

The present study is devoted to the uptake, accumulation, translocation, and phytotoxicity of PGE being emitted from autocatalysts and added as catalyst's powders to soils or as soluble salts to the hydroponic medium for crop plants. The number of cars fitted with catalytic converters is continuously increasing and the concentrations of PGE in environmental compartments are consequently increasing (Alt et al. 1993; Wei & Morrison 1994a; Farago et al. 1996; Helmers & Mergel 1998; Schäfer et al. 1998; 1999; Laschka & Nachtwey 2000; Palacios et al. 2000a; 2000b; Gómez et al. 2001; Rauch et al. 2001; Zereini 2001a; 2001b; Cinti et al. 2002; Kanitsar et al. 2003; Djingova et al. 2003; Dongarra et al. 2003). There is a great concern about this increase since it has been demonstrated that PGE are mobile in the environment (Fuchs & Rose 1974; Kothny 1979; Pogrebnyak et al. 1986; Wood & Vlassopoulos 1990; Fletcher et al. 1995; Jarvis et al. 2001).

PGE had been found in plants (mainly grass) collected along roads with high traffic density (Helmers et al. 1994; Helmers & Mergel 1997; Schäfer et al. 1998; Djingova et al. 2003; Dongarra et al. 2003; Lesniewska et al. 2004a). The uptake of PGE has been suggested to occur mainly via roots (Sarwar et al. 1970; Kothny 1979; Pallas & Jones 1978; Farago et al. 1979; Ballach 1995; Ballach & Wittig 1996; Lustig et al. 1997). Nevertheless, uptake via aerial parts by adsorption of very fine emitted particles (on which PGE are attached) was also reported (Messerschmidt et al. 1994; Lustig et al. 1997; Dongarra et al. 2003). Studies on the uptake of soluble PGE salts by different plant species have been conducted by Farago et al. (1979), Farago & Parsons (1986a; 1986b; 1994), Klueppel et al. (1998), Verstraete et al. (1998), Ballach (1995), Ballach & Wittig (1996), and Ballach et al. (2000). The accumulation of PGE in roots and the translocation into plant shoots has been demonstrated. In these experiments, PGE were applied as soluble complexes and their concentrations in the nutrient solutions were in most cases relatively high. Phototoxic effects of PGE on plants have also been demonstrated at higher concentration in the nutrient solutions (Michenfelder et al. 1988; Farago et al. 1979; Farago & Parsons 1986a; 1986b; 1986c; 1994). Field experiments, conducted near roads with high traffic density, on the uptake of PGE emitted from catalytic converters by crop plants, are less known. Furthermore, detailed studies on the accumulation of PGE in plant organs as well as their transport and movement within plant species are rare.

The first objective of the present study was as follows:

• Investigate the uptake pathways of PGE by the crop plants barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L., var. Pasadena) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L., var. Estelle) grown in field.

• Define the PGE accumulating plant organs

• Examine the bioavailability of PGE added to soils as autocatalyst's powders, for plant uptake.

For these purposes, two sites of different traffic density were chosen. The first site was chosen along the German Highway A5 between Karlsruhe and Frankfurt am Main/Germany. According to the Regierungspräsidium in Karlsruhe/Germany, the daily traffic density at this site was estimated at 89,000 vehicle/day (anno 2001). The second site chosen was 200 m from a main street (Adenauer Ring) in Karlsruhe/Germany. Based on the difference in traffic density between the two sites, it is reasonable to compare the PGE concentrations in crop plants grown at both sites. The crop plants were grown on soils originated from these sites after addition of catalyst powder to the soils.

It is suggested that bacteria are able to methylate platinum species, and thus enable easier uptake via plant roots (Brubaker et al. 1975; Lustig et al. 1997). The PGE that were added as autocatalyst's powders to the soil used for experiments with barley, were left in the field for 18 months to allow the formation of bioavailable species in soils by bacteria or related processes prior to conducting the field experiments with lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.).

It has been demonstrated that PGE are phototoxic at high and tonic at low concentrations in the hydroponic medium (Sarwar et al. 1970; Bornique et al. 1976; Parsons 1982; Farago & Parsons 1986a; 1986b; 1986c). The uptake of PGE by plants depends on plant species, PGE salt applied, and concentration added to the nutrient solution (Farago & Parsons 1986a; 1986b; 1994). Moreover, Verstraete et al. (1998) pointed out that rye grass (*Lolium perenne*); a monocotyledonous plant, slightly accumulated platinum and cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*); a dicotyledonous plant, strongly accumulated platinum. In the present study, two concentration levels (300 and 2000  $\mu$ g/l) of platinum and palladium in the nutrient solution were chosen to highlight the toxic effects of PGE on the different plant species and to further to compare the differences in uptake and accumulation by two dicotyledonous and one monocotyledonous plant species, on the other hand. The second objective was as follows:

• Investigate the accumulation, translocation, and phytotoxicity of the precious metals on the crop plants under controlled experimental conditions.

• Investigate the differences in uptake, accumulation, and phytotoxicity between a monocotyledonous (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) and a dicotyledonous (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) as well as between two dicotyledonous (*Solanum tuberosum* L. and *Lactuca sativa* L.) plant species.

• Compare the uptake, translocation, and phytotoxicity of PGE on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) at two metal concentrations in the hydroponic medium.

• Study the transport and movement of PGE within potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.), and barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.).

For these purposes, the uptake pathway of PGE via aerial parts was excluded and the uptake via roots was done exclusively by conducting uptake experiments in a greenhouse under controlled experimental conditions. Moreover, soluble PGE salts (platinum and palladium chlorides) were chosen. The platinum and palladium chloride salts were then added to the hydroponic culture containing macro- and micronutrients.

The crop plants studied were subdivided into their different organs (i.e. leaves, leaf stalks, stems, roots, and tubers) in order to define the accumulating organs of PGE. Furthermore, by comparing the noble metal ratios in the different plant organs, a first approach to investigate the movement of PGE within plants has been undertaken.

## **Chapter II**

## State of the Art

From a global and life cycle perspective, the catalytic converter is "converting" rather than reducing the environmental impacts.

Amatayakul & Ramnäs (2001)

#### 2.1 Properties, Occurrence and Usage of Platinum Group Elements (PGE)

#### 2.1.1 Some Chemical and Physical Properties of PGE

The six transition elements ruthenium, rhodium, palladium, osmium, iridium and platinum, positioned in Group VIII of the periodic table, are collectively known as platinum group elements (PGE) or as platinum group metals (PGM). Being transition elements, they show strong tendency to form complex ions, pose catalytic effects and exhibit a wide range of oxidation states. The PGE, particularly palladium, platinum and ruthenium, are used as catalysts in hydrogenation and oxidation reactions (Ginzburg 1975) because of their ability to adsorb gases. According to their specific gravities, PGE are divided into light (ruthenium, rhodium, and palladium) and heavy (osmium, iridium and platinum) triads. These noble metals are amongst the world's scarcest elements (Farago & Parsons 1994) and their mean concentrations in the Earth's crust range from 0.001 to 1.0  $\mu$ g/kg (Wedepohl 1995). The unique chemical, physical and electrical properties of the PGE led to widespread use of technical applications in the chemical, jewellery, dental and medical (dental alloys, anticancer drugs), automobile and electronic industries.

#### 2.1.2 Natural Occurrence and Mining of PGE

Platinum group elements are considered to be highly siderophile elements. More than 99% of the Earth's noble metals reside in the core and only minor amounts are expected to be in the earth's mantle (Rehkämper 2000). The abundances of terrestrial and extraterrestrial PGE were found to be comparable, suggesting the extraterrestrial origin of PGE present in the Earth. Holzheid et al. (2000) concluded that highly siderophile elements were added to the earth from meteorites after the Earth had initially formed and the core had become distinct from the mantle.

The most important primary PGE ore deposits are the South African Bushveld complex, the Russian Urals, the Canadian Sudbury District and the Great Dyke in Zimbabwe (Cawthorn, 1999). Secondary (alluvial) or placer PGE deposits occur in Columbia, Ethiopia and the Urals. South Africa, Russia, USA, Canada, and Zimbabwe are the world's main producers of PGE (Johnson Matthey 2003).

During the mining process, PGE containing ore deposits are crushed, pulverized, and mixed with water, air, and special reagents which cause PGE-containing particles to float (Jones 1999). After the flotation process, PGE are removed from the surface for drying. Since the concentrations of PGE in the host rock are very low,  $\sim 3$  g/ton (Cawthorn 1999), a concentration process of PGE by smelting is necessary. Thereafter, PGE are separated from nickel and copper, and further refined from base metals (Jones 1999). Consequently, significant environmental impacts occur during the mining and production of PGE. However, substantial benefits are gained through atmospheric emission reduction of the greenhouse gases since PGEs are widely used in automobile catalytic converters (Amatayakul & Ramnäs 2001).

Weathering of PGE metal alloys by oxidation in a natural system is considered to be a slow process (Jarvis et al. 2001). Moderately strong oxidizing solutions that contain complexing agents such as chloride or humic matter could accomplish the dissolving process. However, lighter elements, including palladium and rhodium would weather more rapidly than the heavier triad including platinum (Jarvis et al. 2001).

#### 2.1.3 PGE Usage in the Jewellery Industry

Because of the inertness and attractive appearance of platinum (the most common element in the platinum group), one of its major uses is in the manufacture of jewellery. It was discovered by South Americans and was used by pre-Columbian Natives who produced rings and ornaments from platinum nuggets. Ancient Egyptians also used platinum, which was found as a decorative material on a casket from the 7<sup>th</sup> century BC. In the 18<sup>th</sup> century, a modern platinum jewellery tradition began. Several years after World War II and in the 1970s, a revival by German jewellers gave platinum a new identity, characterised by modern design and the prevalent use as a satin finish. Palladium, iridium, ruthenium, copper, and cobalt are alloyed with platinum, which is resistant to tarnish and has high strength, in order to fabricate jewellery (Johnson Matthey 2003). White gold is an alloy of gold that is decolorized by the addition of either platinum or palladium. Japan is the largest producer and consumer of platinum jewellery whereas China is the fastest growing market of platinum jewellery (Taylor & Biggs 1999; Johnson Matthey 2003).

#### 2.1.4 PGE for Dental and Medical Usage

In the last three decades and according to their physicochemical properties, some elements of the PGE such as platinum, palladium, and ruthenium are widely used in dentistry and medicine. Since they are known as inert metals, they are used together in a mixture with gold or silver as dental inlays, crowns, and bridges. Platinum; and recently ruthenium, in certain chemical forms have the ability to inhibit cell division (Natile & Coluccia 2001; Johnson Matthey 2003). This important discovery led to the development of drugs based on platinum to treat certain kinds of cancer. The platinum complex *cis*-platin, which is the first anticancer drug discovered, was widely used since the 1970's in cancer therapies to treat head, neck, testicular, and ovarian cancers (Parsons et al. 1987; O'Dwyer et al. 1999; Natile & Coluccia, 2001; Johnson Matthey 2003). Cis-platin also has adverse effects on patients treated with this compound (Hann et al. 2001). Furthermore, another compound known as carbo-platin, which is similar to cis-platin in terms of activity and has less toxic effects, was approved in 1986 (Johnson Matthey 2003). Platinum is excreted by patients after applying antineoplastics. Consequently, hospital effluents have been described by Kümmerer & Helmers (1997) and Kümmerer et al. (1999) as a source for the emission of platinum into waste water and sewage sludge. PGE also have further uses in medicine. For example, platinum is used in the fabrication of very tiny components, which are used as electrodes in pacemakers to treat heart disorders (Johnson Matthey 2003).

#### 2.1.5 PGE Usage in Automobile Industries

Recent data published by Johnson Matthey (2004) illustrated that after the jewellery industry, the automobile industry represents the main consumer of the PGE supplies worldwide. The principal application of PGE in the car industry is in the production of car catalytic converters.

The application of clean air legislation led to the introduction of automobile catalytic converters in the mid 1970s in the USA and Japan, and later (late 1980s, early 1990s) in Europe (Zereini et al. 1997a; Palacios et al. 2000a; Jarvis et al. 2001; Gómez et al. 2002). Moreover, the European Standards and Directive 94/12/EEC implemented since 1993, on ambient air quality require all new automobiles registered in the EU to be equipped with a catalytic converter (Palacios et al. 2000a).

The first generation of autocatalysts (two-way catalysts) was based on platinum and rhodium. The modified autocatalysts (three-way catalysts) are based on platinum, rhodium, and palladium. The three-way catalyst can reduce the emission rate up to 90% for the three main contaminants HC, NOx, and CO present in the exhaust fume (Johnson Matthey 2003). A modern three-way catalytic converter has been designed to promote the reduction of nitrous oxides to nitrogen using rhodium, and simultaneously oxidize carbon monoxide and unburned hydrocarbons to less harmful carbon dioxide and water using platinum and/or palladium (**Figure 1**).



A catalytic converter consists of a highly porous ceramic honeycomb monolithic structure (cordierite skeleton, 5SiO<sub>2</sub>.2Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.2MgO). The cordierite is coated with a highly porous "washcoat" of approximately 90% <sub>y</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, which provides a high active catalytic surface area for the catalytic reactions A mixture of base metal additives, mainly oxides of Ce, Zr, La, Ni, Fe, and alkaline earths (Palacios et al. 2000) enhances the catalyst's performance. Furthermore, the "wash coat" is impregnated with the noble metals platinum, palladium, and rhodium in different combinations and concentrations. In European gasoline automobile fitted with three-way converters, the Pt/Rh or Pd/Rh ratio in autocatalysts is usually 5:1. However, the type and size of an engine determine the platinum and rhodium amount used per vehicle. The concentration of the noble metals was given by Zereini et al. (1997a) and Cuif et al. (1997) as follows: 1.5 g of platinum and 0.3 g of rhodium per litre. Nevertheless, the results of radiotracer measurements conducted by Schneider et al. (1999) indicated that an average of 12% additional platinum and 124% additional rhodium was deposited on converter substrates beyond that calculated. Therefore, the results of Schneider at al. (1999) indicated that PGE are present in greater amounts in the catalytic converter than the amounts given by the autocatalyst's

producers. Thermal heating within the catalyst causes the tiny particles of precious metal to agglomerate, thus reducing the catalyst's overall surface area and hence its activity (Helmer 2002).

Platinum group elements (PGE) are not only applied in the catalytic converter production but have also a wide range of uses in the automobile industry. Among them are sensors for automobile emission control, car climate control sensors that detect CO and NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations inside the vehicle, air-mass flow sensors to measure the air-flow to each bank of cylinders in the engine, and initiator sensor in air bags (Johnson Matthey 2003).

Fuel cells are another important usage of PGE in the automobile industry, whereby platinum is a necessary component of it. The noble metal is dispersed on the proton exchange membrane (PEM), which is considered to be the most researched part of the fuel cell. In a medium-sized passenger car, about 25 g of platinum are required (Borgwardt 2001), which is a concern in terms of cost. Vehicles fitted with fuel cells have environmental and economic advantages which make them equal to zero-emission vehicles (Johnson Matthey 2003).

#### 2.1.6 Further Usages of PGE

The unique physical, chemical, and catalytic properties of PGE led to a wide range of applications of these elements in several industrial sectors. For example, platinum wire, foil, and discs are used as electrodes for various sensors in medical equipments (Johnson Matthey 2003). They are also used in the electronic industry (computer hard discs, electronic circuits), production of nitric acid, watch industry, glass fibre production, crucibles used in the production of high purity crystals, and high technology products such as small rocket engines and space technology (Yuantao & Zhengfen 1999; Fisher et al. 1999). Further applications are in sensors used to detect CO concentration in buildings and in catalysts used in refining highboiling crude oil fractions (Renner & Schmuckler 1991). Moreover, PGE are used as catalysts for the catalytic oxidation of ammonia in nitric acid production (Büschl et al. 1999).

#### 2.2 PGE Emissions into the Environment

#### 2.2.1 Car Catalytic Converters as a Main Emission Source of PGE

Several studies have been conducted by many work groups around the globe to determine the PGE concentrations in the different environmental compartments near roads with high traffic density (Hodge & Stallard 1986; Alt et al. 1993; Wei & Morrison 1994a; Farago et al. 1996; Alt et al. 1997; Helmers & Mergel 1998; Schäfer 1998; Schäfer et al. 1999; Laschka & Nachtwey 2000; Schierl 2000; Tilch et al. 2000; Gómez et al. 2001; Rauch et al. 2001; Zereini 2001a, 2001b; Cinti et al. 2002; Kanitsar et al. 2003; Djingova et al. 2003; Dongarra et al. 2003; Whiteley & Murray 2003). The PGE have been detected in soils, dusts, sewage sludge, and plants. From their results, the PGE are suggested to be of anthropogenic origin, contributed mainly to the emissions from catalytic converters and in some cases, from sewage sludge samples collected in Pforzheim/Germany due to jewellery industry located there (Lottermoser 1994).

PGE in environmental samples gained more attention after the introduction of the autocatalysts. Fathi Zereini (Johann Wolfgang Goethe Universität, Frankfurt am Main/Germany) is one of the pioneers who conducted several studies to determine the PGE concentrations in environmental samples (Zereini et al. 1993, 1997a, 1997b, 1998, 2001a, 2001b, 2004). PGE sources in environmental samples have been mainly contributed to car catalytic converters (Ravindera et al. 2004 and references therein). A research interest to determine reasons of PGE emissions from catalytic converters, their emission rates, species, and forms has been developed. However, the precious metals (platinum, palladium and, rhodium) are suggested to be emitted from car catalytic converters due to thermal heating and mechanical abrasion (Artelt et al. 1999a; Balgord 1973). Zereini et al. (1997a) pointed out that the catalyst is chemically and physically stressed by fast changing oxidative/reductive conditions within the catalyst's body. All these, in addition to high temperatures and mechanical abrasion, led to the release of PGE-containing particulates into the environment.

PGE emitted from catalysts are deposited on a narrow zone along the roadside (Schäfer & Puchelt 1998; Ely et al. 2001; Gómez et al. 2001; Jarivs et al. 2001; Zereini et al. 2001b). Increased concentration of PGE in the airborne particulate matter were reported in several studies from different countries such as Germany, USA, Sweden, England, and Spain (Hodge & Stallard 1986; Alt et al. 1993; Wei & Morrison 1994a; Farago et al. 1996; Tilch et al. 2000;

Gómez et al. 2001; Zereini 2001a, 2001b). Zereini et al. (2001b) determined platinum concentration in dust samples collected from the city of Frankfurt am Main/Germany, which varied between 22 and 719  $\mu$ g/kg. Dust samples collected by Aboughalma & Stüben (2003, unpublished data) along the German Highway (A5) showed concentrations of platinum varying between 122 and 536  $\mu$ g/kg, palladium varying between 50 and 479  $\mu$ g/kg, and rhodium varying between 19 and 98  $\mu$ g/kg depending on sampling distance from the highway and sampling height above the ground surface. Zereini et al. (2001b) also pointed out that platinum in samples collected at a height of <1.5 m generally showed the highest concentration. Lower platinum (317 ng/g) and rhodium (74 ng/g) concentration in dust samples collected from Madrid/Spain has been reported by Gómez et al. (2001).

#### 2.2.2. PGE Emission Forms and Amounts Released from Autocatalysts

At present, it is accepted that PGE are emitted from autocatalysts and attached to various particles. The emission form of particles and the size of its grains have not been studied enough due to limitation factors, such as the very low concentration of PGE in dust samples or airborne particulate matter and the detection limits of technical equipments, such as electron microscopy, that are required for detailed experiments.

Although, several studies have been conducted to determine the PGE concentrations near roads (Jarivs et al. 2001; Schäfer & Puchelt 1998; Schäfer et al. 1999; Zereini et al. 2001b), the spatial and seasonal variations of PGE emitted from autocatalysts need further study. Aboughalma & Stüben (2003) conducted experiments along the German Highway (A5) and reported on the spatial distribution of PGE. The amount of PGE decreases with increasing distance from the highway and showed two maxima at 2 m and 15 m, which correlate with coarse and fine grain fractions of PGE-containing particles, respectively. They also added that the PGE are subject to seasonal variations. Further studies conducted by Moldovan et al. (1999) showed that more than 95% of emitted platinum, more than 85% of emitted palladium, and more than 90% of emitted rhodium are released in particulate form at the ng/km level. Moreover, platinum group elements are emitted in metallic and oxide species, which are attached to very fine  $Al_2O_3$  particles (Artlet et al. 2000; Palacios et al. 2000a; Jarvis et al. 2001).

The amount of PGE emitted depends on several factors such as traffic density, driving speed, type of engine, catalyst type and age, and type of fuel additives (Schäfer et al. 1999; Palacios et al. 2000a; Gómez et al. 2001; König et al. 1992; Helmers 1997; Zereini et al. 2001b;

Ely et al. 2001). In this essence, Artelt et al. (1999a) mentioned that approximately four times less platinum was emitted from converters installed on low power engines. There was a tendency towards lower emission values with increasing age of catalysts and driving velocity. High platinum concentration in road dust samples collected from SE England were associated with high traffic densities (Farago et al. 1998).

However, PGE concentrations in the atmosphere are influenced by a variety of different factors including volume of traffic on a particular roadway, the number of cars fitted with catalytic converters, vehicle type and associated emission amounts, particle size of airborne matter, and meteorological and driving conditions (Schäfer et al. 1999; Kanitsar et al. 2003).

#### 2.2.3 PGE Emission Rates from Autocatalysts

Several studies were conducted to determine the emission rates of PGE from car catalytic converters (König et al. 1992; Schäfer et al. 1999; Artelt et al. 1999a; Palacios et al. 2000a; Zereini et al. 1997a). Unfortunately, the data outcome was contradictory and gave no realistic information about the emission rates. This is partly due to the different experimental designs and PGE analysis in such samples because there are no standardized methods for PGE determination in environmental samples. However, different emission rates of PGE from autocatalysts are postulated by different scientists, some estimated higher and others lower emission rates. For example, Palacios et al. (2000a) estimated higher platinum release from diesel than from fresh gasoline catalysts. The mean total amount emitted from diesel catalysts varied between 400 and 800 ng/km, whereas from fresh gasoline catalysts it was approximately 100, 250, and 50 ng/km for platinum, palladium, and rhodium, respectively. König et al. (1992) estimated emission factors ranging from 2 to 40 ng/km; from experiments with two catalysts under four different operating conditions, whereas Artelt et al. (1999a) estimated higher factors ranging from 9 to 124 ng/km which were measured in the exhaust of two different engines. Far higher emission factors of 9700 ng/km and 270 ng/km have been estimated by Helmers (1997) and Zereini et al. (1997a) as well as by Schäfer et al. (1999), respectively. However, sampling, experimental design, and analytical methods might have led to such a wide range of variation during the estimation of the emission rates of PGE.

#### 2.2.4 Importance of PGE Emissions from Autocatalysts

The metallic species of these noble metals are inert, but some of their compounds such as hexachloroplatinate and tetrachloroplatinate complexes are suggested to be powerful sensitizers (Rosner & Merget 1999; Merget & Rosner 2001; Lindell 1997). Moreover, some platinum complexes bind to nitrogen and sulphur in proteins producing a possible reduction in essential enzymatic activity (Merget & Rosner 2001).

Estimation of environmental risks from PGE present in urban air and in road dust sediments remains unclear. However, for human beings, inhalation of the fine grain fraction (such as particles of 0.1-2.15 µm grain size) containing PGE is deposited in the lung alveoli and might pass directly into blood (Kanitsar et al. 2003; Palacios et al. 2000a). Noble metal transformation into bioavailable species, by methylation for example, which is then a subject of uptake by plants, represents another indirect source of intake by humans. Surface deposition of PGE-containing particles onto water bodies represents another risk source to water quality and water organisms (Rauch & Morrison 1999).

#### 2.2.5 Other Emission Sources of the PGE

Since the 19<sup>th</sup> century some authors reported on properties, volatility, and emission of PGEs from sources other than autocatalysts (Davy 1817; Howe 1900; Crookes 1912; Alcock & Hooper 1960). Effluents from hospitals applying platinum containing cancer drugs represent another important platinum emission source into the environment. In 1996, a total emission of 14.2 kg of platinum from German hospitals and 187.2 kg from automobile catalytic converters, was, estimated by Kümmerer et al. (1999). As mentioned previously, dental alloys containing PGEs seem to be the most important source for triggering individual urinary platinum excretion (Begerow & Dunemann 1999).

Platinum and rhodium are also lost during the oxidation of ammonia used in nitric acid production, as reported by Nilsen et al. (2001) who pointed out that platinum undergoes a chemical transport reaction from the high- to low-temperature zone in oxidizing environments. Ammonia itself does not play a significant role as a chemical transport agent for platinum. Moreover, their mass spectrometric experiments identified  $Pt^+$ ,  $PtO^+$  and  $PtO_2^+$  as a Ptcontaining gaseous species. In another experiment conducted by Nowak (1966) it has been shown that platinum-based ammonia oxidation catalysts undergo surface alteration and erosion during the production process. He also suggested that volatilization of platinum by oxidation contributes significantly to catalyst losses during this process. Yuantao & Zhengfen (1999) reported that volatile oxides of platinum, palladium, and rhodium (PtO<sub>4</sub>, PdO and RhO<sub>2</sub>, respectively) are formed on the catalyst's surface during nitric acid production by the oxidation of ammonia. However, platinum is lost during ammonia oxidation due to mechanisms involving erosion of the platinum by a species other than oxygen and the rearrangement of the surface followed by mechanical erosion. Skelland (1974) assumed that the metal loss was due to a chemical reaction followed by transport of gaseous  $PtO_2$  away from the surface.

#### 2.3 Geochemical Behaviour of PGE in Environmental Compartments

#### 2.3.1 PGE in the Atmosphere

The end of the vehicle exhaust pipe is in direct contact with the atmosphere. Not only are vehicle fuel combustion products related, but also other products such as particles from the catalytic converter are thus directly released into the atmosphere. Since oxidation catalytic converters operate in the temperature range from 500°C to 1000°C (mid-bed temperature), it is reasonable to expect some loss of platinum as PtO<sub>2</sub> vapour (Balgord 1973) that would undergo decomposition and subsequent condensation into a finely dispersed platinum aerosol as the exhaust cools. The formation of possible soluble PtCl<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup>, PdCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, or RhCl<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup> (RhCl<sub>3</sub>) organic complexes with carbonyl compounds or 'colloidal PGE' could be favoured at high temperatures and humidities that may be reached in the catalyst and explain the high release of soluble forms (Palacios et al. 2000a). Palladium and particularly rhodium can form carbonyl compounds to which the noble metals are bound through oxygen, sulphur, nitrogen, or phosphorus (Giandomenico 1996).

# 2.3.1.1 Relationship between Grain Sizes, Seasonal Variations, and PGE Concentration in the Atmosphere

Most experiments on the relationship between PGE concentration in dust samples and grain size analysis showed that PGE are attached to the fine grain fraction (Wei & Morrison 1994a; Artelt et al. 1999a; Zereini et al. 2001a). This fine grain fraction is of great interest since it contains high PGE concentrations and can be inhaled by humans. However, platinum has been shown to be emitted in the form of particles of aluminium oxide "wash coat", in which mainly Pt (0) is attached in addition to small amounts of oxidised PtO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed at the surface (Inacker & Malessa 1996; Schlögl et al. 1987) or in the form of particles containing sulphur (Rauch & Morrison 2000). The distribution of particle size in all conducted tests showed that the larger grain size fraction (> 10.2  $\mu$ m) was the dominating fraction (mean 66%) and the alveolar fraction (< 3.14  $\mu$ m) was represented by values between 11% and 36% (Artelt et al. 1999a). Moreover, particle sizes of 0.1-20  $\mu$ m contained platinum varying between 43 and 88 ng/m<sup>3</sup> (Inacker & Malessa 1996).

In road dust samples collected in 1984 and 1991 from Göteborg/Sweden, the concentration of platinum showed an increase in all fractions, whereby fraction less than 63  $\mu$ m

showed the highest platinum content amongst all other fractions (Wei & Morrison 1994a). An increase in palladium concentration from 1994 to 1997/1998 in road dust samples collected from Munich/Germany was demonstrated by Schuster et al. (1999). Furthermore, by comparing the years 1993 and 1994 with 1995 and 1996, an increase of airborne platinum concentrations was detected by Schierl (2000) in samples collected from Munich/Germany. Zereini et al. (2001a) pointed out that particle fractions between 4.7 and 5.8  $\mu$ m in diameter contained higher platinum concentrations than other fractions of aerosol samples collected from Frankfurt am Main/Germany. Meanwhile, Kanitsar et al. (2003) suggested that most of the platinum and palladium in aerosol samples collected from Vienna/Austria were present in the coarse aerosol mode (10-30  $\mu$ m) and the highest value was determined in the grain size fractions with AED (Aerodynamic Equivalent Diameter) between 1 and 2.15  $\mu$ m. In another study from Spain conducted by Gomez et al. (2001), platinum was associated with a wide range of particle diameters but in most cases was highest in the <0.39  $\mu$ m grain size fraction. Hill & Mayer (1977) pointed out that 80 % of the particles emitted from autocatalysts have a grain size greater than 125  $\mu$ m and only 20 % were fine grained.

Neither seasonal variations in platinum content determined in dust samples collected from Dortmund/Germany, nor preference for any particular grain size could be observed by Alt et al. (1993). Similarly, platinum showed no seasonal variations during one year (2 years in Gomez et al. 2002) of sampling conducted by Gomez et al. (2001), and rhodium as well. Weather and seasonal conditions had no influence on PGE concentration of dust samples collected by Tilch et al. (2000) from Berlin/Germany and by Schierl (2000) from Munich/Germany. On the contrary, Aboughalma & Stüben (2003) in a recent study concluded that PGE concentrations in road dust samples were higher in winter than in summer, which imply that PGE are subject of seasonal variations.

#### 2.3.1.2 Solubility of PGE Emitted from Autocatalysts

The exact PGE species emitted from car catalytic converters into the atmosphere remain unknown due to the lack of detailed experiments. Therefore, detailed experiments and accurate data on this subject are urgent. From published data it is not clear which species of PGE are emitted from autocatalysts. Nevertheless, Lustig et al. (1997) suggested that metallic platinum (~ 95% of the platinum in automobile exhaust) is insoluble and therefore not bioavailable for plant uptake. In the meantime, volatile platinum oxide (PtO<sub>2</sub>) might form at temperatures above  $450^{\circ}$ C (Albert 1992), which represents a common temperature in catalytic converters (Rauch & Morrison 2000). Platinum oxidizes at measurable rates for temperatures above  $\sim 800^{\circ}$ C producing volatile species such as PtO<sub>2</sub> (Alcock & Hooper 1960).

From solubility experiments with dust samples collected and two solutes (deionized water and simulate rain) from the UK, Jarvis et al. (2001) showed a significant difference in solubility of the three elements (platinum, palladium, and rhodium) in both solutes with 35% of the total palladium being soluble in rain at pH 3. A proportion of rhodium present in road dust was also suggested to be in an easily solublized form, while a smaller percentage of platinum can be readily dissolved. They also suggested that palladium was unlikely to be in the metallic form and for example may be present as chloride species. However, the chemical conversion must be a relatively rapid process. Jarvis et al. (2001) predicted that high solubility of palladium in road dust and the potentially adverse health effects are of serious environmental concern. Alt et al. (1993) also reported low solubility values for platinum extracted in diluted HCl (0.07 mol/L) from road dust samples collected from Dortmund/Germany.

The amounts of soluble PGE especially platinum, estimated in some experiments, varied widely. For example, Rühle et al. (1997) postulated 5% soluble platinum species of the total emitted platinum, whereas Hill and Mayer (1977) suggested approximately 10%. Higher values were estimated by Alt et al. (1993) who reported "soluble" platinum values between 30 and 43% (in dilute HCl) from the total platinum amount that was present in dust samples collected from Dortmund/Germany. Far lower amounts of about 1% soluble platinum from the total emitted amount were also estimated by Artelt et al. (1999b).

These findings indicate that the platinum emitted from catalytic converters has a low solubility. However, there is a great concern within the community of environmental scientists since the ever- increasing use of autocatalysts might lead to a wide dispersion of PGE into the environment emitted from automobiles fitted with a catalytic converter.

#### 2.3.2 PGE in the Pedosphere

After emission of PGE from autocatalysts, they are diffused into the atmosphere before deposition onto the ground surface. The concentrations of PGE in soil samples near highways or in inner cities decrease rapidly with increasing distance from the road and are dependent upon traffic density (Ely et al. 2001; Cicchella et al. 2003; Schäfer et al. 1999; Heinrich et al. 1996; Cubelic et al. 1997; Zereini et al. 1993; Zereini et al. 2001a). Zereini et al. (1997a)

reported that the highest PGE values in soil samples collected from Germany were encountered in the uppermost 40 mm. Furthermore, Schäfer et al. (1999) reported that the highest PGE concentrations have been found in the uppermost soil samples (0-20 mm) collected from Pforzheim/Germany in 1996, and were about four times higher than those collected in 1994. Similar trends have been reported by Zereini et al. (1993) who studied soil samples collected along the Highway A66 in Germany, and Cinti et al. (2002) who studied soil samples from Rome/Italy. However, platinum is suggested to be deposited as particles of different sizes on soil surface and has low mobility in soils (Zereini et al. 1993).

A positive correlation with other traffic-related heavy metals such as Ni, Cu, Zn, Pd, Cr, Sn, Co, Ce, and Y has been suggested by several authors, such as Ely et al. (2001), Whiteley & Murray (2003), Heinrich et al. (1996), and Schäfer & Puchelt (1998). Ely et al. (2001) pointed out that the coarser grain size fraction of the soils studied contained almost an order of magnitude lower abundance of platinum, palladium, and rhodium than the fine grained fraction. A transformation of emitted platinum may occur in the atmosphere, on roads, or in soils where it is deposited (Rauch & Morrison 2000; Wäber et al. 1996).

After deposition of emitted platinum onto the soil surface, soluble Pt-complexes might form due to bacteria present in soil (Brubaker et al. 1975). Platinum and palladium differ in their solubility whereas the latter is suggested to be more mobile in the environment than the former (Kothny 1977). Moreover, palladium can migrate either as a true solute or in colloidal form (Pogrebnyak et al. 1986; Wood & Vlassopoulos 1990; Fletcher et al. 1995). The transport of palladium in solution as PdCl4<sup>2-</sup> is clearly feasible in relatively acidic soils such as those found in a relatively humid forested environment (Fuchs & Rose 1974). Chloride concentration in a certain medium plays an important role in the transport of PGE. In the presence of suitable ligands such as Cl<sup>-</sup>, a certain amount of colloidal platinum has been found to be soluble (Nachtigall et al. 1996). However, at chloride concentrations of about 5 mg/l, Pd<sup>2+</sup> becomes the dominant dissolved species and the slight mobility of palladium can be achieved even at low chloride concentrations (Fuchs & Rose 1974).

The enrichment of platinum and palladium in the lower soil horizons (B and C), depletion in the A horizon, and transition horizons is suggested by Fuchs & Rose (1974) who mentioned that palladium may occur in the lattice of some clay minerals emphasizing the less noble, more reactive nature of palladium rather than platinum. Furthermore, Wood & Vlassopoulos (1990) concluded that soils have been found to be depleted in palladium relative to platinum, suggesting that palladium is preferentially mobilized in solution. However, platinum is suggested to be bound on the organic fraction of soils, sediments, and gully-pot sediments (Wei & Morrison 1994b; Fliegel 2003). A higher organic content of gully-pot sediments is suggested to result in a transformation of platinum from an inorganic to an organic bound species (Wei & Morrison 1994b). The oxidation and further transformation reactions in soil may generate PGE species that are available for plants. These platinum species are not soluble and strongly attached to soil, but can be remobilised with platinophile complexing agents, e.g. EDTA (Lustig et al. 1997).

A considerable proportion of PGE has also been found in sewage sludge samples collected from Karlsruhe/Germany by Schäfer et al. (1999) and from Boston Harbour/USA collected by Tuit et al. (2000). They found higher palladium than platinum concentrations suggesting additional palladium sources (not only traffic) and/or palladium reactivity than platinum.

#### 2.3.3 PGE in Living Organisms

Several experiments showed the influence of some PGE-compounds on animals. Sures et al. (2001) and Zimmermann et al. (2001) conducted experiments to determine the bioavailability and uptake of PGE by European eels and Zebra mussels. They reported that PGE are bioavailable and taken up by aquatic animals exposed to grounded catalyst's materials and road dust.

During the production or recycling of catalytic converters, workers are also exposed to elevated PGE concentrations in the surrounding media. Shaller et al. (1992) mentioned that employees exposed during these processes, showed far higher platinum concentration in their urine and blood compared to non-exposed individuals.

Respiratory hypersensitivities have been reported after inhalation of PGE (platinum, palladium, and rhodium) by motorway maintenance workers. Farago et al. (1998) determined the concentrations of platinum in blood and urine of precious metal workers, motorway maintenance workers, and Imperial College staff. The precious metal workers showed the highest platinum concentrations in their urine and blood amongst the examined persons.

#### 2.3.4 PGE in Plant Species

#### 2.3.4.1 Uptake Pathways of PGE by Different Plant Species

From the general point of view, metals present in soil, water, and the atmosphere are available for uptake by plants depending on the surrounding environment. Both essential (such as Zn, Cu, and Mn) as well as non-essential (such as Cd, Pb, and As) metals are normally taken up by roots. They can also be taken up by shoots when present in gaseous or ionic form. At present, PGE (especially platinum) are considered as non-essential metals (Wittke 2002). Prior to the introduction of autocatalysts there were several studies conducted on the uptake of PGE by plant roots. After the first catalytic converters had been introduced in 1974, Brubaker et al. (1975) suggested that the introduction of platinum containing autocatalysts provides a route whereby platinum could enter the environment.

Maier-Reiter & Sommer (1996) and Lustig et al. (1997) found that platinum was mainly located in the vegetative and not in the regenerative organs of the plants and were considered to be taken up through root system only. Messerschmidt et al. (1994) showed that platinum group elements were subject to uptake by plants via stomata. Furthermore, Lustig et al. (1997) mentioned that plants may take up platinum in above-ground parts by adsorption of airborne particles or by dust deposited on plants. Dongarra et al. (2003) studied pine needles (*Pinus pinea* L.) from the city of Palermo/Italy. In their plants, they observed concentrations ranging from 1 to 102  $\mu$ g/kg for platinum and from 1 to 45  $\mu$ g/kg for palladium, which have the same order of abundance observed in grass samples collected by Schäfer et al. (1995) and in leaves of plants studied by Hodge & Stallard (1986) collected near areas of intense traffic. PGE concentrations measured in pine needles (*Pinus pinea* L.) collected by Dongarra et al. (2003) from the city centre of Palermo/Italy were far higher than those occurring outside the town indicating a relationship between PGE concentration in plant samples and traffic density.

Verstraete et al. (1998) studied the uptake of [Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> by grass (*Lolium perenne*) cultivated on a sandy loam soil and cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) plants grown hydroponically. They concluded that grass (monocotyledonous) slightly and cucumber (dicotyledonous) plants strongly accumulated platinum. This was referred to the amount of platinum added to each
experiment or to the difference in plant species since dicotyledonous accumulate metals to higher extent than monocotyledonous plants.

Lustig et al. (1997) reported that maize (*Zea mays* L), radish (*Rephanus satvius* L.), potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), onion (*Allium cepa* L.), and broad beans (*Vicia faba* L.) took up less than 1% of platinum naturally present in the control soil (0.15-0.11  $\mu$ g/kg), whilst the same plants grown in soil treated with platinum containing tunnel dust took up slightly more platinum. Platinum was found in radish bulbs and in potato peel (treated and control) that contained higher platinum than the storage tissue. However, Lustig et al. (1997) concluded that the amount of platinum uptake is independent of the plant type, whereas Brenchley (1934) demonstrated that the responses to PdCl<sub>2</sub> were dependent on the plant species. The uptake of metals, both by roots and leaves, increases with increasing metal concentration in the external medium (Greger 1999).

#### 2.3.4.2 Accumulation of PGE in Different Plant Parts

After the uptake of metals by the root system of plants, they are transported via xylem to the other plant organs, mostly the aerial ones. The transport of metals from the external medium into the cell walls is a non-metabolic passive process driven by diffusion or mass flow (Marschner 1995). During their transportation through the plant, metals get bound largely on the cell walls, which explain why most of the metal taken up by plant roots is commonly found in the roots (90-75%) and smaller amounts are distributed in the shoot (Greger 1999).

However, platinum and palladium were mainly accumulated in plant roots (Sarwar et al. 1970; Malone et al. 1974; Kothny 1979; Pallas & Jones 1978; Rencz & Hall 1992; Ballach & Wittig 1996; Lustig et al. 1997), whilst other researchers mentioned that it was translocated and accumulated in tops (Farago et al. 1979; Farago & Parsons 1986a, 1994; Klueppel et al. 1998; Verstraete et al. 1998; Ballach et al. 2000).

In a study conducted by Farago et al. (1979) on the uptake of platinum and palladium by tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.), bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), and corn (*Zea mays* L.), there was some transfer to the noble metals to the leaves, and like platinum, palladium was deposited in plant roots. The transport of PGE to the upper organs of the plants is documented in a study conducted by Pallas & Jones (1978). From their results, they concluded that the roots of all species studied contained considerable amounts of platinum, and cauliflower (*Brassia oleracea*)

L.), radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.), and bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) showed uptake into the aerial parts. Furthermore, they found that platinum was accumulated in the cell wall of the roots which could be similar to hydroponic studies conducted by Malone et al. (1974) with corn plants exposed to palladium.

A limited transport of platinum to the shoots of poplar cuttings (*Populus maximowiczii* L.) was suggested by Ballach & Wittig (1996). After six weeks exposure of poplars to 34.8  $\mu$ g/l of platinum chloride in the nutrient medium, they pointed out that the accumulation of platinum in roots exceeded that of lead, and that platinum was bound even more strongly to cell walls than lead. Moreover, platinum was translocated to upper plant parts to a lower extent than lead. Older leaves of poplar cuttings studied by Ballach (1995) showed very low platinum levels (31.4  $\mu$ g/kg) indicating a very small transport in the xylem.

