



## On Isolated Points of the Spectrum of a Bounded Linear Operator

Christoph Schmoeger

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 117, No. 3. (Mar., 1993), pp. 715-719.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28199303%29117%3A3%3C715%3AOIPOTS%3E2.0.CO%3B2-8>

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

---

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

<http://www.jstor.org/>  
Mon May 15 09:44:04 2006

## ON ISOLATED POINTS OF THE SPECTRUM OF A BOUNDED LINEAR OPERATOR

CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

**ABSTRACT.** For a bounded linear operator  $A$  on a Banach space we characterize the isolated points in the spectrum of  $A$ , the Riesz points of  $A$ , and the poles of the resolvent of  $A$ .

### 1. TERMINOLOGY AND INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $E$  will be an infinite-dimensional complex Banach space and  $A$  will be a bounded linear operator on  $E$ . We denote by  $N(A)$  the kernel and by  $A(E)$  the range of  $A$ . The spectrum of  $A$  will be denoted by  $\sigma(A)$ . The resolvent set  $\rho(A)$  of  $A$  is the complement of  $\sigma(A)$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . For any  $\lambda$  in  $\rho(A)$  the resolvent operator  $(\lambda I - A)^{-1}$  is denoted by  $R_\lambda(A)$ .

Let  $\lambda_0$  be an isolated point in  $\sigma(A)$ . The spectral projection corresponding to  $\lambda_0$  will be denoted by  $P_{\lambda_0}$ . We have  $E = P_{\lambda_0}(E) \oplus N(P_{\lambda_0})$ .

In [3] Mbekhta introduced two important subspaces of  $E$ :

$$K(A) = \{x \in E : \text{there exist } c > 0 \text{ and a sequence } (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq E \\ \text{such that } Ax_1 = x, Ax_{n+1} = x_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \text{and } \|x_n\| \leq c^n \|x\| \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$$H_0(A) = \left\{x \in E : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^n x\|^{1/n} = 0\right\}$$

and proved the following

**Theorem 1.** *A point  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A)$  is isolated in  $\sigma(A)$  if and only if there is a bounded projection  $P$  on  $E$  such that*

$$P(E) = H_0(\lambda_0 I - A) \quad \text{and} \quad N(P) = K(\lambda_0 I - A).$$

In the present paper we shall prove that  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A)$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(A)$  if and only if  $K(\lambda_0 I - A)$  is closed and  $E = K(\lambda_0 I - A) \oplus H_0(\lambda_0 I - A)$  (where  $\oplus$  denotes the algebraically direct sum). This characterization leads to

---

Received by the editors March 4, 1991 and, in revised form, June 24, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A10; Secondary 47B06.

*Key words and phrases.* Local spectral theory, isolated points of the spectrum, Riesz points.

©1993 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/93 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

a characterization of the poles of the resolvent of  $A$  and to a characterization of the Riesz points of  $A$ . This will be done in §3 of this paper.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The operator  $A$  is said to have the *single-valued extension property* (SVEP) in  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  if for any holomorphic function  $f: U \rightarrow E$ , where  $U$  is a neighbourhood of  $\lambda_0$ , with  $(\lambda I - A)f(\lambda) \equiv 0$ , the result is  $f(\lambda) \equiv 0$ . We say that  $A$  has the SVEP if  $A$  has the SVEP in each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The following theorem collects some results due to Mbekhta (see [4]).

**Theorem 2.** (a)  $A(K(A)) = K(A)$  and  $A(H_0(A)) \subseteq H_0(A)$ ;  
 (b)  $A$  has the SVEP in  $\lambda_0$  if  $H_0(\lambda_0 I - A)$  is closed;  
 (c)  $A$  has the SVEP in  $\lambda_0$  if and only if  $K(\lambda_0 I - A) \cap H_0(\lambda_0 I - A) = \{0\}$ .

The proof of the next result is immediate.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $x \in H_0(A)$  and define the function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  by

$$g(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^n x}{\lambda^{n+1}}.$$

Then  $g$  is holomorphic and  $(\lambda I - A)g(\lambda) = x$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $F$  be a closed subspace of  $E$  such that  $A(F) = F$ . Then  $F \subseteq K(A)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is a Banach space and  $A(F) = F$ , the open mapping theorem shows the existence of a constant  $c > 0$  so that

$$(2.1) \quad \text{for each } u \in F \text{ there exists } v \in F \text{ such that} \\ Av = u \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\| \leq c\|u\|.$$

Let  $x \in F$ . Use (2.1) to construct a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq F$  such that  $Ax_1 = x$ ,  $Ax_{n+1} = x_n$ , and  $\|x_n\| \leq c^n \|x\|$ . It follows that  $x \in K(A)$ .  $\square$

Let us review the classical definitions of ascent and descent. The *ascent*  $p(A)$  and the *descent*  $q(A)$  are the extended integers given by

$$p(A) = \inf\{n \geq 0 : N(A^n) = N(A^{n+1})\}, \\ q(A) = \inf\{n \geq 0 : A^n(E) = A^{n+1}(E)\}.$$

The infimum over the empty set is taken to be  $\infty$ . It follows from [2, Satz 72.3] that if  $p(A)$  and  $q(A)$  are both finite then they are equal.

