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## Zusammenfassung

Die vorliegende Studie beschreibt den ersten Versuch zur Erstellung eines vergleichenden Protein-Protein Interaktionsnetzwerks mit dem Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) System. Viele Studien bestätigen, dass Interaktionsnetzwerke aus proteomweiten Screens unvollständig sind. Dies stützt sich auf die Beobachtung, dass Interaktionsdaten, die mit unterschiedlichen Methoden erhoben wurden, nur geringe Überlappungen zeigen. Dies betrifft auch unterschiedliche Systeme innerhalb einer Methode, wie verschiedene Y2H-Systeme. Dadurch wurde die Frage aufgeworfen, welche Rolle strukturelle Unterschiede, insbesondere sterische Bedingungen im Testsystem spielen, verursacht durch die Orientierung der verwendeten Fusionsdomänen. In dieser Arbeit untersuche ich deren Einfluss auf die Detektierbarkeit von Protein-Protein Interaktionen.

Zunächst habe ich ein Vektorsystem entwickelt, welches die Y2H-Testdomänen an den C -Terminus und nicht an den N -terminus fusioniert, wie es traditionell gemacht wird. Die Ausgangsvektoren pGBKT7g und pGADT7g habe ich zunächst entsprechend umgebaut und wieder für die Hochdurchsatz-Klonierung kompatibel gemacht (Gateway®-Klonierung, Invitrogen, Karlsruhe). Nach der Konversion konnten beide Vektorsysteme kombiniert werden, wodurch vier verschiedene BaitPrey Kombinationen getestet werden können, mit $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}-, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-$ und $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-$ terminalen Testdomänen.

Eine Bibliothek aus Gateway® Eingangsvektoren von Varizella Zoster Virus (VZV) wurde in beide Vektorsysteme hineinrekombiniert und zusätzlich zur NN-Topologie auf binäre Proteininteraktionen getestet. Dadurch konnten etwa doppelt so viele Interaktionen identifiziert und die Rate an falsch-negativen Interaktionen gesenkt werden. Ähnliche Ergebnisse wurden bei der Validierung mittels eines humanen Referenz-Sets erzielt. Deshalb empfehle ich, dieses System in zukünftigen Studien routinemäßig anzuwenden.

Zusätzlich zum VZV-Interaktom habe ich ein Subnetzwerk von DNA-Enkapsidations Proteinen analysiert und eine besonders interessante Interaktion näher charakterisiert. Das essentielle, vom offenen Leserahmen Nummer 25 (ORF25) codierte Protein zeigt viele Interaktionen mit DNA-Verpackungsproteinen wie auch mit den meisten anderen viralen Proteinen.

Mithilfe von Peptid-Arrays konnte ich drei Sequenzbereiche Identifizieren, welche die Selbstinteraktion des Proteins vermitteln. Diese Erkenntnisse können in Kombination mit 3-D Strukturen und neuen Methoden des virtuellen drug-design bei der Entwicklung antiviraler Therapeutika verwendet werden.

## Summary

The study at hand is the first comprehensive attempt to perform a high-throughput protein-protein interaction network with the Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) system. Reports of incompleteness and low overlaps between protein-protein interaction datasets derived by different methods and variants of the Yeast two-hybrid system, raised the question about the impact of sterical circumstances caused by the fusion tags added to the proteins in order to detect a possible interaction. First, I developed a Y2H vector system with the fusion tags C-terminally fused to the test-constructs. Additionally, the vectors had to be suitable for high-throughput cloning of test libraries. As parental vectors I have used the pGBKT7g bait and pGADT7g prey vector, derivatives of the Clontech MatchMaker ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Y2H system that were previously modified for high-throughput cloning by the Gateway® recombination cloning technology. After the conversion, both vector systems, the traditional N-terminallyand the new C-terminally tagging vector system could be combined to screen four different tag-topologies of bait- and prey-fusion tags. A Gateway® entry-vector library of Varicella zoster virus (VZV), which was recently screened with the progenitor Y2H vectors, was recombinated into both vector systems and additional Y 2 H screens were performed to gain a complete "combinatorial" network of VZV (A screen repeated with four bait-prey combinations N-N, N-C, C-N and C-C bait-prey fusion tag orientation, respectively). The permutations of N - and C-terminal Y2H vectors achieved an extensive increase of the coverage of this interactome screen, and thus significantly reduce the rate of undetected interactions. Similar results were determined by screening a human reference set. Accordingly, I recommend that future interaction screening projects should use such vector combinations on a routine basis.
In the second part of this study I generated a sub-network of VZV DNA-packaging proteins. Thereupon, I synthesized peptide-arrays to characterize the self-interaction of one of those proteins, encoded by the essential VZV open reading frame number 25 (ORF25). I could identify three interacting peptides within the 156 amino acid protein, which contribute to its self-interaction. These findings provide a basis for modern drug-design in order to identify and develop new antiviral compounds.

## Contents

Zusammenfassung ..... I
Summary ..... III
Contents ..... V
List of Abbreviations ..... VIII
List of Figures ..... XI
List of Tables ..... XIV
1 Introduction ..... 1
1.1 Protein-Protein Interactions ..... 1
1.2 From single interactions to the interactome ..... 1
1.2.1 The Yeast two-hybrid system ..... 2
1.2.2 Protein-interactome studies performed with the Y2H system. ..... 3
1.2.3 Biological databases ..... 4
1.2.4 Y 2 H screening methods ..... 5
1.2.5 Limitations of the Yeast two-hybrid system ..... 7
1.2.6 Experimental strategy for matrix-based Y 2 H -screens ..... 9
1.3 Varicella zoster virus as model for combinatorial Y 2 H -screening. ..... 12
1.3.1 VZV - clinical aspects ..... 12
1.3.2 General introduction into the Herpesviridae family ..... 12
1.3.3 Herpesviridae - subfamilies and phylogeny ..... 13
1.3.4 Virion structure and genetic organization ..... 14
1.3.5 Herpesviral life-cycle ..... 16
1.3.6 Latency ..... 20
1.4 Drug discovery ..... 20
1.5 Mapping of interaction epitopes using peptide arrays ..... 21
1.5.1 Spot synthesis ..... 21
1.6 Aims ..... 25
1.6.1 Combinatorial Y 2 H -screening with permutated fusion tags. ..... 25
1.6.2 Mapping of the homomerization domain of VZV ORF25 ..... 27
2 Materials and Methods ..... 28
2.1 Materials ..... 28
2.1.1 Instruments ..... 28
2.1.2 Consumable Materials ..... 29
2.1.3 General Chemicals ..... 29
2.1.4 Kits ..... 31
2.1.5 Compounds of Bacteria- and Yeast Media ..... 31
2.1.6 Chemicals for Peptide Synthesis ..... 32
2.1.7 DNA and Protein Ladders ..... 33
2.1.8 Enzymes ..... 33
2.1.9 Media for Bacterial Culture ..... 33
2.1.10 Media for Yeast Culture ..... 34
2.1.11 General Buffers and Solutions ..... 36
2.1.12 Plasmids ..... 37
2.1.13 Bacterial Strains ..... 38
2.1.14 Yeast Strains ..... 38
2.1.15 PCR-Primers ..... 38
2.1.16 Antibodies ..... 39
2.2 Methods ..... 40
2.2.1 General DNA-related Methods ..... 40
2.2.2 Yeast two-hybrid screening ..... 43
2.2.3 Bioinformatical Analysis ..... 53
2.2.4 General protein related procedures ..... 55
2.2.5 SPOT Peptide Synthesis ..... 61
3 Results ..... 66
3.1 Yeast two-hybrid screening of the VZV ORFeome ..... 66
3.1.1 Optimization of the VZV ORFeome collection ..... 66
3.1.2 Generation of Y2H destination vectors with C-terminal fusion tags67
3.1.3 Combinatorial Y2H screening of the VZV ORFeome ..... 73
3.2 Quality assessment of PPI-data ..... 85
3.2.1 Improvement of the assay sensitivity ..... 85
3.2.2 Conservation of PPIs between orthologous proteins ..... 89
3.2.3 Introducing an intrinsic quality score ..... 91
3.2.4 Network analysis ..... 93
3.2.5 Combinatorial screening of human reference sets ..... 97
3.3 VZV Terminase complex retest ..... 102
3.4 Mapping of the ORF25 homomerization interface ..... 106
3.4.1 Yeast two-hybrid and Peptide array mapping ..... 106
3.4.2 Bioinformatical analysis of the ORF25 mapping results ..... 108
4 Discussion ..... 114
4.1 Combinatorial Y 2 H screening with permutated fusion tags ..... 114
4.1.1 Structural influence of tag-topologies ..... 114
4.1.2 Reduction of false-negatives and data quality ..... 115
4.1.3 Conservation of interactions among herpesviruses ..... 116
4.1.4 Network analysis ..... 116
4.1.5 Novel VZV interactions: ORF10-ORF57 ..... 116
4.2 Combinatorial screening of human reference sets ..... 117
4.2.1 False-negative interactions ..... 117
4.2.2 False-positives ..... 126
4.2.3 Conclusions ..... 129
4.3 VZV ORF25 and the terminase complex ..... 130
4.3.1 Terminase complex as drug target - actual state of affairs ..... 130
4.3.2 Protein interactions among DNA encapsidation proteins ..... 131
4.3.3 Promiscuity of ORF25 ..... 132
4.3.4 Role of ORF25 ..... 132
4.3.5 Mapping of the ORF25 homomerization interface ..... 133
4.4 Outlook ..... 134
4.4.1 Combinatorial Y2H screening ..... 134
4.4.2 Drug design based on PPI blocking ..... 135
5 References ..... 136
6 Appendix ..... VIII
6.1 Supplementary Data ..... VIII
6.2 Curriculum vitae ..... IX