From the above mentioned studies, it is clear that PGE were mainly accumulated in plant roots and a transport to the shoots was also considered. Conversely, Sarwar et al. (1970) studied the uptake of palladium by Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis* L.) grown in the nutrient solutions at different ambient pH with fixed amount of palladium chloride in the nutrient medium. They found 16  $\mu$ g/g of palladium in roots but no traces of this metal were detected in leaves of Kentucky bluegrass. Moreover, platinum was accumulated in the roots but was not translocated to the tops of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) studied by Farago & Parsons (1994), tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) and bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) plants studied by Farago et al. (1979), South African grass (*Setaria verticillata*) studied by Farago & Parsons (1986a, 1986b), cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) studied by Verstraete et al. (1998), and grass (*Lolium multiflorum*) studied by Klueppel et al. (1998).

There are no detailed studies on the accumulation of PGE by the different plant organs. A plant may accumulate high or low amounts of metals depending on which plant organ is analyzed (Greger 1999). Verstraete et al. (1998) indicated that the stem has a significantly higher platinum concentration than the leaves, but roots of grass (*Lolium perenne*) and cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) plants accumulated the highest concentrations of this metal. In another study conducted by Alt et al. (2002) the palladium content in different parts of endive (*Cichorium endivia* L.) ranged from 7 ng/g and to 17 ng/g (fresh weight), whereas its concentration of edible parts was only determined to be 8.7 ng/g (fresh weight), confirming the uptake of this element.

#### 2.3.4.3 Phytotoxicity of PGE on Plant Species

Since it has been demonstrated that platinum-complexes had marked phytotoxicological effects on plants, there has been interest in the possible effects of PGE on different plant species. Hydroponic experiments conducted on several plant species grown in nutrient media demonstrated the phytotoxicity of PGE compounds on plants. Responses of plants to PGE compounds depend not only on the plant species but also on PGE complexes applied and their concentration in the nutrient solution. Low concentration of PGE complexes in the nutrient solution stimulated the growth of plants (Sarwar et al. 1970; Farago & Parsons 1986a; 1986b).

The phytotoxicity of palladium (II) chloride on plants has been demonstrated in studies conducted by Coupin (1901) and Brenchley (1934). The latter demonstrated that the responses of the crop plants to PdCl<sub>2</sub> were dependent on the plant species.

The relationship between phytotoxic symptoms and the metal concentration in the nutrient solution was shown in experiments conducted by Farago et al. (1979). They showed that there was evidence of chlorosis and a slowing of growth at 0.5 mg/l and 2.5 mg/l platinum concentrations in the nutrient medium, whereas little growth was apparent at 5.0 mg/l and roots were yellow and stunted. In addition, there was no growth, severe yellowing and stunting of roots, and necrotic spots on the lower leaves of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.), bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), and corn (*Zea mays* L.) at higher platinum levels (30 mg/l). At palladium levels between 0.5 and 2.5 mg/l, the plants showed drop off in yield and similar effects were found with platinum treated plants although less drastic than palladium.

In another experiment conducted by Pallas & Jones (1978) there was a relationship between the metal concentration, plant species, and phytotoxic symptoms. The uptake of platinum by radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.), cauliflower (*Brassia oleracea* L.), snapbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.), sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.), pea (*Pisum sativum* L.), tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.), bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.), broccoli (*Brassia oleracea* L.), and turnips (*Brassia rapa* L.), which were treated with PtCl<sub>4</sub> at different concentrations (0.057, 0.57, 5.7 mg/l Pt) and grown hydroponically in a growth chamber, was examined. From their results, they showed that the dry weights were significantly reduced in tomato, bell pepper, and turnip tops as well as in radish roots at the 5.7 mg/l treatment level. After treatment with 5.7 mg/l platinum, the buds and immature leaves of most species became chlorotic and the total Mn content decreased in turnip tops. The addition of 2920  $\mu$ g PdCl<sub>2</sub> per tray did not affect the growth of Kentucky bluegrass adversely (Sarwar et al. 1970). Furthermore, it has been shown that palladium stimulated the growth of Kentucky bluegrass in concentrations of PdCl<sub>2</sub> up to 2000  $\mu$ g per tray, but it was toxic at 115,000 and 575,000  $\mu$ g causing the plants to die after one week and after two days, respectively. Endive plants that were grown in nutrient solution containing 100  $\mu$ g Pd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> at pH 8, showed extreme stress symptoms after two days of treatment where water uptake was reduced and the leaves began to be whitish (Alt et al. 2002).

Hamner (1942) reported that thin sections of leaves showed a thickening of the walls of the leaf tissue, fewer intercellular spaces, more closed stomata, and greater density of protoplasm in the case of the plants which had received the platinum chloride. He also concluded that bean plants treated with platinum chloride were inhibited in growth, had smaller leaf area, higher osmotic pressure, low transpiration rate, resisted wilting much longer than controls, and were less succulent. Tomato plants were inhibited in growth, had chlorotic lower leaves, and resisted wilting longer than controls. Low levels of platinum stimulated water loss of bell pepper and tomato, whereas higher levels decreased water loss within 2 or 3 days.

Metal species and the oxidation state of a metal in its salt applied also play a role. The different PG-metals and their oxidation states have been shown to possess different influences on the plant species. Tso et al. (1973) reported that tobacco (*Nicotiana* L.) plants treated with rhodium chloride showed a slight increase in weight over controls (+0.7%), whereas decreases in weight for other PGE were noted in the order Ru, Ir (-1.9%), Pd (-13.7%), Pt (-21.2%), and Os (-22.7%).

A comparison between the influences of two different oxidation states of the same metal on a plant species has been shown in some studies. Farago & Parsons (1983a, 1983b, 1983c, 1986a, 1986b) showed that Pt (II) was far more toxic than Pt (IV), whereas Rh (III) was relatively non-toxic to *Eichhornia crassipes* and *Setaria verticillata* compared with the other platinum metals. Platinum (II) complexes have been reported to suppress the growth of corn (*Zea mays* L.) roots (Ivanov et al. 1976).

At low concentration levels in the nutrient solution, PGE have tonic effect on plants. For example, low concentration of Rh (III) was not toxic to tomato, bean, and corn but stimulated the growth of tomato and appeared to have a tonic effect (Farago et al. 1979, 1986a, 1986b) as well as for tobacco plants (Tso et al. 1973). Furthermore, platinum as well as rhodium

complexes stimulated the vegetative reproduction in the water hyacinth studied at lower platinum levels (Parsons 1982). Farago & Parsons (1986b) and Bornique et al. (1976) found similar results with *Allium sativum*. Palladium has also been shown to stimulate the growth of Kentucky bluegrass at low concentrations, but it was phytotoxic at higher concentrations (Sarwar et al. 1970; Farago & Parsons 1986a).

#### 2.3.4.4 Species and Binding of PGE in Plant Material

Studies on PGE species in plant materials are rather rare. Nevertheless, some studies were conducted by Messerschmidt et al. (1994, 1995) who found only one platinum species in the molecular weight range of 160-200 kD in native (untreated) grass and several platinum species in platinum-treated grass extracts. They recognized no species in the low molecular weight range (1-2 kD) of their native grass. Klueppel et al. (1998) mentioned that platinum in native grass appears mainly bound to a protein of high molecular mass and in platinum treated cultures, different fractions of low molecular masses dominated. Recently, Weber (2004) pointed out that lettuce grown in palladium treated soil contained low amounts of palladium, which was subdivided into at least 20-25 palladium species differing considerably in their molecular weight.

Alt et al. (2002) found 40% of the total amount of palladium in the cytosol of endive plants (soluble high molecular weight fraction >10 kDa) which was similar to respective values for cadmium in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) by Guenther et al. (2000) and 60% in the insoluble pellet (residue). Farago & Parsons (1986b) observed that 35% insoluble platinum in grass (*Setaria verticillata*) was associated with  $\alpha$ -cellulose and lignin as well as 9.5% associated with soluble pectate. A considerable proportion of platinum (14%) was found to be associated with proteins and amino acids, which could mean that enzymes may be inactivated to a high degree by platinum. Farago & Parsons (1983a) examined platinum-treated roots of *Eichhornia crassipes* by an electron microscope and concluded that platinum was accumulated in the epidermal region and lesser amounts were found in the cortex. In the water hyacinth, the cell wall acts as an ion exchange column trapping most of the platinum, though some was found bound to water soluble pectates (Farago & Parsons 1983a; 1983b).

# **Chapter III**

Methodology

# 3.1 Experimental Design and PGE Exposure

# **3.1.1 Field Experiments (Catalyst's Powders)**

### 3.1.1.1 Introduction

The uptake of PGE by plant roots has been postulated by several authors from their experiments which were mainly conducted in growth chambers or glass houses under controlled experimental conditions. Field experiments on the uptake of PGE by crop plants under "natural" conditions are rare. Such experiments near roads are thus of a special interest because they provide a "real" estimation of environmental risk of PGE emissions on plant species that grow near highways or roads with high traffic density. However, the present study deals with the uptake pathways, translocation, and accumulation of PGE in the different organs of two crop plants grown under field (barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.)) and of those plants grown under greenhouse controlled conditions (potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.)). It has been reported that heavy metals and PGE are subject to uptake by above-ground organs of plants (mainly grass) collected near roads with high traffic density (Helmers et al. 1994; Helmers & Mergel 1997; Schäfer et al. 1998; Djingova et al. 2003; Dongarra et al. 2003; Lesniewska et al. 2004a).

Both essential (such as Cu, Zn, Fe, P, S, and Mn) and non-essential (Cd, Pb, Hg, Ag, Pt, and Pd) nutrient elements are normally taken up via plant roots and to a certain extent via plant shoots depending on the surrounding environment. Field experiments were conducted to define the uptake pathways of PGE by the crop plants in the present study. The uptake of metals via above-ground plant organs depends on metal concentration in the atmosphere. The PGE concentrations depend on the traffic density since they are emitted from car catalytic converters. Field experiments under "natural" conditions were conducted at two locations. One of the locations is of high and the other of low traffic density. The PGE uptake via aerial parts and their accumulation in organs of crop plants grown at both sites was compared.

The first site was chosen close to the German Highway A5 between Karlsruhe and Frankfurt/Main (SW Germany). The distance between the highway edge and the experimental field is 11 m. The field area, which belongs to Landesamt für Umweltschutz - Baden-Württemberg in Karlsruhe/Germany, is ca. 10 m wide and 25 m long. According to the

Regierungspräsidium/Karlsruhe (2001), the daily traffic density was estimated at ca. 89,500 vehicle/day. Therefore, high amounts of PGE emitted from autocatalysts are expected at this site because PGE determined in grass samples by Wäber et al. (1996), dusts, and soil samples studied by work groups such as Zereini et al. (1993; 1998; 2001a; 2001b) and Verstraete et al. (1998) indicated elevated concentrations along roads with high traffic density.

The second site, which lies in the botanical garden of the University of Karlsruhe/Germany, was chosen as a location without direct influence of traffic. It lies about 200 m from a main street (Adenauer Ring) in Karlsruhe/Germany and is surrounded by high trees, walls, and buildings.

As previously mentioned, the uptake of macro- as well as micronutrients by plants occurs via the roots which represent the principal uptake pathway. The PGE supplied for uptake by the crop plants barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) via roots was added to the soils as fine-pulverized unused autocatalyst's powders. The PGE concentrations in these powders as provided by the autocatalyst's producer, are listed below in **Table (1)**. The first powder ( $CAT_{Pt}$ ) contains a high concentration of platinum in addition to a lesser amount of rhodium. The second powder ( $CAT_{Pd}$ ) contains a high concentration of palladium, whilst rhodium content is nearly similar to that of the first powder ( $CAT_{Pt}$ ).

Element/Powder	CAT <sub>Pt</sub> Powder	<i>CAT<sub>Pd</sub></i> Powder
Platinum (µg/kg)	$2410\pm30$	<40
Palladium (µg/kg)	< 40	$2660\pm30$
Rhodium (µg/kg)	$602 \pm 7$	614 ± 7
Ratio of Pt/Rh and Pd/Rh	4	4

**Table** (1): PGE concentration in the two autocatalyst's powders used in the present field experiments.

# 3.1.1.2 Field Experiment with Barley

The first field experiment on the uptake of PGE emitted from catalytic converters by barley plants was conducted at both sites using the autocatalyst's powders listed in **Table (1)**. At the highway site, 15 pots (volume 20 l) were filled with soil from this site which were previously removed (upper 250 mm), homogenized on a plastic sheet (**Picture 1; Plate 1; Appendix A**) and filled into the pots before mixing the catalyst's powders with the pot soils. Furthermore, soil

from a barley field (Owner Mr. Thomas Bacher, Forst/Germany, **Picture 2, Plate 1; Appendix A**) which lies far away (ca. 1500 m) from the same highway was removed (upper 250 mm), homogenised, and filled into 14 plastic pots (volume 20 l). Thereafter, these pots were transported to the botanical garden site. At the highway site 5 pots were treated with  $CAT_{Pt}$  (HW-Pt) and 5 pots with  $CAT_{Pd}$  (HW-Pd) catalyst's powder in addition to 5 pots that remained untreated as controls (HW-C, control treatments). The same was applied at the botanical garden site (**Table 2**) but with only 4 pots as control treatments of the same soil from the barley field. The experimental design at both sites is illustrated below in **Figure (2**).

The upper 100 mm of the soil in each pot was removed and placed on a paper sheet (except control pots), as shown in **Figure (3)**. Then  $10 \pm 0.02$  g of fine grained ( $\leq 10 \mu$ m) unused autocatalyst powder was added and homogenized by hand mixing it with each pot soil (**Figure 4**) and then refilled again into the pots.



**Figure (2):** Approximate experimental design of the field experiments with crop plants at the highway (left) and the botanical garden site (right).

Twenty five selected barley seeds (*Hordeum Vulgare* L., var. Basadena) were distributed along five rows of each pot surface (surface area of a pot =  $0.06 \text{ m}^{-2}$ ). The number of barley seeds per pot was calculated using the recommendations of the ZG-Raiffeisen, Karlsruhe/Germany, where the sowing density given is 340 seeds per square meter. The barley seeds in the treated and untreated pots were then covered with a 20 mm thick soil layer and finally irrigated with 5 l of tap water. All plants were irrigated twice weekly with 3 l of tap water except during the rainy weeks (**Picture 3; Plate 1; Appendix A**).

**Table (2):** Treatment type, label, as well as number of pots from the field experiment with barley (and later lettuce) at both locations. (\*) Only three lettuce plants because one plant died.

Locality	Highway			Botanical Garden		
Treatment Type	CAT <sub>Pt</sub>	CAT <sub>Pd</sub>	Control	$CAT_{Pt}$	CAT <sub>Pd</sub>	Control
Label	HW-Pt	HW-Pd	HW-C	BG-Pt	BG-Pd	BG-C
Number of Pots	5	5	5	5	5	4(3*)



Two fertilizers were prepared to support plant growth: magnesium and phosphorus fertilizers prepared from magnesium sulphate and di-ammonium hydrogenphosphate, respectively. Fertilization was applied during the months of May and June 2002 for the whole

growth period of barley. All chemicals used were of analytical grade (Merck, Darmstadt/Germany). For dewatering of the pot's soil, 12 holes (diameter = 8 mm) were drilled on the base of each pot. Field experiments with barley were conducted from March  $29^{th}$  2002 until harvesting on August  $28^{th}$ , 2002.

## 3.1.1.3 Field Experiment with Lettuce

After harvesting the barley in August 2002, the pots of these experiments were left standing in the field until July 28<sup>th</sup> 2003 to allow PGE present in the soil of the pots (initially added as catalyst's powders) to form soluble species. Rauch & Morrison (2000) and Wäber et al. (1996) suggested that the transformation of emitted platinum may occur in the air, on the road, or in the soil where it is deposited. Furthermore, Brubaker et al. (1975) pointed out that bacteria are able to methylate soluble platinum-complexes which could lead to the formation of toxic complexes. Lustig et al. (1997) mentioned that oxidation and further transformation reactions in soil may generate species available for plant uptake. They also added that platinum species thus enabling easier uptake by roots. Accordingly, PGE present in the autocatalyst's powders, which were added to pot soils in the field experiment with barley, are therefore expected to form bioavailable species in soils by bacteria or other processes.

For these reasons, field experiments with lettuce were conducted in these pots. One (in some pots 2) lettuce plant (*Lactuca sativa* L., var. Estelle) was planted in each pot (**Picture 4**; **Plate 1**; **Appendix A**). However, because the Summer of 2003 was relatively warm, plants were irrigated so that soils at both sites were kept moist by daily irrigation with 3 l of tap water during the warm days. The field grown lettuce plants were not fertilized. Field experiments on PGE uptake by lettuce were conducted from 28<sup>th</sup> July 2003 until harvesting on 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2003.

# **3.1.2 Greenhouse Experiments (Soluble PGE Chloride Salts)**

# 3.1.2.1 Introduction

To exclude the uptake of PGE via aerial parts of crop plants and make it exclusively via roots, experiments under controlled conditions without atmospheric deposition were required. For this purpose, the uptake of PGE that were supplied as soluble chloride salts were conducted

in a growth chamber located in the Forschungszentrum Umwelt (FZU), University of Karlsruhe/Germany.

The crop plants; potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.), and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) were grown in hydroponic cultures in the growth chamber with lamps providing a light intensity of 250  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, relative humidity of 70% and day/night cycle of 16 h/8 h, and at temperatures of 18 and 15 °C, respectively. The hydroponic medium was a diluted and modified Murashige & Skoog (1962) solution (MS) containing the macro- and micronutrients listed below in **Table (3)**. During the first 3 weeks of the experiments with potato and barley as well as during the whole course of the experiment with lettuce, one-half strength MS hydroponic medium was applied. The pH of the nutrient solution was adjusted to 5.7 using diluted HCl and NaOH during the course of all hydroponic experiments.

Macroelements	Symbol	Conc. [mg/l]	Microelements	Symbol	Conc. [mg/l]
Ammonium nitrate	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	1650			
Potassium nitrate	KNO3	1900	Potassium iodide	KI	0.83
Magnesium sulfate hyptahydrate	MgSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	370	Boric acid	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>	6.2
Calcium chloride dihydrate	CaCl <sub>2</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub> O	440	Manganese sulfate tetrahydrate	MnSO <sub>4</sub> .4H <sub>2</sub> O	16.9
Potassium phosphate (monobasic)	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	170	Zinc sulfate hyptahydrate	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	8.6
Iron source (mg/L)			Sodium molybdate dihydrate	NaMoO <sub>4</sub> .2H <sub>2</sub>	0.25
Disodium EDTA	Na <sub>2</sub> EDTA	37.3	Cupric sulfate pentahydrate	CuSO <sub>4</sub> .5H <sub>2</sub> O	0.025
Ferrous sulfate	FeSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	27.8	Cobalt chloride hexahydrate	CoCl <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	0.025

Table (3): Composition of the MS nutrient solution modified after Murashige & Skoog (1962).

3.1.2.2 High versus Low Platinum and Palladium Concentrations in the Hydroponic Medium

There is no data available on the proportion of PGE present in natural soils and bioavailable for plant uptake. Nevertheless, several experiments were conducted on the uptake and phytotoxicity of PGE soluble salts on different plant species grown in hydroponic media. Michenfelder et al. (1988) reported that visible phytotoxic effects occur at PGE concentrations in

the nutrient medium that were higher than 2.5 mg/l. Furthermore, Farago & Parsons (1986b) conducted experiments on the effects of platinum and rhodium applied at different levels of concentration to grass. They concluded that at 0.5 mg/l levels, platinum and rhodium showed tonic effects, but at a concentration of 2.5 mg/l and higher, the noble metals were phytotoxic. In the present study two different platinum and palladium concentrations were chosen. One high (2000  $\mu$ g/l) and one low (300  $\mu$ g/l) noble metal concentration in the hydroponic medium were added to compare their uptake via roots only, translocation into crop plant shoots, accumulation by the organs of different crop plant species, and their phytotoxicity on the plant species under study.

The uptake of heavy metals by monocotyledonous plants is lower than dicotyledonous plants. Verstraete et al. (1998), who after experiments with grass and cucumber plants concluded that the dicotyledonous plant (*Cucumis sativus*) accumulated platinum to a higher extent than the monocotyledonous plant (*Lolium perenne*). Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) are dicotyledonous plants, whereas barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) is a monocotyledonous plant. Therefore, potato (I) and barley were exposed to a high platinum and palladium concentration (2000  $\mu$ g/l) to compare differences in uptake, translocation, and accumulation between a dicotyledonous and a monocotyledonous plant. Furthermore, to compare two dicotyledonous plants, potato (II) and lettuce were exposed to a low (300  $\mu$ g/l) concentration of platinum and palladium as soluble chloride salts, which were added to the hydroponic medium. To differentiate between the two treatments applied to potato plants, experiments with potato were labelled as follows: Potato (I) which was treated with a high-concentration (2000  $\mu$ g/l) and Potato (II) which was treated with a low-concentration (300  $\mu$ g/l) of platinum and palladium chloride salts.

Platinum and palladium were added separately to the nutrient medium as chloride complexes (PtCl<sub>4</sub> and PdCl<sub>2</sub>), which were supplied by Alfa Aeser, Johnson Matthey GmbH. Karlsruhe/Germany, as Specpure<sup>®</sup> AAS-Standard solution (1000  $\pm$  2 mg/l in 20% HCl). After the addition of platinum and palladium chlorides to the nutrient solution, the pH was adjusted to 5.7 and the solution was left over night to react. Prior to the transfer of the nutrient medium into the pots, the pH was again adjusted to 5.7.

Four pots were treated with  $PtCl_4$  (Pt-treatments), 4 with  $PdCl_2$  (Pd-treatments), and 4 controls remained untreated during the experiments with potato (II), barley, and lettuce, whereas potato (I) only two pots of each treatment were used. Nutrient medium in all pots was

continuously aerated using an aquarium pump to circulate the solution and was changed weekly. Furthermore, the nutrient solution was replenished once time every week so that the roots of all plants, but not all potato tubers, remained submerged and the pH was adjusted.

## 3.1.2.2.1 High-Level Platinum and Palladium Treatments (2000 µg/l)

#### **3.1.2.2.1.1** Growth Chamber Experiment with Potato (I)

Potato tubers with a single sprout and of equal size ((Solanum tuberosum L., var. Christa) obtained Landesanstalt Pflanzenbau Forchheim. from the für Saatbauamt Donaueschingen/Germany were germinated in darkness, then planted in small plastic pots filled with peat in a greenhouse, and irrigated with tap water until the plantlet height was about 100 mm. Before transferring plantlets to the growth chamber, similar plantlets (in terms of growth) were chosen and their roots were cleaned from peat under tap water. Thereafter, the plantlets were transferred to cylindrical plastic pots (one plantlet per pot) which were filled with 3 l of a MS nutrient solution (see Table 3). Before transferring the plantlets into the growth chamber, the nutrient medium stood for one week in the growth chamber to allow sufficient aeration of the medium. The exposure of potato plants to 2000 µg/l platinum and palladium chlorides started after day 42 of planting the plants in the growth chamber. Nutrient medium containing 2000 µg/l PGE salts was changed twice (i.e. the exposure duration was for two weeks).

#### 3.1.2.2.1.2 Growth Chamber Experiment with Barley

Barley seeds (*Hordeum Vulgare* L., var. Pasadena) obtained from LUFA (Staatliche Landwirtschaftliche Untersuchungs- und Forschungsanstalt Augustenberg, Karlsruhe/Germany) were germinated in darkness in a box filled with styrofoam and watered with a diluted MS medium. Selected plantlets (20 plantlets per pot) of equal size and length were transported to 3 l plastic pots filled with MS medium one week before. After planting in the pots, plants were grown for 40 days before exposure to platinum and palladium chloride complexes for an additional 28 days.

### 3.1.2.2.2 Low-Level Platinum and Palladium Treatments (300 µg/l)

#### 3.1.2.2.2.1 Growth Chamber Experiment with Potato (II)

At the low-concentration levels (i.e.300  $\mu$ g/l) of platinum and palladium, the same procedures in terms of tuber source, germination, planting in the growth chamber, and nutrient medium were applied as shown in the first experiment with potato (**I**). The exposure of potato plants to 300  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chlorides started after day 33 of planting the plantlets in the growth chamber. Nutrient medium containing 300  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts was changed four times. The total exposure duration was 28 days.

#### 3.1.2.2.2.2 Growth Chamber Experiment with Lettuce

Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L., var. Estelle) plantlets (80 mm height) were obtained from Fa. Postweiler, Karlsruhe/Germany and after removing the peat from the roots using tap water, they were planted in plastic cylindrical pots containing 3 l of MS medium (one-half strength during the whole course of the experiment). After two weeks of planting in the growth chamber, the lettuce plants were exposed to PtCl<sub>4</sub> and PdCl<sub>2</sub> for a period of 31 days. During this period, the nutrient solutions containing platinum and palladium chloride complex were changed four times.

#### 3.1.2.2.3 Visible Phytotoxic Symptoms after Treatment with PtCl<sub>4</sub> and PdCl<sub>2</sub>

Visible phytotoxic symptoms were manifested during the course of all experiments. The physiological situation of all treated and untreated plants was documented continuously. Changes in leaf colour, leaf area, root properties, and plant growth were documented using photographs. Selected photographs are shown in **Plates 2 and 3; Appendix A.** 

## 3.1.3 Determination of Plant Physiological Parameters

In addition to the manifestation of the phototoxic symptom of the greenhouse plants, further physiological parameters were determined to describe the effects of PGE application on the crop plants. Gas exchange and fluorescence measurements, pigment content, leaf area, and fresh and dry weight were determined as shown below.

## 3.1.3.1 Photosynthetic Gas Exchange and Transpiration

Photosynthetic gas exchange and transpiration were measured by Porometer (LCA-4, Analytical Development Co. Lmt., ADC, Hoddesdon, UK). All measuring parameters were automatically saved on a LCA-4 data card every 30 s. A measuring sequence consisted of:

- 5 minutes in darkness (darkened with black felt cloth) dark respiration.
- 30 minutes (15 minutes for barley) under white light (halogen light source 50 W, PAR =  $1100 \mu mol/m^2/s$  at leaf surface) net photosynthesis.
- The following parameters displayed on Porometer were selected for describing the state of health of the plants:

#### CO<sub>2</sub> gas exchange (µmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s)

This gives (a) the amount of  $CO_2$  released during mitochondrial respiration in the dark and (b) the amount of  $CO_2$  uptake during the light period, which represents the total of photosynthetic  $CO_2$  uptake and  $CO_2$  released by mitochondrial respiration and photorespiration (= net photosynthesis). With increasing light intensity, net photosynthesis rises up to a saturation maximum. The height of this maximum depends on the growth conditions of the plants (it is low for plants grown under shade and high for plants grown under full sun light). The highest values for Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) under cloudless sky in high summer months in Karlsruhe are usually around 1700  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s (Buschmann 2002, personal communication). Under light conditions chosen in the present experiments, the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake due to the photosynthetic activity is much higher than CO<sub>2</sub> release due to the two respiration processes and the net photosynthesis being saturated.

#### Transpiration (mmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s)

The transpiration rate gives the amount of water released by leaves. Irrespective of the opening state of the stomata, this water is mainly released through the opened stomatal pores and to a smaller amount by the total leaf surface (cuticular transpiration). The transpiration rate depends on the opening of the stomata (see stomatal conductance below), the availability of water in the leaf, and the capacity for water uptake of the air surrounding the leaf.

#### Stomatal conductance (mmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s)

The stomatal conductance describes the opening state of the stomata. Low values of the stomatal conductance (mmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s) indicate that stomata are supposed to be closed, whilst increasing values describe open stomata. The stomatal conductance is expressed as a value, which controls (either restricts or allows) the transpiration rate.

From each plant (treated und untreated), 4-5 selected lettuce (4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> leaf from the apex), barley (flag leaves), and potato (leaves from the 3<sup>rd</sup> apical branch below the apex of the main branch) were used for the photosynthetic gas exchange measurements. The measurements using a Porometer were conducted after 56, 25, 32, and 35 days from planting of potato (I), barley, potato (II), and lettuce, respectively, in the growth chamber. All measurements were conducted in the greenhouse under the following experimental conditions:

#### **PAR:** 1100 µmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s

Leaf chamber mass flow [µmol/s]: 250 (Potato I and lettuce), 300 (Potato II), 150 (barley).

Leaf area [cm<sup>2</sup>]: 6.25 for all plants except barley (was calculated and implemented in the Porometer software before measuring).

#### 3.1.3.2 Chlorophyll Fluorescence

The chlorophyll fluorescence induction kinetics were measured with a portable two-wave length Fluorometer (CFM-636973, Institute of Atomic Physics, Technical University of Budapest/Hungary). The fluorescence measurements have been conducted on leaf discs which were punched out from the plant leaves by a cork borer (diameter 6-8 mm). Prior to measurement, the leaf discs were pre-darkened for 15 minutes. Fluorescence data were collected for 3-5 minutes. The intensity of the excitation light was set to 4.5 - 3.0 mW. Data collected by the fluorometer were converted into an Excel worksheet on an external computer. The following parameters were chosen for describing the state of health of plants:

Rfd: ratio fluorescence decrease (Lichtenthaler et al. 1983).

The Rfd values describe the potential strength of photosynthetic light quanta conversion. It is directly related to the function of the photosynthetic electron transport around photosystem II

(for review, see e.g. Strasser et al. 2000). Some authors call it a "Vitality index" which is directly correlated with photosynthetic  $CO_2$  fixation under open stomata (Babani & Lichtenthaler 1996). Rfd values lie usually in the range between 0 and 5, where 0 means photosynthetic inhibition and 5 represents a maximum photosynthetic quantum conversion. From each plant (treated und untreated), one leaf disc from: 4-5 selected lettuce (4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> leaf from the apex), barley (flag leaves), and potato (leaves from the 3<sup>rd</sup> apical branch below the apex) on which the photosynthetic gas exchange was previously applied, were separated and used for leaf pigment extraction.

## 3.1.3.3 Content of Chlorophylls and Carotenoids

#### 3.1.3.3.1 Chlorophylls and Carotenoids Determined in Acetone Extract

Chlorophyll *a* and *b* and the sum of carotenoids (in green leaves mainly: Lutein, betacarotene, violaxanthin, antheraxanthin, and neoxanthin) were determined from acetone extract. Leaf discs were punched out from the plant leaves using a cork borer (diameter 6-8 mm) and immediately frozen at  $-24^{\circ}$ C. The extract was prepared with acetone as solvent using a mortar or a micro dismembrator II (Braun Melsungen AG., Germany). The extract was filled up to a volume of 4 ml and then centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1500 rpm (Varifuge RF Inert, Heraeus Sepathech, Hanau/Germany) to get a clear solution.

Finally, the absorbance of the extract was measured by a two-beam spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, Lambda 2 UV/VIS Spectrophotometer) at the wave lengths 470, 644.8, 661.6, and 750 nm. The quantity of chlorophylls and carotenoids was calculated after Lichtenthaler (1987) and then expressed on a leaf area basis (mg/m<sup>2</sup>). The content of leaf pigments (chlorophylls and carotenoids) is a primary indicator for plants state of health since the leaf pigment guarantees the absorption of light energy necessary for photosynthesis. High amounts of carotenoids compared to chlorophylls are visualized as yellowish green leaves (e.g. very young leaves or older leaves under stress conditions). From each plant (treated und untreated), two leaf discs from: 4-5 selected lettuce (4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> leaf from the apex), barley (flag leaves), and potato (leaves from the 3<sup>rd</sup> apical branch below the apex), were separated and used for leaf pigment extraction.

#### 3.1.3.3.2 Chlorophylls Determined by SPAD Measurement

The Chlorophyll-meter (SPAD 502, Minolta Camera Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan) is a tool for non-destructive chlorophyll detection in intact plant leaves. From transmittance measurements in the red (650 nm) and near infrared light (940 nm) a value (called SPAD units), which correlates with the chlorophyll contents of the leaves (Richarson et al. 2002) was calculated.

From each crop plant (treated und untreated), the SPAD units of 5-10 selected leaves was determined. Ten to fifteen separate measurements from each leaf were conducted at several leaf positions and an average value was calculated.

#### 3.1.3.4 Leaf Area Index

The leaf area was determined on the same leaves used for photosynthetic gas exchange, pigment extraction, SPAD, and fluorescence measurements. This was applied by using a portable leaf area meter (Li 3000; Li-COR Inc., USA).

#### 3.1.3.5 Fresh and Dry Weight

The fresh and dry weights were determined by punching out two leaf discs using a cork borer (diameter 6-8 mm) from the plant leaf. The leaf discs were immediately weighed and thereafter air-dried at 90° C over night.

# **3.2 Sampling and Sample Preparation**

The roots of field grown plants were not considered in the present study due to the high concentration of PGE added to the soils as catalyst's powder which is difficult to remove from root surface by washing. Therefore, PGE concentration in roots of the field grown plants were not determined to avoid data misinterpretation.

To define the PGE accumulating organs, plants were divided into their different organs. The potato plants grown in the growth chamber were divided into leaves, leaf stalks, stems, tubers, and roots including stolons. The tubers of the growth chamber potatoes were not peeled due to their small size and the lesser amount of tubers obtained from the experiment with Potato I conducted with high platinum and palladium concentration (2000  $\mu$ g/l) in the nutrient solution.

The field grown barley plants were harvested in October 2001 and divided into 4 groups: Leaves, stalks, seeds, and kemps. Barley plants grown in the growth chamber were divided into shoots and roots only due to the short growth period. The shoots of the field grown lettuce plants were harvested in October 2003 and not subdivided into leaves and stems (the above-ground parts were used for the PGE determination), whereas those grown in the growth chamber were subdivided into leaves, stems, and roots.

All samples of field grown plants were carefully washed using distilled water in an ultrasonic path for 7 minutes to remove any surface soil or dust deposits and rinsed using bidistilled water. The ultrasonic washing step was not applied for greenhouse plants including roots, but were washed under tap water and rinsed with bi-distilled water. All samples were placed on a plastic net and were allowed to dry under room conditions for one day before freezing.

All plant samples collected from the field and growth chamber experiments were freeze dried. A test with non-experimental tubers was conducted to choose the suitable drying method. The freeze drying of plant samples was more suitable than air drying, especially in the case of potato tuber samples.

The freeze dried potato samples were ground in an agate mill for either 4 (leaves, leaf stalks, and tubers) or 7 minutes (stems). All barley samples were ground in agate mill for 6 minutes. The lettuce samples were ground for either 4 (leaves of field and growth chamber grown plants) or 6 minutes (stems of growth chamber plants). The grinding step of all plant samples was designed to grind all samples of the control treatments before the treated ones. The platinum treated plants were ground before the palladium treated plants. During the grinding of PGE treated plant samples, plant organs with expected low concentration were ground before those with expected high PGE concentrations. For example, potato organs were ground separately as follows: tubers, stems, leaves, leaf stalks, and finally roots. The agate mill was carefully cleaned and washed after grinding of each treatment and plant organ from the same treatment. This was done by grinding 3 ml quartz sand and washing using distilled water.

Since PGE concentrations in environmental samples are low, a pre-concentration step of PGE in plant samples was necessary. However, the finely ground plant samples were weighed into a glass beaker and ashed for 12 h at 250°C and 450°C for an additional 12 hours in a muffle oven. After a complete cooling the loss on ignition was calculated (**Table 1B to 5B; Appendix B**). During the ashing process the PGE are pre-concentrated, some matrix elements are eliminated and Pd is oxidized to the more soluble PdO (Dunn et al. 1989).

# **3.3** Analytical Methods of PGE Determination in Plant Samples

# 3.3.1 Microwave Digestion of the Plant Samples

About 0.5g to 1.0g of ashed plant sample was weighed into a 100 ml PTFE-Microwave (ETHOSplus 1600, MLS GmbH Leutkirch/Germany) vessel. After sample weighing, different spike solutions containing <sup>198</sup>Pt, <sup>105</sup>Pd, <sup>99</sup>Ru, and <sup>191</sup>Ir (Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge/USA) were added to the field grown plant samples. The spike addition to growth chamber plant samples was applied depending on the treatment type. This means a proper amount of platinum spike solution (<sup>198</sup>Pt) was added to the platinum treated plants and palladium spike (<sup>105</sup>Pd) was added to the palladium treated ones. This was applied for the aerial plant organs as well as the potato tubers of the growth chamber plants, whereby the root samples were not spiked due to the expected very high PGE concentration in these plant organs.

	Time (min)	Temperature (°C)	Power (W)
Microwave J	program Nr. 12		
Step 1	3	180	250
Step 2	1	180	0
Step 3	3	180	400
Step 4	5	180	0
Microwave J	program Nr. 14:		
Step 1	3	180	500
Step 2	2	180	0
Step 3	4	180	500
Step 4	2	180	0
Step 5	4	180	600
Step 6	1	180	0
Step 7	4	180	600
Step 8	45	-	0

Table (4): Operating settings of the microwave digestion procedure of the plant samples.

Thereafter, the microwave decomposition was applied by adding 5 ml HNO<sub>3</sub> (65 vol. %, sub-boiled, Merck, Darmstadt/Germany), 3 ml HCl (30 vol. %, s.p., Merck, Darmstadt/Germany) as well as 0.5 ml of the oxidative agent  $H_2O_2$  (30 vol. %, s.p., Merck, Darmstadt/Germany) to each plant sample. The microwave digestion of the plant samples was conducted by running the two microwave programs 12 and 14, as recommended by the producer for plant samples. The operating parameters of the microwave apparatus are listed in **Table (4)**. However, the field grown barley samples were decomposed by further addition of 0.250 ml HF before the microwave decomposition step to dissolve silicates present in these samples.

A microwave decomposition patch consisted of 9 samples and a blank, which was treated in the same manner as the plant samples. After each microwave decomposition patch, all vessels were cleaned by adding aqua regia HCl: HNO<sub>3</sub> (ratio = 1:3) and applying the two microwave programs 12 and 14 as listed in **Table (4)**. The cleaning step of the microwave vessels was repeated twice to guarantee clean vessels for the next microwave digestion of other plant samples. The microwave vessels were left to cool and degas before opening for filtration.

To avoid the so called "memory effect" in the microwave vessels, samples with expected low PGE concentrations, such as control treatments, were digested before the PGE-treated ones of the same experiment.

#### 3.3.2 Tellurium Co-Precipitation

After the microwave decomposition step, samples were filtrated using cellulose acetate filters (pore size 0.45  $\mu$ m, Sartorius AG, Göttingen/Germany) followed by evaporation near dryness on a hot plate and a further decomposition in HCl (30 vol. %, s.p., Merck, Darmstadt/Germany). The analytes were separated by the co-precipitation on Tellurium (Te plasma standard solution, Specpure<sup>®</sup>, Te 10.000  $\mu$ g/l, Johnson Matthey, Karlsruhe/Germany) using SnCl<sub>2</sub> 2H<sub>2</sub>O (GR for analysis, Merck, Darmstadt/Germany) as a reducing agent.

After complete reduction, the precipitate was filtered on a cellulose acetate filter, rinsed with 1 M HCl (30% vol., s.p, Merck, Darmstadt/Germany), and finally re-dissolved in aqua regia (HCl: HNO<sub>3</sub>; ratio = 1:3, s.p. and sub-boiled, respectively, Merck, Darmstadt/Germany). After evaporation to about 1-2 ml the solution was made up to 10 ml with 1% HNO<sub>3</sub> (65 vol. %, sub-boiled, Merck, Darmstadt/Germany) for determinations of PGE. This method is described in Dunn et al. (1989); Hall et al. (1990); Jackson et al. (1990); Rencz & Hall (1992). It should be

mentioned that ashed samples of some plant organs such as the stems of growth chamber lettuce were sometimes low in weight (i.e. lower than 0.5 g). Nevertheless, the sample weighed into microwave vessels was almost kept at one gram ashed sample.

## 3.3.3 Isotope Dilution and Spike Optimization

The PGE concentration in all plant samples was determined by high resolution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (HR-ICP-MS) after isotope dilution and tellurium coprecipitation. The isotope dilution has long been regarded as the most accurate means of measuring elemental abundances, provided that isotope ratio can be measured with sufficient accuracy and precision (Heumann 1988). However, there is a limiting factor for the isotope dilution technique which includes the difficulty in finding two isotopes free from isobaric interferences and the need for some ability to predict likely sample concentrations so as to optimize spike amounts (Heuzen et al. 1989).

To estimate the concentration of the PGE in the different plant organs, and to optimize the amount of PGE-spikes to be added for the isotope dilution analysis, plant samples from each experiment were chosen for this purpose. One sample from each plant organ was chosen and analyzed in a first "estimation" step before the main course of PGE determinations without addition of any spike solutions. After the estimation of the PGE concentration in a plant organ, a proper amount of spike solution(s) was added to each plant organ. Roots of plants grown in the growth chamber were not spiked due to the expected very high PGE concentration in these organs since they are in intimate contact with the hydroponic medium.

# 3.3.4 Analytical Techniques

## 3.3.4.1 Mass Spectrometry

The PGE concentration in samples was determined by high resolution ICP-MS (Axiom, VG Elemental, Cheshire/England). Calibrations were carried out by preparing standard solutions (0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0, 25.0, 100.0\*, 250.0\*, 500.0\* and 1,000.0\*  $\mu$ g/l, whereby (\*) indicate standards prepared for growth chamber plants as well as roots) from a PGE multielement standard (ICP-Multielement Standard C, Spex CertiPrep, Metuchen/USA, 10,000  $\mu$ g/l). The following atomic mass units were measured: <sup>194</sup>Pt, <sup>195</sup>Pt, <sup>196</sup>Pt, <sup>198</sup>Pt, <sup>105</sup>Pd, <sup>106</sup>Pd, <sup>108</sup>Pd, <sup>108</sup>Pd, <sup>103</sup>Rh as well as <sup>104</sup>Pd, and <sup>110</sup>Pd to check interferences on Pd. Furthermore, some heavy metals such as <sup>60</sup>Ni, <sup>63</sup>Cu, <sup>66</sup>Zn, <sup>88</sup>Sr, <sup>90</sup>Zr, <sup>93</sup>Nb, <sup>95</sup>Mo, <sup>178</sup>Hf, <sup>181</sup>Ta, <sup>182</sup>W, and <sup>111</sup>Cd that might be present in the sample matrix after the tellurium co-precipitation were also measured since they can cause interferences on PGE during the ICP-MS measurements.

