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## Introduction

Stochastic differential equations describe dynamics of systems under random disturbances, which are universal in physical, biological, engineering, and social sciences. At the beginning they were proposed by physicists and mathematicians to construct trajectories of diffusion processes, and after further development, these equations have been applied to many other aspects, such as the famous Black-Scholes-Model in economics. With the fast infiltration of stochastic differential equations into a large variety of fields in sciences and technology, books and monographs on this topic have been published, such as Evans [6], Li [18], Mao [21], and Yeh [46].

A very important impetus of the development of stochastic differential equations is the study of Brownian motion (Section 1.1). In 1650, the phenomenon of Brownian motion was mentioned by Leeuwenhoek (1632-1723). Later, in 1827, R. Brown (1773-1858) observed the motion of pollen suspended in water, which is highly irregular and has no tangent at any point, and furthermore, the motions of two distinct particles seem to be independent ([6]). Deeper study on this phenomenon reveals that it is not caused by biological reasons, but the bombardment of the water molecules.

In 1905, the Brownian motion was studied by A. Einstein (1879-1955) by observing motions of ink particles ([6]): Consider a long, thin tube filled with clear water and inject at time $t=0$ a unit amount of ink into the water at the point $x=0$. Suppose that $f(x, t)$ denotes the amount of ink particles at time $t$ and position $x$, which reflects the probability density of Brownian motion of ink particles. It is found that $f(x, t)$ satisfies a diffusion equation, and its solution indicates that the Brownian motion is a Gaussian process.

Further, it is found that the sample paths of Brownian motions are continuous but nowhere differentiable, with Markov and martingale property. The equation that describes the velocity of Brownian particles, the Langevin's equation (equation (1.1.2)) contains the term of white noise

Based on the study of stochastic integrals and Itô's formula, theories of stochastic differential equations, such as existence and uniqueness of solutions, properties of solutions, and methods of solving some kinds of linear equations, etc., have been established (see e.g. [6] and [21]). In general, however, stochastic differential equations are difficult to solve, although solutions are ensured to exist under certain conditions. This leads to the development of numerical integration methods of them, for which there has been an accelerating interest during the past decades. More and more works are devoted to this topic, e.g. Higham [13], Klöden [16], Klöden \& Platen [17], and Milstein [25].

In [16], a brief overview of numerical methods for stochastic differential equations is given. The Wagner-Platen expansion plays an important role in the construction of
stochastic numerical schemes, as the Taylor expansion does in the deterministic cases. Integrands in the integral expression of solution of a stochastic differential equation are expanded successively according to the stochastic chain rule, the Itô's formula, as illustrated in (1.2.14)-(1.2.16). Schemes are constructed by discarding remainder terms in the expansion. Difficulties hereby consist of simulation of higher order derivatives, as well as multiple stochastic integrals. Suitable treatment of derivatives such as using difference quotients instead of derivatives in the scheme leads to Runge-Kutta type methods, e.g. the Platen scheme in Section 1.2.

Different from the concept of consistency and convergence of a numerical method in deterministic case, they are both defined in strong and weak sense in stochastic context, according to whether the closeness is valid path-wise or in certain mean sense (see Definitions 1.7-1.11). Stochastic numerical stability is defined and introduced in Definition 1.12. In the book of Klöden and Platen ([17]), a systematic presentation about issues concerning different aspects of numerical integration of stochastic differential equations is given, including strong and weak approximation. Milstein paid more attention in his monograph [25] to different techniques of constructing and analyzing a numerical method, such as analysis of mean-square order, simulation of multiple stochastic integrals, and so on. An important and concise introduction to algorithms of stochastic numerical simulation, including simulation of Brownian motion etc., is given by Higham [13].

Definition and study of deterministic Hamiltonian systems can be found in Arnold [1] and Hairer, Lubich \& Wanner [12]. Symplectic numerical integration methods that preserve symplecticity of the Hamiltonian systems are developed (Feng [7], [8], Feng, Wu, Qin \& Wang [9], Ruth [39], de Vogelaere [43]). Based on the requirement of preserving symplectic structure, stochastic Hamiltonian systems are defined (Bismut [2], Milstein, Repin \& Tretyakov [26], [28]), where the drift and diffusion coefficients are product of an anti-symmetric matrix and the gradient of the Hamiltonians $H$ and $H_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, r)$, respectively (Definition 3.1). In the same articles ([26], [28]), as well as in [27] and [29], numerical methods that satisfy the discrete symplectic structure conservation law are constructed, whereby symplectic methods for deterministic Hamiltonian systems are adapted to stochastic context according to the consistency and realizability requirements. This results in some stochastic symplectic Runge-Kutta type methods (Section 3.2 and Chapter 7).

For deterministic Hamiltonian systems, the generating function theory is important (Feng et al. [9]), which gives a systematic method of constructing symplectic schemes. Three kinds of generating functions are deduced to satisfy three Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equations, respectively. Approximations of solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equations are studied, which gives in practice the possibility to the establishment of symplectic schemes (Section 2.3). Another important technique frames symplectic schemes through variational approach, i.e., the variational integrators. It is based on the Hamilton's principle, and generates symplectic methods by discrete Lagrangian and Legendre's transform (Section 2.2). The well-known partitioned Runge-Kutta methods, Gauss collocation methods, and Lobatto IIIA-IIIB pairs etc. can be structured by variational integrators ([12], Marsden \& West [24]).

In 1966, Nelson gave a stochastic view of quantum mechanics, which is now called stochastic quantization procedure or Nelson's stochastic mechanics (Nelson [35], [36]). Since his creative work, there have been attempts to endow Nelson's stochastic mechanics with
a dynamical framework in the Lagrangian formalism (Guerra \& Morato [11], Nelson [37], Zambrini [47], [48]) and in the Hamiltonian formalism (Misawa [30], Zambrini [47], [48]). In each of these works Nelson's original mechanics is developed as stochastic Newtonian mechanics, on the model of classical mechanics (see Misawa [32]). Hamiltonian formalism for Nelson's stochastic mechanics is developed (Zambrini [47]), based on variational principle. Hamiltonian mechanics for diffusion processes is formulated, which is different from that of Zambrini and based on the stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi equation (Nelson [37]) (see Misawa [30]). Canonical transformations in stochastic mechanics are given (Misawa [31]). A series of articles applying Lagrangian variational principle to analysis of quantum mechanics are written (Davies [5], Loffredo \& Morato [19]), whereby the stochastic action integral and Lagrangian are treated in certain mean sense.

The deterministic generating function and variational integrator theories stimulate our attempts to develop stochastic generating functions and variational integrators, which are not studied yet. We start from searching for the stochastic Hamilton's principle and the Hamilton-Jacobi theory, since their deterministic parallel is the base for deterministic generating functions and variational integrators. The theories developed by Nelson et al. ([35]-[37]) give an important hint. In order to get mean-square methods, we apply mechanics for nonconservative systems ([1], Frederico \& Torres [10], Marsden \& Ratiu [23], Morita \& Ohtsuka [34], Recati [38], Tveter [42] ), with the consideration that the random force, the white noise, is a certain nonconservative force.

Mainly, is used the Lagrange equations of motion for nonconservative systems (4.1.5) which contain the nonconservative force, and the generalized action integral (4.1.1), which is an integral of the difference of Lagrangian function and the work done by the nonconservative force. Based on these and the Hamilton's principle, which states that the equation (4.1.5) extremizes (4.1.1), we propose the generalized Hamilton's principle and action integral with noises. Further, the stochastic variational integrators are established (Chapter 4). The action integral with noises generates a symplectic mapping, which gives rise to generating functions of the first, second and third kind through coordinates transformation. The Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equations with noises are derived, whereby forms of stochastic partial differential equations give inspirations (Carmona \& Rozovskii [3], Prato \& Tubaro [4]). Numerical methods are achieved by an approximation of solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equations with noises, which is a truncated series in powers of the Brownian motion $W(t)$ and time $t$. The mean-square order of the methods can be controlled by truncating this series to proper term (Chapter 5 and 6). The WagnerPlaten expansion in Stratonovich sense, and the Stratonovich chain rule (Theorem 1.4) are vital for involved calculations. Numerical tests are performed for a linear stochastic oscillator, Kubo oscillator, a model of synchrotron oscillations, and a system with two additive noises (Chapter 9). In order to check validity of our theory, we derive generating functions for some symplectic methods given in literature (Chapter 7). Numerical tests and contents in Chapter 7 show that, the stochastic variational integrators and generating functions are two efficient approaches to construct stochastic symplectic methods.

The idea of preserving symplecticity proposed by Feng et al. is later developed to the theory of structure-preserving algorithms, which aims to construct numerical methods that can preserve properties of the original systems, and is now a fast developing branch of numerical analysis. On the other hand, it is well-known that the theory of conserved quantities (the first integrals) of dynamical systems is one of the most important subjects, because such quantities are fundamental characters of dynamical systems described
by differential equations. ... . Moreover, in the field of numerical analysis for deterministic dynamical systems described by ordinary differential equations, it is also recognized that the studies on the numerical preservation of the conserved quantities for dynamical systems are very important in performing reliable numerical calculations to the systems. Hence, it seems quite natural to investigate stochastic numerical schemes which leave the conserved quantities of stochastic systems numerically invariant (see Misawa [33]). The invariance of asymptotic laws of methods for linear stochastic systems (Schurz [40]), and an energy conservative stochastic difference scheme for a one-dimensional stochastic Hamiltonian system (Misawa [33]) are discussed. Compared to the accuracy and stability analysis of stochastic numerical methods, however, the study on structure preservation properties of stochastic numerical methods is rare.

At the beginning of our research, is consider a linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8). A stochastic midpoint rule is proposed, which is symplectic. Its ability of preserving linear growth property of the second moment of the solution, as well as oscillation property of the solution is checked (Hong, Scherer \& Wang [14]). Further, some stochastic predictor-corrector methods for the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) are given, and their structure-preserving properties are studied (Hong, Scherer \& Wang [15], see Section 3.3). In Chapter 5 and 6, we construct some symplectic methods for different stochastic Hamiltonian systems. Their behaviors in preserving conserved quantities and properties of original systems are observed through numerical tests in Chapter 9.

Backward error analysis is applied in numerical integration of deterministic ordinary differential equations. Instead of the local and global errors, backward error analysis studies difference between the vector fields of the original system and its modified equation, which has the discrete points produced by the numerical method as its exact solution points. Observing the exact flow of the modified equation gives insight into the qualitative properties of the numerical methods ([12]). Construction of modified equation of a numerical method is vital for backward error analysis. It is verified that the modified equation of a symplectic method is a Hamiltonian system, which can be written by using generating functions of the numerical method ([12]). Inspired by these results, we propose in this dissertation stochastic modified equations for symplectic methods with noises, by applying their generating functions with noises. We prove that the modified equation of a stochastic symplectic method is a stochastic Hamiltonian system, which can be determined by generating functions of the method (Chapter 8). Numerical tests show good coincidence between the trajectories of the numerical methods and their stochastic modified equations (Chapter 9). This gives help to the study of qualitative and long time behavior of stochastic symplectic methods.

Contents of this dissertation are organized in the following way:
Chapter 1 is an introduction to stochastic differential equations and an overview of their numerical approximations. Chapter 2 gives basic knowledge of deterministic Hamiltonian systems, and the theory of deterministic variational integrators and generating functions. Definition and properties of stochastic Hamiltonian systems, as well as some results on numerical integration methods of them are presented in Chapter 3. Chapter 4 gives representation of stochastic action integral, based on which the Lagrangian formalism of stochastic Hamiltonian systems is derived, and the stochastic Hamilton's principle is obtained. As a result, stochastic variational integrators that create symplectic numerical integration methods are constructed. On the basis of the stochastic action integral, as
well as coordinates transformations, three kinds of generating functions containing one noise for producing stochastic symplectic mappings are given in Chapter 5. Meanwhile, three kinds of stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equations satisfied by the three kinds of generating functions are derived. An approach to approximate solutions of the stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equations is given. Symplectic numerical methods for some stochastic Hamiltonian systems are constructed on the basis of the stochastic generating function theory, whereby mean-square order of the methods can be theoretically sufficiently high. The results in Chapter 5 for systems with one noise are generalized to that for systems with two noises in Chapter 6, which contain stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equations with two noises, as well as approximations of their solutions which are generating functions for stochastic symplectic schemes with two noises. Cases of more noises can be treated similarly. In Chapter 7, generating functions are found for some stochastic symplectic methods given in literature, according to the stochastic generating function theory in Chapter 5 and 6. A symplectic Runge-Kutta method for systems with additive noises is generalized to systems with any type of noises, for which three kinds of generating functions are derived. Chapter 8 contributes to stochastic backward error analysis, where an approach of constructing modified equations for stochastic symplectic schemes is given, which applies stochastic generating functions. The obtained modified equations are proved to be stochastic Hamiltonian systems. Modified equations for some symplectic methods proposed in previous chapters are established. The numerical methods produced by variational integrators and generating functions for discretizing a linear stochastic oscillator, the Kubo oscillator, a model of synchrotron oscillations and a system with two additive noises are tested through numerical experiments. Chapter 9 illustrates the numerical results, which show efficiency of the methods in simulating the original systems with preservation of some important structures of the original systems. Good coincidence between trajectories of numerical methods and their modified equations gives another support to validity of the stochastic generating function theory proposed in this thesis.
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## Chapter 1

## Basic Knowledge

Basic definitions related to stochastic differential equations (SDEs), such as Wiener process, stochastic integrals, and Itô's formula are given in this chapter. A brief overview of the numerical methods for SDEs, especially the Wagner-Platen expansion is made. Concepts of convergence, consistence, and stability of a numerical method for SDEs are introduced.

### 1.1 Stochastic Differential Equations

In modern control theory, stochastic differential equations play an important role, because they can successfully model systems with random perturbations.
Recall that a d-dimensional ordinary differential equation (ODE) system has the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{X}(t)=f(X(t), t), \quad X(0)=X_{0} \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is a d-dimensional vector and $f: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[0,+\infty] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d}$ a $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued function. Stochastic differential equations arise when an ordinary differential equation is forced by an irregular stochastic process such as Gaussian white noise $\xi(t)$, the name of which comes from the fact that its average power is uniformly distributed in frequency, which is a characteristic of white light ([17]). For example, Langevin wrote the following equation for the acceleration of a particle of Brownian motion, resulting from the molecular bombardment of the particle suspended on a water surface,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{X}(t)=a X(t)+b \xi(t), \tag{1.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a<0, b>0$, and $X(t)$ being the velocity of the particle. This is the sum of a retarding frictional force depending on the velocity and the molecular forces represented by a white noise process $\xi(t) .-a$ and $b$ are called drift coefficient and diffusion coefficient respectively.
A mathematical description of Brownian motion was proposed by Wiener ([6],[17]), therefore, Brownian motion is also called standard Wiener process, namely, a Gaussian process denoted with $W(t), t \geq 0$, and characterized by the following properties:

1. $W(0)=0$ with probability 1 .
2. For any partition $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots$, the increments $W\left(t_{i+1}\right)-W\left(t_{i}\right)$ and $W\left(t_{j+1}\right)-W\left(t_{j}\right)$ are independent for all $i \neq j$.
3. $\mathbb{E}(W(t))=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}(W(t)-W(s))=t-s$ for all $0 \leq s \leq t$, where $\mathbb{E}$ and Var denote the expectation and variance respectively.

An important character of the standard Wiener process is that its sample paths are nowhere differential almost surely $([6],[17])$. However, the white noises are usually regarded as the derivative of standard Wiener process, i.e., $\xi(t)=\dot{W}(t)$, which suggests that the white noise process is an unusual process. In fact, it can not be realized physically, but can be approximated to any accuracy by conventional stochastic process with broad banded spectra such as the following process

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{h}(t)=\frac{W(t+h)-W(t)}{h} \tag{1.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W(t), t \geq 0$ is a standard Wiener process ([17]).
Now write the Langevin's equation (1.1.2) in the usual form of a stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X(t)=a X(t) d t+b d W(t) \tag{1.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with regarding $\xi(t) d t=d W(t)$ which is a consequence of the relation between white noise and standard Wiener process. The equivalent integral form of (1.1.4) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=X(0)+\int_{0}^{t} a X(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} b d W(s) \tag{1.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

More general, the drift and diffusion coefficients $a$ and $b$ of a stochastic differential equation can be functions of $X(t)$ and $t$. A d-dimensional stochastic differential equation (SDE) system is usually expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X(t)=a(X(t), t) d t+b(X(t), t) d W(t) \tag{1.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[0,+\infty] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a d-dimensional vector and $b: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[0,+\infty] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ a $d \times r$-matrix. $W(t)$ is a r-dimensional Wiener process, the components of which are pairwise independent standard Wiener processes.
Componentwise, the SDE system (1.1.6) are also written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{i}(t)=a_{i}(X(t), t) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{r} b_{i k}(X(t), t) d W_{k}(t), \quad i=1, \ldots, d, \tag{1.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}(t)=X_{i}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} a_{i}(X(s), s) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{r} \int_{0}^{t} b_{i k}(X(s), s) d W_{k}(s), \quad i=1, \ldots, d \tag{1.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1.1.7) or (1.1.8) is called a stochastic differential equation system with $r$ noises.

Since $t \mapsto W_{k}(\omega, t)$ is of infinite variation for almost every $\omega \in \Omega,\{\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P\}$ being the probability space, the stochastic integral

$$
\int_{0}^{t} b_{i k}(X(s), s) d W_{k}(s)
$$

can not be understood as an ordinary integral. It is defined for $b_{i k} \in \mathcal{L}_{t}^{2}$ as the mean square limit of the sums ([17])

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(\omega)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_{i k}\left(X\left(\xi_{j}^{(n)}, \omega\right), \xi_{j}^{(n)}\right)\left\{W_{k}\left(\omega, t_{j+1}^{(n)}\right)-W_{k}\left(\omega, t_{j}^{(n)}\right)\right\} \tag{1.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\xi_{j}^{(n)} \in\left[t_{j}^{(n)}, t_{j+1}^{(n)}\right]$ for partitions $0=t_{0}^{(n)}<t_{1}^{(n)}<t_{2}^{(n)}<\cdots<t_{n}^{(n)}=t$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{(n)}=\max _{0 \leq j \leq n-1}\left(t_{j+1}^{(n)}-t_{j}^{(n)}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{L}_{t}^{2}$ here is the linear space which consists of functions $f: \Omega \times[0, t] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

1. $f$ is jointly $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{L}$-measurable;
2. $\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left(f(\cdot, s)^{2}\right) d s<\infty$;
3. $\mathbb{E}\left(f(\cdot, s)^{2}\right)<\infty$ for each $0 \leq s \leq t$;
4. $f(\cdot, s)$ is $\mathcal{A}_{s}$-measurable for each $0 \leq s \leq t$,
with $\mathcal{L}$ being the $\sigma$-algebra of Lebesgue subsets on $[0, t]$. With the mean-square norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{2, t}:=\left(\int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left(f(\cdot, s)^{2}\right) d s\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{L}_{t}^{2}$ is a Banach space, if functions differing on sets of zero measure are identified ([17]). The mean square limit of the sum $S_{n}(\omega)$ in (1.1.9) depends on the choice of the point $\xi_{j}^{(n)}$ in the interval $\left[t_{j}^{(n)}, t_{j+1}^{(n)}\right]$, which distinguishes a stochastic integral from a classic Riemann Integral.
For example, if $\xi_{j}^{(n)}$ are chosen to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{j}^{(n)}=(1-\lambda) t_{j}^{(n)}+\lambda t_{j}^{(n)}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \tag{1.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote the resulted integrals with

$$
(\lambda) \int_{0}^{T} f(\omega, t) d W(\omega, t)
$$

for the integrand $f(\omega, t)=W(\omega, t)$ one has $([6],[17],[21])$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda) \int_{0}^{T} W(\omega, t) d W(\omega, t)=\frac{1}{2} W(\omega, T)^{2}+\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right) T \tag{1.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the value of which depends on $\lambda$.
Arbitrary choice of evaluation points $\xi_{j}^{(n)}$, however, is of little theoretical or practical interest. The most widely used two cases are $\xi_{j}^{(n)}=t_{j}^{(n)}$ and $\xi_{j}^{(n)}=\frac{t_{j}^{(n)}+t_{j+1}^{(n)}}{2}$, i.e., $\lambda=0$ and $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}$, which results in the so-called Itô integral and Stratonovich integral respectively.

Definition 1.1. For partitions $0=t_{0}^{(n)}<t_{1}^{(n)}<t_{2}^{(n)}<\cdots<t_{n}^{(n)}=T$ on $[0, T]$, the Itô integral $\int_{0}^{T} f(\omega, t) d W(\omega, t)$ for an integrand $f \in \mathcal{L}_{T}^{2}$ is defined as the mean-square limit of the sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(\omega)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f\left(\omega, t_{j}^{(n)}\right)\left\{W\left(\omega, t_{j+1}^{(n)}\right)-W\left(\omega, t_{j}^{(n)}\right)\right\} \tag{1.1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Stratonovich integral $\int_{0}^{T} f(\omega, t) \circ d W(\omega, t)$ is equal to the mean-square limit of the sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(\omega)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f\left(\omega, \frac{t_{j}^{(n)}+t_{j}^{(n+1)}}{2}\right)\left\{W\left(\omega, t_{j}^{(n+1)}\right)-W\left(\omega, t_{j}^{(n)}\right)\right\} \tag{1.1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we use the convention of expressing Stratonovich integral with a small circle o before $d W$. The stochastic differential equation (1.1.6) is said to be of Itô sense, if the stochastic integrals appearing in its integral form (1.1.8) are Itô integrals. For a stochastic differential equation in the sense of Stratonovich we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X(t)=a(X(t), t) d t+b(X(t), t) \circ d W(t) \tag{1.1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the stochastic integrals involved are Stratonovich integrals.
From Definition 1.1 of the two kinds of integrals, it is derived by simple calculation that they are related in an interesting manner ([6]).

Proposition 1.2. ([17],[21],[25]) The d-dimensional stochastic differential equation in the sense of Stratonovich

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X(t)=a(X(t), t) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{r} b_{k}(X(t), t) \circ d W_{k}(t) \tag{1.1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a$ and $b_{k}$ being smooth $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued functions, is equivalent to the $d$-dimensional Itô stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X(t)=\left(a(X(t), t)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial b_{k}}{\partial x}(X(t), t) b_{k}(X(t), t)\right) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{r} b_{k}(X(t), t) d W_{k}(t) \tag{1.1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its proof is based on the Taylor expansion of $b_{k l}\left(X\left(\frac{t_{j}+t_{j+1}}{2}\right), \frac{t_{j}+t_{j+1}}{2}\right)$ at the point $t_{j}$ in the sum $S_{n}(\omega)$ defined in (1.1.15) but for function $b_{k l}$ instead of $f, l=1, \cdots, d$. For details it is referred to [6].

In stochastic calculus, there is a very important formula which functions as the stochastic counterpart of the deterministic chain rule for derivative of composite functions. That is the following Itô's formula in Theorem 1.3. Similar to the definition of $\mathcal{L}_{T}^{2}$, we denote with $\mathcal{L}_{T}^{1}$ the linear space of real-valued functions $f(t, \omega)$ satisfying $\int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}(|f(\cdot, t)|) d t<\infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(|f(\cdot, t)|)<\infty$ for each $0 \leq t \leq T$. In the theorem below we assume that the
stochastic differential equation is of one dimension.
Theorem 1.3 (Itô's formula). ([6],[17],[21]) Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X(t)=a(X(t), t) d t+b(X(t), t) d W(t) \tag{1.1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \in \mathcal{L}_{T}^{1}$ and $b \in \mathcal{L}_{T}^{2}$. Assume $u: \mathbb{R} \times[0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$, and $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}$ exist and are continuous. Set

$$
U(t):=u(X(t), t) .
$$

Then it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
d U(t) & =\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} d t+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d X(t)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} b^{2} d t \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} a+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} b^{2}\right) d t+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} b d W(t) \tag{1.1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

with equality interpreted in the mean-square sense.
It can be seen that an extra term $\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u b^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} d t$ appears, which is not present in the classic chain rule. In [17], Kloeden and Platen give the following simple explanation for it. Use the Taylor expansion for $u$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta U(t) & =u(X(t)+\Delta X, t+\Delta t)-u(X(t), t) \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \Delta t+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Delta X\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}(\Delta t)^{2}+2 \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t \partial x} \Delta t \Delta X+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}(\Delta X)^{2}\right) \\
& +\cdots . \tag{1.1.21}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the equation (1.1.19), $(\Delta X)^{2}$ contains the term $(\Delta W)^{2}$, which gives the term $\Delta t$ due to the fact that $\mathbb{E}\left(\Delta W^{2}\right)=\Delta t$. Thus follows the Itô's formula.

Generalized Itô's formula with dimension $d$ is derived in the same way, based on Taylor expansion of functions with more independent variables. In the case of $r$ noises, its derivation is more complicated. Details can be found in [6].

The Itô's formula (1.1.20) explains why there is an additional term $-\frac{1}{2} T$ in (1.1.13) for $\lambda=0$. For $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}$ in (1.1.13), the extra term $\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right) T$ vanishes, i.e., the classic chain rule holds. This illustrate an important advantage of the choice of $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}$, i.e., the Stratonovich integral, as stated in the following theorem. Here we assume that $X$ is $d$-dimensional vector, and $W$ is $r$-dimensional Wiener process. $a$ and $b$ are accordingly defined, as in (1.1.6).

Theorem 1.4 (Stratonovich chain rule). ([6]) Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X=a(X(t), t) d t+b(X(t), t) \circ d W(t) \tag{1.1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Define

$$
U(t):=u(X(t), t)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
d U(t) & =\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} d t+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \circ d X_{i} \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} a_{i}\right) d t+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} b_{i k} \circ d W_{k}(t) \tag{1.1.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the classic chain rule holds for Stratonovich stochastic differentials $b(X(t), t) \circ d W(t)$, which is the major reason for its use. We give a proof for the simple case $d=1$ and $r=1$, which applies the relation between Itô and Stratonovich integrals, as well as the Itô's formula.

Proof. From $d X(t)=a(X(t), t) d t+b(X(t), t) \circ d W(t)$, applying formula (1.1.18), it is obtained that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X(t)=\left(a+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} b\right) d t+b d W(t) \tag{1.1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now use the Itô's formula (1.1.20), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
d U(t) & =\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} b^{2}\right) d t+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d X \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} b^{2}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} a+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} b\right) d t+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} b d W \tag{1.1.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 1.2 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} b d W(t)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} b^{2}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} b\right) d t+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} b \circ d W(t) . \tag{1.1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (1.1.26) into (1.1.25) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d U(t)=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} a\right) d t+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} b \circ d W(t) . \tag{1.1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Itô SDE system (1.1.6) with $0 \leq t \leq T$ and initial value $X(0)=X_{0}$ which is either a constant or a random variable, under Lipschitz and linear growth condition with respect to $x$ of the drift and diffusion coefficients $a(X(t), t)$ and $b(X(t), t)$, with $X_{0}$ satisfying $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|X_{0}\right|^{2}\right)<\infty$ and being independent of the 'future', $\mathcal{W}^{+}(0):=\sigma(W(s) \mid 0 \leq s)$ of the Wiener process after time $t=0$, there exists a unique solution $X \in \mathcal{L}_{T}^{2}$ of this system. One of the most important properties of the solutions of stochastic differential equations is that they are usually Markov processes.

Definition 1.5. ([6]) The d-dimensional stochastic differential equation with $r$ noises

$$
d X(t)=a(X(t), t) d t+b(X(t), t) d W(t)
$$

is linear if the the coefficients $a$ and $b$ have the form

$$
a(x, t)=c(t)+D(t) x,
$$

for $c:[0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d}, D:[0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, and

$$
b(x, t)=E(t)+F(t) x
$$

for $E:[0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}, F:[0, T] \mapsto L\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}\right)$, the space of bounded linear mappings from $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$.

Definition 1.6. ([6]) A linear $S D E$ is called homogeneous if $c \equiv E \equiv 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$. It is called linear in the narrow sense if $F \equiv 0$.

For the linear equations in narrow sense, if $E d W$ is written as $E \xi d t$ with $\xi$ being the white noise, and regard $E \xi$ as an inhomogeneous term of the ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{X}(t)=c(t)+D(t) X(t)+E(t) \xi \tag{1.1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

the solution can be found by using the variation of constants method of solving an inhomogeneous linear ODE, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=\Phi(t)\left(X_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \Phi(s)^{-1}(c(s) d s+E(s) d W)\right) \tag{1.1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(t)$ is the fundamental matrix of the ODE system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\Phi}(t)=D(t) \Phi, \quad \Phi(0)=I \tag{1.1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, if $F \neq 0$, the method of ODE system fails to function due to the extra term in Itô's formula.
In fact, it is generally very difficult to find explicitly the solution of a SDE system, except for some special cases such as the example above, as well as scalar linear equations ([6],[17]). This gives rise to the numerical methods of solving the stochastic differential equation systems.

### 1.2 Stochastic Approximation

A brief overview of the main issues concerning the stochastic time discrete approximation of a SDE is given in this section.
As the first example, we introduce one of the simplest numerical method of approximating the solution of the scalar Itô stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X(t)=a(X(t), t) d t+b(X(t), t) d W(t), \quad X(0)=X_{0} \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $t \in[0, T]$, the Euler-Maruyama method

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=X_{n}+a\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta_{n}+b\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n} \tag{1.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the partition $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N}=T$ of the time interval $[0, T]$, and $\Delta_{n}=t_{n+1}-t_{n}$, $\Delta W_{n}=W\left(t_{n+1}\right)-W\left(t_{n}\right)$, for which the initial value of iteration is $X_{0}$.

In stochastic context, two basic kinds of tasks are connected with the simulation of solutions of SDE, one is simulating the paths of the solutions, the other is approximating
expectations of functionals of the solution such as its probability distribution and its moments. Consequently arise two types of criteria of judging the error behavior of the simulations, namely the strong and weak convergence criteria.

Definition 1.7. ([16],[17]) A general time discrete approximation $\bar{X}$ with maximum step size $h$ is called to converge strongly to $X$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|X(T)-\bar{X}_{N}\right|\right)=0 \tag{1.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{X}_{N}$ is the value of approximation $\bar{X}$ at time $T=t_{N}$.
For comparison of efficiency of different strong numerical approximations, the order of strong convergence is defined as follows.

Definition 1.8. ([16],[17]) A time discrete approximation $\bar{X}$ is said to converge strongly with order $\gamma>0$ at time $T$, if there exists a constant $C>0$ which is independent of $h$, and a $h_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|X(T)-\bar{X}_{N}\right|\right) \leq C h^{\gamma} \tag{1.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in\left(0, h_{0}\right)$.
On can see from the discussion above that, as the diffusion coefficient $b$ vanishes, and the initial value $X_{0}$ of the $\operatorname{SDE}(1.2 .1)$ is a constant, the two definitions 1.7 and 1.8 reduce to deterministic convergence criteria for ODE.

The strong convergence requires a closeness of each sample path of the theoretical and numerical solutions, as indicated by its definition. In many practical situations, however, it is only required that the probability distributions of $X$ and its numerical approximation $\bar{X}$ to be sufficiently close. For this less demanding task of stochastic approximation, the concept of weak convergence is introduced.

Definition 1.9. ([16],[17]) A time discrete approximation $\bar{Y}$ with maximum step size $h$ is said to converge weakly with order $\beta>0$ to $X$ at time $T$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, if for each polynomial $g$, there exists a constant $C>0$ which is independent of $h$, and a finite $h_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \mathbb{E}\left(g(X(T))-\mathbb{E}\left(g\left(\bar{Y}_{N}\right)\right) \mid \leq C h^{\beta}\right. \tag{1.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $h \in\left(0, h_{0}\right)$.
The assignment of $g$ to polynomials is stimulated by the requirement of the practice of approximating different moments of the solution $X$, since polynomials involve all powers needed. In fact, the functional class of $g$ can be even larger ([17]). In case $b \equiv 0, X_{0}$ being constant, and $g(x) \equiv x$, the definition above reduces to the deterministic convergence criterion of ODE.

Another strong convergence criterion is the mean-square convergence, which is widely used in literature on stochastic approximation, such as in Milstein's monography [25]. It is defined in similar way as Definition 1.7 and 1.8, but with a little modification.

Definition 1.10 (Mean-Square Convergence Order). A time discrete approximation $\bar{X}$ with maximum step size $h$ is said to converge strongly with mean-square order $\gamma$
to $X$ at time $T$ as $h \rightarrow 0$, if there exists a constant $C>0$ which is independent of $h$, and a $h_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{E}\left(X(T)-\bar{X}_{N}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C h^{\gamma} \tag{1.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in\left(0, h_{0}\right)$.
It is verified that the Euler-Maruyama method (1.2.2) has mean-square order $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}$ and weak order $\beta=1$, which are quite low. ([16],[17],[25],[28]).

We note that the Euler-Maruyama method (1.2.2) is a natural generalization of the classic Euler method for ODE to numerical integration of SDE. This generalization is valid, because the increment functions of the Euler-Maruyama scheme $a$ and $b$ take values at the left endpoint of the small interval $\left[t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right]$, which is consistent with the definition of the Itô's integral. Not every classic numerical method can be adapted for solving stochastic differential equations, because they are usually inconsistent with Itô calculus. The consistency requirement in numerical approximation of SDE coincides in idea with ODE, but differs in expressions from ODE by involving expectations, since random elements exist. Moreover, two kinds of consistency are concerned in stochastic context, namely strong and weak consistency corresponding to strong and weak convergence respectively.

Definition 1.11. ([17]) A discrete time approximation $\bar{X}$ of the solution $X$ of equation (1.2.1) corresponding to a time discritization $\left\{t_{n}: n=0,1, \ldots\right\}$ with maximum step size $h$ is said to be strongly consistent, if there exists a nonnegative function $c=c(h)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} c(h)=0 \tag{1.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{\bar{X}_{n+1}-\bar{X}_{n}}{\Delta_{n}} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{A}_{t_{n}}\right)-a\left(\bar{X}_{n}, t_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \leq c(h) \tag{1.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{n}}\left|\bar{X}_{n+1}-\bar{X}_{n}-\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{n+1}-\bar{X}_{n} \mid \mathcal{A}_{t_{n}}\right)-b\left(\bar{X}_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n}\right|^{2}\right) \leq c(h) \tag{1.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all fixed values $\bar{X}_{n}=x$ and $n=0,1, \ldots$, where $\Delta_{n}=t_{n+1}-t_{n}$ and $\Delta W_{n}=$ $W\left(t_{n+1}\right)-W\left(t_{n}\right)$.
(1.2.8) requires that the increment in the drift part of the approximation converges in mean-square sense to the drift coefficient $a$ of the SDE, while (1.2.9) expresses that the increment in diffusion part of the approximation converges to the diffusion coefficient $b$ of the solution in mean-square sense. Thus it can be seen as a generalization of the consistency condition in ODE, and reduces to that in absence of noise. Moreover, the definition of strong consistency indicates the path-wise closeness between the approximation and the solution. In fact, strong consistency implies strong convergence, as the relation between consistency and convergence in ODE.

Weak consistency is defined similarly but less demanding for the closeness of the diffusion parts of the approximation and solution. We omit the definition here. It is referred to [17] for more details.

To obtain higher order methods for SDE, it may be a natural thought to adapt higher order methods of ODE to SDE. This is, however, often unsuccessful, because the adapted method may be either inconsistent or unable to improve the order. To illustrate the importance of consistency, we cite an example which is introduced by Kloeden in his article [16]. The deterministic Heun scheme adapted to Itô SDE (1.2.1) has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n+1} & =X_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left[a\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right)+a\left(X_{n}+a\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta_{n}+b\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n}, t_{n+1}\right)\right] \Delta_{n} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[b\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right)+b\left(X_{n}+a\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta_{n}+b\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n}, t_{n+1}\right)\right] \Delta W_{n} . \tag{1.2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

For the Itô SDE $d X(t)=X(t) d W(t)$ it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=X_{n}+\frac{1}{2} X_{n}\left(2+\Delta W_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n} \tag{1.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left.\frac{X_{n+1}-X_{n}}{\Delta_{n}} \right\rvert\, X_{n}=x\right)=\frac{x}{\Delta_{n}}\left(0+\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} x, \tag{1.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which should approximate the drift coefficient $a(x, t) \equiv 0$ but failed. Consequently the adapted Heun method does not converge to the solution $X(t)$, neither strongly nor weakly.

When an adapted ODE method happens to be consistent with Itô's calculus, it can not have higher order of accuracy, because it contains only the increments $\Delta_{n}$ and $\Delta W_{n}$, with the latter not involving any information of the Wiener process $W(t)$ inside the subinterval [ $\left.t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right]$, but only information on the nodes of the time partition. In fact, using a theorem about order of convergence in Milstein's monograph [25], it is not difficult to verify that such adapted consistent methods can usually only have strong order $\frac{1}{2}$.
In [44], Wagner and Platen expand the solution $X(t+h)$ of the Itô equation (1.1.6) at the point $(t, x)$ in powers of $h$, and in integrals depending on $W_{k}(s)-W_{k}(t), t \leq s \leq t+h$, $k=1, \ldots, r$. Such integrals provide with more information of $W(t)$ inside the subinterval of time discretization. This kind of expansion is called the Wagner-Platen expansion, which is the stochastic counterpart of Taylor expansion of ODE. In case that the diffusion coefficient $b(X(t), t) \equiv 0$, it comes down to the deterministic Taylor expansion. Theoretically it provides with the possibility of constructing numerical schemes for SDE with arbitrarily high order of accuracy, as the Taylor expansion does for ODE.