## List of Abbreviations

3-AT
5-FOA
AA
AB
AD
AHT
Amp
APS
att
bp
BSA
CPL
(H, M) CMV

Co-IP
DBD
DIW
DMSO
DNA
dNTP
DTT
EBV
EDTA
FBLD
FL
Fmoc
GAL4

Gen
GST
HA
HRP

3-amino-1,2,4-triazole
5-fluoroorotic acid
amino acid
Antibody
activation domain
anhydrotetracycline
ampicillin
ammonium persulfate
attachment site
base pair(s)
bovine serum albumin
characteristic path length
Cytomegalovirus (prefix H: human, M: murine)

Co-Immunoprecipitation
DNA binding domain
deionized water
dimethyl sulfoxide
deoxyribonucleic acid
deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
1,4-dithiothreitol
Epstein-Barr virus
ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
fragment-based lead discovery
Full-length
9-fluorenyl-methoxycarbonyl
gene encoding the yeast transcription
activator protein Gal4
gentamycin
glutathione S-transferase
hemagglutinin
horseradish peroxidase

HSV
HTP
IPTG
Kan
kb
kDa
KSHV

I
LB
LC
MAT
$\mu \mathrm{l}$
$\mu \mathrm{M}$
M
mM
nt
OD
o/n
ORF
ORFeome
PBS
PCR
PEG
PMSF
PPI(s)
RT
SAP
SD
SDS
PAGE
SPPS
TBS
TEMED

Herpes simplex virus
high-throughput
isopropyl $\beta$-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside
kanamycin
kilo bases
kilodaltons
Kaposi's sarcoma associated herpesvirus
liter
lysogeny broth
literature-curated
mating type locus
microliter
micromolar
molar mass
millimolar
nucleotide(s)
optical density
overnight
open reading frame
the totality of open reading frames
Phosphate-buffered saline
polymerase chain reaction
polyethylene glycol
phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride
protein-protein interaction(s)
room temperature
shrimp alkaline phosphatase
synthetic defined
sodium dodecyl sulphate
polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
solid phase peptide synthesis
Tris-buffered saline
N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine

Tris
VZV
w/o
WT
Y2H
YPD
tris-(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane
Varicella zoster virus
without
wild type
Yeast two-hybrid
yeast extract peptone dextrose

## List of Figures

Figure 1: Yeast two-hybrid principle. ..... 3
Figure 2: Mating strategy used for Y 2 H screens ..... 10
Figure 3: Scheme of a matrix-based Y2H-screen ..... 11
Figure 4: Diseases from secondary VZV infections ..... 13
Figure 5: VZV virion ..... 14
Figure 6: Schematic image of the VZV virion. ..... 15
Figure 7: VZV ORFs and their orientation in the genome ..... 16
Figure 8: Herpesviral replication cycle. ..... 18
Figure 9: SPOT synthesis following solid phase peptide synthesis. ..... 22
Figure 10: Scheme of mapping PPI epitopes ..... 24
Figure 11: Principle of combinatorial Y 2 H screening. ..... 26
Figure 12: Gateway® BP reaction ..... 45
Figure 13: Gateway® LR reaction. ..... 45
Figure 14: Self activation test of VZV-ORFs in pGBKCg. ..... 49
Figure 15: Fully automatic spot synthesizer ..... 63
Figure 16: Gateway bait and prey vectors with N - and C-terminal fusion tags ..... 67
Figure 17: Transcribed region of pGADT7g flanked by promoter and terminator. ..... 68
Figure 18: pGADC intermediate vector. ..... 68
Figure 19: Resulting vector pGADCg ..... 69
Figure 20: Example: Recombination of VZV-ORF26 into pGADCg ..... 70
Figure 21: Sector of pGBKT7g implicated in the conversion to pGBKCg ..... 70
Figure 22: Fusion-PCR strategy to generate Kpnl restriction site. ..... 72
Figure 23: Resulting vector pGBKCg ..... 72
Figure 24: Example: Recombination of VZV-ORF19 into pGBKCg ..... 73
Figure 25: Combinatorial VZV PPI network ..... 78
Figure 26: Non redundant VZV PPI network ..... 79
Figure 27: N - and C-terminal vectors detect different interactions. ..... 80
Figure 28: Distribution of interactions detected one to four times ..... 83
Figure 29: Overlaps between tag-topology combinations ..... 84
Figure 30: Distribution of verified VZV interactions ..... 88
Figure 31: Overlaps between Y2H-data and VZV PPI network ..... 90
Figure 32: VZV interactions among core-proteins ..... 91
Figure 33: VZV node degree distribution of the primary and the extended VZV- network ..... 95
Figure 34: Attack Tolerance of the primary and the extended VZV-network ..... 96
Figure 35: hsPRS-v1 interactions reproduced in combinatorial screens ..... 99
Figure 36: Correlation between hsPRS-v1 and VZV data ..... 100
Figure 37: hsRRS-v1 interactions detected in combinatorial screens ..... 101
Figure 38: hsRRS-v1 interactions detected in combinatorial screens ..... 102
Figure 39: Systematic Y2H-retest of the VZV terminase complex ..... 103
Figure 40: Combined interaction matrix of putative terminase complex members.104
Figure 41: Mapping of the ORF25 homomerization interface ..... 107
Figure 42: Y2H-mapping of the ORF25 self-interaction ..... 107
Figure 43: Multiple sequence alignment- ORF25 orthologs in human pathogenic herpesviruses ..... 109
Figure 44: Pairwise sequence alignment of HHV-1 UL33 and HHV-3 ORF25. ..... 111
Figure 45: Alanine substitution of the ORF25 interacting peptides I \& II. ..... 111
Figure 46: Hidden-epitope assay of the ORF25 interacting sequence III. ..... 112
Figure 47: Structure of the Gal4-DNA complex ..... 115
Figure 48: YFP-PCA system. ..... 118
Figure 49: LUMIER system. ..... 119
Figure 50: Comparison of the design of different Y 2 H vectors. ..... 122
Figure 51: PRS interactions from additional assays. ..... 125
Figure 52: MAPPIT and wNAPPA principle. ..... 125
Figure 53: VZV ORF19 in a screen the autoactivation pretest. ..... 127
Figure 54: RRS interactions from additional assays ..... 128
Figure 55: The LuMPIS system ..... 129
Figure 56: Interaction network of putative terminase complex subunits- ..... 131
Figure 57: Phylogenetic tree of the UL33 protein superfamily. ..... 134