Platinum concentration in the plant sample digest was determined by calculating the isotope ratios: <sup>194</sup>Pt/<sup>198</sup>Pt <sup>195</sup>Pt/<sup>198</sup>Pt, and <sup>196</sup>Pt/<sup>198</sup>Pt. The final platinum concentration in each sample was determined by calculating the mean value of the two non-spiked isotopes (<sup>195</sup>Pt, <sup>196</sup>Pt). Rhodium concentration was determined without using isotope dilution since rhodium is a mono-isotopic element. However, rhodium concentration in plant samples was determined from a calibration line.

Instrument:	Axiom (VG Elemental)
Forward power:	1350 W
Reflected power:	< 20 W
Sampling uptake rate:	1 ml/min
Scan mode:	Magnet scan
Torch:	Quartz torch (Glass Expansion, Melbourne/Australia)
Nebulizer:	Meinhardt (Glass Expansion, Melbourne/Australia)
Spray chamber:	Conical impact wall (bead)
Temperature of spray chamber:	$10 \pm 1^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
Cones:	
Sampling cone:	Ni, 1.0 mm
Skimmer cone:	Ni, 0.7 mm
Argon gas flows:	(l/min)
Cool gas:	13.5-14.1
Auxiliary gas:	0.55-0.65
Nebuliser gas:	0.80-0.90
Data Acquisition parameters:	
Acquisition mode:	Pulse counting
Runs:	3
Acquisition time:	
Wash time:	115 s
Dwell time: 40 ms (for Resolution	= 9000), 30 ms (for Resolution = 1000)
Points per peak width:	20
Sweeps per peak:	1
Resolution $m/\Delta$ m (isotopic abund <sup>105</sup> Pd (22.23), <sup>106</sup> Pd, <sup>108</sup> Pd, <sup>110</sup> Pd at	<i>ance %):</i> 1,000 ( $^{195}$ Pt (33.8), $^{196}$ Pt, $^{198}$ Pt); 9,000 ( $^{104}$ Pd, nd $^{103}$ Rh (100))

Table (5): Instrumental settings of the Axiom (VG Elemental, Cheshire, England).

After separation of PGE from matrix elements present in plant samples by tellurium coprecipitation, mono- as well as poly-atomic interferences on palladium isotopes during the ICP-MS measurements must be corrected. The isobaric interferences of <sup>104</sup>Ru on <sup>104</sup>Pd and <sup>110</sup>Cd on <sup>110</sup>Pd as well as the polyatomic chlorine clusters <sup>35</sup>Cl<sub>3</sub>H and <sup>35</sup>Cl<sub>2</sub><sup>37</sup>ClH on <sup>106</sup>Pd and <sup>108</sup>Pd, respectively, must be eliminated. These have been mathematically corrected by implementing equations of Kramar (2002, personal communication) in the Axiom software package supplied by Thermo Elemental<sup>®</sup> as follows:

The isobaric interferences of  ${}^{104}$ Ru on  ${}^{104}$ Pd and  ${}^{110}$ Cd on  ${}^{110}$ Pd are explained on the following example of  ${}^{104}$ Ru on  ${}^{104}$ Pd:

$$I(^{104}Pd)corr. = I(^{104}Pd)meas. - [I(^{101}Ru)meas. - A_{ii}/A_{ir}]$$

Whereby:

 $I(^{104}Pd)corr. \rightarrow$  = corrected counts per second (CPS) of  $^{104}Pd$  after elimination of the isobaric interference

 $I(^{104}Pd)$  meas.  $\rightarrow$  = CPS of  $^{104}Pd$  measured by ICP-MS

I (<sup>101</sup>Ru)meas.  $\rightarrow$  = CPS of <sup>101</sup>Ru measured by ICP-MS

 $A_{ii}/A_{ir} \rightarrow$  = ratio between the natural abundances of the isotope interfered (<sup>104</sup>Ru) and a reference isotope of the same element (<sup>101</sup>Ru)

 $A_{ii}/A_{ir} ({}^{104}Ru/{}^{101}Ru) = 1.09$  $A_{ii}/A_{ir} ({}^{110}Cd/{}^{111}Cd) = 0.97$ 

The <sup>35</sup>Cl<sub>2</sub><sup>37</sup>ClH clusters on <sup>108</sup>Pd were mathematically corrected as follows:

$$I(^{108}Pd)corr. = I(^{108}Pd)meas. - 0.47 [I(^{106}Pd)meas. - I(^{108}(Pd)meas.)]$$

Whereby:

 $I(^{108}Pd)corr. \rightarrow$  = corrected counts per second (CPS) of <sup>108</sup>Pd after elimination of the polyatomic interference

I(<sup>108</sup>Pd)meas. → = CPS of <sup>108</sup>Pd measured by ICP-MS 0.47 → = H(<sup>37</sup>Cl)/(H(<sup>35</sup>Cl) – H(<sup>37</sup>Cl)) H(<sup>x</sup>Y)→ = natural abundance of the isotope Y with the mass number x I (<sup>106</sup>Pd)meas. → = CPS of <sup>106</sup>Pd measured by ICP-MS I(<sup>108</sup>(Pd)meas. → = CPS of <sup>108</sup>Pd measured by ICP-MS The elements; <sup>165</sup>Ho, <sup>169</sup>Tm, <sup>115</sup>In, and <sup>209</sup>Bi were used as internal standards. Instrumentation and operating settings of the HR-ICP-MS (Axiom, VG-Elemental, Cheshire/England, with Meinahrdt nebulizer) are summarized in **Table (5)**.

The mean concentration and standard deviation of PGE (platinum, palladium, and rhodium) in the different plant organs of all experiments are shown in **Appendix B**.

#### 3.3.5 Limit of Detection

The Limits of Detection (LOD) were calculated as three times the standard deviation of Pt, Pd, and Rh concentrations determined in all procedural blanks (**Table 6B and 7B; Appendix B**), which were prepared in the same manner like the plant samples (chemical amounts, digestion, and Te co-precipitation).

Method detection limit is that concentration equivalent to three times the standard deviation  $(3 \times \Sigma)$  of all blanks taken through the procedures of sample decomposition, separation and analysis. The LODs determined were: 0.35, 0.44, and 0.02 µg/l for platinum, palladium, and rhodium, respectively. Furthermore, limit of determination of ICP-MS was calculated by running blanks consisting of 1% HNO<sub>3</sub> during the PGE determinations in plant samples. The limit of determinations calculated were: 0.03 µg/l for platinum and palladium and 0.002 µg/l for rhodium.

### 3.3.6 Analytical Reproducibility

Although there is a wide range of analytical techniques available for the quantitative determination of the platinum group elements in the different environmental samples, there is no Biological Standard Reference Material for the precious metals in vegetation containing certified concentrations of PGE. Therefore, isotope dilution is considered as a powerful method to determine trace amounts of PGE in environmental samples, provided interferences caused by matrix elements are eliminated. Tellurium co-precipitation has been applied to eliminate these matrix elements present together with PGE in plant samples.

However, our results using this methodology for platinum, palladium, and rhodium in plant organs agree very well with those obtained from independent methodologies conducted by Dr. F.

Alt (Institute of Spectrochemistry and Applied Spectroscopy (ISAS), Dortmund/Germany). The platinum and rhodium concentrations in some replicate potato samples were determined by adsorptive voltammetry analysis and palladium was determined by total reflection X-ray fluorescence (TR-XRF). Data are listed in **Table 8B; Appendix B**.

**Figures 5, 6 and 7** show the comparison of PGE determinations conducted at the Institut für Mineralogie und Geochemie (IMG), Universität Karlsruhe/Germany and ISAS. Data from Klueppel et al. (1998) showed that the ICP-MS determination of platinum in grass samples was lower than the adsorptive voltammetry analysis of PGE (**Figure 8**). This was the same case for platinum and rhodium in the present study.

Moreover, potato samples were analyzed in duplicate and showed reproducibility very well, indicating well sample preparation and the homogeneous distribution of PGE in all plant samples examined here (Figures 9, 10 and 11; Table 9B; Appendix B).

Furthermore, a leaf sample of field grown potato plants was prepared. The PGE concentration in this sample was determined by applying PGE replicate measurements (9 replicates). Results are shown in **Figure (12)** that also indicates reproducibility very well.















# **Chapter IV**

**Results** 

"Geochemists appear to have been misled on the geochemistry of platinum group elements by over-emphasis on the chemical inertness of these elements......"

Cousins (1973)

# **I** Distribution of PGE in Field Grown Crop Plants

#### 4.1 Distribution of PGE in Barley Plants

The PGE concentrations in barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) will not be discussed here due to the low amount of ashed sample material collected after harvesting. Furthermore, zirconium and hafnium interferences on the low PGE concentration in the barley samples during the HR-ICP-MS measurements did not allow proper interpretation of the data collected.

#### 4.2 Distribution of PGE in Lettuce Plants

Generally, the control lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) plants grown at both experimental sites (HW and BG) showed far lower PGE concentrations than the  $CAT_{Pt}$  and  $CAT_{Pd}$  treated ones (**Figure 13 and 14**). In the aerial organs of control plants grown at both sites, the rhodium concentration varied between <LOD and 2 µg/kg DW.

The control plants grown at the botanical garden site showed platinum concentrations that varied between 7.0 and 32.0  $\mu$ g/kg DW (**Figure 13**). Furthermore, the palladium concentrations in the same control plants grown at the same site varied between 17.0 and 42.0  $\mu$ g/kg DW (**Table 11B; Appendix B**).

However, in the control treatments of lettuce grown at the highway site, the aerial organs showed platinum concentrations which varied between 2.0 and 21.0, whilst palladium varied between 6.0 and 21.0  $\mu$ g/kg DW (**Table 11B; Appendix B**). The higher platinum and palladium concentration in the control plants grown at the botanical garden site than those grown at the highway site, are due to analytical reasons. Unfortunately, roots of platinum and palladium treated plants (grown in the growth chamber) were digested in the microwave vessels before digesting of the field grown control lettuce plants which was the last experiment conducted in the present study. This might have led to the higher PGE in control plants grown at the botanical garden than at the highway site.

Platinum determined in the lettuce plants grown at both experimental sites and treated with the  $CAT_{Pt}$  catalytic powder showed nearly similar mean concentration and variation (standard

deviation). In the  $CAT_{Pd}$  treated plants, platinum concentrations were slightly higher in the plants grown at the botanical garden site than those grown at the highway site (Figure 13 and 14).

Palladium concentrations in the lettuce plants grown at both experimental sites were generally higher than platinum. Moreover, palladium concentrations of lettuce treated with the  $CAT_{Pd}$  powder were higher in plants grown at the botanical garden than those grown at the highway site. In the  $CAT_{Pt}$  treated plants grown at the highway site, palladium mean concentrations were higher than in the plants grown at the botanical garden site (Figures 13 and 14).

Rhodium mean concentration in the  $CAT_{Pt}$  and  $CAT_{Pd}$  treatments were similar at the same experimental site. Meanwhile, it was higher in lettuce grown at the botanical garden site than those grown at the highway site.

#### 4.2.1 Pt/Rh and Pd/Rh Ratios in Lettuce

Ratios of Pt/Rh and Pd/Rh have been postulated by some authors as an indicator for the common source of these noble metals in environmental samples (Zereini et al. 1997a; Cuif et al. 1997). However, in the present study, the Pt/Rh and Pd/Rh ratios calculated from the metal concentrations listed in **Table (1)** were equal to 4, which is lower than the postulated value of 5:1. As mentioned previously, the soils used for the experiments with lettuce were left for a long period of time (18 months) in the field before planting lettuce in these pots. This has been done to allow PGE to form soluble species through reactions with soil components. It is expected that soluble PGE species might have formed during the period of time between experiments with barley and lettuce. By calculating the Pt/Rh and Pd/Rh ratios in the lettuce plants, it might provide an evidence for the bioavailability of PG-Elements in the experimental soils for plant uptake.

However, the Pt/Rh ratios in lettuce plants were similar at both sites (Pt/Rh = 4), whereas Pd/Rh ratios of plants grown at the botanical garden (Pd/Rh = 7) were higher than those ratios found in plants grown at the highway site (Pd/Rh = 5). Furthermore, the Pd/Rh ratios in the lettuce plants grown at both sites were higher than the Pt/Rh ones (**Figure 15**).





**Figure (14):** Distribution of rhodium, palladium, and platinum in lettuce shoots grown at the highway (HW) site (Control plants =  $1^{st}$  bar,  $CAT_{Pt}$ -treatment =  $2^{nd}$  bar, and  $CAT_{Pd}$ -treatment =  $3^{rd}$  bar) (mean  $\pm$  SD).



# II Distribution of Platinum and Palladium in the Greenhouse Plants

Some scientists suggested that the uptake of PGE by plants depends on plant species, PGE salt applied, and the concentration added to the nutrient solution (Farago & Parsons 1986a; 1986b; 1994). In the present study three different plants [two dicotyledonous i.e. potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.), and one monocotyledonous plant i.e. barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.)] were chosen for the PGE uptake experiments. The uptake of platinum and palladium by potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.), and barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.) was examined under two different concentration levels of the two noble metals in the nutrient medium.
4.3 Distribution of Platinum and Palladium in Low-Level Treated Plants (300  $\mu$ g/l)

# 4.3.1 Accumulation of Platinum and Palladium by Potato (II) and Lettuce

The total (mean) platinum and palladium concentrations were generally higher in lettuce than potato (II) plants treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l soluble PGE salts. However, platinum concentrations in the shoots of potato were higher than lettuce, whereas palladium concentrations were higher in lettuce than potato shoots (**Figure 16**). Meanwhile, platinum concentrations in lettuce roots were three times higher than those of potato, and palladium concentrations were two times higher (**Figure 16**).

The potato plants accumulated higher amounts of platinum but slightly lower palladium in their leaves than the lettuce (**Figure 17**). The lettuce accumulated similar amounts of platinum but higher palladium in their stems than potato.

Comparing the concentration of platinum to those of palladium in the aerial organs as well as roots, it is clear that palladium was mainly accumulated in the plant roots, whilst platinum was mainly accumulated in the aerial parts of potato but not of lettuce (**Figure 16**).





🗖 leaf 🛛 stem

Figure (16): Comparison between platinum and palladium concentration in potato (II) and lettuce plants treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l chloride salt (shoots, roots, and total concentration). Left y-axis: shoot content, right y-axis: Total (average) and root content of Pt and Pd treated plants.



### A. Accumulation of Platinum and Palladium in Potato Organs

Platinum concentrations in the potato plants varied between 443  $\mu$ g/kg DW and 209,158  $\mu$ g/kg DW (**Table 14B; Appendix B**). However, the lowest platinum concentration was found in the tubers, whilst the highest among all potato plant organs was detected in the roots. Platinum concentrations in the aerial organs were far higher in leaves than leaf stalks and stems, which were in turn similar (**Figure 18**). Palladium concentrations in all aerial organs were lower than that of platinum. Nevertheless, palladium contents of roots were similar to platinum in roots (**Figure 19**).

In the aerial plant organs, palladium follows generally the same distribution as platinum, (i.e. highest levels were found in leaves, followed by leaf stalks and stems, which showed no significant difference in their concentration). The tubers contained palladium that was similar to stems but higher than leaf stalks (**Figure 18**). In the platinum treated plants, the tubers contained lower platinum than all other aerial organs.





#### **B.** Accumulation of Platinum and Palladium in Lettuce Organs

The stems of lettuce plants showed slightly higher platinum but lower palladium concentrations than the leaves (Figure 20). Platinum concentrations in roots were higher than palladium (Figure 21). However, roots contained higher platinum and palladium than the leaves and stems of lettuce.

4.4 Distribution of Platinum and Palladium in High-Level Treated Plants (2000  $\mu g/l)$ 

### 4.4.1 Accumulation of Platinum and Palladium by Barley and Potato (I)

Generally, the total platinum and palladium concentrations were higher in barley than in potato (I) plants (**Figure 22**). This is similar to the case of potato and lettuce treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l chloride salts. Moreover, platinum and palladium concentrations were higher in potato (average concentrations) than barley shoots, whilst the barley roots contained higher amounts of the two noble metals than the potato roots (**Figure 22**). The distribution of platinum and palladium in potato and barley is thus similar to that of lettuce and potato treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l chloride salts.



Figure (22): Comparison between platinum and palladium concentrations in potato and barley plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (shoots, roots, and average concentration).

#### A. Accumulation of Platinum and Palladium in Potato Organs

Similar to the low-level treatments (300  $\mu$ g/l), the lowest platinum concentration was detected in the tubers and the highest was found in the roots. Platinum concentration in the potato plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l platinum chloride varied between 751  $\mu$ g/kg DW and 553,255  $\mu$ g/kg DW (**Table 15B; Appendix B**). In the aerial organs of potato plants platinum concentrations were higher in the leaves than in the leaf stalks and stems (**Figure 23**).

Palladium concentrations in the potato plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l palladium varied between 1204 and 673,074  $\mu$ g/kg DW (**Table 15B; Appendix B**). Similar to platinum distribution in potato organs, palladium showed the lowest concentration in tubers and the highest in roots. In the aerial organs, palladium concentrations were highest in leaves followed by stems and leaf stalks.

However, platinum and palladium concentrations in the leaves were approximately two times higher than that in leaf stalks and stems (**Figure 23**). The platinum concentration of stems was slightly lower than that of leaf stalks, whilst palladium concentration was higher in the stems

than in leaf stalks. This is in agreement with platinum distribution in potato treated with  $300 \ \mu g/l$  platinum. Palladium concentrations in all plant organs were lower than that of platinum except in roots, which showed higher concentrations than platinum treated plants (**Figure 24**). The tubers showed higher palladium (1204  $\mu$ g/kg DW) than platinum (741  $\mu$ g/kg DW) concentration (**Figure 23**).



**Figure (23):** Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in aerial organs as well as tubers of potato plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean  $\pm$  SD).



Figure (24): Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in roots of potato plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean  $\pm$  SD).

### **B.** Platinum and Palladium Accumulation in Barley Shoots and Roots

Platinum concentration was higher than palladium in barley shoots but similar in the roots (Figure 25 and 26).



Figure (25): Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in barley shoots treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l (mean  $\pm$  SD).



**Figure (26):** Distribution of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in barley roots treated with  $2000 \mu g/l$  platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean  $\pm$  SD).

### 4.5 Platinum and Palladium Ratios in the Different Plant Organs

# 4.5.1 Platinum and Palladium Ratios in the Low-Level Treated Plants (300 µg/l)

### Introduction

As mentioned previously, platinum and palladium concentrations in potato were determined by separately analyzing each plant organ (leaves, leaf stalks, stems, tubers, and roots). Since the different aerial parts of potato (i.e. leaves, leaf stalks, and stems) are not equal in their volume and mass compared to the total green fresh mass of the whole plant, their platinum and palladium contents cannot be added to calculate their total shoot content. Alternatively, the platinum and palladium content of shoots was determined by calculating their average concentration in the dry weight (DW).

However, the shoot : root (S : R) ratio or the root : shoot (R : S) ratio was calculated by dividing the average concentration (in dry matter) of platinum or palladium in the shoots by the content in the roots and vice versa.

Similarly, the average concentration of platinum or palladium (in dry matter) was determined by calculating the mean concentration in the leaves and stems of lettuce before calculating the S : R or R : S ratios.

#### A. Potato

The calculated mean of the S : R ratio was 0.011 for platinum and 0.001 for palladium, whereas the R : S ratio was 94 and 897 for platinum and palladium treated potato plants, respectively. However, platinum showed higher shoot : root ratio than palladium, whereas the latter showed about 9 times higher root : shoot ratio than the former (**Figure 27 and 28**).

In the aerial potato organs and tubers, the platinum ratios were generally higher than those of palladium, whereby the platinum leaf : tuber (L : T), leaf stalk : stem (LS : Sm), leaf stalk : tuber (LS : T), and stem : tuber (Sm : T) ratios were higher than those of palladium and only lower in the case of leaf : leaf stalk ratio (**Figure 29**).



**Figure (28):** Root : shoot ratio of platinum (left) and palladium (right) in the low-level (300  $\mu$ g/l) treated potato plants (mean  $\pm$  SD).







Figure (32): Root : shoot ratio in lettuce plants treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l platinum (left) and palladium (right) salts (mean  $\pm$  SD).

### **B.** Lettuce

The lettuce plants were subdivided into leaves, stems, and roots. The L : Sm and R : S ratios calculated for palladium were higher than those for platinum, which showed only higher s : R ratio than palladium (**Figure 30, 31, and 32**).

4.5.2 Platinum and Palladium Ratios in High-Level Treated Plants (2000 µg/l)

### A. Potato

The S : R ratio calculated for platinum was higher than palladium (**Figure 33**), but lower in the case of the R : S ratio (**Figure 34**). However, in the high-level (2000  $\mu$ g/l) treated potato plants, platinum and palladium concentrations in the aerial plant organs showed similar L : LS ratio. The metal ratios for L : Sm and LS : Sm were higher for platinum than palladium (**Figure 35**).



# A. Barley

Platinum showed higher S : R ratio but lower R : S ratio than palladium (Figure 36 and 37).



**Figure (36):** Shoot : root ratio calculated for platinum (left) and palladium (right) in barley plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean ± SD).



**Figure (37):** Root : shoot ratio calculated for platinum (left) and palladium (right) in barley plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts (mean ± SD).

# 4.6 Comparison of Platinum and Palladium Ratios in Potato at Low-Level $(300\mu g/l)$ and High-Level $(2000\mu g/l)$ Treatments

The uptake of platinum and palladium by the potato plants was examined at two different concentrations for the noble metals in the hydroponic medium, i.e. low- ( $300 \mu g/l$ ) and high-levels ( $2000 \mu g/l$ ). The S : R and R : S ratio of platinum and palladium concentration in the different plant organs at the two different levels were calculated. The ratio LS : Sm ratios calculated for platinum and palladium were similar at the two treatment concentrations (**Figure 38 and 39**). The L : LS and L : Sm ratios calculated for platinum were higher in the low-level than in the high-level treatment, but were lower for platinum (**Figure 38 and 39**). The ratios of noble metal concentrations in source to sink organs (i.e. leaves and tubers) showed generally the highest ratios of all (**Figure 38 and 39**).

The L : T metal ratios were higher for platinum and palladium at the high-level (2000  $\mu$ g/l) than the low-level (300  $\mu$ g/l) treatments. Furthermore, the LS : T and Sm : T metal ratios of platinum were approximately twice as high as palladium, not only at the same metal concentration in the hydroponic medium but also higher at the high-level than at the low-level treatments (**Figure 38 and 39**).

The S : R and R : S ratios of platinum were mostly similar in both treatments. Palladium S : R ratio was higher at the low-level (300 mg/l) than the at high-level (2000  $\mu$ g/l) treatments, whilst the R : S ratio was higher at the low-level than at the high-level treatments (**Figure 40**).





**Figure (40):** Comparison of shoot : root and root : shoot ratio of platinum (left) as well as palladium (right) in potato plants treated with 2000 and 300  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts.

# 4.7 Visible Symptoms of Platinum and Palladium Phytotoxicity on Crop Plants

Physical manifestations of platinum and palladium phytotoxicity were observed at the lowlevel (300  $\mu$ g/l) as well as the high-level (2000  $\mu$ g/l) treated crop plants during the entire course of the experiments. Potato plants at both levels developed severe visible phytotoxicity symptoms. Plants grown at both treatment levels (300  $\mu$ g/l and 2000  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts in the hydroponic medium) were chlorotic, exhibiting brown edges on middle and upper leaves, brown patches on tips of the leaves (**Figure 41 and Picture 5 and 6**; **Appendix A, Plate 2**), and the upper leaves of the treated plants were smaller in comparison with the controls. Plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l showed clearly yellow (**Figure 41**), smaller upper leaves. The roots of platinum and palladium treated potato, lettuce, and barley appeared generally darker (**Figure 45, 46, 48 and 49**) than the control plants (**Figure 44 and 47**).

However, the effects of palladium and platinum phytotoxicity included stunted growth, chlorosis, and blackening of the root systems, especially in the case of potato plants, whereas the roots of lettuce and barley treated with platinum and palladium were darker than the controls. These phytotoxic effects were more intensive in the case of palladium treated plants than platinum treated ones (compare **Figures 41, 42, 43, 45 and 46**).

The tubers of potato plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium chloride salts in the nutrient medium were smaller and fewer in number than the 300  $\mu$ g/l treated ones. Moreover, the tubers of potato plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium salts in the hydropnic medium were shortly bulked before plant harvest. Furthermore, the addition of platinum and palladium to the hydroponic medium accelerated the tuber bulking which was faster in the case of palladium than platinum treatments. The control plants showed retardation in their tuber bulking compared to potato plants treated with chloride salts of platinum and palladium. In addition, the root system of control plants was clearly whiter with fewer tubers than the treated plants (**Figure 44 and Picture 14; Appendix A, Plate 3**).

The results of physiological parameters measured on the crop plants are listed in **Appendix C**.



Figure (41): Phytotoxic symptoms: chlorosis and brown leaf edges of potato leaves treated with platinum salt (2000  $\mu$ g/l).



Figure (42): Platinum (300  $\mu$ g/l) treated potato plants showing phytotoxic symptoms but less drastic than the palladium treated ones (Figure 43)



**Figure (43):** Early death of palladium (300  $\mu$ g/l) treated plants (right) compared to controls (left).



Figure (44): Clear white roots of a control potato plant but lower tuber yield than platinum and palladium treated plants (Figure 45 and 46).



Figure (45): Dark brown roots and more tuber yield of a platinum (300  $\mu$ g/l) treated potato plant compared to a control in Figure 44.



Figure (46): A palladium (300  $\mu$ g/l) treated potato plant showing dark roots and more tuber yield than control plant in Figure 44.



**Figure (47):** A control lettuce plant showing whiter roots than platinum and palladium treated ones.



Figure (48): A platinum (300  $\mu$ g/l) treated lettuce plant showing brown roots (compare to Figure 47).



Figure (49): A palladium (300  $\mu$ g/l) treated lettuce plant showing brown roots (compare to Figure 47)

# **Chapter V**

# Discussion

"To have an independent mind, to think for ourself, not to follow fashions, not to seek honor or decorations, not to become part of the establishment".

Marcel Schlumberger (1884-1953)

# 5.1 The Influence of Traffic Density on PGE Uptake by Crop Plants

The uptake of metals either by roots or leaves increases with increasing metal concentration in the external medium (Greger et al. 1993). The PGE determined in dust samples collected at both sites during the vegetation periods indicated that platinum, palladium, and rhodium concentrations at the highway site were far higher than those determined in samples collected at the botanical garden site (Aboughalma & Stüben 2003, unpublished data). The lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) plants grown at the highway site contained higher platinum and lower palladium than those grown at the botanical garden site. This point will be discussed in detail later in this chapter. It is suggested that PGE concentration in the aerial parts depends on traffic density and hence their concentration in the atmosphere. This is in agreement with a study conducted by Dongarra et al. (2003) who concluded that pine needles (*Pinus pinea* L.) collected from downtown of Palermo/Italy contained far higher PGE concentrations than those collected from sampling sites located in the surrounding areas.

Direct uptake of atmospheric trace metals can account for more than 90% of the metal burden in grasses and parts of herbaceous plants (Tjell et al. 1979). It has also been suggested that direct uptake through bark or foliage may be a major pathway by which metals enter trees, particularly in heavy polluted areas (Baes & MacLaughlin 1987; Lepp 1975; Robitaille 1981).

The concentration of palladium in plant samples collected along roads with high traffic density was lower than platinum (Schäfer et al. 1995; Jarvis et al. 2001; Dongarra et al. 2003; Lesniewska et al. 2004a). This might be due to the low number of vehicles fitted with catalytic converter based on the palladium metal.

An increase of PGE concentrations from 1994 to 1996 in urban dust, road dusts, soil, and sewage sludge samples collected from Southwest Germany was proved in a study conducted by Schäfer et al. (1999). Moreover, PGE concentrations in road dust samples collected by Jarvis et al. (2001) from locations near London/England showed an increase (doubling of concentration) throughout one year of sampling (July 1995 to June 1996). PGE concentrations in dust samples collected by Aboughalma & Stüben (2003, unpublished data) from the same locations where the field experiments with crop plants in the present study were conducted, showed an increase from summer 2002 (May to July) to winter 2002 (November to December 2002).

Since the control lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) plants were carefully washed, it is reasonable to conclude that the considerable amounts of platinum, palladium, and rhodium in these plants indicate the uptake of PGE via the aerial organs. In addition, because the PGE concentrations in plants grown at the highway site were higher than those grown at the botanical garden site, the PGE uptake via aerial plant parts is suggested to be dependent upon traffic density and the noble metal concentrations in the atmosphere. Therefore, the present study is in agreement with other studies conducted by Helmers & Mergel (1997), Dongarra et al. (2003), and Lesniewska et al. (2004a).

# 5.2 Uptake Pathways of PGE by Field Grown Lettuce

The noble metals, to a great extent, were taken up by the roots of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) plants grown at the botanical garden site. The lettuce plants treated with catalyst's powders showed higher platinum, palladium, and rhodium than the control plants grown at both sites (**Figure 13 and 14**). These findings imply that the main PGE uptake route by lettuce grown at both sites and treated with catalyst's powders was via the plant roots, to a greater extent, and by the aerial parts, to a lower extent. The main uptake route of PGE by control plants grown at both sites is via plant leaves since no PGE were added to the soils.

However, the uptake of noble metals by the aerial organs of lettuce was sequestered by the higher PGE portion taken up via the roots than that taken up by the aerial organs (see Figure 13 and 14). Nevertheless, control treatments of lettuce showed considerable amounts of PGE in their aerial parts revealing the atmospheric uptake of these metals (Figure 13 and 14).

The leaves of lettuce have large surface area, which, according to Bargagli (1998), leaf surface area is an important factor for airborne trace metal accumulation. Mehra & Farago (1994) pointed out that the foliar uptake of metals is believed to consist of two phases: non-metabolic cuticular absorption which is generally considered to be the major route of entry, and metabolic mechanisms which account for element accumulation against a concentration gradient.

It is therefore suggested that the leaves of lettuce acted as a trap for airborne PGE in aerial organs of lettuce. Bargagli (1998) mentioned that particles may also enter the leaves, although the waxy cuticle covering the leaf epidermal cells provides an effective barrier to the penetration

of particulate pollutants. Both essential and non-essential metals can be taken up by leaves (Greger et al. 1993). When in the form of gases, they enter the leaves through the stomata, while as ions they mainly enter through the leaf cuticle (Martin & Juniper 1970; Lindberg et al. 1992; Marschner 1995). In radiotracer experiments conducted on the uptake of  $Cd^{109}$  by potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) plants, Cakmak et al. (2000) demonstrated that Cd can be translocated from the source leaf via phloem to sink organs such as new leaf and root tissues.

Since low amounts of PGE emitted from autocatalysts are suggested to be in volatile and soluble species (Albert 1992; Rauch & Morrison 2000), they may enter the leaves through the leaf cuticle and stomata. Platinum is assumed to be emitted as soluble PtO<sub>2</sub> species in addition to platinum-containing particles of diameter lower than 1  $\mu$ m (Schlögl et al. 1987; Albert 1992; Alt et al. 1993; Inacker & Malessa 1996; Rauch & Morrison 2000). Therefore, PGE are likely to enter easily leaves through stomata (which according to Bargagli (1998) open to 5-30  $\mu$ m). Furthermore, Lustig et al. (1997) suggested that platinum may be taken up in aerial organs by adsorption of airborne particles or dust deposited on above-ground organs of the plants. Messerschmidt et al. (1994) speculated that the uptake by stomata may play a role since particles containing platinum in the sub- $\mu$ m range are emitted from catalytic converters.

The foliar uptake of <sup>137</sup>Cs by onion (*Allium cepa* L.) (Bystrzejewska-Piotrowska & Urban 2004), of <sup>109</sup>Cd by potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) (Reid et al. 2003), and of <sup>100</sup>Mo by potato (Saumer 1995), supports the uptake of PGE by leaves in the present study. Hovmand et al. (1983) conducted experiments on barley (*Hordeum Vulgare* L.), kale (*Brassica* L.), wheat (*Triticum* L.), and rye (*Secale* L.) that were carried out in rural areas of Denmark. They concluded that Cd deposited on aerial surfaces of plants can be taken up by leaves and transported within plants and thus can represent a significant source of Cd entering the food chain.

Accumulation of PGE in aerial organs of plants can also be affected by atmospheric deposition of PGE emitted from autocatalysts directly on leaf surfaces. There is little experimental evidence concerning the uptake of PGE by leaves. Accordingly, the results of the present study reveal the PGE uptake by the aerial organs of lettuce.

## 5.3 Bioavailability of PGE from Catalyst's Powders and their Mobility in Soils

PGE added to the soils in the present study were initially present in a metallic form (Palacios et al. 2000) in the fine grained catalyst's powders. The concentration of rhodium in the two catalyst powders was equal (**Table 1**). After mixing the unused catalyst's powder with the soils in the current experiments, all of the field experiment pots with lettuce stood for a period of time (from March 2002 until harvesting of lettuce in October 2003). It has been reported that palladium is more mobile in the environment (Kothny 1979) than platinum and can migrate either as a true solute or in colloidal form (Pogrebnyak et al. 1986). Furthermore, Fuchs & Rose (1974) pointed out that palladium is more environmentally mobile and thus more available for plants than platinum. According to Wood (1974), the metals Pt, Pd, Cd, and Co belong to a class of very toxic and relatively accessible elements. He also added that one can predict that palladium, platinum, and gold will be methylated in the environment.

The Pd : Rh ratios were higher than Pt : Rh ratios in lettuce grown at both sites (**Figure 15**) implying very well the higher mobility of palladium in soil than platinum under the current experimental conditions. Furthermore, palladium showed higher concentrations in lettuce plants grown at both sites than platinum (**Figure 13 and 14**) revealing its higher bioavailability for uptake by lettuce than platinum. However, the present study shows that palladium is more mobile than platinum, in the soil. It was also taken up by lettuce to higher extent more than platinum indicating its bioavailability. Accordingly, the mobility of PGE in soil, as well as preference for plant uptake, can be arranged as follows: Pd > Pt  $\approx$  Rh. Based on these results, it is reasonable to conclude that palladium is preferred for plant uptake more than platinum.

# 5.4 Uptake und Accumulation of Platinum and Palladium: Differences between Monocotyledonous and Dicotyledonous Plant Species

Field experiments on the uptake of PGE by crop plants were conducted by adding the three noble metals platinum, palladium, and rhodium that were present together in the autocatalyst's powders, to the soils. To study the individual uptake and transport mechanisms of platinum and palladium in crop plants, metals were added separately as soluble salts to the hydroponic medium. Furthermore, the difference between platinum and palladium uptake by monocotyledonous (barley; *Hordeum Vulgare* L.) and dicotyledonous (potato; *Solanum*)

*tuberosum* L. and lettuce; *Lactuca sativa* L.) plant species were compared. This has been done by treating potato (**II**) and lettuce with 300  $\mu$ g/l (low-level treatment), and potato (**I**) and barley with 2000  $\mu$ g/l (high-level treatment) soluble platinum and palladium chloride salts.

At the high-level treatments (2000  $\mu$ g/l), the higher total (average) platinum and palladium concentrations in barley in comparison to potato plants indicate that the monocotyledonous plant accumulate higher amount of the two noble metals than the dicotyledonous one, especially in their roots (**Figure 22**). It should be mentioned that barley plants were treated with platinum and palladium salts for 4 weeks, whilst potato (**I**) plants were treated for two weeks, which led to the higher platinum and palladium concentrations in barley than in potato roots. Nevertheless, potato plants accumulated higher platinum in their shoots than barley and lettuce (**Figure 16 and 22**). Potato accumulated more palladium than barley but less than lettuce (**Figure 16 and 22**). Although, palladium mainly, and platinum to a lesser extent, were retained in the roots of potato, lettuce, and barley they were taken up into the plant shoots.

High green mass production of a plant, which is the case of potato plants, has been given as a reason for a high metal uptake and accumulation (Greger 1999) and suggested to occur in organs with high mass production (Huang et al. 1997). These findings indicate that the uptake of platinum and palladium depends on plant species which is in agreement with other studies. Alt et al. (1988) found relatively higher amounts of platinum in barley (monocotyledonous) than radish (Raphanus sativus L.) and tobacco (Nicotiana L.) (dicotyledonous) roots. However, tobacco leaves contained relatively higher platinum concentration than radish leaves. Moreover, cucumber (Cucumis sativus) plants (dicotyledonous) accumulated more platinum than rye grass (Lolium perenne) (monocotyledonous) suggesting that dicotyledonous accumulates metals to a higher extent more than monocotyledonous plant species (Verstraete et al. 1998). Furthermore, Fitzgerald et al. (2003) found that copper accumulated primarily in the roots of the monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants, whilst lead accumulated mainly in the root of monocotyledonous but in the shoots of dicotyledonous plants. Keller & Deuel (1957) suggested that the difference in metal accumulation between monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plant species is due to the number of negatively charged sites located in the cell walls, which is higher in dicotyledonous than in monocotyledonous plants.

According to Warren et al. (2003), the arsenic concentration of crop plants increased in the approximate order: potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) < spinach (*Spinacea oleracea*) < cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea*) < lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) < beetroot (*Beta vulgaris*) < radish (*Rhapanus*)

*sativus*). A comparison may be made with the order for arsenic concentration in crops grown on arsenic contaminated estuary sediments in the Netherlands which was wheat < potato < carrot < lettuce < grass < radisch (Smilde et al. 1982). In the present study, platinum and palladium concentrations (total average) increased as follows: lettuce < potato at the low-level (300  $\mu$ g/l) and potato < barley at the high-level (2000  $\mu$ g/l) treatment which is not in agreement with the previously mentioned experiments. By only considering platinum and palladium concentrations (figure 16 and 22), the order of increase at low- and high-level treatments was: lettuce < potato (for platinum) and potato < lettuce (for palladium), and barley < potato (for both metals), respectively. This indicates that the uptake of noble metals into shoots, to some extent, depends not only on plant species but also on metal species applied (compare platinum with palladium concentration in Figure 50 and 51). Furthermore, the platinum and palladium concentration of the two metals in the hydroponic medium (Figure 50 and 51). However, the recovery of platinum and palladium from the hydroponic medium depends on plant species, metal species, and noble metal concentration in the nutrient medium.

Potato, lettuce, and barley treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l and 2000  $\mu$ g/l platinum and palladium in the nutrient solution, respectively, accumulated platinum and palladium levels that were higher than platinum and palladium supplied in the nutrient solution. This suggests that potato, lettuce, and barley accumulate platinum and palladium against the concentration gradient. It has been reported by Verma & Dubey (2003) and Shah et al. (2001) that rice plants (*Oryza sativa* L. ) accumulated heavy metals (Cd and Pb) against the concentration gradient since Pb concentration found in rice shoots and roots after 20 days of treatment with Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were far higher than the amount added to the nutrient medium.



# 5.5 Distribution of Platinum and Palladium in Greenhouse Plants

# Accumulation, Transport, Mobility, and Binding of PGE by Crop Plants

# 5.5.1 Retention of Platinum and Palladium in Plant Roots

Heavy metal accumulation in plants differs greatly among plant species and also among organs or tissues in the same plant (Ramos et al. 2002). Platinum and palladium were mainly found in the roots of potato, lettuce, and barley. According to Davies (1980), this pattern (i.e. higher metal concentration in roots than shoots) was observed in grasses and lettuce, whereby 65-90% and only 50% of the total Cd content found in the two plants, respectively, was located in the roots. However, higher Cd concentrations in leaves than in roots of spinach and lettuce were observed by Kabata-Pendias & Pendias (1992). Lettuce, endives, and other similar horticultural plants tend to accumulate Cd in the above-ground parts, since they have a relatively

high potential for Cd uptake and translocation (FAO 1983). Moreover, the transport of platinum and to a lesser extent palladium to the tops of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.), bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), and corn (*Zea mays* L.) has been shown by Farago et al. (1979).

The roots of plant species (potato, lettuce, and barley) examined in the present study contained higher platinum and palladium contents compared to the other plant organs such as shoots or tubers (Figure 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26). Since metals, after their uptake, are transported either apoplastic (in cell walls) or symplastic (from cell to cell or membrane transport) (Greger 1999; Wittke 2002) from roots to shoots, it is suggested that the roots of potato, barley, and lettuce acted as a barrier against transport of platinum and palladium into the upper plant organs. The root system barrier was more effective against palladium than platinum, which led to restricted palladium transport into the plant shoots (Figure 16 and 22). However, barley at the high-level treatment showed the most effective barrier against platinum and palladium uptake. Barley uptake was stronger than lettuce and potato indicated by the higher concentration of platinum and palladium in barley roots than potato and lettuce roots (Table 14B, 15B, 16B, and 17B; Appendix B). At both treatment levels, potato seems to have developed poor effective exclusion mechanism(s) against platinum and palladium uptake from the hydroponic medium. This is because the concentrations of platinum and palladium in potato shoots and roots increased with increase of noble metal concentration in the hydroponic medium, which is well illustrated in Figures 50 and 51.

However, the lower amounts of palladium taken up into the shoots of potato, lettuce, and barley, compared to platinum could have several reasons. The cell wall plays an important role in the binding of metal species. Farago & Parsons (1994) concluded that most of the platinum taken up by water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) was bound to  $\alpha$ -cellulose of the cell walls.

