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Abstract—Various taper functions are well known to suppress side lobes in antenna array factors. These tapers are limited to either transmit or receive arrays and are mostly restricted to arrays with a high number of elements. This paper presents an approach for applying taper functions also to digital beamforming (DBF) arrays with transmitter multiplexing. For such DBF configurations, taper functions have not been known yet. An example for a multiple transmit DBF taper will be given which is dedicated for low number of elements.

Index Terms—Digital beamforming (DBF), multitransmit digital beamforming, taper function.

I. INTRODUCTION
In contrast to phased arrays, digital beamforming (DBF) offers the possibility of focusing different angles simultaneously by exploiting multiple individually digitized channels. Since the main drawbacks of DBF, the costs of multichannel AD-conversion and memory, are decreasing over time, and, on the other hand, the demand for imaging Radar also in consumer markets is grown, DBF becomes more and more important.

An extension to the common DBF on receive only is the use of multiple transmitters [1], [2]. With multiple transmit DBF, a better resolution can be achieved even when total number of elements in the transmit and receive array is the same as in the conventional case of DBF on receive-only. However, this leads to sparse transmit and sparse receive arrays. For them, the commonly used taper functions [3], [4] are not appropriate [5]. Also, a taper function applied to each array at once would not be sufficient since the linkage of transmitters and receivers during DBF processing is not taken into account.

In the following, DBF with multiple transmit antennas will be explained. Starting from this background, a derivation for an equivalent array will be presented. The equivalent array is a DBF system on receive-only. This allows the application of established taper functions. Finally, the conversion of the taper function backwards the multitransmit DBF will be illustrated by an example.

II. MULTIPLE TRANSMIT DIGITAL BEAMFORMING
In DBF-radar, the individual physical broad beams of all antennas overlap and cover a certain angular segment. The transmitter multiplexing can be achieved by sequentially switching distributed transmitters. On the receiving side, multiple coherent receivers collect the signals simultaneously. Each receiver input is digitized individually delivering the digital data for each transmit and receive antenna separately. This is an advantage of DBF and offers high flexibility for signal processing. The DBF signal processing generates from the digitized data a virtual narrow beam. This virtual beam can be moved in signal processing along the covered angular segment. Its beam therewith defines the angular resolution of the DBF-radar. For simplification, only the phase centers of the individual antennas are considered in the following. M transmit and N receive antennas are placed along the y-axis. The position of a stationary reflecting object placed in the xy-plane is described by the range [R_T,R_R] azimuth angle \( \psi \), see (Fig. 1).

For azimuth compression, only the phase of the carrier signal \( s_R \) is important. The phase depends only on the propagation way and therewith the considered signal is

\[
s_R = e^{j \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} (R_{TX} + R_{RX})}.
\]  

(1)

Azimuth compression is realized as a multiplication of the received Radar signal with a test phase depending on the focussing angle \( \psi_0 \). The summation over all transmitter and receiver gives the azimuth compressed signal \( f_{AC} \)

\[
f_{AC} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} k_{m,n} e^{-j \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} (R_{TX} + R_{RX})} \left[ e^{-j \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \psi_0} \right] R_{R}(\psi_0, \psi_n) R_{R}(\psi_0, \psi_n^*) \]  

(2)

The important function is the kernel function \( K_{AC} \). It can be approximated by the phase that a target at a specific angle in the far field would evoke

\[
K_{AC}(\psi_0, \psi, \psi_n) = - (x_{TX} + x_{RX}) \cos(\psi_0) - (y_{TX} + y_{RX}) \sin(\psi_0) 
\]  

(3)

In (2) \( k_{m,n} \) is the taper function, which will be derived in Section III-B. Assuming a constant taper \( k_{m,n} = 1 \), equidistantly spaced transmitters and receivers along the y-axes with the separation \( \Delta y_T \) and \( \Delta y_R \) and the object situated at the azimuth angle \( \psi_n \), the azimuth compressed signal can be written as [2]

\[
f_{AC} = \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \Delta y_T (\sin(\psi_n) - \sin(\psi_0)) \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \Delta y_T (\sin(\psi_n) - \sin(\psi_0)) \right)} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \Delta y_R (\sin(\psi_n) - \sin(\psi_0)) \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \Delta y_R (\sin(\psi_n) - \sin(\psi_0)) \right)} 
\]  

(4)

This is equivalent to the multiplication of two array factors [6]. This means that DBF with multiple transmitters delivers azimuth compression with the same performance as the multiplication of the array factors of the transmit and receive array. With that, also the side lobe level (SL) is given by the multiplication of the two array factors. Usually, taper functions would be used to lower the SL individually for the transmit and receive array. For multitransmit DBF this is not reasonable since a taper function would only affect the two arrays individually, but would neglect the combinations of transmit and receive antennas.
array is shown in Fig. 4. In general, both the original arrays and the same number of elements of the equivalent receive array is processed by a virtual transmitter relative to a transmitter which would be situated in the origin of virtual receivers.

For the derivation of the equivalent array Fig. 2 illustrates the geometry for the transmission array.

III. EQUIVALENT ARRAY

To take into account the relationships of all transmit and receive antennas for a taper function, an equivalent array will be considered. This equivalent array consists of only one virtual transmitter \(\text{Tx} \) and \(MN\) virtual receivers \(\text{Rx}_v\) placed along the \(y\)-axis [2]. To these virtual receivers a conventional taper can be applied.

A. Determination of Equivalent Array

For the determination of the equivalent array Fig. 2 illustrates the electric phase at the array.