For convenience, we discuss the scalar Itô SDE (1.2.1). In the Itô's formula (1.1.20), denote the coefficient of $d t$ with $L^{0} u$, and that of $d W(t)$ with $L^{1} u$, i.e., the two operators $L^{0}$ and $L^{1}$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{0}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+a \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2} b^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad L^{1}=b \frac{\partial}{\partial x} . \tag{1.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the equivalent integral form of $\operatorname{SDE}$ (1.2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t+h)=X(t)+\int_{t}^{t+h} a(X(s), s) d s+\int_{t}^{t+h} b(X(s), s) d W(s) \tag{1.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

apply Itô's formula to the functions $a(X(s), s)$ and $b(X(s), s)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
X(t+h) & =X(t)+\int_{t}^{t+h}\left[a(X(t), t)+\int_{t}^{s} L^{0} a(X(u), u) d u+\int_{t}^{s} L^{1} a(X(u), u) d W(u)\right] d s \\
& +\int_{t}^{t+h}\left[b(X(t), t)+\int_{t}^{s} L^{0} b(X(u), u) d u+\int_{t}^{s} L^{1} b(X(u), u) d W(u)\right] d W(s) \\
& =X(t)+a(X(t), t) h+b(X(t), t)(W(t+h)-W(t))+R_{1}, \tag{1.2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

with the remainder

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{1}= & \int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{s} L^{0} a(X(u), u) d u d s+\int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{s} L^{1} a(X(u), u) d W(u) d s \\
+ & \int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{s} L^{0} b(X(u), u) d u d W(s)+\int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{s} L^{1} b(X(u), u) \\
& d W(u) d W(s) . \tag{1.2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

In (1.2.15), eliminate the remainder term $R_{1}$ and replace $t$ and $t+h$ by $t_{n}$ and $t_{n+1}$ respectively, we obtain the scheme

$$
X_{n+1}=X_{n}+a\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta_{n}+b\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n}
$$

which is the Euler-Maruyama scheme.
Higher order schemes can be achieved by expanding the integrands in the remainder term at ( $X(t), t)$ using Itô's formula. For example, in the remainder $R_{1}$, apply Itô's formula to the last integrand $L^{1} b(X(u), u)$ in (1.2.16), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
X(t+h) & =X(t)+a(X(t), t) h+b(X(t), t)(W(t+h)-W(h)) \\
& +L^{1} b(X(t), t) \int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{s} d W(u) d W(s)+R_{2}, \tag{1.2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

with the remainder

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{2} & =\int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{s} L^{0} a(X(u), u) d u d s+\int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{s} L^{1} a(X(u), u) d W(u) d s \\
& +\int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{s} L^{0} b(X(u), u) d u d W(s)+\int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{s} \int_{t}^{u} L^{0} L^{1} b(X(v), v) d v d W(u) d W(s) \\
& +\int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{s} \int_{t}^{u} L^{1} L^{1} b(X(v), v) d W(v) d W(u) d W(s) . \tag{1.2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on (1.2.17), the following scheme is constructed:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n+1} & =X_{n}+a\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta_{n}+b\left(X_{n}, T_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n} \\
& +L^{1} b\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_{n}}^{s} d W(u) d W(s) \tag{1.2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

which is called the Milstein scheme, and has strong order 1 and weak order 1 ([16]).
Theoretically, the successive expansions can be performed unlimitedly, which give numerical methods of arbitrary high order. However, with the improvement of order of
expansion, stochastic integrals appear with more and more multiplicities, which causes great difficulties in implementation.
The simplest stochastic integral with single multiplicity $\int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} d W(s)=\Delta W_{n}$ can be modeled by $\sqrt{\Delta_{n}} \xi$, where $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ is a random variable with standard normal distribution, since $\Delta W_{n} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \Delta_{n}\right)$. Most digital computers include pseudo-random number generators which gives the possibility of realization of $\xi$, and thus the simulation of $\Delta W_{n}$.
In Milstein's book [25], modeling of Itô integrals is studied. It is pointed out that the modeling of multiple Itô integrals can be reduced to that of single integrals. Explicit techniques of simulation of single integrals such as $\int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} s d W(s)$ are analyzed.

Other types of schemes, for example the stochastic Runge-Kutta type methods which are derivative-free, can be constructed by making some modifications on the WagnerPlaten expansion. For example, replacing the derivative term $L^{1} b\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right)$ in the Milstein scheme (1.2.19) by the forward difference

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta_{n}}}\left[b\left(X_{n}+a\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \Delta_{n}+b\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right) \sqrt{\Delta_{n}}\right)-b\left(X_{n}, t_{n}\right)\right]
$$

results in the Platen scheme, which is of Runge-Kutta type and has strong order 1. By doing modifications, consistency requirement should be paid attention to.

Another feature of an efficient numerical method is its stability. As in the situation of ODE, stability analysis of numerical methods for SDE is also required. The concept of stability also means that the error propagation of a scheme is under control, as in deterministic systems, but is defined with using the probability measure $P$.

Definition 1.12. ([17]) A time discrete approximation $\bar{X}$ to the solution $X$ of SDE (1.1.6) with maximum step size $h$ is stochastically numerically stable, if for any finite interval $\left[t_{0}, T\right]$ there exists a constant $h_{0}>0$ such that for each $\epsilon>0$ and each $h \in\left(0, h_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\left|X\left(t_{0}\right)-\bar{X}_{0}\right| \rightarrow 0} \sup _{t_{0} \leq t \leq T} P\left(\left|X(T)-\bar{X}_{N}\right| \geq \epsilon\right)=0 \tag{1.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{X}_{0}$ is the value of the approximation $\bar{X}$ at time $t_{0}$, and $\bar{X}_{N}$ the value of $\bar{X}$ at time $T$.
Under the conditions of existence and uniqueness of solution of a SDE system, the applied Euler-Maruyama method is proved to be numerically stable ([17]). A-stability, test equations, region of absolute stability, stochastic stiff systems, and so on construct the stochastic analog of the deterministic analysis of stability. We omit the details here.

## Chapter 2

## Deterministic Hamiltonian Systems

In this chapter, some basic knowledge about deterministic Hamiltonian systems is stated, such as the derivation of its formalism from variational principle, Lagrangian formalism of a mechanical system, Legendre transformation, and its properties such as symplecticity. Symplectic numerical methods as well as some known results about symplectic integrators, especially the variational integrators and generating function theory for deterministic Hamiltonian systems are introduced, which provides with a resource of reference and comparison for stochastic Hamiltonian systems, and stimulates the study on analogous numerical integration methods for them, which are topics of following chapters.

### 2.1 Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Formalism

After Newton's second law which deals with motion of free mass points, Lagrange developed a new way to describe the motion of more complicated systems such as rigid bodies. Denote the position of a mechanical system with $d$ degrees of freedom with $q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{d}\right)^{T}$. Suppose $T=T(q, \dot{q})$ and $U=U(q)$ to be the kinetic and potential energy of the system respectively. The Lagrangian of the system is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=T-U . \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the position $q$ of the system satisfies the following Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through the Legendre transform of introducing the conjugate momentum

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{\partial L^{T}}{\partial \dot{q}} \tag{2.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H:=p^{T} \dot{q}-L(q, \dot{q}) \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the Hamiltonian of the system, Hamilton gave an equivalent expression of the Lagrange equation (2.1.2), which is symmetric in structure and called the Hamiltonian formalism of motion.

Theorem 2.1. ([12]) The Lagrange equation (2.1.2) is equivalent to the Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{p} & =-\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial q}  \tag{2.1.5}\\
\dot{q} & =\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p} \tag{2.1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The formulae (2.1.4) and (2.1.3) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} & =p^{T} \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial q}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial q}=-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \\
\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} & =\dot{q}^{T}+p^{T} \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial p}=\dot{q}^{T} . \tag{2.1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (2.1.5)-(2.1.6) is equivalent to $\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}$, which is (2.1.2).
In fact, the Lagrange equation of motion (2.1.2) describes in variational problem the $q(t)$ which extremizes the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}(q)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} L(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) d t \tag{2.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

among all curves $q(t)$ that connect two given points $q\left(t_{0}\right)=q_{0}$ and $q\left(t_{1}\right)=q_{1}$. The functional $\mathcal{S}(q)$ is also called the action integral, and the Lagrange equation (2.1.2) has the name, the Euler-lagrange equation for the functional $\mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 2.2 (Hamilton's Principle). ([1],[12]) Lagrange equation of motions (2.1.2) of mechanical systems extremizes the action integral (2.1.8).

Proof. Considering a variation $q(t)+\varepsilon \delta q(t)$ with $\delta q\left(t_{0}\right)=\delta q\left(t_{1}\right)=0$, and setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon}\right|_{\varepsilon=0} \mathcal{S}(q+\varepsilon \delta q)=0 \tag{2.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \delta q+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta \dot{q}\right) d t=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}-\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) \delta q d t=0 \tag{2.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies the Lagrange equation (2.1.2).
The phase flow $\{p(t), q(t)\}$ of a Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6) preserves the natural symplectic structure of the phase space of a mechanical problem, which is the characteristic property of a Hamiltonian system. To explain it, we first introduce the definition of a symplectic mapping.

Definition 2.3. ([12]) A differentiable map $g: U \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ (where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ is an open set) is called symplectic if the Jacobian matrix $g^{\prime}(p, q)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(p, q)^{T} J g^{\prime}(p, q)=J, \quad \text { for all }(p, q) \in U, \tag{2.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & I \\ -I & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $I$ denotes the $d$-dimensional identity matrix.
Geometrically, this definition describes the invariance of oriented area under the mapping $g$ on the manifold $\{p, q\}$. The oriented area generated by two vectors $\xi=\binom{\xi^{p}}{\xi^{q}}$ and $\eta=\binom{\eta^{p}}{\eta^{q}}$ is the oriented area of the parallelogram generated by them, and is actually a 2 -form $\omega^{2}(\xi, \eta)$ on the manifold $\{p, q\}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}(\xi, \eta)=\xi^{T} J \eta . \tag{2.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.3 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}(\xi, \eta)=\omega^{2}\left(g^{\prime}(p, q) \xi, g^{\prime}(p, q) \eta\right) \tag{2.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since a differentiable mapping can be locally approximated by a linear mapping, i.e., its Jacobian matrix, the equation (2.1.13) is approximately equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}(\xi, \eta)=\omega^{2}(g(\xi), g(\eta)) \tag{2.1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the geometric significance of a symplectic mapping $g$.
The phase flow of the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6) is a one-parameter group of transformation of phase space

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{t}:(p(0), q(0)) \mapsto(p(t), q(t)) \tag{2.1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the mapping that advances the solution from the initial time to time $t$, where $p(t), q(t)$ is the solution of the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6).

Theorem 2.4 (Poincaré). ([12]) Let $H(p, q)$ be a twice continuously differentiable function on $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$. Then, for each fixed $t$, the flow $g^{t}$ is a symplectic transformation wherever it is defined.

The proof checks the symplecticity of the mapping $g^{t}$ by applying Definition 2.3, and can be found in [12].

The symplectic 2 -form $\omega^{2}$ on the manifold $\{p, q\}$ can be expressed as the wedge product of the two 1 -forms $d p$ and $d q$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2}=d p \wedge d q=\sum_{i=1}^{d} d p_{i} \wedge d q_{i} \tag{2.1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel, the symplecticity of a mapping $g:(p, q) \mapsto(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$ can also be defined as preservation of the symplectic structure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \bar{p} \wedge d \bar{q}=d p \wedge d q \tag{2.1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we show the equivalence between this description of symplecticity and that in Definition 2.3.

Theorem 2.5. (2.1.17) is equivalent to Definition 2.3.
Proof. Suppose

$$
\binom{\bar{p}}{\bar{q}}=g(p, q)=\binom{g_{1}(p, q)}{g_{2}(p, q)}
$$

where $g_{1}: U \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $g_{2}: U \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d}$ are d-dimensional functions. Thus (2.1.11) in Definition 2.3 is equivalent to three conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial p}}^{T} \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial q}-{\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial p}}^{T} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial q}=I  \tag{2.1.18}\\
& {\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial p}}^{T} \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial p}-{\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial p}}^{T} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial p}=0  \tag{2.1.19}\\
& {\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial q}}^{T} \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial q}-{\frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial q}}^{T} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial q}=0 \tag{2.1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I$ is $d \times d$ identity matrix, and 0 is $d \times d$ zero matrix.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
d \bar{p} \wedge d \bar{q} & =\sum_{i=1}^{d} d \bar{p}_{i} \wedge d \bar{q}_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} d\left(g_{1_{i}}(p, q)\right) \wedge d\left(g_{2_{i}}(p, q)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k<j}\left(\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial p_{k}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial p_{j}}-\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial p_{j}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial p_{k}}\right) d p_{k} \wedge d p_{j} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k, j}\left(\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial p_{k}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial q_{j}}-\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial q_{j}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial p_{k}}\right) d p_{k} \wedge d q_{j} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k<j}\left(\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial q_{k}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial q_{j}}-\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial q_{j}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial q_{k}}\right) d q_{k} \wedge d q_{j} . \tag{2.1.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $d \bar{p} \wedge d \bar{q}=d p \wedge d q$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial p_{k}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial q_{j}}-\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial q_{j}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial p_{k}}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & k=j \\
0, & k \neq j\end{cases}  \tag{2.1.22}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial p_{k}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial p_{j}}-\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial p_{j}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial p_{k}}\right)=0, \quad \forall k<j,  \tag{2.1.23}\\
& \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial q_{k}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial q_{j}}-\frac{\partial g_{1_{i}}}{\partial q_{j}} \frac{\partial g_{2_{i}}}{\partial q_{k}}\right)=0, \quad \forall k<j . \tag{2.1.24}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.1.22), (2.1.23), and (2.1.24) are equivalent to (2.1.18), (2.1.19), and (2.1.20) respectively. Thus follows the assertion.

In the following we mainly use the characterization (2.1.17) of a symplectic mapping.
Given initial conditions of the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(0)=p_{0}, \quad q(0)=q_{0}, \tag{2.1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

another formalism of Theorem 2.4 in terms of characterization (2.1.17) is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. For the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6) with initial conditions (2.1.25), it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
d p(t) \wedge d q(t)=d p_{0} \wedge d q_{0} \tag{2.1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$.
Another significant property of a Hamiltonian system is its conservation of the Hamiltonian $H(p, q)$.

Theorem 2.7. The Hamiltonian $H$ of the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6) with initial conditions (2.1.25) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p(t), q(t))=H\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right) \tag{2.1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$.
Proof. Consider the relations (2.1.5)-(2.1.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} H(p(t), q(t)) & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \dot{p}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \dot{q} \\
& =-\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial q}+\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p}=0 \tag{2.1.28}
\end{align*}
$$

In mechanical problems, in case that the kinetic energy $T=\frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{T} M(q) \dot{q}$ is quadratic, where $M(q)$ is a positive definite matrix, we have by the Legendre transform that $p=M(q) \dot{q}$. Thus according to the definition of the Hamiltonian $H$ (2.1.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p, q)=\frac{1}{2} \dot{q}^{T} M(q) \dot{q}+U(q)=T(q, \dot{q})+U(q) \tag{2.1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the total energy of the mechanical system. Thus the invariance of the Hamiltonian implies the conservation of the total energy of the system.

### 2.2 Variational Integrators for Hamiltonian Systems

Since the phase flows of Hamiltonian systems preserves symplectic structure, one wants to construct numerical integration methods which inherit this property.

Definition 2.8. A numerical method $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}\right)(n \geq 1)$ for numerically integrating the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6) with initial conditions (2.1.25) is called a symplectic method, if it preserves the symplectic structure, i.e., if

$$
\begin{equation*}
d p_{n+1} \wedge d q_{n+1}=d p_{n} \wedge d q_{n} \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$.
One of the simplest symplectic method for Hamiltonian systems is the symplectic Euler method:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n+1}=p_{n}-h{\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial q}}^{T}\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n}\right), \quad q_{n+1}=q_{n}+h{\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p}}^{T}\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n}\right) \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its symplecticity can be verified in a straightforward way. Differentiating the equations in (2.2.2) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(I+h \frac{\partial^{2} H^{T}}{\partial q \partial p}\right) d p_{n+1} & =d p_{n}-h \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial q^{2}} d q_{n}  \tag{2.2.3}\\
-h \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial p^{2}} d p_{n+1}+d q_{n+1} & =\left(I+h \frac{\partial^{2} H^{T}}{\partial p \partial q}\right) d q_{n} \tag{2.2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where all the functions are evaluated at $\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n}\right)$. Thus, the wedge product of the left side of the two equations should equal that of the right side, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I+h{\frac{\partial^{2} H^{T}}{\partial q \partial p}}_{\partial}\right) d p_{n+1} \wedge d q_{n+1}=\left(I+h \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial p \partial q}\right) d p_{n} \wedge d q_{n} \tag{2.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d p_{n+1} \wedge d q_{n+1}=d p_{n} \wedge d q_{n} \tag{2.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\frac{\partial^{2} H^{T}}{\partial q \partial p}=\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial p \partial q}$.
An important class of symplectic numerical methods is the symplectic Runge-Kutta methods, which are widely used and take many special efficient methods into a unified system. The idea of a Runge-Kutta method is to replace higher order derivatives in a Taylor expansion by information of the increment function on intermediate points inside each subinterval of time discretization, by which higher order derivative-free methods are expected to be obtained. It is usually characterized by its stage $s$ and its coefficients $a_{i j}, b_{j}$ and $c_{j}, i, j=1, \ldots, s$. For example, for the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6), a general $s$-stage Runge-Kutta method takes the form

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
p_{n+1}=p_{n}-h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i} H_{q}^{T}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n i}, \mathcal{Q}_{n i}\right), & \mathcal{P}_{n i}=p_{n}-h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i j} H_{q}^{T}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n j}, \mathcal{Q}_{n j}\right), \\
q_{n+1}=q_{n}+h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i} H_{p}^{T}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n i}, \mathcal{Q}_{n i}\right), & & \mathcal{Q}_{n i}=q_{n}+h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i j} H_{p}^{T}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n j}, \mathcal{Q}_{n j}\right) . \tag{2.2.8}
\end{array}
$$

The following theorem gives the conditions under which a general $s$-stage Runge-Kutta method is a symplectic one.

Theorem 2.9. ([12]) If the coefficients of the Runge-Kutta method (2.2.7)-(2.2.8) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i} a_{i j}+b_{j} a_{j i}=b_{i} b_{j}, \tag{2.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i, j=1, \ldots, s$, then it is symplectic.

The proof of the theorem can be found in [12].
In fact, a large variety of symplectic methods, including the symplectic Runge-Kutta methods, can be constructed by generating functions or variational integrators.

Variational integrators for deterministic systems are based on the Lagrange's equation of motion (2.1.2)

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}=\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}
$$

and the action integral (2.1.8)

$$
\mathcal{S}(q)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} L(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) d t
$$

Now consider the action integral $\mathcal{S}$ as a function of $\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)$, where $q_{0}=q\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $q_{1}=q\left(t_{1}\right)$. Find the partial derivatives of $\mathcal{S}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)$ with respect to $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial q_{0}} & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial q_{0}}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial q_{0}}\right) d t \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \frac{\partial q}{\partial q_{0}}\right|_{t_{0}} ^{t_{1}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}-\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) \frac{\partial q}{\partial q_{0}} d t \\
& =-\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\left(q_{0}, \dot{q}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =-p_{0}^{T} \tag{2.2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The last two equalities are consequences of the Lagrange equation (2.1.2) and the Legendre transform (2.1.3) respectively.
Similarly one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial q_{1}}=p_{1}^{T} \tag{2.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathcal{S}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial q_{0}} d q_{0}+\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}}{\partial q_{1}} d q_{1}=-p_{0}^{T} d q_{0}+p_{1}^{T} d q_{1} \tag{2.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.10. ([12]) A mapping $g:(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ is symplectic if and only if there exists locally a function $S(p, q)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{T} d Q-p^{T} d q=d S \tag{2.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This mean that $P^{T} d Q-p^{T} d q$ is a total differential.
Remark. The proof of this theorem can be found in [12]. It applies the Definition 2.3 of a symplectic mapping to show that, the Jacobian matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
P_{p} & P_{q} \\
Q_{p} & Q_{q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

of the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ defined by (2.2.13) satisfies

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
P_{p} & P_{q}  \tag{2.2.14}\\
Q_{p} & Q_{q}
\end{array}\right)^{T} J\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P_{p} & P_{q} \\
Q_{p} & Q_{q}
\end{array}\right)=J
$$

(2.2.14) is equivalent to the three conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}^{T} Q_{p}=Q_{p}^{T} P_{p}, \quad P_{p}^{T} Q_{q}-I=Q_{p}^{T} P_{q}, \quad Q_{q}^{T} P_{q}=P_{q}^{T} Q_{q} . \tag{2.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, with $d Q=Q_{p} d p+Q_{q} d q$, and using an integrability lemma in [12], one knows that the left side of the equation (2.2.13) is integrable if and only if the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q_{p}^{T} P_{p} & Q_{p}^{T} P_{q}  \tag{2.2.16}\\
Q_{q}^{T} P_{p}-I & Q_{q}^{T} P_{q}
\end{array}\right)+\sum_{i} P_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} Q_{i}}{\partial(p, q)^{2}}
$$

is symmetric, which is equivalent to the symplectic conditions (2.2.15).
This theorem builds up the basis of the generating function theories, which we discuss later. $S$ here is called the generating function of the symplectic mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$, which is derived from (2.2.13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{\partial S}{\partial Q}^{T}(q, Q), \quad p=-\frac{\partial S^{T}}{\partial q}(q, Q) . \tag{2.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 2.10 and (2.2.12) it follows that the mapping $\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right) \mapsto\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right)$ generated by the action integral $\mathcal{S}$ via the relation (2.2.12) is a symplectic mapping.
Based on this fact, it is possible to construct symplectic schemes via action integral $\mathcal{S}$ by the relation (2.2.12). Consider $q_{n}$ and $q_{n+1}$ of a one-step method as the two endpoints of the variational problem on the small interval $\left[t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right]$, where $q_{n}$ and $q_{n+1}$ are approximations of $q\left(t_{n}\right)$ and $q\left(t_{n+1}\right)$ respectively, and write out the action integral on $\left[t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right]$ by applying the definition (2.1.8). Then use the relation (2.2.12) to find $p_{n}$ and $p_{n+1}$. The mapping $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}\right)$ is thus symplectic owing to Theorem 2.10.
Explicitly, let the discrete Lagrangian be ([12])

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{h}\left(q_{n}, q_{n+1}\right) \approx \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} L(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) d t \tag{2.2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which plays the role of the 'local' action integral. Given $q_{n}$ and $q_{n+1}, p_{n}$ and $p_{n+1}$ can be derived through

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n} & =-{\frac{\partial L_{h}}{\partial q_{n}}}^{T}\left(q_{n}, q_{n+1}\right),  \tag{2.2.19}\\
p_{n+1} & ={\frac{\partial L_{h}}{\partial q_{n+1}}}^{T}\left(q_{n}, q_{n+1}\right) \tag{2.2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

according to the relations (2.2.10) and (2.2.11). The equation (2.2.19) is also called the discrete Legendre transformation ([12]).

It then follows the problem of finding $L_{h}$. In fact, $L_{h}$ can be approximated by applying different quadrature formulae, such as the trapezoidal or the midpoint rule to (2.2.18).

In MacKay [20] and Wendlandt \& Marsden [45], $\dot{q}(t)$ in (2.2.18) was approximated by $\frac{q_{n+1}-q_{n}}{t_{n+1}-t_{n}}$. It is discovered in [24] that higher order symplectic methods can be created by proper choice of quadrature formulae for approximating the discrete Lagrangian. For example, Gaussian quadrature gives the Gauss collocation method, and Lobatto quadrature gives the Lobatto IIIA-IIIB pair ([12],[24]). Particularly, the symplectic partitioned Runge-Kutta methods can be produced by using a special quadrature formula to (2.2.18) ([12]).

### 2.3 Generating Functions

The existence of generating functions for symplectic mappings is already shown in the section above, where the action integral $\mathcal{S}$ of the variational problem is a generating function, and $q_{n}$ and $q_{n+1}$ are first assumed to be given to find $p_{n}$ and $p_{n+1}$. Note that this is only a formal assumption, since $p_{n}$ and $q_{n}$ are actually given. It can be seen as a local change of coordinates, which requires $\frac{\partial q_{n+1}}{\partial p_{n}}$ to be invertible to ensure that it is well-defined.

Similarly, one can also make other change of coordinates. For example, presuming $\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n}\right)$ or $\left(p_{n}, q_{n+1}\right)$ or $\left(\frac{\left(p_{n+1}+p_{n}\right)}{2}, \frac{\left(q_{n+1}+q_{n}\right)}{2}\right)$ to be the coordinates is sometimes more convenient. There exist analog of Theorem 2.10, namely Theorem 2.11, which describes generating functions $S^{1}, S^{2}$, and $S^{3}$ corresponding to these three cases respectively.

Theorem 2.11. ([12]) Let $(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ be a smooth transformation, close to the identity. It is symplectic if and only if one of the following conditions holds locally:

> a) $Q^{T} d P+p^{T} d q=d\left(P^{T} q+S^{1}\right)$ for some function $S^{1}(P, q) ;$
> b) $P^{T} d Q+q^{T} d p=d\left(p^{T} Q-S^{2}\right)$ for some function $S^{2}(p, Q) ;$
> c) $(Q-q)^{T} d(P+p)-(P-p)^{T} d(Q+q)=2 d S^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for some function } S^{3}\left(\frac{(P+p)}{2}, \frac{(Q+q)}{2}\right) \text {. } \tag{2.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. The proof is based on Theorem 2.10 and given in [12]. In fact, with choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{1}=P^{T}(Q-q)-S \tag{2.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and considering $d\left(P^{T} Q\right)=P^{T} d Q+Q^{T} d P$, a) is equivalent to (2.2.13), and thus follows the assertion of the theorem. The cases b) and c) can be similarly derived for proper choice of $S^{2}$ and $S^{3}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{3}=\frac{(P+p)^{T}(Q-q)}{2}-S \tag{2.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

makes (2.3.3) equivalent to (2.2.13).
Comparing the coefficient functions of $d P$ and $d q$ in (2.3.1), we obtain the following scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=P+\frac{\partial{S^{1}}^{T}}{\partial q}(P, q), \quad Q=q+\frac{\partial{S^{1}}^{T}}{\partial P}(P, q) \tag{2.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the symplectic one-step method generated by the first kind of generating function $S^{1}(P, q)$. It is obvious that as $S^{1}=0$, it becomes the identity mapping, which is
expected, since the initial point of iteration coincides the initial conditions.
For $S^{1}(P, q):=h H(P, q)$ with $h$ being the step size and $H$ the Hamiltonian, (2.3.6) is just the symplectic Euler method, while the same approach applied to (2.3.2) leads to the adjoint of the symplectic Euler method.
Analogously, (2.3.3) yields the scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =p-\partial_{2}^{T} S^{3}\left(\frac{(P+p)}{2}, \frac{(Q+q)}{2}\right), \\
Q & =q+\partial_{1}^{T} S^{3}\left(\frac{(P+p)}{2}, \frac{(Q+q)}{2}\right) . \tag{2.3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

For $S^{3}=h H$, it is the implicit midpoint rule applied to the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)(2.1.6).

As revealed in Theorem 2.10, each symplectic mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ corresponds to a generating function $S$ according to the rule (2.2.17). Theorem 2.4 asserts that, the mapping along the flow of a Hamiltonian system $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ with $p=P(0)$ and $q=Q(0)$ is symplectic for any $t \geq 0$. Consequently, there should exist a generating function $S(q, Q, t)$, which generates the exact flow $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ of the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6) with initial value $(p, q)$, via

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)={\frac{\partial S}{}{ }^{T}}^{T}(q, Q(t), t), \quad p=-\frac{\partial S^{T}}{\partial q}(q, Q(t), t) . \tag{2.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting from this analysis, differentiating the second equation in (2.3.8), it is found that such $S$ can be a solution of a partial differential equation.

Theorem 2.12. ([12]) If $S(q, Q, t)$ is a smooth solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}+H\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial Q}, Q\right)=0 \tag{2.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^{2} S}{\partial q_{i} \partial Q_{j}}\right)$ is invertible, the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ defined by (2.3.8) is the exact flow of the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6) with initial value ( $p, q$ ). Equation (2.3.9) is called the Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equation.

The invertibility of the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^{2} S}{\partial q_{i} \partial Q_{j}}\right)$ is required by the implicit function theorem of ensuring solvability of $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ from equation (2.3.8), as well as calculations in the proof of the theorem. For details see [12].

The generating functions $S^{1}, S^{2}$, and $S^{3}$ also satisfy corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equations, which can be derived from the relation between $S$ and $S^{1}$ indicated in (2.3.4), and that between $S$ and $S^{3}$ in (2.3.5).

Theorem 2.13. ([12]) If $S^{1}(P, q, t)$ is a solution of the partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S^{1}}{\partial t}(P, q, t)=H\left(P, q+\frac{\partial S^{1}}{\partial P}(P, q, t)\right), \quad S^{1}(P, q, 0)=0 \tag{2.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=P(t)+\frac{\partial S^{1^{T}}}{\partial q}(P(t), q, t), \quad Q(t)=q+\frac{\partial S^{1^{T}}}{\partial P}(P(t), q, t) \tag{2.3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the exact flow of the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6) with initial value ( $p, q$ ).
Theorem 2.14. ([12]) Let $u=\frac{P+p}{2}$ and $v=\frac{Q+q}{2}$. Assume that $S^{3}(u, v, t)$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S^{3}}{\partial t}(u, v, t)=H\left(u-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial S^{3}}{\partial v}(u, v, t), v+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial S^{3}}{\partial u}(u, v, t)\right) \tag{2.3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $S^{3}(u, v, 0)=0$. Then the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=p-\frac{\partial S^{3^{T}}}{\partial v}(u(t), v(t), t), \quad Q(t)=q+\frac{\partial S^{3 T}}{\partial u}(u(t), v(t), t) \tag{2.3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the exact flow of the Hamiltonian system (2.1.5)-(2.1.6) with initial value $(p, q)$.
Based on the results above, generating functions for symplectic schemes can be found by solving corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equations. Feng Kang et. al. proposed an approximate solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equations ([8],[12]). For example, substituting the assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{1}(P, q, t)=t G_{1}(P, q)+t^{2} G_{2}(P, q)+t^{3} G_{3}(P, q)+\ldots \tag{2.3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

into (2.3.10) and comparing like powers of $t$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{1}(P, q) & =H(P, q) \\
G_{2}(P, q) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q}\right)(P, q), \\
G_{3}(P, q) & =\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial P^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}\right)^{2}+\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial P \partial q} \frac{\partial H}{\partial P} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q}+\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial q^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial P}\right)^{2}\right)(P, q), \tag{2.3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{1} \approx h G_{1}(P, q)+h^{2} G_{2}(P, q)+\ldots+h^{r} G_{r}(P, q), \tag{2.3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the symplectic numerical scheme generated by it according to (2.3.11) has order $r$ of convergence, since the error is of order $r+1$.

The same approach applied to $S^{3}$ results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{3}(w, t)=t G_{1}(w)+t^{3} G_{3}(w)+\ldots+t^{2 r-1} G_{2 r-1}(w)+\ldots, \tag{2.3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=(u, v)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{1}(w) & =H(w) \\
G_{3}(w) & =\frac{1}{24} \nabla^{2} H(w)\left(J^{-1} \nabla H(w), J^{-1} \nabla H(w)\right) \tag{2.3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{3}(w, h) \approx h G_{1}(w)+h^{3} G_{3}(w)+\ldots+h^{2 r-1} G_{2 r-1}(w), \tag{2.3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symplectic numerical scheme generated by (2.3.13) has order $2 r$ of convergence.

## Chapter 3

## Stochastic Hamiltonian Systems

A stochastic Hamiltonian system is a Hamiltonian system under certain kinds of random disturbances. Its definition, properties and some known numerical integration methods are introduced. Examples of stochastic Hamiltonian systems are given. For a linear stochastic oscillator, special numerical schemes are constructed and their abilities of preserving certain structures of the oscillator system are studied.

### 3.1 Definitions and Examples

Definition 3.1. ([2],[28],[29]) For the 2d-dimensional stochastic differential equation in Stratonovich sense

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d p=f(t, p, q) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{k}(t, p, q) \circ d W_{k}(t), & p\left(t_{0}\right)=p_{0} \\
d q=g(t, p, q) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{r} \gamma_{k}(t, p, q) \circ d W_{k}(t), & q\left(t_{0}\right)=q_{0} \tag{3.1.2}
\end{array}
$$

if there exist functions $H(t, p, q)$ and $H_{k}(t, p, q)(k=1, \ldots, r)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
f(t, p, q) & =-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}(t, p, q)^{T}, & \sigma_{k}(t, p, q) & =-\frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial q}(t, p, q)^{T}, \\
g(t, p, q) & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial p}(t, p, q)^{T}, & \gamma_{k}(t, p, q)=\frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial p}(t, p, q)^{T} \tag{3.1.4}
\end{array}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, r$, then it is a stochastic Hamiltonian system.
This definition is based on the requirement of preservation of the symplectic structure $d p \wedge d q$ along the phase trajectory of the stochastic system (3.1.1)-(3.1.2). It is proved in [28] that

Theorem 3.2. ([2],[28],[29]) For the stochastic Hamiltonian system (3.1.1)-(3.1.2) with conditions (3.1.3)-(3.1.4), it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
d p(t) \wedge d q(t)=d p_{0} \wedge d q_{0} \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$.
The proof mainly applies the formula of change of variables in differential forms. The demand of the system being in Stratonovich sense simplifies the stochastic differential calculations, since the Stratonovich equations preserve classic chain rule.

In fact, (3.1.5) is the intrinsic characteristic of a stochastic Hamiltonian system, and we have the following result.

Theorem 3.3. (3.1.5) is equivalent to

$$
B^{T} J B=J,
$$

where

$$
B:=\frac{\partial(p(t), q(t))}{\partial\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial p(t)}{\partial p_{0}} & \frac{\partial p(t)}{\partial q_{0}} \\
\frac{\partial q(t)}{\partial p_{0}} & \frac{\partial q(t)}{\partial q_{0}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Proof. The proof follows the same way as that of Theorem 2.5.
Due to the external stochastic disturbances, the total energy of the stochastic Hamiltonian systems may not be preserved. In other words, the Hamiltonian $H$ can vary, which is different from deterministic Hamiltonian systems.

Definition 3.4. ([6],[17],[21]) The stochastic differential equation (1.1.6) is called a stochastic differential equation with additive noises, if $b(X(t), t)=b(t)$, i.e. $b$ does not depend on $X$. Otherwise it is called a stochastic differential equation with multiplicative noises.

Theorem 3.5. For a stochastic differential equation with additive noises, its Itô form and Stratonovich form coincide.

Proof. $b$ being independent of $X$ implies that

$$
\frac{\partial b_{i k}}{\partial x}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, d, k=1, \ldots, r .
$$

The relation between Itô and Stratonovich equations given in Proposition 1.2 thus gives the result of the theorem.

We give in the following several examples of stochastic Hamiltonian systems.

## Example 3.1. A linear Stochastic Oscillator

A linear stochastic oscillator with additive noise can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}(t)+x(t)=\sigma \dot{w}(t), \tag{3.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma>0$ is a constant. Introducing $y=\dot{x}$, and given initial value, it can be expressed as the two-dimensional stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d y(t)=-x(t) d t+\sigma d W(t), & y(0)=y_{0}, \\
d x(t)=y(t) d t, & x(0)=x_{0} . \tag{3.1.8}
\end{array}
$$

Since (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) is a stochastic system with additive noise, its Itô and Stratonovich form are identical. We consider it as an equation system in Stratonovich sense. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(y, x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(y^{2}+x^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}(y, x)=-\sigma x, \tag{3.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.1.7)-(3.1.8) is a stochastic Hamiltonian system, since

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-x & =-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, & \sigma=-\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial x} \\
y & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}, & & 0=\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial y} . \tag{3.1.11}
\end{array}
$$

More general, it is not difficult to see the fact stated in the following proposition.
Proposition 3.6. ([26]) A Hamiltonian system with additive noises is a stochastic Hamiltonian system.

Since the noise parts contain neither $p$ nor $q$, but only functions of $t$, the corresponding $H_{k}$ must exist.

Given initial value $y(0)=y_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, x(0)=x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, it is shown that this system has the unique solution ([22],[41])

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(t)=-x_{0} \sin t+y_{0} \cos t+\sigma \int_{0}^{t} \cos (t-s) d W(s)  \tag{3.1.12}\\
& x(t)=x_{0} \cos t+y_{0} \sin t+\sigma \int_{0}^{t} \sin (t-s) d W(s) \tag{3.1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution (3.1.12)-(3.1.13) possesses the following two important properties.
Proposition 3.7. (Markus \& Weerasinghe [22], Strømmen Melbø \& Higham [41]) For the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) with $y_{0}=0, x_{0}=1$, the second moment of the solution satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(y(t)^{2}+x(t)^{2}\right)=1+\sigma^{2} t . \tag{3.1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.8. ([22],[41]) For the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) with $y_{0}=0, x_{0}=1$, almost surely, $x(t)$ has infinitely many zeros, all simple, on each half line $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ for every $t_{0} \geq 0$.

Proposition 3.7 reveals the linear growth property of the second moment with respect to time $t$ of the solution. Since $H=\frac{1}{2}\left(y^{2}+x^{2}\right)$, we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}(H)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sigma^{2} t\right), \tag{3.1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies the linear growth of the Hamiltonian, i.e., the Hamiltonian is not conserved. Proposition 3.8 indicates the oscillation property of the solution.

## Example 3.2. Kubo Oscillator

The Kubo oscillator has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
d p & =-a q d t-\sigma q \circ d W(t), & & p(0)=p_{0},  \tag{3.1.16}\\
d q & =a p d t+\sigma p \circ d W(t), & & q(0)=q_{0}, \tag{3.1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a$ and $\sigma$ are constants, and $W(t)$ is a one-dimensional standard Wiener process. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p, q)=\frac{a}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}(p, q)=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right) \tag{3.1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-a q & =-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}, & -\sigma q & =-\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial q} \\
a p & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, & \sigma p=\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial p} \tag{3.1.20}
\end{array}
$$

which implies that the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)-(3.1.17) is a stochastic Hamiltonian system. Moreover, the quantity $p^{2}+q^{2}$ is conservative for this system ([29]), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t)^{2}+q(t)^{2}=p_{0}^{2}+q_{0}^{2} \tag{3.1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Thus the Hamiltonian $H$ of the system is conserved, and the phase trajectory of (3.1.16)-(3.1.17) is the circle with center at the origin and radius $\sqrt{p_{0}^{2}+q_{0}^{2}}$.

## Example 3.3. A Model for Synchrotron Oscillations of Particles in Storage Rings

In [29], the following model of synchrotron oscillations of particles in storage rings influenced by external fluctuating electromagnetic fields was considered:

$$
\begin{align*}
d p & =-\omega^{2} \sin (q) d t-\sigma_{1} \cos (q) \circ d W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \sin (q) \circ d W_{2}, & p(0) & =p_{0}  \tag{3.1.22}\\
d q & =p d t, & q(0) & =q_{0} \tag{3.1.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega, \sigma_{1}$, and $\sigma_{2}$ are constants, and $p$ and $q$ are both of one dimension.
If

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} p^{2}-\omega^{2} \cos (q), \quad H_{1}=\sigma_{1} \sin (q), \quad H_{2}=-\sigma_{2} \cos (q) \tag{3.1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\omega^{2} \sin (q) & =-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}, & -\sigma_{1} \cos (q)=-\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial q}, & -\sigma_{2} \sin (q)=-\frac{\partial H_{2}}{\partial q} \\
p & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, & 0=\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial p}=\frac{\partial H_{2}}{\partial p} \tag{3.1.26}
\end{array}
$$

which ensures that the model (3.1.22)-(3.1.23) is a stochastic Hamiltonian system with two noises.