The cell wall binding could fully saturate at such palladium but not platinum concentration in the hydroponic medium. Similar results have been shown for bread (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L.) plants at Cd<sup>+2</sup> concentration of 90  $\mu$ M in the nutrient solution (Hart et al. 1998). Moreover, saturable time-dependent Cd accumulation was reported in barley (Cutler & Rains 1974). According to Dunbar et al. (2003), the concentrations of Cd in the roots greatly exceed that of the other potato tissues suggesting that most Cd in roots should have been symplastic due to binding in the cell wall. Moreover, Wagner (1993) and other authors showed that Cd binds to cell walls and its translocation to shoot was limited. The retention of palladium in roots reduces its transport to the aerial parts, which could be due to one of the exclusion mechanisms excreted by plants against palladium phytotoxicity. Phytotoxic symptoms of palladium on crop plants are shown in **Figure 43, 46, and 49**. The large differences between platinum and palladium concentration in shoots and roots of potato and lettuce indicate an important restriction to the internal transport of those metals from the roots towards aerial parts (leaves, leaf stalks, and stems). Immobilization of platinum and also palladium (to a greater extent in root systems) as emphasized by the shoot : root ratio quotient <<<1 is related to an exclusion strategy. However, metal tolerance depends on plant species and may result from two main strategies which according to Baker (1981) are metal exclusion and metal accumulation. Dahmani-Muller et al. (2000) suggested an exclusion mechanism by immobilization of Pb and Cu in plant roots.

It has been demonstrated that plant roots contained the highest Cd concentrations of all organs (Florjin & Van Beusichem 1993; Guo et al. 1995; Hart et al. 1998). Furthermore, studies conducted by Farago et al. (1979) and Farago & Parsons (1986a; 1994) with PGE soluble salts applied at different concentrations to water hyacinth showed similar results. In uptake experiments with poplar cuttings, Ballach (1995; 1999), Ballach & Wittig (1996) and Ballach et al. (2000) showed that platinum (IV) was accumulated, to a great extent, in the roots than the shoots. In the present study, PGE were mainly accumulated in roots of crop plants and translocation to the shoots is demonstrated.

# 5.5.2 Distribution of Platinum and Palladium in Potato Tubers

Based on calculations of metal ratios in the different organs of plants, the concentrations of platinum and palladium in potato tubers may be influenced by one or several physiological factors, including different uptake mechanisms of both elements from nutrient solution, translocation from roots to shoots via xylem, sequestration in subcellular compartments, and re-translocation from source (mature leaves) to sink organs (tuber, younger leaves) via phloem.

The lower palladium than platinum concentration in the tubers of potato plants treated with  $300 \ \mu g/l$  palladium and platinum chloride salts, was a result of the toxic effect of palladium (as shown in **Figures 43 and 46**) which led to a reduced uptake of palladium from the nutrient medium and its re-translocation from leaves to tubers. This suggestion is supported by the higher leaf : tuber metal ratios of platinum than palladium (**Figure 29**). Reid et al. (2003), using short-

term experiments with Cd<sup>109</sup>, showed that rapid exchange of Cd between stem and phloem was possible and Cd in leaves could be rapidly transferred to tubers. It remains unclear if the early death of palladium treated potato plants resulted in severe phytotoxic symptoms of this metal that led to rapid tuber bulking and thus transport of this metal into tubers. However, leaf : tuber ratios of platinum and palladium concentration, were generally higher than other ratios calculated for other plant organs (**Figure 29 and Table 23B; Appendix B**). This indicates that the noble metals were accumulated mainly by leaves and transported into tubers via phloem. Platinum and palladium concentrations in tubers were lowest among plant shoots and roots indicating that the noble metals were not accumulated mainly in the tubers, but rather in the root systems and aerial parts.

Platinum concentration in the shoots (5227  $\mu$ g/kg DW) of the high-level (2000  $\mu$ g/l) treated potato plants was approximately 3-times higher than in shoots (1791  $\mu$ g/kg DW) of the low-level (300  $\mu$ g/l) treated plants. The increase of platinum concentrations in the nutrient medium led to an increase of the platinum concentration in shoots by a factor of 6 (high-level treatments) and by a factor of 3 (low-level treatments) than tubers. The increase of palladium concentration in the nutrient medium from 300 to 2000  $\mu$ g/l led to an increase of its concentration in shoots (204 and 2403  $\mu$ g/kg DW, respectively) by approximately a factor of 12. Consequently, palladium content in the tubers (1204  $\mu$ g/kg DW) of plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l was 6-times higher than in the tubers (184  $\mu$ g/kg DW) of plants treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l palladium chloride. However, the platinum and palladium concentrations in potato shoots and tubers correlate positively with each other and with their concentration in the nutrient medium.

Currently, no data are available on PGE mobility in phloem and their transport from shoots to tubers. The same amount of platinum and palladium was added separately to the nutrient solution. Even by calculating their concentration as  $\mu$ mol/kg DW (**Table 18B and 19B; Appendix B**), the low palladium and high platinum concentration in tubers indicate that a direct uptake across the tuber periderm or via stolon and tuber roots was negligible, if not excluded. Although the periderm of the tuber accumulated high concentration of Cd, a direct penetration of the metal into the tuber itself was limited (Reid et al. 2003). The latter also added that the phloem has a dominant role in supplying nutrients to the tubers. However, under the current experimental conditions, phloem is suggested to play an important role in transport of platinum and palladium into potato tubers.

Radiotracer experiments on the uptake of <sup>45</sup>Ca by potato plants conducted by Davies & Millard (1985) showed that the periderm was the area of highest <sup>45</sup>Ca activity. The periderm clearly had the highest and the medulla the lowest Ca concentration. Furthermore, calcium requirements of the sprout will be met by root uptake and xylem transport. However, three movement pathways of Ca were suggested by Davies & Millard (1985): (1) Movement in the xylem (apoplast) as a consequence of evapotranspiration from the sprout surface; (2) Movement by mass flow in the phloem, and (3) Movement in the symplasm in response to gradients in osmotic potential. Unfortunately, comparable data on the transport mechanisms of PGE within plants are not available. Nevertheless, comparing PGE with Ca, an element with a relative immobility in the symplasm (Davies & Millard 1985), it seems that PGE could be transported by all these pathways. However, platinum has been shown to displace calcium in grass treated with platinum and the Ca deficit in the plant was assumed to be a reaction to platinum stress (Klueppel et al. 1998)

The ratio of metal concentrations in leaves to their concentrations in tubers may be indicative of the ability of solutes to be mobilized from leaves into phloem. This assumes that the tuber does not access significant Cd through the tuber skin or stolon roots (Reid et al. 2003). Certainly, in the case of PGE, metal ratios of platinum and palladium concentrations in potato organs might explain their transport pathways. However, platinum and palladium ratios of leaf : tuber were mostly higher than leaf stalk : tuber and stem : tuber, which were nearly similar (**Figure 35, 38, and 39**). These patterns of metal ratios strongly support the view that phloem is the major pathway for loading platinum and palladium into tubers. In both hydroponic experiments with potato, palladium concentrations in the stems were higher than in leaf stalks. This supports the hypothesis that the phloem plays an important role in transport of PGE, especially palladium transport from leaves to tubers. The high palladium concentrations of potato roots compared to other organs (**Figure 18, 19, 23, and 24**) might indicate a certain defence mechanism against the phytotoxic Pd<sup>+2</sup>, perhaps by a mechanism involving sequestration or decreased xylem loading of palladium.

Based on the noble metal concentrations and ratios in potato organs, it seems reasonable to conclude that the most likely pathway for platinum and palladium movement into tubers is from the hydroponic medium to the basal roots to shoots in the xylem, then back down to tubers in the phloem. Here exists the xylem connection between roots and leaves indicated by the concentration of platinum and palladium in aerial parts. Furthermore, the phloem connection between leaves and tubers is indicated by the considerable concentration of the two noble metals

in potato tubers as well as the high leaf : tuber metal ratios. In the future, radiotracer experiments with PGE are necessary to exactly define whether PGE are a subject to direct uptake into potato tubers across the periderm.

## 5.5.3 Translocation of Platinum and Palladium within Crop Plants

The plant species examined in the present study showed great variation in the uptake of platinum and palladium via roots. The higher platinum concentrations in all plant organs (except roots) than the palladium are possibly a result of variations in both metals uptake via roots and in transport from root to shoot. This is well illustrated by variations of both metal concentrations and their ratios in the different plant organs (Figures 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32). The higher platinum than palladium concentrations in the shoots and the higher palladium than platinum concentrations in the roots of potato (Figure 18, 19, 23, and 24) reflect differential distribution of platinum and palladium between roots and shoots. Figures 27, 31, 33, and 36 illustrate the shoot : root ratio in all plants, which indicate that these ratios were mostly higher for platinum than palladium. This implies that a higher proportion of platinum than palladium will be transported from the nutrient medium via roots into shoots. Retention of palladium in plant roots was higher than platinum, which is demonstrated by the lower root : shoot ratios of the latter than the former (Figure 28, 32, 34, and 37). This pattern is more clearly illustrated when platinum and palladium concentrations in all plants and plant organs (except barley) are expressed in µmol/kg DW (Table 18B, 19B, 20B, and 21B; Apendix B). Barley shoots showed higher platinum concentrations (expressed as µg/kg DW) than palladium and barley roots were of similar platinum and palladium concentrations (Figure 25 and 26). When taking platinum and palladium concentrations, expressed in µmol/kg DW, into account, palladium concentrations in the shoots were slightly higher than platinum, whilst palladium concentrations in the roots were twice higher than platinum (Figure 52 and 53). Palladium concentrations of lettuce roots, expressed in µmol/kg DW were higher than platinum (Figure 54).

By taking the leaf : stem (L : Sm) ratios of potato (**Figure 29**) and lettuce (**Figure 30**), both at the low-level-treatments, as well as leaf : leaf stalk (L : LS) ratios of potato (**Figure 29**) into account, it is clear that palladium is more mobile than platinum and will translocate more into leaves implying its high xylem mobility than platinum.



Irrespective of plant species, higher amounts of platinum than palladium were found in the shoots, whereas higher amounts of palladium than platinum were found in the roots. There were differences in the translocation of both metals from the roots to the shoots of potato and lettuce. The restricted translocation of palladium from the roots to the shoots reduces consequently its transport via xylem and re-translocation via phloem into sink organs such as tubers and younger leaves. Cadmium, a non-essential metal like PGE, showed high mobility in both xylem and phloem (Reid et al. 2003). In their long-term experiments with <sup>109</sup>Cd, it has been demonstrated that Cd concentrations in the stems were actually lower than in the leaves. In short-term experiments, Cd was rapidly sequestered by stems, which was the case in stems of palladium treated potato plants. Furthermore, stems quite possibly act as a major interchange site for transfer of solutes between xylem and phloem. Reid et al. (2003) suggested that stems may act as a transitional storage pool that turns over rapidly, and from which Cd and other nutrient elements

are redistributed to leaves and tubers of potato plants. However, potato, especially at the lowlevel treatments, showed higher palladium content in stems than leaf stalks. This can be explained by the sequestering of palladium in the stem, which was not the case for platinum. Moreover, accumulation of palladium in potato and lettuce stems might be desirable, not only because it alleviates palladium injury in plants, but also because it reduces palladium entry into the human food chain.

Ballach & Wittig (1996) pointed out that the root : shoot (R : S) and especially the root : leaf (R : L) ratios showed a strong correlation to platinum content in roots of poplar cuttings (*Populus maximowiczii* L.). Furthermore, platinum was accumulated in roots but not translocated to aerial organs of water hyacinth (Farago & Parsons 1994), tomato and bean plants (Farago et. al. 1979), South African grass (Farago & Parsons 1986b), cucumber (Verstraete et al. 1998), and grass (Klueppel et al. 1998).

Since plants treated with platinum and palladium were fed with the same nutrient solution containing the same inorganic nutrients (**Table 3**), the high platinum and low palladium concentration can not be referred to as a deficiency of some elements such as Cu, Fe, and Zn. Graham et al. (1992) and Cakmak et al. (1998) pointed out that higher Cd accumulation in grains of wheat may be related to their higher sensitivity to Zn deficiency. Nevertheless, a relationship between the applied platinum concentration and the uptake of Fe and Zn has been reported by Farago & Parsons (1986b). Hart et al. (1998) mentioned that Cd uptake appears to occur via a carrier mediated system, since Cd is not known to be an essential plant mirconutrient. Studies conducted by Cataldo et al. (1983) and Costa & Morel (1993) showed that Zn competitively inhibits Cd uptake in plant roots, suggesting that Cd is transported across the plasma membrane via a native Zn-transport system. Transport systems, in which PGE are involved, are not known at present.

A plant must have the ability to translocate an element from roots to shoots at high rates. Normally, Zn, Cd, or Ni concentrations in roots are 10 or more times higher than in shoots. In hyperaccumulators, metal concentration in the shoot can exceed that in root (Chaney et al. 1997 and references therein). The higher concentration of platinum in aerial organs of lettuce (**Figure 20**) and potato (**Figure 18 and 23**) compared to palladium, suggests that the uptake and transport of platinum in lettuce and potato does not underlie an exclusion strategy as for palladium. A diffusion mechanism may allow platinum to be more transported with sap than palladium to stems and leaves. The noble metals shoot : root ratios in potato (**Figure 27 and 33**) and lettuce (**Figure 31**) were higher for platinum than palladium suggesting that palladium was more immobilized in the roots of potato and lettuce than in platinum. Interestingly, these ratios for platinum (0.003) were similar to that calculated for palladium (0.002) in barley indicating common uptake, translocation, and accumulation mechanisms in this monocotyledonous plant. Furthermore, the similar shoot : root ratios calculated for platinum in potato plants from the two hydroponic experiments ( 0.010 - 0.011), which were higher than lettuce (0.003), imply the uptake variations between the two dicotyledonous plants. The shoot : root ratio for palladium (0.004) in the 2000  $\mu g/l$  treated potato plants was higher than that (0.001) of the low-level treatments ( 300  $\mu g/l$ ), indicating that palladium was taken up rapidly depending on the metal concentrations in the hydroponic medium. However, palladium shoot : root ratios in potato and lettuce (300  $\mu g/l$ ) treatments) were similar (0.001) but lower than potato (0.004) and barley (0.002) treated with 2000  $\mu g/l$ . This indicates similar uptake mechanisms in potato and lettuce as well as plant species dependence of palladium uptake.

Presently, no data exists on the importance of cell wall binding of platinum and palladium and the limitation of the translocation into shoots via xylem. Klueppel et al. (1998) concluded that a major proportion of platinum taken up was not metabolized but deposited or stored in the phloem and xylem of grass or precipitated in the vacuoles, similar to Cd (Vögeli-Lange & Wagner 1990). At present, no data concerning the chemical species and transport mechanisms of PGE movement in the phloem sap are available.

# 5.5.4 The Role of Xylem and Phloem in the Transport of Platinum and Palladium

The considerable amounts of platinum and palladium found in potato tubers indicate their high mobility in the phloem. However, palladium is suggested to be more mobile in the phloem than platinum in potato and lettuce plants. The main function of the phloem is the transport of photoassimilates (mainly sucrose, Riesmeier et al. 1993; Kühn et al. 1999) from "source" tissue (actively photosynthesizing leaves) to "sink" tissue (immature leaves, growing root tips, and developing flowers, fruit, tuber, and seed) (Eckardt 2001). The transport of materials through phloem sieve tubes is passive, nonselective, and driven entirely by pressure gradients that are maintained by active loading of photosynthate in source tissue and unloading of materials in sink tissue (for a review see Riesmeier et al. 1993; Kühn et al. 1999; Eckardt 2001). Potato has been

suggested to represent an excellent system in which to explore how Cd moves around plants because of the dominant role of phloem in supplying nutrients to the tubers (Reid et al. 2003). Although a lesser amount of palladium than platinum was taken up by lettuce roots into stems and leaves (**Figure 20 and 21**), palladium was transported more into the leaves than platinum was, which is well demonstrated by the leaf : stem (L : Sm) ratios shown in **Figure (30**).

The total platinum concentrations in shoots were higher than palladium (**Figure 16**). Higher proportion of the latter than the former was translocated into leaves indicating its high mobility within plants. More platinum was translocated to shoots of lettuce and more palladium was translocated to leaves as indicated by the higher platinum shoot : root ratio than palladium (**Figure 31**) and the higher palladium leaf : stem ratio than platinum (**Figure 30**). These indicate that palladium within shoots (phloem) had higher mobility than platinum, and higher platinum amounts than palladium were retained in the stems of lettuce. The noble metal concentration ratios of potato organs displayed the greatest differences (**Figure 29**) suggesting the mobility of both metals in the phloem. Palladium might be removed from the transpiration stream by rapid transport into potato tubers to coupe its toxic effect. Removal of Cd from the transpiration stream suggests that Cd may have been transported to the grain of durum wheat primarily by the phloem (Harris & Taylor 2001).

The processes involved in PGE movement in plants are unknown. However, the retranslocation of platinum and palladium into potato tubers appears likely to occur by loading via phloem. This is well illustrated by the considerable amounts of platinum and palladium in stems and leaf stalks of potato (**Figure 18 and 23**).

In the present study, palladium was applied as Pd<sup>+2</sup> and platinum as Pt<sup>+4</sup> chloride salts. Krüger et al. (2001) suggested that divalent metals are transported as complexes of metalbinding proteins within the phloem. Unfortunately, there is no equivalent information available for palladium and platinum. Therefore, it is difficult to make any meaningful comments about its likely speciation in phloem. From cancer therapies, it is known that platinum binds to proteins and inhibits cell division. There have only been a few studies conducted by Bournique et al. (1976), Ivanov et al. (1976), Pauw-Gillet et al. (1979), and Dubrovsky (1993) on how *cis*-platin affects plant cell division. Platinum also has an affinity to form strong chloride complexes. Therefore, PGE chloride complexes could be abundantly present in the phloem, where Cl, according to Reid et al. (2003), is abundant in the phloem.

## 5.5.5 Binding, Exclusion, and Tolerance of Crop Plant to Platinum and Palladium

According to Sanita di Toppi & Gabrielli (1999), plant tolerance to heavy metals can be achieved by different strategies: selective exclusion of metals during uptake, metal excertion, metal retention in roots, immobilization by means of cell walls and extracellular carbohydrates, complexation by metal-binding to low-molecular weight proteins (phytochelatins = PCs), and specific tolerance of enzymatic systems to a metal.

Generally, a possible tolerance of potato, barley, and lettuce to PGE could be by metal retention in roots, immobilization by binding onto cell walls, and complexation by PGE-binding low-molecular phytochelatins (PCs). Messerschmidt et al. (1994) and Weber et al. (1998) reported that PGE were found in the low-molecular weight fraction. At present, there are no data available on the tolerance of plants to PGE. Nevertheless, Cd tolerance has been shown to be related to the ability to synthesize phytochelatins (Rauser 1990; Cobbett 2000). Furthermore, phytochelatins stimulated vacuolar storage of Cd in roots and prevented xylem transport of Cd from roots into shoots (Cakmak et al. 2000). PGE as well as Cd have high affinity to bind to sulfhydryl groups. Zhang et al. (1998) concluded that Zn and Cd were replaced by Pt in metallothioneins (MTs) from rabbits treated with platinum and that platinum can be bound strongly by MTs. Bao et al. (1997) demonstrated the affinity of platinum to rabbit liver MTs, which was higher than Zn and Cd. Unfortunately, no data exits on the binding of PGE to phytochelatins in plant species.

Platinum has been found to bind completely to protein of high molecular mass fraction (> 100 kDa) in untreated grass, whereas in treated cultivars, 90% of total platinum appeared in several fractions of low molecular mass fraction (Klueppel et al. 1998; Messerschmidt et al. 1994, 1995). Alt et al. (1998) also concluded that platinum binding ligands in grass, treated with tetramine platinum(II)nitrate, were carbohydrates and not peptides as shown by Klueppel et al. (1998) and Messerschmidt et al. (1994, 1995). Recently, platinum, palladium, and rhodium were assumed to bind to proteins of a wide range of molecular masses as well as carbohydrates in the low molecular mass range in grass studied by Lesniewska et al. (2004b) and in lettuce studied by Weber et al. (2004). Klueppel et al. (1998) couldn't definitively identify phytochelatins (PCs) in

platinum treated grass. However, cadmium has been suggested to be move into vacuole by two main routes, either by complexation with phytochelatins (PCs) followed by active transport of the PC-Cd complex across tonoplast (Vögeli-Lange & Wagner 1990; Ortiz et al. 1995; Salt & Rauser 1995), or by H<sup>+</sup>/Cd<sup>2+</sup> antiport (Salt & Wagner 1993). The induction of phytochelatin synthesis in lettuce at different levels of Cd concentrations is well demonstrated by Maier et al. (2003), who added that phytochelatins were present in lettuce and probably an important component of metal detoxification. Phytochelatins (PCs) are low molecular mass (1.5-3 kDa) peptides (Lesniewska et al. 2004b) which bind heavy metals in plants. Based on data from the above mentioned studies, it is assumed that PGE might induced phytochelatin synthesis in crop plants examined here as a metal detoxification mechanism, although phytochelatins were not determined in the present study.

Although barley accumulated considerable amounts of PGE, no severe phototoxic symptoms n barley were observed. This might indicate the binding of noble metals in the vacuole. Uptake studies using  $Zn^{65}$  with barley leaves showed that a rapid compartmentation of Zn into the vacuole was an important mechanism dealing with high levels of Zn (Brune et al. 1994). Further studied on barley leaves showed that Cd, Zn, and Mo were found mainly in the vacuole (Brune et al. 1994, 1995).

By comparing the shoot : root (S : R) ratios of platinum and palladium concentration within a species such as potato (**Figure 27 and 33**), the high tuber platinum or palladium accumulators had the highest ratios. This suggests that the high tuber platinum and/or palladium accumulation is associated with an increased shoot : root platinum and/or palladium ratio. Florijn & van Beusichem (1993) indicated the existence of two groups of lines – "shoot Cd excluders" containing a relatively high Cd concentrations in the root with a low concentration in the shoot (a shoot : root ratio of approximately 0.02), and "non-shoot Cd excluders" exhibiting a much higher translocation of Cd to the shoot (a shoot : root ratio of approximately 0.8) – both having a similar total Cd uptake. However, barley and lettuce showed shoot : root ratios for platinum (mean = 0.003, **Table 24B and 25B; Appendix B**) and palladium (mean = 0.001 and 0.001, respectively) imply that both plants are "shoot platinum and palladium excluders". Furthermore, potato showed shoot : root ratios that were higher for platinum (mean = 0.001 at both treatments, **Table 22B and 23B; Appendix B**) than for palladium (mean = 0.001 and 0.004, at low- and high-level treatments, respectively), indicating that potato; compared to barley and lettuce, is a "non-shoot platinum, but a palladium excluder". The lower shoot : root metal ratios for palladium than for

platinum could be due to the phytotoxic effect of palladium that led to lower palladium uptake by potatoes. Based on these results, an exclusion strategy and restriction of palladium uptake by the three plants which was higher in the case of barley but lower in lettuce and potato, is suggested.

Since platinum and palladium concentrations in shoots were far lower than in roots, potato, lettuce, and barley can not be considered as hyperaccumulators of PGE in the present study. Baghour et al. (2002) mentioned that field grown potato is a hyperaccumulator of platinum under different root zone temperatures, whereby platinum concentrations in shoots were higher than in roots. Therefore, further short-term experiments must be conducted to shed more light on this point.

The results of the current experiments suggest that lettuce and barley are able to retain more platinum and palladium in their root systems than potato. They would also be more tolerant to platinum and palladium than potato and would survive with higher platinum and palladium concentrations in their shoots without severe symptoms of toxicity. Cultivars of *Lactuca species* (i.e. lettuce and endives) have been considered as Cd accumulating species with relatively high potential for Cd uptake and translocation (Ramos et al. 2002).

# 5.6 Phytotoxicity of PGE on Crop Plants

A little amount of palladium taken up was far more toxic compared to platinum (**Figure 41 to 46**). Moreover, platinum to a greater extent than palladium was transported to leaves reconcile the continued need for xylem input in order to replace transpirational losses of water. Palladium was retained at a higher proportion than platinum in potato roots which is explained by the toxic effect of palladium leading to earlier death of the plants which reduced the uptake. Comparing the elemental composition in each plant organ, platinum was generally higher than palladium (except for roots) as shown in **Figure 18, 20, 23, and 25**. This suggests that palladium is preferentially sequestered either by physical compartments such as the vacuole or into chemical complexes.

The high platinum and palladium concentrations in leaves compared to stems, leaf stalks, and tubers of potato plants (**Figure 18 and 23**) might indicate that both elements are interfering with the photosynthetic processes since stems, leaf stalks, and tubers are organs with low

transpiration. High platinum and low palladium concentrations in potato and lettuce leaves (**Figure 18, 20, and 23**) indicate that palladium is more phytotoxic and affecting the photosynthetic processes.

As mentioned previously in chapter (IV), the main visible symptoms of phytotoxicity resulting from the addition of platinum and palladium to the hydroponic medium were chlorosis, brown patches on tips of leaves (**Figure 41, Picture 5 and 6; Appendix A**), and that upper leaves were visibly smaller compared to control plants. These symptoms were very clear in the case of potato plants at both treatment levels. The leaves were clearly yellow, the upper leaves were smaller than the middle and lower ones of the same plant and smaller than upper leaves of control plants. Roots of PGE treated plants were darker than roots of control ones. These phototoxic effects were more intensive in the case of palladium than platinum treated plants.

The stunted growth and small leaves of these plants were similar to the symptoms of PGE exposure described by Michenfelder et al. (1988) and Farago & Parsons (1983c; 1994). Roots of palladium treated potato, lettuce, and barley appeared generally darker than the roots of control plants. This was perhaps due to root death in the case of potato, or accumulation of platinum and palladium in case of lettuce and barley. Similar symptoms were observed in palladium treated plants but to a lower extent.

It has been shown by Farrago et al. (1979; 1983a; 1983b; 1983c) that PGE at low concentrations in the hydroponic medium were not phytotoxic to corn, tomato, and grass, whereas the growth of Kentucky blue grass was stimulated by small amounts of palladium (II) chloride which was phytotoxic at higher levels (Sarwar et al. 1970). In the present study, the two treatment levels were neither severely toxic nor had tonic effects on barley and lettuce but, were very toxic to potato.

At the two treatment levels, platinum and palladium did not adversely affect growth and viability of lettuce and barley, respectively. Platinum and palladium could be sequestered in vacuoles of lettuce and barley and thus, making those potentially toxic metals unavailable for interaction with metabolically active cellular compartments. Platinum and palladium are not essential nutrients, but they have been shown to be toxic to potato plants. It is curious as to what drives their movement between plant tissues, and in which physiological processes they are involved.
The platinum and palladium concentrations added at two different levels (i.e.  $300 \ \mu g/l$  for lettuce and  $2000 \ \mu g/l$  for barley) are considered to be at non-growth-inhibition concentrations, but for potato it was severely phytotoxic. According to Michenfelder et al. (1988), visible phytotoxic symptoms were observed at platinum levels higher than 2500  $\mu g/l$  in the nutrient medium. Therefore, our results are in agreement with Michenfelder et al. (1988), but only for lettuce and barley, whilst potato was in disagreement with their conclusions.

Since PGE are oxido-reducing metals, they could induce oxidative stress in plants. The toxicity of palladium could be due to higher production of  $H_2O_2$  mainly in chloroplasts (Verma & Dubey 2003) and other cell organelles leading to rapid cell death and consequently the whole plant, which resulted in lower uptake and accumulation of palladium in plant shoots.

**Chapter VI** 

**Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations** 

#### **VI Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations**

From field experiments conducted in the present study it has been demonstrated that the PGE emitted from automobile catalytic converters are subject to uptake by aerial parts of lettuce. The PGE, which were added as catalyst's powders to the soils were taken up by lettuce roots into aerial organs. The catalyst's powders contained PGE as metallic species, were added to the soil during the experiments with barley. Eighteen months later, experiments with lettuce were conducted in the same pots. The uptake of PGE by field grown lettuce, indicate that the PGE were bioavailable for plants. This suggests that PGE had undergone reactions with the soil components, such as humic matter or bacteria. The concentrations of palladium and platinum in the catalyst' powders were similar, though palladium was taken up by plant roots to a higher extent than platinum. It has been demonstrated that palladium is more bioavailable than platinum and rhodium for uptake and thus more mobile in the environment than platinum and rhodium.

Greenhouse experiments were conducted under controlled conditions and the PGE uptake was made exclusively via plant roots. The crop plants were treated with two different concentration levels of platinum and palladium chloride salts that were separately added to the nutrient solution. Two dicotyledonous (potato and lettuce) and one monocotyledonous (barley) plant species were examined in the present study. Platinum and palladium were mainly retained in the roots of potato, lettuce, and barley. Nevertheless, the noble metals were transported from the plant roots to the shoots. The crop plants accumulated higher platinum in their shoots than palladium, but lower platinum in their roots than palladium. However, palladium was more translocated into leaves than palladium. The dicotyledonous plants, which were grown in the greenhouse, accumulated higher platinum and palladium in their shoots, but lower in their roots than the monocotyledonous plant species. From the two experiments with potato plants, it has been shown that the PGE concentration in the plant organs depend on the PGE concentration in the nutrient media. It can be concluded that the uptake of noble metals depends on the plant species, the noble metal species applied, and the noble metal concentration in the nutrient medium.

In potato and lettuce aerial organs, platinum and palladium were mainly accumulated in the leaves indicating the mobility of the two metals within the two plant species. At present, no data are available on PGE mobility in the phloem and their transport from leaves into potato tubers. However, results from the current experiments with potato plants, demonstrated that the phloem play an important role in the transport of platinum and palladium into potato tubers. Furthermore, palladium is suggested to be more mobile than platinum in plants and will translocate more into leaves implying its higher xylem mobility than platinum.

However, from the noble metal concentrations and ratios in the different potato organs, it seems reasonable to conclude that the most likely pathway for platinum and palladium movement into tubers is from the nutrient solution to the plant roots to shoots via the xylem then back down from leaves to tubers via the phloem. The current study presents a first approach to the transport of PGE within plant species since there are no comparable data and the processes involved in PGE movement in plants and transport systems, in which PGE are involved, are not known.

Lettuce and barley were able to retain more platinum and palladium in their root systems than potato. This suggests that the two plant species are more tolerant to platinum and palladium than potato and would survive with high platinum and palladium concentrations in their shoots without severe visible phototoxic symptoms. Nevertheless, a little amount of palladium taken up was far more toxic to potato compared to platinum.

The photosynthetic machinery is known to be extremely sensitive to some heavy metals and PGE are oxido-reducing metals. The high platinum and palladium concentrations in the leaves compared to other aerial parts and tubers of potato plants might indicate that both elements are interfering with the photosynthetic processes since stems, leaf stalks, and tubers are organs with low transpiration.

The two concentration levels of platinum and palladium were neither severely toxic nor had tonic effects on barley and lettuce but, were very toxic to potato and are considered to be at growth-inhibition concentrations for potato. The main visible symptoms of phytotoxicity were chlorosis, brown patches on tips of leaves, smaller upper leaves, and dark root systems compared to control plants. These phototoxic effects were more intensive in the case of palladium than platinum treated plants.

91

#### Recommendations based on this study are as follows:

- 1. Field experiments under natural conditions with other plant species, that might treated with PGE-containing dust or autocatalyst's powder are necessary.
- 2. Short-term experiments to study the phytotoxicity of PGE on further plant species are recommended.
- 3. Radiotracer experiments with PGE are necessary to exactly define whether PGE are a subject to direct uptake into the tuber across the periderm and their transport from leaves into tubers.
- 4. Greenhouse experiments are necessary to study the species and the transport mechanisms of PGE within the different plant species.
- 5. Phytochelatins (PCs) were not examined in the present study. Studies on PCs induction in plants by PGE are needed.
- 6. Biochemical and genetic studies are necessary to clear the role of phytochelatins in PGE detoxification.
- 7. Since PGE are used in catalytic reactions, it is recommended to conduct experiments to explain oxidative stress induced by PGE in plant species.
- 8. Study of superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities in mycorrhizal roots of PGE treated plants is also recommended.
- 9. Microscopic examination of plant roots treated with PGE to determine the role of root cell walls in binding of PGE.
- 10. The PGE concentrations in future hydroponic experiments with plants must be adapted to the estimated PGE emissions from catalytic converters into the environment.

## **VII References**

## **VI References**

- ABOUGHALMA, H. & STÜBEN, D. (2003): Lateral and vertical distribution of platinum group elements (PGE) emitted from car catalytic converters along a German highway. In: Karlik, M., Häusler, H., & Kolesar, C. (Eds.): First Conference on Applied Environmental Geology (AEG'03) in Central and Eastern Europe, Abstract volume, Vienna, Austria.
- ALBERT, H.J. (1992): Platinum. In: McGraw-Hill Encyclopedia of Science and Technology. 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. McGraw-Hill, Inc. New York, USA.
- ALCOCK, C.B., & HOOPER, G.W. (1960): Thermodynamics of the Gaseous Oxides of the Platinum-Group Metals. Proceed. Roy. Soc. London, **254**: 551-561.
- ALT, F., JERENO, U., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., TÖLG, G. (1988): The Determination of Platinum in Biotic and Environmental Materials, I. μg/kg- to g/kg-Range. Mikrochim. Acta **III:** 299-304.
- ALT, F., BAMBAUER, A., HOPPSTOCK, K., MERGLER, B., TÖLG, G. (1993): Platinum traces in airborne particulate matter. Determination of whole content, particle size distribution, and soluble platinum. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem., 346: 693-696.
- ALT, F., ESCHNAUER H.R., MERGLER, B., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., TÖLG, G. (1997): A contribution to the ecology and enology of platinum. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem., **357**: 1013-1019.
- ALT, F., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., VON BOHLEN, A., KASTENHOLZ, B., GUENTHER, K. (2002): Bonding States of Palladium in Phytosystems: First Results for Endive, Anal. Letters, **35**: 1349-1359.
- AMATAYAKUL, W., RAMNÄS, O. (2001): Life cycle assessment of a catalytic converter for passenger cars. Journal of Cleaner Production, **9:** 395-403.
- ARTELT, S., KOCK, H., KÖNIG, H.P., LEVSEN, K., ROSNER, G. (1999a): Engine dynamometer experiments: platinum emissions from differently aged three-way catalytic converters. Atmospheric Environment, **33**: 3559-3567.
- ARTELT, S., CREUTZENBERG, O., KOCK, H., LEVSEN, K., NACHTIGALL, D., HEINRICH, U., RÜHLE, T., SCHLÖGL, R. (1999b): Bioavailability of fine dispersed platinum as emitted from automotive catalytic converters: a model study. Sci. Total Environ., 228: 219-242.
- ARTELT, S., KÖNIG, H.P., LEVSEN, K., ROSNER, G. (1999c): Quantitative Motorstand-Reihenuntersuchungen zur Bestimmung der Platinemissionen aus Automobilabgaskatalysatoren. In: ZEREINI, F. & ALT, F. (Eds.), Emissionen von Platinmetallen: Analytik, Umwelt und Gesundheitsrelevanz, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 117-128.
- ARTELT, S., LEVSEN, K., KÖNIG, H.P., ROSNER, G. (2000): Engine test bench experiments to determine platinum emissions from three-way catalytic converters. In: ZEREINI, F. & ALT F (Eds.): Anthropogenic platinum-group element emission: Their impact on man and environment, 33-44, Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- BABANI, F. & LICHTENTHALER, H. (1996): Light-induced and age-dependent development of chloroplasts in etiolated barley leaves as visualized by determination of photosynthetic pigments, CO2 assimilation rates and different kinds of chlorophyll fluorescence ratios. J. Plant Physio. 148: 555-566.

- BAES, C.F. & MACLAUGHLIN, S.B. (1987): Trace metal uptake and accumulation in trees as affected by environmental pollution. In: HUTCHINSON, T.C. & MEEMA, K.M. (Eds.): Effects of Atmospheric Pollutants on Forests, Wetlands and Agricultural Ecosystems. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 307-319.
- BAGHOUR, M., MORENO, D.A., VILLORA, G., HERNANDEZ, J., CASTILLA, N., ROMERO, L. (2002): Root zone temperature affects the phytoextraction of Ba, Cl, Sn, Pt, and Rb using potato plants (Solanum tubersosum L. var. spunta) in field. J. Environ. Sci. & Health Part A-Toxic/Hazardous Substances & Environmental Engineering, 37: 71-84.
- BAKER, A.J.M. (1981): Accumulators and excluders strategies in the response of plants to heavy metals. Journal of Plant Nutrition **3:** 643-654.
- BALGORD, W.D. (1973): Fine Particles from Automotive Emissions-Control Catalysts. Science, Vol. **180**, No. 4091: 1168-1169.
- BALLACH, H.-J. (1995): Accumulation of platinum in roots of poplar cuttings induces water stress. Fresenius Envir. Bull. 4: 719-724.
- BALLACH, H.J., WITTIG, G.R. (1996): Reciprocal effects of platinum and lead on the water household of poplar cuttings. ESPR-Environ. Sci. & Pollut. Res. **3** (1): 3-9.
- BALLACH, H.J. (1999): Automobilverkehr und Störungen im Wasserhaushalt von Pflanzen. In: ZEREINI, F. & ALT, F. (Eds.): Emissionen von Platinmetallen: Analytik, Umwelt- und Gesundheitsrelevanz, 217-227, Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- BALLACH, H.J., ALT, F., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., WITTIG, R. (2000): Determinants of the phytotoxicity of platinum. In: Zereini, F. & Alt, F. (Eds.): Anthropogenic platinum-group element emission: Their impact on man and environment, 105-114, Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- BAO, L.Z., WEI, Y.S., WEN, X.T. (1997): Determination of the Association Constant of Platinum(II) to Metallothionein. J. Inorganic Biochem. 65 (4): 295-298.
- BARGAGLI, R. (1998): Trace Elements in Terristerial Plants: An Ecophysiological Approach to Biomonitoring and Biorecovery. Springer.
- BEGEROW, J., DUNEMANN, L. (1999): Anwendung der Sektorfeld-ICP-MS zur Bestimmung der Hintergrundbelastung der Bevölkerung mit Platinmetallen. In: ZEREINI, F. & ALT, F. (Eds.): Emissionen von Platinmetallen: Analytik, Umwelt und Gesundheitsrelevanz, 3-14, Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- BLINDA, A., KOCH, B., RAMANJULU, S., DIETZ, K.-J. (1997): De *novo* synthesis and accumulation of apoplastic proteins in leaves of heavy metal-exposed barley seedlings. Plant, Cell and Environ., **20:** 969-981.
- BORGWARDT, R.H. (2001): Platinum, fuel cells, and future US road transport. Transportation Research, Part **D 6**: 199-207.
- BORNIQUE, C.-P., DEYSSON, G. & CHOTTARD, J. (1976): aspects and antimiotic activity of *cis*dichlorodiam mineplatinum(II) on plant cells. Comptes Rendus Sc. Soc. Biol., **170**: 20-24.

- BRENCHLEY, W. E. (1934): The effect of rubidium sulphate and palladium chloride on the growth of plants. Ann. Rep. Bio., **21**: 398-417.
- BRUBAKER, M.L., BURRIDGE, J.C., GORDON, F. (1975): Noble Metals. A toxicological apparaisal of potential new environmental contaminants. Env. Health Presp., **10:** 39-56.
- BRUNE, A., URBACH, U., DIETZ, K-J (1994): Compartmentatioon and transport of zinc in barley primary leaves as basic mechanisms involved in zinc tolerance. Plant, Cell and Environment, **17:** 153-162.
- BRUNE, A., URBACH, U., DIETZ, K-J (1995): Differential toxicity of heavy metals is partley related to a loss of preferential extraplasmic compartmentation: a comparison of Cd-, Mo-, Ni- and Zn-stress. New Phytologist, **129**: 403-409.
- BÜSCHL, K.H., MORETTO, P., & WODITSCH, P. (1999): Industrielle anorganische Chemie, VCH, Weinheim.
- BYSTRZEJEWSKA-PIOTROWSKA, G. & URBAN, P.L. (2004): Accumulation and translocation of cesium-137 in onion plants (*Allium cepa*). Environ. Experim. Bot., **51**: 3-7.
- CAKMAK, I., TORUUN, B., ERENOGLU, B., OZTÜRK, L., MARSCHER, H., KALAYCI, M., EKIZ, H. & YILMAZ, A. (1998): Morphological and physiological differences in cereals in response to zinc dificiency. Euphytica, **100**: 349-357.
- CAKMAK, I., WELCH, R.M., HART, J., NORVELL, W.A., OZTÜRK, L., KOCHIAN, L.V. (2000): Uptake and retranslocation of leaf-applied cadmium (<sup>109</sup>Cd) in diploid, tetraploid and hexaploid wheats. J. Experim. Bot., **51** (343): 221-226.
- CATALDO, D.A., GARLAND, T.R. & WILDUNG, R.E. (1983): Cadmium uptake kinetics in intact soybean plants. Plant Physiol., **73:** 844-848.
- CAWTHORN, R.G. (1999): The platinum and palladium resources of the Bushveld Complex. South African Journal of Science, **95:** 481-489.
- CHANEY, R.L., MALIK, M., LI, Y.M., BROWN, S.L., BREWER, E.P., ANGLE, J.S., BAKER, A.J.M. (1997): Phytoremediation of Soil Metals. <u>www.soils.wisc.edu/~barak/temp/opin\_fin.htm</u>
- CICCHELLA, D., DE VIVO, B., LIMA, A. (2003): Palladium and platinum concentration in soils from the Napoli metropolitan area, Italy: possible effects of catalytic exhausts. Sci. Total. Environ., **308**: 121-131.
- CINTI, D., ANGELONE, M., MASI, U., CREMISINI, C. (2002): Platinum levels in natural and urban soils from Rome and Latium (Italy): significance for pollution by automobile catalytic converter. Science Tot. Environ., **293:** 47:57.
- COBBETT, C. (2000): Phytochelatins and Their Roles in Heavy Metal Detoxification. Plant Physiology, **123**: 825-832.
- COSTA, G. & MOREL, J.L. (1993): Cadmium uptake by Lupinus albus (L.): cadmium excertion, a possible mechanism of cadmium tolerance. J. Plant Nutr., **16:** 1921-1929.
- COUPIN, H. (1901): Sur la toxicite des composes de l'argent, du mercure, de l'or, du platine, et du palladium a la regard des vegetaux superieurs. C.R. Soc. Biol. Paris LIII: 509-510.