We have the following characterization of the poles of the resolvent of  $A$  (see [2, Satz 101.2]):

**Theorem 3.** The complex number  $\lambda_0$  is a pole of  $R_\lambda(A)$  if and only if  $0 < p(\lambda_0 I - A) = q(\lambda_0 I - A) < \infty$ . In this case we have

$$P_{\lambda_0}(E) = N((\lambda_0 I - A)^p) \quad \text{and} \quad N(P_{\lambda_0}) = (\lambda_0 I - A)^p(E),$$

where  $p = p(\lambda_0 I - A)$  is the order of the pole  $\lambda_0$ .

The next proposition is a generalization of [1, Theorem 2].

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose that  $A$  has the SVEP in  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and  $q(A) < \infty$ . Then  $p(A) = q(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q = q(A)$ ,  $B = A^q$ , and  $\widehat{E} = E/N(B)$ . Since  $N(B)$  is closed,  $\widehat{E}$  is a Banach space. Let  $\widehat{B}: \widehat{E} \rightarrow E$  be the corresponding canonical injection. It is easy to see that the operator  $\widehat{B}^{-1}: A^q(E) \rightarrow \widehat{E}$  is closed, thus  $A^q(E)$  is the domain of a closed linear operator. Since  $A(A^q(E)) = A^q(E)$  and  $A$  has the SVEP in 0, [1, Corollary 4] shows that  $N(A) \cap A^q(E) = \{0\}$ . Use [2, Satz 72.1] to derive  $p(A) < \infty$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) 0 is a pole of  $R_\lambda(A)$ ;
- (b)  $A$  has the SVEP in 0 and  $q(A) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* (a) implies (b). Since 0 is isolated in  $\sigma(A)$ ,  $A$  has the SVEP in 0. Theorem 3 shows that  $q(A) < \infty$ .

(b) implies (a). Proposition 3 and Theorem 3.  $\square$

### 3. ISOLATED POINTS OF THE SPECTRUM

The starting point of our investigation is

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose that 0 is an isolated point in  $\sigma(A)$ . Then*

- (a)  $P_0(E) = H_0(A)$ ;
- (b)  $N(P_0) = K(A)$ .

*Proof.* (a) follows from [2, Satz 100.2].

(b) Since 0 is isolated in  $\sigma(A)$ ,  $\sigma(A|_{P_0(E)}) = \{0\}$  and  $0 \in \varrho(A|_{N(P_0)})$  [2, Satz 100.1]. Then  $N(P_0)$  is closed and  $A(N(P_0)) = N(P_0)$ . Hence, by Proposition 2,  $N(P_0) \subseteq K(A)$ . By Theorem 2(c),  $K(A) \cap H_0(A) = \{0\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} K(A) &= K(A) \cap E = K(A) \cap [N(P_0) \oplus P_0(E)] \\ &= N(P_0) + K(A) \cap H_0(A) = N(P_0). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.** *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) 0 is an isolated point in  $\sigma(A)$ ;
- (b)  $K(A)$  is closed and  $E = K(A) \oplus H_0(A)$  ( $\oplus$  denotes the algebraically direct sum).

*Proof.* (a) implies (b). Use Proposition 4 or Theorem 1.

(b) implies (a). Since  $K(A)$  is closed,  $A(K(A)) = K(A)$  (Theorem 2(a)), and  $N(A) \subseteq H_0(A)$ , the operator  $A: K(A) \rightarrow K(A)$  is invertible. Hence there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\lambda I - A|_{K(A)}$  is invertible if  $|\lambda| < \varepsilon$ . In particular,

$$(3.1) \quad (\lambda I - A)(K(A)) = K(A) \quad \text{if } |\lambda| < \varepsilon.$$

Since for all  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $N(\lambda I - A) \subseteq K(A)$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad N(\lambda I - A) = \{0\} \quad \text{if } 0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon.$$

By Proposition 1, for all  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad H_0(A) \subseteq (\lambda I - A)(E).$$

Now, (3.1) and (3.3) imply

$$E = K(A) \oplus H_0(A) \subseteq (\lambda I - A)(E) \quad \text{if } 0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon.$$

Consequently,  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon\} \subseteq \varrho(A)$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Now we are in a position to present the announced characterization of the poles of the resolvent of  $A$ .

**Theorem 5.** *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $0$  is a pole of the resolvent of  $A$ ;
- (b)  $A$  has the SVEP in  $0$  and  $q(A) < \infty$ ;
- (c) There exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$N(A^p) = H_0(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A^p(E) = K(A);$$

- (d)  $A$  has the SVEP in  $0$  and there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K(A) = A^p(E)$ ;
- (e)  $q(A) < \infty$  and  $H_0(A)$  is closed.

*Proof.* By Corollary 1, (a) and (b) are equivalent.

(a) implies (c). Use Theorem 3 and Proposition 4.