## List of Tables

Table 1 Fmoc protected amino acids for SPPS ..... 33
Table 2: VZV array-layout. ..... 49
Table 3: ClustalX colour scheme applied to multiple sequence alignments ..... 55
Table 4: Annotated VZV proteins and their corresponding ORFs ..... 76
Table 5: Number of PPIs found in individual screens. ..... 82
Table 6: Distribution of the number of times individual PPIs were found. ..... 83
Table 7: Overlap between screens ..... 84
Table 8: Verification by additional evidence ..... 87
Table 9: All permutations generate data of equal quality ..... 88
Table 10: Interologous interactions among core and non-core proteins. ..... 92
Table 11: Quality scores assigned based on data verification ..... 93
Table 12: hsPRS-v1 interactions broken down to the single tag permutations ..... 99
Table 13: Relative contributions of single tag permutations are equal. ..... 100
Table 14: hsRRS-v1 interactions depending on the assay stringency. ..... 101
Table 15: Interaction data of putative terminase subunits. ..... 105
Table 16: Hidden-epitope assay of the ORF25 C-terminal dimerization domain. ..... 113
Table 17: Relative overlaps of PRS-interactions with the NN-topology. ..... 124

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Protein-Protein Interactions

Every biological system is composed of a large number of components (e.g. DNA, proteins, lipids and sugars) which mostly function in complex interaction networks, where one component is able to affect a wide range of other components. Proteinprotein interactions (PPIs) affect virtually all processes in the cell being connected via an extensive network of non-covalent interactions which are constantly forming and dissociating. PPIs are an essential aspect in practically all biological processes, like generation and maintaining of macromolecular structures, cell signaling, dynamic regulation, and metabolic pathways. Functional relationships of proteins can be revealed by either direct protein-protein interactions or their co-occurrence within protein-complexes. This may allow a first prediction of the function of uncharacterized or hypothetical proteins, predicted computationally after whole genome sequencing of any possible organism (Sharan et al., 2007; Uetz and Finley, 2005; Walhout and Vidal, 2001). In addition to this important aspect in basic research, Protein-protein interactions have been recognized to be important drug targets, which can be targeted by small molecules, binding to the protein contact surfaces (Arrell and Terzic, 2010; Pujol et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2009). Mapping and characterization of PPI networks is therefore a crucial duty in the post-genomic era as they provide the basis for a global understanding of the cellular proteome, and for this reason are one major goal in systems biology (Auerbach et al., 2002).

### 1.2 From single interactions to the interactome

Protein interactions have been first characterized individually, but this so called "reductionist" approach lacks information about time, space and the context in which an interaction occurs in vivo (Chautard et al., 2009b). A novel approach has been developed in the last decade, focusing on the building of protein-protein interaction maps. This approach is part of an upcoming field, called systems biology which can be defined as "the study of an organism, viewed as an integrated and interacting
network of genes, proteins and biochemical reactions which give rise to life" (http://www.systemsbiology.org/).
An interactome comprises the whole set of molecular physical interactions between biological entities in cells and organisms and it is the basis of understanding how gene functions and regulations are integrated at the whole organism level. The exploration of entire protein interactomes (the totality of protein-protein interactions of an organism) was based on the development of technologies like the Yeast twohybrid method and mass spectrometry which allowed the investigation of PPIs on a high-throughput (HTP) level. In the past two decades, these technologies have generated the data for our understanding of protein interactomes and are crucial to exploit their therapeutic potential for the emerging "post-antibiotic era" (Alanis, 2005).

### 1.2.1 The Yeast two-hybrid system

The Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) method, which was originally developed by Stanley Fields, is a genetic method to detect binary protein-protein interactions that exploits the modularity of eukaryotic transcription factors and the well-established genetic engineering of the yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, to monitor PPIs (Fields and Song, 1989). A bait protein is fused to the DNA binding domain (DBD) and a prey protein is fused to the activation domain (AD) of a transcriptional activator. The term "two-hybrid" is based on these two chimeric proteins. The bait and prey fusions are co-expressed in yeast and upon physical interaction between the bait and prey protein, the functional transcription factor (TF) is reconstituted. This results in the activation of a reporter gene, which allows either growth under selective conditions or produces a color or fluorescence signal (auxotrophic yeast strain, lacZ or GFP reporter gene). Figure 1 shows the basic principle of the assay. Hence, a bait protein can be screened against prey libraries expressing all encoded or expressed proteins, derived either from genomic or cDNA library, in the organism or tissue of interest. The Yeast two-hybrid system can be used for the detection of virtually any proteinprotein interaction, independent of the function of the corresponding proteins.


B


Figure 1: Yeast two-hybrid principle.
A) The protein of interest $X$ is fused to the DNA binding domain (DBD), representing the so called "bait" construct. The potential interacting protein $Y$ is fused to the activation domain (AD) and is called "prey". If both fusion proteins are co-expressed in the same yeast cell, they are translocated in the nucleus. If both constructs do not interact, no reporter gene expression is driven. B) The bait, i.e. the DBD-X fusion protein, binds the upstream activator sequence (UAS) of the promoter. Upon interaction of bait and prey, the $A D-Y$ fusion protein, recruits the $A D$ and thus reconstitutes a functional transcription factor. This is in turn leading to the recruitment of cellular RNA polymerase II and subsequent transcription of the reporter gene.

### 1.2.2 Protein-interactome studies performed with the Y2H system

The first protein-interactome to be mapped was that of the bacteriophage T7 (Bartel et al., 1996), followed by the first complex, free-living organism, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, which was published by Peter Uetz and coworkers in 2000 (Uetz et al., 2000a) and shortly after by Takashi Ito (Ito et al., 2001). Both studies were merged into one high confidence interactome in 2008 by Yu and colleagues (Yu et al., 2008). The efforts on the yeast interactome triggered al lot of projects, often still ongoing, that aim the mapping of genome-wide protein interactomes, for example of bacteria (Helicobacter pylori, Campylobacter jejuni, Treponema pallidum (Parrish et al., 2007; Rain et al., 2001; Rajagopala et al., work in progress; Rajagopala et al., 2007; Titz et al., 2008) as well as Streptococcus pneumoniae and Escherichia coli (Rajagopala et al., work in progress) of plants like Arabidopsis thaliana (Hackbusch et al., 2005) and Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) (Zhang et al., 2010b) and animals, e.g. the malaria
parasite Plasmodium falciparum (LaCount et al., 2005), Caenorhabditis elegans (Li et al., 2004; Simonis et al., 2009) and Drosophila melanogaster (Giot et al., 2003).
Additionally, a number of focused interactome sub-networks have been generated, based on human diseases such as Huntington's disease (Goehler et al., 2004) and human inherited ataxias (Lim et al., 2006) or viral-host pathogen networks like the ones for Hepatitis-C-Virus (de Chassey et al., 2008) and the herpesviruses VZV and KSHV (Uetz et al., 2006) and EBV (Calderwood et al., 2007) as well as intraviral protein interaction screens of herpesviruses (Calderwood et al., 2007; Fossum et al., 2009; Stellberger et al., 2010). Huge efforts are being made on the mapping of the human interactome. In 2005, two publications have described first subsets of the human interactome (Rual et al., 2005; Stelzl et al., 2005), with larger subsets being in the screening process.