- CROOKES, W. (1912): The Volatility of Metals of the Platinum Group. Proc. R.S. London 86 (589): 461-477.
- CUBELIC, M., PECORONI, R., SCHÄFER, J., ECKHARDT, J.D., BERNER, Z., STÜBEN, D. (1997): Verteilung verkehrsbedingter Edelmetallimmissionen in Böden. Z. Umweltchem. Ökotox., 9: 249-258.
- CUIF, J.P., BLANCHARD, G., TOURET, O., SEIGNEURING, A., MARCZI, M., QUEMERE, E. (1997): New generation of rare earth compounds for automotive catalyst. SAE technical paper no. 970463.
- CUTLER, J.M. & RAINS, D.W. (1974): Characterization of cadmium uptake by plant tissue. Plant Physiol., **54:** 67-71.
- DAHMANI-MULLER, H., VAN OORT, F., GÉLIE, B., BALABANE, M. (2000): Strategies of heavy metal uptake by three plant species growing near a metal smelter. Environ. Pol., **109**: 231-238.
- DAVIES, B.E. (1980): Applied soil trace elements. Wiley, New York.
- DAVIES, H.V. & MILLARD, P. (1985): Fractionation and Distribution of Calcium in Sprouting and Non-sprouting Potato Tubers. Annals of Botany **56:** 745-754.
- DAVY, E. (1817): On a New Fulminating Platinum. Phil. Trans. R.S. London 107: 136-157.
- DIXIT, V., PANDEY, V., SHYAM, R. (2001): Differential antioxidative responses to cadmium in roots and leaves of pea (*Pilsum sativum* L. cv. Azad). J. Experim. Bot., **52**: 1101-1109.
- DJINGOVA, R., KOVACHEVA, P., WAGNER, G., MARKER, B. (2003): Distribution of platinum group elements and other traffic related elements among different plants along some highways in Germany. Sci. Total Environ., **308**: 235-246.
- DONGARRÁ, G., VARRICA, D., SABATINO, G. (2003): Occurrence of platinum, palladium and gold in pine needles of *Pinus pinea* L. from the city of Palermo (Italy). Appl. Geochem., **18**: 109-116.
- DUBROVSKY, J.G. (1993): Radiometric Effect of Cisplatin on Cucumber Root Development: the Relationship between Cell Division and Cell Grwoth. Annaly of Botany, **72:** 143-149.
- DUNBAR, K.R., MCLAUGHLIN, M.J., REID, R.J. (2003): The uptake and partitioning of cadmium in two cultivars of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). J. Experim. Bot., **54:** 349-354.
- DUNN, C.E., HALL, G.E.M., HOFFMAN, E. (1989): Platinum group metals in common plants of northern forests: developments in analytical methods, and the application of biogeochemistry to exploration srategies. J. Geochem. Exploration 32: 211-222.
- ECKARDT, N.A. (2001): A Calcium-Regulated Gatekeeper in Phloem Sieve Tubes. Plant Cell **13**: 989-992.
- ELY, J.C., NEAL, C.R., KULPA, C.F., SCHNEEGURT, M.A., SEIDLER, J.A., JAIN, J.C. (2001): Implications of platinum-group element accumulation along U.S. roads from catalyticconverter attrition. Environ. Sci. Technol. **35**: 3816-3822.

- FARAGO, M.E., MULLEN, W.A., PAYNE, J.B. (1979): The Uptake of Platinum Group Metals by Tomato, Bean and Corn. Inorganica Chimica Acta **34**: 151-154.
- FARAGO, M.E., PARSONS, P.J. (1983a): Attempts to Detect and Locate Platinum Metals in Plant Tissues by a Variety of Microscopic Techniques. Inorganica Chimica Acta **79**: 233.
- FARAGO, M.E., PARSONS, P.J. (1983b): The Uptake and Accumulation of Platinum Metals by the Water Hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*). Inorganica Chimica Acta **79**: 233-234.
- FARAGO, M.E., PARSONS, P.J. (1983c): Determination and Location of Platinum Metals in Biological Samples by Neutron Activation and Microprobe Analyses. In: Lippard, S. J. (ed.): Platinum, Gold, and Other Metal Chemotherapeutic Agents, Chemistry and Biochemistry. ACS Symp. Series, Washington, USA.
- FARAGO, M.E., PARSONS, P.J. (1986a): Effects of Platinum Metals on Plants. In: Hemphill, D. D. (ed.): Trace Substances in Environmental Health, 19, Univ. of Missouri, 1986: 397-407.
- FARAGO, M.E., PARSONS, P.J. (1986b): The effect of platinum, applied as potassium tetrachloroplatinate, on *Setaria Verticillata* (L) P. Beauv., and its growth on flotation tailings. Environ. Techn. Letters 7: 147-154.
- FARAGO, M.E., PARSONS, P.J. (1994): The effects of various platinum metal species on the water plant *Eichhornia crassipes* (MART.) Solms. Chem. Spec. Bioavail. **6** (1): 1-12.
- FARAGO, M.E., KAVANAGH, P., BLANKS, R., KELLY, J., KAZANTZIS, G., THORNTON, I., SIMPSON, P.R., COOK, J.M., PARRY, S., HALL, G.M. (1996): Platinum metal concentrations in urban road dust and soil in the United Kingdom. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. 354: 660-663.
- FARAGO, M.E., KAVANAGH, P., BLANKS, R., KELLY, J., KAZANTZIS, G., THORNTON, I., SIMPSON, P.R., COOK, J.M., DELVES, H.T., HALL, G.M. (1998): Platinum concentrations in urban road dust and soil, and in blood and urine in the United Kingdom. Analyst 123: 451-454.
- FAO (1983): European Trace elements Network, Influence of sludge and wastes products on trace elements inputs and environmental effects, Denmark. In: Ramos et al. (2002), Plant Science **162**.
- FISCHER, B., BEHRENDS, A., FREUND, D., LUPTON, D.F., MERKER, J. (1999): High temperature mechanical properties of the platinum group metals: Stress-rupture strength and creep behaviour at extremely high temperatures. Platinum Metals Rev. 43 (1): 18-28.
- FITZGERALD, E.J., CAFFREY, J.M., NESARATNAM, S.T., MCLOUGHLIN, P. (2003): Copper and lead concentrations in salt marsh plants on the Suir Estuary, Ireland. Environ. Pol. **123**: 67-74.
- FLETCHER, W.K., COOK, S.J., HALL, G.E.M., SCAGEL, R.K., DUNN, C.E. (1995): Enrichment of platinum and associated elements in organic seepage soils of the Tulameen ultramafic complex, southern British Columbia. J. of Geochem. Explor. 54: 39-47.
- FLIEGEL, D. (2003): Gehalte, Mobilität und Bindungsform von Kfz-emittierten Platin in Straßensedimenten mittels sequentieller Extraktion, ICP-MS und oberflächenanalytik im Vergleich zu typischen urbanen Schwermetallen. Diplomarbeit, Universität Karlsruhe.
- FLORJIN, P. J. & VAN BEUSICHEM, M.L. (1993): Cadmium distribution in maize inbred lines: effects of pH and level of Cd supply. Plant and Soil **153**: 79-84.

- FLYTZANI-STEPHANOPOULOS, M. & SCHMIDT, L.D. (1979): Evaporation rates and surface profiles on heterogeneous surfaces with mass transfer and surface reaction. Chemical Engineering Science **34:** 365-372.
- FUCHS, W.A. & ROSE, A. (1974): The geochemical behaviour of platinum and palladium in the weathering cycle in the Stillwater Complex, Montana. Economic Geology **69:** 332-346.
- GIANDOMENICO, C.M. (1996): Platinum-group metals compounds. In: KROSCHWITZ, J., HOWE-GRANT, M. (Eds.): Encyclopaedia of chemical technology, 19. New York: Wiley, 1996: 375-407.
- GINZBURG, S.I. (1975): Analytical chemistry of platinum metals. Wiley, New York, 673p.
- GÓMEZ, B., GÓMEZ, M., SANCHEZ, J.L., FERNÁNDEZ, R., PALACIOS, M.A. (2001): Platinum and rhodium distribution in airborne particulate matter and road dust. The Science of the Total Environment **269**: 131-144.
- Gómez, B., Palacios, M.A., Gómez, M., Sanchez, J.L., Morrison, G., Rauch, S., McLeod, C., Ma, R., Caroli, S., Alimonti, A., Petrucci, F., Bocca, B., Schramel, P., Zischka, M., Petterson, C., Wass, U. (2002): Levels and risk assessment for humans and ecosystem of Platinum-group elements in the airborne particles and road dust of some European cities. Sci. Tot. Environ. 299: 1-19.
- GRAHAM, R.D., ASCHER, J.S. & HYNES, S.C. (1992): Selecting zinc-efficient cereal genotypes for soils of low zinc status. Plant and Soil **146**: 241-250.
- GREGER, M., JOHANSSON, M., STIHL, A., HAMZA, K. (1993): Foliar uptake of Cd by pea (Pisum sativum) and sugar beet (Beta vulgaris). Physiol. Plant. 88: 563-570.
- GREGER, M. (1999): Metal Availability and Bioconcentration in Plants. In: PRASAD, M.N.V. & HAGEMEYER, J. (Eds.): Heavy Metal Stress in Plants. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- GÜNTHER, K., JI, G., KASTENHOLZ, B. (2000): Characterization of high molecular weight cadmium species in contaminated vegetable food. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. **368**: 281-287.
- GUO, Y.L., SCHULZ, R. & MARSCHNER, H. (1995): Genotypic differences in uptake and distribution of cadmium and nickel in plants. Angewandte Botanik 69: 42-48.
- HALL, G.E.M., PELCHAT, J.C., DUNN, C.E. (1990): The determination of Au, Pd and Pt in ashed vegetation by ICP-mass spectrometry and graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry. J. Geochem. Explor. 33: 1-23.
- HAMNER, C.L. (1942): Effects of platinum chloride on bean and tomato. Botanical Gazette **194**: 161-166.
- HANN, S., ZENKER, A., GALANSKI, M., BEREUTER, T.L., STINGEDER, G., KEPPLER, B.K. (2001): HPIC-UV-ICP-SFMS study of the interaction of cisplatin with guanosine monophosphate. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. **370**: 581-586.
- HARRIS, N.S., TAYLOR, G.J. (2001): Remobilization of cadmium in maturing shoots of near isogenic lines of durum wheat that differ iin grain cadmium accumulation. J. Experim. Bot. 52 (360): 1473-1481.

- HART, J.J., WELCH, R.M., NORVELL, W.A., SULLIVAN, L.A., KOCHIAN, L.V. (1998): Characterization of Cadmium Binding, Uptake, and Translocation in Intact Seedlings of Bread and Durum Wheat Cultivars. Plant Physiol. **116**: 1413-1420.
- HEINRICH, E., SCHMIDT, G., KRATZ, K.L. (1996): Determination of platin-group elements (PGE) from catalytic converters in soil by means of docimasy and INAA. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. **354**: 883-885.
- HELMER, M. (2002): Cleaning up catalysts. Nature 418: 138.
- HELMERS, E., MERGEL, N., BARCHET, R. (1994): Platin in Klärschlammasche und an Gräsern. UWSF Z Umweltchem. Ökotox. 6 (3): 130-134.
- HELMERS, E. (1996): Elements accompanying platinum emitted from automobile catalysts. Chemosphere **33**: 405-419.
- HELMERS, E. (1997): Platinum emission rate of automobiles with catalytic converters. Comparison and assessment of results from various approaches. ESPR-Environ. Sci. & Pollut. Res. 4:100-103.
- HELMERS, E., MERGEL, N. (1997): Platin in belasteten Gräsern: Anstieg der Emissionen aus PKW-Abgskatalysatoren. Erster Trend aus direkten Umweltmessungen (1992-1995). UWSF – Z. Umweltchem. Ökotox. 9 (3): 147-148.
- HELMERS, E., MERGEL, N. (1998): Platinum and rhodium in a polluted environment: studying the emissions of automobile catalysts with emphasis on the application of CSV rhodium analysis. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. **362**: 522-528.
- HEUMANN, K.G. (1988): Isotope dilution mass spectrometry. In: Adams, F. (Ed.), Inorganic Mass Spectrometry, Wily, New York: 301-376.
- HEUZEN, A.A.V., HOEKSTRA, T., WINGERDEN, B.V. (1989): Precision and accurancy attainable with isotope dilution analysis applied to inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 4: 483-489.
- HILL, R.F. & MAYER, W.J. (1977): Radiometric determination of platinum and palladium attrition from automotive catalysts. IEEE Transact. Nucl. Sci. NS-24: 2549-2554.
- HODGE, V.F., STALLARD, M.O. (1986): Platinum and palladium in roadside dust. Environ. Sci. Technol. 20: 1058-1060.
- HOLZHEID, A., SYLVESTER, P., O'NEILL, H.ST C., RUBIE, D.C., PALME, H. (2000): Evidence for a late chondritic veneer in the Earth's mantle from high-pressure partitioning of palladium and platinum. Nature **406**: 396-399.
- HOVMAND, M.F., TJELL, J.C., & MOSBAEK, H. (1983): Plant uptake of airborne cadmium. Environmental Pollution (Series A) **30**: 27-38.
- HOWE, J.L. (1900): The Eighth Group of the Periodic System and Some of Its Problems. Science, Val. 12 (288): 20-34.
- HU, X., DING, Z., CHEN, Y., WANG, X., DAI, L. (2002): Bioaccumulation of lanthanum and cerium and their effects on the growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) seedlings. Chemosphere **48**: 621-629.

- HUANG, J.W.W., CHEN, J.J., BERTI, W.R. & CUNNINGHAM, S.D. (1997): Phytoremediation of lead-contaminated soil: role of synthetic chelates in lead phytoextraction. Environ. Sci. Technol. 31: 800-805.
- INACKER, O. & MALESSA, R. (1996): Experimentalstudie zum Austrag von Platin aus Automobilabgaskatalysatoren. In: Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie (BMBF) / GSF – Forschungszentrum für Umwelt und Gesundheit GmbH, Projektträger "Umwelt- und Klimaforschung" (1996): Edelmetall – Emissionen. Abschlußpräsentation, Hannover. 48-53.
- IVANOV, V.B., CHELTSOV, P.A. & SHCHELOKOV, R.N. (1976): Dependence of growth inhibition on the structure of platinum (II) complexes. Doklady Biochem. **229:** 330-333.
- JACKSON, S.E., FRYER, B.J., GOSSE, W., HEALEY, D.C., LONGERICH, H.P., STRONG, D.F. (1990): Determination of the precious metals in geological materials by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) with nickel sulphide fire-assay collection and tellurium coprecipitation. Chem. Geol. 83: 119-132.
- JARVIS, K., PARRY, S.J., PIPER, J.M. (2001): Temporal and spatial studies of autocatalyst-derived platinum, rhodium, and palladium and selected vehicle-derived trace elements in the environment. Environ. Sci. Technol. **35**: 1031-1036.
- JONES, R.T. (1999): Platinum smelting in South Africa. South African Journal of Science, 95: 525-534
- JOHNSON MATTHEY (2003): Platinum Today. <u>http://www.platinum.matthey.com</u>
- JOHNSON MATTHEY (2004): Platinum Today. http://www.platinum.matthey.com
- KABATA-PENDIAS, A., & PENDIAS, H. (1992): Trace Elements in Soils and Plants. CRC Press, Florida.
- KANITSAR, K., KOELLENSPERGER, G., HANN, S., LIMBECK, A., PUXBAUM, H., STINGEDER, G. (2003): Determination of Pt, Pd and Rh by inductively coupled plasma sector field mass spectrometry (ICP-SFMS) in size-classified urban aerosol samples. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 18: 239-246.
- KELLER, P. & DEUEL, H. (1957): Kationenaustauschkapazität und Pektingehalt von Pflanzenwurzeln. Z. Pflanzenernähr. Düng. Bodenkd. **79:** 119-131.
- KLUEPPEL, K., JAKUBOWSKI, N., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., STUEWER, D., KLOCKOW, D. (1998): Speciation of platinum metabolites in plants by size-exclusion chromatography and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 13: 255-262.
- KÖNIG, H.P., HERTEL, R.F., KOCH, W., ROSNER, G. (1992): Determination of platinum emissions from a three-way catalyst-equipped gasoline engine. Atmos. Environ. **26A** (5): 741-5.

KOTHNY, E.L. (1979): Palladium in plant ash. Plant and Soil 53: 547-550.

KRÜGER, C., HELL, H. & STEPHAN, U.W. (2001): A metal-binding LEA protein trafficks micronutrient in the phloem of Ricinus communis L. In: HORST, W.J., SCHENK, M.K., BÜRKERT, A., ET AL. (Eds.): Plant Nutrition – Food Security and Sustainability of Agro-Ecosystems. Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. 194-195.

- KÜHN, C., BARKER, L., BÜRKLE, L., FROMMER, W.-B. (1999): Update on sucrose transport in higher plants. J. Exp. Bot. **50**: 935-953.
- KÜMMERER, K., HELMERS, E. (1997): Hospital effluents as a source for platinum in the environment. Sci. Total Environ. **193**: 179-184.
- KÜMMERER, K. (1999): Relevanz von Platinemissionen durch Krankenhäuser. in Zereini, F., Alt, F. (eds.) Emissionen von Platinmetallen: Analytik, Umwelt und Gesundheitsrelevanz, 191-203, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- KÜMMERER, K., HELMERS, E., HUBNER, P., MASCART, G., MILANDRI, M., REINTHALER, F., ZWAKENBERG, M. (1999): European hospitals as a source for platinum in the environment in comparison with other sources. Sci. Total Environ. **225**: 155-166.
- LASCHKA, D. & NACHTWEY, M (2000) : Traffic-borne platinum pollution in municipila sewage treatment plants. In : ZEREINI, F. & ALT, F. (Eds.), Anthropogenic Platinum-Group Element Emissions and their Impact on Man and Environment, 25-32, Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- LEPP, N.W. (1975): The potential of tree-ring analysis for monitoring heavy metal pollution patterns. Environmental Pollution **9:** 49-61.
- LESNIEWSKA, B. A., GODLEWSKA-ŻYLKIEWICZ, B., BOCCA, B., CAIMI, S., CAROLI, S., HULANICKI, A. (2004a): Platinum, palladium and rhodium content in road dust, tunnel dust and common grass in Białystok area (Poland): a pilot study. Sci. Total Environ. **321**: 93-104.
- LESNIEWSKA, B. A., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., JAKUBOWSKI, N. & HULANICKI, A. (2004b): Bioaccumulation of platinum group elements and characterizatioon of their species in *Lolium multiflorum* by size-exclusion chromatography coupled with ICP-MS. Sci. Total Environ. **322**: 95-108.
- LICHTENTHALER, H.K., BURGSTAHLER, R., BUSCHMAN, C., MEIER, D., PRENZEL, U., SCHÖNTHAL, A. (1983): Effect of high light and high light stress on composition, function, and structure of the photosynthetic apparatus. In: Marcelle, R., Clijsters, H., Van Poucke, M. (EDs.): Stress effects on Photosynthesis, Junk Publisher, Den Haag, Netherlands.
- LICHTENTHALER, H. (1987): Chlorophylls and carotenoids, the pigments of the photosynthetic biomembranes. In: DOUCE, R. & PACKER, L. (Eds.): Methods in Enzymology, 148: 350, Academic Press, New York, USA.
- LINDBERG, S.E., MEYERS, T.P., TAYLOR, G.E. JR., TURNER, R.R. SCHROEDER, W.H. (1992): Atmosphere surface exchange of mercury in a forest: results of modeling and gradient aproaches. J. Geophys. Res. 97: 2519-2528.
- LINDELL, B. (1997): Platinum. DECOS and NEG basis for occupational standard. Slona, Sweden: Arbetslivsinstituet & författarna. In: Palacios et al. 2000 Sc. Tot. Env.
- LIPPARD, S.J. (1983): Platinum, Gold, and other metal chemotherapeutic agents : chemistry and biochemistry ; based on a symposium sponsored by the ACS Division of Inorganic Chemistry at the 183. meeting of the American Chem. Soc., Las Vegas, Nevada., March 28-April 2, 1982 ACS symposium series 209, 453 S.
- LOTTERMOSER, B.G. (1994): Gold and Platinoids in sewage sludges. Intern. J. Environemtnal Studies 46: 167-171.

- LUSTIG, S., ZANG, S., MICHALKE, B., SCHRAMEL, P., BECK, W. (1996): Transformation behaviour of different platinum compounds in a clay-like humic soil: speciation investigations. Sci. Total Environ. **188**:195-204.
- LUSTIG, S., SCHIERL, R., ALT, F., HELMERS, E., KÜMMERER, K. (1997a): Schwerpunktthema: Platin in Umweltkompartimenten. Deposition, Verteilung sowie Bedeutung für den Menschen und sein Nahrungsnetz. Stand und Forschungsbedarf. UWSF - Zeitschrift Umweltchem. Ökotox. 9 (3): 149-152.
- LUSTIG, S., ZANG, S., MICHALKE, B., SCHRAMEL, P., BECK, W. (1997b): Platinum determination in nutrient plants by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry with spezial respect to the hafnium oxide interference. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. **357**: 1157-1163.
- MAIER, E.A., MATTHEWS, R.D., MCDOWELL, A., WALDEN, R.R. & AHNER, B.A. (2003): Environmental Cadmium Levels Increase Phytochelatin and Glutathione in Lettuce Grwon in a Chelator-Buffered Nutrient Solution. J. Environ. Qual. **32:** 1356-1364.
- MAIER-REITER, W., SOMMER, B. (1996): Wirkungsmessungen von Platin aus katalysatorbetriebenen Kraftfahrzeugen mit pflanzlichen Bioindikatoren (Nahrungs- und Futterpflanzen. In: Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie (BMBF) / GSF – Forschungszentrum für Umwelt und Gesundheit GmbH, Projektträger "Umwelt- und Klimaforschung" (1996): Edelmetall – Emissionen. Abschlußpräsentation, Hannover. 77-80.
- MALONE, C., KOEPPE, D.E. & MILLER, R.J. (1974): Localization of lead accumulated in corn plants. Plant physiol. 53: 388-394.
- MARSCHNER, H. (1995): Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic press, Cambridge.
- MARTIN, T.J. & JUNIPER, E.B. (1970): The cuticles of plants. Edward Arnolds, Edinburgh.
- MEHRA, A. & FARAGO, M.E. (1994): Analytical Techniques for Plant Analysis. In: FARAGO M.E. (Ed.): Plants and the Chemical Elements. Biochemistry, Uptake, Tolerance and Toxicity. 31-66.
- MESSERSCHMIDT, J., ALT, F. & TÖLG, G. (1994): Platinum species analysis in plant material by gel permeation chromatography. Anal. Chim. Acta: **291** (1-2): 161-167.
- MESSERSCHMIDT, J., ALT, F. & TÖLG, G. (1995): Detection of platinum species in plant material. Electrophorosis **16**: 800-803.
- MICHENFELDER, K., GALLER, I., SOMMER, B., STIEGLER, L. (1988): Wirkung von Platin auf Pflanzen - Teil 1: Untersuchungen von Nahrungs- und Futterpflanzen in Nährlösung und Erdkultur sowie von Freilandproben. TÜV Stuttgart.
- MOLDOVAN, M., GÓMEZ, M.M., PALACIOS, M.A. (1999): Determination of platinum, rhodium and palladium in car exhaust fumes. J. Anal. At. Spectrom 14: 1163-1169.
- MURASHIGE, T., SKOOG, F. (1962): A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiologia plantarum 15: 473-497.

- NACHTIGALL, D., KOCK, H., ARTELT, S., LEVSEN, K., WÜNSCH, G., RÜHLE, T., SCHLÖGL, R. (1996): Platinum solubility of a substance designed as a model for emissions of automobile catalytic converters. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. **354**: 742-746.
- NATILE, G., COLUCCIA, M. (2001): Current status of *trans*-platinum compounds in cancer therapy. Coord. Chem. Rev. **216-217**: 383-410.
- NIEBOER, E. & RICHARDSON, D.H.S. (1980): The replacement of the nondescript term 'heavy metal' by a biologically significant and chemical significant classification of metal ions. Environmental Pollution **B1:** 3-26.
- NILSON, O., KJEKSHUS, A., FJELLVAG, H. (2001): Reconstruction and loss of platinum catalyst during oxidation of ammonia. Applied Catalysis A: General **207**: 43-54.
- NOWAK, E.J. (1996): Catalytic oxidation of ammonia on platinum. Chemical Engineering Science **21:** 19-27.
- O'DWYER, P.J., STEVENSON, J.P., & JOHNSON, S.W. (1999): Cisplatin: Chemistry and Biochemistry of a leading Anticancer Drug, In: Lippert (ed.) VHCA, Wily-VCH Weinheim: 31-69.
- ORTIZ, D.F., MCCUE, K.F. & OW, D.W. (1994): *In vitro* transport of phytochelatins by the fission yeast ABC-type vacuolar membrane protein (abstract No. 25). Plant Physiol. 105: 17.
- ORTIZ, D.F., RUSCITTI, T., MCCUE, K.F., OW, D.W. (1995): Transport of Metal-binding Peptides by HMT1, A Fission Yeast ABC-type Vacuolar Membrane Protein. J. Biol. Chem. 270: 4721-4728.
- PALACIOS, M.A., GOMEZ, M.M., MOLDOVAN, M., MORRISON, G., RAUCH, S., MCLEOD, C., MA, R., LASERNA, J., LUCENA, P., CAROLI, S., ALIMONTI, A., PETRUCCI, F., BOCCA, B., SCHRAMEL, P., LUSTIG, S., ZISCHKA, M., WASS, U., STENBOM, B., LUNA, M., SAENZ, J.C., SANTAMARIA, J., TORRENS, J.M. (2000a): Platinum-group elements: quantification in collected exhaust fumes and studies of catalyst surfaces. Sci. Total Environ 257: 1-15.
- PALACIOS, M.A., GÓMEZ, M., MOLDOVAN, M., GÓMEZ, B. (2000b): Assessment of environmental contamination risk by Pt, Rh and Pd from automobile catalyst. Microchem. J. 67:105-113.
- PALLAS, J.E., JONES JR., J.B. (1978): Shrot Communication: Platinum uptake by horticultural crops. Plant and Soil **50**: 207-212.
- PARSONS, P.J. (1982): Ph.D. Thesis, University of London. In: FARAGO, M.E. & PARSONS, P.J. (1983): Determination and Location of Platinum Metals in Biological Samples by Neutron Activation and Microprobe Analyses.
- PARSONS, P.J., MORRISON, P.F. & LEROY, A.F. (1987): Determination of platinum containing drugs in human plasma by liquid chromatography with reductive electrochemical detection. J. Chromotography. 387: 323-335.
- PAUW-GILLET, M-CL., HEINEN, E., HOUSSIER, C., FREDERICO, E. & BASSLEER, R. (1979): Interaction of DNA purine nucleosides with cis-dichlorodiamineplatinum (II) and antimitotic activity of the complexes on meristematic root cells. Chemico-Biological Interactions 25: 87-102.

- POGREBNYAK, YU.F., KONDRATENKO, L. A., TAT'YANKINA, E.M. (1986): Forms of platinum and palladium migrtation in water of dispersion trains. Dokl. (Earth Sci. Sect.), **279**: 203-205. (in Dunn 1989).
- RAMOS, I., ESTEBAN, E., LUCENA, J.J., GÁRATE, A. (2002): Cadmium uptake and subcellular distribution in plants of *Lactuca* sp. Cd-Mn interaction. Plant Sci. **162**: 761-767.
- RAUCH, S., MORRISON, G.M. (1999): Platinum uptake by the freshwater isopod *Asellus aquaticus* in urban rivers. Sci. Total Environ. **235**: 261-268.
- RAUCH, S., MOTELICA-HEINO, M., MORRISON, G.M., DONARD, O.F.X. (2000): Critical assessment of platinum group element determination in road and urban river sediments using ultrasonic nebulisation and high resolution ICP-MS. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 15: 329-334.
- RAUCH, S., MORRISON, G.M. (2000): Routes for Bioaccumulation and Transformation of Platinum in the Urban Environment. In: Zereini, F. & Alt, F. (Eds.), Anthropogenic Platinum-Group-Element Emissions and their Impact on Man and Environment, 85-93, Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- RAUCH, S., LU, M., MORRISON, G.M. (2001): Heterogeneity of platinum group metals in airborne particles. Environ. Sci. Technol. **35**: 595-599.
- RAUSER, W.E. (1990): Phytochelatins. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 59: 61-86.
- REHKÄMPER, M. (2000): Tracing the earth's evolution. Nature 407: 848-849.
- REID, R.J., DUNBAR, K.R. & MCLAUGHLIN, M.J. (2003): Cadmium loading into potato tubers: the roles of the periderm, xylem and phloem. Plant, Cell and Environment 26: 201-206.
- RENCZ, A.N., HALL, G.E.M. (1992): Platinum group elements and Au in arctic vegetation growing on gossans, Keewatin District, Canada. J. Geochem. Explor. 43: 265-279.
- RENNER, H., SCHMUCKLER, G. (1991): Platinum-Group Metals. in Merian E (ed.) Metals and their compounds in the environment, 1135-1151, VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH Weinheim.
- RIESMEIER, J.W., HIRNER, B., FROMMER, W.B. (1993): Potato Sucrose Transporter Expression in Minor Veins Indicates a Role in Phloem Loading. Plant Cell **5**: 1591-1598.
- ROBITAILLE, G. (1981): Heavy metal accumulation in the annual rings of balsam fir Abies balsamea (L.) Mill. Environmental Pollution (Series B) 2: 193-202.
- ROSNER, G., MERGET, R. (1999): Abschätzung des Gesundheitsrisikos von Platinemissionen aus Automobilabgaskatalysatoren. in Zereini F, Alt F (eds.) Emissionen von Platinmetallen: Analytik, Umwelt und Gesundheitsrelevanz, 293-313, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- RÜHLE, T., SCHNEIDER, H., FIND, J., HEREIN, D., PFÄNDER, N., WILD, U., SCHLÖGL, R., NACHTIGALL, D., ARTELT, S., HEINRICH, U. (1997): Preparation and characterisation of Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> aerosol precursors as model Pt-emissions fron catalytic converters. Appl. Catal. 14: 69-84.

- SALIM, R., AL-SUBU, M.M., DOULEH, A., KHALAF, S. (1992): Effects on growth and uptake of broad beans (Vicia, faba L.) by root and foliar treatments of plants with lead and cadmium. J. Environ. Sci. Health A 27(7): 1619-1642. (1992), im Buch Greger.
- SALT, D.E., WAGNER, G.J. (1993): Cadmium Transport across Tonoplast of Vesicles from Oat Roots: Evidence for a Cd<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> activity. J. Biol. Chem. **268** (17): 12297-12302.
- SALT, D.E., RAUSER, W.E. (1995): MgATP-Dependent Transport of Phytochelatins Across the Tonoplast of Oat Roots. Plant Physiol. **107**: 1293-1301.
- SARWAR, M., THIBERT, R.J., BENEDICT, W.G. (1970): Effect of palladium chloride on the growth of *Poa Pratensis*. Can. J. Plant Sci. **50**: 91-96.
- SANITA DE TOPPI, L. & GABBRIELLI, R. (1999): Response to cadmium in higher plants. Environmental and Experimental Botany **41**: 105-130.
- SAUMER, M. (1995): Analytische Bestimmung von stabilen Molybdän-Isotopen in Pflanzen und Boden: Verfahrensentwicklung für die Thermionenmassenspektromerie und Anwendung auf Tracerexperimente. Dissertation, Universität Karlsruhe.
- SCHÄFER, J., ECKHARDT, J.D., PUCHELT, H. (1995): Einträge von Platingruppenelementen (PGE) aus Kfz-Abgaskatalysatoren in strassennahen Böden. LfU Texte und Berichte zum Bodenschutz, **2/95**.
- SCHÄFER, J.P. (1998): Einträge und Kontaminationspfade Kfz-emittierter Platin-Gruppen-Elemente (PGE) in verschiedenen Umweltkompartimenten. Karlsruher Geochemische Hefte 11. Universität Karlsruhe.
- SCHÄFER, J., HANNKER, D., ECKHARDT, J.D., STÜBEN, D. (1998): Uptake of traffic-related heavy metals and platinum group elements (PGE) by plants. Sci. Total. Environ. **215**: 59-67.
- SCHÄFER, J., PUCHELT, H. (1998): Platinum Group Metals (PGM) emitted from automobile catalytic converters and their distribution in roadside soils. J. Geochem. Explor. 64: 307-314.
- SCHÄFER, J., ECKHARDT, J.D., BERNER, Z., STÜBEN, D. (1999): Time-dependent increase of traffic-emitted platinum group metals (PGM) in different environmental compartments. Environ. Sci. & Technol. 33: 3166-3170.
- SCHIERL, R. (2000): Environmental monitoring of platinum in air and urine. Microchem. J. 67: 245-248.
- SCHLÖGL, R., INDLEKOFER, G., OELHAFEN, P. (1987): Mikropartikelemissionen von Verbrennungsmotoren mit Abgasreinigung; Röntgen-Photoelektronenspektroskopie in der Umweltanalytik. Angw. Chem. 99: 312-322.
- SCHNEIDER, E.W., YUSUF, S.O., SMITH, R. M. (1999): Radiotracer method for quantifying the amount of platinum and rhodium deposited in automotive catalytic converters. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 4222: 880-884.
- SCHÜTZENDÜBEL, A., POLLE, A. (2002): Plant responses to abiotic stresses: heavy metal-induced oxidative stress and protection by mycorrhization. J. Experim. Bot. **53** (372): 1351-1365.

- SCHUSTER, M., SCHWARZER, M., RISSE, G. (1999): Bestimmung von Palladium in Umweltkompartimenten. in Zereini F, Alt F (eds.) Emissionen von Platinmetallen: Analytik, Umwelt und Gesundheitsrelevanz, 55-66, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- SHAH, K., KUMAR, R.G., VERMA, S. & DUBEY, R.S. (2001): Effect of cadmium on lipid peroxidation, superoxide anion generation and activities of antioxidant enzymes in growing rice seedlings. Plant Science 161: 1135-1144.
- SHALLER, K.H., ANGERER, J., ALT, F., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., WELTLE, D. & LEHNERT, G. (1992): Lecture at the International Symposium on Biological Monitoring, Kyoto, Japan.
- SKELLAND, A.H.P. (1974): Diffusional Mass Transfer, Chapter 5. Wiley, New York.
- SMILDE, K.W., VAN DRIEL, W., & VAN LUIT, B. (1982): Constraints in cropping heavy metal contaminated fluvial sediments. Sci. Total Enviorn. 25: 225-244.
- STRASSER, R.J., SRIVASTAVA, A. & TSIMILLI-MICHAEL, M. (2000): In: YUNUS, M. PATHRE, U,

MOHANTY, P. (Eds): Probing Photosynthesis, Taylor & Francis, London, pp 445-483.

- SURES, B., ZIMMERMANN, S., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., VON BOHLEN, A., ALT, F. (2001): First report on the uptake of automobile catalyst emitted palladium by European eels (*Anguilla anguilla*) following experimental exposure to road dust. Environ. Pollut. **113**: 341-345.
- TAYLOR, S.S. & BIGGS, T. (1999): Innovation in platinum jewellery materials. South African J. Scei. **95** (11/12).
- TILCH, J., SCHUSTER, M., SCHWARZER, M. (2000): Determination of palladium in airborne particulate matter in a German city. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. **367**: 450-453.
- TJELL, J.C., HOVMAND, M.F. & MOSBAEK, H. (1979): Atmospheric lead pollution of grass grown in a background area in Denmark. Nature **280**: 425-426.
- TSO, T.C., SOROKIN, T.P., & ENGELHAUPT, M.E. (1973): Effects of some rare elements on nicotine content of the tobacco plant. Plant Physiology, **51**, 805-806.
- TUIT, C.B., RAVIZZA, G.E. (2000): Anthropogenic platinum and palladium in the sediments of boston harbor. Environ. Sci. & Technol. **34** (6): 927-932.
- VAZQUEZ, M.D. BARCELO, J., POSCHENRIEDER, C., MADICO, J., HATTON, P., BAKER, A.J.M. & COPE, G.H. (1992): Localization of zinc and cadmium in *Thlaspi caerulescens* (Brassicaceae), a metallophyte that can accumulate both metals. Journal of Plant Physiology 140: 350-355.
- VERMA, S., DUBEY, R.S. (2003): Lead toxicity induces lipid peroxidatioin and alters the activities of antioxidant enzymes in growing rice plants. Plant Sci. **164**: 645-655.
- VERSTRAETE, D., RIONDATO, J., VERCAUTEREN, J., VERCAUTEREN, J., VANHAECKE, F., MOENS, L., DAMS, R., VERLOO, M. (1998): Determination of the uptake of [Pt(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> by grass cultivated on a sandy loam soil and by cucumber plants, grown hydroponically. Sci. Total Environ. **218**: 153-160.

- VÖGELI-LANGE, R. & WAGNER, G.J. (1990): Subcellular localization of cadmium and cadmium binding peptides in tobacco leaves. Implication of a transport function for cadmium binding peptides. Plant. Physiol. 92: 1086-1093.
- VÖGELI-LANGE, R., WAGNER, G.J. (1996): Relationship between cadmium, glutathione and cadmium-binding peptides (phytochelatins) in leaves of intact tobacco seedlings. Plant Sci. 114: 11-18.
- WÄBER, M., LASCHKA, D., PEICHL, L. (1996): Biomonitoring verkehrsbedingter Platin-Immissionen - Verfahren der standardisierten Graskultur im Untersuchungsgebiet München. Z. Umweltchem. Ökotox. 8 (1): 3-7.
- WAGNER, G.J. (1993): Accumulation of cadmium in crop plants and its consequences to human health. Advances in Agronomomy **51:** 173-212.
- WARREN, G.P., ALLOWAY, B.J., LEPP, N.W., SINGH, B., BOCHEREAU, F.J.M., PENNY, C. (2003): Field trials o assess the uptake of arsenic by vegetables from contaminated soils and soil remediation with iron oxides. Sci. Total Envron. **311**: 19-33.
- WATMOUGH, S.A., HUCHINSON, T.C. (2003): Uptake of <sup>207</sup>Pb and <sup>111</sup>Cd through bark of mature sugar maple, white ash and white pine: a field experiment. Environ. Pol. **121**: 39-48.
- WEBER, G., ALT, F., MESSERSCHMIDT, J. (1998): Chraracterization of low-molicular-weight metal species in plant extracts by using HPLC with pulsed amperometric detection and cyclic voltammetry. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. **362**: 209-214.
- WEBER, G., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., VON BOHLEN, A., KASTENHOLZ, B. & GÜNTHER, K. (2004): Improved separation of palladium species in biological matrices by using a combination of gel permeation chromatography and isotachophoroesis. Electrophoresis 25: 1758-1764.
- WEDEPOHL, K.H. (1995): The composition of the continental crust. Geochem. & Cosmochem. Acta 59: 421-427.
- WEI, C., MORRISON, G.M. (1994a): Platinum in road dusts and urban river sediments. Sci. Total Environ. 146/147: 169-174.
- WEI, C., MORRISON, G.M. (1994b): Platinum analysis and speciation in urban gullypots. Anal. Chim. Acta **284**: 587-592
- WHITELEY, J.D., MURRAY, F. (2003): Anthropogenic platinum group element (Pt, Pd and Rh) concentrations in road dusts and roadside soils from Perth, Western Australia. Sci. Tot. Environ. 317: 121-135.
- WITTKE, S. (2002): Schwermetalle in Raps und Sonnenblumen ihre Verteilung und Bindungsformen Dissertation. Universität Paderborn.
- WOOD, J.M. (1974): Biological Cycles for Toxic Elements in the Environment. Science **183** (4129): 1049-1052.
- WOOD, S.A., VLASSOPOULOS, D. (1990): The dispersion of Pt, Pd and Au in surficial media about two PGE-Cu-Ni prospects in Quebec. Canad. Mineral. 28: 649-663.

- YUANTAO, N., ZHENGFEN, Y. (1999): Platinum loss from alloy catalyst gauzes in nitric acid plants: The important role of the palladium component in metal capture during ammonia oxidation. Platinum. Metals Rev. **43** (2): 62-69.
- ZEREINI, F., ZIENTEK, C., URBAN, H. (1993): Konzentration und Verteilung von Platingruppenelementen (PGE) in Böden - Platinmetall-Emission durch Abrieb des Abgaskatalysatormaterials. UWSF – Z. Umweltchem. Ökotox. **5** (3): 130-134.
- ZEREINI, F., SKERSTUPP, B., ALT, F., HELMERS, E., URBAN, H. (1997a): Geochemical behaviour of platinum-group elements (PGE) in particulate emissions by automobile exhaust catalysts: experimental results and environmental investigations. Sci. Total Environ. **206**: 137-146.
- ZEREINI, F., ALT, F., RANKENBURG, K., BEYER, J.-M., ARTELT, S. (1997b): Verteilung von Platingruppenelementen (PGE) in den Umweltkompartimenten Boden, Schlamm, Straßenstaub, Straßenkehrgut und Wasser. UWSF – Z. Umweltchem. Ökotox. 9 (4): 193-200.
- ZEREINI, F., DIRKSEN, F., SKERSTUPP, B., URBAN, H. (1998): Sources of Anthropogenic Platinum-Group-Elements (PGE): Automotive Catalysts versus PGE-Processing Industries. ESPR-Environ. Sci. & Pollut. Res. 5 (4): 223-230.
- ZEREINI F, WISEMAN C, ALT F, MESSERSCHMIDT J, MULLER J, URBAN H (2001a): Platinum and rhodium concentrations in airborne particulate matter in Germany from 1988 to 1998. Environ. Sci. Technol. **35:** 1996-2000.
- ZEREINI, F., SKERSTUPP, B., RANKENBURG, K., DIRKSEN, F., BEYER, J.-M., CLAUS, T., URBAN, H. (2001b): Anthropogenic Emission of Platinum-group Elements into the Environment: Concentration, Distribution and Geochemical Behavior in Soils. JSS – J. Soils & Sediments 1 (1): 44-49.
- ZEREINI, F., WISEMAN, C., BEYER, J.M., ARTELT, S., URBAN, H. (2001c): Platinum, Lead and Cerium Concentrations of Street Particulate Matter. JSS – J. Soils & Sediments 1 (3): 188-195.
- ZEREINI, F., ALT, F., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., VON BOHLEN, A., LIEBL, K., PÜTTMANN, W. (2004): Concentration and Distribution of Platinum Group Elements (Pt, Pd, Rh) in Airborne Particulate Matter in Frankfurt am Main, Germany. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38 : 1686-1692.
- ZHANG, Q., ZHONG, W., XING, B., TANG, W., CHEN, Y. (1998): Binding properties and stoichiometries of a palladium(II) complex to metallothioneins in vivo and in vitro. J Inorg Biochem **72**:195-200
- ZIMMERMANN, S., MENZEL, C.M., BERNER, Z., ECKHARDT, J.D., STÜBEN, D., ALT, F., MESSERSCHMIDT, J., TARASCHEWSKI, H., SURES, B. (2001): Trace analysis of platinum in biological samples: a comparison between sector field ICP-MS and adsorptive cathodic stripping voltammetry following different digestion procedures. Anal. Chim. Acta 439: 203-209.