(c) implies (a). By Theorem 3, we have to show that  $p(A)$  and  $q(A)$  are both finite. Since

$$N(A^{p+1}) \subseteq H_0(A) = N(A^p) \subseteq N(A^{p+1}),$$

we have  $p(A) \leq p$ . Use Theorem 2(a) to derive  $A^{p+1}(E) = A(A^p(E)) = A(K(A)) = K(A) = A^p(E)$ . Thus  $q(A) \leq p$ .

(a) implies (d). Use (b) and (c).

(d) implies (b). As in the proof of “(c) implies (a),” we have  $A^p(E) = A^{p+1}(E)$ , hence  $q(A) < \infty$ .

(a) implies (e). Clear.

(e) implies (b). By Theorem 2(b),  $A$  has the SVEP in  $0$ .  $\square$

The remainder of this paper deals with Riesz points and Riesz operators. A complex number  $\lambda_0$  is called a *Riesz point* of  $A$ , if

$$p(\lambda_0 I - A) = q(\lambda_0 I - A) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \dim N(\lambda_0 I - A) = \text{codim}(\lambda_0 I - A)(E) < \infty.$$

Note that a Riesz point of  $A$  is either a pole of the resolvent (and hence isolated in  $\sigma(A)$ ) or a point in the resolvent set  $\varrho(A)$ .

**Proposition 5.** *The complex number  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(A)$  is a Riesz point of  $A$  if and only if  $\lambda_0$  is isolated in  $\sigma(A)$  and the corresponding spectral projection is finite dimensional.*

*Proof.* [2, Satz 105.3].  $\square$

The next theorem uses the subspaces  $K(A)$  and  $H_0(A)$  and the SVEP to characterize the Riesz points of  $A$ .

**Theorem 6.** *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $0$  is a Riesz point of  $A$ ;
- (b)  $K(A)$  is closed,  $\dim H_0(A) < \infty$ , and  $E = K(A) \oplus H_0(A)$ , where  $\oplus$  denotes the algebraically direct sum;
- (c)  $q(A) < \infty$  and  $\dim H_0(A) < \infty$ ;
- (d)  $\dim H_0(A) < \infty$  and  $K(A) = A^p(E)$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (e)  $A$  has the SVEP in  $0$ ,  $q(A) < \infty$ , and  $\dim N(A) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b). Proposition 4 and Theorem 4.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Since  $N(A^n) \subseteq N(A^{n+1}) \subseteq H_0(A)$  and  $\dim H_0(A) < \infty$ , there exists  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\dim N(A^p) = \dim N(A^{p+1}) < \infty$ . This gives  $N(A^p) = N(A^{p+1})$ , thus  $p(A) < \infty$ . By Theorem 3, 0 is a pole of  $R_\lambda(A)$ , hence 0 is isolated in  $\sigma(A)$ . Proposition 4 shows that  $\dim P_0(E) < \infty$ . Now use Proposition 5.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Propositions 4 and 5 show that  $\dim P_0(E) = \dim H_0(A) < \infty$  and  $N(P_0) = K(A)$ . Since 0 is a pole of  $R_\lambda(A)$ , we conclude from Theorem 3 that  $K(A) = A^p(E)$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c).  $A^{p+1}(E) = A(K(A)) = K(A) = A^p(E)$ , thus  $q(A) < \infty$ .

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (e). Clear.

(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). By Proposition 3,  $p(A) = q(A) < \infty$ . [2, Satz 72.6] shows that  $\dim N(A) = \text{codim } A(E) < \infty$ , thus 0 is a Riesz point of  $A$ .  $\square$

The operator  $A$  is called a *Riesz operator* if every  $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \setminus \{0\}$  is a Riesz point of  $A$ .

An immediate consequence of Theorem 6 is

**Theorem 7.** *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $A$  is a Riesz operator;
- (b)  $\dim H_0(\lambda I - A) < \infty$ ,  $E = K(\lambda I - A) \oplus H_0(\lambda I - A)$ , and  $K(\lambda I - A)$  is closed for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- (c)  $q(\lambda I - A) < \infty$  and  $\dim H_0(\lambda I - A) < \infty$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- (d)  $\dim H_0(\lambda I - A) < \infty$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \setminus \{0\}$  and for each  $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \setminus \{0\}$  there exists  $p(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $K(\lambda I - A) = (\lambda I - A)^{p(\lambda)}(E)$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank the referee for his suggestions that led to a simplification of the proof of Theorem 4.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. K. Finch, *The single-valued extension property on a Banach space*, Pacific J. Math. **58** (1975), 61–69.
2. H. Heuser, *Funktionalanalysis*, 2nd ed., Teubner, Stuttgart, 1986.
3. M. Mbekhta, *Généralisation de la décomposition de Kato aux opérateurs paranormaux et spectraux*, Glasgow Math. J. **29** (1987), 159–175.
4. —, *Sur la théorie spectrale locale et limite des nilpotents*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 621–631.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT 1, UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE, ENGLERSTR. 2, D-7500 KARLSRUHE 1, GERMANY