The reasons for the common use of the Y 2 H are the relatively low costs and the convenient use. Thus, a large amount of the data so far generated from protein interaction studies have come from Y 2 H screening. For example, 6,124 protein interactions are listed for Saccharomyces cerevisiae in the MINT database (Ceol et al., 2010) and about 6,721 for humans, creating huge protein interaction networks.

### 1.2.3 Biological databases

The large numbers of protein interaction studies, derived either from small- or largescale studies have yielded hundreds of thousands of interactions. These interactions are collected in biomolecular interaction databases that allow the interactions to be assembled and be provided to the scientific community. The most important databases are listed in the following:

| name | address | reference | \# interactions listed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIP | http://dip.doe-mbi.ucla.edu | (Salwinski et al., 2004) | 70,411 |
| IntAct | www.ebi.ac.uk/intact | (Aranda et al., 2010) | 227,866 |
| MINT | http://mint.bio.uniroma2.it/mint | (Ceol et al., 2010) | 86,506 |
| MPact | http://mips.gsf.de/genre/proj/mpact | (Pagel et al., 2005) | n.A. |
| MatrixDB | http://matrixdb.ibcp.fr/ | (Chautard et al., 2009a) | 1,836 PPIs and 119 proteinglycosaminoglycan interactions. |
| MPIDB | http://www.jcvi.org/mpidb | (Goll et al., 2008) | 24,295 microbial PPIs |
| BioGRID | http://www.thebiogrid.org/ | (Breitkreutz et al., 2008) | 177,804 |
| InnateDB | www.innatedb.com | (Lynn et al., 2008) | 115,000+ |
| BIND | www.blueprint.org | (Bader et al., 2003) | n/a |

The data of all above listed databases except of BIND can be addressed via a single search interface at the IMEx consortium (http://www.imexconsortium.org/) (Orchard et al., 2007). Approximately half of the interaction data available on those databases are coming from Y2H assays, followed by a combination of affinity purification followed by mass spectrometry (AP-MS) (Bruckner et al., 2009).

### 1.2.4 Y2H screening methods

Two basic screening approaches can be distinguished: the matrix-based (or arraybased) and the library-screen.

### 1.2.4.1 Matrix-based Y2H screens

In a matrix-screen, the possible combinations between open reading frames (ORFs) are systematically examined by performing direct mating of a set of baits versus a set of preys expressed in opposite yeast mating types, e.g. mating type a for baits and mating type $\alpha$ for preys. The defined position of each prey protein in a matrix allows rapid identification of interacting preys without sequencing, but screens are not restricted to a limited set of full-length ORFs, proteins can be split or divided into defined domains to decrease the rate of false-negative interactions as well as to map the interactions. Today, matrix-based screens are used mostly for smaller and medium size clone collections in combination with automation and cloning techniques that allow for reliable and fast interaction screening. However, the capacity of matrixbased screens is limited by the size of the clone set to be tested. For example, a small proteome that encodes for 1,000 proteins requires at least $1,000^{2}$ (one million) individual pairwise tests in one comprehensive screen. For large genomes such as the human one, 23,000 ${ }^{2}$ (over half a billion) pairwise tests would be necessary to test all possible combinations. Nevertheless, the human interactome is being mapped by a matrix-based screening strategy (http://ccsb.dfci.harvard.edu/web/www/ ccsb/groups/ Interactomegroup.html).
Genome-wide screens face three main issues: efficiency (hands-on time), specificity (detecting false-positives), and sensitivity (avoiding false-negatives). The need to make large-scale matrix-screens more efficient, led to the development of pooling strategies which can drastically reduce the number of individual Y 2 H tests while keeping the resulting sequencing efforts reasonable while conserving the advantages of a matrix-based screen (Jin et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2006; Xin et al., 2009; Zhong et
al., 2003). "Smart" pooling and arrangements of prey as well as bait clones can help to speed up the screening procedure drastically, resulting in interaction detection with nearly the same sensitivity and specificity as one-on-one Yeast two-hybrid screens.

### 1.2.4.2 Library screens

The classical cDNA-library screen is searching for pairwise interactions between a defined protein of interest (bait) and potential interaction partners (preys), present in cDNA libraries or sub-pools of libraries. A defined bait or bait-pool is mated against the whole prey pool represented by the used cDNA library and plated onto the appropriate readout medium where positive interactants are selected. Alternatively, prey plasmid libraries can be transformed directly into the haploid bait reporter strain. In contrast to the matrix-based strategy this approach requires identification of the interacting prey (and bait if pooled) by colony PCR analysis and subsequent sequencing, making such screens more expensive. However, this procedure is also prone to produce false-negatives due to subsets of preys being underrepresented in the pool while others may be overrepresented which is almost impossible to monitor w/o adequate efforts. One possibility to control the composition of prey libraries is pooling a yeast prey matrix, thereby achieving a degree of normalization (minimizing under- or overrepresentation of preys in a pool).

Since most library screens use cDNA or even random genomic libraries, falsepositives may result from fragments that do not fold properly or that expose protein sequences that are not exposed in vivo. On the other hand, libraries may contain cDNA fragments in addition to full-length ORFs, thus largely covering a transcriptome and reducing the rate of false-negatives. However, inherent to this type of library screening, the rate of wrongly identified proteins (called false-positives) is increased. Clearly, both library- and matrix-screens do have advantages and disadvantages that should be considered depending on the project that is planned.

### 1.2.5 Limitations of the Yeast two-hybrid system

### 1.2.5.1 False-negative interactions

Yeast two-hybrid screens do not generate complete protein interactomes. As for any other detection method, it is almost impossible to detect all physiologically occurring interactants of every screened bait protein. Those false-negative interactions may be traced back to steric hindrance due to the two fusion tags, preventing physical interaction by covering interaction sites or preventing subsequent transcriptional activation. Another source for false-negatives is instability of proteins due to un- or improper folding in the yeast cell or due to harboring a PEST sequence (a peptide sequence which is rich in proline $(P)$, glutamic acid $(E)$, serine $(S)$, and threonine $(T)$ ). These sequences are associated with proteins that have a short intracellular half-life and regarded as a signal peptide for protein degradation (Rogers et al., 1986). An additional factor that affects protein stability is the nature of the N -terminal amino acid residue, according to the N -end rule which was originally described in S. cerevisiae (Bachmair et al., 1986). This should play a minor role in the Yeast two-hybrid as the constructs bear a stabilizing Methionine at their N-termini. Apart from that it is difficult to monitor potentially cleaving events in the yeast cell in a HTP-system. But the fact that the Yeast two-hybrid System works with fusion proteins can also lead to missed interactions. The standard vector systems generate N -terminal fusion tags. If the interacting domain of a protein is at, or near its N-terminus, the fusion of the DNAbinding or activation domain may prevent an interaction. Other error sources may be the failure of nuclear localization, the absence of a prey protein from a library or an improper post-translational modification of a bait- or prey-construct, like a phosphorylation that is indispensable for an interaction. It was estimated that the false-negative rate in array-based Yeast two-hybrid screens lies at about 75 \% which means that three quarters of all interactions may be missed (Rajagopala et al., 2007). The assay sensitivity, which is the fraction of all physical interactions that take place in a given organism, would be in reverse $25 \%$. For Y2H library screens, the assay sensitivity was estimated to be somewhat lower, about 20 \% based on the Uetz S. cerevisiae screen from 2000 (Yu et al., 2008). This large number of undetected PPIs is a major handicap in the understanding of biological processes at the whole level but still bears a lot of information that can be exploited for the understanding of
cellular processes. The challenge is the reduction of false-negatives. For example, screening of related species can reveal different subsets of the interactome in regard of orthologous processes involving orthologous proteins. For example, comparison of sub-networks of bacterial motility derived from Treponema pallidum and Campylobacter jejuni with estimated false-negative rates of $76 \%$ and $77 \%$. By combining both datasets, 33 \% of all known flagellar interactions could be recovered, decreasing the false negative rate to 67 \% (Rajagopala et al., 2007). When protein domains and fragments are used, this number can be further reduced. This study was facing the challenging task to recover more than $50 \%$ of all interactions using the Y 2 H method, which could be achieved for a reference set of human PPIs (Chen et al., 2010).