## Example 3.4. A System with Two Additive Noises

The following system with two additive noises was studied in [28]:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d q=p d t+\sigma \circ d W_{1}(t), & \\
q(0)=q_{0}  \tag{3.1.28}\\
d p=-q d t+\gamma \circ d W_{2}(t), & p(0)=p_{0}
\end{array}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are scalar, and $\sigma$ and $\gamma$ are constants. Let $X=\binom{q}{p}$, the exact solution of the system (3.1.27)-(3.1.28) can be expressed as ([28])

$$
\begin{equation*}
X\left(t_{k+1}\right)=F X\left(t_{k}\right)+U_{k}, \quad X(0)=X_{0} \tag{3.1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=0,1, \ldots, N-1,0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{N}=T, t_{k+1}-t_{k}=h, X_{0}=\binom{q_{0}}{p_{0}}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
F & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (h) & \sin (h) \\
-\sin (h) & \cos (h)
\end{array}\right),  \tag{3.1.30}\\
u_{k} & =\binom{\sigma \int_{t_{k}+1}^{t_{k+1}} \cos \left(t_{k+1}-s\right) d W_{1}(s)+\gamma \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \sin \left(t_{k+1}-s\right) d W_{2}(s)}{-\sigma \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \sin \left(t_{k+1}-s\right) d W_{1}(s)+\gamma \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \cos \left(t_{k+1}-s\right) d W_{2}(s)} . \tag{3.1.31}
\end{align*}
$$

If we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}=\sigma p, \quad H_{2}=-\gamma q \tag{3.1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
d p & =-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} d t-\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial q} \circ d W_{1}(t)-\frac{\partial H_{2}}{\partial q} \circ d W_{2}(t),  \tag{3.1.33}\\
d q & =\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} d t+\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial p} \circ d W_{1}(t)+\frac{\partial H_{2}}{\partial p} \circ d W_{2}(t), \tag{3.1.34}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that the system (3.1.27)-(3.1.28) is a stochastic Hamiltonian system.

### 3.2 Stochastic Symplectic Integration

As the case for deterministic Hamiltonian system, numerical integration methods that preserve the symplectic structure of stochastic Hamiltonian systems are expected, the research of which is at the beginning of development.

Definition 3.9. ([26],[28],[29]) A one step numerical method $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}\right)$ for the stochastic Hamiltonian system (3.1.1)-(3.1.2) is a symplectic method if

$$
\begin{equation*}
d p_{n+1} \wedge d q_{n+1}=d p_{n} \wedge d q_{n} \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$.
Pioneering work for symplectic integration of stochastic Hamiltonian systems owe to [28] and [29] by Milstein et. al.. In the two articles, symplectic Runge-Kutta type methods are proposed for stochastic Hamiltonian systems with additive noises and multiplicative noises, respectively.
In [28] and its preprint [26], for the Hamiltonian systems with additive noises

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d P=f(t, P, Q) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{k}(t) d W(t), & P\left(t_{0}\right)=p \\
d Q=g(t, P, Q)+\sum_{k=1}^{r} \gamma_{k}(t) d W(t), & Q\left(t_{0}\right)=q \tag{3.2.3}
\end{array}
$$

with the Hamiltonian $H$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=-{\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial q}}^{T}, \quad g={\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p}}^{2} \tag{3.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following $s$-stage symplectic Runge-Kutta methods are given.

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =p+h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i} f\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)+\eta \\
\mathcal{P}_{i} & =p+h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i j} f\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right)+\varphi_{i}  \tag{3.2.5}\\
Q & =q+h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i} g\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)+\zeta \\
\mathcal{Q}_{i} & =q+h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i j} g\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right)+\psi_{i} \tag{3.2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi_{i}, \psi_{i}, \eta, \zeta$ do not depend on $p$ and $q$, the parameters $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i} a_{i j}+b_{j} a_{j i}=b_{i} b_{j}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, s \tag{3.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be seen that the symplectic conditions of the parameters (3.2.7) coincide with that of the deterministic Runge-Kutta methods (2.2.9). For $\varphi_{i}=\psi_{i}=\eta=\zeta=0$, (3.2.5)(3.2.6) reduce to the deterministic Runge-Kutta method (2.2.7)-(2.2.8).

Theorem 3.10. ([26]) The scheme (3.2.5)-(3.2.6) preserves symplectic structure, i.e., $d P \wedge d Q=d p \wedge d q$ under conditions (3.2.7).

Its proof follows from a straightforward calculation on wedge products.
Since symplectic methods are usually implicit, it is necessary to find complete implicit methods, which are implicit in both drift and diffusion parts for stochastic differential equations with multiplicative noises. Directly adapted implicit methods are often unapplicable. As an example, the following Itô scalar equation was considered in [29]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X=\sigma X d W(t) \tag{3.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Euler-Maruyama method applied to (3.2.8) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=X_{n}+\sigma X_{n} \Delta W_{n} \tag{3.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an explicit method. Now change its form to

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=X_{n}+\sigma X_{n+1} \Delta W_{n}+\sigma\left(X_{n}-X_{n+1}\right) \Delta W_{n}=X_{n}-\sigma^{2} X_{n}\left(\Delta W_{n}\right)^{2}+\sigma X_{n+1} \Delta W_{n} . \tag{3.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Modeling $\left(\Delta W_{n}\right)^{2}$ by the step size $h$ owing to the fact $\mathbb{E}\left(\Delta W_{n}^{2}\right)=h$, it follows from (3.2.10) the directly adapted implicit method

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}_{n+1}=X_{n}-\sigma^{2} X_{n} h+\sigma \tilde{X}_{n+1} \Delta W_{n} \tag{3.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}_{n+1}=\frac{X_{n}\left(1-\sigma^{2} h\right)}{1-\sigma \Delta W_{n}} . \tag{3.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scheme (3.2.12) is unapplicable because the denominator $1-\sigma \Delta W_{n}$ can vanish for any small $h$, due to the unboundedness of $\Delta W_{n}$ for any small $h$. Milstein et. al. proposed the method of truncating $\Delta W_{n}$ to make it bounded. Model $\Delta W_{n}$ by $\sqrt{h} \zeta_{h}$ instead of the original $\sqrt{h} \xi$ with $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$. $\zeta_{h}$ has the form

$$
\zeta_{h}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\xi, & |\xi| \leq A_{h}  \tag{3.2.13}\\
A_{h}, & \xi>A_{h}, \\
-A_{h}, & \xi<-A_{h},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A_{h}>0$ can takes the value $\sqrt{2 k|\ln h|}$ with $k \geq 1$ to ensure the mean-square convergence of the method (3.2.12) with order larger than or equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. The proof of this fact is based on the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.11. ([25]) Suppose the one-step approximation $\bar{X}_{t, x}(t+h)$ to $X$ at time $t+h$ starting from time $t$ and value $x$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathbb{E}\left(X_{t, x}(t+h)-\bar{X}_{t, x}(t+h)\right)\right| & \leq K\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{p_{1}}  \tag{3.2.14}\\
{\left[\mathbb{E}\left|X_{t, x}(t+h)-\bar{X}_{t, x}(t+h)\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} } & \leq K\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{p_{2}} \tag{3.2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

for arbitrary $t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{0}+T-h$ and some $K>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad p_{1} \geq p_{2}+\frac{1}{2} . \tag{3.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{t_{k}\right\}$ be the partition of the time interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+T\right]$ with $t_{k+1}-t_{k}=h=\frac{T}{N}$. Then for any $N$ and $k=0,1, \ldots, N$ it holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbb{E}\left|X_{t_{0}, X_{0}}\left(t_{k}\right)-\bar{X}_{t_{0}, X_{0}}\left(t_{k}\right)\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq K\left(1+\mathbb{E}\left|X_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{p_{2}-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely, the mean-square order of the method based on the one-step approximation $\bar{X}_{t, x}(t+$ h) is $p=p_{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.

For the Euler-Maruyama method (1.2.2), it can be verified that $p_{1}=2, p_{2}=1$ in general cases. For a system with additive noises, it holds $p_{1}=2$ and $p_{2}=\frac{3}{2}([26])$.

Theorem 3.12. ([25],[26]) Let the one-step approximation $\bar{X}_{t, x}(t+h)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.11. Suppose that the one-step approximation $\tilde{X}_{t, x}(t+h)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\tilde{X}_{t, x}(t+h)-\bar{X}_{t, x}(t+h)\right)\right| & =O\left(h^{p_{1}}\right),  \tag{3.2.18}\\
\left(\mathbb{E}\left|\tilde{X}_{t, x}(t+h)-\bar{X}_{t, x}(t+h)\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & =O\left(h^{p_{2}}\right) \tag{3.2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

with the same $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. Then the method based on the one-step approximation $\tilde{X}_{t, x}(t+h)$ has the same mean-square order of accuracy as that based on $\bar{X}_{t, x}(t+h)$, i.e., its order is
$p=p_{2}-\frac{1}{2}$.
Theorem 3.12 is a natural consequence of Theorem 3.11, which can be verified by using triangular inequality.

Compare the truncated implicit method

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{X}_{n+1}=\frac{X_{n}\left(1-\sigma^{2} h\right)}{1-\sigma \zeta_{h} \sqrt{h}} \tag{3.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the Euler-Maruyama method (3.2.9), it is obtained that ([29])

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{n+1}-X_{n+1}\right)\right| & \leq O\left(h^{2}\right),  \tag{3.2.21}\\
\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\bar{X}_{n+1}-X_{n+1}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \leq O(h), \tag{3.2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

which means that the method (3.2.20) is of the same mean-square order as the EulerMaruyama method (3.2.9), namely with order $\frac{1}{2}$, according to Theorem 3.12. But (3.2.20) is an implicit method.

With truncation of $\Delta W_{n}$ for building implicit methods, a class of symplectic RungeKutta type schemes was constructed for the general Hamiltonian system (3.1.1)-(3.1.2) with multiplicative noises, which has the form ([29])

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}+f\left(t_{n}+\beta h, \alpha P_{n+1}+(1-\alpha) p_{n},(1-\alpha) q_{n+1}+\alpha q_{n}\right) h \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right) \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma_{k}}{\partial p^{j}} \sigma_{k}^{j}-\frac{\partial \sigma_{k}}{\partial q^{j}} \gamma_{k}^{j}\right) h+\sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{k} \cdot\left(\zeta_{k h}\right)_{n} \sqrt{h},  \tag{3.2.23}\\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+g\left(t_{n}+\beta h, \alpha P_{n+1}+(1-\alpha) p_{n},(1-\alpha) q_{n+1}+\alpha q_{n}\right) h \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha\right) \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(\frac{\partial \gamma_{k}}{\partial p^{j}} \sigma_{k}^{j}-\frac{\partial \gamma_{k}}{\partial q^{j}} \gamma_{k}^{j}\right) h+\sum_{k=1}^{r} \gamma_{k} \cdot\left(\zeta_{k h}\right)_{n} \sqrt{h}, \tag{3.2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma_{k}, \gamma_{k}(k=1, \ldots, r)$ and their derivatives are calculated at $\left(t_{n}+\beta h, \alpha p_{n+1}+(1-\right.$人) $\left.p_{n},(1-\alpha) q_{n+1}+\alpha q_{n}\right)$, and $\alpha, \beta \in[0,1]$.
For $\alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{2},(3.2 .23)-(3.2 .24)$ gives the midpoint rule

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}+f\left(t_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2}, \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}\right) h \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{k}\left(t_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2}, \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}\right)\left(\zeta_{k h}\right)_{n} \sqrt{h},  \tag{3.2.25}\\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+g\left(t_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2}, \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}\right) h \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{r} \gamma_{k}\left(t_{n}+\frac{h}{2}, \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2}, \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}\right)\left(\zeta_{k h}\right)_{n} \sqrt{h} . \tag{3.2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

For stochastic Hamiltonian systems (3.1.1)-(3.1.2) with condition (3.1.3)-(3.1.4), if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{k}(p, q)=U_{k}(t, q)+V_{k}(t, p), \tag{3.2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k=1, \ldots, r$, i.e., if $H_{k}$ are separable, the methods (3.2.23)-(3.2.24) with $\alpha=1$, $\beta=0$ become

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}+f\left(t_{n}, p_{n+1}, q_{n}\right) h \\
& +\frac{h}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \sigma_{k}}{\partial q^{j}}\left(t_{n}, q_{n}\right) \cdot \gamma_{k}^{j}\left(t_{n}, p_{n+1}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{k}\left(t_{n}, q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{k},  \tag{3.2.28}\\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+g\left(t_{n}, p_{n+1}, q_{n}\right) h \\
& -\frac{h}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \gamma_{k}}{\partial p^{j}}\left(t_{n}, p_{n+1}\right) \cdot \sigma_{k}^{j}\left(t_{n}, q_{n}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{r} \gamma_{k}\left(t_{n}, p_{n+1}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{k}, \tag{3.2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{n} W_{k}=W_{k}\left(t_{n+1}\right)-W_{k}\left(t_{n}\right)(k=1, \ldots, r)$. They are not truncated here, because this method is explicit in stochastic terms, in which case $\Delta_{n} W_{k}$ do not appear in denominator.

For the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)-(3.1.17), it is given in (3.1.18) that

$$
H_{1}(p, q)=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)
$$

which is separable. Applying method (3.2.28)-(3.2.29) to the Kubo oscillator results in

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-a q_{n} h-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} p_{n+1} h-\sigma q_{n} \Delta W_{n},  \tag{3.2.30}\\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+a p_{n+1} h+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} q_{n} h+\sigma p_{n+1} \Delta W_{n} . \tag{3.2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The midpoint rule (3.2.25)-(3.2.26) gives for the Kubo oscillator the following midpoint scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-a \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2} h-\sigma \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}\left(\zeta_{h}\right)_{n} \sqrt{h}  \tag{3.2.32}\\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+a \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2} h+\sigma \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2}\left(\zeta_{h}\right)_{n} \sqrt{h} \tag{3.2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

the mean-square order of which is 1 ([29]).
It is shown in [29] that the midpoint rule (3.2.32)-(3.2.33) preserves the circular phase trajectory of the Kubo oscillator with high accuracy over long time interval, while the method (3.2.30)-(3.2.31) produces a ring-shape trajectory. The Euler-Maruyama method

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-a q_{n} h-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} p_{n} h-\sigma q_{n} \Delta W_{n},  \tag{3.2.34}\\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+a p_{n} h-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} q_{n} h+\sigma p_{n} \Delta W_{n} \tag{3.2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

which is not symplectic, gives a spiral trajectory. The advantage of symplectic methods in preserving conservative properties over long time interval is illustrated by this example.

### 3.3 A Linear Stochastic Oscillator

For the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8), some numerical methods are proposed in [41]. Their abilities of preserving linear growth property (3.1.14) of the second moment and oscillation property of the solution of the oscillator system on long time interval are checked. It is found that the forward Euler-Maruyama method

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h y_{n},  \tag{3.3.1}\\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}-h x_{n}+\sigma \Delta W_{n}, \tag{3.3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h>0$ is the step size, produces a second moment that increases exponentially with time, and the backward Euler-Maruyama method

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h y_{n+1},  \tag{3.3.3}\\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}-h x_{n+1}+\sigma \Delta W_{n} \tag{3.3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

has second moment bounded above for all time. The partitioned Euler-Maruyama method

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h y_{n},  \tag{3.3.5}\\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}-h x_{n+1}+\sigma \Delta W_{n}, \tag{3.3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

however, gives linear growth for all step sizes less than 2. Moreover, it inherits a precise analogue of the infinite oscillation property of the solution, which is stated in Proposition 3.8.

It is straightforward to verify that the partitioned Euler-Maruyama method is symplectic by checking the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x_{n+1} \wedge d y_{n+1}=d x_{n} \wedge d y_{n} \tag{3.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply the midpoint rule to the oscillator to obtain the midpoint scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+h \frac{y_{n+1}+y_{n}}{2}  \tag{3.3.8}\\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}-h \frac{x_{n+1}+x_{n}}{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n}, \tag{3.3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

which can also be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x_{n+1}}{y_{n+1}}=A\binom{x_{n}}{y_{n}}+r_{n} \tag{3.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}} & \frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}}  \tag{3.3.11}\\
\frac{-4 h}{4+h^{2}} & \frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}
\end{array}\right), \quad r_{n}=\binom{\frac{2 \sigma h}{4+h^{2}}}{\frac{4 \sigma}{4+h^{2}}} \Delta W_{n} .
$$

The sympleticity of the scheme is obvious since it is a special case of the midpoint rule (3.2.25)-(3.2.26). For its ability of preserving the properties of the oscillator system, we have the following results.

Theorem 3.13. ([14]) Given initial data $x_{0}=1, y_{0}=0$, the numerical solution arising from (3.3.8)-(3.3.9) satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { i) } \mathbb{E}\left(x_{n}^{2}+y_{n}^{2}\right)=1+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{1+\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2}} t_{n}, \quad \text { and consequently }  \tag{3.3.12}\\
& \text { ii) } \mathbb{E}\left(x_{n}^{2}+y_{n}^{2}\right) \leq 1+\sigma^{2} t_{n}-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{4} t_{n} h^{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{16} t_{n} h^{4} \tag{3.3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $h>0$.
Proof. The proof follows directly from the relations (3.3.10)-(3.3.11).
It is shown by numerical tests that the midpoint rule is more stable in preserving the linear growth property over long time interval than the partitioned Euler-Maruyama method.

Theorem 3.14. ([14]) The numerical solution $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n>0}$ arising from the midpoint scheme (3.3.8)-(3.3.9) for the oscillator system (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) with initial values $x_{0}=1, y_{0}=0$ will switch signs infinitely many times as $n \rightarrow \infty$, almost surely.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows the same way as that of the partitioned EulerMaruyama method given in [41], except for some technical details. It can be derived from the midpoint scheme (3.3.8)-(3.3.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x_{n+1}}{x_{n}}=B\binom{x_{n}}{x_{n-1}}+\binom{\hat{r}_{n}}{0}, \tag{3.3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{2\left(4-h^{2}\right)}{4+h^{2}} & -1  \tag{3.3.15}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \hat{r}_{n}=\frac{2 \sigma h}{4+h^{2}}\left(\Delta W_{n}+\Delta W_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Denote $B^{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{j} & b_{j} \\ c_{j} & d_{j}\end{array}\right)$, it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=b_{n}+a_{n} \frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}+a_{n} \frac{2 \sigma h}{4+h^{2}} \Delta W_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n-j} \hat{r}_{j} . \tag{3.3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By some algebraic discussion it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}+a_{n} \frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}\right| \leq K, \quad\left|a_{j}\right| \leq K, \quad\left|b_{j}\right| \leq K \tag{3.3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $K>0, j=0,1,2, \ldots$. Let $S_{n}=a_{n} \frac{2 \sigma h}{4+h^{2}} \Delta W_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n-j} \hat{r}_{j}$. Then it can be calculated that $S_{n} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, s_{n}^{2}\right)$ with $s_{n}^{2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
The law of iterated logarithm indicates that for such a random variable sequence $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$, $\forall \varepsilon>0$ and sufficiently large $n, S_{n}$ will almost surely exceed the bounds $-(1-\varepsilon)\left(2 s_{n}^{2} \ln \ln s_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $(1-\varepsilon)\left(2 s_{n}^{2} \ln \ln s_{n}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ infinitely often. (3.3.17) ensures that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ behaves in the same way.

Superiority of symplectic schemes in preserving structure of the underlying systems on long time interval than non-symplectic methods is reflected in the simulation of the linear stochastic oscillator. For general systems, symplectic schemes are usually implicit. In deterministic case, predictor-corrector methods are proposed to give explicit schemes with nearly preservation of symplecticity.
We apply the predictor-corrector methods with equidistant time discretization to the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) to observe the effect of such methods in stochastic case. Denote the predictor-corrector method by $P(E C)^{k}$, where $k$ indicates that the corrector is applied $k$ times. We say shortly, e.g., $P(E C)^{k}$ method with partitioned EulerMaruyama and midpoint rule, to mean that the first mentioned method is the predictor and the second one the corrector.
It is derived that $P(E C)^{k}$ method with partitioned Euler-Maruyama and midpoint rule applied to the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) has the form ([15])

$$
\binom{x_{n+1}^{(k)}}{y_{n+1}^{(k)}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{k} & b_{k}  \tag{3.3.18}\\
c_{k} & d_{k}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{n}}{y_{n}}+\binom{r_{k}}{s_{k}} \Delta W_{n}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{k}= \begin{cases}\frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-a_{[k]}\right)+a_{[k]} & k \text { even }, \\
\frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-b_{[k]}\right)+\left(1-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\right) b_{[k]} & k \text { odd },\end{cases}  \tag{3.3.19}\\
& b_{k}= \begin{cases}\frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-a_{[k]}\right)+h a_{[k]} & k \text { even, } \\
\frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-b_{[k]}\right)+h\left(1-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\right) b_{[k]} & k \text { odd, }\end{cases}  \tag{3.3.20}\\
& c_{k}= \begin{cases}-\frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-a_{[k]}\right)-h a_{[k]} & k \text { even, } \\
-\frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-b_{[k]}\right)-h b_{[k]} & k \text { odd, },\end{cases}  \tag{3.3.21}\\
& d_{k}= \begin{cases}\frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-a_{[k]}\right)+\left(1-h^{2}\right) a_{[k]} & k \text { even, } \\
\frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-b_{[k]}\right)+\left(1-\frac{h^{2}}{2}\right) b_{[k]} & k \text { odd },\end{cases}  \tag{3.3.22}\\
& r_{k}= \begin{cases}\frac{2 \sigma h}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-a_{[k]}\right) & k \text { even }, \\
\frac{2 \sigma h}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-b_{[k]}\right)+\frac{\sigma h}{2} b_{[k]} & k \text { odd },\end{cases}  \tag{3.3.23}\\
& s_{k}= \begin{cases}\frac{4 h}{4 h^{2}}\left(1-a_{[k]}\right)+h a_{[k]} & k \text { even, } \\
\frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}}\left(1-b_{[k]}\right)+h b_{[k]} & k \text { odd, }\end{cases} \tag{3.3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{[k]}=(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}}\left(\frac{h^{2}}{4}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}, \quad b_{[k]}=(-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\left(\frac{h^{2}}{4}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}, \tag{3.3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$h>0$ is the step size, and the upper index $(k)$ indicates that the value is obtained from the $k$-th correction.

Theorem 3.15. ([15]) The $P(E C)^{k}$ method with partitioned Euler-Maruyama and midpoint rule (3.3.18) preserves symplecticity of the linear oscillator system (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) to the degree of having error $O\left(k^{k+4}\right)$ as $k$ is even, and error $O\left(k^{k+3}\right)$ as $k$ is odd.

Proof. See [15].
As $h \rightarrow 0$, or $h<1$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$, the $P(E C)^{k}$ method (3.3.18) tends to preserve symplecticity exactly ([15]).

Denote $t_{n}=n \cdot h$, preservation of linear growth property (3.1.14) by applying the method (3.3.18) is proved.

Theorem 3.16. ([15]) Given initial data $x_{0}=1, y_{0}=0$, the numerical solution arising from the $P(E C)^{k}$ method with partitioned Euler-Maruyama and midpoint rule (3.3.18) for the stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left(x_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{2}\right)=1+\sigma^{2} t_{n}-\sigma^{2} t_{n} \frac{h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}+t_{n} \cdot O\left(h^{k+1}\right) . \tag{3.3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows a direct calculation of $\mathbb{E}\left(\left(x_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{n}^{(k)}\right)^{2}\right)$ basing on the scheme (3.3.18) and the relations (3.3.19)-(3.3.25). For details see [15].

Compare (3.3.26) with (3.3.13), it is found that as $h \rightarrow 0$ and $k>1$, the leading error of the second moment of the numerical solution arising from the $P(E C)^{k}$ scheme tends to that from the midpoint rule.

The following theorem states the oscillation property of the numerical solution produced by the $P(E C)^{k}$ scheme (3.3.18) as $k=4 l+1$ and $k=4 l+2(l \geq 0)$.

Theorem 3.17. ([15]) The numerical solution $\left\{x_{n}^{(k)}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ arising from the $P(E C)^{k}$ method with partitioned Euler-Maruyama and midpoint rule (3.3.18) for the oscillator system (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) with $x_{0}=1, y_{0}=0$ will switch signs infinitely many times as $n \rightarrow \infty$, almost surely, for $k=4 l+1$ and $k=4 l+2(l \geq 0)$.

Proof. See [15].
Moreover, the mean-square order of convergence is checked for the method (3.3.18).
Theorem 3.18. ([15]) The $P(E C)^{k}$ method with partitioned Euler-Maruyama and midpoint rule (3.3.18) applied to the oscillator system (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) has mean-square order 1 for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. The proof applies Theorem 3.12 to compare the method (3.3.18) with the EulerMaruyama method, the mean-square order of which is known to be 1 for systems with additive noises. It is referred to [15] for more details.

Similar results about preservation of symplecticity, linear growth property of second moment, oscillation property of the solution of the oscillator system (3.1.7)-(3.1.8), and mean-square order of the $P(E C)^{k}$ method with forward Euler-Maruyama and midpoint rule are also given in [15].

## Chapter 4

## Variational Integrators with Noises

Up to now some symplectic numerical schemes have been discussed, most of which are of Runge-Kutta type and constructed mainly by properly adapting deterministic methods for stochastic Hamiltonian systems. We construct in this chapter variational integrators with noises which produce symplectic schemes based on a generalized action integral and generalized Hamilton's principle with noises, and can be seen as the stochastic analog of the deterministic variational integrators introduced in section 2.2.

### 4.1 Generalized Hamilton's Principle

The classic Hamilton's principle stated in Theorem 2.2 asserts that the Lagrange equation of motion (2.1.2) extremizes the action integral (2.1.8). The form of the action integral and that of the Lagrange equation in this principle, however, is only valid for conservative systems. In the presence of nonconservative forces, the formulation must be changed ([38],[42]).

Denote the nonconservative force with $\mathbf{F}$, the generalized action integral in presence of $\mathbf{F}$ is $([34],[38])$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}(L-A) d t \tag{4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the Lagrangian of the system under consideration, and $A$ is the work done by the nonconservative force $\mathbf{F}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=-\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{r}, \tag{4.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}$ is the position vector with $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}(q, t)$. Let $\delta$ denote a variation, then it holds ([34],[38])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta A=-\mathbf{F} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}=-\mathbf{F} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q} \delta q, \tag{4.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\mathbf{F}$ is independent of $q$. Consequently, the variation of $\tilde{S}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \tilde{S} & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \delta q+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta \dot{q}-\delta A\right) d t \\
& =\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta q\right]_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left[-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)+\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}+\mathbf{F}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q}\right] \delta q d t . \tag{4.1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\delta q\left(t_{0}\right)=\delta q\left(t_{1}\right)=0
$$

it follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta \tilde{S}=0 \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}+\mathbf{F}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q} \tag{4.1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (4.1.5) is the Lagrange equation of motion of nonconservative systems.
In the equation (4.1.5), the Lagrangian is considered as a function of $(q, \dot{q}, t)$. It is pointed out in [42] that the Lagrange equations of motion can also be formulated in the general variable set $\{p, q, \dot{p}, \dot{q}, t\}$, where the position vector $\mathbf{r}$ may depend on $p$ and $q$, i.e., $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}(p, q, t)$. This is referred to as the redundancy property of the Lagrange equations of motion. In this case, the Lagrange equations of motion are

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}+\mathbf{F}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial q}  \tag{4.1.6}\\
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{p}}\right) & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial p}+\mathbf{F}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial p} \tag{4.1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which may include linearly dependent equations due to the redundancy of the variable set $\{p, q, \dot{p}, \dot{q}, t\}$.

Based on the formulation (4.1.6)-(4.1.7), as well as a simple variational principle, it is derived in [42] that the general Hamilton's equation of motion in presence of nonconservative force $\mathbf{F}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{p}=-{\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial q}}^{T}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{}^{T q}  \tag{4.1.8}\\
& \mathbf{F}  \tag{4.1.9}\\
& \dot{q}={\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p}}^{T}-\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}^{T}}{\partial p} \mathbf{F}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider a stochastic Hamiltonian system with one noise

$$
\begin{align*}
d p & =-{\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial q}}^{T} d t-{\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial q}}^{T} \circ d W(t)  \tag{4.1.10}\\
d q & ={\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p}}^{T} d t+{\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial p}}^{T} \circ d W(t) \tag{4.1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

As the Langevin's equation (1.1.2), we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
d W(t)=\xi(t) d t=\dot{W} d t \tag{4.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as explained in section 1.1. Thus the system (4.1.10)-(4.1.11) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{p} & =-{\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial q}}^{T}-{\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial q}}^{T} \dot{W}  \tag{4.1.13}\\
\dot{q} & ={\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p}}^{T}+{\frac{\partial H_{1}}{}{ }^{T}}^{T} \dot{W} . \tag{4.1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

In Example 3.1, it is given that the Hamiltonian $H$ for the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) is not conserved, as stated in (3.1.15) that

$$
\mathbb{E}(H)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sigma^{2} t\right)
$$

This indicates that a stochastic Hamiltonian system is not a Hamiltonian systems in classic sense which preserves $H$, but in certain generalized sense. In other words, it may be disturbed by certain nonconservative force. Other than classic nonconservative force $\mathbf{F}$ which dissipates energy, this force may also add energy to the system, as shown by the linear growth of $H$ with time $t$ in (3.1.15). Here we call it a random force. A natural association for it is the white noise $\xi(t)$, since it is the resource of the random disturbance of the system. Moreover, it does not depend on position $q$, which gives the possibility of its belonging to nonconservative forces.

In fact, compare (4.1.13)-(4.1.14) with (4.1.8)-(4.1.9), we find that the association between $\mathbf{F}$ and $\dot{W}$ is reasonable. And so is that between $\mathbf{r}$ and $-H_{1}$. Under these considerations, stochastic Hamiltonian system is a special kind of nonconservative system, in which $\dot{W}$ functions as the nonconservative force.

Thus, formally, the 'work' done by $\dot{W}$ should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{A}=H_{1} \dot{W} \tag{4.1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to (4.1.2). In the sequel, we may form the following action integral based on (4.1.1) for the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.13)-(4.1.14):

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S} & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}(L-\bar{A}) d t \\
& =\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} L d t-\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} H_{1} \circ d W \tag{4.1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last equation we use again the relation $\dot{W} d t=d W$.
For a general system with $r$ noises (3.1.1)-(3.1.4), (4.1.15) need to be modified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{A}=\sum_{k=1}^{r} H_{k} \dot{W}_{k} \tag{4.1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the sum of the 'work' done by each $\dot{W}_{k}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S} & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}(L-\bar{A}) d t \\
& =\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} L d t-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} H_{k} \circ d W_{k} \tag{4.1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

We call it the generalized action integral with noises.

According to (4.1.6)-(4.1.7), the Lagrange equations of motion for the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.13)-(4.1.14) have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}-\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial q} \dot{W}  \tag{4.1.19}\\
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{p}}\right) & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial p}-\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial p} \dot{W} \tag{4.1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

which we call the generalized Lagrange equations of motion with noise.
In case of $r$ noises, these equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial q} \dot{W}_{k}  \tag{4.1.21}\\
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{p}}\right) & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial p}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial p} \dot{W}_{k} \tag{4.1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4.1. If

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}(t) g_{i}(t) d t=0
$$

is valid for any function $g_{i}(t)$, and $g_{i}(t)(i=1, \ldots, n)$ are independent to each other, then it holds $F_{i}(t)=0$ almost everywhere on $[a, b]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. We prove by induction on $n$. As $n=1$, we have $\int_{a}^{b} F_{1}(t) g_{1}(t) d t=0$. Since $g_{1}(t)$ can take any function, we let $g_{1}(t)=F_{1}(t)$. This leads to

$$
\int_{a}^{b} F_{1}(t)^{2} d t=0
$$

which implies that $F_{1}(t)=0$ almost everywhere on $[a, b]$ since $F_{1}(t)^{2} \geq 0$.
Suppose the assertion holds for $i \leq k$. As $i=k+1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} F_{i}(t) g_{i}(t) d t=\int_{a}^{b}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} F_{i}(t) g_{i}(t)+F_{k+1}(t) g_{k+1}(t)\right) d t=0 . \tag{4.1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g_{i}(t)=F_{i}(t)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $g_{i+1}(t)=F_{i+1}(t)$, it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} F_{i}^{2}+F_{k+1}^{2}\right) d t=0 \tag{4.1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $g_{i+1}(t)=2 F_{i+1}(t)$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} F_{i}^{2}+2 F_{k+1}^{2}\right) d t=0 . \tag{4.1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.1.24)-(4.1.25) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} F_{i}^{2}+F_{k+1}^{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} F_{i}^{2}+2 F_{k+1}^{2}=0 \tag{4.1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

almost everywhere on $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$, from which $F_{k+1}(t)=0$ holds almost everywhere on $[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$. By relation (4.1.23) and the induction hypothesis, we have $F_{i}(t)=0$ almost everywhere on $[a, b]$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Theorem 4.2 (Generalized Hamilton's Principle with Noises). The generalized Lagrange equations of motion with noises (4.1.21)-(4.1.22) extremize the generalized action integral with noises (4.1.18).

Proof. The variation of $\bar{S}$ in (4.1.18) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \bar{S} & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial p} \delta p+\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \delta q+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{p}} \delta \dot{p}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta \dot{q}\right) d t \\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{r} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial p} \delta p+\frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial q} \delta q\right) \dot{W}_{k} d t \\
& =\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{p}} \delta p\right]_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}+\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta q\right]_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial p}-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{p}}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial p} \dot{W}_{k}\right) \delta p d t \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial q} \dot{W}_{k}\right) \delta q d t . \tag{4.1.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\delta \bar{S}=0$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial q} \dot{W}_{k} \\
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{p}}\right) & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial p}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial p} \dot{W}_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

due to $\delta q\left(t_{0}\right)=\delta q\left(t_{1}\right)=\delta p\left(t_{0}\right)=\delta p\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ and Lemma 4.1.
Example 4.1. For the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(y, x, \dot{y}, \dot{x}, t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(y^{2}-x^{2}\right) \tag{4.1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is obtained through the relation (2.1.4) between Lagrangian and Hamiltonian, and the Hamiltonian $H=\frac{1}{2}\left(y^{2}+x^{2}\right)$ of the system. Here $y$ and $x$ are counterparts of $p$ and $q$ in (2.1.4) respectively. We show that the equations (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) of the oscillator are equivalent to the generalized Lagrange equations of motion with noise (4.1.19)-(4.1.20). In fact, we regard $L(y, x, \dot{y}, \dot{x}, t)$ as coming from a variable transformation on the Lagrangian $L(x, \dot{x}, t)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(y, x, \dot{y}, \dot{x}, t)=L(x(y, x, \dot{y}, \dot{x}, t), \dot{x}(y, x, \dot{y}, \dot{x}, t), t) \tag{4.1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(y, x, \dot{y}, \dot{x}, t)=-\dot{y}+\sigma \dot{W}, \quad \dot{x}(y, x, \dot{y}, \dot{x}, t)=y . \tag{4.1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the equation (4.1.19) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}+\sigma \dot{W} \tag{4.1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $H_{1}=-\sigma x$. Substitute (4.1.28) into (4.1.31), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{y}=-x+\sigma \dot{W} \tag{4.1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to equation (3.1.7).
The equation (4.1.20) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \tag{4.1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \dot{y}}=-\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \tag{4.1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a consequence of (4.1.30). Substitute (4.1.34) to (4.1.33), we obtain that (4.1.20) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=y \tag{4.1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the equation (3.1.8) and the second equation in (4.1.30).

### 4.2 Variational Integrators with Noises

Based on the formulation of the generalized action integral with noises (4.1.18), and the generalized lagrange equations of motion with noises (4.1.21)-(4.1.22), variational integrators with noises for creating symplectic schemes for stochastic Hamiltonian systems can be constructed analogously to the way for deterministic variational integrators.

Consider the generalized action integral $\bar{S}$ in (4.1.18) as a function of the two endpoints $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$ :

$$
q_{0}=q\left(t_{0}\right), \quad q_{1}=q\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

and find the derivatives of $\bar{S}$ with respect to $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial q_{0}} & =\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial q_{0}}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \frac{\partial \dot{q}}{\partial q_{0}}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q_{0}}+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{p}} \frac{\partial \dot{p}}{\partial q_{0}}\right) d t \\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{r} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial q_{0}}+\frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q_{0}}\right) \circ d W_{k} \\
& =\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \frac{\partial q}{\partial q_{0}}\right]_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}-\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial q} \dot{W}_{k}\right) \frac{\partial q}{\partial q_{0}} d t \\
& +\left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{p}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial q_{0}}\right]_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial p}-\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{p}}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{\partial H_{k}}{\partial p} \dot{W}_{k}\right) \frac{\partial p}{\partial q_{0}} d t \\
& =-p_{0}^{T} \tag{4.2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equality follows from the equations (4.1.21)-(4.1.22), as well as the relation $p=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}$.
In the same way we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial q_{1}}=p_{1}^{T} \tag{4.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \bar{S}=-p_{0}^{T} d q_{0}+p_{1}^{T} d q_{1} . \tag{4.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, the differentials are calculated basing on stochastic differentials in Stratonovich sense. Therefore the classic chain rule is valid.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that the Lagrangian $L$ and the functions $H_{k}(k=1, \ldots, r)$ in the generalized action integral with noises (4.1.18) are sufficiently smooth with respect to $p$ and $q$. Then the mapping $\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right) \mapsto\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right)$ defined by equation (4.2.3) is symplectic.

Proof. From (4.2.3) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& d p_{1} \wedge d q_{1}=d\left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial q_{1}}\right) \wedge d q_{1}=\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q_{1} \partial q_{0}} d q_{0} \wedge d q_{1}  \tag{4.2.4}\\
& d p_{0} \wedge d q_{0}=d\left(-\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial q_{0}}\right) \wedge d q_{0}=\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q_{0} \partial q_{1}} d q_{0} \wedge d q_{1} \tag{4.2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Smoothness of $L$ and $H_{k}$ in $\bar{S}$ ensures that $\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q_{1} \partial q_{0}}=\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q_{0} \partial q_{1}}$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d p_{1} \wedge d q_{1}=d p_{0} \wedge d q_{0} \tag{4.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the discretized time interval $\left[t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right]$ as the time interval of a variational problem with noises, the length of which is $h$ for all $n \geq 0$, and suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left(t_{n}\right) \approx q_{n}, \quad q\left(t_{n+1}\right) \approx q_{n+1} . \tag{4.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Approximate the corresponding action integral with noises on it with $\bar{L}_{h}$, which is the analog of the deterministic discrete Lagrangian (2.2.18), and has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{L}_{h}\left(q_{n}, q_{n+1}\right) \approx \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} L d t-\sum_{k=1}^{r} \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} H_{k} \circ d W_{k} \tag{4.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call it the discrete Lagrangian with noises. Form the following scheme according to relations (4.2.1) and (4.2.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=-\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{h}^{T}}{\partial x}\left(q_{n}, q_{n+1}\right), \quad p_{n+1}=\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{h}^{T}}{\partial y}\left(q_{n}, q_{n+1}\right), \tag{4.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{n} \approx p\left(t_{n}\right), p_{n+1} \approx p\left(t_{n+1}\right)$, and $\partial x, \partial y$ refer to derivatives with respect to the first and second variable respectively. Follow the same way as the proof of Theorem 4.3, it can be shown that the mapping $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}\right)$ defined by (4.2.9) is symplectic.
$\bar{L}_{h}$ in (4.2.9) can be approximated by numerical integration of the integrals in its definition (4.2.8), which includes also stochastic integrals, the approximation of which can follow ways discussed in section 1.2.
The methods discussed above for creating the symplectic mappings $\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}\right)$ are called variational integrators with noises.