# Appendices

## Appendix A:

### Plate 1: Some photographs from the field experiments.



Plate 2: Some photographs showing the phytotoxic symptoms of platinum and palladium treated plants grown in the growth chamber.



Picture (5): Phytotoxic symptoms (chlorosis) of a potato leaf from the growth chamber experiments.



Picture (6): Phytotoxic symptoms: chlorosis and brown leaf edges of potato leaves treated with platinum.



Picture (7): Control potato plants in the growth chamber at the end of the experiment.







Picture (9): Barley plants grown in the growth chamber before treatment.



Picture (10): Barley plants showing no phytotoxic symptoms after treatment with platinum and palladium chloride salts.

Plate 3: Some photographs showing the phytotoxic symptoms of low-level (300  $\mu$ g/l) platinum and palladium treated potato plants grown in the growth chamber.



**Picture (11):** A palladium treated potato plant showing dark roots and foam (oxidative stress?).



**Picture (12):** A palladium treated potato plant showing dark roots and more tuber yield than controls (compare to picture 14).



**Picture (13):** A platinum treated potato plant showing dark roots and more tuber yield than controls (picture 14).



**Picture (14):** A control potato plant showing white roots and lower tuber yield than platinum and palladium treated plants (pictures 11, 12, and 13).

## Appendix B:

**Table 1B:** Loss on ignition [wt.%] of fieldgrown lettuce plants.

Sample	<b>Field Lettuce</b>			
HW-C-1	87 Sample			
HW-C-2	89	BG-C-1	86	
HW-C-3	88	BG-C-2	86	
HW-C-4	89	BG-C-3	88	
HW-C-5	87	BG-C-4	83	

HW-Pt-1	87	BG-Pt-1	84
HW-Pt-2	89	BG-Pt-2	86
HW-Pt-3	90	BG-Pt-3	87
HW-Pt-4	89	BG-Pt-4	84
HW-Pt-5	89	BG-Pt-5	88

HW-Pd-1	88	BG-Pd-1	85
HW-Pd-2	86	BG-Pd-2	81
HW-Pd-3	89	BG-Pd-3	85
HW-Pd-4	88	BG-Pd-4	84
HW-Pd-5	88	BG-Pd-5	86

**Table 4B:** Loss on ignition [wt.%] of potato(I) plants grown in the growth chamber.

Treatmont	Potato I					
Heatment	L	LS	Sm	Т	R	
P-I-T-1	87	76	86	0.2	92	
P-I-T-2	86	74	82	93	92	
P-I-D-1	89	79	87	0.2	91	
P-I-D-2	89	76	85	93	91	

**Table 2B:** Loss on ignition [wt.%] of barleyplants grown in the growth chamber.

Treatmont	Barley		
пеаннент	shoot	root	
BT-1	86	94	
BT-2	86	94	
BT-3	86	94	
BT-4	85	95	
BD-1	86	94	
BD-2	86	96	
BD-3	87	94	
BD-4	86	95	

**Table 3B:** Loss on ignition [wt.%] of lettuceplants grown in the growth chamber.

Treatmont	Lettuce			
Treatment	L	Sm	R	
LT-1	85	93	90	
LT-2	85	93	90	
LT-3	91	95	90	
LT-4	86	94	89	
LD-1	85	93	89	
LD-2	85	93	90	
LD-3	85	92	90	
LD-4	84	94	89	

**Table 5B:** Loss on ignition [wt.%] of potato(II) plants grown in the growth chamber.

Treatmont	Potato II						
Heatment	L	LS	Sm	Т	R		
P-II-T-1	85	73	79	95	91		
P-II-T-2	84	75	84	95	92		
P-II-T-3	87	73	84	95	92		
P-II-T-4	87	73	80	95	91		
P-II-D-1	86	73	82	95	93		
P-II-D-2	89	75	82	95	93		
P-II-D-3	87	73	84	95	91		
P-II-D-4	88	73	84	96	90		

	Rh	Pd	Pt
Blank 1	0.005	0.16	0.08
Blank 2	0.004	0.14	0.17
Blank 3	0.009	0.22	0.03
Blank 4	0.004	0.25	0.14
Blank 5	0.005	0.09	0.20
Blank 6	0.004	0.18	0.23
Blank 7	0.015	0.1	0.2
Blank 8	0.005	0.2	0.5
Blank 9	0.003	0.2	0.2
Blank 10	0.002	0.1	0.2
Blank 11	0.002	0.0	0.2
Blank 12	0.001	0.1	0.2
Blank 13	0.021	0.26	0.09
Blank 14	0.015	0.14	0.13
Blank 15	0.013	0.27	0.08
Blank 16	0.013	0.32	0.07
Blank 17	0.013	0.70	0.26

**Table 6B:** Concentration of rhodium, palladium, and platinum in the procedural blanks taken during the ICP-MS measurements of PGE.

**Table 7B:** Limit of detection (LOD) for rhodium, palladium, and platinum calculated from the procedural blanks (Table 6B) as  $3^* \Sigma$ .

Mean	Rh	Pd	Pt
(n=17)	0.01	0.20	0.18
SD	0.01	0.15	0.12
3*∑	0.02	0.44	0.35

**Table 8B:** Concentration [ng/g] of platinum, palladium, and rhodium in a replicate potato sample measured at ISAS and IMG.

Samula	Platinum	[ng/g]	Palladiu	m [ng/g]	Rhodiun	n[ng/g]
Sample	ISAS	IMG	ISAS	IMG	ISAS	IMG
1	4.8	5.3	4.0	4.4	0.2	0.5
2	2.2	0.3	14.0	12.6	1.1	0.1
3	nd	nd	34.7	43.0	nd	nd
4	58.2	56.2	37.0	55.5	10.6	7.5
5	4.8	4.8	nd	nd	0.3	0.7

nd = not determined

Controls	Rh	Rh-D	Pd	Pd-D	Pt	Pt-D
Sample 1C	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6
Sample 2C	0.2	0.2	1.7	1.4	2.5	1.9
Sample 3C	0.1	0.3	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.3
Sample 4C	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Pt-treatment						
Sample 1Pt	0.2	0.1	1.0	0.9	1.5	1.6
Sample 2Pt	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.6	5.6	5.2
Sample 3Pt	1.3	1.1	0.1	0.1	6.3	6.1
Sample 4Pt	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.8	1.5	1.2
Sample 5Pt	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.8	11.8	11.8
Sample 6Pt	nd	nd	nd	nd	4.2	4.8
Sample 7Pt	nd	nd	1.6	1.3	1.9	2.7
Sample 8Pt	nd	nd	1.2	0.9	1.7	2.6
Sample 9Pt	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.1
Pd-treatment						
Sample 1Pd	0.1	0.1	2.3	3.1	1.4	0.8
Sample 2Pd	0.9	0.9	4.8	3.8	0.7	0.3
Sample 3Pd	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Sample 4Pd	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1
nd = not determined						

**Table 9B:** Concentration  $[\mu g/kg DW]$  of rhodium, palladium, and platinum measured in duplicate potato samples (X-D = duplicate, whereby X = element).

**Table 10B:** Concentration [ng/g] of platinum in grass samples determined by voltammetry and ICP-MS (Data from Klueppel et al. 1998).

treated	detection method				
grass samples	voltammetry	ICP-MS			
•	Concentration [ng/g]				
1st harvest	-	-			
2nd harvest	86	74			
3rd harvest	1700	-			
4th harvest	3390	3260			
5th harvest	8220	6790			
treated roots	67200	59600			

**Table 11B:** Descriptive statistic on rhodium, palladium, and platinum concentrations  $[\mu g/kg DW]$  in lettuce plants grown at the highway and botanical garden sites.

BC	l	Min.		Max.			]	Mean	l	SD		
ЪG	Rh	Pd	Pt	Rh	Pd	Pt	Rh	Pd	Pt	Rh	Pd	Pt
Controls	0.2	17	7	2	42	32	1	29	15	1	10	11
Pt-treatment	9	4	40	35	9	104	24	7	77	11	3	23
Pd-treatment	5	42	2	44	269	12	23	140	7	16	95	4
нж	I	Min.			Max	•	]	Mean	l		SD	
11 **	Rh	Pd	Pt	Rh	Pd	Pt	Rh	Pd	Pt	Rh	Pd	Pt
Controls	0.0	6	2	2	21	21	0	13	8	1	6	8
Pt-treatment	10	12	34	27	62	90	18	39	68	6	25	21
Pd-treatment	17	74	3	21	127	9	20	94	5	2	20	2

**Table 12B:** Concentrations  $[\mu g/kg DW]$  of rhodium, palladium, and platinum in the field grown lettuce plants. BG-C: Control plants grown at the Botanical Garden site, BG-Pt : Platinum treated plants grown at the Botanical Garden site, BG-Pd : Palladium treated plants grown at the Botanical Garden site, HW-C: Control plants grown at the highway site, HW-Pt : Platinum treated plants grown at the highway site, HW-Pd : Palladium treated plants grown at the highway site. The Pt/Rh and Pd/Rh ratios are also listed.

Botanical	Garden Si	ite				Highway S	ite				
Samula	Con	c. [µg/kg	DW]	Ra	atio	Samula	Conc. [µg/kg DW]			Ratio	
Sample	Rh	Pd	Pt	Pt/Rh	Pd/Rh	Sampre	Rh	Pd	Pt	Pt/Rh	Pd/Rh
BG-C-1	0.3	26	8	29	93	HW-C-1	1.5	6	3	2	4
BG-C-2	0.2	17	7	46	106	HW-C-2	0.0	21	10	756	1581
BG-C-3	0.2	31	14	60	128	HW-C-3	0.6	13	2	3	21
BG-C-4	2.1	42	32	15	20	HW-C-4	0.0	15	21	1636	1143
						HW-C-5	0.0	8	3	222	513
BG-Pt-1	35	9	82	2	0	HW-Pt-1	17.8	56	67	4	3
BG-Pt-2	35	5	104	3	0	HW-Pt-2	16.6	52	70	4	3
BG-Pt-3	21	4	77	4	0	HW-Pt-3	9.8	62	34	3	6
BG-Pt-4	21	9	80	4	0	HW-Pt-4	20.8	13	90	4	1
BG-Pt-5	9	9	40	4	1	HW-Pt-5	27.2	12	79	3	0
				-						-	
BG-Pd-1	14	89	7	0	6	HW-Pd-1	21.1	85	9	0	4
BG-Pd-2	16	88	7	0	6	HW-Pd-2	20.6	127	5	0	6
BG-Pd-3	5	42	2	0	9	HW-Pd-3	17.5	74	3	0	4
BG-Pd-4	34	269	6	0	8	HW-Pd-4	21.5	95	3	0	4
BG-Pd-5	44	209	12	0	5	HW-Pd-5	19.4	90	3	0	5

**Table 13B:** Mean and standard deviation (SD) of the Pt/Rh and Pd/Rh ratios, found in field grown lettuce plants.

	Mean	SD
Pt/Rh BG	3	0.8
Pt/Rh HW	4	0.6
Pd/Rh BG	7	1.6
Pd/Rh HW	5	0.8
Pt/Rh	4	0.7
Pd/Rh	6	1.6

**Table 14B:** Platinum and palladium concentrations in potato (II) plants treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l PGE chloride salts and grown in the growth chamber. P-II-T : Pt-treated, P-II-D : Pd-treated. Shaded fields were excluded from the statistical analysis.

Platinum			Con	c. [µg Pt/kg	DW]		
treatments	leaf	leaf stalk	stem	tuber	root	shoot	average
P-II-T-1*	4,273	2,251	2,434	449	188,119	2,986	39,505
P-II-T-2	2,552	1,420	1,426	681	162,925	1,799	33,801
P-II-T-3	2,515	1,491	1,247	443	209,158	1,751	42,971
P-II-T-4	2,627	1,405	1,433	555	132,215	1,821	27,647
mean*	2,565	1,439	1,369	560	168,099	1,791	34,806
SD	57	46	106	119	38,731	36	7,711
min.	2,515	1,405	1,247	443	132,215	1,751	27,647
max.	2,627	1,491	1,433	681	209,158	1,821	42,971
Palladium			Con	c. [µg Pd/kg	DW]		
treatments	leaf	leaf stalk	stem	tuber	root	shoot	average
P-II-D-1	260	86	94	175	198,724	147	39,868
P-II-D-2*	194	69	97	151	350,367	120	70,175
P-II-D-3	523	181	270	235	180,761	324	36,394
P-II-D-4	339	109	229	175	176,134	226	35,397
mean*	329	111	172	184	185,206	204	45,459
SD	142	49	91	36	11,933	92	16,589

**Table 15B:** Platinum and palladium concentrations in potato (I) plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l PGE chloride salts and grown in the growth chamber. P-I-T : Pt-treated, P-I-D : Pd-treated. Shaded fields were excluded from the statistical analysis.

151

235

94

270

min.

max.

194

523

69

181

176,134

198,724

36

324

7,711

70,175

Platinum			Conc.	[µg Pt/kg	DW]		
treatments	leaf	leaf stalk	stem	root	tuber	shoot	average
P-I-T-1	8,606	4,381	3,822	491,629	751	5,603	
P-I-T-2	8,145	3,390	3,022	553,255	/51	4,852	
mean	8,375	3,885	3,422	522,442	751	5,227	119,667
SD	325	701	566	43,576		2,484	228,884
min	8,145	3,390	3,022	491,629		3,022	751
max.	8,606	4,381	3,822	553,255		8,606	553,255
Palladium			Conc.	[µg Pd/kg	DW]		
treatments	leaf	leaf stalk	stem	root	tuber	shoot	average
P-I-D-1	3,529	1,642	2,559	432,562	1 204	2,577	
P-I-D-2	3,320	1,447	1,923	673,074	1,204	2,230	
mean	3 1 2 5	1 5 1 1	2 2 1 1	552 818	1 204	2 4 0 3	124 584
	5,425	1,344	2,241	552,010	1,204	2,405	124,504
SD	148	1,344	450	170,067	1,204	878	250,122
SD min	148   3,320	1,344 138 1,447	450 1,923	170,067 432,562	1,204	878 1,447	250,122 1,204

**Table 16B:** Platinum and palladium concentrations in lettuce plants treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l PGE chloride salts and grown in the growth chamber. LT : Pt-treated, LD: Pd-treated. Shaded fields were excluded from the statistical analysis.

Platinum		Con	ιc. [μg Pt/kg I	<b>D</b> W]	
treatments	leaf	stem	root	shoot	average
LT-1	1,329	1,614	537,730	1,472	180,224
LT-2	1,368	1,545	522,468	1,457	175,127
LT-3	1,022	806	440,395	914	147,408
LT-4*	571	385	1,563,981	478	521,646
mean*	1,240	1,322	500,198	1,281	167,586
SD	190	448	52,349	318	17,660
min.	1,022	806	440,395	914	147,408
max.	1,368	1,614	537,730	1,472	180,224
Palladium		Con	c. [µg Pd/kg ]	DW]	
treatments	leaf	stem	root	shoot	average
LD-1	329	327	449,023	328	149,893
LD-2	290	335	402,321	313	134,315
LD-3	485	214	348,511	349	116,403
LD-4	301	173	358,561	237	119,679
mean	351	262	389,604	307	130,073
SD	90	81	45,988	49	15,338
min.	290	173	348,511	237	116,403
max.	485	335	449,023	349	149,893

**Table 17B:** Platinum and palladium concentration in barley plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l PGE chloride salts and grown in the growth chamber. BT : Pt-treated, BD: Pd-treated. Shaded fields were excluded from the statistical analysis.

Platinum	Con	c. [µg Pt/kg ]	DW]	F	Palladium	m Conc. [µg Pd/kg DW]			
treatments	shoot	root	average	t	treatments	shoot	root	average	
BT-1	2,764	777,076	389,920	BI	D-1*	1,766	515,349	258,558	
BT-2	3,537	765,378	384,457	BI	D-2	1,889	1,156,083	578,986	
BT-3*	5,688	1,153,242	579,465	BI	D-3	1,363	992,270	496,817	
BT-4	2,741	1,201,060	601,900	BI	D-4	1,615	855,753	428,684	
mean*	3,014	974,189	488,936	m	iean*	1,658	1,001,369	501,496	
SD	453	235,220	117,865	SI	D	227	272,265	75,260	
min	2,741	765,378	384,457	m	in	1,363	515,349	428,684	
max.	3,537	1,201,060	601,900	m	ax.	1,889	1,156,083	578,986	

Platinum		Conc. [µmol Pt/kg DW]									
treatments	leaf	leaf stalk	stem	root	tuber	shoot	average				
P-I-T-1	44	22	20	2,521	0	29					
P-I-T-2	42	17	15	2,837	0	25					
mean	43	20	18	2,679	0	27	614				
SD	2	4	3	223		13	1,174				
min	42	17	15	2,521		15	4				
max.	44	22	20	2,837		44	2,837				
Palladium			Conc. [	µmol Pd/k	g DW]						
treatments	leaf	leaf stalk	stem	root	tuber	shoot	average				
P-I-D-1	33	15	24	4,081	0						
P-I-D-2	31	14	18	6,350	0						
mean	32	15	21	5,215	0	23	1,175				
SD	1	1	4	1,604		8	2,360				
min	31	14	18	4,081		14	11				
		1			1						

**Table 18B:** Platinum and palladium concentrations calculated as  $[\mu mol/kg DW]$  in potato (I) plants treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l PGE chloride salts and grown in the growth chamber. P-I-T : Pt-treated, P-I-D : Pd-treated.

**Table 19B:** Platinum and palladium concentrations calculated as  $[\mu mol/kg DW]$  in potato (II) plants treated with 300  $\mu g/l$  PGE chloride salts and grown in the growth chamber. P-II-T : Pt-treated, P-II-D : Pd-treated. Shaded fields were excluded from the statistical analysis.

Platinum			Conc.	[µmol Pt/kg	g D W]		
treatments	leaf	leaf stalk	stem	tuber	root	shoot	average
P-II-T-1*	22	12	12	2	965	15	203
P-II-T-2	13	7	7	3	836	9	173
P-II-T-3	13	8	6	2	1,073	9	220
P-II-T-4	13	7	7	3	678	9	142
mean*	13	7	7	3	862	9	178
SD	0	0	1	1	199	0	40
min.	13	7	6	2	678	9	142
max.	13	8	7	3	1,073	9	220
Palladium			Conc.	[µmol Pd/k	g DW]		
treatments	leaf	leaf stalk	stem	tuber	root	shoot	average
P-II-D-1	2	1	1	2	1,875	1	376
P-II-D-2*	2	1	1	1	3,305	1	662
P-II-D-3	5	2	3	2	1,705	3	343
P-II-D-4	3	1	2	2	1,662	2	334
mean*	3	1	2	2	1,747	2	429
SD	1	0	1	0	113	1	156
min.	2	1	1	1	1,662	1	334
max.	5	2	3	2	1,875	3	662

**Table 20B:** Platinum and palladium concentrations calculated as  $[\mu mol/kg DW]$  in lettuce plants treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l PGE chloride salts and grown in the growth chamber. LT : Pt-treated, LD: Pd-treated. Shaded fields were excluded from the statistical analysis.

Platinum		Conc	. [µmol Pt/kg	DW]	
treatments	leaf	stem	root	shoot	average
LT-1	7	8	2,758	8	924
LT-2	7	8	2,679	7	898
LT-3	5	4	2,258	5	756
LT-4*	3	2	8,020	2	2,675
mean*	6	7	2,565	7	859
SD	1	2	268	2	91
min.	5	4	2,258	5	756
max.	7	8	2,758	8	924
Palladium		Conc	. [µmol Pd/kg	5 <b>D</b> W]	
treatments	leaf	stem	root	shoot	average
LD-1	3	3	4,236	3	1,414
LD-2	3	3	3,795	3	1,267
LD-3	5	2	3,288	3	1,098
LD-4	3	2	3,383	2	1,129
mean	3	2	3,676	3	1,227
SD	1	1	434	0	145
min.	3	2	3,288	2	1,098
max.	5	3	4,236	3	1,414

**Table 21B:** Platinum and palladium concentrations calculated as  $[\mu mol/kg DW]$  in barley plants treated with 2000  $\mu g/l$  PGE chloride salts and grown in the growth chamber. BT : Pt-treated, BD: Pd-treated.

Platinum	Conc.	[µmol Pt/kg	g D W]	Palladium	Conc.	[µmol Pd/kg	g D W]
treatments	shoot	root	average	treatments	shoot	root	average
BT-1	14	3,985	2,000	BD-1	17	4,862	2,439
BT-2	18	3,925	1,972	BD-2	18	10,906	5,462
BT-3	29	5,914	2,972	BD-3	13	9,361	4,687
BT-4	14	6,159	3,087	BD-4	15	8,073	4,044
mean*	15	4,996	2,507	mean	16	9,447	4,731
SD	2	1,206	604	SD	2	2,569	710
min	14	3,925	1,972	min	13	4,862	4,044
max.	18	6,159	3,087	max.	18	10,906	5,462

**Table 22B:** Metal ratios in potato (I) treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l PGE chloride salts. P-I-T : Pt-treated, P-I-D : Pd-treated. L : Leaf, LS : Leaf Stalk, T : Tuber, Sm : Stem, S : Shoot, R : Root. Values in bold font were used for the graphical representation.

Platinum	L/LS	L/Sm	L/T	LS/Sm	LS/T	Sm/T	S/R	R/S
treatments				rat	io			
P-I-T-1	2	2	11	1	6	5	0.011	88
P-I-T-2	2	3	11	1	5	4	0.009	114
mean	2	2	11	1	5	5	0.010	100
SD	0	0	0	0	1	0.8	0.002	19
Palladium	L/LS	L/Sm	L/T	LS/Sm	LS/T	Sm/T	S/R	R/S
treatments				rat	io			
P-I-D-1	2	1	3	1	1	2	0.006	168
P-I-D-2	2	2	3	1	1	2	0.003	302
mean	2	2	3	1	1	2	0.004	230
SD	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0.002	95

**Table 23B:** Metal ratios in potato (II) treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l PGE chloride salts. P-II-T : Pt-treated, P-II-D : Pd-treated. L : Leaf, LS : Leaf Stalk, T : Tuber, Sm : Stem, S : Shoot, R : Root. Shaded fields were excluded from the statistical analysis. Shaded fields were excluded from the statistical analysis.

Platinum	L/LS	L/Sm	L/T	LS/Sm	.S/Sm LS/T		S/R	R/S	
treatments	ratio								
P-II-T-1*	1.9	1.8	9.5	0.9	5.0	5.4	0.016	63	
P-II-T-2	1.8	1.8	3.7	1.0	2.1	2.1	0.011	91	
P-II-T-3	1.7	2.0	5.7	1.2	3.4	2.8	0.008	119	
P-II-T-4	1.9	1.8	4.7	1.0	2.5	2.6	0.014	73	
mean*	1.8	1.9	4.7	1.1	2.7	2.5	0.011	94	
SD*	0.1	0.1	1.0	0.1	0.6	0.4	0.003	24	
Palladium	L/LS	L/Sm	L/T	LS/Sm	LS/T	Sm/T	S/R	R/S	
treatments	ratio								
P-II-D-1	3.0	2.8	1.5	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.001	1354	
P-II-D-2*	2.8	2.0	1.3	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.000	<i>2923</i>	
P-II-D-3	2.9	1.9	2.2	0.7	0.8	1.1	0.002	557	
P-II-D-4	3.1	1.5	1.9	0.5	0.6	1.3	0.001	780	
mean*	3.0	2.0	1.7	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.001	897	
SD	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.001	411	

**Table 24B:** Metal ratios in lettuce treated with 300  $\mu$ g/l PGE chloride salts. LT : Pt-treated, LD : Pd-treated. L : Leaf, Sm : Stem, S : Shoot, R : Root. Shaded fields were excluded from the statistical analysis.

Platinum	L/Sm	R/S	S/R	Palladium	L/Sm	R/S	S/R
treatments	ratio			treatments	ratio		
LT-1	0.8	365	0.003	LD-1	1.0	1,368	0.001
LT-2	0.9	359	0.003	LD-2	0.9	1,287	0.001
LT-3	1.3	482	0.002	LD-3	2.3	997	0.001
<i>LT-4</i> *	1.5	3,271	0.000	LD-4	1.7	1,511	0.001
mean*	1.0	402	0.003	mean	1.5	1,291	0.001
SD	0.2	69	0.000	SD	0.7	216	0.000

**Table 25B:** Metal ratios in barley treated with 2000  $\mu$ g/l PGE chloride salts. BT : Pt-treated, BD : Pd-treated. S : Shoot, R : Root. Shaded fields were excluded from the statistical analysis.

Platinum	S/R	R/S	Palladium	S/R	R/S
treatments	ratio		treatments	ra	tio
BT-1	0.004	281	BD-1*	0.003	292
BT-2	0.005	216	BD-2	0.002	612
BT-3*	0.005	203	BD-3	0.001	728
BT-4	0.002	438	BD-4	0.002	530
mean*	0.003	187	mean*	0.002	623
SD	0.001	189	SD	0.001	185
Appendix C: Data of physiological parameters determined for the greenhouse plants.



![](_page_145_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_146_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure (6C): Total chlorophyll (a + b) content of barley treated with platinum and palladium showed no difference compared to the control plants.

![](_page_147_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure (8C):** The transpiration rate (E) determined for platinum treated plants, was higher than the controls and the palladium treated plants which indicate opening stomata and hence higher  $P_N$  rate.

![](_page_148_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_148_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_148_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_149_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_150_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure (14C):** Rfd of platinum and palladium treated plants was similar to the controls and was slightly higher for platinum than palladium treated lettuce plants.

![](_page_151_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure (15C): Palladium treated lettuce plants showed higher  $P_N$  than the controls and platinum treated ones.

![](_page_151_Figure_2.jpeg)

ones.

![](_page_152_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure (17C): Palladium treated lettuce showed higher Gs than platinum treated and control ones indicating opening stomata.

![](_page_152_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_153_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure (20C):** Palladium treated potato (I) showed lower Rfd than the controls and platinum treated plants, which indicates the involving of palladium in the photosynthetic processes.

![](_page_154_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_155_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure (24C):** The Gs measured for PGE treated potato (2000  $\mu$ g/l) was far lower than the control plants, also indicting the interfering of PGE with photosynthetic processes.

![](_page_156_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_157_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_158_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure (30C): The photosynthetic rate  $[P_N]$  of the PGE treated potato (II) was lower than the controls.

![](_page_159_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Figure (31C):** The transpiration rate [E] of the PGE treated potato (II) was lower than the controls.

![](_page_159_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure (32C):** The stomatal conductance [Gs] of PGE treated potato (II) was lower than the controls.

#### Appendix D:

Table 1D: SPAD units me	ble 1D: SPAD units measured for greenhouse plants.											
SPAD-Barley	Mean	SD	SPAD-Potato I	Mean	SD							
Controls	44.3	2.9	Controls	66	28							
Pt-treatment	44.9	2.0	Pt-treatment	64	29							
Pd-treatment	44.2	1.6	Pd-treatment	83	1							
SPAD-Lettuce	Mean	SD	SPAD-Potato II	Mean	SD							
Controls	30.2	3.8	Controls	49	2							
Pt-treatment	31.1	1.6	Pt-treatment	47	5							
Pd-treatment	32.2	2.1	Pd-treatment	51	2							

 Table 2D: Leaf area of greenhouse grown crop plants.

Barley	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.
Controls	35.6	3.5	37.0	29.2	39.5
Pt- treatment	30.6	6.0	30.1	20.7	40.3
Pd- treatment	29.7	4.3	29.9	22.3	37.7
Lettuce	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.
Controls	153.1	31.5	152.4	108.0	209.4
Pt- treatment	175.5	21.7	172.5	143.7	220.7
Pd- treatment	170.9	24.3	169.8	132.1	221.0
Potato II	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.
Controls	30	5	31	22	41
Pt- treatment	26	5	25	19	34
Pd- treatment	25	8	24	16	39

Table 3D: Fresh and dry weight of greenhouse grown plants.

Barley						Potato I					
Fresh weight	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.	Fresh weight	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.
Controls	21	4	21	16	27	Controls	85	2	85	82	88
Pt- treatment	24	4	23	18	33	Pt- treatment	83	2	84	79	85
Pd- treatment	20	2	20	17	24	Pd- treatment	81	2	81	78	84
Dry weight	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.	Dry weight	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.
Controls	79	4	79	73	84	Controls	15	2	15	12	18
Pt- treatment	76	4	77	67	82	Pt- treatment	17	2	16	15	21
Pd- treatment	80	2	80	76	83	Pd- treatment	19	2	19	16	22
Lettuce						Potato II					
Fresh weight	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.	Fresh weight	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.
Controls	83	2	84	79	86	Controls	80	4	80	74	85
Pt- treatment	84	2	85	81	87	Pt- treatment	83	2	83	80	86
Pd- treatment	85	2	85	82	89	Pd- treatment	78	3	78	76	83
Dry weight	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.	Dry weight	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.
Controls	17	2	16	14	21	Controls	20	4	20	15	26
Pt- treatment	16	2	15	13	19	Pt- treatment	17	2	17	14	20
Pd- treatment	15	2	15	11	18	Pd- treatment	22	3	22	17	24

WI 600		Mean		S	tandard Deviation	on (SD)		
WL 090	Controls	Pt- treatment	Pd- treatment	Controls	Pt- treatment	Pd- treatment		
Fo	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.10	0.19	0.13		
Ι	2.4	2.5	2.3	0.33	0.32	0.29		
Fp	3.1	3.2	2.9	0.22	0.39	0.34		
Fs	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.06	0.08	0.10		
Fv	2.1	2.2	2.0	0.16	0.21	0.25		
Fd	2.4	2.6	2.3	0.19	0.33	0.26		
T1/2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00		
TI	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.06	0.01	0.00		
Тр	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.06	0.06	0.07		
T50	10	10	10	2	2	1		
T90	37	46	50	10	21	19		
Fv/Fo	2.3	2.3	2.3	0.22	0.21	0.33		
Fv/Fp	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.02	0.02	0.03		
Rfd	3.8	4.0	3.8	0.30	0.42	0.37		
WI 735		Mean Rfd		Standard Deviation (SD)				
WE 755	Controls	Pt- treatment	Pd- treatment	Controls	Pt- treatment	Pd- treatment		
Fo	1.5	1.6	1.5	0.13	0.18	0.18		
Ι	3.8	3.9	3.6	0.44	0.49	0.42		
Fp	5.1	5.2	4.9	0.33	0.35	0.48		
Fs	1.3	1.3	1.3	0.07	0.11	0.18		
Fv	3.6	3.6	3.4	0.27	0.21	0.35		
Fd	3.7	3.9	3.6	0.29	0.31	0.33		
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00		
T1/2			0.0	0.06	0.08	0.00		
T1/2 TI	0.0	0.1	0.0			0.4.0		
T 1/2 T I T p	0.0	0.1 0.5	0.6	0.07	0.06	0.10		
T1/2 TI Tp T50	0.0 0.5 10	0.1 0.5 11	0.6	0.07	0.06	0.10		
T1/2 TI Tp T50 T90	0.0 0.5 10 37	0.1 0.5 11 46	0.6 11 50	0.07 2 10	0.06 2 20	0.10 1 19		
T1/2 TI Tp T50 T90 Fv/Fo	0.0 0.5 10 37 2.3	0.1 0.5 11 46 2.3	0.6 11 50 2.3	0.07 2 10 0.22	0.06 2 20 0.19	0.10 1 19 0.23		
T1/2 TI Tp T50 T90 Fv/Fo Fv/Fp	0.0 0.5 10 37 2.3 0.7	0.1 0.5 11 46 2.3 0.7	0.6 11 50 2.3 0.7	0.07 2 10 0.22 0.02	0.06 2 20 0.19 0.02	0.10 1 19 0.23 0.02		

# Table 4D: Results of fluorescence measurements conducted on barley plants. RFD Barley

Table 5D: Results of fluorescence measurements conduct	ed on the lettuce.
RFD lettuce	

WI 690 nm		Mean		S	tandard Deviati	on (SD)
** £050 mm	Controls	Pt- treatment	Pd- treatment	Controls	Pt- treatment	Pd- treatment
Fo	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.09	0.10	0.12
Ι	1.6	1.6	1.5	0.17	0.22	0.28
Fp	2.3	2.2	2.3	0.19	0.27	0.26
Fs	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.06	0.04	0.06
Fv	1.7	1.7	1.8	0.16	0.19	0.14
Fd	1.8	1.8	1.8	0.18	0.23	0.23
T1/2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01
TI	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01
Тр	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.14	0.09	0.25
T50	19	17	23	7	3	10
Т90	70	50	76	36	9	31
Fv/Fo	2.9	3.3	3.5	0.51	0.51	0.53
Fv/Fp	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.04	0.03	0.03
Rfd	4.0	4.4	4.0	0.52	0.22	0.50

WL735 nm Fo I Fp Fs		Mean		S	tandard Deviati	on (SD)
WE/35 IIII	Controls	Pt- treatment	Pd- treatment	Controls	Pt- treatment	Pd- treatment
Fo	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.10	0.13	0.13
Ι	2.3	2.4	2.3	0.19	0.23	0.31
Fp	3.3	3.4	3.4	0.24	0.23	0.30
Fs	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.08	0.05	0.08
Fv	2.5	2.6	2.6	0.21	0.14	0.20
Fd	2.6	2.7	2.6	0.21	0.19	0.27
T1/2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.02
TI	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01
Тр	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.13	0.09	0.11
T50	19	18	24	6	2	10
T90	68	49	73	35	7	30
Fv/Fo	3.0	3.4	3.4	0.42	0.45	0.39
Fv/Fp	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.03	0.02	0.02
Rfd	3.3	3.6	3.4	0.37	0.17	0.36

WI 600		Mean		Standard Deviation (SD)				
VVL090	Controls	Pt-treatment	Pd-treatment	Controls	Pt-treatment	Pd-treatment		
Fo	0.77	0.66	0.62	0.14	0.05	0.06		
Ι	1.71	1.59	1.71	0.21	0.13	0.37		
Fp	2.48	2.14	2.03	0.21	0.14	0.20		
Fs	0.55	0.48	0.49	0.07	0.05	0.04		
Fv	1.71	1.48	1.40	0.12	0.17	0.21		
Fd	1.93	1.66	1.53	0.16	0.12	0.17		
T1/2	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00		
TI	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.00	0.01	0.13		
Тр	0.56	0.58	0.67	0.09	0.11	0.16		
T50	13	14	14	2	4	3		
T90	42	46	40	10	20	11		
Fv/Fo	2.26	2.28	2.27	0.35	0.39	0.46		
Fv/Fp	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.04	0.04	0.05		
Rfd	3.52	3.46	3.12	0.28	0.40	0.25		
WL735	Mean		Standa	d Deviatio	on (SD)			
	Controls	Pt-treatment	Pd-treatment	Controls	Pt-treatment	Pd-treatment		
Fo	1.47	1.33	1.33	0.19	0.12	0.14		
Ι	3.45	3.68	4.19	0.35	0.69	0.78		
Fp	5.19	4.73	4.63	0.31	0.31	0.38		
Fs	1.37	1.28	1.33	0.12	0.13	0.07		
Fv	3.72	3.39	3.30	0.18	0.32	0.39		
Fd	3.82	3.45	3.30	0.22	0.24	0.32		
T1/2	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00		
TI	0.03	0.09	0.22	0.01	0.11	0.14		
		0.10	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.07		
Тр	0.69	0.68	0.74	0.08	0.09	0.07		
Тр T50	0.69	0.68 14	0.74 16	0.08	4	3		

2.50

0.71

2.47

0.35

0.03

0.26

0.31

0.03

0.17

0.43

0.04

0.16

Fv/Fo

Fv/Fp

Rfd

2.57

0.72

2.80

2.56

0.72

2.72

 Table 6D: Results of fluorescence measurements conducted on the potato I.