### 1.2.5.2 False-positives

Like other methods, the Yeast two-hybrid system has the potential to detect interactions which do not naturally occur in the investigated organism or between the investigated organisms, respectively. False-positive interactions can be divided into technical and biological artifacts.

Technical false-negatives are for example Yeast two-hybrid interactions that are not based on the assembly of two-hybrid proteins (that is, the reporter gene(s) are activated without a protein-protein interaction between bait and prey). These kinds of false-positives appear due to bait proteins that act as transcriptional transactivators like the AD fusion tag of the prey. They can be in a large part avoided by proper pretesting of bait strains for their autoactivation properties. Second, some bait or prey proteins may affect general colony viability and hence enhance the ability of a cell to grow under selective conditions or activate the reporter gene. When protein fragments are investigated, it is also possible that protein regions are exposed which do not occur naturally and may be of sticky nature. Those 'sticky' proteins that presumably bind non-specifically can be identified by incorporating a cut-off rate at the level of the promiscuity of PPIs (Albers et al., 2005). If only a small number of interacting partners is allowed and promiscuous proteins are filtered-out, the falsepositive rate decreases, but at the cost of an increased number of false-negatives. However, so-called 'hubs', highly connected proteins that have many binding partners may be excluded. Mutations or other random events of unexpected nature must be accepted as well. A number of procedures have been developed to identify
or avoid false-positives, including the utilization of multiple reporters, independent methods of specificity testing, or simply repeating assays to make sure a result is reproducible (Koegl and Uetz, 2007; Serebriiskii et al., 2000; Serebriiskii and Golemis, 2001).
Biological false-positives are two-hybrid interactions without physiological relevance. This means that two proteins do physically interact but this interaction never occurs in vivo as they are never co-expressed in time or in space. Examples may include paralogs that are expressed in different tissues or at different developmental stages. The problem is that the "false-positive" nature can rarely be proven, as there might be conditions under which these proteins do interact with a biological purpose. Overall, hardly any false-positive can be explained mechanistically (although many may simply interact non-physiologically in a living cell as well). While it often remains difficult to prove the biological significance of an interaction, many studies have attempted to validate them by independent methods. Finding an interaction by several methods may certainly increase the probability that it is biologically significant. Uetz and coworkers evaluated the all the Y2H interactions found in a proteome-wide screen of Kaposi's Sarcoma Herpes virus (KSHV) by CoImmunoprecipitation (Co-IP) and found that about $50 \%$ of them can be confirmed (Uetz et al., 2006). Similarly, when subsets of the large-scale human Y2H interactome were evaluated, 78 and $65 \%$ of them could be verified by independent methods (Rual et al., 2005; Stelzl et al., 2005). Finally, integrating external datasets such as literature-curated interactions or homologous interacting proteins can enhance the reliability of an interaction network.

### 1.2.6 Experimental strategy for matrix-based Y 2 H -screens

Yeast two-hybrid assays are carried out in living yeast cells although in theory any other cell could be used. Actually, mammalian two-hybrid variations have been developed in the last years, like Split $\beta$-galactosidase, $\beta$-lactamase protein-fragment complementation, Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC), Luciferase complementation, Split TEV assay and Resonance energy transfer systems (FRET and BRET) (Lievens et al., 2009). The advantage of yeast is still its convenient handling with no need for high-end screening platforms. Another advantage compared to in vitro assays is that the yeast cell provides an in vivo situation.

The proteins of interest are expressed as plasmid-encoded recombinant fusion proteins. The bait protein is fused to the DNA-binding domain (DBD) of the yeast GAL4 transcription factor, the prey protein to the transactivation domain (AD) of GAL4. A physical contact of the bait and prey protein simulates the native GAL4 transcription factor. Other fusion proteins can be used, too and have been established in other systems. For example, instead of the GAL4 domains, the bacterial transcription factor LexA has been used. In general, any protein that can be split and reconstituted to form an active protein can be used (Drees, 1999). For highthroughput screens our laboratory routinely uses the HIS3 auxotrophic marker. It encodes the essential enzyme imidazoleglycerole-phosphate dehydratase (IGPD) which catalyses the sixth step of Histidine biosynthesis. Hence, yeast growth on minimal medium that lacks Histidine can be used to indicate an interacting protein pair. Non-interacting pairs cannot support growth on selective medium. This reporter system is very simple and easy to use because the presence of yeast colonies indicates an interaction.
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Figure 2: Mating strategy used for Y 2 H screens.
A) Haploid yeast cells of mating type a are transformed with a bait plasmid and those of mating type $\alpha$ with prey plasmids. A single bait strain is mated with a prey library. B) Resulting diploids (a $\alpha$ ) carry the genetic material of mated haploids. Interacting fusion proteins activate expression of the HIS3 reporter gene which assures survival on minimal medium that lacks Histidine (diploid on the right); diploids with non-interacting fusions cannot grow (diploid on the left).

Before the binary tests are carried out, the bait and prey plasmids must be transfered into the same yeast cell. This is conveniently done by mating. The bait and prey plasmids are separately transformed into haploid yeast cells of the opposite mating types $a$ and $\alpha$ (Figure 2A). Mating results in diploid yeast cells that carry the genetic material of both haploid test strains including the bait and prey plasmids (Figure 2B). HIS3 is often used as reporter gene. Alternatively, other reporters have been introduced. LEU2 and URA3 allow selection on readout medium that lacks Leucine or Uracil. Auxotrophic markers are not the only ones that can be used. The ADE2 reporter system changes colony color from red to white on adenine starvation medium when diploids express interacting proteins. Beta-galactosidase (lacZ) or GFP (green fluorescent protein) are used as colorimetric or fluorescence reporter as well. Finally, transcription-independent two-hybrid systems have been developed. The Split-Ubiquitin system for instance, is based on the cleavage of the interacting fusion proteins by the proteasome (Johnsson and Varshavsky, 1994) which takes place in the cytoplasm. In a matrix-based screen the preys are arrayed on defined positions of the test plate. For a high-throughput application the preys can be arranged in a 384 format on a single test plate (Uetz et al., 2000b).


Figure 3: Scheme of a matrix-based Y 2 H -screen.
A) Prey array mated against single bait on diploid selective agar medium containing 96 individual preys. Single preys are replicated as quadruplicates to check interaction reproducibility. B) 384 pinning tool of replication robot during pinning step of diploids onto readout medium. C) Diploids ( $2 n$ yeast) on readout medium that lacks Histidine. Diploids were grown on selective medium for one week at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Activation of the HIS3 reporter leads to growth on minimal medium indicating a pairwise interaction (see quadruplicate position in white square). Non-interacting pairs do not support growth on minimal medium (for example the quadruplicate in dashed square). (Rajagopala and Uetz, 2009)

Preys may be organized as individual colonies, yet it is recommended to screen duplicate or quadruplicate copies to ensure reproducibility (Figure 3C). The whole array of haploid preys is usually mated against a single bait of the opposite mating type. Thus each potential interaction pair is tested one-on-one (see Figure 3A). For high-throughput analysis a replication robot is used with a 96- or 384-pin tool (Figure 3B) to replicate the test-arrays between the different selective steps.