Example 4.2. (4.1.28) gives the Lagrangian of the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)(3.1.8)

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\left(y^{2}-x^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{x}^{2}-x^{2}\right) .
$$

Moreover,

$$
H_{1}=-\sigma x .
$$

Thus, the discrete Lagrangian with noise is

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{L}_{h} & \approx \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} L d t-\int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} H_{1} \circ d W(t)  \tag{4.2.10}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}}\left(\dot{x}(t)^{2}-x(t)^{2}\right) d t+\sigma \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} x(t) \circ d W(t)  \tag{4.2.11}\\
& \approx \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)^{2}}{h^{2}} h-\left(\frac{x_{n+1}+x_{n}}{2}\right)^{2} h\right]+\sigma \frac{x_{n+1}+x_{n}}{2} \Delta W_{n}  \tag{4.2.12}\\
& =-\frac{h}{8}\left(x_{n+1}+x_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)^{2}}{2 h}+\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(x_{n+1}+x_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n}, \tag{4.2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\dot{x}(t)$ in (4.2.11) is approximated by $\frac{x_{n+1}-x_{n}}{h}$, and midpoint rule has been applied to the integrals in (4.2.11). Note that such an approximation is consistent with the definition (1.1.15) of a stochastic integral in Stratonovich sense.

In the sequel, we can construct the following scheme according to (4.2.9):

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =-\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{h}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=\frac{x_{n+1}-x_{n}}{h}+\frac{h}{4}\left(x_{n+1}+x_{n}\right)-\frac{\sigma}{2} \Delta W_{n},  \tag{4.2.14}\\
y_{n+1} & =\frac{\partial \bar{L}_{h}}{\partial x_{n+1}}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)=-\frac{h}{4}\left(x_{n+1}+x_{n}\right)+\frac{x_{n+1}-x_{n}}{h}+\frac{\sigma}{2} \Delta W_{n}, \tag{4.2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\frac{h^{2}}{4} & 0  \tag{4.2.16}\\
1-\frac{h^{2}}{4} & -h
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{n+1}}{y_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-\frac{h^{2}}{4} & h \\
1+\frac{h^{2}}{4} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{n}}{y_{n}}+\binom{\frac{\sigma h}{2}}{-\frac{\sigma h}{2}} \Delta W_{n}
$$

Thus

$$
\binom{x_{n+1}}{y_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}} & \frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}}  \tag{4.2.17}\\
-\frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}} & \frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{n}}{y_{n}}+\binom{\frac{2 \sigma h}{4+h^{2}}}{\frac{4 \sigma}{4+h^{2}}} \Delta W_{n},
$$

which is the midpoint rule (3.3.10)-(3.3.11) for the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)(3.1.8).

## Chapter 5

## Generating Functions with One Noise

The existence of generating functions producing symplectic schemes for stochastic Hamiltonian systems is shown by Theorem 4.3, where the generating function is the generalized action integral with noises $\bar{S}$, which creates symplectic scheme according to relations (4.2.1)-(4.2.2). In fact, there are other generating functions which are based on different coordinates, as in deterministic case. In this chapter, generating functions $\bar{S}^{1}, \bar{S}^{2}$, and $\bar{S}^{3}$ are deduced, which are analogs of the deterministic $S^{1}, S^{2}$, and $S^{3}$, respectively, and the Hamilton-Jacobi equations with noise which the generating functions satisfy are derived. Based on approximation of the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equations with noise, symplectic schemes are created by generating functions with noise, and required mean-square order of convergence of the generated schemes can be achieved in a simple way. The case of one noise is discussed in this chapter, and cases of two noises are considered in the next chapter.

### 5.1 Generating Functions $\bar{S}^{1}, \bar{S}^{2}$, and $\bar{S}^{3}$ with Noise

It is asserted in Theorem 4.3 that, the following scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=-\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial q}, \quad P=\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q} \tag{5.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

generated by $\bar{S}$ defines a symplectic mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$. We call it a symplectic scheme.

Now we look for the generating function $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q)$ which is based on coordinates $(P, q)$ instead of $(q, Q)$. This can be achieved by a series of equivalent transformations on the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \bar{S}=-p d q+P d Q \tag{5.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is identical with (5.1.1). We have the following result.
Theorem 5.1. (5.1.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{T} d P+p^{T} d q=d\left(P^{T} q+\bar{S}^{1}\right) \tag{5.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{1}=P^{T}(Q-q)-\bar{S} \tag{5.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Substituting (5.1.4) into (5.1.3) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(P^{T} q+\bar{S}^{1}\right) & =d\left(P^{T} Q-\bar{S}\right)  \tag{5.1.5}\\
& =P^{T} d Q+Q^{T} d P+p^{T} d q-P^{T} d Q  \tag{5.1.6}\\
& =Q^{T} d P+p^{T} d q \tag{5.1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where (5.1.6) is obtained by using the relation (5.1.2), as well as the Stratonovich chain rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(P^{T} Q\right)=P^{T} d Q+Q^{T} d P \tag{5.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the differentials concerned in our discussion are of Stratonovich sense.
Thus, (5.1.3) is an equivalent version of (5.1.2), but with coordinates $(P, q)$. Consequently, the scheme

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=P+\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1^{T}}}{\partial q}(P, q), \quad Q=q+\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1^{T}}}{\partial P}(P, q) \tag{5.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is generated from (5.1.3) by comparing coefficients of $d P$ and $d q$ on both sides of the equality sign, is equivalent to (5.1.1), and therefore symplectic. We call $\bar{S}^{1}$ generating function with noise of the first kind.

Analogously, for generating functions based on coordinates $(p, Q)$ and $\left(\frac{P+p}{2}, \frac{Q+q}{2}\right)$, we have the following results.

Theorem 5.2. (5.1.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{T} d Q+q^{T} d p=d\left(p^{T} Q-\bar{S}^{2}\right) \tag{5.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{S}^{2}(p, Q)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{2}=p^{T}(Q-q)-\bar{S} . \tag{5.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5.1.10) implies that the symplectic scheme generated by $\bar{S}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=p-\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{2 T}}{\partial Q}(p, Q), \quad q=Q-\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{2 T}}{\partial p}(p, Q) . \tag{5.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.3. (5.1.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q-q)^{T} d(P+p)-(P-p)^{T} d(Q+q)=2 d \bar{S}^{3} \tag{5.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{S}^{3}\left(\frac{P+p}{2}, \frac{Q+q}{2}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{3}=\frac{1}{2}(P+p)^{T}(Q-q)-\bar{S} \tag{5.1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (5.1.13), The symplectic scheme produced by $\bar{S}^{3}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =p-\partial_{2}^{T} \bar{S}^{3}\left(\frac{P+p}{2}, \frac{Q+q}{2}\right),  \tag{5.1.15}\\
Q & =q+\partial_{1}^{T} \bar{S}^{3}\left(\frac{P+p}{2}, \frac{Q+q}{2}\right), \tag{5.1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial_{1}$ and $\partial_{2}$ refers to partial derivative with respect to $u=\frac{P+p}{2}$ and $v=\frac{Q+q}{2}$ respectively.

The proofs of Theorem 5.2 and 5.3 are similar to that of Theorem 5.1, which is established by a straightforward calculation with applying the Stratonovich chain rule given in Theorem 1.4.
We call $\bar{S}^{2}$ and $\bar{S}^{3}$ the generating functions with noise of the second and third kind respectively. As $\bar{S}$ or $\bar{S}^{i}(i=1,2,3)$ equal zero, the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ created by them becomes the identity mapping.
It can be seen that the relations between $\bar{S}$ and the three kinds of generating functions $\bar{S}^{i}(i=1,2,3)$, as well as the symplectic schemes generated by the generating functions with noise, have the same forms as that of the deterministic generating functions. This fact is mainly due to the Stratonovich chain rule, and the equation (5.1.2) for generalized action integral with noise.

### 5.2 Hamilton-Jacobi Equations with Noise

$\bar{S}(q, Q)$ generates a symplectic mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ via (5.1.1). Now let $P(t), Q(t)$ move on the phase trajectory of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.13)-(4.1.14) starting from the point $(p, q)$. We want to find a generating function with noise, $\bar{S}(q, Q(t), t)$, depending on $t$, which generates the phase trajectory $\bar{g}^{t}$ of the stochastic Hamiltonian system, since it is known that the phase trajectory is a symplectic transformation for any $t \geq 0$. We find that the approach in [12] of deriving $S(q, Q(t), t)$ for deterministic Hamiltonian systems can be adapted to that of the stochastic $\bar{S}(q, Q(t), t)$, as given below.
(5.1.1) implies that $\bar{S}(q, Q(t), t)$ should satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.P(t)=\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q}(q, Q(t), t)\right), \quad p=-\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial q}(q, Q(t), t)\right) \tag{5.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating the second equation with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q \partial t}(q, Q(t), t)+\dot{Q}(t)^{T} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q \partial Q}(q, Q(t), t)  \tag{5.2.2}\\
= & \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q \partial t}(q, Q(t), t)+\frac{\partial}{\partial P}\left(H(P(t), Q(t))+H_{1}(P(t), Q(t)) \dot{W}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q}(q, Q(t), t)\right)  \tag{5.2.3}\\
= & \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q \partial t}(q, Q(t), t)+\frac{\partial}{\partial P}\left(H(P(t), Q(t))+H_{1}(P(t), Q(t)) \dot{W}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial P(t)}{\partial q}(q, Q(t), t)  \tag{5.2.4}\\
= & \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q \partial t}(q, Q(t), t)+\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left(H(P(t), Q(t))+H_{1}(P(t), Q(t)) \dot{W}\right)(q, Q(t), t) . \tag{5.2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial t}+H(P(t), Q(t))+H_{1}(P(t), Q(t)) \dot{W}\right)=0 \tag{5.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(t)=\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q}(q, Q(t), t)$. In (5.2.3) we have used the relation (4.1.14), and in (5.2.4) the first equation of (5.2.1).
We have the following result.
Theorem 5.4. If $\bar{S}(q, Q(t), t)$ satisfies the following partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial t}+H\left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q}, Q\right)+H_{1}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q}, Q\right) \dot{W}=0 \tag{5.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the matrix $\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q \partial Q}$ is invertible almost surely, then the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ defined by (5.2.1) is the phase trajectory of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.13)(4.1.14).

Proof. The invertibility of the matrix $\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q \partial Q}$ ensures that $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ are well-defined by (5.2.1). If $\bar{S}$ satisfies the equation (5.2.7), then the relation (5.2.6) holds. According to the calculations from (5.2.3) to (5.2.6), which are equivalent transformations based on the first equation of (5.2.1), (5.2.3) must equal zero. On the other hand, (5.2.1) yields (5.2.2). The invertibility of $\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q \partial Q}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{Q}(t)=\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial P}(P(t), Q(t))+{\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial}}^{\partial P}(P(t), Q(t)) \dot{W} \tag{5.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the second equation of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.13)-(4.1.14). Differentiate the first equation of (5.2.1) with respect to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{P}(t)={\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial Q \partial t}}^{T}(q, Q(t), t)+\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial Q^{2}}(q, Q(t), t) \dot{Q}(t) . \tag{5.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, differentiating the equation (5.2.7) with respect to $Q$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
{\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q t}}^{T}(q, Q(t), t) & =-\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial Q^{2}}(q, Q(t), t){\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial P}}^{T}(P(t), Q(t))-{\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial Q}}^{T}(P(t), Q(t))  \tag{5.2.10}\\
& -\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial Q^{2}}(q, Q(t), t){\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial P}}^{T}(P(t), Q(t))+{\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial Q}}^{T}(P(t), Q(t))\right) \dot{W} \tag{5.2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (5.2.8) and (5.2.10)-(5.2.11) into (5.2.9) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{P}(t)=-\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial Q}(P(t), Q(t))-\frac{\partial H_{1}^{T}}{\partial Q}(P(t), Q(t)) \dot{W}, \tag{5.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the first equation of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.13)-(4.1.14).
Remark. We call (5.2.7) the Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equation with noise. The Stratonovich chain rule plays a vital role to ensure the validity of the derivation.

The relation between $\bar{S}$ and $\bar{S}^{1}$ (5.1.4) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t)=P^{T}(Q-q)-\bar{S}(q, Q, t) . \tag{5.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating both sides of the equation (5.2.13) with respect to $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial t}=P^{T} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial Q} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial t}, \tag{5.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second equality is due to $P=\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q}$. Substitute this relation and (5.2.14) into (5.2.7), the following theorem is motivated.

Theorem 5.5. If $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$ satisfies the following partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial t}=H(P, Q)+H_{1}(P, Q) \dot{W}, \quad \bar{S}^{1}(P, q, 0)=0 \tag{5.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q=q+\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial P}(P, q, t)$, then the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ defined by (5.1.9) is the phase trajectory of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.13)-(4.1.14).

Proof. Differentiating the first equation of (5.1.9) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I+\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P \partial q}(P, q, t)\right) \dot{P}=-\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{1 T}}{\partial q \partial t}(P, q, t) . \tag{5.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.2.15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial q \partial t}=\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q}+\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial Q} \dot{W}\right) \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q} \tag{5.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating the second equation with respect to $q$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial q}=I+\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial q \partial P} \tag{5.2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.2.18) and (5.2.17) into (5.2.16) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I+\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P \partial q}(P, q, t)\right) \dot{P}=-\left(I+\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P \partial q}(P, q, t)\right)\left({\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial Q}}^{\partial}(P, Q)+\frac{\partial{H_{1}}^{T}}{\partial Q}(P, Q) \dot{W}\right) \tag{5.2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which results in the first equation of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.13)-(4.1.14). Differentiating the second equation of (5.1.9) with respect to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{Q}=\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P^{2}}(P, q, t) \dot{P}+\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P \partial t}(P, q, t) . \tag{5.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5.2.15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P \partial t}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial P}+\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial P} \dot{W}+\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q}+\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial Q} \dot{W}\right) \frac{\partial Q}{\partial P} . \tag{5.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second equation of (5.1.9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial P}=\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P^{2}} \tag{5.2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5.2.22), (5.2.21) and (5.2.19) into (5.2.20) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{Q}={\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial P}}^{T}(P, Q)+{\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial P}}^{T}(P, Q) \dot{W}, \tag{5.2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the second equation of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.13)-(4.1.14). The initial condition in (5.2.15) ensures that at $t=0$, the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ defined by (5.1.9) is the identity mapping.

Denote $u=\frac{P+p}{2}, v=\frac{Q+q}{2}$, the Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equation with noise for $\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, t)$ can be derived in the same way.

Theorem 5.6. If $\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, t)$ satisfies the following partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{3}}{\partial t}=H(P, Q)+H_{1}(P, Q) \dot{W}, \quad \bar{S}^{3}(u, v, 0)=0 \tag{5.2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P=u-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{S}^{3}}{\partial v}(u, v, t), Q=v+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{S}^{3} T}{\partial u}(u, v, t)$, then the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ defined by (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) is the phase trajectory of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.13)-(4.1.14).

Proof. The proof follows from differentiations of (5.1.15)-(5.1.16), as that of the proof of Theorem 5.5.

### 5.3 Symplectic Methods Based on $\bar{S}^{1}$

Since the generating functions with noise satisfy Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equations with noise, we expect to approximate the solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equations with noise, in order to obtain approximations of generating functions. Enlightened by the methods in deterministic case, we assume certain forms of the solutions and substitute them into the Hamilton-Jacobi equations with noise, and compare coefficients to determine unknown terms in the assumed forms.

For $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$ which satisfies the equation (5.2.15), we assume

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t) & =F_{1}(P, q) W(t)+F_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{1}(P, q) t  \tag{5.3.1}\\
& +F_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W(s)+G_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W(s) d s  \tag{5.3.2}\\
& +F_{4}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s d s  \tag{5.3.3}\\
& +F_{5}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s^{2} \circ d W(s)+G_{4}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s W(s) d s  \tag{5.3.4}\\
& +F_{6}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s^{2} W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{5}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s^{2} d s  \tag{5.3.5}\\
& +F_{7}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s^{3} \circ d W(s)+G_{6}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s^{2} W(s) d s  \tag{5.3.6}\\
& +\cdots, \tag{5.3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

by which the initial condition $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, 0)=0$ is satisfied, since $W(0)=0$ with probability 1.

Lemma 5.7. ([25]) For a stochastic differential equation system with $r$ noises, suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}}(h)=\int_{t}^{t+h} d W_{i_{j}}(\theta) \int_{t}^{\theta} d W_{i_{j-1}}\left(\theta_{1}\right) \int_{t}^{\theta_{1}} \cdots \int_{t}^{\theta_{j-2}} d W_{i_{1}}\left(\theta_{j-1}\right), \tag{5.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}$ takes values from the set $\{0,1, \ldots, r\}$, and $d W_{0}\left(\theta_{k}\right)=d \theta_{k}$. Then it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{E}\left(I_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=O\left(h^{\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{2-i_{k}}{2}}\right) \tag{5.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
1_{k}= \begin{cases}0, & i_{k}=0,  \tag{5.3.10}\\ 1, & i_{k} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

In other words, the order of smallness of the integral (5.3.8) is determined by $d \theta$ and $d W_{k}(\theta), k=1, \ldots, r$, where $d \theta$ contributes 1 to the order of smallness, and $d W_{k}(\theta)$ contributes $\frac{1}{2}$.

For example, the first term in (5.3.1) is of order of smallness $\frac{1}{2}$, and the second and third terms are of order 1. The two integrals in (5.3.2) are both of order $\frac{3}{2}$. In fact, from (5.3.2) to (5.3.6), the order of the integrals involved increases $\frac{1}{2}$ from each equation to the next. This is the reason why we construct $\bar{S}^{1}$ in such a manner.

Now substitute the assumption on $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$ into the Hamilton-Jacobi equation with noise (5.2.15). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial t} & =\left(G_{1}+G_{2} W+G_{3} t+G_{4} t W+G_{5} t^{2}+G_{6} t^{2} W+\ldots\right) \\
& +\left(F_{1}+F_{2} W+F_{3} t+F_{4} t W+F_{5} t^{2}+F_{6} t^{2} W+\ldots\right) \dot{W} \tag{5.3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
H(P, Q) & =G_{1}+G_{2} W+G_{3} t+G_{4} t W+G_{5} t^{2}+G_{6} t^{2} W+\ldots,  \tag{5.3.12}\\
H_{1}(P, Q) & =F_{1}+F_{2} W+F_{3} t+F_{4} t W+F_{5} t^{2}+F_{6} t^{2} W+\ldots, \tag{5.3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p}, \quad b={\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial p}}^{\partial p} \tag{5.3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (4.1.11) is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d q=a d t+b \circ d W \tag{5.3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expand $H(P, Q)$ at the point $(P, q)$ progressively according to equation (5.3.15), as the Wagner-Platen expansion does, but here we deal with Stratonovich integrals instead of

Itô ones. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(P, Q)=H(P, q)+\int_{0}^{t} H_{q}(P, q(s)) a(P, q(s)) d s+\int_{0}^{t} H_{q}(P, q(s)) b(P, q(s)) \circ d W(s) \\
& =H(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) a(P, q) t+H_{q}(P, q) b(P, q) W(t)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u))\right. \\
& \left.a(P, q(u))+H_{q}(P, q(u)) a_{q}(P, q(u))\right] a(P, q(u)) d u d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u))\right. \\
& \left.a(P, q(u))+H_{q}(P, q(u)) a_{q}(P, q(u))\right] b(P, q(u)) \circ d W(u) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u)) b(P, q(u))+H_{q}(P, q(u)) b_{q}(P, q(u))\right] a(P, q(u)) d u \\
& \circ d W(s)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u)) b(P, q(u))+H_{q}(P, q(u)) b_{q}(P, q(u))\right] \\
& b(P, q(u)) \circ d W(u) \circ d W(s) \\
& =H(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) a(P, q) t+H_{q}(P, q) b(P, q) W(t)+\left[H_{q q}(P, q) a(P, q)\right. \\
& \left.+\quad H_{q}(P, q) a_{q}(P, q)\right] a(P, q) \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\left[H_{q q}(P, q) a(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) a_{q}(P, q)\right] b(P, q) \\
& \int_{0}^{t} W(s) d s+\left[H_{q q}(P, q) b(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) b_{q}(P, q)\right] a(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W(s) \\
& +\left[H_{q q}(P, q) b(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) b_{q}(P, q)\right] b(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W(s) \circ d W(s) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{u}\left\{\left[H_{q q q}(P, q(v)) a(P, q(v))+2 H_{q q}(P, q(v)) a_{q}(P, q(v))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\quad H_{q}(P, q(v)) a_{q q}(P, q(v))\right] a(P, q(v))+\left[H_{q q}(P, q(v)) a(P, q(v))+H_{q}(P, q(v))\right. \\
& \left.\left.a_{q}(P, q(v))\right] a_{q}(P, q(v))\right\} a(P, q(v)) d v d u d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{u}\left\{\left[H_{q q q}(P, q(v))\right.\right. \\
& \left.a(P, q(v))+2 H_{q q}(P, q(v)) a_{q}(P, q(v))+H_{q}(P, q(v)) a_{q q}(P, q(v))\right] a(P, q(v)) \\
& \left.+\quad\left[H_{q q}(P, q(v)) a(P, q(v))+H_{q}(P, q(v)) a_{q}(P, q(v))\right] a_{q}(P, q(v))\right\} b(P, q(v)) \\
& \circ d W(v) d u d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{u}\left\{\left[H_{q q q}(P, q(v)) a(P, q(v))+2 H_{q q}(P, q(v))\right.\right. \\
& \left.a_{q}(P, q(v))+H_{q}(P, q(v)) a_{q q}(P, q(v))\right] b(P, q(v))+\left[H_{q q}(P, q(v)) a(P, q(v))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{q}(P, q(v)) a_{q}(P, q(v))\right] b_{q}(P, q(v))\right\} a(P, q(v)) d v \circ d W(u) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{u}\left\{\left[H_{q q q}(P, q(v)) a(P, q(v))+2 H_{q q}(P, q(v)) a_{q}(P, q(v))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\quad H_{q}(P, q(v)) a_{q q}(P, q(v))\right] b(P, q(v))+\left[H_{q q}(P, q(v)) a(P, q(v))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{q}(P, q(v)) a_{q}(P, q(v))\right] b_{q}(P, q(v))\right\} b(P, q(v)) \circ d W(v) \circ d W(u) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{u}\left\{\left[H_{q q q}(P, q(v)) b(P, q(v))+2 H_{q q}(P, q(v)) b_{q}(P, q(v))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\quad H_{q}(P, q(v)) b_{q q}(P, q(v))\right] a(P, q(v))+\left[H_{q q}(P, q(v)) b(P, q(v))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{q}(P, q(v)) b_{q}(P, q(v))\right] a_{q}(P, q(v))\right\} a(P, q(v)) d v d u \circ d W(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{u}\left\{\left[H_{q q q}(P, q(v)) b(P, q(v))+2 H_{q q}(P, q(v)) b_{q}(P, q(v))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{q}(P, q(v)) b_{q q}(P, q(v))\right] a(P, q(v))+\left[H_{q q}(P, q(v)) b(P, q(v))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+H_{q}(P, q(v)) b_{q}(P, q(v))\right] a_{q}(P, q(v))\right\} b(P, q(v)) \circ d W(v) d u \circ d W(s) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{u}\left\{\left[H_{q q q}(P, q(v)) b(P, q(v))+2 H_{q q}(P, q(v)) b_{q}(P, q(v))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{q}(P, q(v)) b_{q q}(P, q(v))\right] b(P, q(v))+\left[H_{q q}(P, q(v)) b(P, q(v))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{q}(P, q(v)) b_{q}(P, q(v))\right] b_{q}(P, q(v))\right\} a(P, q(v)) d v \circ d W(u) \circ d W(s) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{u}\left\{\left[H_{q q q}(P, q(v)) b(P, q(v))+2 H_{q q}(P, q(v)) b_{q}(P, q(v))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{q}(P, q(v)) b_{q q}(P, q(v))\right] b(P, q(v))+\left[H_{q q}(P, q(v)) b(P, q(v))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{q}(P, q(v)) b_{q}(P, q(v))\right] b_{q}(P, q(v))\right\} b(P, q(v)) \circ d W(v) \circ d W(u) \circ d W(s) \\
& =\cdots . \tag{5.3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Compare the expansion of $H(P, Q)$ with the righthand side of equation (5.3.12), let coefficients of terms with same order of smallness be equal, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{1} & =H  \tag{5.3.17}\\
G_{2} & =H_{q} b  \tag{5.3.18}\\
G_{3} & =H_{q} a+\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{q q} b+H_{q} b_{q}\right] b  \tag{5.3.19}\\
G_{4} & =\left[H_{q q} a+H_{q} a_{q}\right] b+\left[H_{q q} b+H_{q} b_{q}\right] a+\left[\left(H_{q q q} b+2 H_{q q} b_{q}+H_{q} b_{q q}\right) b\right. \\
& \left.+\left(H_{q q} b+H_{q} b_{q}\right) b_{q}\right] b, \vdots
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $G_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots), a, b, H$ and derivatives of $H$ are evaluated at $(P, q)$.
Expand $H_{1}(P, Q)$ at $(P, q)$ in the same way as $H(P, Q)$, and compare its expansion with the righthand side of (5.3.13), we find the functions $F_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1} & =H_{1},  \tag{5.3.20}\\
F_{2} & =H_{1_{q}} b  \tag{5.3.21}\\
F_{3} & =H_{1_{q}} a+\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{1_{q q}} b+H_{1_{q}} b_{q}\right] b  \tag{5.3.22}\\
F_{4} & =\left[H_{1_{q q}} a+H_{1_{q}} a_{q}\right] b+\left[H_{1_{q q}} b+H_{1_{q}} b_{q}\right] a+\left[\left(H_{1_{q q q}} b+2 H_{1_{q q}} b_{q}+H_{1_{q}} b_{q q}\right) b\right. \\
& \left.+\left(H_{1_{q q}} b+H_{1_{q}} b_{q}\right) b_{q}\right] b
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, an approximation of $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$ can be constructed according to (5.3.1)-(5.3.7) by truncation to certain term. The higher order of smallness the terms involved in the truncated $\bar{S}^{1}$ have, the higher order has the resulted numerical method. Explicitly, the mean-square order of a method is determined in the following way.

Theorem 5.8. ([25]) A method of mean-square order $m(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ includes all integrals $I_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}}$ of order $m$ and below. A method of mean-square order $m+\frac{1}{2}$ includes all
integrals of order $m+\frac{1}{2}$ and below, and also a deterministic integral of order $m+1$.
Based on this theorem, we can truncate the assumption of $\bar{S}^{1}$ to a term with proper order of smallness to obtain required mean-square order of the method.

Example 5.1. For the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d y=-x d t+\sigma d W, & y(0)=y_{0} \\
d x=y d t, & x(0)=x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

we have

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}=-\sigma x,
$$

and $a=y, b=0$. We truncate the assumption for $\bar{S}^{1}$ to the order of smallness 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t) \approx F_{1} W+F_{2} \int_{0}^{t} W \circ d W+G_{1} t \tag{5.3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (5.3.17), (5.3.20) and (5.3.21), for the linear stochastic oscillator we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=-\sigma x, \quad F_{2}=0, \quad, G_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) . \tag{5.3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for step size $h$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{1}\left(y_{n+1}, x_{n}, h\right)=\frac{h}{2}\left(x_{n}^{2}+y_{n+1}^{2}\right)-\sigma x_{n} \Delta W_{n} . \tag{5.3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scheme (5.1.9) generated by $\bar{S}^{1}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=y_{n+1}+\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(y_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), \quad x_{n+1}=x_{n}+\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial y_{n+1}}\left(y_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), \tag{5.3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for (5.3.25) results in

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h y_{n+1},  \tag{5.3.27}\\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}-h x_{n}+\sigma \Delta W_{n} . \tag{5.3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the symplectic Euler-Maruyama method, and is the adjoint method of the partitioned Euler-Maruyama method (3.3.5)-(3.3.6).

Theorem 5.9. The numerical method (5.3.27)-(5.3.28) for the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) is symplectic and of mean-square order 1 .

Proof. Its symplecticity can be directly verified by checking $d x_{n+1} \wedge d y_{n+1}=d x_{n} \wedge d y_{n}$ on the scheme, and its mean-square order 1 is due to Theorem 5.8 and our way of truncation.

To obtain a method of order $\frac{3}{2}$, we need to truncate the assumption of $\bar{S}^{1}$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t) \approx F_{1} W+F_{2} \int_{0}^{t} W \circ d W+G_{1} t+F_{3} \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W+G_{2} \int_{0}^{t} W d s+G_{3} \int_{0}^{t} s d s \tag{5.3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the linear stochastic oscillator, (5.3.18), (5.3.19), and (5.3.22) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{3}=-\sigma y, \quad G_{2}=0, \quad G_{3}=x y . \tag{5.3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{1}\left(y_{n+1}, x_{n}, h\right)=\frac{h}{2}\left(x_{n}^{2}+y_{n+1}^{2}\right)-\sigma x_{n} \Delta W_{n}-\sigma y_{n+1} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+y_{n+1} x_{n} \int_{0}^{h} s d s \tag{5.3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (5.3.31) to (5.3.26), we obtain the scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =y_{n+1}+h x_{n}-\sigma \Delta W_{n}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} y_{n+1},  \tag{5.3.32}\\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h y_{n+1}-\sigma \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+\frac{h^{2}}{2} x_{n} \tag{5.3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

which can also be written in the form

$$
\binom{x_{n+1}}{y_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{4+h^{4}}{4+2 h^{2}} & \frac{2 h}{2+h^{2}}  \tag{5.3.34}\\
-\frac{2 h}{2+h^{2}} & \frac{2}{2+h^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{n}}{y_{n}}+\binom{-\sigma \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+\frac{2 h \sigma}{2+h^{2}} \Delta W_{n}}{\frac{2 \sigma}{2+h^{2}} \Delta W_{n}}
$$

Theorem 5.10. The numerical method (5.3.34) generated by $\bar{S}^{1}$ in (5.3.31) for the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) is symplectic and of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$.

Proof. The mean-square order of convergence is guaranteed by Theorem 5.8 and our truncation (5.3.29) of $\bar{S}^{1}$. We check the symplecticity of the scheme.
According to (5.3.34),

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x_{n+1} \wedge d y_{n+1}=\left(\frac{4+h^{4}}{\left(2+h^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{4 h^{2}}{\left(2+h^{2}\right)^{2}}\right) d x_{n} \wedge d y_{n}=d x_{n} \wedge d y_{n} \tag{5.3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the scheme (5.3.34), the term $\int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W$ must be properly modeled. It is given in [25] that, $\int_{0}^{h} W d s$ is a sum of two independent normally distributed random variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{h} W(s) d s=\frac{1}{2} h W(h)+\left(\int_{0}^{h} W(s) d s-\frac{1}{2} h W(h)\right) \tag{5.3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first term on the righthand side of the equation is $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{h^{3}}{4}\right)$ distributed, and the other is $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{h^{3}}{12}\right)$ distributed. Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W & =h W(h)-\int_{0}^{h} W(s) d s=\frac{1}{2} h W(h)-\left(\int_{0}^{h} W(s) d s-\frac{1}{2} h W(h)\right) \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{h^{3}}{4}} \xi_{1}-\sqrt{\frac{h^{3}}{12}} \xi_{2} \tag{5.3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ are two independent $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ distributed random variables, and the first equality results from the Stratonovich chain rule.

In [25], explicit modeling of integrals of order $\frac{3}{2}$ such as the discussion above is given, and modeling of integrals of order 2 is also analyzed. Modeling of higher order of integrals is required by construction of higher order methods, and is still at the beginning of development.

Example 5.2. In this example, we consider the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)-(3.1.17).
Note that there is a constant $a$ in (3.1.16)-(3.1.17), which is different from our assumption of function $a$ in (5.3.14). To distinguish them, we denote in this example the function $a$ and $b$ in (5.3.14) with $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ respectively.
For the Kubo oscillator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{a}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad \tilde{a}=a p, \quad \tilde{b}=\sigma p . \tag{5.3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (5.3.17)-(5.3.19),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}=\frac{a}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad G_{2}=a \sigma p q, \quad G_{3}=a^{2} p q+\frac{1}{2} a \sigma^{2} p^{2} \tag{5.3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5.3.20)-(5.3.22) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad F_{2}=\sigma^{2} p q, \quad F_{3}=a \sigma p q+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{3} p^{2} \tag{5.3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first construct a method of mean-square order 1 by the following truncation of $\bar{S}^{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n}, h\right) & =F_{1} \Delta W_{n}+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1} h \\
& =\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p_{n+1}^{2}+q_{n}^{2}\right) \Delta W_{n}+\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{2} p_{n+1} q_{n}+\frac{a h}{2}\left(p_{n+1}^{2}+q_{n}^{2}\right) \tag{5.3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (5.3.41) into (5.1.9) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-a h q_{n}-\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{2} p_{n+1}-\sigma q_{n} \Delta W_{n}  \tag{5.3.42}\\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+a h p_{n+1}+\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{2} q_{n}+\sigma p_{n+1} \Delta W_{n} \tag{5.3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a scheme given by Milstein et. al. in [27] and [29]. Here we have reproduced it via generating function. Note that we have used

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W=\frac{W^{2}(h)}{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{E}\left(W^{2}(h)\right)=h \tag{5.3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

to approximate $\int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W$ by $\frac{h}{2}$ in (5.3.41).

For a method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$, we need the following truncation of $\bar{S}^{1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n}, h\right) & =F_{1} \Delta W_{n}+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1} h+F_{3} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+G_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W d s \\
& +G_{3} \int_{0}^{h} s d s \\
& =\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p_{n+1}^{2}+q_{n}^{2}\right) \Delta W_{n}+\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{2} p_{n+1} q_{n}+\frac{a h}{2}\left(p_{n+1}^{2}+q_{n}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(a \sigma p_{n+1} q_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{3} p_{n+1}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+a \sigma p_{n+1} q_{n} \int_{0}^{h} W d s \\
& +\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left(a^{2} p_{n+1} q_{n}+\frac{1}{2} a \sigma^{2} p_{n+1}^{2}\right) . \tag{5.3.45}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (5.1.9) with $\bar{S}^{1}$ given in (5.3.45) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(a h+\frac{h^{2}}{2} a \sigma^{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n}+\sigma^{3} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W\right) p_{n+1}+q_{n+1}=\left(1+\frac{h \sigma^{2}}{2}\right. \\
+ & \left.\frac{h^{2} a^{2}}{2}+a \sigma h \Delta W_{n}\right) q_{n}, \\
& \left(1+\frac{h \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{h^{2} a^{2}}{2}+a \sigma h \Delta W_{n}\right) p_{n+1}=p_{n}-\left(a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}\right) q_{n} . \tag{5.3.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 5.11. The numerical method (5.3.46) for the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)-(3.1.17) is symplectic and of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$.
Proof. Since the truncation of $\bar{S}^{1}$ in (5.3.45) contains all integrals of order of smallness less than or equal to $\frac{3}{2}$, and a deterministic integral of order 2 in the assumption of $\bar{S}^{1}$ (5.3.1)-(5.3.7), Theorem 5.8 guarantees the mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$ of the method.
Symplecticity of the method (5.3.46) can be verified the same way as that for (5.3.34) in Theorem 5.10.

By modeling $\Delta W_{n}$ and $\int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W$, we use truncation (3.2.13) of the $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ distributed random variables to ensure the realizability of the method, as introduced in section 3.2.

### 5.4 Symplectic Methods Based on $\bar{S}^{3}$

Methods based on $\bar{S}^{3}$ can be similarly constructed as that on $\bar{S}^{1}$. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, t) & =F_{1}(u, v) W(t)+F_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{1}(u, v) t  \tag{5.4.1}\\
& +F_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W(s)+G_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W(s) d s \tag{5.4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +F_{4}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s d s  \tag{5.4.3}\\
& +F_{5}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s^{2} \circ d W(s)+G_{4}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s W(s) d s  \tag{5.4.4}\\
& +F_{6}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s^{2} W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{5}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s^{2} d s  \tag{5.4.5}\\
& +F_{7}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s^{3} \circ d W(s)+G_{6}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s^{2} W(s) d s  \tag{5.4.6}\\
& +\cdots, \tag{5.4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which satisfies the initial condition $\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, 0)=0$ of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation with noise (5.2.24). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{3}}{\partial t} & =\left(G_{1}+G_{2} W+G_{3} t+G_{4} t W+G_{5} t^{2}+G_{6} t^{2} W+\ldots\right) \\
& +\left(F_{1}+F_{2} W+F_{3} t+F_{4} t W+F_{5} t^{2}+F_{6} t^{2} W+\ldots\right) \dot{W}, \tag{5.4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $G_{i}$ and $F_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ are evaluated at $(u, v)$.
Substituting (5.4.8) into (5.2.24) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
H(P, Q) & =G_{1}+G_{2} W+G_{3} t+G_{4} t W+G_{5} t^{2}+G_{6} t^{2} W+\ldots,  \tag{5.4.9}\\
H_{1}(P, Q) & =F_{1}+F_{2} W+F_{3} t+F_{4} t W+F_{5} t^{2}+F_{6} t^{2} W+\ldots \tag{5.4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Rewrite the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.10)-(4.1.11) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d p=a_{1} d t+b_{1} \circ d W, \quad d q=a_{2} d t+b_{2} \circ d W, \tag{5.4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}=-\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial q}, & b_{1}=-\frac{\partial H_{1}{ }^{T}}{\partial q}, \\
a_{2}=\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p}, & b_{2}=\frac{\partial{H_{1}}^{T}}{\partial p} . \tag{5.4.13}
\end{array}
$$

Expand $H(P, Q)$ at $(u, v)$ according to (5.4.11), and let $t_{0}=\frac{t}{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
H(P, Q) & =H(u, v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H_{v}(u, v(s)) a_{2}(u, v(s)) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H_{v}(u, v(s)) b_{2}(u, v(s)) \circ d W(s) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{u}(u(s), v) a_{1}(u(s), v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(u(s), v(s)) a_{1}(u(s), v(s))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(u(s), v(s)) a_{1_{v}}(u(s), v(s))\right] a_{2}(u(s), v(s)) d s \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(u(s), v(s)) a_{1}(u(s), v(s))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+H_{u}(u(s), v(s)) a_{1_{v}}(u(s), v(s))\right] b_{2}(u(s), v(s)) \circ d W(s)\right\} d s \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{u}(u(s), v) b_{1}(u(s), v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(u(s), v(s)) b_{1}(u(s), v(s))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(u(s), v(s)) b_{1_{v}}(u(s), v(s))\right] a_{2}(u(s), v(s)) d s \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(u(s), v(s)) b_{1}(u(s), v(s))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+H_{u}(u(s), v(s)) b_{1_{v}}(u(s), v(s))\right] b_{2}(u(s), v(s)) \circ d W(s)\right\} \circ d W(s) \\
& =H(u, v)+H_{v}(u, v) a_{2}(u, v) \frac{h}{2}+H_{v}(u, v) b_{2}(u, v) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} W(h) \\
& +\left[H_{v v}(u, v) b_{2}(u, v)+H_{v} b_{2_{v}}(u, v)\right] b_{2}(u, v) \frac{h}{4} \\
& +\frac{h}{2}\left(H_{u}(u, v) a_{1}(u, v)\right)+H_{u}(u, v) b_{1}(u, v) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} W(h) \\
& +\left[H_{u u}(u, v) b_{1}(u, v)+H_{u}(u, v) b_{1_{u}}(u, v)\right] b_{1}(u, v) \frac{h}{4} \\
& +\left[H_{u v}(u, v) b_{1}(u, v)+H_{u}(u, v) b_{1_{v}}(u, v)\right] b_{2}(u, v) \frac{h}{2}+R, \tag{5.4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the remainder term $R$ contains all terms of order of smallness larger than or equal to $\frac{3}{2}$.
Compare the expansion (5.4.14) with the righthand side of equation (5.4.9), let coefficients of terms with the same order of smallness be equal, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{1} & =H(u, v)  \tag{5.4.15}\\
G_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(H_{v} b_{2}+H_{u} b_{1}\right)  \tag{5.4.16}\\
G_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{v v} b_{2}+H_{v} b_{2_{v}}\right) b_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{u u} b_{1}+H_{u} b_{1_{u}}\right) b_{1}+\left(H_{u v} b_{1}+H_{u} b_{1_{v}}\right) b_{2}\right], \tag{5.4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, expanding $H_{1}(P, Q)$ at $(u, v)$ and comparing the expansion with the righthand
side of equation (5.4.10) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1} & =H_{1}(u, v)  \tag{5.4.18}\\
F_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(H_{1_{v}} b_{2}+H_{1_{u}} b_{1}\right)=0,  \tag{5.4.19}\\
F_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{1_{v}} a_{2}+H_{1_{u}} a_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1_{v v}} b_{2}+H_{1_{v}} b_{2_{v}}\right) b_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1_{u u}} b_{1}+H_{1_{u}} b_{1_{u}}\right) b_{1}+\left(H_{1_{u v}} b_{1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+H_{1_{u}} b_{1_{v}}\right) b_{2}\right], \tag{5.4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute the functions $G_{i}$ and $F_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ into the assumption of $\bar{S}^{3}$ in (5.4.1)(5.4.7), and then apply the scheme (5.1.15)-(5.1.16), symplectic schemes for the stochastic Hamiltonian system (4.1.10)-(4.1.11) will be created.