WI 600 nm		Mean		Sta	ndard Deviati	on (SD)
w 1.690 nm	Controls	Pt-treatment	Pd-treatment	Controls	Pt-treatment	Pd-treatment
Fo	0.38	0.34	0.37	0.08	0.07	0.06
Fp	1.42	1.40	1.36	0.15	0.08	0.12
Fs	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.03	0.03	0.05
Fv	1.04	1.05	0.99	0.15	0.05	0.12
Fd	1.07	1.06	1.02	0.14	0.07	0.09
T1/2	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01
Тр	0.71	0.68	0.69	0.17	0.14	0.11
T50	12	15	10	2	4	4
Т90	35	46	30	12	15	12
Fv/Fo	2.86	3.17	2.72	0.71	0.59	0.56
Fv/Fp	0.73	0.76	0.73	0.05	0.04	0.04
Rfd	3.08	3.14	3.01	0.34	0.28	0.41
	2.00			0101	0.20	
				0101		
WI 725 nm		Mean		Sta	ndard Deviati	on (SD)
WL735 nm	Controls	Mean Pt-treatment	Pd-treatment	Sta	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment	on (SD) Pd-treatment
<b>WL735 nm</b> Fo	Controls 0.81	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73	Pd-treatment 0.78	Sta Controls 0.13	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08
WL735 nm Fo Fp	Controls 0.81 3.10	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73 2.99	Pd-treatment 0.78 2.93	Sta Controls 0.13 0.24	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09 0.16	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08 0.23
WL735 nm Fo Fp Fs	Controls 0.81 3.10 0.89	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73 2.99 0.86	Pd-treatment 0.78 2.93 0.87	Sta Controls 0.13 0.24 0.05	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09 0.16 0.05	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08 0.23 0.10
WL735 nm Fo Fp Fs Fv	Controls 0.81 3.10 0.89 2.28	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73 2.99 0.86 2.26	Pd-treatment 0.78 2.93 0.87 2.15	Sta Controls 0.13 0.24 0.05 0.23	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09 0.16 0.05 0.15	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08 0.23 0.10 0.23
WL735 nm Fo Fp Fs Fv Fd	Controls 0.81 3.10 0.89 2.28 2.21	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73 2.99 0.86 2.26 2.13	Pd-treatment 0.78 2.93 0.87 2.15 2.06	Sta           Controls           0.13           0.24           0.05           0.23           0.22	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09 0.16 0.05 0.15 0.14	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08 0.23 0.10 0.23 0.15
<b>WL735 nm</b> Fo Fp Fs Fv Fd T1/2	Controls 0.81 3.10 0.89 2.28 2.21 0.02	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73 2.99 0.86 2.26 2.13 0.02	Pd-treatment 0.78 2.93 0.87 2.15 2.06 0.02	Sta           Controls           0.13           0.24           0.05           0.23           0.22	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09 0.16 0.05 0.15 0.14 0.01	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08 0.23 0.10 0.23 0.15 0.01
<b>WL735 nm</b> Fo Fp Fs Fv Fd T1/2 Tp	Controls 0.81 3.10 0.89 2.28 2.21 0.02 0.71	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73 2.99 0.86 2.26 2.13 0.02 0.74	Pd-treatment 0.78 2.93 0.87 2.15 2.06 0.02 0.63	Sta           Controls           0.13           0.24           0.05           0.23           0.22           0.01	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09 0.16 0.05 0.15 0.14 0.01 0.09	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08 0.23 0.10 0.23 0.15 0.01 0.04
<b>WL735 nm</b> Fo Fp Fs Fv Fd T1/2 Tp T50	Controls 0.81 3.10 0.89 2.28 2.21 0.02 0.71 13	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73 2.99 0.86 2.26 2.13 0.02 0.74 16	Pd-treatment 0.78 2.93 0.87 2.15 2.06 0.02 0.63 11	Sta           Controls           0.13           0.24           0.05           0.23           0.22           0.01           0.11	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09 0.16 0.05 0.15 0.14 0.01 0.09 4	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08 0.23 0.10 0.23 0.15 0.01 0.04 4
WL735 nm Fo Fp Fs Fv Fd T1/2 Tp T50 T90	Controls 0.81 3.10 2.28 2.21 0.02 0.71 13 38	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73 2.99 0.86 2.26 2.13 0.02 0.74 16 49	Pd-treatment 0.78 2.93 0.87 2.15 2.06 0.02 0.63 11 30	Sta           Controls           0.13           0.24           0.05           0.23           0.22           0.01           0.11           3           13	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09 0.16 0.05 0.15 0.14 0.01 0.09 4 16	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08 0.23 0.10 0.23 0.15 0.01 0.04 4 12
WL735 nm Fo Fp Fs Fv Fd T1/2 Tp T50 T90 Fv/Fo	Controls 0.81 3.10 0.89 2.28 2.21 0.02 0.71 13 38 2.88	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73 2.99 0.86 2.26 2.13 0.02 0.74 16 49 3.12	Pd-treatment 0.78 2.93 0.87 2.15 2.06 0.02 0.63 11 30 2.77	Sta           Controls           0.13           0.24           0.05           0.23           0.22           0.01           0.11           3           13           0.55	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09 0.16 0.05 0.15 0.14 0.01 0.09 4 16 0.43	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08 0.23 0.10 0.23 0.15 0.01 0.04 4 12 0.43
WL735 nm Fo Fp Fs Fv Fd T1/2 Tp T50 T90 Fv/Fo Fv/Fp	Controls 0.81 3.10 0.89 2.28 2.21 0.02 0.71 13 38 2.88 0.74	Mean Pt-treatment 0.73 2.99 0.86 2.26 2.13 0.02 0.74 16 49 3.12 0.75	Pd-treatment 0.78 2.93 0.87 2.15 2.06 0.02 0.63 11 30 2.77 0.73	Sta           Controls           0.13           0.24           0.05           0.23           0.01           0.11           3           13           0.55           0.04	ndard Deviati Pt-treatment 0.09 0.16 0.05 0.15 0.14 0.01 0.09 4 16 0.43 0.03	on (SD) Pd-treatment 0.08 0.23 0.10 0.23 0.15 0.01 0.04 4 12 0.43 0.03

Table 8D: Pigments contents of barley and lettuce grown in greenhous	se.
--	-----

Barley						Lettuce						
Contro	ls					Controls						
	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.		Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.	
Chl a	286.0	49.3	290.8	221.3	353.5	Chl a	180.8	21.5	180.3	135.6	223.6	
Chl b	84.8	18.4	80.3	59.2	110.5	Chl b	48.6	6.1	48.8	34.8	60.2	
x + c	44.6	9.1	43.6	31.6	61.4	x + c	49.3	5.8	49.2	39.9	60.2	
a+b	370.8	67.0	374.6	280.5	460.0	a+b	229.4	27.5	229.1	170.5	283.8	
a/b	3.4	0.2	3.4	3.0	3.7	a/b	3.7	0.1	3.7	3.5	3.9	
a + b/x	8.4	0.8	8.5	7.3	9.9	a+b/x+c	4.7	0.2	4.7	4.3	5.0	
Pt-treatment Pt-treatment												
Chl a	294.5	35.0	292.4	247.1	352.2	Chl a	193.3	23.9	189.8	151.6	229.4	
Chl b	85.1	7.5	84.0	74.6	98.4	Chl b	51.0	5.3	50.3	41.3	59.8	
x + c	48.9	8.7	48.3	36.4	60.9	x + c	51.4	5.7	50.7	42.5	62.3	
a+b	379.6	42.4	374.5	321.7	450.6	a+b	244.3	29.0	240.4	192.9	286.6	
a/b	3.5	0.1	3.4	3.3	3.7	a/b	3.8	0.2	3.8	3.6	4.1	
a + b/x	7.9	0.7	7.8	6.9	9.2	a+b/x+c	4.8	0.2	4.6	4.5	5.1	
Pd-trea	atment					Pd-treatment						
Chl a	305.6	26.3	306.2	254.2	342.8	Chl a	200.9	14.8	201.1	178.7	225.4	
Chl b	86.3	9.6	83.4	69.7	106.8	Chl b	54.0	3.8	53.8	47.0	61.8	
$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}$	50.9	8.5	51.8	36.3	62.1	x + c	53.3	3.3	53.4	49.1	59.0	
a+b	391.9	31.8	399.6	323.8	438.5	a+b	254.9	18.3	254.9	227.7	283.0	
a/b	3.6	0.4	3.6	3.0	4.1	a/b	3.7	0.1	3.7	3.5	3.9	
a + b/x	7.8	1.1	7.9	6.4	9.9	a+b/x+c	4.8	0.2	4.8	4.4	5.2	

# Table 9D: Contents of pigments in potato I and potato II plants.Potato IPotato II

Potato I						Potato II							
Controls	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.	Controls	Mean	SD	Median	Min.	Max.		
Chl a	364	32	359	312	408	Chl a	422	30	422	370	478		
Chl b	97	8	100	85	113	Chl b	127	8	129	109	136		
x + c	73	9	72	62	88	x + c	90	13	92	70	109		
a+ b	461	40	447	397	516	a+b	548	38	551	479	615		
a/b	4	0.2	4	3	4	a/b	3	0.1	3	3	4		
a+b/x+c	6	0.4	6	6	7	a+b/x+c	6	0.7	6	5	7		
Pt- treatment	Pt- treatment Pt-treatment												
Chl a	459	52	450	389	541	Chl a	433	71	428	311	568		
Chl b	130	12	125	112	148	Chl b	130	18	126	101	162		
$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}$	89	13	86	75	110	x + c	91	13	86	77	119		
a+ b	589	64	579	501	689	a+b	563	89	554	421	730		
a/b	4	0.1	3	3	4	a/b	3	0	3	3	4		
a+b/x+c	7	0.4	6	6	7	a+b/x+c	6	1	6	5	7		
Pd- treatment						Pd-treatment							
Chl a	473	49	473	385	560	Chl a	442	22	437	408	475		
Chl b	135	14	134	108	162	Chl b	135	9	136	123	148		
$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}$	99	12	100	80	125	x + c	97	7	98	88	110		
a+ b	608	63	607	493	722	a+b	578	30	572	531	613		
a/b	4	0.1	3	3	4	a/b	3	0.1	3	3	3		
a+b/x+c	6	0.3	6	6	7	a+b/x+c	6	0.5	6	5	7		

Time		C		Pho	otosyr	thetic	rate	[µmol C	$O_2 m$	[s <sup>-1</sup> ] t	for bar	ley	1:	4	4
		Cont	trol treat	ment			Plati	num trea	tment		P	allac	dium trea	atmen	t
[minute]	mean	SD	median	mın.	max.	mean	SD	median	mın.	max.	mean	SD	median	mın.	max
0.5	-2.6	0.8	-2.3	-4.6	-1.7	-2.7	0.8	-2.5	-4.6	-1.7	-2.3	0.6	-2.5	-3.1	-1.2
1.0	-2.4	0.8	-2.2	-4.6	-1.6	-2.7	0.8	-2.3	-4.4	-1.9	-2.3	0.5	-2.3	-3.0	-1.1
1.5	-2.3	0.4	-2.2	-3.1	-1.7	-2.6	0.8	-2.3	-4.7	-1.8	-2.4	0.6	-2.7	-3.0	-1.1
2.0	-2.4	0.5	-2.4	-3.2	-1.4	-2.5	0.8	-2.2	-4.4	-1.7	-2.4	0.6	-2.3	-3.5	-1.1
2.5	-2.3	0.5	-2.3	-3.1	-1.2	-2.7	1.0	-2.4	-4.4	-1.4	-2.2	0.6	-2.2	-3.0	-0.8
3.0	-2.4	0.7	-2.5	-3.8	-1.6	-2.6	0.9	-2.4	-4.7	-1.5	-2.2	0.6	-2.1	-3.3	-1.2
3.5	-2.2	0.7	-2.3	-3.9	-1.3	-2.4	0.7	-2.3	-4.0	-1.1	-2.2	0.5	-2.2	-2.9	-1.(
4.0	-2.2	0.5	-2.1	-3.1	-1.6	-2.4	0.9	-2.2	-4.3	-0.9	-2.2	0.4	-2.2	-3.1	-1.3
4.5	-2.2	0.4	-2.2	-2.9	-1.5	-2.2	0.8	-2.1	-3.7	-0.7	-2.0	0.6	-2.2	-3.0	-1.0
5.0	-1.3	0.6	-1.2	-2.7	-0.2	-1.6	0.9	-1.5	-3.6	0.0	-1.4	0.9	-1.7	-2.5	-0.1
5.5	1.6	1.0	1.3	0.2	4.2	2.1	2.1	1.3	0.3	8.5	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.0	3.3
6.0	3.5	2.1	3.2	0.8	8.1	3.8	2.2	3.4	1.0	9.9	3.0	1.3	3.1	0.9	5.0
6.5	5.2	1.8	5.1	1.5	7.9	5.9	2.4	6.1	1.7	11.4	4.9	2.1	4.9	1.1	8.8
7.0	6.7	2.3	6.5	3.0	9.6	6.9	2.2	7.4	3.4	11.4	6.3	2.3	6.5	1.9	10.5
7.5	8.1	2.6	8.0	3.8	13.2	8.4	2.2	8.4	4.5	12.9	7.4	2.7	6.8	2.5	12.6
8.0	9.0	2.7	8.3	4.4	14.4	9.4	2.1	9.4	5.5	13.0	8.6	2.7	8.4	3.6	13.3
8.5	10.0	2.4	9.4	6.2	14.7	10.7	1.9	10.9	7.7	14.5	9.6	2.7	9.7	4.5	14.4
9.0	10.4	2.4	10.9	6.2	14.6	11.4	2.0	11.5	8.4	14.4	10.4	2.8	10.5	5.4	14.
9.5	11.1	2.3	11.3	/./	15.4	12.1	1.5	12.2	9.4	14./	11.5	2.8	11.6	6.5	16.0
10.0	12.1	2.6	11.8	8.0	17.0	12.8	1.8	12.5	9.0	15.3	11.8	2.9	12.1	/.1	17.3
10.5	12.7	2.9	12.8	8.6	1/.0	13.3	1./	13.3	10.1	15.9	12.5	2.7	13.0	8.5	1/.2
11.0	13.1	2.7	13.5	8.9	18.0	13.8	1.8	13.9	10.0	10.1	12.8	3.0	12.9	8.7	18.4
11.5	13.5	2.9	13.3	9.0	18.8	14.1	1.8	14.1	10.9	16.3	13.4	2.1	13.0	9.4	18.2
12.0	13.9	2.1	14.1	9.8	18.4	14.4	1.5	14.9	11.4	10.0	13.8	2.8	14.0	9.4	19.0
12.3	14.2	2.0	14.2	9.0	19.5	14.4	1.0	14.9	11./	10.0	14.1	2.0	14.1	10.1	19.0
12.5	14.5	2.0	14.4	10.5	19.4	14.9	1.0	15.5	11.0	10.0	14.4	2.1	14.4	10.2	10.
13.3	14.3	2.0	13.0	9.5	10.0	15.1	1.0	15.3	12.0	17.1	14.4	2.5	14.5	10.0	10.3
14.0	14.2	2.1	14.2	0.0	17.5	15.1	1.5	15.5	12.0	17.0	14.7	2.5	14.7	10.3	19.0
14.5	14.7	∠.0 3 1	15.2	9.9	20.2	15.3	1.0	16.0	12.9	16.8	14.9	2.3	14.0	10.8	19.4
15.0	14.0 1/17	3.1	17.0	9.9	19.6	15.3	1.0	15.6	12.0	10.0	14.9	2.3	14.0	10.0	10 3
16.0	14.7	27	14.7	9.0	19.0	15.5	1.4	15.0	12.0	17.3	14.0	2.5	14.7	11.3	19.
16.5	14.5	2.1	14.0	9.0	20.2	15.5	1.4	15.0	12.0	16.8	14.8	2.4	14.5	11.3	12.
17.0	14.0	$\frac{3.2}{2.7}$	14.7	10.6	10.2	15.4	1.4	15.6	12.0	16.0	14.0	2.2	14.0	10.8	10.
17.0	14.0	2.1	14.7	10.0	20.2	15.2	1.3	15.0	12.4	16.9	14.0	$\frac{2.3}{2.1}$	1/ 8	11.6	19.2
18.0	14.0	3.2	14.9	10.5	20.5	15.1	1.5	15.5	12.5	16.0	14.9	$\frac{2.1}{2.1}$	14.6	11.0	18 3
18.5	14.7	3.2	15.0	10.1	20.0	14.0	1.4	15.0	12.4	16.9	14.7	2.1	14.0	11.3	18 3
10.5	14.7	3.3	15.0	10.0	10.5	14.9	1.4	15.4	12.1	17.3	14.7	$\frac{2.3}{2.1}$	14.5	11.5	18.
19.0	14.7	3.4	15.2	9.8	20.0	14.9	1.5	14.8	12.1	16.5	14.0	$\frac{2.1}{2.2}$	14.7	11.7	18
20.0	14.5	2.5	11.0	0.6	20.0	14.7	1.4	15.1	12.1	16.1	14.4	2.2	12.0	11.0	10.

# **Figure 10D:** Photosynthetic rate $[P_N]$ measured for barely.

Time		~		Tra	anspir	ation r	ate [1	mmol H	$_{2}$ Om	<sup>•</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] f	or bar	ey			
		Cont	rol treat	ment			Platir	num trea	tment	;	F	allad	lium trea	atmen	t
[minute]	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	max
0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.0	1.4	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.1	1.9	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.6
1.0	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.1	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.1	1.9	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.6
1.5	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.1	1.1	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.1	1.8	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.6
2.0	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.1	1.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.1	1.8	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.6
2.5	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.1	1.2	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.2	1.9	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.5
3.0	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.1	1.2	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.6
3.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.1	1.2	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.1	1.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.5
4.0	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.1	1.2	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.1	1.7	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.6
4.5	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.1	1.1	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.1	1.5	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.6
5.0	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.1	1.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.1	1.7	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.7
5.5	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.1	1.5	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.3	1.9	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.1	0.8
6.0	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.3	1.5	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.3	1.9	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.2	1.1
6.5	0.9	0.3	0.8	0.4	1.5	1.0	0.5	0.8	0.4	2.3	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.3	1.2
7.0	1.0	0.4	1.0	0.5	1.8	1.1	0.5	0.9	0.4	2.4	0.9	0.2	0.9	0.4	1.4
7.5	1.2	0.3	1.2	0.6	1.8	1.3	0.6	1.1	0.5	2.8	1.1	0.4	1.2	0.4	1.7
8.0	1.3	0.4	1.3	0.7	2.0	1.5	0.7	1.2	0.6	3.0	1.3	0.4	1.3	0.4	1.8
8.5	1.5	0.4	1.6	0.9	2.2	1.6	0.6	1.3	0.8	2.7	1.5	0.5	1.3	0.5	2.4
9.0	1.6	0.4	1.7	1.0	2.2	1.8	0.8	1.5	1.0	3.3	1.5	0.4	1.5	0.6	2.2
9.5	1.7	0.4	1.8	1.1	2.4	2.0	0.7	1.7	1.1	3.2	1.7	0.5	1.8	0.6	2.7
10.0	1.8	0.4	1.9	1.1	2.3	2.1	0.8	1.9	1.2	3.7	1.8	0.5	1.9	0.8	2.5
10.5	1.9	0.4	2.0	1.3	2.5	2.2	0.7	1.9	1.2	3.5	2.0	0.5	2.0	0.9	3.0
11.0	2.0	0.4	1.9	1.3	2.6	2.3	0.8	2.1	1.3	3.6	2.0	0.5	2.1	0.9	3.0
11.5	2.1	0.5	1.9	1.3	2.8	2.4	0.8	2.1	1.4	3.9	2.1	0.5	2.2	1.0	3.2
12.0	2.1	0.5	2.0	1.4	2.9	2.5	0.8	2.3	1.4	3.9	2.2	0.6	2.2	1.2	3.4
12.5	2.2	0.5	2.2	1.3	3.1	2.5	0.9	2.4	1.5	4.0	2.3	0.6	2.3	1.3	3.4
13.0	2.2	0.5	2.3	1.4	3.0	2.6	0.9	2.4	1.5	4.2	2.4	0.6	2.4	1.2	3.5
13.5	2.3	0.5	2.4	1.4	3.1	2.7	0.9	2.6	1.6	4.3	2.4	0.6	2.5	1.3	3.4
14.0	2.4	0.6	2.4	1.5	3.6	2.7	0.9	2.5	1.6	4.3	2.5	0.7	2.6	1.3	3.6
14.5	2.4	0.5	2.5	1.5	3.3	2.7	0.9	2.5	1.5	4.3	2.5	0.6	2.6	1.4	3.5
15.0	2.4	0.6	2.4	1.5	3.3	2.8	0.9	2.6	1.6	4.5	2.5	0.6	2.5	1.5	3.6
15.5	2.4	0.6	2.5	1.4	3.5	2.8	0.9	2.7	1.7	4.4	2.5	0.6	2.7	1.5	3.7
16.0	2.5	0.6	2.4	1.5	3.6	2.8	0.9	2.7	1.6	4.4	2.6	0.5	2.7	1.7	3.7
16.5	2.5	0.7	2.5	1.5	3.7	2.8	0.9	2.6	1.7	4.3	2.6	0.6	2.6	1.7	3.6
17.0	2.5	0.7	2.5	1.6	3.8	2.9	0.9	2.7	1.6	4.5	2.6	0.6	2.7	1.6	3.9
17.5	2.5	0.6	2.6	1.5	3.6	2.8	0.9	2.5	1.6	4.6	2.6	0.6	2.6	1.6	3.7
18.0	2.5	0.7	2.3	1.6	3.8	2.8	0.9	2.7	1.7	4.4	2.5	0.5	2.5	1.7	3.5
18.5	2.5	0.7	2.4	1.5	3.9	2.9	0.9	2.6	1.7	4.5	2.6	0.5	2.5	1.8	3.5
19.0	2.5	0.7	2.3	1.6	3.7	2.8	0.9	2.6	1.8	4.5	2.5	0.6	2.5	1.7	3.8
19.5	2.5	0.7	2.3	1.5	4.1	2.8	0.9	2.7	1.7	4.5	2.5	0.5	2.6	1.7	3.7
20.0	2.4	0.7	2.3	1.5	3.9	2.8	0.9	2.6	1.8	4.3	2.6	0.5	2.5	1.7	3.6

# **Table 11D:** Transpiration rate [E] measured for barley.

Time		ontro	Sto	omata	ul con	ducta	nce [1	mmol	H <sub>2</sub> O	$m^2 s$	<sup>-1</sup> ] for	barle	ey	ootm	nt
r ·	C		i trea	unen	ι	P		in tre	·	ΠL	Pa		um u	eatine	int
[minute]	mean	SD	nedia	min.	max.	mean	SD	nedia	min.	max.	mean	SD	nedia	min.	max.
0.5	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
1.0	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03
1.5	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.11	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
2.0	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03
2.5	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.12	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03
3.0	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.10	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
3.5	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03
4.0	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.11	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03
4.5	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.08	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03
5.0	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03
5.5	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.10	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04
0.0	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.09	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.05
0.5	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.12	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.05
7.0	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.09	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.15	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.05
7.5	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.15	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.00
8.0	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.09
8.5	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.14	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.12
9.0	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.11
9.5	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.10	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.17	0.07	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.14
10.0	0.07	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.11	0.09	0.00	0.08	0.03	0.20	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.13
10.5	0.07	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.12	0.09	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.19	0.08	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.10
11.0	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.10	0.00	0.09	0.04	0.20	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.10
11.3	0.08	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.15	0.10 0.11	0.00	0.09	0.04	0.22	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.17
12.0	0.08	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.14	0.11 0.11	0.00	0.09	0.04	0.22	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.10
12.3	0.08	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.14	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.23	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.10
12.5	0.08	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.14	$0.11 \\ 0.12$	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.25	0.09	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.20
13.3	0.08	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.10	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.20	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.19
14.0	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.10	$0.11 \\ 0.12$	0.07	0.09	0.03	0.23	0.10 0.10	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.20
14.5	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.17 0.17	0.12 0.12	0.07	0.10	0.04	0.23	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.19
15.5	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.17	0.12 0.12	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.27 0.26	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.20
16.0	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.19	0.12 0.12	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.20
16.5	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.19	0.12 0.12	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.20
17.0	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.20	0.12 0.12	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.24	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.17
17.5	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.21	0.12 0.12	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.25 0.26	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.21
18.0	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	$0.1^{\circ}$	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.20	0.10	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.19
18.5	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.21 0.21	0.12 0.12	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.24	0.10	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.19
19.0	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.21	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.25	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.20
19.5	0.09	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.22	0.11	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.24	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.19
20.0	0.09	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.22	0.11	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.24	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.19

### Table 12d: Stomatal conductance measured for barley.

Time		Cont	rol tract	Phent	otosyı	thetic	rate [	µmol C	U <sub>2</sub> m	s `] f	or lettu		lium tra	atmon	t
[		Cont	roi treat	ment			Platin	ium trea	iment		1	anao	num trea	umen	
	2.4	1.0	2.4	11111.	1 1 1	1 1	30		2.4	$\frac{111ax}{0.2}$	1.6	30		2.6	11122
0.5	-2.4	1.0	-2.4	-4.0	-1.1	-1.1	0.0	-1.2	-2.4	-0.5	-1.0	0.9	-1.4	-5.0	-0.1
1.0	-2.3	1.1	-2.4	-4.8	-0.0	-0.8	0.5	-1.2	-1.0	-0.3	-1.5	0.9	-1.4	-3.1	-0.2
2.0	-2.2	1.0	-2.4	-4.7	-0.7	-0.0	0.4	-0.0	-1.5	-0.2	-1.3	1.0	-1.3	-3.2	0.2
2.5	-2.1	0.9	-2.1	-4.3	-0.9	-1.0	0.3	-1.0	-1.4	-0.3	-1.4	0.8	-1.1	-3.3	-0.5
3.0	-1.9	1.0	-1.9	-4.2	-0.9	-0.8	0.3	-0.9	-1.4	-0.4	-1.3	0.7	-1.1	-3.0	-0.4
3.5	-1.9	0.9	-1.9	-4.3	-0.8	-0.8	0.4	-0.8	-1.3	-0.1	-1.2	0.9	-1.0	-3.3	-0.3
4.0	-1.8	1.0	-1.7	-4.4	-0.5	-0.8	0.4	-0.8	-1.4	-0.3	-1.2	0.9	-0.9	-3.0	-0.1
4.5	-1.7	1.0	-1.6	-4.1	-0.4	-0.7	0.4	-0.6	-1.7	-0.2	-1.1	0.8	-1.0	-2.9	-0.2
5.0	-1.5	1.0	-1.0	-3.7	-0.2	-0.7	0.3	-0.8	-1.0	-0.3	-0.6	0.6	-0.5	-2.1	0.0
5.5	1.4	1.0	0.8	0.5	3.2	1.0	1.3	0.4	-0.6	3.7	1.2	0.7	1.1	0.4	2.6
6.0	2.1	1.0	2.2	0.4	3.4	2.1	1.5	2.0	0.6	5.1	1.8	0.7	1.8	0.6	2.8
6.5	2.2	0.9	2.0	0.6	3.4	2.4	1.4	2.4	0.5	5.2	1.9	0.6	2.0	0.8	2.7
7.0	2.4	1.0	2.3	0.8	3.7	2.7	1.3	2.6	1.1	5.4	2.1	0.6	2.1	1.0	3.1
7.5	2.6	1.0	2.3	1.2	4.3	2.7	1.4	2.6	1.1	5.3	2.3	0.6	2.5	0.9	3.4
8.0	2.9	1.2	2.6	1.2	4.6	2.8	1.4	2.7	1.1	5.4	2.5	0.5	2.6	1.3	3.4
8.5	3.1	1.1	2.9	1.4	4.8	3.1	1.4	3.0	1.4	5.8	2.7	0.6	2.8	1.2	3.8
9.0	3.2	1.2	3.0	1.4	5.0	3.3	1.4	3.2	1.7	5.8	2.9	0.6	3.0	1.6	3.7
9.5	3.4	1.1	3.4	1.9	5.3	3.5	1.6	3.3	1.6	6.7	3.1	0.7	3.0	1.8	4.2
10.0	3.6	1.1	3.5	2.0	5.3	3.5	1.6	3.2	1.7	6.7	3.3	0.7	3.3	2.0	4.2
10.5	3.8	1.1	3.5	2.4	5.5	3.7	1.6	3.5	2.0	/.1	3.5	0.7	3.5	2.1	4.4
11.0	4.0	1.2	4.1	2.2	5.9	3.9	1./	3.5	1./	1.2	3./	0.8	2.8	2.1	4.8
11.5	4.5	1.2	4.2	2.1	6.1	4.0	1.8	3./	2.0	7.4	3.9	0.8	3.8	2.0	5.1
12.0	4.5	1.2	5.0	2.0	6.3	4.5	1.0	4.1	2.3	7.4	4.2	0.9	4.3	2.0	5.4
13.0	4.0	1.2	5.0	3.1	63	4.4	1.8	4.0	2.3	8.1	4.0	0.0	4.7	3.4	6.0
13.5	5.2	1.2	5.4	3.2	6.7	4.9	1.8	4.4	2.4	8.3	5.2	1.0	5.3	3.6	6.5
14.0	5.4	1.2	5.5	3.3	6.8	5.2	2.0	4.7	2.3	9.0	5.4	1.1	5.4	3.8	6.9
14.5	5.5	1.2	5.6	3.6	7.0	5.4	2.0	5.1	2.4	8.9	5.7	1.1	5.7	4.0	7.2
15.0	5.8	1.3	5.8	3.7	7.6	5.7	2.0	5.5	2.7	9.1	5.9	1.2	5.9	4.0	7.6
15.5	6.0	1.5	6.2	3.6	7.8	5.9	2.0	5.8	2.8	9.0	6.3	1.2	6.3	4.3	8.2
16.0	6.3	1.4	6.7	4.2	8.2	6.2	2.1	6.2	2.7	9.2	6.4	1.2	6.6	4.7	8.2
16.5	6.7	1.4	6.9	4.3	8.4	6.5	2.3	6.1	2.7	9.8	6.9	1.2	7.0	5.2	8.8
17.0	6.8	1.5	7.2	4.4	8.6	6.8	2.2	6.6	3.0	10.1	7.2	1.2	7.5	5.3	9.1
17.5	7.1	1.4	7.6	4.9	8.9	7.0	2.2	6.9	3.3	10.0	7.6	1.3	7.6	5.4	9.8
18.0	7.2	1.5	7.8	4.6	9.1	7.1	2.2	6.9	3.3	10.4	7.8	1.4	7.6	6.0	10.0
18.5	7.5	1.4	8.0	5.4	9.5	7.5	2.2	7.5	3.5	10.3	8.1	1.3	8.2	6.2	10.4
19.0	7.8	1.4	8.3	5.5	9.7	7.8	2.2	8.0	3.6	10.6	8.5	1.4	8.3	6.3	10.9
19.5	7.8	1.4	8.2	5.4	10.1	8.0	2.3	7.9	4.0	11.3	8.7	1.3	8.9	6.9	11.
20.0	8.1	1.5	8.6	6.0	10.3	8.2	2.3	8.1	3.9	11.8	9.0	1.4	8.9	0.8	11.4
20.5	8.2	1.5	8.9	6.0	10.5	8.5	2.2	8.4	4.3	11./	9.4	1.3	9.5	7.5	11.0
21.0	0.5	1.4	0.9	6.0	10.4	0.5	2.2	8.0	4.5	11.0	9.0	1.5	9.5	7.5	11.0
21.5	8.7	1.5	8.0	0.7	10.0	0.0	2.3	8.9	4.5	11.9	9.9	1.4	9.7	1.1	12.3
22.0	8.9	1.5	8.9	6.7	11.2	9.1	2.1	9.3	4.8	12.1	10.1	1.4	9.6	8.3	12.8
22.5	8.9	1.3	8.9	7.0	11.1	9.1	2.0	9.1	5.0	11.9	10.4	1.5	10.0	8.4	13.3
23.0	9.1	1.4	9.2	7.0	11.6	9.4	2.0	9.5	5.1	12.1	10.7	1.4	10.4	8.7	13.5
23.5	9.3	1.5	9.2	7.1	11.8	9.7	2.0	9.8	5.3	12.2	10.8	1.3	10.5	8.9	13.2
24.0	9.5	1.5	9.5	7.3	11.9	9.8	2.0	9.8	5.5	12.9	11.0	1.4	10.6	9.0	13.4
24.5	9.5	1.5	9.7	7.4	11.8	10.1	1.9	10.4	5.8	12.7	11.2	1.3	11.0	9.1	13.
25.0	9.0	1.0	9.8	1.5	12.0	10.2	1./	10.5	6.2	12.7	11.4	1.4	11.2	9.2	13.0
25.5	J./	1.3	10.2	1.0	11.0	10.5	1.0	10.3	0.3	12./	11.0	1.3	11.4	7.5	14.3
26.0	9.9 Q Q	1.0	10.2	7.8	12.3	10.4	1.3	10.7	6.6	12./	11./	1.2	11.3	0.0	14
27.0	10.1	1.5	10.2	7.9	12.1	10.5	1.7	10.0	7.5	13.0	12.0	1.4	11.7	10.2	14.0
27.5	10.2	1.6	10.7	7.8	12.0	10.8	1.5	11.1	7.3	13.0	12.0	1.3	12.1	10.2	14
28.0	10.3	1.5	10.7	8.0	12.7	11.1	1.6	11.3	7.3	13.3	12.2	1.3	12.3	10.4	14.4
28.5	10.4	1.6	11.0	7.8	12.8	11.2	1.5	11.6	7.8	13.2	12.5	1.3	12.3	10.7	14.9
29.0	10.5	1.7	10.9	7.6	12.7	11.3	1.5	11.6	7.9	13.7	12.5	1.3	12.4	10.6	14.8
29.5	10.5	1.7	10.9	7.7	12.8	11.4	1.3	11.5	8.5	13.4	12.4	1.3	12.5	10.4	14.4
30.0	10.5	1.7	10.8	7.9	12.8	11.5	1.4	11.8	8.4	13.3	12.7	1.3	12.7	10.7	14.7
30.5	10.6	1.7	11.0	7.6	12.8	11.5	1.1	11.6	9.1	13.1	12.7	1.4	13.0	10.6	15.0
31.0	10.6	1.8	11.0	7.8	13.1	11.7	1.1	11.9	9.2	13.4	12.8	1.3	12.9	10.6	14.9
31.5	10.7	1.8	11.0	7.9	13.1	11.7	1.0	11.7	9.4	13.2	12.8	1.3	12.9	11.2	15.1
32.0	10.9	1.8	11.1	7.9	13.6	11.9	1.1	12.0	9.5	13.6	12.9	1.3	13.0	11.0	14.9
32.5	10.9	1.8	11.2	8.1	13.2	12.0	1.0	12.0	9.7	13.5	12.8	1.4	12.9	11.0	14.8
33.0	11.0	1.7	11.1	8.0	13.2	12.1	1.0	12.2	10.0	13.4	12.9	1.5	13.2	10.9	15.2
22.5	10.9	1.7	10.9	8.0	13.3	12.1	0.9	12.1	10.5	13.5	12.8	1.5	13.0	10.9	15.2
55.5	_			1	1 4 9 9	10.0		1 10 1	1107	120	10.0	110	107	100	110
34.0	11.3	1.5	11.4	9.0	13.3	12.2	0.7	12.1	10.7	13.2	12.9	1.6	12.7	10.9	15.4
34.0 34.5	11.3 11.5	1.5 1.8	11.4	9.0 9.3	13.3 13.3	12.2	0.7	12.1	10.7	13.2	12.9	1.6	12.7	10.9	15.4

#### **Table 13D:** Photosynthetic rate measured for lettuce.

Time		C	nol + ·	Tr	anspii	ation r	ate [1	nmol H <sub>2</sub>	U m	sʻ] fo	or lettu	ce	line- 4	t	•
Faced in the		Cont	rol treati	nent			Platir	um treat	ment		ł	ailad	num trea	tmen	t 
[minute]	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	max
0.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4
1.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4
1.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4
2.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4
2.5	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4
3.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3
3.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2
4.0	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3
4.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3
5.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.3
5.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4
6.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4
6.5	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.5
7.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.5
7.0	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4
7.5	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.5
8.0	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4
8.5	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.5
9.0	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.5
9.5	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.5
10.0	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.5
10.5	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.5
11.0	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.5
11.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.6
12.0	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.8	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.6
12.0	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.6
13.0	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.0
12.5	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.0
13.5	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.0
14.0	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.7
14.5	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.7
15.0	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.3	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.2	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.7
15.5	0.7	0.2	0.7	0.3	1.1	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.2	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.8
16.0	0.7	0.2	0.7	0.3	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.2	1.1	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.8
16.5	0.7	0.2	0.8	0.3	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.2	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.9
17.0	0.7	0.2	0.8	0.3	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.2	1.1	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.9
17.5	0.8	0.2	0.8	0.3	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.2	1.2	0.6	0.2	0.7	0.4	1.0
18.0	0.8	0.2	0.9	0.4	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.2	1.1	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.4	1.0
18.5	0.8	0.3	0.9	0.4	1.2	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.2	1.2	0.7	0.2	0.7	0.4	1.1
19.0	0.9	03	0.9	04	12	07	03	0.6	0.2	13	0.7	0.2	07	0.5	11
19.5	0.9	0.3	0.9	0.4	1.2	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.3	13	0.8	0.2	0.7	0.5	1.2
20.0	0.9	0.3	1.0	0.4	1.2	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.3	1.3	0.8	0.2	0.8	0.5	1.2
20.0	0.9	0.3	1.0	0.5	1.5	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.3	1.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.5	1.2
20.5	1.0	0.3	1.0	0.5	1.4	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.5	1.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.3
21.0	1.0	0.3	1.0	0.4	1.3	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.3	1.4	0.9	0.2	0.8	0.6	1.3
21.5	1.0	0.3	1.1	0.4	1.4	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.3	1.4	0.9	0.3	0.9	0.6	1.3
22.0	1.0	0.3	1.1	0.4	1.4	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.3	1.4	0.9	0.3	0.9	0.6	1.4
22.5	1.1	0.3	1.1	0.5	1.5	0.9	0.3	0.8	0.3	1.4	0.9	0.2	0.9	0.6	1.4
23.0	1.1	0.3	1.1	0.5	1.5	0.9	0.4	0.8	0.3	1.5	1.0	0.3	0.9	0.6	1.5
23.5	1.1	0.3	1.1	0.5	1.5	0.9	0.4	0.8	0.3	1.5	1.0	0.3	0.9	0.7	1.5
24.0	1.1	0.3	1.2	0.5	1.6	0.9	0.3	0.9	0.4	1.5	1.0	0.3	1.0	0.6	1.5
24.5	1.2	0.3	1.2	0.5	1.7	0.9	0.3	0.8	0.4	1.5	1.0	0.2	1.0	0.8	1.5
25.0	1.2	0.3	1.2	0.6	1.6	1.0	0.4	0.9	0.4	1.6	1.1	0.3	1.0	0.7	1.6
25.5	1.2	0.3	1.3	0.6	1.7	1.0	0.4	0.9	0.4	1.6	1.1	0.3	1.1	0.8	1.6
26.0	1.2	0.3	1.3	0.5	1.7	1.0	0.3	0.9	0.4	1.6	1.1	0.3	1.0	0.8	1.6
26.5	1.2	0.3	1.3	0.7	1.7	1.0	0.3	0.9	0.5	1.6	1.1	0.3	1.1	0.7	1.6
27.0	1.2	0.3	1.3	0.5	1.8	1.0	0.4	0.9	0.5	1.7	1.2	0.3	1.1	0.8	1.6
27.5	13	03	13	0.6	17	11	03	1.0	04	16	12	03	11	0.0	17
28.0	1.5	0.2	1.5	0.6	1.7	1.1	0.2	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.2	0.2	1.1	0.9	1./
20.0	1.5	0.5	1.+	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.5	1.1	0.5	1./	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.0	1.0
20.5	1.4	0.2	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.4	1.1	0.5	1./	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.7	1.7
29.0	1.4	0.2	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.3	1.1	0.0	1./	1.2	0.3	1.2	0.9	1./
29.5	1.4	0.2	1.4	1.0	1.8	1.2	0.3	1.1	0.6	1.0	1.5	0.3	1.5	0.8	1.8
30.0	1.4	0.2	1.4	1.0	1.8	1.2	0.3	1.1	0.7	1.7	1.2	0.3	1.2	0.9	1.7
30.5	1.4	0.3	1.4	1.0	1.9	1.2	0.3	1.1	0.7	1.7	1.3	0.3	1.3	0.9	1.8
31.0	1.4	0.3	1.4	1.0	1.9	1.2	0.3	1.1	0.7	1.8	1.3	0.3	1.3	0.9	1.8
31.5	1.4	0.3	1.4	0.9	1.9	1.2	0.3	1.2	0.7	1.7	1.3	0.3	1.3	1.0	1.8
32.0	1.4	0.3	1.4	1.0	1.9	1.2	0.3	1.2	0.8	1.7	1.3	0.3	1.3	0.9	1.8
32.5	14	03	14	10	19	12	03	12	0.8	17	13	03	13	00	1 8
22.5	1.4	0.5	1.4	1.0	1.7	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.0	1./	1.5	0.5	1.5	0.9	1.0
33.0	1.4	0.3	1.4	1.0	1.9	1.3	0.3	1.3	0.8	1.7	1.3	0.3	1.3	1.0	1.8
33.5	1.4	0.3	1.4	1.0	1.9	1.3	0.3	1.3	0.8	1.8	1.3	0.3	1.3	1.0	1.8
34.0	1.5	0.3	1.5	1.0	1.9	1.3	0.3	1.3	0.9	1.8	1.4	0.3	1.4	1.0	1.8
34.5	1.6	0.3	1.5	1.1	2.0	1.3	0.3	1.2	0.9	1.8	1.3	0.3	1.4	0.8	1.8
												1	1		1 – <u> </u>

#### Table 14D: Transpiration rate measured for lettuce.

		C	no1 + ·				D1-/		2 - ·		101 101		linner (		
r • . •		Cont	roi treat	inent			riatin	um trea	iment			ailad	num trea	itmen	ι
[minute]	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	ma
0.5	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.0
1.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.0
2.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.0
2.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.0
3.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.0
3.5	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.0
4.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.0
4.5	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.0
5.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.0
5.5	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.0
6.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.0
6.5	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.0
7.0	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.0
7.5	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.0
8.0	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.0
8.5	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.0
9.0	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.0
9.5	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.0
10.0	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.0
11.0	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.0
11.0	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.0
12.0	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.0
12.5	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.0
13.0	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.08	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.0
13.5	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.0
14.0	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.0
14.5	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.0
15.0	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.0
15.5	0.06	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.0
16.0	0.06	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.09	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.0
16.5	0.06	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.09	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.0
17.0	0.06	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.11	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.0
17.5	0.07	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.11	0.06	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.0
18.0	0.07	0.02	0.08	0.03	0.10	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.11	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.0
19.0	0.07	0.02	0.08	0.03	0.10	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.12	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.0
19.5	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.12	0.07	0.02	0.07	0.04	0.1
20.0	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.03	0.11	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.13	0.08	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.1
20.5	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.04	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.14	0.08	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.1
21.0	0.08	0.03	0.10	0.03	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.15	0.08	0.03	0.08	0.05	0.1
21.5	0.09	0.03	0.10	0.04	0.12	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.14	0.09	0.03	0.08	0.05	0.1
22.0	0.09	0.03	0.11	0.04	0.12	0.08	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.15	0.09	0.03	0.09	0.05	0.1
22.5	0.09	0.03	0.11	0.04	0.12	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.15	0.09	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.1
23.0	0.10	0.03	0.11	0.04	0.13	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.14	0.09	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.1
25.5	0.10	0.03	0.11	0.04	0.12	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.16	0.10	0.03	0.10	0.06	0.1
24.0	0.10	0.03	0.11	0.05	0.13	0.10	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.15	0.10	0.03	0.10	0.07	0.1
25.0	0.11	0.03	0.12	0.05	0 14	0.10	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.17	0.11	0.03	0.11	0.07	0.1
25.5	0.11	0.03	0.12	0.06	0.14	0.10	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.17	0.11	0.03	0.11	0.08	0.1
26.0	0.11	0.03	0.12	0.05	0.14	0.10	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.17	0.11	0.03	0.10	0.08	0.1
26.5	0.11	0.02	0.12	0.07	0.14	0.11	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.16	0.12	0.03	0.12	0.08	0.1
27.0	0.12	0.03	0.13	0.05	0.15	0.11	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.18	0.12	0.03	0.12	0.09	0.1
27.5	0.12	0.03	0.13	0.06	0.15	0.11	0.04	0.10	0.05	0.18	0.12	0.03	0.11	0.08	0.1
28.0	0.12	0.03	0.13	0.06	0.16	0.12	0.04	0.10	0.05	0.17	0.12	0.03	0.12	0.08	0.1
28.5	0.13	0.02	0.13	0.07	0.15	0.12	0.04	0.11	0.05	0.17	0.13	0.03	0.12	0.09	0.1
29.0	0.13	0.02	0.13	0.07	0.15	0.12	0.03	0.12	0.06	0.17	0.13	0.03	0.13	0.09	0.2
29.5	0.13	0.02	0.13	0.07	0.16	0.12	0.04	0.11	0.06	0.19	0.14	0.03	0.12	0.09	0.2
30.0	0.13	0.03	0.13	0.07	0.16	0.12	0.03	0.11	0.07	0.17	0.13	0.03	0.12	0.09	0.1
31.0	0.13	0.02	0.13	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.03	0.11	0.08	0.19	0.14	0.03	0.13	0.10	0.1
31.0	0.14	0.02	0.14	0.08	0.17	0.13	0.03	0.12	0.08	0.18	0.14	0.03	0.15	0.10	0.2
32.0	0.15	0.02	0.14	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.03	0.12	0.08	0.10	0.14	0.03	0.14	0.10	0.1
32.5	0.14	0.02	0.14	0.08	0.16	0.14	0.03	0.13	0.09	0.18	0.14	0.03	0.14	0.11	0.2
33.0	0.14	0.02	0.14	0.08	0.17	0.14	0.03	0.13	0.09	0.18	0.15	0.03	0.14	0.11	0.2
33.5	0.14	0.02	0.14	0.08	0.17	0.14	0.03	0.13	0.09	0.19	0.15	0.02	0.14	0.11	0.1
34.0	0.13	0.05	0.14	0.00	0.17	0.14	0.02	0.14	0.10	0.18	0.15	0.03	0.15	0.12	0.2
34.5	0.11	0.07	0.15	0.00	0.17	0.14	0.02	0.13	0.11	0.18	0.15	0.03	0.14	0.11	0.1
	_	1		0.00	0.45	0.4.4	0.02	0.14	0.44	0.40	0.45	0.02	0.15	0.10	