### 1.3 Varicella zoster virus as model for combinatorial Y2H-screening

### 1.3.1 VZV - clinical aspects

Varicella zoster virus (VZV), also called HHV-3 (Human herpesvirus 3), is an alphaherpesvirus of the genus varicellovirus. It is one of nine human pathogenic herpesvirus species. Commonly, it is the causative agent of Varicella (also called chickenpox) in children and both herpes zoster (shingles) or postherpetic neuralgia in adults (Sampathkumar et al., 2009; Takahashi et al., 1974). Primary VZV infection results in chickenpox, a vesicular rash accompanied by fever, which may rarely result in complications like encephalitis or pneumonia (Kleinschmidt-DeMasters et al., 1996). After the primary infection, VZV remains dormant in the trigeminal and dorsal root ganglia of the infected person, so called virus latency (Steiner et al., 2007). In about ten to 20 percent of all cases, VZV is being released from the dormant state. The secondary infection causes herpes zoster. Serious complications of herpes zoster include VZV vasculopathy, VZV myelopathy, postherpetic neuralgia, herpes ophthalmicus, zoster multiplex, or zoster sine herpete (Gilden et al., 2009), see Figure 4 for an overview.

### 1.3.2 General introduction into the Herpesviridae family

VZV belongs to the Herpesviridae, which is a large family of enveloped double stranded DNA viruses with a broad host spectrum ranging from mammals to birds and reptiles. More than 100 different species of herpesviruses have been identified, including 9 human pathogenic viruses. Common for all herpesviruses are the ability to persist within a host in a latent state after primary infection. During latency only a few viral genes are expressed, limiting the hosts opportunity to establish an immune response directed against specific viral antigens. The latent virus can reactivate at
later time points and lead to secondary infections which sometimes are of different nature than the primary infection.


Figure 4: Diseases from secondary VZV infections.
Neurologic diseases produced by reactivation of Varicella zoster virus (Gilden et al., 2009).

### 1.3.3 Herpesviridae - subfamilies and phylogeny

The Herpesviridae family is divided into three subfamilies: Alpha-, Beta- and Gammaherpesvirinae (Fauquet, 2005).

The three subfamilies were initially separated based on biological differences such as cell-tropism and growth properties in cell-culture. Alphaherpesvirinae are neurotrophic and replicate efficiently in cell culture, whereas Betaherpesvirinae have a narrower cell tropism in culture and infection in vivo may result in enlargement of the infected cells (Cytomegaly). Gammaherpesvirinae replicate poorly in culture and are oncogenic lymphotropic viruses. With the advances in genetics, herpesviruses are now divided into subfamilies based on genomic differences. While most of the biological differences accurately predicted the subfamily association, some viruses have been moved into a different subfamily after their genome was fully sequenced. This was for example the case for Marek's disease virus (MDV), which was initially thought to be closely related to Epstein Barr virus (EBV), a gammaherpesvirus, due to its ability to infect lymphocytes in addition to its oncogenicity (Osterrieder et al., 2006). But genetic analysis revealed that the virus had more in common with alphaherpesviruses (Buckmaster et al., 1988; Cebrian et al., 1982). The evolutionary
divergence of the three subfamilies has been predicted to have taken place around 400 million years ago, which is about the same time as prehistoric animals first started to step onto land (Daeschler et al., 2006; McGeoch and Gatherer, 2005).

### 1.3.4 Virion structure and genetic organization

A major characteristic of herpesviruses is the architecture of the virion. The virion size varies between 150 and 200 nm and is composed of four distinct components: envelope, tegument, capsid and the core (Figure 6A). An electron micrograph of VZV is shown in Figure 5.


Figure 5: VZV virion.
Electron micrograph of a VZV virion. Source: Dr. Erskine Palmer; B.G. Partin, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Public Health Image Library (PHIL), \#1878.

The core consists of the viral genome, which is believed to be packaged in a toroidal shape (Furlong et al., 1972; Perdue et al., 1976). While all herpesviral genomes are made up of double stranded DNA, the genome size of different species varies from 125 kbp (VZV) up to 230 kbp (HCMV) (Chee et al., 1990; Davison and Scott, 1986). The genome size also reflects the protein coding potential of different species with VZV encoding at least 74 ORFs, while HCMV encodes approximately 200 ORFs. 46 of the VZV ORFs encode proteins essential for virus replication (Figure 7). In addition, the genetic organization of the genomes differs between species. The VZV genome consists of two covalently linked segments called unique long ( $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) and unique short $\left(U_{s}\right)$, each flanked by sequences of inverted repeats ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $I R_{L}$ )
(Figure $6 B$ ). The $U_{L}$ and $U_{S}$ segments occur in four isoforms, depending on the orientation of the $U_{L}$ and $U_{S}$ region (Figure 6 C ). The KSHV genome in contrast is made up of only one segment flanked by multiple uniform repeats (Lagunoff and Ganem, 1997). The inner nucleoprotein core is surrounded by an icosahedral ( $\mathrm{T}=16$ ) capsid shell of 162 capsomeres (Major Capsid Protein), encoded by the HSV-1 UL19 orthologs. Therefrom, 12 are pentavalent capsomeres located at the vertices of the capsid, while the remaining 150 are hexavalent capsomeres (Mettenleiter, 2002).


B


C


Figure 6: Schematic image of the VZV virion.
A) Major elements of VZV are indicated left. Important components of each element are shown at the right. B) General structure of the VZV genome. The genome consists of 124,884 bp (Davison and Scott, 1986) can be divided into the unique long $\left(U_{L}\right)$ and unique short $\left(U_{S}\right)$ region, which are flanked by terminal repeats long and short ( $T R_{L}, T R_{S}$ ) and internal repeat long and short ( $\left.I R_{L}, I R_{S}\right)$. The origins of DNA replication (ori) are located in the $\mathrm{IR}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\mathrm{TR}_{\mathrm{S}}$. C ) Isomeric forms of Herpesvirus genomes: four different isoforms with respect to the orientation of the $U_{L}$ and $U_{S}$.sequences do occur (Morse et al., 1977). The $P$ and $I_{S}$ isomers make up more than $95 \%$ of the packaged VZV DNA (Rahaus, 2006).

Surrounding the capsid is a proteinaceous, loosely structured layer called the tegument containing IE-proteins (immediate-early proteins) which are needed for the first steps of host cell infection. While the composition and structure of the capsid is
quite conserved throughout the herpesviridae, the composition of the tegument has a higher degree of variation between different species. There is, however, a set of at least five tegument proteins which are believed to be conserved between the three subfamilies. The tegument is enclosed within a lipid bilayer, the envelope, which contains at least seven conserved virus-encoded glycoproteins (Figure 6) (McGeoch et al., 2006).


Figure 7: VZV ORFs and their orientation in the genome.
The 74 open reading frames of VZV have recently been investigated by a systematic deletion mutagenesis study by Zhang and coworkers (Zhang et al., 2010a). The colour scheme reflects the effect of the deletion of the respective ORF on virus replication. This Figure is taken from the original publication (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat. 1000971.g002.).

### 1.3.5 Herpesviral life-cycle

Herpesviruses share a common replication cycle. An infection is initiated when one or more of the glycoproteins protruding from the viral envelope attach to specific surface receptors on the host cell. The ubiquitously expressed glycosaminoglycan heparan sulfate is a common receptor for initial cell attachment of most herpesviruses, including VZV. Additionally, different herpesvirus species attach to different surface receptors, which is an important factor in determining the tropism of a virus.