Example 5.3. We construct symplectic methods for the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) via $\bar{S}^{3}$.

For a method of mean-square order 1 , we need the truncation

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h) & =F_{1} \Delta W_{n}+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1} h \\
& =\frac{h}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)-\sigma v \Delta W_{n} . \tag{5.4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (5.4.21) into (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+h \frac{y_{n}+y_{n+1}}{2}  \tag{5.4.22}\\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}-h \frac{x_{n}+x_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n}, \tag{5.4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the midpoint rule (3.3.8)-(3.3.9).
A method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$ can be obtained by the following truncation of $\bar{S}^{3}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h) & =F_{1} \Delta W_{n}+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1} h+F_{3} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+G_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W d s \\
& +G_{3} \int_{0}^{h} s d s \\
& =\frac{h}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)-\sigma v \Delta W_{n}+\frac{h^{2} \sigma^{2}}{8}-\frac{\sigma u}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W \\
& +\frac{\sigma u}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W d s . \tag{5.4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (5.1.15)-(5.1.16), the symplectic scheme generated by $\bar{S}^{3}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h \frac{y_{n}+y_{n+1}}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W d s,  \tag{5.4.25}\\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}-h \frac{x_{n}+x_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n} . \tag{5.4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=-\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W d s \tag{5.4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

the scheme (5.4.25)-(5.4.26) can also be written as

$$
\binom{x_{n+1}}{y_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}} & \frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}}  \tag{5.4.28}\\
-\frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}} & \frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{n}}{y_{n}}+\frac{4}{4+h^{2}}\binom{m+\frac{\sigma h}{2} \Delta W_{n}}{-\frac{h}{2} m+\sigma \Delta W_{n}} .
$$

Theorem 5.12. The numerical method (5.4.25)-(5.4.26) for the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) is symplectic and of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$.

Proof. Its proof follows the same way as Theorem 5.10.
The analysis for (5.3.36) and (5.3.37) implies that we can model $\int_{0}^{h} W d s$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{h} W d s=\sqrt{\frac{h^{3}}{4}} \xi_{1}+\sqrt{\frac{h^{3}}{12}} \xi_{2} \tag{5.4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ distributed random variables $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ are identical with that in (5.3.37).
Example 5.4. Applying $\bar{S}^{3}$ to the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)-(3.1.17) can reproduce a midpoint rule given by Milstein et. al. in [29]. In order to achieve it, let

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h) & =F_{1} \Delta W_{n}+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1} h \\
& =\frac{a}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) h+\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) \Delta W_{n} . \tag{5.4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (5.4.30) into (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) gives the midpoint rule

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-a h \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}-\sigma \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2} \Delta W_{n},  \tag{5.4.31}\\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+a h \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2} \Delta W_{n} . \tag{5.4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

According to our way of truncation on $\bar{S}^{3}$, this method has mean-square order 1 .
A method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$ can be constructed by assuming

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h) & =F_{1} \Delta W_{n}+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1} h+F_{3} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+G_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W d s \\
& +G_{3} \int_{0}^{h} s d s \\
& =\frac{a}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) h+\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) \Delta W_{n}+\frac{a \sigma^{2} h^{2}}{8}\left(v^{2}-u^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \sigma^{3}\left(v^{2}-u^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W \tag{5.4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives by applying (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) the scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-\left(a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}+\frac{a h^{2} \sigma^{2}}{4}+\frac{\sigma^{3}}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W\right) \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2},  \tag{5.4.34}\\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+\left(a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}-\frac{a h^{2} \sigma^{2}}{4}-\frac{\sigma^{3}}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W\right) \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2} . \tag{5.4.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& k=a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}+\frac{a h^{2} \sigma^{2}}{4}+\frac{\sigma^{3}}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W  \tag{5.4.36}\\
& l=a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}-\frac{a h^{2} \sigma^{2}}{4}-\frac{\sigma^{3}}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W \tag{5.4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

the scheme (5.4.34)-(5.4.35) can also be written in the form

$$
\binom{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{4-k l}{4+k l} & \frac{-4 k}{4+k l}  \tag{5.4.38}\\
\frac{4 l}{4+k l} & \frac{4-k l}{4+k l}
\end{array}\right)\binom{p_{n}}{q_{n}} .
$$

Theorem 5.13. The numerical method (5.4.34)-(5.4.35) for the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)(3.1.17) is symplectic and of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$.

Proof. The construction of $\bar{S}^{3}$ and Theorem 5.8 implies the mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$. Symplecticity of the scheme can be shown by checking $d p_{n+1} \wedge d q_{n+1}=d p_{n} \wedge d q_{n}$ as in Theorem 5.10.

## Chapter 6

## Generating Functions with Two Noises

In this chapter, generating functions for stochastic Hamiltonian systems with two noises are discussed. Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equations with two noises are given, based on which generating functions with two noises are constructed through performing Wagner-Platen expansion in the sense of Stratonovich with respect to two noises. Symplectic schemes are created using the obtained generating functions for a model of synchrotron oscillations and a system with two additive noises. The methods of dealing with two noises in this chapter can be naturally generalized to systems with more noises.

## $6.1 \quad \bar{S}^{1}$ with Two Noises

(4.1.18) gives the generalized action integral $\bar{S}$ with $r$ noises. Results in (4.2.1)-(4.2.3) and Theorem 4.3 are also valid for systems with $r$ noises. Therefore, for the stochastic Hamiltonian system with two noises

$$
\begin{align*}
d p & =-{\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial q}}^{T} t-{\frac{\partial H_{1}}{\partial q}}^{T} \circ d W_{1}-{\frac{\partial H_{2}}{\partial q}}^{T} \circ d W_{2}  \tag{6.1.1}\\
d q & =\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p} d t+{\frac{\partial H_{1}}{}{ }^{T}}_{\partial p} \circ d W_{1}+{\frac{\partial H_{2}^{T}}{\partial p}}^{T} \circ d W_{2} \tag{6.1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

we can obtain the following result about its action integral with noises $\bar{S}$, which is a generalization of Theorem 5.4.

Theorem 6.1. If $\bar{S}(q, Q(t), t)$ satisfies the following partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial t}+H\left({\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q}}^{T}, Q\right)+H_{1}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q}, Q\right) \dot{W}_{1}+H_{2}\left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial Q}, Q\right) \dot{W}_{2}=0 \tag{6.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the matrix $\frac{\partial^{2} \bar{S}}{\partial q \partial Q}$ is invertible, then the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ defined by (5.2.1) is the phase trajectory of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (6.1.1)-(6.1.2).

Proof. The proof follows the same way as that of Theorem 5.4, with consideration of relation (6.1.1)-(6.1.2).

From the proof of Theorem 5.1, it is clear that the definition of the first kind of generating function $\bar{S}^{1}$ in (5.1.4), and the scheme generated by $\bar{S}^{1}$ (5.1.9) can both be used in case of more noises. Thus we have the following generalization of Theorem 5.5.

Theorem 6.2. If $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$ satisfies the following partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial t}=H(P, Q)+H_{1}(P, Q) \dot{W}_{1}+H_{2}(P, Q) \dot{W}_{2}, \quad \bar{S}^{1}(P, q, 0)=0 \tag{6.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q=q+\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{T}}{\partial P}(P, q, t)$, then the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ defined by (5.1.9) is the phase trajectory of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (6.1.1)-(6.1.2).

Proof. It can be proved in the same way as Theorem 5.5.
For the solution $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$ of (6.1.4), we make the following assumption.

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t) & =F_{1}(P, q) W_{1}(t)+K_{1}(P, q) W_{2}(t)  \tag{6.1.5}\\
& +F_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} \circ d W_{1}+K_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +G_{1}(P, q) t+\bar{F}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\bar{K}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} \circ d W_{2}  \tag{6.1.6}\\
& +G_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} d s+\bar{G}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} d s \\
& +\tilde{F}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\tilde{K}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +F_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W_{1}+K_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W_{2}  \tag{6.1.7}\\
& +\tilde{G}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} d s+G_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s d s \\
& +F_{4}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s W_{1} \circ d W_{1}+K_{4}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\bar{F}_{4}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\bar{K}_{4}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} s W_{1} \circ d W_{2}  \tag{6.1.8}\\
& +\cdots, \tag{6.1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where the terms in (6.1.5) are of order of smallness $\frac{1}{2}$, the following terms till (6.1.6) are of order 1 , and the next terms till (6.1.7) are of order $\frac{3}{2}$, and so on.

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial t} & =G_{1}+G_{2} W_{1}+\bar{G}_{2} W_{2}+\tilde{G}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+G_{3} t+G_{4} t W_{1}+\bar{G}_{4} t W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{G}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+G_{5} t^{2}+G_{6} t^{2} W_{1}+\bar{G}_{6} t^{2} W_{2}+\ldots \\
& +\dot{W}_{1}\left(F_{1}+F_{2} W_{1}+\bar{F}_{2} W_{2}+\tilde{F}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+F_{3} t+F_{4} t W_{1}+\bar{F}_{4} t W_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{F}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+F_{5} t^{2}+F_{6} t^{2} W_{1}+\ldots\right) \\
& +\dot{W}_{2}\left(K_{1}+K_{2} W_{2}+\bar{K}_{2} W_{1}+\tilde{K}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+K_{3} t+K_{4} t W_{2}+\bar{K}_{4} t W_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{K}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+K_{5} t^{2}+K_{6} t^{2} W_{2}+\ldots\right), \tag{6.1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $G_{i}, \bar{G}_{i}, \tilde{G}_{i}, F_{i}, \bar{F}_{i}, \tilde{F}_{i}, K_{i}, \bar{K}_{i}$, and $\tilde{K}_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ are evaluated at $(P, q)$.
Compare (6.1.10) with the righthand side of (6.1.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
H(P, Q) & =G_{1}+G_{2} W_{1}+\bar{G}_{2} W_{2}+\tilde{G}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+G_{3} t+G_{4} t W_{1}+\bar{G}_{4} t W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{G}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+G_{5} t^{2}+G_{6} t^{2} W_{1}+\bar{G}_{6} t^{2} W_{2}+\ldots  \tag{6.1.11}\\
H_{1}(P, Q) & =F_{1}+F_{2} W_{1}+\bar{F}_{2} W_{2}+\tilde{F}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+F_{3} t+F_{4} t W_{1}+\bar{F}_{4} t W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{F}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+F_{5} t^{2}+F_{6} t^{2} W_{1}+\ldots  \tag{6.1.12}\\
H_{2}(P, Q) & =K_{1}+K_{2} W_{2}+\bar{K}_{2} W_{1}+\tilde{K}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+K_{3} t+K_{4} t W_{2}+\bar{K}_{4} t W_{1} \\
& +\tilde{K}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+K_{5} t^{2}+K_{6} t^{2} W_{2}+\ldots \tag{6.1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

For convenience, rewrite equation (6.1.1)-(6.1.2) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
d p & =a_{1} d t+b_{1} \circ d W_{1}+\tilde{b}_{1} \circ d W_{2},  \tag{6.1.14}\\
d q & =a_{2} d t+b_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\tilde{b}_{2} \circ d W_{2}, \tag{6.1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1}=-\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial q}, & b_{1}=-\frac{\partial H_{1}{ }^{T}}{\partial q}, & \tilde{b}_{1}=-\frac{\partial H_{2}{ }^{T}}{\partial q} \\
a_{2}=\frac{\partial H^{T}}{\partial p}, & b_{2}=\frac{\partial H_{1}{ }^{T}}{\partial p}, & \tilde{b}_{2}=\frac{\partial H_{2}{ }^{T}}{\partial p} \tag{6.1.17}
\end{array}
$$

Expand $H(P, Q)$ at $(P, q)$ progressively based on (6.1.14)-(6.1.15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
H(P, Q)= & H(P, q)+\int_{0}^{t} H_{q}(P, q(s)) a_{2}(P, q(s)) d s+\int_{0}^{t} H_{q}(P, q(s)) b_{2}(P, q(s)) \\
& \circ d W_{1}(s)+\int_{0}^{t} H_{q}(P, q(s)) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q(s)) \circ d W_{2}(s) \\
= & H(P, q)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\{H_{q}(P, q) a_{2}(P, q)+\int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u)) a_{2}(P, q(u))\right.\right. \\
+ & \left.H_{q}(P, q(u)) a_{2_{q}}(P, q(u))\right] a_{2}(P, q(u)) d u+\int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u)) a_{2}(P, q(u))\right. \\
+ & \left.H_{q}(P, q(u)) a_{2_{q}}(P, q(u))\right] b_{2}(P, q(u)) \circ d W_{1}(u)+\int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u))\right. \\
& \left.\left.a_{2}(P, q(u))+H_{q}(P, q(u)) a_{2_{q}}(P, q(u))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q(u)) \circ d W_{2}(u)\right\} d s \\
+ & \int_{0}^{t}\left\{H_{q}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q)+\int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u)) b_{2}(P, q(u))\right.\right. \\
+ & \left.H_{q}(P, q(u)) b_{2_{q}}(P, q(u))\right] a_{2}(P, q(u)) d u+\int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u)) b_{2}(P, q(u))\right. \\
+ & \left.H_{q}(P, q(u)) b_{2_{q}}(P, q(u))\right] b_{2}(P, q(u)) \circ d W_{1}(u)+\int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u))\right. \\
& \left.\left.b_{2}(P, q(u))+H_{q}(P, q(u)) b_{2_{q}}(P, q(u))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q(u)) \circ d W_{2}(u)\right\} \circ d W_{1}(s) \\
+ & \int_{0}^{t}\left\{H_{q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)+\int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u)) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q(u))\right.\right. \\
+ & \left.H_{q}(P, q(u)) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q(u))\right] a_{2}(P, q(u)) d u+\int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u)) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q(u))\right. \\
+ & \left.H_{q}(P, q(u)) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q(u))\right] b_{2}(P, q(u)) \circ d W_{1}(u)+\int_{0}^{s}\left[H_{q q}(P, q(u))\right. \\
& \left.\left.\tilde{b}_{2}(P, q(u))+H_{q}(P, q(u)) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q(u))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q(u)) \circ d W_{2}(u)\right\} \circ d W_{2}(s) \\
= & H(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) a_{2}(P, q) t+H_{q}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q) W_{1}(t)+H_{q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q) \\
+ & {\left[H_{q q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] b_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1}(s) \circ d W_{2}(s) } \\
+ & {\left[H_{q q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2}(s) \circ d W_{2}(s) } \\
+ & R,
\end{align*}
$$

where the remainder $R$ is of order $\frac{3}{2}$ of smallness.

Compare the expansion (6.1.18) with the righthand side of equation (6.1.11), let coefficients of terms with the same order of smallness be equal, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{1} & =H(P, q)  \tag{6.1.19}\\
G_{2} & =H_{q}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q),  \tag{6.1.20}\\
\bar{G}_{2} & =H_{q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)  \tag{6.1.21}\\
\tilde{G}_{2} & =\left[H_{q q}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) b_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q) \\
& +\left[H_{q q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] b_{2}(P, q),  \tag{6.1.22}\\
G_{3} & =H_{q}(P, q) a_{2}(P, q)+\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{q q}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) b_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] b_{2}(P, q) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{q q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)+H_{q}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q), \tag{6.1.23}
\end{align*}
$$

The same approach applied to $H_{1}(P, Q)$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1} & =H_{1}(P, q)  \tag{6.1.24}\\
F_{2} & =H_{1_{q}}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q)  \tag{6.1.25}\\
\bar{F}_{2} & =H_{1_{q}}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)  \tag{6.1.26}\\
\tilde{F}_{2} & =\left[H_{1_{q q}}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q)+H_{1_{q}}(P, q) b_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q) \\
& +\left[H_{1_{q q}}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)+H_{1_{q}}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] b_{2}(P, q)  \tag{6.1.27}\\
F_{3} & =H_{1_{q}}(P, q) a_{2}(P, q)+\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{1_{q q}}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q)+H_{1_{q}}(P, q) b_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] b_{2}(P, q) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{1_{q q}}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)+H_{1_{q}}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)  \tag{6.1.28}\\
F_{4} & =\left(H_{1_{q q}} a_{2}+H_{1_{q}} a_{2_{q}}\right) b_{2}+\left(H_{1_{q q}} b_{2}+H_{1_{q}} b_{2_{q}}\right) a_{2} \\
& +\left[\left(H_{1_{q q}} b_{2}+2 H_{1_{q q}} b_{2_{q}}+H_{1_{q}} b_{2_{q q}}\right) b_{2}+\left(H_{1_{q q}} b_{2}+H_{1_{q}} b_{2_{q}}\right) b_{2_{q}}\right] b_{2}  \tag{6.1.29}\\
\bar{F}_{4} & =\left(H_{1_{q q}} a_{2}+H_{1_{q}} a_{2_{q}}\right) \tilde{b}_{2}+\left(H_{1_{q q}} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{1_{q}} \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}\right) a_{2} \\
& +\left[\left(H_{1_{q q q}} \tilde{b}_{2}+2 H_{1_{q q}} \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}+H_{1_{q}} \tilde{b}_{2_{q q}}\right) \tilde{b}_{2}+\left(H_{1_{q q}} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{1_{q}} \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}\right) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}\right] \tilde{b}_{2} \tag{6.1.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Expansion of $H_{2}(P, Q)$ at $(P, q)$ and the equation (6.1.13) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{1} & =H_{2}(P, q),  \tag{6.1.31}\\
K_{2} & =H_{2_{q}}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q)  \tag{6.1.32}\\
\bar{K}_{2} & =H_{2_{q}}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)  \tag{6.1.33}\\
\tilde{K}_{2} & =\left[H_{2_{q q}}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q)+H_{2_{q}}(P, q) b_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q) \\
& +\left[H_{2_{q q}}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)+H_{2_{q}}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] b_{2}(P, q),  \tag{6.1.34}\\
K_{3} & =H_{2_{q}}(P, q) a_{2}(P, q)+\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{2_{q q}}(P, q) b_{2}(P, q)+H_{2_{q}}(P, q) b_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] b_{2}(P, q) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{2_{q q}}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q)+H_{2_{q}}(P, q) \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}(P, q)\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(P, q),  \tag{6.1.35}\\
K_{4} & =\left(H_{2_{q q}} a_{2}+H_{2_{q}} a_{2_{q}}\right) \tilde{b}_{2}+\left(H_{2_{q q}} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{2_{q}} \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}\right) a_{2} \\
& +\left[\left(H_{2_{q q q}} \tilde{b}_{2}+2 H_{2_{q q}} \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}+H_{2_{q}} \tilde{\sigma}_{2_{q q}}\right) \tilde{b}_{2}+\left(H_{2_{q q}} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{2_{q}} \tilde{b}_{2_{q}}\right) \tilde{b}_{2 q}\right] \tilde{b}_{2},  \tag{6.1.36}\\
\bar{K}_{4} & =\left(H_{2_{q q}} a_{2}+H_{\left.2_{q} a_{2_{q}}\right) b_{2}+\left(H_{2_{q q}} b_{2}+H_{2_{q}} b_{2_{q}}\right) a_{2}}\right. \\
& +\left[\left(H_{2_{q q q}} b_{2}+2 H_{2_{q q}} b_{2_{q}}+H_{2_{q} q}{ }_{2 q q}\right) b_{2}+\left(H_{2_{q q}} b_{2}+H_{2_{q}} b_{2_{q}}\right) b_{2_{q}}\right] b_{2}, \tag{6.1.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 6.1. As given in Example 3.3, for the model of synchrotron oscillations

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-\omega^{2} \sin (q) d t-\sigma_{1} \cos (q) \circ d W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \sin (q) \circ d W_{2}, & p(0) & =p_{0}, \\
d q & =p d t, & q(0) & =q_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
H=-\omega^{2} \cos (q)+\frac{p^{2}}{2}, \quad H_{1}=\sigma_{1} \sin (q), \quad H_{2}=-\sigma_{2} \cos (q)
$$

Use the notations in (6.1.14)-(6.1.15), we have for this system

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=-\omega^{2} \sin (q), \quad b_{1}=-\sigma_{1} \cos (q), \quad \tilde{b}_{1}=-\sigma_{2} \sin (q),  \tag{6.1.38}\\
& a_{2}=p, \quad b_{2}=\tilde{b}_{2}=0 . \tag{6.1.39}
\end{align*}
$$

According to (6.1.19)-(6.1.37), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G_{1}=-\omega^{2} \cos (q)+\frac{p^{2}}{2}, & F_{1}=\sigma_{1} \sin (q), \quad F_{2}=\bar{F}_{2}=0, \\
K_{1}=-\sigma_{2} \cos (q), & K_{2}=\bar{K}_{2}=0 . \tag{6.1.41}
\end{array}
$$

Based on these functions, $\bar{S}^{1}$ for a method of mean-square order 1 can be constructed according to the assumption (6.1.5)-(6.1.9), as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{1}\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n}, h\right)=\left(-\omega^{2} \cos \left(q_{n}\right)+\frac{p_{n+1}^{2}}{2}\right) h+\sigma_{1} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2} \tag{6.1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (6.1.42) into (5.1.9), we obtain the following scheme,

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-h \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)-\sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2},  \tag{6.1.43}\\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h p_{n+1}, \tag{6.1.44}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the adjoint method of a method given by Milstein et. al. in [29].
Theorem 6.3. The method (6.1.43)-(6.1.44) for the stochastic Hamiltonian system (3.1.22)-(3.1.23) is symplectic and of mean-square order 1 .

Proof. From the scheme (6.1.43)-(6.1.44), it follows

$$
\begin{align*}
d p_{n+1} \wedge d q_{n+1} & =\left(1-\omega^{2} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) h^{2}+\sigma_{1} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) h \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) h \Delta_{n} W_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\omega^{2} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) h^{2}-\sigma_{1} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) h \Delta_{n} W_{1}+\sigma_{2} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) h \Delta_{n} W_{2}\right) d p_{n} \wedge d q_{n} \\
& =d p_{n} \wedge d q_{n} \tag{6.1.45}
\end{align*}
$$

Since our truncation of $\bar{S}^{1}$ contains all integrals with order of smallness less than or equal to 1 in the assumption (6.1.5)-(6.1.9), Theorem 5.8 ensures mean-square order 1 of the method (6.1.43)-(6.1.44).

For a method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$, we need the following functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{2} & =\bar{G}_{2}=\tilde{F}_{2}=\tilde{K}_{2}=0, \quad F_{3}=\sigma_{1} p \cos (q)  \tag{6.1.46}\\
K_{3} & =\sigma_{2} p \sin (q), \quad G_{3}=\omega^{2} p \sin (q) \tag{6.1.47}
\end{align*}
$$

The corresponding $\bar{S}^{1}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n}, h\right) & =\left(-\omega^{2} \cos \left(q_{n}\right)+\frac{p_{n+1}^{2}}{2}\right) h+\sigma_{1} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2} \\
& +\sigma_{1} p_{n+1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{1}(s)+\sigma_{2} p_{n+1} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}(s) \\
& +\omega^{2} p_{n+1} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \frac{h^{2}}{2} \tag{6.1.48}
\end{align*}
$$

The scheme generated by it according to (5.1.9) is

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-h \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{2} \omega^{2} p_{n+1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right)-\sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1} \\
& +\sigma_{1} p_{n+1} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{1}(s)-\sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2} \\
& -\sigma_{2} p_{n+1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}(s),  \tag{6.1.49}\\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h p_{n+1}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)+\sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{1}(s) \\
& +\sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}(s) . \tag{6.1.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 6.4. The method (6.1.49)-(6.1.50) for the stochastic Hamiltonian system (3.1.22)-(3.1.23) is symplectic and of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$.

Proof. $d p_{n+1} \wedge d q_{n+1}=d p_{n} \wedge d q_{n}$ can be directly checked on scheme (6.1.49)-(6.1.50).

The mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$ results from the truncation of $\bar{S}^{1}$ and Theorem 5.8.
Example 6.2. It is studied in [28] that, for the system with two additive noises (3.1.27)(3.1.28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-q d t+\gamma \circ d W_{2}(t), & & p(0)=p_{0} \\
d q & =p d t+\sigma \circ d W_{1}(t), & & q(0)=q_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

the symplectic Euler-Maruyama method

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-h q_{n}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2},  \tag{6.1.51}\\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h p_{n+1}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1} \tag{6.1.52}
\end{align*}
$$

simulates the original system (3.1.27)-(3.1.28) accurately over long time intervals. We can reproduce this method via generating function $\bar{S}^{1}$. As indicated by (3.1.32), for the system (3.1.27)-(3.1.28), we have

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}=\sigma p, \quad H_{2}=-\gamma q
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1} & =\sigma P, \quad K_{1}=-\gamma q, \quad F_{2}=0, \quad K_{2}=-\sigma \gamma, \\
G_{1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(P^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad \bar{F}_{2}=0, \quad \bar{K}_{2}=0 . \tag{6.1.53}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, for a method of mean-square order 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{1}\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n}, h\right)=\sigma p_{n+1} \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\gamma q_{n} \Delta_{n} W_{2}-\frac{h}{2} \sigma \gamma+\frac{h}{2}\left(p_{n+1}^{2}+q_{n}^{2}\right) \tag{6.1.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

which generates the symplectic Euler-Maruyama scheme (6.1.51)-(6.1.52) via relation (5.1.9).

Give more terms to the truncated $\bar{S}^{1}$, i.e., add terms in (6.1.5)-(6.1.9) with coefficients

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{2} & =\sigma q, \quad \bar{G}_{2}=0, \quad \tilde{F}_{2}=0, \quad \tilde{K}_{2}=0, \\
F_{3} & =0, \quad K_{3}=-\gamma P, \quad G_{3}=P q+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \tag{6.1.55}
\end{align*}
$$

to $\bar{S}^{1}$ in (6.1.54), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}\left(p_{n+1}, q_{n}, h\right) & =\sigma p_{n+1} \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\gamma q_{n} \Delta_{n} W_{2}-\frac{h}{2} \sigma \gamma+\frac{h}{2}\left(p_{n+1}^{2}+q_{n}^{2}\right) \\
& +\sigma q_{n} \int_{0}^{h} W_{1}(s) d s-\gamma p_{n+1} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}(s)+\frac{h^{2}}{2} p_{n+1} q_{n}+\frac{h^{2}}{4} \sigma^{2} \tag{6.1.56}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives via (5.1.9) the following scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2}-\sigma \int_{0}^{h} W_{1}(s) d s-q_{n} h-\frac{h^{2}}{2} p_{n+1},  \tag{6.1.57}\\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\gamma \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}(s)+p_{n+1} h+\frac{h^{2}}{2} q_{n} \tag{6.1.58}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 6.5. The numerical method (6.1.57)-(6.1.58) for the stochastic Hamiltonian system (3.1.27)-(3.1.28) is symplectic and of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$.

The proof follows the same way as that of Theorem 6.4.

## $6.2 \quad \bar{S}^{3}$ with Two Noises

The relation between $\bar{S}^{3}$ and $\bar{S}$ (5.1.14), as well as the Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equation with two noises (6.1.3) motivates the following theorem.

Theorem 6.6. If $\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, t)$ satisfies the following partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{3}}{\partial t}=H(P, Q)+H_{1}(P, Q) \dot{W}_{1}+H_{2}(P, Q) \dot{W}_{2}, \quad \bar{S}^{3}(u, v, 0)=0 \tag{6.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P=u-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{S}^{3} T}{\partial v}(u, v, t), Q=v+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \bar{S}^{3} T}{\partial u}(u, v, t)$, then the mapping $(p, q) \mapsto(P(t), Q(t))$ defined by (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) is the phase trajectory of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (6.1.1)-(6.1.2).

Proof. The proof follows the same way as that of Theorem 5.6, with consideration of the equations with two noises (6.1.1)-(6.1.2).

Similar to the approach for $\bar{S}^{1}$, we suppose

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, t) & =F_{1}(u, v) W_{1}(t)+K_{1}(u, v) W_{2}(t)  \tag{6.2.2}\\
& +F_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} \circ d W_{1}+K_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +G_{1}(u, v) t+\bar{F}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\bar{K}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} \circ d W_{2}  \tag{6.2.3}\\
& +G_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} d s+\bar{G}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} d s \\
& +\tilde{F}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\tilde{K}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +F_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W_{1}+K_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W_{2}  \tag{6.2.4}\\
& +\tilde{G}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} d s+G_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s d s \\
& +F_{4}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s W_{1} \circ d W_{1}+K_{4}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\bar{F}_{4}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\bar{K}_{4}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} s W_{1} \circ d W_{2}  \tag{6.2.5}\\
& +\ldots . \tag{6.2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{3}}{\partial t} & =G_{1}+G_{2} W_{1}+\bar{G}_{2} W_{2}+\tilde{G}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+G_{3} t+G_{4} t W_{1}+\bar{G}_{4} t W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{G}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+G_{5} t^{2}+G_{6} t^{2} W_{1}+\bar{G}_{6} t^{2} W_{2}+\ldots \\
& +\dot{W}_{1}\left(F_{1}+F_{2} W_{1}+\bar{F}_{2} W_{2}+\tilde{F}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+F_{3} t+F_{4} t W_{1}+\bar{F}_{4} t W_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{F}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+F_{5} t^{2}+F_{6} t^{2} W_{1}+\ldots\right) \\
& +\dot{W}_{2}\left(K_{1}+K_{2} W_{2}+\bar{K}_{2} W_{1}+\tilde{K}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+K_{3} t+K_{4} t W_{2}+\bar{K}_{4} t W_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{K}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+K_{5} t^{2}+K_{6} t^{2} W_{2}+\ldots\right), \tag{6.2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $G_{i}, \bar{G}_{i}, \tilde{G}_{i}, F_{i}, \bar{F}_{i}, \tilde{F}_{i}, K_{i}, \bar{K}_{i}$, and $\tilde{K}_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ are evaluated at $(u, v)$.
Compare (6.2.7) with the righthand side of (6.2.1), it is obtained that

$$
\begin{align*}
H(P, Q) & =G_{1}+G_{2} W_{1}+\bar{G}_{2} W_{2}+\tilde{G}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+G_{3} t+G_{4} t W_{1}+\bar{G}_{4} t W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{G}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+G_{5} t^{2}+G_{6} t^{2} W_{1}+\bar{G}_{6} t^{2} W_{2}+\ldots  \tag{6.2.8}\\
H_{1}(P, Q) & =F_{1}+F_{2} W_{1}+\bar{F}_{2} W_{2}+\tilde{F}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+F_{3} t+F_{4} t W_{1}+\bar{F}_{4} t W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{F}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+F_{5} t^{2}+F_{6} t^{2} W_{1}+\ldots  \tag{6.2.9}\\
H_{2}(P, Q) & =K_{1}+K_{2} W_{2}+\bar{K}_{2} W_{1}+\tilde{K}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+K_{3} t+K_{4} t W_{2}+\bar{K}_{4} t W_{1} \\
& +\tilde{K}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+K_{5} t^{2}+K_{6} t^{2} W_{2}+\ldots \tag{6.2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote $t_{0}=\frac{t}{2}$, and expand $H(P, Q)$ at (u,v) based on (6.1.14)-(6.1.15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(P, Q) & =H(u, v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H_{q}(u, q(s)) a_{2}(u, q(s)) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H_{q}(u, q(s)) b_{2}(u, q(s)) \circ d W_{1}(s) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t} H_{q}(u, q(s)) \tilde{b}_{2}(u, q(s)) \circ d W_{2}(s)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{u}(p(s), v) a_{1}(p(s), v)\right. \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(s)) a_{1}(p(s), q(s))+H_{u}(p(s), q(s)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(s))\right] a_{2}(p(s), q(s)) d s \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(s)) a_{1}(p(s), q(s))\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(s)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(s))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(s)) \circ d W_{1}(s) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(s)) a_{1}(p(s), q(s))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(s)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(s))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(s)) \circ d W_{2}(s)\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{u}(p(s), v) b_{1}(p(s), v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(s)) b_{1}(p(s), q(s))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(s)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(s))\right] a_{2}(p(s), q(s)) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(s)) b_{1}(p(s), q(s))\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(s)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(s))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(s)) \circ d W_{1}(s) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(s)) b_{1}(p(s), q(s))\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(s)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(s))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(s)) \circ d W_{2}(s)\right\} \circ d W_{1}(s)
$$

$$
+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{u}(p(s), v) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(s)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(s))\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(s)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(s))\right] a_{2}(p(s), q(s)) d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(s)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(s))\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(s)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(s))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(s)) \circ d W_{1}(s)
$$

$$
+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(s)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(s))\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(s)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(s))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(s)) \circ d W_{2}(s)\right\} \circ d W_{2}(s)
$$

$$
=H(u, v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{v}(u, v) a_{2}(u, v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{v v}(u, q(l)) a_{2}(u, q(l))\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\quad H_{v}(u, q(l)) a_{2_{v}}(u, q(l))\right] a_{2}(u, q(l)) d l+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{v v}(u, q(l)) a_{2}(u, q(l))\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\quad H_{v}(u, q(l)) a_{2_{v}}(u, q(l))\right] b_{2}(u, q(l)) \circ d W_{1}(l)
$$

$$
\left.+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{v v}(u, q(l)) a_{2}(u, q(l))+H_{v}(u, q(l)) a_{2_{v}}(u, q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(u, q(l)) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} d s
$$

$$
+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{v}(u, v) b_{2}(u, v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{v v}(u, q(l)) b_{2}(u, q(l))\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.+H_{v}(u, q(l)) b_{2_{v}}(u, q(l))\right] a_{2}(u, q(l)) d l+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{v v}(u, q(l)) b_{2}(u, q(l))\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\quad H_{v}(u, q(l)) b_{2_{v}}(u, q(l))\right] b_{2}(u, q(l)) \circ d W_{1}(l)
$$

$$
\left.+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{v v}(u, q(l)) b_{2}(u, q(l))+H_{v}(u, q(l)) b_{2_{v}}(u, q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(u, q(l)) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} \circ d W_{1}(s)
$$

$$
+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{v}(u, v) \tilde{b}_{2}(u, v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{v v}(u, q(l)) \tilde{b}_{2}(u, q(l))\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\quad H_{v}(u, q(l)) \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(u, q(l))\right] a_{2}(u, q(l)) d l+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{v v}(u, q(l)) \tilde{b}_{2}(u, q(l))\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\quad H_{v}(u, q(l)) \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(u, q(l))\right] b_{2}(u, q(l)) \circ d W_{1}(l)
$$