#### Table 15D: Stomatal conductance measured for lettuce.

Time		0	1.	Phot	osynti	netic ra	ate []	imol CO	2 m	s j to	r potat	$\frac{10}{1}$	) 1	- 4	
		Cont	trol treat	ment			Plati	num trea	tment		F	alla	dium trea	atmen	t
[minute]	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	max
0.5	-1.0	0.4	-1.0	-2.2	-0.8	-1.1	1.2	-0.9	-3.2	-0.2	-/.8	/./ 9 1	-5.0	-19.5	-0.8
1.0	-1.5	0.3	-1.0	-1.9	-0.9	-0.6	1.1	-0.3	-2.9	-0.1	-7.9	8.0	-4.9	-20.1	-0.5
2.0	-1.6	0.2	-1.6	-2.0	-1.2	-0.7	1.1	-0.1	-2.7	0.0	-8.0	8.9	-4.3	-24.2	-0.3
2.5	-1.4	0.3	-1.4	-2.1	-1.0	-0.7	1.0	-0.2	-2.7	-0.1	-7.8	9.2	-3.5	-25.1	-0.1
3.0	-1.4	0.4	-1.5	-1.9	-0.2	-0.5	0.9	-0.1	-2.5	0.0	-7.5	9.1	-3.5	-25.7	0.1
3.5	-1.3	0.4	-1.4	-1.7	-0.3	-0.6	0.9	-0.3	-2.7	-0.2	-6.9	8.7	-3.1	-24.3	-0.1
4.0	-1.4	0.3	-1.4	-1.7	-0.9	-0.5	0.9	-0.2	-2.5	0.0	-6.5	8.4	-2.9	-24.3	-0.2
4.5	-1.3	0.2	-1.3	-1.7	-1.0	-0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.4	-0.1	-6.4	8.3	-2.7	-23.5	0.1
5.0	-1.2	0.4	-1.3	-1.6	-0.1	-0.2	0.3	-0.1	-0.9	0.0	-6.0	8.0	-2.3	-22.7	-0.1
5.5	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.1	1.9	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	-5.7	7.8	-2.0	-22.1	0.0
6.0	1.2	0.6	1.0	0.4	2.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.6	-6.1	1.1	-3.6	-21.3	-0.1
0.5	1.2	0.8	0.9	0.1	3.1	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.9	-5.8	7.4	-3.4	-20.7	-0.2
7.5	1.2	0.8	0.9	0.3	3.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	1.5	-5.5	7.4	-3.1	-20 5	0.0
8.0	1.4	0.9	1.1	0.4	3.7	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.1	1.7	-5.4	7.1	-2.7	-19.7	-0.1
8.5	1.6	0.9	1.4	0.4	3.8	0.7	0.8	0.4	0.1	2.5	-5.0	6.9	-2.7	-19.2	-0.1
9.0	1.7	0.9	1.6	0.3	3.9	0.7	1.0	0.4	0.0	2.9	-4.9	7.0	-2.4	-19.6	0.0
9.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	0.3	4.2	1.0	1.2	0.4	0.3	3.6	-4.8	7.3	-2.3	-20.5	-0.1
10.0	2.3	1.3	2.3	0.3	5.2	1.1	1.6	0.5	0.3	4.7	-5.2	8.3	-2.0	-23.2	0.0
10.5	2.8	1.6	2.7	0.3	6.3	1.3	1.9	0.5	0.1	5.6	-5.2	8.5	-2.2	-23.8	-0.1
11.0	3.2	1.6	3.3	0.4	6.1	1.6	2.4	0.7	0.3	6.9	-5.2	9.1	-1.9	-25.1	0.0
11.5	3.7	1.8	3.8	0.4	6.5	1.7	2.6	0.5	0.2	7.4	-4.9	8.4	-1.8	-23.5	-0.1
12.0	4.3	2.1	4.5	0.5	7.6	1.9	2.9	0.6	0.2	8.2	-4.8	8.2	-1.8	-22.7	0.1
12.5	5.1	2.4	5.5	0.6	8.5	2.0	3.1	0.6	0.3	8.9	-4.5	1.1	-1.6	-21.5	0.0
13.0	5.7	2.7	7.3	0.4	9.2 10.1	2.0	3.0	0.7	0.2	0.7	-4.5	7.5	-1.5	-20.9	-0.1
14.0	7.5	33	83	0.0	11.5	2.1	3.0	0.0	0.2	8.8	-4.0	7.0	-1.2	-19.3	0.0
14.5	8.3	3.7	9.1	0.6	12.8	2.1	2.9	0.9	0.1	8.4	-4.0	7.0	-1.4	-19.3	0.1
15.0	9.2	3.8	10.3	1.1	14.4	2.0	2.9	0.7	0.2	8.2	-3.8	6.8	-1.5	-18.8	0.1
15.5	10.0	4.0	11.6	1.1	14.3	2.0	2.7	0.6	0.3	7.9	-3.5	6.5	-1.3	-17.8	0.2
16.0	10.7	4.1	12.3	1.2	15.5	1.9	2.7	0.8	0.2	7.6	-3.4	6.3	-1.2	-17.3	0.3
16.5	11.5	4.2	12.8	1.5	16.2	1.9	2.5	0.7	0.3	7.3	-3.4	6.3	-1.3	-17.2	0.1
17.0	12.1	4.3	13.5	1.5	16.6	1.8	2.4	0.6	0.3	6.9	-3.3	6.0	-0.9	-16.6	0.0
17.5	12.5	4.2	13.9	2.1	17.3	1.8	2.3	0.8	0.2	6.9	-3.1	5.8	-0.7	-16.0	0.1
18.0	12.9	4.2	14.5	2.1	17.4	1.6	2.2	0.7	0.1	6.5	-2.9	5.6	-0.8	-15.3	0.1
18.5	13.5	4.1	15.0	2.5	17.4	1.0	2.0	0.9	0.1	6.0	-2.8	5.5	-0.7	-14.5	0.2
19.0	13.5	4.0 3.9	13.1	3.6	17.9	1.3	1.1	0.0	0.2	5.6	-2.7	5.2	-0.9	-14.2	0.2
20.0	13.9	3.7	15.3	4.4	17.7	1.5	2.0	0.8	0.2	5.4	-2.5	4.9	-0.6	-13.5	-0.1
20.5	13.9	3.7	15.2	4.4	17.4	1.5	1.8	0.9	0.3	5.1	-2.4	4.7	-0.7	-13.0	-0.1
21.0	13.9	3.5	15.1	4.7	17.2	1.4	1.8	0.6	0.2	5.1	-2.3	4.5	-0.4	-12.4	0.0
21.5	13.9	3.3	14.8	5.7	17.4	1.3	1.7	0.7	0.1	4.7	-2.1	4.4	-0.6	-12.0	0.2
22.0	13.9	3.2	14.7	6.0	16.8	1.3	1.5	0.7	0.3	4.3	-2.2	4.4	-0.6	-12.2	0.1
22.5	13.9	3.0	14.4	6.8	17.0	1.2	1.5	0.7	0.2	4.2	-2.2	4.2	-0.6	-11.6	-0.1
23.0	13.8	2.9	14.0	7.5	17.0	1.3	1.7	0.7	0.2	4.2	-2.0	4.1	-0.3	-11.2	-0.1
23.5	13.7	2.7	13.5	8.2	16.9	1.2	1.6	0.5	0.2	3.9	-1.9	4.1	-0.4	-11.3	0.1
24.0	13.6	2.6	13.2	8.7	17.0	1.2	1.6	0.5	0.2	3.9	-1.8	3.8	-0.3	-10.3	-0.2
24.5	13.5	2.5	12.9	9.6	17.0	1.2	1.4	0.5	0.2	3.6	-1.8	3.8	-0.3	-10.3	-0.2
25.0	13.4	2.5	12.8	9.7	16.9	1.2	1.5	0.6	0.2	3.5	-1.7	3.6	-0.4	-9.9	-0.2
25.5	13.2	2.4	12.5	10.2	16.9	1.4	1.4	0.8	0.3	3.4	-1.6	3.5	-0.3	-9.5	-0.1
26.0	13.1	2.4	12.4	9.7	16.6	1.2	1.5	0.7	-0.1	3.4	-1.5	3.4	-0.3	-9.1	-0.1
26.5	13.0	2.5	12.2	9.6	16.5	1.4	1.7	0.9	0.1	3.3	-1.4	3.3	-0.2	-8.9	0.0
27.0	12.9	2.5	12.2	9.4	16.6	1.6	1.7	1.1	0.2	3.6	-1.3	3.2	-0.1	-8.6	0.2
21.5	12.8	2.3	12.5	9.0	10.4	1.0	1./	1.1	0.2	3.0	-1.2	2.8	-0.5	-1.5	0.2
28.0	12.0	2.3	12.4	0./ 8./	10.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.2	3.4	-1.2	2.8	-0.2	-7.0	0.2
29.0	12.5	2.0	12.0	8.0	16.5	1.5	1.5	11	0.4	3.1	-1.1	2.1	-0.5	-67	0.9
29.5	12.4	2.7	12.7	7.7	16.3	1.8	2.1	1.8	0.3	3.3	-1.2	3.0	-0.3	-7.8	10
	12.7	2.1	12.0	75	16.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.1	2.0	0.1	0.4	1.0

#### Table 16D: Photosynthetic rate measured for potato (I).

Time				Tra	nspira	tion ra	te [m	$\underline{\text{mol}} H_2 C$	m s	] IOI	potato	(I)			
TILL		Cont	rol treati	ment		]	Platin	um treat	ment		]	Pallac	lium trea	atment	
[minute]	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	max
0.5	0.27	0.18	0.23	0.07	0.62	0.11	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.14	0.05	0.06	0.05	-0.03	0.1
1.0	0.26	0.12	0.23	0.13	0.46	0.11	0.07	0.11	0.01	0.21	0.04	0.07	0.05	-0.09	0.1
1.5	0.24	0.13	0.20	0.06	0.53	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.01	0.20	0.04	0.09	0.05	-0.15	0.1
2.0	0.23	0.14	0.23	0.05	0.54	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.01	0.16	0.09	0.10	0.08	-0.09	0.2
2.5	0.21	0.10	0.22	0.05	0.42	0.11	0.04	0.12	0.05	0.15	0.09	0.09	0.09	-0.01	0.2
3.0	0.24	0.10	0.25	0.12	0.44	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.02	0.19	0.09	0.10	0.10	-0.06	0.2
3.5	0.23	0.10	0.22	0.05	0.44	0.14	0.05	0.13	0.08	0.21	0.06	0.07	0.04	-0.05	0.1
4.0	0.21	0.10	0.23	0.06	0.43	0.09	0.04	0.10	0.02	0.12	0.14	0.08	0.15	0.03	0.2
4.5	0.22	0.07	0.22	0.09	0.32	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.02	0.21	0.11	0.09	0.14	-0.02	0.2
5.0	0.22	0.10	0.21	0.10	0.38	0.11	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.22	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.00	0.2
5.5	0.25	0.09	0.23	0.14	0.38	0.15	0.04	0.16	0.10	0.20	0.12	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.2
6.0	0.28	0.12	0.26	0.12	0.56	0.16	0.03	0.17	0.12	0.20	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.03	0.3
6.5	0.30	0.11	0.27	0.15	0.49	0.14	0.07	0.17	0.03	0.24	0.12	0.08	0.09	0.02	0.2
7.0	0.31	0.11	0.30	0.15	0.51	0.15	0.08	0.13	0.05	0.28	0.10	0.06	0.10	0.02	0.1
7.5	0.32	0.13	0.31	0.17	0.63	0.18	0.07	0.17	0.07	0.31	0.11	0.05	0.10	0.04	0.1
8.0	0.31	0.12	0.28	0.14	0.58	0.23	0.14	0.16	0.10	0.50	0.12	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.2
8.5	0.35	0.11	0.37	0.18	0.51	0.27	0.16	0.23	0.15	0.62	0.09	0.08	0.05	-0.02	0.1
9.0	0.37	0.12	0.35	0.19	0.60	0.23	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.63	0.08	0.08	0.04	-0.04	0.1
9.5	0.40	0.13	0.39	0.16	0.59	0.31	0.30	0.21	0.10	0.98	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.01	0.1
10.0	0.43	0.16	0.41	0.19	0.64	0.38	0.40	0.25	0.12	1.28	0.13	0.07	0.13	0.02	0.2
10.5	0.54	0.19	0.52	0.21	0.84	0.44	0.52	0.23	0.17	1.62	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.1
11.0	0.57	0.20	0.58	0.22	0.82	0.51	0.68	0.25	0.19	2.05	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.00	0.1
11.5	0.65	0.24	0.68	0.27	1.00	0.56	0.77	0.27	0.15	2.29	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.1
12.0	0.74	0.28	0.79	0.20	1.06	0.64	0.80	0.32	0.15	2.43	0.09	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.1
12.5	0.89	0.32	0.90	0.32	1.35	0.64	0.90	0.40	0.08	2.66	0.09	0.03	0.11	0.02	0.1
13.0	0.99	0.41	1.02	0.24	1.58	0.65	0.90	0.33	0.13	2.66	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.1
13.5	1.15	0.49	1.13	0.31	1.91	0.72	0.93	0.32	0.26	2.80	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.01	0.1
14.0	1.34	0.57	1.33	0.28	2.27	0.70	0.84	0.35	0.22	2.55	0.09	0.06	0.11	0.02	0.1
14.5	1.49	0.64	1.50	0.29	2.58	0.72	0.87	0.39	0.10	2.64	0.07	0.08	0.07	-0.03	0.2
15.0	1.68	0.70	1.79	0.30	2.66	0.66	0.84	0.32	0.11	2.49	0.06	0.10	0.02	-0.07	0.2
15.5	1.85	0.77	1.98	0.31	2.97	0.66	0.79	0.37	0.21	2.42	0.09	0.05	0.09	0.02	0.1
16.0	2.06	0.79	2.16	0.35	3.09	0.63	0.76	0.32	0.09	2.27	0.09	0.06	0.11	0.02	0.1
16.5	2.25	0.82	2.33	0.40	3.30	0.66	0.68	0.37	0.29	2.16	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.2
17.0	2.40	0.86	2.52	0.34	3.36	0.61	0.71	0.30	0.23	2.16	0.11	0.05	0.11	0.02	0.1
1/.5	2.53	0.85	2.65	0.47	3.43	0.55	0.65	0.25	0.17	1.99	0.07	0.08	0.08	-0.02	0.1
18.0	2.67	0.84	2.83	0.55	3.50	0.53	0.59	0.34	0.12	1.81	0.06	0.09	0.04	-0.04	0.2
18.5	2.12	0.83	2.81	0.50	3.30	0.56	0.50	0.35	0.14	1.80	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.2
19.0	2.78	0.83	2.92	0.38	2.75	0.48	0.57	0.27	0.13	1.//	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.1
20.0	2.07	0.80	3.00	0.71	2.01	0.40	0.55	0.32	0.09	1.71	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.1
20.0	2.07	0.79	3.02	0.70	2.01	0.51	0.55	0.32	0.15	1.59	0.10	0.05	0.11	0.02	0.1
20.3	2.95	0.70	3.00	0.00	3.05	0.33	0.31	0.37	0.21	1.55	0.11	0.11	0.07	-0.04	0.2
21.0	2.92	0.72	2.97	1.04	3.85	0.40	0.49	0.20	0.17	1.44	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.1
22.0	2.91	0.70	2.93	1 11	3.81	0.44	0.40	0.27	0.21	1.30	0.00	0.09	0.07	-0.10	0.1
22.5	2.92	0.61	2.83	1.39	3.83	0.42	0.45	0.28	0.11	1.33	0.04	0.07	0.04	-0.04	0.1
23.0	2.91	0.58	2.88	1.50	3.73	0.52	0.40	0.41	0.19	1.22	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.02	0.1
23.5	2.90	0.55	2.78	1.70	3.77	0.47	0.37	0.35	0.18	1.11	0.08	0.10	0.04	0.01	0.2
24.0	2.88	0.52	2.75	1.93	3.89	0.50	0.38	0.37	0.25	1.17	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.02	0.2
24.5	2.84	0.54	2.69	2.02	3.79	0.50	0.38	0.36	0.22	1.17	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.01	0.3
25.0	2.81	0.51	2.75	2.03	3.79	0.47	0.46	0.29	0.15	1.14	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.02	0.2
25.5	2.79	0.53	2.70	2.07	3.80	0.50	0.37	0.38	0.20	1.04	0.07	0.11	0.03	0.02	0.3
26.0	2.75	0.55	2.69	2.02	3.77	0.46	0.41	0.30	0.18	1.07	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.00	0.2
26.5	2.70	0.52	2.65	1.89	3.68	0.60	0.38	0.41	0.35	1.04	0.08	0.10	0.04	0.01	0.2
27.0	2.71	0.53	2.72	1.91	3.69	0.58	0.45	0.35	0.29	1.09	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.00	0.2
27.5	2.70	0.56	2.72	1.79	3.71	0.53	0.40	0.31	0.30	0.99	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.2
28.0	2.65	0.60	2.74	1.65	3.74	0.55	0.38	0.36	0.30	0.99	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.00	0.2
28.5	2.65	0.61	2.75	1.62	3.78	0.53	0.41	0.38	0.22	1.00	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.02	0.3
29.0	2.64	0.62	2.74	1.62	3.70	0.51	0.36	0.38	0.24	0.92	0.07	0.11	0.04	0.00	0.3
29.5	2.60	0.67	2.75	1.47	3.70	0.59	0.54	0.59	0.20	0.97	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.00	0.2
20.0	0.57	0 65	273	1 / 8	3 50	033	0.00	0.33	0 22	0 33	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.02	0 7

### Table 17D: Transpiration rate measured for potato (I).

Time		C	1 -	Stoma	ital co	onducta	ance [	mmol H	$_{2}$ Om	s ] f	or pot	ato $(f)$	)	4	
		Cont	rol treat	ment			Platin	um treat	tment			Pallac	lium trea	tment	
[minute]	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	max.	mean	SD	median	min.	ma
0.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
1.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
2.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
2.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.0
3.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.0
3.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
4.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.0
4.5	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.0
5.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
5.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
6.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
6.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
7.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
7.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
8.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
9.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.0
9.5	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
10.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
10.5	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
11.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
11.5	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
12.0	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
12.5	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
13.0	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.08	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
13.3	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.09	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
14.5	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.01	0.13	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
15.0	0.09	0.04	0.10	0.01	0.15	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
15.5	0.10	0.05	0.11	0.01	0.16	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
16.0	0.11	0.05	0.13	0.01	0.19	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
16.5	0.13	0.06	0.15	0.01	0.20	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.08	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
17.0	0.14	0.06	0.16	0.01	0.22	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
17.5	0.15	0.06	0.17	0.02	0.24	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
18.0	0.10	0.00	0.18	0.02	0.25	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
19.0	0.17	0.07	0.18	0.02	0.20	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
19.5	0.18	0.07	0.20	0.02	0.27	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
20.0	0.18	0.07	0.20	0.03	0.27	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
20.5	0.18	0.07	0.20	0.03	0.27	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.0
21.0	0.18	0.07	0.19	0.04	0.26	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
21.5	0.18	0.07	0.19	0.04	0.27	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
22.0	0.18	0.07	0.18	0.04	0.27	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
22.5	0.18	0.07	0.17	0.06	0.20	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
23.5	0.17	0.07	0.17	0.07	0.27	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
24.0	0.17	0.06	0.16	0.08	0.27	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
24.5	0.17	0.06	0.15	0.09	0.26	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
25.0	0.16	0.06	0.15	0.09	0.26	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
25.5	0.16	0.06	0.14	0.10	0.25	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
26.0	0.16	0.06	0.14	0.09	0.25	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
26.5	0.15	0.05	0.14	0.09	0.24	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
27.0	0.15	0.05	0.13	0.09	0.24	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
21.5	0.15	0.06	0.14	0.09	0.24	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
20.0	0.14	0.03	0.14	0.08	0.23	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
29.0	0.14	0.05	0.14	0.08	0.24	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
29.5	0.14	0.05	0.14	0.07	0.23	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.0
<u> </u>	0.14	0.05	0.14	0.07	0.23	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	(
	1														1 7 1

#### Table 18D: Stomatal conductance measured for potato (I).

Time		Cont	rol treat	rnot	osynti	neuc ra	ue [µ]	um tree	111 S	] IOP	potato	) (II) Dallad	ium tree	itmen	
[minuto]	moon	SD	modian	min	mov	maan	SD	modion	min	mov	moon	SD	modion	min	max
0.5	-0.6	02	-0.6	-0.8	-0 4	-1 6	18	-1 5	-5.9	111ax.	-0 5	0.8	-0.5	-1.4	1.2
1.0	-0.6	0.3	-0.5	-1.0	-0.3	-2.0	1.4	-1.5	-5.1	-0.2	-0.7	0.4	-0.8	-1.3	0.1
1.5	-0.5	0.3	-0.4	-1.1	-0.1	-1.9	1.1	-1.6	-4.3	-0.7	-0.9	0.3	-0.9	-1.3	-0.
2.0	-0.5	0.4	-0.4	-0.9	-0.1	-1.9	1.1	-1.7	-3.9	-0.8	-0.9	0.4	-0.8	-1.5	-0.
2.5	-0.4	0.3	-0.4	-0.9	-0.1	-1.7	0.9	-1.3	-3.4	-0.3	-0.9	0.3	-0.9	-1.4	-0.5
3.0	-0.4	0.4	-0.4	-0.9	0.1	-1.6	0.9	-1.4	-3.8	-0.3	-0.9	0.3	-0.8	-1.3	-0.4
3.5	-0.6	0.4	-0.6	-1.2	-0.1	-1.4	0.7	-1.4	-3.1	-0.2	-0.9	0.4	-0.9	-1.5	-0.4
4.0	-0.5	0.3	-0.6	-0.9	-0.2	-1.5	0.7	-1.3	-3.2	-0.1	-0.9	0.3	-0.9	-1.5	-0.
4.5	-0.2	0.4	-0.4	-0.7	0.4	-1.1	0.6	-1.1	-2.1	-0.1	-0.9	0.4	-0.8	-1.5	-0.4
5.0	-0.4	0.3	-0.3	-0.9	-0.2	-0.8	0.7	-0.6	-2.5	-0.1	-0.7	0.5	-0.8	-1.7	0.0
5.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.6	1.1	1.4	0.6	0.0	5.6	1.0	0.5	1.2	0.1	1.5
6.0	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.1	1.0	1.9	1./	1.5	0.4	5.9	1.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	1.9
0.5	0.6	0.3	0.7	0.2	1.0	2.1	1.8	1.0	0.2	6.1	1.1	0.7	1.2	0.2	1.9
7.0	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.2	1.0	2.2	1.0	1.9	0.2	6.2	1.0	0.7	1.2	0.0	2.0
8.0	0.7	0.3	0.8	0.3	0.9	2.4	1.0	2.2	0.5	6.0	1.1	0.7	1.4	-0.1	1.5
8.5	0.0	0.2	0.7	0.5	11	2.5	1.5	2.2	0.7	6.2	1.1	0.7	1.4	0.1	1.0
9.0	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.3	1.2	2.6	1.5	2.2	0.8	6.4	1.2	0.6	1.4	0.2	2.1
9.5	0.7	0.2	0.7	0.4	1.1	2.6	1.5	2.3	1.0	6.3	1.2	0.7	1.3	0.1	2.0
10.0	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.4	1.4	2.7	1.5	2.2	1.3	5.9	1.2	0.7	1.5	0.2	2.0
10.5	1.0	0.4	0.9	0.5	1.6	3.0	1.6	2.4	1.4	6.2	1.4	0.8	1.6	0.3	2.6
11.0	1.0	0.5	0.8	0.4	1.5	3.0	1.5	2.5	1.4	6.3	1.5	0.7	1.5	0.5	2.6
11.5	1.1	0.5	1.2	0.3	1.7	3.1	1.6	2.2	1.4	6.5	1.7	0.6	1.8	0.7	2.5
12.0	1.2	0.5	1.1	0.4	1.8	3.2	1.6	2.6	1.6	6.6	1.8	0.7	1.9	0.7	2.8
12.5	1.4	0.7	1.4	0.3	2.0	3.3	1.6	2.6	1.6	6.8	1.8	0.8	1.5	0.7	3.4
13.0	1.5	0.7	1.5	0.5	2.3	3.4	1.7	2.7	1.4	6.7	2.1	0.8	1.9	1.2	3.9
13.5	1.6	0.8	1.7	0.3	2.6	3.5	1./	2.7	1.5	6.4	2.3	0.9	2.1	1.5	4.1
14.0	1.7	0.8	1.7	0.5	2.8	3.7	1.8	3.0	1.7	7.0	2.5	0.9	2.2	1.0	4.5
14.5	2.0	1.1	2.1	0.5	4.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	1.7	7.2	2.7	1.1	2.3	1.7	5.0
15.5	2.3	1.5	2.0	0.5	4.6	4.0	2.0	3.7	1.7	7.3	3.0	1.1	2.9	1.6	4.9
16.0	2.6	1.7	2.2	0.7	5.3	4.3	2.1	4.3	1.8	7.6	3.3	1.4	3.0	1.6	5.8
16.5	3.0	1.9	2.5	0.9	6.0	4.4	2.2	4.3	1.9	7.9	3.4	1.3	2.9	2.0	5.7
17.0	3.2	2.1	2.6	0.9	6.8	4.7	2.2	4.9	1.9	8.2	3.8	1.6	3.5	2.2	6.8
17.5	3.5	2.3	2.9	1.2	7.5	4.8	2.4	5.3	2.0	8.9	3.8	1.5	3.4	2.1	6.7
18.0	3.7	2.3	3.2	1.3	7.8	5.0	2.5	5.4	1.8	9.2	4.0	1.7	3.7	2.1	7.4
18.5	4.1	2.6	3.2	1.7	8.7	5.2	2.6	5.8	1.8	9.6	4.4	1.8	4.2	2.2	8.0
19.0	4.3	2.9	3.4	1.5	9.5	5.4	2.8	6.1	2.0	10.3	4.4	1.9	4.4	1.7	8.0
19.5	4.6	3.2	3.5	1./	10.4	5.5	2.8	6.5	2.0	10.0	4.7	2.1	4.8	2.4	8.5
20.0	5.0	3.5	3.9	1.0	11.1	5.7	2.0	0.0	2.0	11.0	5.0	2.1	5.1	2.7	9.1
20.3	5.8	4.0	4.2	2.2	12.9	6.2	3.0	7.1	2.0	11.0	5.4	2.5	5.2	2.5	10
21.5	6.1	3.9	4.4	2.9	13.0	6.5	3.3	7.5	2.3	12.0	5.5	2.6	5.1	2.5	10.
22.0	64	39	49	29	13.0	67	3.6	74	2.2	12.7	57	2.6	5.4	2.6	10
22.0	67	4.1	5.1	3.0	13.7	6.0	3.6	8.1	2.2	13.4	5.0	2.0	5.8	2.0	11
22.5	7.1	4.1	5.1	3.0	14.6	7.1	3.0	8.2	2.4	13.4	6.0	2.0	5.7	3.0	10.0
23.5	73	4 5	53	35	14.6	7.0	4.0	7.5	2.3	13.0	6.1	2.8	5.8	2.6	11.
24.0	7.8	4.7	5.7	3.9	15.4	7.3	4.1	7.7	2.5	14.3	6.4	2.7	6.3	2.8	11.
24.5	8.1	4.8	6.0	3.9	15.7	7.4	4.3	7.8	2.3	14.7	6.6	2.8	6.0	3.3	11.4
25.0	8.5	4.7	6.5	4.6	16.1	7.8	4.5	8.1	2.3	15.8	6.7	3.0	6.1	2.8	11.
25.5	8.7	4.7	6.9	4.8	16.4	7.9	4.6	8.2	2.5	16.0	6.7	3.0	6.0	3.0	11.
26.0	8.9	4.7	6.7	5.1	16.2	8.1	4.8	8.4	2.5	16.5	6.8	2.9	6.0	3.1	11.
26.5	9.3	4.7	7.1	5.4	16.7	8.2	4.9	8.5	2.6	16.7	6.8	2.9	6.0	3.0	11.
27.0	9.5	4.7	7.4	5.6	16.8	8.4	5.0	8.7	2.3	17.3	7.0	2.9	5.7	2.9	11.
27.5	9.8	4.7	7.8	6.0	16./	8.6	5.1	8.8	2.5	17.5	7.2	3.0	5.8	3.3	11.
28.0	10.1	4.9	8.1	63	17.4	8.7	5.4	9.0	2.5	18.1	7.2	3.1	5.5	3.5	12
29.0	10.5	4.6	82	6.5	17.0	9.0	5.4	87	2.7	18.3	74	3.0	5.9	3.5	11 9
29.5	10.8	4.6	8.6	6.9	17.8	9.0	5.7	9.0	2.7	18.3	7.3	3.1	6.2	3.3	12
30.0	11.1	4.5	9.0	7.1	17.7	9.1	5.8	8.9	2.5	18.2	7.3	3.1	6.1	3.3	12.3
30.5	11.2	4.4	9.1	7.6	17.9	9.2	5.8	9.3	2.6	18.4	7.4	3.0	6.3	3.5	12.
31.0	11.6	4.5	9.6	7.5	18.0	9.3	5.9	9.7	2.6	18.3	7.4	3.1	6.0	3.4	12.
31.5	11.7	4.4	9.3	8.2	18.1	9.4	5.8	10.0	2.4	18.3	7.4	3.0	6.3	3.7	12.
32.0	12.5	4.7	9.4	8.7	18.5	9.4	5.9	9.8	2.4	18.3	7.5	3.2	6.3	3.9	13.
32.5	12.7	4.6	10.1	8.8	18.8	9.2	6.1	9.1	2.8	18.4	7.6	3.2	6.5	3.8	13.
33.0	12.8	4.3	10.2	9.4	18.5	9.7	6.0	9.6	2.8	18.4	7.6	3.3	6.5	3.9	13.
33.5	13.8	4.4	13.6	9.4	18.5	9.9	6.8	10.1	3.0	18.6	7.6	3.2	6.8	3.8	13.0
34.0	13.9	4.1	13.8	9.9	18.3	11.8	6.7	12.5	2.7	18.9	7.9	3.2	6.8	4.0	13.
34.5	13.2	4.5	11.5	9.7	18.3	10.8	6.6	11.5	3.0	18.7	8.2	3.6	7.1	3.7	14.(
15 ()	1107	1 6	107	146	118	5	167	125	1 4 3	1188	53	14	54	140	- 67

### Table 19D: Photosynthetic rate measured for potato (II).

Time		Cont	rol treat	nent	Spira	aon idi	.∼ [ P]atir	num treat	ment	1 101	I	Pallad	ium trea	tment	
[minute]	mean	SD	median	min	max	mean	SD	median	min	max	mean	SD	median	min	ma
0.5	0.17	0.09	0.14	0.10	0.33	0.33	0.16	0.27	0.16	0.71	0.18	0.08	0.22	0.04	0.2
1.0	0.13	0.09	0.12	0.03	0.33	0.32	0.10	0.29	0.15	0.61	0.16	0.08	0.22	0.03	0.2
1.5	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.02	0.27	0.30	0.15	0.24	0.17	0.64	0.17	0.06	0.17	0.06	0.2
2.0	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.01	0.29	0.28	0.16	0.23	0.05	0.64	0.15	0.07	0.12	0.04	0.2
2.5	0.14	0.09	0.11	0.03	0.29	0.27	0.17	0.20	0.00	0.67	0.13	0.09	0.11	0.00	0.2
3.0	0.13	0.06	0.14	0.02	0.21	0.30	0.14	0.25	0.11	0.66	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.03	0.2
3.5	0.11	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.23	0.26	0.14	0.22	0.05	0.55	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.02	0.2
4.0	0.14	0.07	0.14	0.05	0.24	0.28	0.13	0.25	0.11	0.59	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.02	0.2
4.5	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.25	0.26	0.11	0.23	0.12	0.52	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.02	0.2
5.0	0.12	0.07	0.13	0.03	0.20	0.27	0.13	0.21	0.12	0.60	0.14	0.05	0.12	0.07	0.2
5.5	0.16	0.05	0.15	0.08	0.23	0.30	0.11	0.26	0.16	0.56	0.16	0.05	0.14	0.10	0.2
6.0	0.15	0.06	0.15	0.09	0.25	0.34	0.09	0.34	0.22	0.57	0.19	0.06	0.18	0.11	0.3
6.5	0.16	0.09	0.15	0.05	0.32	0.33	0.12	0.30	0.19	0.61	0.15	0.08	0.13	0.05	0.2
7.0	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.33	0.31	0.13	0.27	0.17	0.68	0.16	0.05	0.14	0.10	0.2
7.5	0.16	0.07	0.15	0.08	0.25	0.32	0.12	0.33	0.16	0.55	0.17	0.07	0.16	0.11	0.3
8.0	0.16	0.07	0.17	0.08	0.26	0.31	0.11	0.30	0.19	0.53	0.19	0.08	0.19	0.03	0.3
8.5	0.17	0.09	0.15	0.07	0.33	0.31	0.12	0.29	0.19	0.51	0.17	0.09	0.16	0.07	0.3
9.0	0.15	0.07	0.16	0.04	0.24	0.33	0.11	0.29	0.21	0.56	0.15	0.07	0.12	0.08	0.2
9.5	0.17	0.06	0.15	0.12	0.28	0.32	0.09	0.31	0.20	0.53	0.16	0.09	0.15	0.06	0.3
10.0	0.18	0.06	0.16	0.12	0.27	0.32	0.11	0.29	0.15	0.53	0.18	0.06	0.17	0.10	0.2
10.5	0.16	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.30	0.32	0.11	0.29	0.20	0.55	0.17	0.08	0.14	0.07	0.2
11.0	0.16	0.07	0.14	0.08	0.28	0.32	0.09	0.29	0.21	0.50	0.18	0.09	0.14	0.05	0.3
11.3	0.17	0.00	0.10	0.09	0.23	0.34	0.11	0.32	0.21	0.02	0.20	0.04	0.19	0.14	0.2
12.0	0.10	0.00	0.15	0.12	0.28	0.33	0.11	0.31	0.15	0.57	0.19	0.08	0.17	0.00	0.3
13.0	0.21	0.07	0.22	0.13	0.29	0.37	0.10	0.35	0.24	0.55	0.21	0.07	0.21	0.12	0.3
13.5	0.20	0.09	0.17	0.02	0.35	0.37	0.12	0.33	0.20	0.60	0.20	0.07	0.23	0.16	0.2
14.0	0.19	0.12	0.18	0.03	0.39	0.36	0.13	0.32	0.20	0.62	0.22	0.05	0.20	0.16	0.2
14.5	0.22	0.09	0.22	0.12	0.33	0.36	0.12	0.35	0.21	0.60	0.23	0.05	0.23	0.15	0.3
15.0	0.22	0.11	0.21	0.07	0.41	0.38	0.10	0.37	0.24	0.56	0.25	0.05	0.24	0.20	0.3
15.5	0.24	0.14	0.19	0.11	0.46	0.39	0.12	0.36	0.24	0.69	0.28	0.06	0.28	0.17	0.3
16.0	0.28	0.13	0.25	0.14	0.48	0.40	0.13	0.36	0.22	0.66	0.29	0.07	0.31	0.19	0.3
16.5	0.28	0.14	0.22	0.15	0.52	0.40	0.13	0.38	0.21	0.65	0.30	0.07	0.32	0.16	0.4
17.0	0.30	0.17	0.28	0.14	0.60	0.43	0.14	0.41	0.26	0.76	0.31	0.07	0.32	0.21	0.4
17.5	0.30	0.14	0.25	0.17	0.55	0.43	0.14	0.41	0.24	0.76	0.33	0.08	0.32	0.23	0.4
18.0	0.32	0.18	0.26	0.18	0.65	0.44	0.14	0.41	0.27	0.76	0.32	0.05	0.30	0.25	0.4
18.5	0.34	0.23	0.25	0.15	0.75	0.46	0.15	0.45	0.27	0.76	0.35	0.09	0.32	0.17	0.4
19.0	0.37	0.20	0.31	0.20	0.70	0.45	0.14	0.43	0.28	0.73	0.38	0.08	0.36	0.27	0.5
19.5	0.39	0.28	0.28	0.17	0.85	0.49	0.10	0.48	0.25	0.83	0.30	0.09	0.35	0.27	0.5
20.0	0.41	0.20	0.31	0.18	0.03	0.40	0.18	0.30	0.22	0.87	0.39	0.09	0.40	0.20	0.5
20.5	0.42	0.30	0.29	0.17	1.01	0.50	0.17	0.48	0.27	0.85	0.42	0.10	0.42	0.20	0.0
21.5	0.49	0.32	0.39	0.18	1.03	0.53	0.21	0.46	0.21	0.90	0.47	0.13	0.45	0.28	0.7
22.0	0.50	0.35	0.33	0.24	1.10	0.55	0.23	0.58	0.26	0.99	0.47	0.15	0.48	0.30	0.8
22.5	0.56	0.32	0.43	0.28	1.11	0.57	0.24	0.51	0.25	0.98	0.49	0.14	0.44	0.31	0.7
23.0	0.59	0.37	0.45	0.23	1.19	0.60	0.22	0.53	0.25	0.96	0.50	0.16	0.48	0.31	0.7
23.5	0.62	0.38	0.45	0.31	1.19	0.57	0.23	0.55	0.22	0.93	0.53	0.16	0.47	0.39	0.8
24.0	0.62	0.42	0.45	0.26	1.24	0.59	0.24	0.57	0.27	1.03	0.53	0.17	0.48	0.36	0.8
24.5	0.67	0.45	0.49	0.29	1.38	0.63	0.27	0.67	0.23	1.14	0.54	0.18	0.50	0.37	0.9
25.0	0.67	0.41	0.48	0.32	1.27	0.61	0.30	0.61	0.19	1.15	0.56	0.18	0.51	0.33	0.8
25.5	0.73	0.45	0.51	0.38	1.40	0.67	0.32	0.71	0.26	1.26	0.56	0.16	0.51	0.38	0.9
26.0	0.76	0.44	0.58	0.38	1.37	0.68	0.33	0.69	0.28	1.30	0.57	0.17	0.49	0.35	0.8
20.5	0.79	0.47	0.56	0.41	1.44	0.68	0.37	0.68	0.21	1.39	0.57	0.17	0.53	0.39	0.8
27.0	0.83	0.45	0.03	0.40	1.42	0.72	0.38	0.70	0.28	1.48	0.59	0.15	0.52	0.39	0.8
21.3	0.83	0.49	0.60	0.42	1.40	0.72	0.37	0.71	0.28	1.40	0.57	0.15	0.52	0.40	0.8
28.0	0.07	0.55	0.59	0.40	1.50	0.74	0.41	0.77	0.23	1.4/	0.00	0.10	0.55	0.41	0.0
29.0	0.91	0.52	0.00	0.50	1.59	0.79	0.41	0.81	0.28	1.51	0.59	0.19	0.55	0.59	0.0
29.5	0.99	0.51	0.74	0.55	1.66	0.82	0.46	0.82	0.29	1.62	0.61	0.18	0.56	0.37	0.9
30.0	1.00	0.50	0.76	0.60	1.63	0.82	0.46	0.80	0.27	1.59	0.61	0.14	0.55	0.47	0.8
30.5	1.01	0.50	0.78	0.59	1.65	0.83	0.47	0.78	0.27	1.58	0.62	0.17	0.56	0.40	0.9
31.0	1.02	0.49	0.80	0.57	1.68	0.86	0.48	0.82	0.32	1.72	0.61	0.17	0.59	0.41	0.8
31.5	1.03	0.49	0.78	0.66	1.67	0.85	0.47	0.89	0.31	1.56	0.64	0.20	0.60	0.39	0.9
32.0	1.15	0.54	0.88	0.70	1.77	0.87	0.47	0.87	0.30	1.64	0.65	0.16	0.60	0.50	1.0
32.5	1.16	0.55	0.95	0.67	1.84	0.84	0.50	0.79	0.32	1.64	0.63	0.16	0.59	0.44	0.8
33.0	1 20	0 4 9	0.98	0 79	1 78	0.87	0 47	0.86	0.29	1.63	0.63	0.21	0.57	0 43	10
33.5	1.20	0.57	1 33	0.65	1 76	0.90	0.59	1.00	0.25	1.05	0.65	0.18	0.60	0.48	0.9
34.0	1.31	0.48	1.31	0.86	1.76	1.08	0.52	1.15	0.34	1.71	0.67	0.22	0.58	0.46	1.0
34.5	1.18	0.52	0.92	0.83	1.78	0.99	0.55	1.12	0.24	1.70	0.68	0.20	0.59	0.50	0.9
35.0	0.88	0.06	0.88	0.83	0.92	0.95	0.62	1.09	0.20	1.72	0.50	0.20	0.54	0.24	0.6

### Table 20D: Transpiration rate measured for potato (II).

Table 21D: S	Stomatal	conductance	measured	for	potato	(II).

Time	Stomatal conductance [mmol H <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ] for potato (II)														
[minuto]	mean	SD	median	min	may	mean	SD	median	min	max	mean	SD	median	min	max
0.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02
1.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02
1.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02
2.0	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
2.5	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
3.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02
3.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02
4.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02
4.5	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02
5.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02
5.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
6.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
6.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
7.0	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
7.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
8.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
8.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
9.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02
9.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
10.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
10.5	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
11.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02
11.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
12.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
12.5	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
13.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
13.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02
14.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
14.5	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02
15.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02
15.5	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03
16.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03
10.5	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03
17.0	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03
17.5	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04
18.0	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03
10.0	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03
19.0	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04
20.0	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.07	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05
20.0	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.04
20.5	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05
21.0	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05
22.0	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.00
22.0	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.07
23.0	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.11	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07
23.5	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.11	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.08
24.0	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.12	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08
24.5	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.13	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08
25.0	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.12	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.11	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08
25.5	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.14	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.12	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.08
26.0	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.14	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.13	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08
26.5	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.15	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.13	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08
27.0	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.14	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.15	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07
27.5	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.15	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.14	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07
28.0	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.17	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.16	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07
28.5	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.16	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.02	0.17	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07
29.0	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.16	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.01	0.17	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08
29.5	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.18	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.19	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07
30.0	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.18	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.18	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07
30.5	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.18	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.18	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.07
31.0	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.18	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.19	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08
31.5	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.18	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.18	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08
32.0	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.19	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.18	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.08
32.5	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.18	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.20	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.08
33.0	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.19	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.20	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.09
33.5	0.12	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.20	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.02	0.23	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.09
34.0	0.12	0.06	0.12	0.07	0.19	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.02	0.21	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.10
24.5	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.20	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.02	0.21	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.09
34.5	0.11									-		r			