VZV mediates the entry into the host cell via interaction of glycoprotein E (gE, Figure 6 ) and Insulin degrading receptor (IDE) (Li et al., 2006), while HSV-1 enters the host cell via interaction of gD and HVEM (Herpesvirus Entry Mediator) (Montgomery et al.,
1996). In addition to HVEM, HSV-1 can also attach to the cellular surface proteins nectin-1 and nectin-2 (Geraghty et al., 1998; Warner et al., 1998). Other cellular receptors for herpesviruses include intergrins in the case of HCMV (Feire et al., 2004), complement receptor 2 (CR2) for EBV (Yefenof et al., 1976), as well as DCSIGN, the Cystine transporter xCT and Intergrin $\alpha 3 \beta 1$ for KSHV (Akula et al., 2002; Kaleeba and Berger, 2006; Rappocciolo et al., 2006).
Several theories on the entry mechanisms of the viral capsid into the host cell have been proposed. The most accepted theory suggests that the interaction between the viral glycoprotein and cellular receptor brings the viral envelope into close proximity of the cell membrane, which results in the fusion between the two membranes (Roizman et al., 2005). Viral tegument proteins and the capsid are subsequently released into the host-cell, thus initiating the infectious cycle. When released into the cytoplasm, the capsid is transported to the nucleus along microtubules, which is mediated via the motor proteins Dynein and Dynactin (Dohner et al., 2002; Naranatt et al., 2005). At the nucleus the viral genome is transported through a nuclear pore, leaving behind the empty capsid. Studies using temperature sensitive mutants of HSV-1 have indicated that the large tegument protein (ORF40 for VZV) is involved in this process (Batterson et al., 1983). After the entry of the viral genome transcription of the viral genes occurs in a cascade like manner where the immediate early (IE) genes are expressed first, followed by the early (E) genes and finally the late (L) genes (Figure 8b-d). While the IE genes mostly encode transcriptional activators necessary for proper expression of $E$ and $L$ genes, E genes encode genes involved in the replication of the viral genome. Late genes are expressed after the replication of the viral genome is initiated, encoding structural proteins required or building up new viral particles (Roizman, 1996). Production of new virus particles occurs within the nucleus of the infected cell, in specific replication compartments (Sourvinos and Everett, 2002; Taylor et al., 2003). These compartments are thought to contain the structural proteins which make up the capsid, the proteins necessary for replicating the viral DNA, in addition to other proteins necessary for proper production of new viral particles. One of these proteins is the viral scaffolding protein (ORF33.5 in VZV), which forms a scaffold for the capsid proteins to assemble around (Singer et al., 2005). Herpesviral DNA is replicated in a rolling circle mechanism, resulting in a long concatemeric DNA molecule where several viral genomes are organized in a head-to-tail fashion.


Figure 8: Herpesviral replication cycle.
a) Attachment and virus entry. Viral membrane proteins on virus particles bind to cellular receptors on the plasma membrane of the host cell, initiating fusion of the two membranes. Nucleocapsids containing the viral genome (red hexagons) are released into the cytoplasm and transported to nuclear pores. The linear viral genome is translocated into the nucleus and circularizes. b) Transcription. Three classes of viral genes are transcribed and translated into proteins. Immediateearly proteins (yellow) participate in further transcription. c) Replication. Early proteins (green) synthesize new viral DNA molecules using circularized viral DNA as a template. d) Assembly, encapsidation and nuclear egress. Late proteins (blue) assemble into capsids, which are filled with newly replicated viral DNA. Nucleocapsids leave the nucleus by budding through the inner nuclear membrane (a process termed 'envelopment') into the perinuclear space. Through a complex process of de- and re-envelopment, mature virus particles reach exocytic vesicles, which fuse with the plasma membrane and release new virus particles into the extracellular space. Figure from Coen and Schaffer, 2003.

The concatemeric DNA molecule is subsequently cleaved into single genomes during the packaging process. In VZV, there are seven virus-encoded proteins which are essential for the packaging of the viral genome. They are encoded by the ORFs number $25,26,30,34,43,45 / 42$ and 54 (Visalli et al., 2007). These proteins have been designated as DNA packaging proteins since deletion or mutation of any of these genes results in partial or no packaging of the viral genome. The two proteins pORF30 and pORF45/42, which is the splicing product of the ORFs 45 and 42, make up the Terminase complex and have been reported to be involved in cleavage of the concatemeric DNA as it is packaged into the capsid (Abbotts et al., 2000; Visalli et al., 2007). UL33, the homologue of ORF25 in VZV, was suggested to be a part of the Terminase complex, and that it interacts with UL28 (ORF30 in VZV) and stabilizes the UL15/UL28 (ORF45/42-ORF30) complex (Beard et al., 2002; Yang and Baines, 2006). After packaging of the viral DNA, the capsid is transported through the inner nuclear membrane into the perinuclear space, thereby obtaining an initial viral envelope. Two viral proteins, UL31 and UL34 in HSV-1 (ORF27 and ORF24 in VZV), called the nuclear egress complex (NEC), have been reported to play an important role in the nuclear egress of herpesviruses (Reynolds et al., 2001). Orthologs in Pseudorabies virus (PrV) (Fuchs et al., 2002), MCMV (Muranyi et al., 2002) and EBV (Lake and Hutt-Fletcher, 2004) have been reported to share the same function. The initial viral envelope is believed to get lost when the viral particle fuses with the outer nuclear membrane thus releasing the uncoated virus into the cytoplasm. In HSV-1, the viral kinase US3 (VZV ORF66) is reported to play an important role in this process, as US3 deletion mutants accumulate in the perinuclear space (Reynolds et al., 2002). In the cytosol the viral particles acquire the final tegument and a second envelope when being translocated into the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (Granzow et al., 2001; Skepper et al., 2001). The details how the viral particle is transported into the TGN is not fully understood, but for PrV and EHV-1 (Equine herpesvirus 1) the conserved proteins gM (VZV ORF50) and UL11 (VZV ORF49) have been reported to be involved (Kopp et al., 2004; Kopp et al., 2003; Seyboldt et al., 2000). Glycoprotein $M$ may also mediate the final viral egress from the infected cell via secretorial vesicles budding off from the trans-Golgi network, leaving the cell through exocytosis.

### 1.3.6 Latency

All herpesviruses are able to enter a latent infection state where only a few genes are expressed. By limiting the number of proteins expressed, the virus can minimize the viral epitopes presented by class I MHC (major histocompatibility complex I), and thus prevent detection by cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CTL). In HSV, the LAT (Latency associated transcript) has been reported to be important for heterochromatin formation on lytic genes during latency (Wang et al., 2005). For KSHV, demethylation of the ORF50 promoter, encoding the lytic transactivator RTA (replication and transcription activator) is enough to change the infection from a latent to lytic state (Chen et al., 2001). More recently it has been reported that some herpesvirus species also express microRNAs (miRNAs) during latency (Cai et al., 2005). MiRNAs are short RNA transcripts (about 22 nucleotides), involved in gene regulation through binding specific mRNAs, thereby inhibiting translation.

VZV remains latent in neurons in cranial nerve-, dorsal root-, and autonomic ganglia along the entire human neuraxis (Gilden et al., 1987; Hyman et al., 1983; LaGuardia et al., 1999). VZV DNA is present in a circular or concatemeric (end-to-end) state during the latent state (Clarke et al., 1995) and is present as two to nine copies in one to seven percent of individual neurons (Pevenstein et al., 1999). The wide range of VZV copy numbers during latent infection may reflect the strength of the primary infection. Transcripts corresponding to the VZV ORFs 21, 29, 62, 63, and 66 have been identified in latently infected human ganglia (Cohrs et al., 1995; Cohrs and Gilden, 2003; Cohrs et al., 1994), while the VZV ORF63 transcript is the most prevalent and abundant that can be detected (Cohrs and Gilden, 2007).