$$
\left.+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{v v}(u, q(l)) \tilde{b}_{2}(u, q(l))+H_{v}(u, q(l)) \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(u, q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(u, q(l)) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} \circ d W_{2}(s)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{u}(u, v) a_{1}(u, v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{u u}(p(l), v) a_{1}(p(l), v)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\quad H_{u}(p(l), v) a_{1_{u}}(p(l), v)\right] a_{1}(p(l), v) d l \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{u u}(p(l), v) a_{1}(p(l), v)+H_{u}(p(l), v) a_{1_{u}}(p(l), v)\right] b_{1}(p(l), v) \circ d W_{1}(l) \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{u u}(p(l), v) a_{1}(p(l), v)+H_{u}(p(l), v) a_{1_{u}}(p(l), v)\right] \tilde{b}_{1}(p(l), v) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} d s \\
& +\frac{t}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{\left[H_{u v}(p(s), v) a_{1}(p(s), v)+H_{u}(p(s), v) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), v)\right] a_{2}(p(s), v)\right. \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] a_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] a_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} a_{2}(p(s), q(l)) d l \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] a_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] a_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} b_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{1}(l) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] a_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] a_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} d s \\
& +\frac{t}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{\left[H_{u v}(p(s), v) a_{1}(p(s), v)+H_{u}(p(s), v) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), v)\right] b_{2}(p(s), v)\right. \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} a_{2}(p(s), q(l)) d l \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} b_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{1}(l) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} \circ d W_{1}(s) \\
& +\frac{t}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{\left[H_{u v}(p(s), v) a_{1}(p(s), v)+H_{u}(p(s), v) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), v)\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), v)\right. \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right.$
$\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} a_{2}(p(s), q(l)) d l$
$+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right.$
$\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right.$
$\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} b_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{1}(l)$
$+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right.$
$\left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1 v v}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) a_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} \circ d W_{2}(s)$
$+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{u}(u, v) b_{1}(u, v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{u u}(p(l), v) b_{1}(p(l), v)\right.\right.$
$\left.+H_{u}(p(l), v) b_{1_{u}}(p(l), v)\right] a_{1}(p(l), v) d l$
$+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{u u}(p(l), v) b_{1}(p(l), v)+H_{u}(p(l), v) b_{1_{u}}(p(l), v)\right] b_{1}(p(l), v) \circ d W_{1}(l)$
$\left.+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{u u}(p(l), v) b_{1}(p(l), v)+H_{u}(p(l), v) b_{1_{u}}(p(l), v)\right] \tilde{b}_{1}(p(l), v) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} \circ d W_{1}(s)$
$+\frac{W_{1}(t)}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{\left[H_{u v}(p(s), v) b_{1}(p(s), v)+H_{u}(p(s), v) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), v)\right] b_{2}(p(s), v)\right.$
$+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right.$
$\left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right.$
$\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} a_{2}(p(s), q(l)) d l$
$+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right.$
$\left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right.$
$\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} b_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{1}(l)$
$+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right.$
$\left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} \circ d W_{1}(s)$
$+\frac{W_{1}(t)}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{\left[H_{u v}(p(s), v) b_{1}(p(s), v)+H_{u}(p(s), v) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), v)\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), v)\right.$
$+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right.$
$\left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right.$
$\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} a_{2}(p(s), q(l)) d l$
$+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} b_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{1}(l) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) b_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} \circ d W_{2}(s) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{H_{u}(u, v) \tilde{b}_{1}(u, v)+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{u u}(p(l), v) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(l), v)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\quad H_{u}(p(l), v) \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}(p(l), v)\right] a_{1}(p(l), v) d l \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{u u}(p(l), v) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(l), v)+H_{u}(p(l), v) \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}(p(l), v)\right] b_{1}(p(l), v) \circ d W_{1}(l) \\
& \left.+\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left[H_{u u}(p(l), v) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(l), v)+H_{u}(p(l), v) \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}(p(l), v)\right] \tilde{b}_{1}(p(l), v) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} \circ d W_{2}(s) \\
& +\frac{W_{2}(t)}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{\left[H_{u v}(p(s), v) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), v)+H_{u}(p(s), v) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), v)\right] b_{2}(p(s), v)\right. \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} a_{2}(p(s), q(l)) d l \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} b_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{1}(l) \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] b_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} \circ d W_{1}(s) \\
& +\frac{W_{2}(t)}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{\left[H_{u v}(p(s), v) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), v)+H_{u}(p(s), v) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), v)\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), v)\right. \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
& \left.+H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\quad H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} a_{2}(p(s), q(l)) d l \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
+ & \left.H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
+ & \left.\left.H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} b_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{1}(l) \\
+ & \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left\{\left[H_{u v v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))+2 H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right.\right. \\
+ & \left.H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l))+\left[H_{u v}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1}(p(s), q(l))\right. \\
+ & \left.\left.\left.H_{u}(p(s), q(l)) \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right] \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}(p(s), q(l))\right\} \tilde{b}_{2}(p(s), q(l)) \circ d W_{2}(l)\right\} \circ d W_{2}(s)+R_{0} \\
= & H+H_{v} a_{2} \frac{t}{2}+H_{v} b_{2} \frac{W_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}+H_{v} \tilde{b}_{2} \frac{W_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}+H_{u} a_{1} \frac{t}{2}+H_{u} b_{1} \frac{W_{1}}{\sqrt{2}}+\left(H_{u u} b_{1}\right. \\
+ & \left.H_{u} b_{1_{u}}\right) b_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \circ d W_{1}(l) \circ d W_{1}(s)+\left(H_{u u} b_{1}+H_{u} b_{1_{u}}\right) \tilde{b}_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \circ d W_{2}(l) \circ d W_{1}(s) \\
+ & \left(H_{v v} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{v} \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}\right) b_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \circ d W_{1}(l) \circ d W_{2}(s)+\left(H_{v v} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{v} \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}\right) \tilde{b}_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \circ d W_{2}(l) \\
& \circ d W_{2}(s) \\
+ & \left(H_{v v} b_{2}+H_{v} b_{2_{v}}\right) b_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \circ d W_{1}(l) \circ d W_{1}(s)+\left(H_{v v} b_{2}+H_{v} b_{2_{v}}\right) \tilde{b}_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \circ d W_{2}(l) \\
& \circ d W_{1}(s) \\
+ & \left(H_{u v} b_{1}+H_{u} b_{1_{v}}\right) b_{2} \frac{W_{1}^{2}}{2}+\left(H_{u v} b_{1}+H_{u} b_{1_{v}}\right) \tilde{b}_{2} \frac{W_{1} W_{2}}{2}+H_{u} \tilde{b}_{1} \frac{W_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \\
+ & \left(H_{u u} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{u} \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}\right) b_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \circ d W_{1}(l) \circ d W_{2}(s)+\left(H_{u u} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{u} \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}\right) \tilde{b}_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} \circ d W_{2}(l) \\
& \circ d W_{2}(s) \\
+ & \left(H_{u v} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{u} \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}\right) b_{2} \frac{W_{1} W_{2}}{2}+\left(H_{u v} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{u}{\left.\tilde{b} 1_{v}\right) \tilde{b}_{2} \frac{W_{2}^{2}}{2}+R,}^{2}+6.2 .11\right. \tag{6.2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R$ includes terms with order of smallness larger than or equal to $\frac{3}{2}$.
Compare the expansion (6.2.11) with the righthand side of equation (6.2.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{1} & =H  \tag{6.2.12}\\
G_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(H_{v} b_{2}+H_{u} b_{1}\right),  \tag{6.2.13}\\
\bar{G}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(H_{v} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{u} \tilde{b}_{1}\right),  \tag{6.2.14}\\
\tilde{G}_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[b_{1}\left(H_{u u} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{u} \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}\right)+b_{2}\left(H_{v v} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{v} \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}+H_{u v} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{u} \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{b}_{1}\left(H_{u u} b_{1}+H_{u} b_{1_{u}}\right)+\tilde{b}_{2}\left(H_{v v} b_{2}+H_{v} b_{2_{v}}+H_{u v} b_{1}+H_{u} b_{1_{v}}\right)\right]  \tag{6.2.15}\\
G_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{v} a_{2}+H_{u} a_{1}+b_{1}\left(H_{u u} b_{1}+H_{u} b_{1_{u}}\right)+b_{2}\left(H_{v v} b_{2}+H_{v} b_{2_{v}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+H_{u v} b_{1}+H_{u} b_{1_{v}}\right)+\tilde{b}_{1}\left(H_{u u} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{u} \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}\right)+\tilde{b}_{2}\left(H_{v v} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{v} \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}+H_{u v} \tilde{v}_{1}+H_{u} \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1} & =H_{1},  \tag{6.2.16}\\
F_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(H_{1_{v}} b_{2}+H_{1_{u}} b_{1}\right),  \tag{6.2.17}\\
\bar{F}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(H_{1_{v}} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{1_{u}} \tilde{b}_{1}\right),  \tag{6.2.18}\\
\tilde{F}_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[b_{1}\left(H_{1_{u u}} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{1_{u}} \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}\right)+b_{2}\left(H_{1_{v v}} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{1_{v}} \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}+H_{1_{u v}} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{1_{u}} \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{b}_{1}\left(H_{1_{u u}} b_{1}+H_{1_{u}} b_{1_{u}}\right)+\tilde{b}_{2}\left(H_{1_{v v}} b_{2}+H_{1_{v}} b_{2_{v}}+H_{1_{u v}} b_{1}+H_{1_{u}} b_{1_{v}}\right)\right],  \tag{6.2.19}\\
F_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{1_{v}} a_{2}+H_{1_{u}} a_{1}+b_{1}\left(H_{1_{u u}} b_{1}+H_{1_{u}} b_{1_{u}}\right)+b_{2}\left(H_{1_{v v}} b_{2}+H_{1_{v}} b_{2_{v}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+H_{1_{u v}} b_{1}+H_{1_{u}} b_{1_{v}}\right)+\tilde{b}_{1}\left(H_{1_{u u}} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{1_{u}} \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}\right)+\tilde{b}_{2}\left(H_{1_{v v}} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{1_{v}} \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}+H_{1_{u v}} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{1_{u}} \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{1} & =H_{2},  \tag{6.2.20}\\
K_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(H_{2_{v}} b_{2}+H_{2_{u}} b_{1}\right),  \tag{6.2.21}\\
\bar{K}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(H_{2_{v}} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{2_{u}} \tilde{b}_{1}\right),  \tag{6.2.22}\\
\tilde{K}_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[b_{1}\left(H_{2_{u u}} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{2_{u}} \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}\right)+b_{2}\left(H_{2_{v v}} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{2_{v}} \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}+H_{2_{u v}} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{2_{u}} \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{b}_{1}\left(H_{2_{u u}} b_{1}+H_{2_{u}} b_{1_{u}}\right)+\tilde{b}_{2}\left(H_{2_{v v}} b_{2}+H_{2_{v}} b_{2_{v}}+H_{2_{u v}} b_{1}+H_{2_{u}} b_{1_{v}}\right)\right],  \tag{6.2.23}\\
K_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{2_{v}} a_{2}+H_{2_{u}} a_{1}+b_{1}\left(H_{2_{u u}} b_{1}+H_{2_{u}} b_{1_{u}}\right)+b_{2}\left(H_{2_{v v}} b_{2}+H_{2_{v}} b_{2_{v}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+H_{2_{u v}} b_{1}+H_{2_{u}} b_{1_{v}}\right)+\tilde{b}_{1}\left(H_{2_{u u}} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{2_{u}} \tilde{b}_{1_{u}}\right)+\tilde{b}_{2}\left(H_{2_{v v}} \tilde{b}_{2}+H_{2_{v}} \tilde{b}_{2_{v}}+H_{2_{u v}} \tilde{b}_{1}+H_{2_{u}} \tilde{b}_{1_{v}}\right)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

Example 6.3. For the model of synchrotron oscillations (3.1.22)-(3.1.23),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G_{1}(u, v)=-\omega^{2} \cos (v)+\frac{u^{2}}{2}, & F_{1}(u, v)=\sigma_{1} \sin (v), \quad F_{2}(u, v)=\bar{F}_{2}(u, v)=0 \\
K_{1}(u, v)=-\sigma_{2} \cos (v), & K_{2}(u, v)=\bar{K}_{2}(u, v)=0 \tag{6.2.25}
\end{array}
$$

Let the truncation of $\bar{S}^{3}$ contain terms till order 1 of smallness in the assumption (6.2.2)(6.2.6), i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h) & =F_{1} \Delta_{n} W_{1}+K_{1} \Delta_{n} W_{2}+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} \circ d W_{1}+K_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\bar{F}_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\bar{K}_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} \circ d W_{2} \\
& =\left(-\omega^{2} \cos (v)+\frac{u^{2}}{2}\right) h+\sigma_{1} \sin (v) \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \cos (v) \Delta_{n} W_{2} \tag{6.2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (6.2.26) into (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) results in the scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-\sin \left(\frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2} h+\sigma_{2} \Delta_{n} W_{2}\right)-\cos \left(\frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}\right) \sigma_{1} \Delta_{n} W_{1}  \tag{6.2.27}\\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2} \tag{6.2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 6.7. The numerical method (6.2.27)-(6.2.28) for the stochastic Hamiltonian system (3.1.22)-(3.1.23) is symplectic and of mean-square order 1.

Proof. Apply the implicit function theorem, we can calculate in a straightforward way that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial p_{n+1}}{\partial p_{n}} & \frac{\partial p_{n+1}}{\partial q_{n}}  \tag{6.2.29}\\
\frac{\partial q_{n+1}}{\partial p_{n}} & \frac{\partial q_{n+1}}{\partial q_{n}}
\end{array}\right)^{T} J\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial p_{n+1}}{\partial p_{n}} & \frac{\partial p_{n+1}}{\partial q_{n}} \\
\frac{\partial q_{n+1}}{\partial p_{n}} & \frac{\partial q_{n+1}}{\partial q_{n}}
\end{array}\right)=J,
$$

where $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. This proves symplecticity of the method according to Definition 2.3.

The mean-square order 1 follows from our truncation of $\bar{S}^{3}$.
Example 6.4. In this example, we construct numerical methods for the system with two additive noises (3.1.27)-(3.1.28) via $\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h)$.

For (3.1.27)-(3.1.28),

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}=\sigma u, \quad H_{2}=-\gamma v, \\
F_{1} & =\sigma u, \quad K_{1}=-\gamma v, \quad F_{2}=0, \quad K_{2}=-\frac{\sigma \gamma}{\sqrt{2}} \\
G_{1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right), \quad \bar{F}_{2}=\frac{\sigma \gamma}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \bar{K}_{2}=0 . \tag{6.2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\bar{S}^{3}$ for a method of mean-square order 1 has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h) & =\sigma u \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\gamma v \Delta_{n} W_{2}-\frac{\sigma \gamma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2}(s) \circ d W_{2}(s) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) h+\frac{\sigma \gamma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2}(s) \circ d W_{1}(s), \tag{6.2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives via relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) the scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1}+\frac{h}{2} q_{n+1} & =p_{n}-\frac{h}{2} q_{n}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2}  \tag{6.2.32}\\
-\frac{h}{2} p_{n+1}+q_{n+1} & =\frac{h}{2} p_{n}+q_{n}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1} . \tag{6.2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 6.8. The numerical method (6.2.32)-(6.2.33) for the stochastic Hamiltonian system (3.1.27)-(3.1.28) is symplectic and of mean-square order 1 .

According to (6.2.2)-(6.2.6) and Theorem 5.8, for a method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$, we need the following functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{2}=\frac{\sigma v}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \bar{G}_{2}=\frac{\gamma u}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \tilde{F}_{2}=0, \quad \tilde{K}_{2}=0 \\
& F_{3}=-\frac{\sigma v}{2}, \quad K_{3}=-\frac{\gamma u}{2}, \quad G_{3}=\frac{\sigma^{2}+\gamma^{2}}{2} \tag{6.2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, a method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$ can be generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h) & =\sigma u \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\gamma v \Delta_{n} W_{2}-\frac{\sigma \gamma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2}(s) \circ d W_{2}(s)+\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) h \\
& +\frac{\sigma \gamma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2}(s) \circ d W_{1}(s)+\frac{\sigma v}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W_{1}(s) d s+\frac{\gamma u}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2}(s) d s \\
& -\frac{\sigma v}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{1}-\frac{\gamma u}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}+\frac{h^{2}}{4}\left(\sigma^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right) \tag{6.2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

via relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16). The resulted scheme is

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1}+\frac{h}{2} q_{n+1} & =p_{n}-\frac{h}{2} q_{n}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2}-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W_{1}(s) d s \\
& +\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{1}(s),  \tag{6.2.36}\\
-\frac{h}{2} p_{n+1}+q_{n+1} & =\frac{h}{2} p_{n}+q_{n}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1}+\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2}(s) d s \\
& -\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}(s) . \tag{6.2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 6.9. The numerical method (6.2.36)-(6.2.37) for the stochastic Hamiltonian system (3.1.27)-(3.1.28) is symplectic and of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$.

Remark. In the case of two noises, such terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{h} W_{i} \circ d W_{j} \tag{6.2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

may appear in the numerical schemes, as shown in the righthand side of the first equality of (6.2.26) when $\bar{F}_{2}$ or $\bar{K}_{2}$ does not vanish. Numerical simulation of multiple stochastic integrals is usually difficult, especially as the multiplicity increases. In [25], integrals of the form (6.2.38) in Itô sense are simulated. We modify the method and make it appropriate for Stratonovich integrals.
Divide the interval $[0, h]$ into $l$ subintervals with length $\frac{h}{l}$. Thus we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{h} W_{i}(s) \circ d W_{j}(s) \doteq \sum_{k=1}^{l} W_{i}\left(\frac{s_{k-1}+s_{k}}{2}\right)\left(W_{j}\left(s_{k}\right)-W_{j}\left(s_{k-1}\right)\right) \tag{6.2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to the definition of Stratonovich integrals (1.1.15). Since each $\Delta_{k} W_{i}(k=$ $1, \ldots, l)$ is $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{h}{l}\right)$ distributed, and independent to each other, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}\left(\frac{s_{k-1}+s_{k}}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{h}{l}} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \xi_{i r}+\sqrt{\frac{h}{2 l}} \xi_{i k} \tag{6.2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{i r}(r=1, \ldots, k-1)$ and $\xi_{i k}$ are independent $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ distributed random variables. The second term on the righthand side of the equality is due to the length $\frac{h}{2 l}$ of the half interval $\left[s_{k-1}, \frac{s_{k-1}+s_{k}}{2}\right]$.
Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{j}\left(s_{k}\right)-W_{j}\left(s_{k-1}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{h}{l}} \xi_{j k} \tag{6.2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi_{j k}$ is $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ distributed and independent to $\xi_{i r}(k, r=1, \ldots, l)$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{h} W_{i}(s) \circ d W_{j}(s) \doteq \frac{h}{l} \sum_{k=1}^{l} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \xi_{i r} \xi_{j k}+\frac{h}{\sqrt{2} l} \sum_{k=1}^{l} \xi_{i k} \xi_{j k} \tag{6.2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Chapter 7

## Generating Functions for Some Symplectic Methods

In this chapter, generating functions are derived for some stochastic symplectic methods proposed in literature (Milstein et al. [27], [28], [29]), and symplectic Runge-Kutta methods (3.7) in [28] for systems with additive noises are generalized to that for systems with general noises, for which three kinds of generating functions are also derived.

### 7.1 A Partitioned Runge-Kutta Method

Consider the partitioned Runge-Kutta method (4.9)-(4.10) in [29]

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}_{i} & =p+h \sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{i j} f\left(t+c_{j} h, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \sigma_{r}\left(t+d_{j} h, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right)\left(\lambda_{i j} \varphi_{r}+\mu_{i j} \psi_{r}\right),  \tag{7.1.1}\\
\mathcal{Q}_{i} & =q+h \sum_{j=1}^{s} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} g\left(\mathcal{P}_{j}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, s  \tag{7.1.2}\\
P & =p+h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} f\left(t+c_{i} h, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \sigma_{r}\left(t+d_{i} h, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)\left(\nu_{i} \varphi_{r}+\chi_{i} \psi_{r}\right),  \tag{7.1.3}\\
Q & =q+h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \hat{\beta}_{i} g\left(\mathcal{P}_{i}\right), \tag{7.1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi_{r}, \psi_{r}$ do not depend on $p$ and $q$, the parameters $\alpha_{i j}, \hat{\alpha}_{i j}, \beta_{i}, \hat{\beta}_{i}, \lambda_{i j}, \mu_{i j}, \nu_{i}, \chi_{i}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j}+\hat{\beta}_{j} \alpha_{j i}-\beta_{i} \hat{\beta}_{j}=0,  \tag{7.1.5}\\
& \nu_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j}+\hat{\beta}_{j} \lambda_{j i}-\nu_{i} \hat{\beta}_{j}=0,  \tag{7.1.6}\\
& \chi_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j}+\hat{\beta}_{j} \mu_{j i}-\chi_{i} \hat{\beta}_{j}=0, \tag{7.1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$c_{i}, d_{i}$ are arbitrary parameters, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=-H_{q}, \quad g=H_{p}, \quad \sigma_{r}=-H_{r_{q}}, \quad H_{r_{p}}=0 . \tag{7.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Here is the separable case

$$
\begin{align*}
H(t, p, q) & =T(t, p)+U(t, q), & f & =-U_{q}, \quad g=T_{p},  \tag{7.1.9}\\
H_{r}(t, p, q) & =U_{r}(t, q), & \sigma_{r} & =-U_{r_{q}} . \tag{7.1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 7.1. Under the conditions (7.1.5)-(7.1.7), the partitioned Runge-Kutta method (7.1.1)-(7.1.4) for a separable Hamiltonian system with (7.1.8)-(7.1.10) can be written as (5.1.9) with

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, h) & =h \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\beta_{i} U\left(t+c_{i} h, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)+\hat{\beta}_{i} T\left(t+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{r=1}^{m} U_{r}\left(t+c_{i} h, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)\left(\nu_{i} \varphi_{i}+\chi_{i} \psi_{i}\right)+F \tag{7.1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
F & =-h^{2} \sum_{i j} \beta_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} U_{q}^{T}\left(t+c_{i} h, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right) T_{p}\left(t+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}\right) \\
& -h \sum_{i j} \sum_{r=1}^{m} U_{r_{q}}^{T}\left(t+c_{i} h, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right) T_{p}\left(t+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}\right)\left(\nu_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} \varphi_{r}+\chi_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} \psi_{r}\right) . \tag{7.1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Denote $U\left(t+c_{i} h, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)=U[i], U_{q}\left(t+c_{i} h, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)=U_{q}[i], \cdots$. It follows from (7.1.11)(7.1.12) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P} & =h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} U_{q}[i]^{T}\left(h \sum_{j=1}^{s} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} T_{p}[j]\right) \\
& +h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \hat{\beta}_{i} T_{p}[i]\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial P}+h \sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial P}\left(-U_{q}[j]\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} U_{r_{q}}[j]\left(\lambda_{i j} \varphi_{r}+\mu_{i j} \psi_{r}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{r=1}^{m} U_{r_{q}}\left(h \sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} T_{p}[j]\right)\left(\nu_{i} \varphi_{r}+\chi_{i} \psi_{r}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial P} F . \tag{7.1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

By

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial P}=I+h \sum_{j=1}^{s} \beta_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} U_{q}[j]+\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} U_{r_{q}}[j]\left(\nu_{j} \varphi_{r}+\chi_{j} \psi_{r}\right), \tag{7.1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P} & =h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \hat{\beta}_{i} T_{p}[i]+h^{2} \sum_{i j} \beta_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} U_{q}[i]^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} T_{p}[j] \\
& +h^{2} \sum_{i j} \hat{\beta}_{i} \beta_{j} T_{p}[i]^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} U_{q}[j]-h^{2} \sum_{i j} \hat{\beta}_{i} \alpha_{i j} T_{p}[i]^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} U_{q}[j] \\
& +h \sum_{i j} \sum_{r=1}^{m} T_{p}[i]^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} U_{r_{q}}[j]\left[\left(\hat{\beta}_{i} \nu_{j} \varphi_{r}+\hat{\beta}_{i} \chi_{j} \psi_{r}\right)-\left(\hat{\beta}_{i} \lambda_{i j} \varphi_{r}+\hat{\beta}_{i} \mu_{i j} \psi_{r}\right)\right] \\
& +h \sum_{i j} \sum_{r=1}^{m} U_{r_{q}}[i]^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial P} T_{p}[j]\left(\nu_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} \varphi_{r}+\chi_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} \psi_{r}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial P} F \\
& =h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \hat{\beta}_{i} T_{p}[i]+h^{2} \sum_{i j} \beta_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial P}\left(U_{q}[i]^{T} T_{p}[j]\right) \\
& +h \sum_{i j} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial P}\left[U_{r_{q}}[i]^{T} T_{p}[j]\left(\nu_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} \varphi_{r}+\chi_{i} \hat{\alpha}_{i j} \psi_{r}\right)\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial P} F \tag{7.1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P}=h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \hat{\beta}_{i} T_{p}[i] \tag{7.1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial q}=h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} U_{q}[i]+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{r=1}^{m} U_{r_{q}}[i]\left(\nu_{i} \varphi_{r}+\chi_{i} \psi_{r}\right) \tag{7.1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.2 A Method for Systems with Additive Noises

For the stochastic Hamiltonian system with additive noises

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d p=f(t, p, q) d t+\sum_{r=1}^{s} \sigma_{r}(t) d W_{r}(t), & p\left(t_{0}\right)=p \\
d q=g(t, p, q) d t+\sum_{r=1}^{s} \gamma_{r}(t) d W_{r}(t), & q\left(t_{0}\right)=q \tag{7.2.2}
\end{array}
$$

consider the following relation ([28])

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=p+h f\left(t_{0}+\beta h, \alpha P+(1-\alpha) p,(1-\alpha) Q+\alpha q\right)+\sum_{r=1}^{m} \sigma_{r}(t) \Delta W_{r},  \tag{7.2.3}\\
& Q=q+h g\left(t_{0}+\beta h, \alpha P+(1-\alpha) p,(1-\alpha) Q+\alpha q\right)+\sum_{r=1}^{m} \gamma_{r}(t) \Delta W_{r}, \tag{7.2.4}
\end{align*}
$$
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Theorem 7.2. The method (7.2.3)-(7.2.4) can be written as (5.1.9) with

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, h) & =h H\left(t_{0}+\beta h, \alpha P+(1-\alpha) p,(1-\alpha) Q+\alpha q\right) \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{m}\left(\gamma_{r}(t) P-\sigma_{r}(t) q\right) \Delta W_{r}+F \tag{7.2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=h^{2}(\alpha-1) H_{q}^{T} H_{p} . \tag{7.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (7.2.5)-(7.2.6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial q} & =h H_{q}^{T}\left(\alpha I+(1-\alpha)\left(I+h \frac{\partial}{\partial q} H_{p}\right)\right)+h H_{p}^{T}(1-\alpha) \frac{\partial p}{\partial q}-\sum_{r=1}^{m} \sigma_{r}(t) \Delta W_{r}+\frac{\partial F}{\partial q} \\
& =h H_{q}^{T}+h^{2}(1-\alpha) H_{q}^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} H_{p}+h^{2}(1-\alpha) H_{p}^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} H_{q}-\sum_{r=1}^{m} \sigma_{r}(t) \Delta W_{r}+\frac{\partial F}{\partial q} \\
& =h H_{q}^{T}-\sum_{r=1}^{m} \sigma_{r}(t) \Delta W_{r}+h^{2}(1-\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left(H_{q}^{T} H_{p}\right)+\frac{\partial F}{\partial q} \\
& =h H_{q}^{T}-\sum_{r=1}^{m} \sigma_{r}(t) \Delta W_{r} . \tag{7.2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, it can be verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P}=h H_{p}^{T}+\sum_{r=1}^{m} \gamma_{r}(t) \Delta W_{r} \tag{7.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.3 Generating Function for Phase Trajectory of a SDE

Consider the stochastic Hamiltonian system with two additive noise (3.1.27)-(3.1.28)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d q=p d t+\sigma d W_{1}(t), \quad q(0)=q_{0} \\
d p=-q d t+\gamma d W_{2}(t), \quad p(0)=p_{0}
\end{array}
$$

Let $X=(q, p)^{T}$, we know from (3.1.29) that the exact solution of the system (3.1.27)(3.1.28) can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t+h)=F X(t)+u(t, h) \tag{7.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos h & \sin h  \tag{7.3.2}\\
-\sin h & \cos h
\end{array}\right), \quad u(t, h)=\binom{u_{1}}{u_{2}}
$$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\sigma \int_{t}^{t+h} \cos (t+h-s) d W_{1}(s)+\gamma \int_{t}^{t+h} \sin (t+h-s) d W_{2}(s)  \tag{7.3.3}\\
& u_{2}=-\sigma \int_{t}^{t+h} \sin (t+h-s) d W_{1}(s)+\gamma \int_{t}^{t+h} \cos (t+h-s) d W_{2}(s) \tag{7.3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we write $X(t+h)=(Q, P)^{T}, X(t)=(q, p)^{T}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q=q \cos h+p \sin h+u_{1}  \tag{7.3.5}\\
& P=-q \sin h+p \cos h+u_{2} . \tag{7.3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 7.3. The solution of (7.3.5)-(7.3.6) can be written as (5.1.1) with

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}(q, Q, h) & =-p q \sin ^{2} h+\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{2}-q^{2}\right) \sin h \cos h+p u_{2} \sin h+q u_{2} \cos h,  \tag{7.3.7}\\
\text { where } \quad p & =\frac{Q}{\sin h}-q \cot h-\frac{u_{1}}{\sin h} . \tag{7.3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From (7.3.7)-(7.3.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial Q} & =\frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial Q}=\left(-q \sin ^{2} h+p \sin h \cos h+u_{2} \sin h\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sin h}\right) \\
& =-q \sin h+p \cos h+u_{2} \tag{7.3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and the first relation (7.3.5) is a direct consequence of (7.3.8).

### 7.4 Generalization of a Symplectic Runge-Kutta Method

For Hamiltonian system with additive noises (7.2.1)-(7.2.2), the following symplectic Runge-Kutta method is proposed ([28]), which is also given in section 3.2 with equation number (3.2.5)-(3.2.6):

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}_{i} & =p+h \sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{i j} f\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right)+\varphi_{i} \\
\mathcal{Q}_{i} & =q+h \sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{i j} g\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right)+\psi_{i} \\
P & =p+h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} f\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)+\eta \\
Q & =q+h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} g\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)+\zeta \tag{7.4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi_{i}, \psi_{i}, \eta, \zeta$ do not depend on $p$ and $q$, the parameters $\alpha_{i j}$ and $\beta_{i}$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i} \alpha_{i j}+\beta_{j} \alpha_{j i}-\beta_{i} \beta_{j}=0, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, s, \tag{7.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and $c_{i}$ are arbitrary parameters. In fact, stochastic symplectic Runge-Kutta methods can be generalized to cases of any type of noises. For convenience, we discuss the following system with one noise, cases of more noises can be treated in the same way:

$$
\begin{align*}
d p & =-H_{q}(t, p, q) d t-\hat{H}_{q}(t, p, q) \circ d W(t), & & p\left(t_{0}\right)=p,  \tag{7.4.3}\\
d q & =H_{p}(t, p, q) d t+\hat{H}_{p}(t, p, q) \circ d W(t), & & q\left(t_{0}\right)=q . \tag{7.4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the following method

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{P}_{i} & =p-h \sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{i j} H_{q}\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{i j} \hat{H}_{q}\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right) \Delta W_{j},  \tag{7.4.5}\\
\mathcal{Q}_{i} & =q+h \sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{i j} H_{p}\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{i j} \hat{H}_{p}\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right) \Delta W_{j},  \tag{7.4.6}\\
P & =p-h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} H_{q}\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} \hat{H}_{q}\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right) \Delta W_{i},  \tag{7.4.7}\\
Q & =q+h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} H_{p}\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} \hat{H}_{q}\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right) \Delta W_{i}, \tag{7.4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

with relation (7.4.2).
Theorem 7.4. The method (7.4.5)-(7.4.8) with relation (7.4.2) is symplectic and can be written as (5.1.9) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, h)=h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} H\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} \hat{H}\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right) \Delta W_{i}+F, \tag{7.4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
F & =-\left(h^{2} \sum_{i j} \beta_{i} \alpha_{i j} H_{q}^{T}\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right) H_{p}\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right)\right. \\
& +h \sum_{i j} \beta_{i} \alpha_{i j}\left(\hat{H}_{q}\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right) H_{p}\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right) \Delta W_{i}\right. \\
& \left.+\hat{H}_{p}^{T}\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right) H_{q}\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right) \Delta W_{i}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{i j} \beta_{i} \alpha_{i j} \hat{H}_{q}^{T}\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right) \hat{H}_{p}\left(t_{0}+c_{j} h, \mathcal{P}_{j}, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right) \Delta W_{i} \Delta W_{j}\right) . \tag{7.4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Denote $H\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)=H[i], H_{q}\left(t_{0}+c_{i} h, \mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)=H_{q_{i}}, \cdots$. By straightforward calculation as in Theorem 7.1 and the relation (7.4.2), one can verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial q}=h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} H_{q}[i]+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} \hat{H}_{q}[i] \Delta W_{i},  \tag{7.4.11}\\
& \frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1}}{\partial P}=h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} H_{p}[i]+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} \hat{H}_{p}[i] \Delta W_{i} . \tag{7.4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, the method (7.4.5)-(7.4.8) is symplectic by Theorem 5.1.
Similarly, we can verify the following two results.
Theorem 7.5. The method (7.4.5)-(7.4.8) with relation (7.4.2) is equivalent to (5.1.12) with

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{2}(p, Q, h) & =h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} H[i]+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} \hat{H}[i] \Delta W_{i} \\
& +\sum_{i j} \beta_{i} \alpha_{i j}\left(h^{2} H_{p}[i]^{T} H_{q}[j]+h H_{p}[j]^{T} \hat{H}_{q}[j] \Delta W_{i}\right. \\
& \left.+h H_{q}[i]^{T} \hat{H}_{p}[j] \Delta W_{i}+\hat{H}_{p}[i] \hat{H}_{q}[j] \Delta W_{i} \Delta W_{j}\right) . \tag{7.4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 7.6. The method (7.4.5)-(7.4.8) with relation (7.4.2) is equivalent to (5.1.15)(5.1.16) with

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}(P+p), \frac{1}{2}(Q+q), h\right) & =h \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} H[i]+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_{i} \hat{H}[i] \Delta W_{i} \\
& +\sum_{i j} \beta_{i} \alpha_{i j}\left(\frac{h^{2}}{2}\left(H_{p}[i]^{T} H_{q}[j]-H_{q}[i]^{T} H_{p}[j]\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{h}{2}\left(\left(H_{p}[j]^{T} \hat{H}_{q}[j]-\hat{H}_{q}[i]^{T} H_{p}[j]\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(H_{q}[i]^{T} \hat{H}_{p}[j]-\hat{H}_{p}[i]^{T} H_{q}[j]\right)\right) \Delta W_{i} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{H}_{p}[i]^{T} \hat{H}_{q}[j]-\hat{H}_{q}[i]^{T} \hat{H}_{p}[j]\right) \Delta W_{i} \Delta W_{j}\right) . \tag{7.4.14}
\end{align*}
$$
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## Chapter 8

## Backward Error Analysis

Backward error analysis studies qualitative behavior of numerical methods by constructing modified equations of them. The discrete points of numerical solutions settle on exact integration curves of the modified equations. In deterministic case, one can use generating functions of a symplectic method to build its modified equation, which is a Hamiltonian system ([12]). We construct in this chapter modified equations with noises for stochastic symplectic methods, by using their generating functions with noises. This gives insight into the stochastic symplectic methods, and support to the stochastic generating function theory proposed in previous chapters.

### 8.1 Deterministic Modified Equations and Generating Functions

For an ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{y}=f(y) \tag{8.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a numerical method $\Phi_{h}(y)$ which gives the discrete solution

$$
y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots,
$$

a modified equation of the method is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\tilde{y}}=f(\tilde{y})+h f_{2}(\tilde{y})+h^{2} f_{3}(\tilde{y})+\ldots \tag{8.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $y_{n}=\tilde{y}(n h)$. The idea of backward analysis is to study the difference between the two vector fields $f(y)$ and $f_{h}(y)$, instead of the local error $y_{1}-\varphi_{h}\left(y_{0}\right)$ and the global error $y_{n}-\varphi_{n h}\left(y_{0}\right)$ in the solution space, where $\varphi_{t}$ denotes the exact flow of the differential equation (8.1.1).

The series in (8.1.2) is usually divergent and has to be truncated suitably. Using Taylor expansion of $\Phi_{h}(y)$ at $y$ in powers of $h$, and that of $\tilde{y}(t+h)$ at $y$ based on the assumption $\tilde{y}(t)=y$, and comparing like powers of $h$, the coefficient functions $f_{j}(y)$ in (8.1.2) can be
determined as

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{2}(y) & =d_{2}(y)-\frac{1}{2!} f^{\prime} f(y), \\
f_{3}(y) & =d_{3}(y)-\frac{1}{3!}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(f, f)(y)+f^{\prime} f^{\prime} f(y)\right)-\frac{1}{2!}\left(f^{\prime} f_{2}(y)+f_{2}^{\prime} f(y)\right), \\
\vdots & \tag{8.1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{j}(y)$ are coefficient functions in the Taylor expansion of $\Phi_{h}(y)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{h}(y)=y+h f(y)+h^{2} d_{2}(y)+h^{3} d_{3}(y)+\ldots \tag{8.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any one-step symplectic method $\Phi_{h}:(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ can be generated by a generating function $S(P, q, h)$ through the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=P+\frac{\partial S^{T}}{\partial q}(P, q, h), \quad Q=q+\frac{\partial S^{T}}{\partial P}(P, q, h) \tag{8.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is verified that the modified equation of a symplectic method is a Hamiltonian system, and the Hamiltonian of the modified equation can be found explicitly, as stated in the following results.

Theorem 8.1. ([12]) Assume that the symplectic method $\Phi_{h}$ has a generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(P, q, h)=h S_{1}(P, q)+h^{2} S_{2}(P, q)+h^{3} S_{3}(P, q)+\ldots \tag{8.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with smooth $S_{j}(P, q)$ defined on an open set $D$. Then, the modified equation is a Hamiltonian system with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}(p, q)=H(p, q)+h H_{2}(p, q)+h^{2} H_{3}(p, q)+\ldots, \tag{8.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $H_{j}(p, q)$ are defined and smooth on the whole of $D$.
The proof is based on the fact that the phase flow of a Hamiltonian system can be generated by a generating function $\tilde{S}(P, q, t)$ which satisfies a Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equation, and that the generating function $\tilde{S}(P, q, t)$ should equal $S(P, q, h)$ at $t=h$ owing to the definition of a modified equation. It is first assumed that the modified equation is a Hamiltonian system with (8.1.7), and then to find the functions $H_{j}$ according to the two facts stated above. The proof also gives a method of constructing the modified equation of a symplectic method by applying its generating function.

Since we have developed generating functions for stochastic symplectic methods, it is natural to raise the question whether we could construct modified equations for sympletic methods using their generating functions with noises? In the following sections we deal with this problem.

### 8.2 Stochastic Modified Equations Based on $\bar{S}^{1}$

In this section we propose the definition of stochastic modified equations, and give a theorem asserting property of modified equations of stochastic symplectic methods, as well
as their construction via generating function $\bar{S}^{1}$.
Definition 8.2. Given a stochastic differential equation in Stratonovich sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y=f(y) d t+g(y) \circ d W \tag{8.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a numerical method $\Phi_{h}(y)$, which produces approximations

$$
y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots,
$$

the stochastic modified equation of the method is a stochastic differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tilde{y}=f_{h}(\tilde{y}) d t+g_{h}(\tilde{y}) \circ d W \tag{8.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $y_{n}=\tilde{y}(n h)$.
For a stochastic symplectic method $\Phi_{h}:(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ generated by $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, h)$ through the relation (5.1.9)

$$
p=P+\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1^{T}}}{\partial q}(P, q, h), \quad Q=q+\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1 T}}{\partial P}(P, q, h)
$$

we can prove that its modified equation is a stochastic Hamiltonian system, which can be determined explicitly, as given in the following theorem and its proof. We first discuss the case of one noise.

Theorem 8.3. Given a stochastic Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y=J^{-1} \nabla H(y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla H_{1}(y) \circ d W(t), \tag{8.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=\binom{p}{q}$. Assume that the symplectic method $\Phi_{h}$ has a generating function

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, h) & =F_{1}(P, q) W(h)+F_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{1}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} d s \\
& +F_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W(s)+G_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W(s) d s \\
& +F_{4}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} s W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} s d s+\ldots \tag{8.2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the modified equation of $\Phi_{h}$ is a stochastic Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y=J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}(y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{1}(y) \circ d W(t) \tag{8.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{H}(p, q)=H(p, q)+H_{2}(p, q) W+H_{3}(p, q) h+H_{4}(p, q) h W+H_{5}(p, q) h^{2}+\ldots, \\
& \tilde{H}_{1}(p, q)=H_{1}(p, q)+\bar{H}_{2}(p, q) W+\bar{H}_{3}(p, q) h+\bar{H}_{4}(p, q) h W+\bar{H}_{5}(p, q) h^{2}+\ldots \tag{8.2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We first assume that the modified equation is really a stochastic Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonians in (8.2.6)-(8.2.7). In the following we use the Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equation with noise and the definition of stochastic modified equation to determine the functions $H_{j}(p, q)$ and $\bar{H}_{j}(p, q)$. If the process can be performed successfully, then the assertion is proved. At the same time, we find the expression of the stochastic modified equation (8.2.5).