The electric phase is referred to the origin of the coordinate system. The transmitter \(\text{Tx}_u\) introduces to its transmit signal the phase \(\varphi_{\text{Tx}_u}\) relative to a transmitter which would be situated in the origin

\[
\varphi_{\text{Tx}_u} = y_{\text{Tx}_u} \sin(\psi). \quad (5)
\]

For the receiver, the similar consideration is made. At the receiver \(\text{Rx}_v\), the phase \(\varphi_{\text{Rx}_v}\) relative to a receiver at the origin is

\[
\varphi_{\text{Rx}_v} = y_{\text{Rx}_v} \sin(\psi). \quad (6)
\]

To replace the physical transmit and receive antennas \(\text{Tx}_u\) and \(\text{Rx}_v\) by a virtual transmitter \(\text{Tx}\) situated in the origin and a virtual receiver \(\text{Rx}_{u,v}\), the total phase \(\varphi_{\text{Rx}_{u,v}}\) relative to the origin must remain the same

\[
\varphi_{\text{Rx}_{u,v}} = \varphi_{\text{Tx}_u} + \varphi_{\text{Rx}_v}.
\]

The position of the virtual receiver \(\text{Rx}_{u,v}\) is therewith a function of the position of the associated transmitter \(\text{Tx}_u\) and receiver \(\text{Rx}_v\). The number of elements of the equivalent receive array is \(MN\), the product of elements in the transmit and receive array.

For illustration, a multitransmit DBF double-array with \(M = 3\) transmitters and \(N = 3\) receivers is shown in Fig. 3. The equivalent array is shown in Fig. 4. In general, both the original arrays and the equivalent array are not equidistant.

B. Application of Taper Coefficients to Multitransmit Array

To the equivalent array, an arbitrary taper function can be applied. The taper coefficients \(k_{u,v}\) are generally defined in Table I. When defining the taper coefficients \(k_{u,v}\) for the equivalent receive antennas \(\text{Rx}_{u,v}\), their position \(y_{\text{Rx}_{u,v}}\) must be considered.

To apply this taper to the multiple transmit DBF, it has to be transformed to the different combinations of transmitter and receiver. For this, the relation between virtual receiver and the corresponding transmitter and receiver according to (7) has to be used. This leads to a matrix of taper coefficients shown in Table II.

IV. EXAMPLE

As an example, a multitransmit antenna configuration with both \(M = 3\) transmitters and receivers separated by \(\Delta y_T = 0.6\) \(\lambda\) and \(\Delta y_R = 1.8\) \(\lambda\) is considered. First, for the equivalent array different taper function are compared to illustrate the behavior of taper functions for sparse arrays. After that, one of these taper functions is applied in its matrix-form to a multitransmit DBF-Radar simulation. This approach points out the consistency of the array factor and the DBF azimuth compression, both weighted with the particular taper function in vector or matrix-form.

A. Vector-Weighted Array Factor for Equivalent Array

A Villeneuve taper function [7], [8] suitable for small arrays is applied to the equivalent array according to Fig. 4 consisting of \(MN = 9\) elements now equidistantly spaced with \(\Delta y_{\text{Rx}_{u,v}} = 0.6\) \(\lambda\). The Villeneuve taper is the analogous of a Tayler taper for discrete arrays. The coefficients are given in Table III. Other taper functions are also possible, but since only a relatively small number of elements is available, the Villeneuve-taper gives better results.

In Fig. 5, the array factor is shown with an element spacing \(\Delta y_{\text{Rx}_{u,v}} = 0.6\) \(\lambda\) and \(\theta_{\text{eq}} = 9\). With this single transmit antenna configuration, the array factor in Fig. 5 describes the theoretical azimuth compressed signal of the corresponding multitransmit DBF.
array. The comparison shows that the chosen Villeneuve taper gives a lower sidelobe level. In Fig. 6(b) the same simulation has been performed with a Villeneuve distribution leading to a broader main lobe and a lower sidelobe level of $-13$ dB. In Fig. 6(a) the simulated Radar image is given for constant amplitude distribution. In this case the side-lobe level is $-13$ dB. The taper coefficients for the receivers are increasing and for the transmitter the coefficients are decreasing.

A Radar simulation of a point target has been performed. A multi transmit DBF system has been assumed with transmitter and receiver distribution as described in Fig. 3. In Fig. 6(a) the simulated Radar image is given for constant amplitude distribution. In this case the side-lobe level is $-13$ dB. In Fig. 6(b) the same simulation has been performed with a Villeneuve distribution leading to a broader main lobe but a lower side-lobe level.

This simulation example confirms the applicability a taper matrix to multi transmit DBF systems.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the application of linear taper functions to multi transmit DBF systems is derived. It shows that multi transmit DBF can be considered by an equivalent receive-only array. Arbitrary taper functions can now be applied to them and be converted to matrix form for multi transmit DBF systems. This offers the great advantage, that beneficial taper functions can be applied to these sparse double-arrays, where conventional taper functions would fail.

REFERENCES


On a Class of Planar Absorbers With Periodic Square Resistive Patches
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Abstract—A Pareto genetic algorithm is used to explore the performance of planar absorbers incorporating a sheet of periodic resistive patches embedded in a primary material substrate. The absorbing performance of a single-layer electric radar absorbing material (eRAM) with periodic resistive patches is compared to that of standard dual-layer absorbers made of wax laid on top of eRAM or magnetic radar absorbing material (m-RAM).

Index Terms—Pareto genetic algorithm, periodic resistive patches, planar absorbers.

I. INTRODUCTION

The practical application of radar absorbers on aerospace vehicles can broadly be categorized into radar absorbing structures (RAS) [1], [2] and radar absorbing materials (RAM). RAS are primarily made of cellular core materials (loaded core or sandwiched loaded sheets) designed to handle partial structural load and are generally less than...