According to the Hamilton-Jacobi theory, let $\tilde{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$ be the generating function that generates the phase trajectory $\{\tilde{P}(t), \tilde{Q}(t)\}$ of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (8.2.5) through the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\tilde{P}(t)+\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1^{T}}}{\partial q}, \quad \tilde{Q}(t)=q+\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{T}}{\partial P} \tag{8.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by Theorem 5.5, it is sufficient that $\tilde{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$ satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi partial differential equation with noise

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial t}=\tilde{H}\left(P, q+\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial P}\right)+\tilde{H}_{1}\left(P, q+\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial P}\right) \dot{W} \tag{8.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}^{1}(P, q, t) & =\tilde{S}_{1}(P, q, h) W(t)+\tilde{S}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W(s) \circ d W(s)+\tilde{T}_{1}(P, q, h) t \\
& +\tilde{S}_{3}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W(s)+\tilde{T}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W(s) d s \\
& +\tilde{S}_{4}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} s W(s) \circ d W(s)+\tilde{T}_{3}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} s d s+\ldots \tag{8.2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial t} & =\left(\tilde{T}_{1}+\tilde{T}_{2} W+\tilde{T}_{3} t+\tilde{T}_{4} t W+\tilde{T}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots\right) \\
& +\left(\tilde{S}_{1}+\tilde{S}_{2} W+\tilde{S}_{3} t+\tilde{S}_{4} t W+\tilde{S}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots\right) \dot{W} \tag{8.2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $\tilde{T}_{i}$ and $\tilde{S}_{i}$ are evaluated at $(P, q, h)$.
Substitute (8.2.11) into (8.2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{H}\left(P, q+\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial P}\right)=\tilde{T}_{1}+\tilde{T}_{2} W+\tilde{T}_{3} t+\tilde{T}_{4} t W+\tilde{T}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots  \tag{8.2.12}\\
\tilde{H}_{1}\left(P, q+\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial P}\right)=\tilde{S}_{1}+\tilde{S}_{2} W+\tilde{S}_{3} t+\tilde{S}_{4} t W+\tilde{S}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots \tag{8.2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Expand $\tilde{H}\left(P, q+\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial P}\right)$ at $(P, q)$ according to Wagner-Platen expansion in Stratonovich sense and the relation (8.2.5) with $y=\binom{p}{q}$, as in (5.3.16), and let coefficients of terms
of the same order of smallness on both sides of (8.2.12) be equal, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{T}_{1}=\tilde{H} \\
& \tilde{T}_{2}=\tilde{H}_{q} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}} \\
& \tilde{T}_{3}=\tilde{H}_{q} \tilde{H}_{p}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{q q} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{q} \tilde{H}_{1_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{p}} \tag{8.2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{T}_{i}$ are evaluated at $(P, q, h)$.
The same approach applied to $\tilde{H}_{1}\left(P, q+\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial P}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}_{1} & =\tilde{H}_{1} \\
\tilde{S}_{2} & =\tilde{H}_{1_{q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}} \\
\tilde{S}_{3} & =\tilde{H}_{1_{q}} \tilde{H}_{p}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{q q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{p}} \\
\vdots & \tag{8.2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\tilde{S}_{i}$ at $(P, q, h)$.
According to the Definition 8.2 of stochastic modified equation, it should hold that $\binom{\tilde{P}(h)}{\tilde{Q}(h)}=\binom{P}{Q}$, which requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}^{1}(P, q, h)=\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, h) . \tag{8.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (8.2.10) into (8.2.16), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{S}_{1}(P, q, h) W(h)+\tilde{S}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{h} W(s) \circ d W(s)+\tilde{T}_{1}(P, q, h) h \\
+ & \tilde{S}_{3}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W(s)+\tilde{T}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{h} W(s) d s \\
+ & \tilde{S}_{4}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{h} s W(s) \circ d W(s)+\tilde{T}_{3}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{h} s d s+\ldots \\
= & F_{1}(P, q) W(h)+F_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{1}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} d s \\
+ & F_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W(s)+G_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W(s) d s \\
+ & F_{4}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} s W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} s d s+\ldots . \tag{8.2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (8.2.14)-(8.2.15) and (8.2.6)-(8.2.7) into the left hand-side of (8.2.17) and let coefficients of terms of the same order of smallness on both sides of (8.2.17) be equal, we
obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{1} & =F_{1}, \\
\bar{H}_{2} & =F_{2}-H_{1_{q}} H_{1_{p}} \\
H & =G_{1} \\
\bar{H}_{3} & =F_{3}-\bar{H}_{2_{q}} H_{1_{p}}-H_{1_{q}} \bar{H}_{2_{p}}-H_{1_{q}} H_{p} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1_{q q}} H_{1_{p}}+H_{1_{q}} H_{1_{p q}}\right) H_{1_{p}} \\
H_{2} & =G_{2}-H_{q} H_{1_{p}} \\
H_{3} & =G_{3}-H_{q} \bar{H}_{2_{p}}-H_{2} H_{1_{p}}-H_{q} H_{p}-\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{q q} H_{1_{p}}+H_{q} H_{1_{p q}}\right) H_{1_{p}} \\
\vdots & \tag{8.2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

It is obvious that the functions $H_{i}$ and $\bar{H}_{i}$ can be determined explicitly, owing to which the stochastic modified equation (8.2.5) is established.

Example 8.1. Consider the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d y=-x d t+\sigma \circ d W, & y(0)=y_{0} \\
d x=y d t, & x(0)=x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}=-\sigma x \tag{8.2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the symplectic Euler-Maruyama method (5.3.27)-(5.3.28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}-h x_{n}+\sigma \Delta W_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is generated by the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{1}=F_{1} W(h)+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1} h \tag{8.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=-\sigma x, \quad F_{2}=0, \quad G_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) . \tag{8.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (8.2.18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{2}=0, \quad \bar{H}_{2}=0,  \tag{8.2.22}\\
& H_{3}=-x y, \quad \bar{H}_{3}=\sigma y, \tag{8.2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-h x y+\ldots, \quad \tilde{H}_{1}=-\sigma x+h \sigma y+\ldots . \tag{8.2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

After truncation to the second term of $\tilde{H}$ and $\tilde{H}_{1}$, we get the truncated modified equation

$$
\begin{align*}
d \tilde{Y} & =J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H} d t+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{1} \circ d W \\
& \approx\binom{h y-x}{y-h x} d t+\binom{\sigma}{\sigma h} \circ d W \tag{8.2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{Y}=\binom{y}{x}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d y=(h y-x) d t+\sigma \circ d W, & y(0)=y_{0} \\
d x=(y-h x) d t+\sigma h \circ d W, & x(0)=x_{0} . \tag{8.2.27}
\end{array}
$$

In the next chapter, we can see through numerical test that phase trajectory of the numerical solution produced by symplectic Euler-Maruyama method (5.3.27)-(5.3.28) coincides with that of the truncated modified equation (8.2.26)-(8.2.27) very well. In order to simulate the solution of (8.2.26)-(8.2.27), we use its symplectic Euler-Maruyama discretization

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}+h\left(h y_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)+\sigma \Delta W_{n},  \tag{8.2.28}\\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h\left(y_{n+1}-h x_{n}\right)+\sigma h \Delta W_{n}, \tag{8.2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\binom{x_{n+1}}{y_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{-h^{4}+3 h^{2}-1}{h^{2}-1} & \frac{-h}{h^{2}-1}  \tag{8.2.30}\\
\frac{h}{h^{2}-1} & \frac{-1}{h^{2}-1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{n}}{y_{n}}+\binom{\frac{\sigma h^{3}-2 \sigma h}{h^{2}-1}}{\frac{-\sigma}{h^{2}-1}} \Delta W_{n} .
$$

Example 8.2. For the Kubo oscilltor (3.1.16)-(3.1.17)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-a q d t-\sigma q \circ d W(t), & & p(0)=p_{0} \\
d q & =a p d t+\sigma p \circ d W(t), & & q(0)=q_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
H=\frac{a}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right),
$$

and the symplectic method (5.3.46)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(a h+\frac{h^{2}}{2} a \sigma^{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n}+\sigma^{3} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W\right) p_{n+1}+q_{n+1}=\left(1+\frac{h \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{h^{2} a^{2}}{2}+a \sigma h \Delta W_{n}\right) q_{n}, \\
& \left(1+\frac{h \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{h^{2} a^{2}}{2}+a \sigma h \Delta W_{n}\right) p_{n+1}=p_{n}-\left(a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}\right) q_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is generated by (5.3.45)

$$
\bar{S}^{1}=F_{1} \Delta W_{n}+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1} h+F_{3} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+G_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W d s+G_{3} \int_{0}^{h} s d s
$$

through the relation (5.1.9), where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{1}=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad F_{2}=\sigma^{2} p q, \quad F_{3}=a \sigma p q+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{3} p^{2} \\
G_{1}=\frac{a}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad G_{2}=a \sigma p q, \quad G_{3}=a^{2} p q+\frac{1}{2} a \sigma^{2} p^{2} \tag{8.2.32}
\end{array}
$$

According to (8.2.6)-(8.2.7),

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H} & =\frac{a}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)+\ldots  \tag{8.2.33}\\
\tilde{H}_{1} & =\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)-\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{3} p^{2} q+\ldots \tag{8.2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

which after truncation to the given term results in the truncated modified equation

$$
\begin{align*}
d \tilde{Y} & =J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}(\tilde{Y}) d t+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{1}(\tilde{Y}) \circ d W \\
& \approx\binom{-a q}{a p} d t+\binom{\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{3} p^{2}-\sigma q}{\sigma p-h \sigma^{3} p q} \circ d W \tag{8.2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{Y}=\binom{p}{q}$. It can also be written in the form

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
d p & =-a q d t+\left(\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{3} p^{2}-\sigma q\right) \circ d W, & p(0)=p_{0} \\
d q & =a p d t+\left(\sigma p-h \sigma^{3} p q\right) \circ d W, & & q(0)=q_{0} \tag{8.2.37}
\end{array}
$$

In numerical test, we simulate the solution of the modified equation (8.2.36)-(8.2.37) by its symplectic Euler-Maruyama discretization

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-a h q_{n}+\left(\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{3} p_{n+1}^{2}-\sigma q_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n}  \tag{8.2.38}\\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+a h p_{n+1}+\left(\sigma p_{n+1}-h \sigma^{3} p_{n+1} q_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n} \tag{8.2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be realized by fixed point iteration.

### 8.3 Stochastic Modified Equations Based on $\bar{S}^{3}$

Construction of stochastic modified equation via generating function $\bar{S}^{3}$ is given in the following. The methods and results are similar to that of using generating function $\bar{S}^{1}$.

Suppose a symplectic method $\Phi_{h}:(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ is generated by $\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h)$, where $u=\frac{P+p}{2}, v=\frac{Q+q}{2}$, via the relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16)

$$
P=p-\partial_{2}^{T} \bar{S}^{3}(u, v), \quad Q=q+\partial_{1}^{T} \bar{S}^{3}(u, v) .
$$

We can prove that the modified equation of the method $\Phi_{h}$ is a stochastic Hamiltonian system, phase trajectory of which is generated by a function $\tilde{S}^{3}(u, v, t)$ through the relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16).

Theorem 8.4. Given a stochastic Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y=J^{-1} \nabla H(y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla H_{1}(y) \circ d W(t) \tag{8.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$ where $y=\binom{p}{q}$. Assume that the symplectic method $\Phi_{h}$ has a generating function

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h) & =F_{1}(u, v) W(h)+F_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{1}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} d s \\
& +F_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W(s)+G_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W(s) d s \\
& +F_{4}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} s W(s) \circ d W(s)+G_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} s d s+\ldots, \tag{8.3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u=\frac{P+p}{2}, v=\frac{Q+q}{2}$. Then, the modified equation of $\Phi_{h}$ is a stochastic Hamiltonian system

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y=J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}(y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{1}(y) \circ d W(t) \tag{8.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{H}(p, q)=H(p, q)+H_{2}(p, q) W+H_{3}(p, q) h+H_{4}(p, q) h W+H_{5}(p, q) h^{2}+\ldots,  \tag{8.3.4}\\
& \tilde{H}_{1}(p, q)=H_{1}(p, q)+\bar{H}_{2}(p, q) W+\bar{H}_{3}(p, q) h+\bar{H}_{4}(p, q) h W+\bar{H}_{5}(p, q) h^{2}+\ldots \tag{8.3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 8.3, we first assume that the function $\tilde{S}^{3}(u, v, t)$ generates via relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) the phase trajectory $\{P(t), Q(t)\}$ of the modified equation, which is a stochastic Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonians $\tilde{H}$ and $\tilde{H}_{1}$ in (8.3.4)-(8.3.5), and then to find the functions $H_{i}$ and $\bar{H}_{i}$. According to Theorem 5.6, it is sufficient that $\tilde{S}^{3}$ satisfies the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation with noise

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{3}}{\partial t}=\tilde{H}(P, Q)+\tilde{H}_{1}(P, Q) \dot{W} \tag{8.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=u-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{3^{T}}}{\partial v}, \quad Q=v+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{3^{T}}}{\partial u} . \tag{8.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}^{3}(u, v, t) & =\tilde{S}_{1}(u, v, h) W(t)+\tilde{S}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W \circ d W+\tilde{T}_{1}(u, v, h) t \\
& +\tilde{S}^{3}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W(s)+\tilde{T}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W(s) d s \\
& +\tilde{S}_{4}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} s W(s) \circ d W(s)+\tilde{T}_{3}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} s d s+\ldots \tag{8.3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{3}}{\partial t} & =\left(\tilde{T}_{1}+\tilde{T}_{2} W+\tilde{T}_{3} t+\tilde{T}_{4} t W+\tilde{T}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots\right) \\
& +\left(\tilde{S}_{1}+\tilde{S}_{2} W+\tilde{S}_{3} t+\tilde{S}_{4} t W+\tilde{S}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots\right) \dot{W} \tag{8.3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{T}_{i}$ and $\tilde{S}_{i}$ are evaluated at $(u, v, h)$.
Substituting (8.3.9) into (8.3.6) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}(P, Q) & =\tilde{T}_{1}+\tilde{T}_{2} W+\tilde{T}_{3} t+\tilde{T}_{4} t W+\tilde{T}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots,  \tag{8.3.10}\\
\tilde{H}_{1}(P, Q) & =\tilde{S}_{1}+\tilde{S}_{2} W+\tilde{S}_{3} t+\tilde{S}_{4} t W+\tilde{S}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots \tag{8.3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Expand $\tilde{H}(P, Q)$ at $(u, v)$ according to the formula of Wagner-Platen expansion in Stratonovich sense and the relation (8.3.3), and let coefficients of terms of the same order of smallness on both sides of (8.3.10) be equal, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{T}_{1} & =\tilde{H}, \\
\tilde{T}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\right), \\
\tilde{T}_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{v v} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{u u} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\tilde{H}_{u v} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{1_{v v}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}\right], \tag{8.3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The same approach applied to $\tilde{H}_{1}(P, Q)$ and the relation (8.3.11) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}_{1} & =\tilde{H}_{1}, \\
\tilde{S}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\right)=0, \\
\tilde{S}_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{u}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{v}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{v v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{u u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{u v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v v}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{u} u}\right], \tag{8.3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

As (8.2.16) in the proof of Theorem 8.3, the definition of modified equation requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}^{3}(u, v, h)=\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h) \tag{8.3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(8.3.2), (8.3.8) and (8.3.14) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{S}_{1}(u, v, h) W(h)+\tilde{S}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+\tilde{T}_{1}(u, v, h) h \\
+ & \tilde{S}_{3}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+\tilde{T}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{h} W d s \\
+ & \tilde{S}_{4}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{h} s W \circ d W+\tilde{T}_{3}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{h} s d s+\ldots \\
= & F_{1}(u, v) W(h)+F_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1}(u, v) h \\
+ & F_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+G_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W d s \\
+ & F_{4}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} s W \circ d W+G_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} s d s+\ldots . \tag{8.3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (8.3.12), (8.3.13) and (8.3.4)-(8.3.5) into (8.3.15), and let coefficients of terms of the same order of smallness on both sides of (8.3.15) be equal, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1} & =F_{1}, \\
\bar{H}_{2} & =F_{2}, \\
H & =G_{1}, \\
\bar{H}_{3} & =F_{3}-\frac{1}{2}\left[H_{1_{v}} H_{u}-H_{1_{u}} H_{v}+\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1_{v v}} H_{1_{u}}+H_{1_{v}} H_{1_{u v}}\right) H_{1_{u}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1_{u u}} H_{1_{v}}+H_{1_{u}} H_{1_{u v}}\right) H_{1_{v}}-\left(H_{1_{u v}} H_{1_{v}}+H_{1_{u}} H_{1_{v v}}\right) H_{1_{u}}\right], \\
H_{2} & =G_{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(H_{v} H_{1_{u}}-H_{u} H_{1_{v}}\right), \\
H_{3} & =G_{3}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(H_{v} \bar{H}_{2_{u}}+H_{2_{v}} H_{1_{u}}-H_{u} \bar{H}_{2_{v}}-H_{2_{u}} H_{1_{v}}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{v v} H_{1_{u}}+H_{v} H_{1_{u v}}\right) H_{1_{u}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{u u} H_{1_{v}}+H_{u} H_{1_{u v}}\right) H_{1_{v}}-\left(H_{u v} H_{1_{v}}+H_{u} H_{1_{v v}}\right) H_{1_{u}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vdots \tag{8.3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which ensures existence of (8.3.4)-(8.3.5).
Example 8.3. We study in this example the modified equation of the midpoint rule (5.4.22)-(5.4.23)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+h \frac{y_{n}+y_{n+1}}{2} \\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}-h \frac{x_{n}+x_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d y=-x d t+\sigma \circ d W, & y(0)=y_{0}, \\
d x=y d t, & x(0)=x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

which has Hamiltonians

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}=-\sigma x . \tag{8.3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Example 5.3, the midpoint rule (5.4.22)-(5.4.23) is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h)=F_{1} \Delta W_{n}+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1} h \tag{8.3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=-\sigma v, \quad F_{2}=0, \quad G_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) . \tag{8.3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (8.3.17) and (8.3.19) into (8.3.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}=-\sigma v, \quad \bar{H}_{2}=0, \quad H=\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) \\
& H_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma u, \quad \bar{H}_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma u, \quad H_{3}=-\frac{3}{4} \sigma^{2} \tag{8.3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, according to (8.3.4)-(8.3.5), the truncated Hamiltonians are

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}(y, x) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(y^{2}+x^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma y W(h)-\frac{3}{4} \sigma^{2} h  \tag{8.3.21}\\
\tilde{H}_{1}(y, x) & =-\sigma x+\frac{1}{2} \sigma y h \tag{8.3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently the truncated modified equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
d Y & =J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}(Y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{1}(Y) \circ d W \\
& \approx\binom{-x}{y+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma W(h)} d t+\binom{\sigma}{\frac{1}{2} \sigma h} \circ d W \tag{8.3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

which, with $Y=\binom{y}{x}$, is equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
d y & =-x d t+\sigma \circ d W, & y(0) & =y_{0}, \\
d x & =\left(y+\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} W(h)\right) d t+\frac{\sigma}{2} h \circ d W, & x(0)=x_{0} . \tag{8.3.25}
\end{array}
$$

In numerical tests in the next chapter, we simulate the solution of the truncated modified equation (8.3.24)-(8.3.25) by its midpoint discretization

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}-h \frac{x_{n}+x_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n},  \tag{8.3.26}\\
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+h \frac{y_{n}+y_{n+1}}{2}+\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma \Delta W_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma h \Delta W_{n}, \tag{8.3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

which can also be written as

$$
\binom{x_{n+1}}{y_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}} & \frac{4 h}{4+h^{2}}  \tag{8.3.28}\\
\frac{-4 h}{4+h^{2}} & \frac{4-h^{2}}{4+h^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{n}}{y_{n}}+\frac{4}{4+h^{2}}\binom{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+1\right) \sigma h}{\sigma-\frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{4} \sigma h^{2}} \Delta W_{n} .
$$

Example 8.4. Consider the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)-(3.1.17)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-a q d t-\sigma q \circ d W(t), & & p(0)=p_{0}, \\
d q & =a p d t+\sigma p \circ d W(t), & & q(0)=q_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

which has Hamiltonians

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{a}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \tag{8.3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its midpoint discretization (5.4.31)-(5.4.32)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-a h \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}-\sigma \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2} \Delta W_{n}, \\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+a h \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2} \Delta W_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h)=F_{1} \Delta W_{n}+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W \circ d W+G_{1} h \tag{8.3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(P^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad F_{2}=0, \quad G_{1}=\frac{a}{2}\left(P^{2}+q^{2}\right) . \tag{8.3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

(8.3.16), (8.3.29) and (8.3.31) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad H=\frac{a}{2}\left(p^{2}\right)+q^{2}, \quad \bar{H}_{2}=0, \\
& H_{2}=0, \quad \bar{H}_{3}=\frac{\sigma^{3}}{4}\left(p^{2}-q^{2}\right), \quad H_{3}=\frac{a \sigma^{2}}{4}\left(p^{2}-q^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

(8.3.4)-(8.3.5) and (8.3.32) thus yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H} & =\frac{a}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)+\frac{h}{4} a \sigma^{2}\left(p^{2}-q^{2}\right)+\ldots,  \tag{8.3.33}\\
\tilde{H}_{1} & =\frac{\sigma}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)+\frac{h \sigma^{3}}{4}\left(p^{2}-q^{2}\right)+\ldots \tag{8.3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, the modified equation truncated to the given terms is

$$
\begin{align*}
d y & =J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H} d t+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{1} \circ d W(t) \\
& \approx\binom{\frac{h a \sigma^{2} q}{2}-a q}{a p+\frac{h a \sigma^{2} p}{2}} d t+\binom{\frac{h \sigma^{3} q}{2}-\sigma q}{\sigma p+\frac{h \sigma^{3} p}{2}} \circ d W, \tag{8.3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

which can also be written as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d p=\left(\frac{h a \sigma^{2} q}{2}-a q\right) d t+\left(\frac{h \sigma^{3} q}{2}-\sigma q\right) \circ d W, & p(0)=p_{0}, \\
d q=\left(a p+\frac{h a \sigma^{2} p}{2}\right) d t+\left(\sigma p+\frac{h \sigma^{3} p}{2}\right) \circ d W, & q(0)=q_{0} . \tag{8.3.37}
\end{array}
$$

In the numerical tests in Chapter 9, we simulate the solution of the truncated modified equation (8.3.36)-(8.3.37) with its midpoint discretization

$$
\binom{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}}=\frac{1}{1-A B}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+A B & 2 A  \tag{8.3.38}\\
2 B & 1+A B
\end{array}\right)\binom{p_{n}}{q_{n}},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2}(C-D), \quad B=\frac{1}{2}(C+D) \tag{8.3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{h^{2} a \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{h \sigma^{3}}{2} \Delta W_{n}, \quad D=a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n} . \tag{8.3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.4 Modified Equations for Methods with Two Noises

In this section we discuss modified equation of symplectic methods for stochastic Hamiltonian system with two noises. We mainly use results in Chapter 6. Systems and methods with more noises can be treated similarly.

Suppose that a symplectic method with two noises $\Phi_{h}:(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ is generated by $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, h)$ via the relation (5.1.9)

$$
p=P+\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1 T}}{\partial q}, \quad Q=q+\frac{\partial \bar{S}^{1^{T}}}{\partial P}
$$

We prove in the following that its modified equation is a stochastic Hamiltonian system with two noises.

Theorem 8.5. Given a stochastic Hamiltonian system with two noises

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y=J^{-1} \nabla H(y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla H_{1}(y) \circ d W_{1}(t)+J^{-1} \nabla H_{2}(y) \circ d W_{2}(t), \tag{8.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=\binom{p}{q}$. Suppose that a symplectic method $\Phi_{h}$ has generating function

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, h) & =F_{1}(P, q) W_{1}(h)+K_{1}(P, q) W_{2}(h) \\
& +F_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} \circ d W_{1}+K_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +G_{1}(P, q) h+\bar{F}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\bar{K}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +G_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} d s+\bar{G}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} d s \\
& +\tilde{F}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\tilde{K}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +F_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{1}+K_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{G}_{2}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} W_{2} d s+G_{3}(P, q) \int_{0}^{h} s d s \\
& +\ldots . \tag{8.4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the modified equation of $\Phi_{h}$ is a stochastic Hamiltonian system with two noises

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y=J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}(y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{1}(y) \circ d W_{1}(t)+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{2}(y) \circ d W_{2}(t) \tag{8.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}(p, q) & =H(p, q)+H_{3}(p, q) W_{1}+H_{4}(p, q) W_{2}+H_{5}(p, q) W_{1} W_{2}+H_{6}(p, q) h \\
& +H_{7}(p, q) h W_{1}+H_{8}(p, q) h W_{2}+H_{9}(p, q) h W_{1} W_{2}+H_{10}(p, q) h^{2}+\ldots(.) \\
\tilde{H}_{1}(p, q) & =H_{1}(p, q)+\bar{H}_{2}(p, q) W_{1}+\bar{H}_{3}(p, q) W_{2}+\bar{H}_{4}(p, q) W_{1} W_{2}+\bar{H}_{5}(p, q) h \\
& +\bar{H}_{6}(p, q) h W_{1}+\bar{H}_{7}(p, q) h W_{2}+\bar{H}_{8}(p, q) h W_{1} W_{2}+\bar{H}_{9}(p, q) h^{2}+\ldots(\delta  \tag{8.4.5}\\
\tilde{H}_{2}(p, q) & =H_{2}(p, q)+\hat{H}_{2}(p, q) W_{2}+\hat{H}_{3}(p, q) W_{1}+\hat{H}_{4}(p, q) W_{1} W_{2}+\hat{H}_{5}(p, q) h \\
& +\hat{H}_{6}(p, q) h W_{2}+\hat{H}_{7}(p, q) h W_{1}+\hat{H}_{8}(p, q) h W_{1} W_{2}+\hat{H}_{9}(p, q) h^{2}+\ldots(\delta \tag{8.4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Suppose the phase trajectory of the stochastic Hamiltonian system with two noises (8.4.3) is generated by the function $\tilde{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$ through the relation (5.1.9). According to Theorem 6.2, it is sufficient that $\tilde{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$ satisfies the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation with two noises

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial t}=\tilde{H}(P, Q)+\tilde{H}_{1}(P, Q) \dot{W}_{1}+\tilde{H}_{2}(P, Q) \dot{W}_{2} \tag{8.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q=q+\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial P}$. Suppose

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}^{1}(P, q, t) & =\tilde{S}_{1}(P, q, h) W_{1}(t)+\tilde{U}_{1}(P, q, h) W_{2}(t) \\
& +\tilde{S}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} \circ d W_{1}+\tilde{U}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{T}_{1}(P, q, h) t+\hat{S}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\hat{U}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{T}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} d s+\hat{T}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} d s \\
& +\check{S}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\check{U}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{S}_{3}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W_{1}+U_{3}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\check{T}_{2}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} d s+\tilde{T}_{3}(P, q, h) \int_{0}^{t} s d s \\
& +\ldots \tag{8.4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{1}}{\partial t} & =\tilde{T}_{1}+\tilde{T}_{2} W_{1}+\hat{T}_{2} W_{2}+\check{T}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{T}_{3} t+\tilde{T}_{4} t W_{1}+\hat{T}_{4} t W_{2} \\
& +\check{T}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{T}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots \\
& +\dot{W}_{1}\left(\tilde{S}_{1}+\tilde{S}_{2} W_{1}+\hat{S}_{2} W_{2}+\check{S}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{S}_{3} t+\tilde{S}_{4} t W_{1}+\hat{S}_{4} t W_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\check{S}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{S}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots\right) \\
& +\dot{W}_{2}\left(\tilde{U}_{1}+\tilde{U}_{2} W_{2}+\hat{U}_{2} W_{1}+\check{U}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{U}_{3} t+\tilde{U}_{4} t W_{2}+\hat{U}_{4} t W_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\check{U}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{U}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots\right) \tag{8.4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $\tilde{T}_{i}, \hat{T}_{i}, \check{T}_{i}, \tilde{S}_{i}, \hat{S}_{i}, \check{S}_{i}, \tilde{U}_{i}, \hat{U}_{i}$ and $\check{U}_{i}$ are evaluated at $(P, q, h)$.

As a consequence of (8.4.7) and (8.4.9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}(P, Q) & =\tilde{T}_{1}+\tilde{T}_{2} W_{1}+\hat{T}_{2} W_{2}+\check{T}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{T}_{3} t \\
& +\tilde{T}_{4} t W_{1}+\hat{T}_{4} t W_{2}+\check{T}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{T}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots  \tag{8.4.10}\\
\tilde{H}_{1}(P, Q) & =\tilde{S}_{1}+\tilde{S}_{2} W_{1}+\hat{S}_{2} W_{2}+\check{S}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{S}_{3} t \\
& +\tilde{S}_{4} t W_{1}+\hat{S}_{4} t W_{2}+\check{S}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{S}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots,  \tag{8.4.11}\\
\tilde{H}_{2}(P, Q) & =\tilde{U}_{1}+\tilde{U}_{2} W_{2}+\hat{U}_{2} W_{1}+\check{U}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{U}_{3} t \\
& +\tilde{U}_{4} t W_{2}+\hat{U}_{4} t W_{1}+\check{U}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{U}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots \tag{8.4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Expand $\tilde{H}(P, Q)$ at $(P, q)$ according to the formula of Wagner-Platen expansion in Stratonovich sense and the relation (8.4.3), and then let coefficients of terms of the same order of smallness on both sides of (8.4.10) be equal, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{T}_{1}=\tilde{H} \\
& \tilde{T}_{2}=\tilde{H}_{q} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}, \\
& \hat{T}_{2}=\tilde{H}_{q} \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}, \\
& \check{T}_{2}=\left(\tilde{H}_{q q} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{q} \tilde{H}_{1_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}+\left(\tilde{H}_{q q} \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{q} \tilde{H}_{2_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}, \\
& \tilde{T}_{3}=\tilde{H}_{q} \tilde{H}_{p}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{q q} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{q} \tilde{H}_{1_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{q q} \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{q} \tilde{H}_{2_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}, \tag{8.4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The same approach applied to (8.4.11) and (8.4.12) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{S}_{1}=\tilde{H}_{1} \\
& \tilde{S}_{2}=\tilde{H}_{1_{q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}, \\
& \hat{S}_{2}=\tilde{H}_{1_{q}} \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}, \\
& \check{S}_{2}=\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{q q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}+\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{q q}} \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{q}} \tilde{H}_{2_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}, \\
& \tilde{S}_{3}=\tilde{H}_{1_{q}} \tilde{H}_{p}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{q q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{q q}} \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{q}} \tilde{H}_{2_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}, \tag{8.4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{U}_{1} & =\tilde{H}_{2} \\
\tilde{U}_{2} & =\tilde{H}_{2_{q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}, \\
\hat{U}_{2} & =\tilde{H}_{2_{q}} \tilde{H}_{2 p}, \\
\tilde{U}_{2} & =\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{q q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}+\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{q q}} \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{q}} \tilde{H}_{2_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}, \\
\tilde{U}_{3} & =\tilde{H}_{2_{q}} \tilde{H}_{p}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{q q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{q}} \tilde{H}_{1_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{1_{p}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{q q}} \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{q}} \tilde{H}_{2_{p q}}\right) \tilde{H}_{2_{p}}, \tag{8.4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. As in the proof of Theorem 8.3, substitute (8.4.4)-(8.4.6) and (8.4.13)(8.4.15) into the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}^{1}(P, q, h)=\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, h) \tag{8.4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the two functions in this equation given in (8.4.8) and (8.4.2) respectively, and let coefficients of corresponding terms on both sides of (8.4.16) be equal, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{1} & =F_{1}, \\
H_{2} & =K_{1}, \\
\bar{H}_{2} & =F_{2}-H_{1_{q}} H_{1_{p}}, \\
\hat{H}_{2} & =K_{2}-H_{2_{q}} H_{1_{p}}, \\
H & =G_{1}, \\
\bar{H}_{3} & =\bar{F}_{2}-H_{1_{q}} H_{2_{p}}, \\
\hat{H}_{3} & =\bar{K}_{2}-H_{2_{q}} H_{2_{p}}, \\
H_{3} & =G_{2}-H_{q} H_{1_{p}}, \\
H_{4} & =\bar{G}_{2}-H_{q} H_{2_{p}}, \\
\bar{H}_{4} & =\tilde{F}_{2}-\bar{H}_{3_{q}} H_{1_{p}}-H_{1_{q}} \bar{H}_{3_{p}}-\bar{H}_{2_{q}} H_{2_{p}}-H_{1_{q}} \hat{H}_{3_{p}} \\
& -\left(H_{1_{q q}} H_{1_{p}}+H_{1_{q}} H_{1_{p q}}\right) H_{2_{p}}-\left(H_{1_{q q}} H_{2_{p}}+H_{1_{q}} H_{2_{p q}}\right) H_{1_{p}}, \\
\hat{H}_{4} & =\tilde{K}_{2}-H_{2_{q}} \bar{H}_{2_{p}}-\hat{H}_{3_{q}} H_{1_{p}}-\hat{H}_{2_{q}} H_{2_{p}}-H_{2_{q}} \hat{H}_{2_{p}} \\
& -\left(H_{2_{q q}} H_{1_{p}}+H_{2_{q}} H_{1_{p q}}\right) H_{2_{p}}-\left(H_{2_{q q}} H_{2_{p}}+H_{2_{q}} H_{2_{p q}}\right) H_{1_{p}}, \\
\bar{H}_{5} & =F_{3}-\bar{H}_{2_{q}} H_{1_{p}}-H_{1_{q}} \bar{H}_{2_{p}}-\bar{H}_{3_{q}} H_{2_{p}}-\hat{H}_{2_{p}} H_{1_{q}}-H_{1_{q}} H_{p} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1_{q q}} H_{1_{p}}+H_{1_{q}} H_{1_{p q}}\right) H_{1_{p}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1_{q q}} H_{2_{p}}+H_{1_{q}} H_{2_{p q}}\right) H_{2_{p}} \\
\hat{H}_{5} & =K_{3}-\hat{H}_{2_{q}} H_{1_{p}}-\bar{H}_{3_{p}} H_{2_{q}}-\hat{H}_{3_{q}} H_{2_{p}}-\hat{H}_{3_{p}} H_{2_{q}}-H_{2_{q}} H_{p} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{2_{q q}} H_{1_{p}}+H_{2_{q}} H_{1_{p q}}\right) H_{1_{p}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{2_{q q}} H_{2_{p}}+H_{2_{q}} H_{2_{p q}}\right) H_{2_{p}}, \\
H_{5} & =\tilde{G}_{2}-H_{4_{q}} H_{1_{p}}-\bar{H}_{3_{p}} H_{q}-H_{3_{q}} H_{2_{p}}-\hat{H}_{3_{p}} H_{q} \\
& -\left(H_{q q} H_{1_{p}}+H_{q} H_{1_{p q}}\right) H_{2_{p}}-\left(H_{q q} H_{2_{p}}+H_{q} H_{2_{p q}}\right) H_{1_{p}}, \\
H_{6} & =G_{3}-H_{3_{q}} H_{1_{p}}-\bar{H}_{2_{p}} H_{q}-H_{4_{q}} H_{2_{p}}-\hat{H}_{2_{p}} H_{q}-H_{q} H_{p} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{q q} H_{1_{p}}+H_{q} H_{1_{p q}}\right) H_{1_{p}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{q q} H_{2_{p}}+H_{q} H_{2_{p q}}\right) H_{2_{p}}, \\
\vdots, & \tag{8.4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

from which the Hamiltonians $\tilde{H}, \tilde{H}_{1}$ and $\tilde{H}_{2}$ in (8.4.4)-(8.4.6) are determined explicitly.
Example 8.5. For the model of synchrotron oscillation (3.1.22)-(3.1.23)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-\omega^{2} \sin (q) d t-\sigma_{1} \cos (q) \circ d W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \sin (q) \circ d W_{2}, & p(0)=p_{0} \\
d q & =p d t, & q(0)=q_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\omega^{2} \cos (q)+\frac{p^{2}}{2}, \quad H_{1}=\sigma_{1} \sin (q), \quad H_{2}=-\sigma_{2} \cos (q) . \tag{8.4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the symplectic method (6.1.43)-(6.1.44)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-h \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)-\sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2}, \\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h p_{n+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, h) & =F_{1} W_{1}(h)+K_{1} W_{2}(h)+F_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} \circ d W_{1}+K_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +G_{1} h+\bar{F}_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\bar{K}_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} \circ d W_{2} \tag{8.4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

via relation (5.1.9), where

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{1}=-\omega^{2} \cos (q)+\frac{p^{2}}{2}, \quad F_{1}=\sigma_{1} \sin (q), \quad F_{2}=\bar{F}_{2}=0  \tag{8.4.20}\\
& K_{1}=-\sigma_{2} \cos (q), \quad K_{2}=\bar{K}_{2}=0 \tag{8.4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (8.4.18) and (8.4.20)-(8.4.21) into (8.4.17), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}=\sigma_{1} \sin (q), \quad H_{2}=-\sigma_{2} \cos (q), \quad \bar{H}_{2}=0 \\
& \hat{H}_{2}=0, \quad H=-\omega^{2} \cos (q)+\frac{p^{2}}{2}, \quad \bar{H}_{3}=0 \\
& \hat{H}_{3}=0, \quad H_{3}=0, \quad H_{4}=0 \\
& \bar{H}_{4}=0, \quad \hat{H}_{4}=0, \quad \bar{H}_{5}=-\sigma_{1} p \cos (q) \\
& \hat{H}_{5}=-\sigma_{2} p \sin (q), \quad H_{5}=0, \quad H_{6}=-p \omega^{2} \sin (q) \tag{8.4.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H} & =-\omega^{2} \cos (q)+\frac{p^{2}}{2}-h \omega^{2} p \sin (q)+\ldots,  \tag{8.4.23}\\
\tilde{H}_{1} & =\sigma_{1} \sin (q)-h \sigma_{1} p \cos (q)+\ldots  \tag{8.4.24}\\
\tilde{H}_{2} & =-\sigma_{2} \cos (q)-h \sigma_{2} p \sin (q)+\ldots, \tag{8.4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

and the truncated modified equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
d y & =J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}(y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{1}(y) \circ d W_{1}(t)+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{2}(y) \circ d W_{2}(t) \\
& \approx\binom{h \omega^{2} p \cos (q)-\omega^{2} \sin (q)}{p-h \omega^{2} \sin (q)} d t+\binom{-\left(\sigma_{1} \cos (q)+h \sigma_{1} p \sin (q)\right)}{-h \sigma_{1} \cos (q)} \circ d W_{1} \\
& +\binom{h \sigma_{2} p \cos (q)-\sigma_{2} \sin (q)}{-h \sigma_{2} \sin (q)} \circ d W_{2} \tag{8.4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

which is also written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =\left(h \omega^{2} p \cos (q)-\omega^{2} \sin (q)\right) d t-\left(\sigma_{1} \cos (q)+h \sigma_{1} p \sin (q)\right) \circ d W_{1} \\
& +\left(h \sigma_{2} p \cos (q)-\sigma_{2} \sin (q)\right) \circ d W_{2}, \quad p(0)=p_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d q=\left(p-h \omega^{2} \sin (q)\right) d t-h \sigma_{1} \cos (q) \circ d W_{1}-h \sigma_{2} \sin (q) \circ d W_{2}, \quad q(0)=q_{0} \tag{8.4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In numerical tests in Chapter 9, we simulate the solution of (8.4.27)-(8.4.28) by its symplectic Euler-Maruyama discretization

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}+h^{2} \omega^{2} p_{n+1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right)-h \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)-\left(\sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right)+h \sigma_{1} p_{n+1} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1} \\
& +\left(h \sigma_{2} p_{n+1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right)-\sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2},  \tag{8.4.29}\\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h p_{n+1}-h^{2} \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)-h \sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1}-h \sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2} . \tag{8.4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

We realize it by fixed point iteration.
We can also use generating function $\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h)$ with two noises to construct modified equation of a symplectic method with two noises. Assume that the symplectic method $\Phi_{h}:(p, q) \mapsto(P, Q)$ is generated by $\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h)$ via relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16)

$$
P=p-\partial_{2}^{T} \bar{S}^{3}(u, v), \quad Q=q+\partial_{1}^{T} \bar{S}^{3}(u, v),
$$

where $u=\frac{P+p}{2}, v=\frac{Q+q}{2}$. Then we can find as in Theorem 8.5 the modified equation of $\Phi_{h}$, which is a stochastic Hamiltonian system, by using $\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h)$.

Theorem 8.6. Given a stochastic Hamiltonian system with two noises (8.4.1)

$$
d y=J^{-1} \nabla H(y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla H_{1}(y) \circ d W_{1}(t)+J^{-1} \nabla H_{2}(y) \circ d W_{2}(t),
$$

where $y=\binom{p}{q}$. Suppose that a symplectic method $\Phi_{h}$ has generating function

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h) & =F_{1}(u, v) W_{1}(h)+K_{1}(u, v) W_{2}(h) \\
& +F_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} \circ d W_{1}+K_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +G_{1}(u, v) h+\bar{F}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\bar{K}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +G_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} d s+\bar{G}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} d s \\
& +\tilde{F}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\tilde{K}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +F_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{1}+K_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{G}_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} W_{1} W_{2} d s+G_{3}(u, v) \int_{0}^{h} s d s \\
& +\ldots \tag{8.4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the modified equation of $\Phi_{h}$ is a stochastic Hamiltonian system with two noises

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y=J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}(y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{1}(y) \circ d W_{1}(t)+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{2}(y) \circ d W_{2}(t), \tag{8.4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}(p, q) & =H(p, q)+H_{3}(p, q) W_{1}+H_{4}(p, q) W_{2}+H_{5}(p, q) W_{1} W_{2}+H_{6}(p, q) h \\
& +H_{7}(p, q) h W_{1}+H_{8}(p, q) h W_{2}+H_{9}(p, q) h W_{1} W_{2}+H_{10}(p, q) h^{2}+\ldots, \\
\tilde{H}_{1}(p, q) & =H_{1}(p, q)+\bar{H}_{2}(p, q) W_{1}+\bar{H}_{3}(p, q) W_{2}+\bar{H}_{4}(p, q) W_{1} W_{2}+\bar{H}_{5}(p, q) h  \tag{8.4.33}\\
& +\bar{H}_{6}(p, q) h W_{1}+\bar{H}_{7}(p, q) h W_{2}+\bar{H}_{8}(p, q) h W_{1} W_{2}+\bar{H}_{9}(p, q) h^{2}+\ldots, \\
\tilde{H}_{2}(p, q) & =H_{2}(p, q)+\hat{H}_{2}(p, q) W_{2}+\hat{H}_{3}(p, q) W_{1}+\hat{H}_{4}(p, q) W_{1} W_{2}+\hat{H}_{5}(p, q) h  \tag{8.4.34}\\
& +\hat{H}_{6}(p, q) h W_{2}+\hat{H}_{7}(p, q) h W_{1}+\hat{H}_{8}(p, q) h W_{1} W_{2}+\hat{H}_{9}(p, q) h^{2}+\ldots . \tag{8.4.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that the phase trajectory of the stochastic Hamiltonian system (8.4.32) is generated by the function $\tilde{S}^{3}(u, v, t)$ via relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16). Thus according to Theorem 6.6, it is sufficient that $\tilde{S}^{3}(u, v, t)$ satisfies the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation with two noises:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{3}}{\partial t}=\tilde{H}(P, Q)+\tilde{H}_{1}(P, Q) \dot{W}_{1}+\tilde{H}_{2}(P, Q) \dot{W}_{2}, \quad \tilde{S}^{3}(u, v, 0)=0 \tag{8.4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P=u-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{3}}{\partial v}{ }^{T}, Q=v+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{3}}{\partial u}$. In order to solve the equation (8.4.36), let

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}^{3}(u, v, t) & =\tilde{S}_{1}(u, v, h) W_{1}(t)+\tilde{U}_{1}(u, v, h) W_{2}(t) \\
& +\tilde{S}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} \circ d W_{1}+\tilde{U}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{T}_{1}(u, v, h) t+\hat{S}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\hat{U}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{T}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} d s+\hat{T}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{2} d s \\
& +\check{S}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}+\check{U}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\tilde{S}_{3}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W_{1}+U_{3}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} s \circ d W_{2} \\
& +\check{T}_{2}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} W_{1} W_{2} d s+\tilde{T}_{3}(u, v, h) \int_{0}^{t} s d s \\
& +\ldots \tag{8.4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{S}^{3}}{\partial t} & =\tilde{T}_{1}+\tilde{T}_{2} W_{1}+\hat{T}_{2} W_{2}+\check{T}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{T}_{3} t+\tilde{T}_{4} t W_{1}+\hat{T}_{4} t W_{2} \\
& +\check{T}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{T}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots \\
& +\dot{W}_{1}\left(\tilde{S}_{1}+\tilde{S}_{2} W_{1}+\hat{S}_{2} W_{2}+\check{S}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{S}_{3} t+\tilde{S}_{4} t W_{1}+\hat{S}_{4} t W_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\check{S}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{S}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots\right) \\
& +\dot{W}_{2}\left(\tilde{U}_{1}+\tilde{U}_{2} W_{2}+\hat{U}_{2} W_{1}+\check{U}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{U}_{3} t+\tilde{U}_{4} t W_{2}+\hat{U}_{4} t W_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\check{U}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{U}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots\right) \tag{8.4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $\tilde{T}_{i}, \hat{T}_{i}, \check{T}_{i}, \tilde{S}_{i}, \hat{S}_{i}, \check{S}_{i}, \tilde{U}_{i}, \hat{U}_{i}$ and $\check{U}_{i}$ are evaluated at $(u, v, h)$.
(8.4.36) and (8.4.38) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}(P, Q) & =\tilde{T}_{1}+\tilde{T}_{2} W_{1}+\hat{T}_{2} W_{2}+\check{T}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{T}_{3} t \\
& +\tilde{T}_{4} t W_{1}+\hat{T}_{4} t W_{2}+\check{T}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{T}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots  \tag{8.4.39}\\
\tilde{H}_{1}(P, Q) & =\tilde{S}_{1}+\tilde{S}_{2} W_{1}+\hat{S}_{2} W_{2}+\check{S}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{S}_{3} t \\
& +\tilde{S}_{4} t W_{1}+\hat{S}_{4} t W_{2}+\check{S}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{S}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots  \tag{8.4.40}\\
\tilde{H}_{2}(P, Q) & =\tilde{U}_{1}+\tilde{U}_{2} W_{2}+\hat{U}_{2} W_{1}+\check{U}_{2} W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{U}_{3} t \\
& +\tilde{U}_{4} t W_{2}+\hat{U}_{4} t W_{1}+\check{U}_{4} t W_{1} W_{2}+\tilde{U}_{5} t^{2}+\ldots \tag{8.4.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Expand $\tilde{H}(P, Q)$ at $(u, v)$ according to the formula of Wagner-Platen expansion in Stratonovich sense and the relation (8.4.32), and then let coefficients of terms of the same order of smallness on both sides of (8.4.39) be equal, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{T}_{1} & =\tilde{H}, \\
\tilde{T}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\right), \\
\hat{T}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\right), \\
\check{T}_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{u u} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{v v} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}-\tilde{H}_{u v} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{2_{v v}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{u u} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{v v} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}-\tilde{H}_{u v} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{1_{v v}}\right)\right], \\
\tilde{T}_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{u u} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{v v} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\tilde{H}_{u v} \tilde{H}_{v_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{1_{v v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{u u} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}\right) \\
& \left.+\tilde{H}_{2_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{v v} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}-\tilde{H}_{u v} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{2_{v v}}\right)\right], \tag{8.4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

The same approach applied to $\tilde{H}_{1}(P, Q)$ and $\tilde{H}_{2}(P, Q)$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}_{1} & =\tilde{H}_{1}, \\
\tilde{S}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\right)=0, \\
\hat{S}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\right), \\
\check{S}_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{u u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{v v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v v}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{u u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{v v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v v}}\right)\right], \\
\tilde{S}_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{u u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{v v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\tilde{H}_{1_{u v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{u u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}\right) \\
& \left.+\tilde{H}_{2_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{v v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v v}}\right)\right], \tag{8.4.43}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{U}_{1} & =\tilde{H}_{2}, \\
\tilde{U}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\right), \\
\hat{U}_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\right)=0, \\
\check{U}_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{u u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{v v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v v}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{u u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{v v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v v}}\right)\right], \\
\tilde{U}_{3} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\tilde{H}_{2_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{u u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{1_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{v v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u v}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\tilde{H}_{2_{u v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v v}}\right)+\tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{u u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}+\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}\right) \\
& \left.+\tilde{H}_{2_{u}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{v v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}+\tilde{H}_{2-v} \tilde{H}_{2_{u v}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v v}}\right)\right], \tag{8.4.44}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively.
Substitute (8.4.42)-(8.4.44) and (8.4.33)-(8.4.35) into the relation

$$
\tilde{S}^{3}(u, v, h)=\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h),
$$

and compares coefficients of terms with the same order of smallness on both sides of the equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{1} & =F_{1} \\
H_{2} & =K_{1} \\
\bar{H}_{2} & =F_{2}, \\
\hat{H}_{2} & =K_{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{2_{v}} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{2_{u}} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\right), \\
H & =G_{1}, \\
\bar{H}_{3} & =\bar{F}_{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{1_{v}} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{1_{u}} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\right), \\
\hat{H}_{3} & =\bar{K}_{2}, \\
H_{3} & =G_{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{1_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{1_{v}}\right), \\
H_{4} & =\bar{G}_{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\tilde{H}_{v} \tilde{H}_{2_{u}}-\tilde{H}_{u} \tilde{H}_{2_{v}}\right), \\
\vdots, & \tag{8.4.45}
\end{align*}
$$

from which the Hamiltonians in (8.4.33)-(8.4.35) are determined explicitly.
Example 8.6. For the system with two additive noises (3.1.27)-(3.1.28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-q d t+\gamma \circ d W_{2}(t), & p(0) & =p_{0}, \\
d q & =p d t+\sigma \circ d W_{1}(t), & q(0) & =q_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right), \quad H_{1}=\sigma p, \quad H_{2}=-\gamma q .
$$

Its symplectic discretization (6.2.32)-(6.2.33)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1}+\frac{h}{2} q_{n+1} & =p_{n}-\frac{h}{2} q_{n}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2}, \\
-\frac{h}{2} p_{n+1}+q_{n+1} & =\frac{h}{2} p_{n}+q_{n}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

is generated by

$$
\bar{S}^{3}(u, v, h)=F_{1} W_{1}+K_{1} W_{2}+K_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} \circ d W_{2}+G_{1} h+\bar{F}_{2} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2} \circ d W_{1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{1}=\sigma u, \quad K_{1}=-\gamma v, \quad F_{2}=0, \quad K_{2}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma \gamma, \\
G_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right), \quad \bar{F}_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma \gamma, \quad \bar{K}_{2}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Thus, according to (8.4.45), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{1} & =\sigma u, \quad H_{2}=-\gamma v, \quad \bar{H}_{2}=0, \quad \hat{H}_{2}=0, \\
H & =\frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right), \quad \bar{H}_{3}=0, \quad \hat{H}_{3}=0 \\
H_{3} & =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma v, \quad H_{4}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma u, \quad \ldots \tag{8.4.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, we get the following truncated Hamiltonians

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}(u, v, h) & \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma v W_{1}(h)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma u W_{2}(h),  \tag{8.4.47}\\
\tilde{H}_{1}(u, v, h) & \approx \sigma u  \tag{8.4.48}\\
\tilde{H}_{2}(u, v, h) & \approx-\gamma v, \tag{8.4.49}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that the truncated modified equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
d y & =J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}(y) d t+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{1}(y) \circ d W_{1}+J^{-1} \nabla \tilde{H}_{2}(y) \circ d W_{2} \\
& \approx\binom{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} W_{1}(h)-q}{p-\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}} W_{2}(h)} d t+\binom{0}{\sigma} \circ d W_{1}+\binom{\gamma}{0} \circ d W_{2} . \tag{8.4.50}
\end{align*}
$$

With initial value $\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right),(8.4 .50)$ can also be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
d p=\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} W_{1}(h)-q\right) d t+\gamma \circ d W_{2}, & p(0)=p_{0}  \tag{8.4.51}\\
d q=\left(p-\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}} W_{2}(h)\right) d t+\sigma \circ d W_{1}, & q(0)=q_{0} \tag{8.4.52}
\end{align*}
$$

We simulate the modified equation (8.4.51)-(8.4.52) in Chapter 9 by its midpoint discretization

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1}+\frac{h}{2} q_{n+1} & =p_{n}-\frac{h}{2} q_{n}+\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2},  \tag{8.4.53}\\
-\frac{h}{2} p_{n+1}+q_{n+1} & =\frac{h}{2} P_{n}+q_{n}-\frac{h}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1} . \tag{8.4.54}
\end{align*}
$$

## Chapter 9

## Numerical Tests

In this chapter, numerical tests are performed on the schemes produced in the previous chapters via variational integrators and generating functions, in order to observe their effect of simulating stochastic Hamiltonian systems. As test systems the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8), the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)-(3.1.17), the model of synchrotron oscillations (3.1.22)-(3.1.23), and the system with two additive noises (3.1.27)-(3.1.28) are considered. Numerical tests are also performed to compare sample trajectories of some stochastic symplectic methods and their modified equations constructed via generating functions.

### 9.1 A Linear Stochastic Oscillator

For convenience, we write the equation of the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8) once again.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d y=-x d t+\sigma d W, & y(0)=0, \\
d x=y d t, &  \tag{9.1.2}\\
d(0)=1 .
\end{array}
$$

The scheme (5.3.27)-(5.3.28) generated by $\bar{S}^{1}(P, q, t)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h y_{n+1}, \\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}-h x_{n}+\sigma \Delta W_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

is the symplectic Euler-Maruyama method and of mean-square order of convergence 1 . We check by numerical tests its ability of preserving the linear growth property of the second moment (3.1.14) and the oscillation property of the oscillator given in Proposition 3.8.


Figure 1.1: Linear growth of second moment.


Figure 1.2: Oscillation property of $x$.


Figure 1.3: Linear growth of second moment.


Figure 1.4: Oscillation property of $x$.

Figure 1.1 shows linear growth of second moment of the numerical solution arising from scheme (5.3.27)-(5.3.28), where we take $\sigma=1, t \in[0,5000]$, and step size $h=0.2$. The second moment $\mathbb{E}\left(x_{n}^{2}+y_{n}^{2}\right)$ of the numerical solution is approximated by taking sample average of 500 sample trajectories produced by the numerical scheme. The reference line (dashed) has slope 1.
In Figure 1.2, the solid curve simulates the solution $x$ given in (3.1.13) with $x_{0}=1$ and $\sigma=0.1$. The step size in simulating the integral in solution (3.1.13) is $\Delta s=0.001$. The dotted curve is numerical $x$ created by scheme (5.3.27)-(5.3.28), where the step size is $h=0.1$, and $t \in[0,100]$.

Consider the scheme (5.3.32)-(5.3.33) with mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$ produced by $\bar{S}^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n} & =y_{n+1}+h x_{n}-\sigma \Delta W_{n}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} y_{n+1}, \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h y_{n+1}-\sigma \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+\frac{h^{2}}{2} x_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The linear growth property of the second moment of the numerical solution given by the scheme (5.3.32)-(5.3.33) is illustrated in Figure 1.3, and its oscillation property in Figure 1.4. The solid curve in Figure 1.3 and the dotted one in Figure 1.4 are from the numerical solution, as in Figure 1.1 and 1.2. The data for Figure 1.3 and 1.4 are the same as that for Figure 1.1 and 1.2 respectively.

The scheme (5.4.22)-(5.4.23) is the midpoint rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+h \frac{y_{n}+y_{n+1}}{2} \\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}-h \frac{x_{n}+x_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is generated by $\bar{S}^{3}$, and also by the variational integrator as given in Example 4.2. This method is of mean-square order 1 according to the construction of $\bar{S}^{3}$.


Figure 1.5: Linear growth of second moment.


Figure 1.6: Oscillation property of $x$.

Data and settings for Figure 1.5 and 1.6 are the same as that for Figure 1.3 and 1.4 respectively.

Scheme (5.4.25)-(5.4.26)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h \frac{y_{n}+y_{n+1}}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W+\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W d s, \\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}-h \frac{x_{n}+x_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

is produced by $\bar{S}^{3}$ with mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$.


Figure 1.7: Linear growth of second moment.


Figure 1.8: Oscillation property of $x$.

Data and settings for Figure 1.7 and 1.8 are the same as that for Figure 1.5 and 1.6 respectively.

### 9.2 Kubo Oscillator

For the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)-(3.1.17) with given initial values

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-a q d t-\sigma q \circ d W(t), & p(0) & =1, \\
d q & =a p d t+\sigma p \circ d W(t), & & q(0)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\bar{S}^{1}$ generates the scheme (5.3.42)-(5.3.43)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-a h q_{n}-\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{2} p_{n+1}-\sigma q_{n} \Delta W_{n} \\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+a h p_{n+1}+\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{2} q_{n}+\sigma p_{n+1} \Delta W_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of mean-square order 1, and also given by Milstein et. al. in [29].
A method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$ generated by $\bar{S}^{1}$ is scheme (5.3.46)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(a h+\frac{h^{2}}{2} a \sigma^{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n}+\sigma^{3} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W\right) p_{n+1}+q_{n+1}=\left(1+\frac{h \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{h^{2} a^{2}}{2}+a \sigma h \Delta W_{n}\right) q_{n}, \\
& \left(1+\frac{h \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{h^{2} a^{2}}{2}+a \sigma h \Delta W_{n}\right) p_{n+1}=p_{n}-\left(a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}\right) q_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As indicated by (3.1.21), the phase trajectory of Kubo oscillator is a circle centered at the origin and with radius $\sqrt{p_{0}^{2}+q_{0}^{2}}$, which is 1 in our discussion here. Figure 2.1 and 2.2 below show the phase trajectories of the numerical solutions arising from scheme (5.3.42)-(5.3.43) and (5.3.46) respectively.


Figure 2.1: Phase trajectory of (5.3.42)-(5.3.43).


Figure 2.2: Phase trajectory of (5.3.46).
It is clear that the method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$ simulates phase trajectory better than that of order 1 . We take $a=2, \sigma=0.3, t \in[0,200]$, and step size $h=0.02$ in the two tests above, where $\Delta W_{n}$ in the schemes are truncated according to (3.2.13) with $k=2$.

Applying generating function $\bar{S}^{3}$ to the Kubo oscillator, we obtain scheme (5.4.31)-(5.4.32)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-a h \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}-\sigma \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2} \Delta W_{n}, \\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+a h \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2} \Delta W_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is also given by Milstein et. al. in [29], and of mean-square order 1.
A method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$ produced by $\bar{S}^{3}$ is scheme (5.4.34)-(5.4.35)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-\left(a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}+\frac{a h^{2} \sigma^{2}}{4}+\frac{\sigma^{3}}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W\right) \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}, \\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+\left(a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}-\frac{a h^{2} \sigma^{2}}{4}-\frac{\sigma^{3}}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W\right) \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The phase trajectories arising from (5.4.31)-(5.4.32) and (5.4.34)-(5.4.35) are shown in Figure 2.3 and 2.4 respectively.


Figure 2.3: Phase trajectory of (5.4.31)-(5.4.32).


Figure 2.4: Phase trajectory of (5.4.34)-(5.4.35).

Both of the two schemes reproduce phase trajectory of the Kubo oscillator with high accuracy. The advantage of applying $\bar{S}^{3}$ instead of $\bar{S}^{1}$ to the simulation of Kubo oscillator is clear.

### 9.3 A Model of Synchrotron Oscillations

For the model of synchrotron oscillations (3.1.22)-(3.1.23)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-\omega^{2} \sin (q) d t-\sigma_{1} \cos (q) \circ d W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \sin (q) \circ d W_{2}, \\
d q & =p d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

Milstein et. al. proposed in [29] the symplectic scheme

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-h \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n+1}\right)-\sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n+1}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n+1}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2},  \tag{9.3.1}\\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h p_{n}, \tag{9.3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

which is of mean-square order 1 . It is also shown that this scheme simulates the sample trajectory of the model with high accuracy. In the following tests, we use the sample trajectory created by it as reference.
Applying $\bar{S}^{1}$, we have constructed scheme (6.1.43)-(6.1.44)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-h \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)-\sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2}, \\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h p_{n+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the adjoint method of (9.3.1)-(9.3.2), and of mean-square order 1 .
The scheme (6.1.49)-(6.1.50)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-h \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{2} \omega^{2} p_{n+1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right)-\sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1} \\
& +\sigma_{1} p_{n+1} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{1}(s)-\sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2} \\
& -\sigma_{2} p_{n+1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}(s), \\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h p_{n+1}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)+\sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{1}(s) \\
& +\sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

generated by $\bar{S}^{1}$ is of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$. Figure 3.1 and 3.2 below illustrate comparison between sample trajectory of the approximated solution and that of numerical solution created by method (6.1.43)-(6.1.44) and (6.1.49)-(6.1.50) respectively.


Figure 3.1: Sample trajectory of (6.1.43)-(6.1.44).


Figure 3.2: Sample trajectory of (6.1.49)-(6.1.50).


Figure 3.3: Sample trajectory of (6.2.27)-(6.2.28).
Solid curves in Figure 3.1 and 3.2 are sample trajectories created by method (6.1.43)(6.1.44) and (6.1.49)-(6.1.50), dash-dotted ones are produced by (9.3.1)-(9.3.2) as reference. They coincide visually in both figures above. We take $\sigma_{1}=0.2, \sigma_{2}=0.1, \omega=2$, $t \in[0,100]$ and step size $h=0.02 . \Delta W_{n}$ is truncated according to (3.2.13) with $k=2$.
$\bar{S}^{3}$ generates the scheme (6.2.27)-(6.2.28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-\sin \left(\frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2} h+\sigma_{2} \Delta_{n} W_{2}\right)-\cos \left(\frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}\right) \sigma_{1} \Delta_{n} W_{1}, \\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the model system, which is of mean-square order 1. A sample trajectory produced by it is shown in Figure 3.3. Data and settings for Figure 3.3 coincide with those for Figure 3.1 and 3.2. Note that the scheme (6.2.27)-(6.2.28) is implicit. Substituting (6.2.28) into (6.2.27), we then applied fixed point iteration to solving $p_{n+1}$, the convergence of which requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
h<\frac{4}{\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|c_{2}\right|}, \tag{9.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=h \omega^{2}+\sigma_{2} \Delta_{n} W_{2}, \quad c_{2}=\sigma_{1} \Delta_{n} W_{1} \tag{9.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we make truncation on $\Delta_{n} W_{1}$ and $\Delta_{n} W_{2}$, and to check whether (9.3.3) is satisfied. If not, let $\left|c_{1}\right|+\left|c_{2}\right|=\frac{4}{n h}$, where $n$ is times of fixed point iteration.

### 9.4 A System with Two Additive Noises

For the system with two additive noises (3.1.27)-(3.1.28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-q d t+\gamma \circ d W_{2}(t), \\
d q & =p d t+\sigma \circ d W_{1}(t),
\end{aligned} \quad q(0)=0,
$$

we have constructed generating function $\bar{S}^{1}$, which is given in (6.1.54) and generates via relation (5.1.9) the symplectic Euler-Maruyama method (6.1.51)-(6.1.52)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-h q_{n}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2}, \\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h p_{n+1}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This method is of mean-square order 1. Figure 4.1 illustrates the oscillation of the numerical $q$ produced by (6.1.51)-(6.1.52) and the exact $q$ given by (3.1.29). The method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$, i.e., the scheme (6.1.57)-(6.1.58)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2}-\sigma \int_{0}^{h} W_{1}(s) d s-q_{n} h-\frac{h^{2}}{2} p_{n+1} \\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\gamma \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}(s)+p_{n+1} h+\frac{h^{2}}{2} q_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

is generated by the function $\bar{S}^{1}$ in (6.1.56). Figure 4.2 shows oscillation of numerical $q$ produced by (6.1.57)-(6.1.58), and exact $q$ by solution formula (3.1.29).


Figure 4.1: Sample trajectory of (6.1.51)-(6.1.52).


Figure 4.2: Sample trajectory of (6.1.57)-(6.1.58).

In Figures 4.1 and 4.2, exact $q$ are presented by solid line, and numerical ones by dashdotted line. They coincide visually in both figures. Data for the two tests are $\gamma=1$, $\sigma=0, t \in[0,200]$, and the step size is $h=0.02$.

The generating function $\bar{S}^{3}$ in (6.2.31) generates via relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) the numerical scheme (6.2.32)-(6.2.33)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1}+\frac{h}{2} q_{n+1} & =p_{n}-\frac{h}{2} q_{n}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2}, \\
-\frac{h}{2} p_{n+1}+q_{n+1} & =\frac{h}{2} p_{n}+q_{n}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of mean-square order 1 . Figure 4.3 compares behavior of $q$ created by the numerical method (6.2.32)-(6.2.33) and the exact solution formula (3.1.29).
A method of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$ is given in (6.2.36)-(6.2.37)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1}+\frac{h}{2} q_{n+1} & =p_{n}-\frac{h}{2} q_{n}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2}-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W_{1}(s) d s+\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{1}(s), \\
-\frac{h}{2} p_{n+1}+q_{n+1} & =\frac{h}{2} p_{n}+q_{n}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1}+\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{h} W_{2}(s) d s-\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W_{2}(s),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is generated by the generating function $\bar{S}^{3}$ in (6.2.35). We show in Figure 4.4 the oscillation of the numerical $q$ produced by (6.2.36)-(6.2.37) and that of the exact $q$ given by (3.1.29).


In Figures 4.3 and 4.4, the solid curves are the exact $q$, and the dash-dotted curves the numerical one. We see no difference between them in both figures, which shows good behavior of the numerical solutions produced by (6.2.32)-(6.2.33) and (6.2.36)-(6.2.37). Data for creating the two figures are same with that for Figures 4.1 and 4.2.

### 9.5 Modified Equations

Modified equations can be constructed by applying generating function of a symplectic method, which is studied in Chapter 8. We illustrate through numerical tests the trajectories of numerical solutions and their modified equations constructed by generating functions.

Example 9.1. Consider the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d y=-x d t+\sigma \circ d W(t), & \\
d(0)=y_{0} \\
d x=y d t, & \\
x(0)=x_{0}
\end{array}
$$

For the symplectic Euler-Maruyama method (5.3.27)-(5.3.28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}+h y_{n+1}, \\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n}-h x_{n}+\sigma \Delta W_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 8.1 gives its modified equation (8.2.26)-(8.2.27)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d y=(h y-x) d t+\sigma \circ d W(t), \quad y(0)=y_{0}, \\
& d x=(y-h x) d t+\sigma h \circ d W(t), \quad x(0)=x_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is obtained through using generating function $\bar{S}^{1}$ in (8.2.20) with (8.2.21) for the method (5.3.27)-(5.3.28). In order to simulate the solution of the modified equation, we
use its symplectic Euler-Maruyama discretization (8.2.30)

$$
\binom{x_{n+1}}{y_{n+1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{-h^{4}+3 h^{2}-1}{h^{2}-1} & \frac{-h}{h^{2}-1} \\
\frac{h}{h^{2}-1} & \frac{-1}{h^{2}-1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{n}}{y_{n}}+\binom{\frac{\sigma h^{3}-2 \sigma h}{h^{2}-1}}{\frac{-\sigma}{h^{2}-1}} \Delta W_{n} .
$$

Figure 5.1 shows oscillation of $x$ of the exact solution, the method (5.3.27)-(5.3.28), and the modified equation (8.2.26)-(8.2.27), which are presented with solid, dashed, and broken curves respectively.


Fig. 5.1: Sample trajectories of exact solution of (3.1.7)-(3.1.8), method (5.3.27)-(5.3.28) and its modified equation (8.2.26)-(8.2.27).

Fig. 5.2: Sample trajectories of exact solution of (3.1.7)-(3.1.8), method (5.4.22)-(5.4.23) and its modified equation (8.3.24)-(8.3.25).
We can see from Figure 5.1 that the sample trajectory of the method (5.3.27)-(5.3.28) coincides visually with the approximated sample trajectory of its modified equation (8.2.26)(8.2.27).
$\bar{S}^{3}$ in (8.3.18) with (8.3.19) generates via relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) the midpoint rule (5.4.22)-(5.4.23)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+1}=x_{n}+h \frac{y_{n}+y_{n+1}}{2} \\
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}-h \frac{x_{n}+x_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the linear stochastic oscillator (3.1.7)-(3.1.8). The truncated modified equation of the method (5.4.22)-(5.4.23) is constructed by applying $\bar{S}^{3}$ in (8.3.18) with (8.3.19), which is given in (8.3.24)-(8.3.25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d y & =-x d t+\sigma \circ d W \\
d x & =\left(y+\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} W(h)\right) d t+\frac{\sigma}{2} h \circ d W
\end{aligned}
$$

sample trajectory of which is simulated by its midpoint discretization (8.3.26)-(8.3.27). Figure 5.2 compares oscillation of $x$ of exact solution, numerical method (5.4.22)-(5.4.23),
and its truncated modified equation (8.3.24)-(8.3.25), which are presented with solid, dashed, and broken curves respectively. Trajectory of the method coincides with that of its modified equation. Data for creating Figures 5.1 and 5.2 are $x_{0}=1, y_{0}=0, \sigma=0.1$, $t \in[0,100]$, and the step size is $h=0.1$.

Example 9.2. For the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)-(3.1.17)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-a q d t-\sigma q \circ d W(t), & & p(0)=p_{0}, \\
d q & =a p d t+\sigma p \circ d W(t), & & q(0)=q_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

$\bar{S}^{1}$ in (5.3.45) generates via relation (5.1.9) the symplectic scheme (5.3.46)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(a h+\frac{h^{2}}{2} a \sigma^{2}+\sigma \Delta W_{n}+\sigma^{3} \int_{0}^{h} s \circ d W\right) p_{n+1}+q_{n+1}=\left(1+\frac{h \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{h^{2} a^{2}}{2}+a \sigma h \Delta W_{n}\right) q_{n}, \\
& \left(1+\frac{h \sigma^{2}}{2}+\frac{h^{2} a^{2}}{2}+a \sigma h \Delta W_{n}\right) p_{n+1}=p_{n}-\left(a h+\sigma \Delta W_{n}\right) q_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of mean-square order $\frac{3}{2}$. Its truncated modified equation is deduced based on $\bar{S}^{1}$, and given in (8.2.36)-(8.2.37)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-a q d t+\left(\frac{h}{2} \sigma^{3} p^{2}-\sigma q\right) \circ d W, & & p(0)=p_{0} \\
d q & =a p d t+\left(\sigma p-h \sigma^{3} p q\right) \circ d W, & & q(0)=q_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 5.3 contributes to comparison between sample trajectory of the numerical method (5.3.46) and its truncated modified equation (8.2.36)-(8.2.37), where we use the symplectic Euler-Maruyama discretization (8.2.38)-(8.2.39) to simulate the sample trajectory of (8.2.36)-(8.2.37). Fixed point iteration is performed in realizing (8.2.38)-(8.2.39). Dashed and solid curves represent trajectory of method and its modified equation respectively. It is found that the sample trajectories coincide very well.
Figures 5.4 and 5.5 are approximated phase trajectories of the method (5.3.46) and its modified equation (8.2.36)-(8.2.37), respectively. Data for Figures 5.3-5.5 are $p_{0}=1$, $q_{0}=0, a=2, \sigma=0.3, t \in[0,100]$, and the step size is $h=0.01$. Number of iterations in each step in realizing (8.2.38)-(8.2.39) is $n=100$, and $\Delta W_{n}$ is truncated according to (3.2.13) with $k=2$.


Figure 5.3: Sample trajectories of method (5.3.46) and its modified equation (8.2.36)-(8.2.37).


Figure 5.4: Phase trajectory of method (5.3.46).


Figure 5.5: Phase trajectory of modified equation (8.2.26)-(8.2.27).
$\bar{S}^{3}$ in (8.3.30) with (8.3.31) generates via relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) the midpoint rule (5.4.31)-(5.4.32)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{n+1}=p_{n}-a h \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2}-\sigma \frac{q_{n}+q_{n+1}}{2} \Delta W_{n}, \\
& q_{n+1}=q_{n}+a h \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2}+\sigma \frac{p_{n}+p_{n+1}}{2} \Delta W_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the Kubo oscillator (3.1.16)-(3.1.17). Its truncated modified equation is (8.3.36)(8.3.37)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d p=\left(\frac{h a \sigma^{2} q}{2}-a q\right) d t+\left(\frac{h \sigma^{3} q}{2}-\sigma q\right) \circ d W, & p(0)=p_{0}, \\
d q=\left(a p+\frac{h a \sigma^{2} p}{2}\right) d t+\left(\sigma p+\frac{h \sigma^{3} p}{2}\right) \circ d W, & q(0)=q_{0},
\end{array}
$$

which we use midpoint discretization (8.3.38) to simulate. Figure 5.6 gives a sample trajectory of method (5.4.31)-(5.4.32) (solid), and its modified equation (8.3.36)-(8.3.37) (dashed).
Figures 5.7 and 5.8 are phase trajectories of the method (5.4.31)-(5.4.32) and its modified equation (8.3.36)-(8.3.37), respectively. The two seem to have little difference. Data for Figure 5.6 are $p_{0}=1, q_{0}=0, a=2, \sigma=0.3, t \in[0,100]$, and the step size is $h=0.1$. Truncation of $\Delta W_{n}$ is the same with that for creating Figures 5.3-5.5. For Figures 5.7 and 5.8 , we choose $t \in[0,200]$, and the other data are the same with that for Figure 5.6.


Fig. 5.6: Sample trajectories of method (5.4.31)-(5.4.32) and its modified equation (8.3.36)-(8.3.37).


Figure 5.7: Phase trajectory of method (5.4.31)-(5.4.32).


Figure 5.8: Phase trajectory of modified equation (8.3.36)-(8.3.37).
Example 9.3. The method with two noises (6.1.43)-(6.1.44)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1} & =p_{n}-h \omega^{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right)-\sigma_{1} \cos \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \sin \left(q_{n}\right) \Delta_{n} W_{2}, \\
q_{n+1} & =q_{n}+h p_{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a symplectic discretization of the model of synchrotron oscillations (3.1.22)-(3.1.23)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d p & =-\omega^{2} \sin (q) d t-\sigma_{1} \cos (q) \circ d W_{1}-\sigma_{2} \sin (q) \circ d W_{2}, \\
d q & =p d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\bar{S}^{1}$ in (6.1.42) generates via relation (5.1.9) the numerical scheme (6.1.43)-(6.1.44). It is derived in Chapter 8 that the truncated modified equation of method (6.1.43)-(6.1.44) is (8.4.27)-(8.4.28)

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
d p & =\left(h \omega^{2} p \cos (q)-\omega^{2} \sin (q)\right) d t-\left(\sigma_{1} \cos (q)+h \sigma_{1} p \sin (q)\right) \circ d W_{1} & & \\
& +\left(h \sigma_{2} p \cos (q)-\sigma_{2} \sin (q)\right) \circ d W_{2}, & & p(0)=p_{0} \\
d q & =\left(p-h \omega^{2} \sin (q)\right) d t-h \sigma_{1} \cos (q) \circ d W_{1}-h \sigma_{2} \sin (q) \circ d W_{2}, & q(0)=q_{0}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 5.9 shows sample trajectories of method (6.1.43)-(6.1.44) (dashed) and its modified equation (8.4.27)-(8.4.28) (solid) which is simulated by its symplectic Euler-Maruyama discretization (8.4.29)-(8.4.30). We use fixed point iteration to realize (8.4.29)-(8.4.30). Data for Figure 5.9 is $p_{0}=1, q_{0}=0, \sigma_{1}=0.2, \sigma_{2}=0.1, \omega=2, t \in[0,100]$, and the step size is $h=0.05$. Number of iterations in each step in realizing (8.4.29)-(8.4.30) is $n=100$, and $\Delta W_{n}$ is truncated according to (3.2.13) with $k=2$.


Fig. 5.9: Sample trajectories of method (6.1.43)-(6.1.44) and its modified equation (8.4.27)-(8.4.28).


Fig. 5.10: Sample trajectories of exact solution (3.1.29), method (6.2.32)-(6.2.33) and its modified equation (8.4.51)-(8.4.52).

Example 9.4. For the system with two additive noises (3.1.27)-(3.1.28)

$$
\begin{aligned}
d q & =p d t+\sigma \circ d W_{1}(t), & & q(0)=q_{0}, \\
d p & =-q d t+\gamma \circ d W_{2}(t), & & p(0)=p_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

generating function $\bar{S}^{3}$ in (6.2.31) generates via relation (5.1.15)-(5.1.16) the numerical scheme (6.2.32)-(6.2.33)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n+1}+\frac{h}{2} q_{n+1} & =p_{n}-\frac{h}{2} q_{n}+\gamma \Delta_{n} W_{2}, \\
-\frac{h}{2} p_{n+1}+q_{n+1} & =\frac{h}{2} p_{n}+q_{n}+\sigma \Delta_{n} W_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Its truncated modified equation is derived as (8.4.51)-(8.4.52)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d p=\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2}} W_{1}(h)-q\right) d t+\gamma \circ d W_{2}, & p(0)=p_{0} \\
d q=\left(p-\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}} W_{2}(h)\right) d t+\sigma \circ d W_{1}, & q(0)=q_{0}
\end{array}
$$

We use its midpoint discretization (8.4.53)-(8.4.54) to simulate (8.4.51)-(8.4.52). In Figure 5.10, sample trajectories of the exact solution (3.1.29) (solid), method (6.2.32)-(6.2.33) (dashed) and its modified equation (8.4.51)-(8.4.52) (broken) are sketched for comparison. They coincide visually. Data for Figure 5.10 are $p_{0}=q_{0}=0, \sigma=0, \gamma=1, t \in[0,200]$, and the step size is $h=0.02$.

Conclusion. Through numerical tests on schemes produced by variational integrator and generating functions, we find that these schemes are efficient and provide numerical solutions preserving symplecticity, as well as other structures of underlying stochastic

Hamiltonian systems. Sample trajectories of methods and their stochastic modified equations coincide well, which shows rationality of the construction of stochastic modified equations via generating functions. All these give support to our theories of stochastic variational integrators and generating functions.
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