### 1.4 Drug discovery

The process of finding a new drug against a chosen target for a particular disease usually involves high-throughput screening (HTS), where large libraries of chemicals are tested for their ability to modify the target. For example, if the target is a protein kinase, the chemicals are tested for their ability to inhibit that kinase.

HTS is also used to determine how selective compounds are for the chosen target. A compound should interfere selectively with the target and not with other, related targets. This cross-screening is important, because the more unspecific a compound
hits related targets, the more likely is off-target toxicity of this compound once it reaches clinical trials.

Another important method for drug discovery is drug design, where a prediction is made which chemical compound might fit into an active site or within a PPI interface. One example is fragment-based lead discovery (FBLD). Novel pharmacophores can emerge very rapidly from these exercises.

Fragment-based lead discovery (FBLD) is a method used for finding lead compounds as part of the drug discovery process. It is based on identifying small chemical fragments, which may bind weakly to the biological target, and then modifying them or combining them to produce a lead with higher affinity (Congreve et al., 2008). When a lead compound series with sufficient target potency -selectivity and favorable drug-like properties has emerged, one or two compounds are proposed for drug development.

A third method is virtual high throughput screening, where screening is performed in silico with 3D molecule structures, attempting to dock those virtual libraries to a target, e.g. the herpesviral thymidine kinase (Seifert, 2005).

Prerequisites for virtual drug development are, apart from the identification of a promising drug target, which is commonly a protein, the knowledge of its structure and the active sites within the protein which can be targeted.

### 1.5 Mapping of interaction epitopes using peptide arrays

### 1.5.1 Spot synthesis

SPOT synthesis was originally introduced by Frank (Frank, 1992). It is a convenient and flexible technique for simultaneous and parallel chemical synthesis of peptides at distinct positions on a solid support like a cellulose membrane. SPOT method has opened up great opportunities to synthesize and subsequently screen large arrays of synthetic peptides (Landgraf et al., 2004; Otte et al., 2003).
Peptide arrays prepared by SPOT synthesis can be used to characterize molecular interactions, for example by epitope mapping, which is the analysis of protein-proteinand protein-nucleic acid interactions. This allows the identification of biologically active peptides. Moreover, peptide arrays can be used to describe molecular recognition events on the single amino acid level.


Figure 9: SPOT synthesis following solid phase peptide synthesis.
After membrane functionalization, the amino acids are coupled as an activated ester solution. To increase peptide density and to limit spot diameter, a triple coupling of each amino acid solution per cycle is performed. The coupling can be monitored by staining the free amino groups with bromophenol blue (optional). After the amino acid coupling, the remaining free amino groups are blocked by acetylation (capping). Subsequently, the Fmoc group is removed by treatment with 20 \% piperidine/DMF to prepare the membrane for the next coupling step. After the last coupling step, the side-chain protection groups are removed by treatment with TFA. Figure adapted from Hilpert et al., 2007.

Figure 9 shows the scheme of the SPOT synthesis method. A commercially available filter paper (e.g. Whatman 50) with free functional hydroxyl groups can be modified to amino acid coupling by coupling with activated Fmoc- $\beta$-Ala-OH and the subsequent removal of the Fmoc-group. The peptide chain elongation steps follow solid phase peptide synthesis (Merrifield, 1963).

### 1.5.1.1 Analysis of protein-peptide contact sites based on SPOT synthesis

Protein interactions can be studied at the amino acid level by peptide scans of overlapping peptides (Geysen et al., 1984). Proteins interact via surface accessible interaction sites which involve amino acid residues and backbone contacts either along a continuous segment of the protein chain (linear epitopes) or they involve amino acid residues from at least two segments close in space by the folded conformation (conformational or discontinuous epitopes) but separated in the primary sequence (Figure 10). An epitope is defined as the contact site of a protein that interacts with a binding partner. In linear epitopes, the key amino acids mediating the contacts with the binding partner are located within one part of the primary structure and comprise no more than 15 amino acids in length, usually 8-12 amino acids. Peptides covering such epitopes are usually able to compete with the protein-protein interaction and have similar affinities as the intact protein from which they are derived (Reineke et al., 1999). The mapping of linear epitopes by standard SPOT technology using overlapping peptides derived from the primary AA sequence of a protein is an easy and efficient approach. The whole protein sequence is fragmented and synthesized on cellulose with short overlapping peptides, in my standard conditions 15 amino acids in length, shifted by 3 amino acids to at the next spot (Figure 10A). The resulting peptide scan is subsequently probed for binding to the respective interacting protein, which was identified e.g. by Y2H analysis. Binding assays can be performed directly on the peptide array by immunodetection of bound protein or by autoradiography of a radiolabeled probe. Transfer to a nitrocellulose membrane is also possible, but leading to a loss of protein.

Since protein-protein interactions are often mediated by large contact patches (Jones and Thornton, 1996), most proteins have more than one binding site which interacts with a binding partner.


Figure 10: Scheme of mapping PPI epitopes.
A) Linear and $\mathbf{B}$ ) discontinuous protein-protein interaction epitopes can be detected in binding assays using peptide arrays. The sequences colored red in A) are the linear contact site with detectable signals in binding assay. The sequences colored red and green in B) are separated in the protein sequence and are detected individually in the binding assay.

In discontinuous binding sites the key residues contributing to the binding affinity are separated in the protein sequence, but they are close to each other in the folded protein, forming a combined binding epitope (Figure 10B). The mapping of discontinuous epitopes using fragments of protein either generated chemically or biologically suffers from the drawback that peptides comprising only single binding region of a discontinuous binding site generally have very low affinities for the binding partner in solution, even if the complete binding site mediates a high affinity interaction. Thus, the mapping of discontinuous epitopes with overlapping peptide scan on cellulose might be accordingly very difficult. However, peptide arrays have the advantage of a high density of immobilized peptides on membranes, which can be estimated between 0.2 and $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ using $\beta$-Alanine coated Whatman 50 cellulose membranes (Frank and Overwin, 1996), which achieves a high local peptide concentration ( mM level or higher, estimated) and thus increases the screening sensitivity. In this way, the inherent defect that peptides comprising only the individual binding regions normally having low affinities for the binding partner can be overcome to a great extent by SPOT method.

### 1.6 Aims

The present study is composed of two major parts. First, an improved strategy for Yeast two-hybrid screening was developed, applied and validated. The system was applied to a VZV ORFeome, and validated using intrinsic quality features as well as external available interaction data. A second screen was performed with the novel system, mapping intraviral protein-protein interactions of Hepatitis E virus, which is going to be published together with an intracellular localization study performed at the Max-von-Pettenkofer Institut (Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, München) (Osterman et al., 2010).

In the second part, a promising drug-target for development of anti-VZV compounds, pORF25, was further characterized by mapping its self-interaction contact sites. This was part of a collaborate study which investigated this highly conserved protein among the herpesvirus-family, proposing it to be a chaperone involved also in Terminase complex formation (Vizoso Pinto et al., 2010).

### 1.6.1 Combinatorial Y2H-screening with permutated fusion tags

Irrespective of sterical conditions, small variations of the Y 2 H system were shown to result in differences in the resulting interactions (Rajagopala et al., 2009). As no other large-scale screen has used multiple Y2H systems within a single study, not much attention has been paid to this phenomenon so far. For example, two systematic screens of protein-protein interactions among proteins of Kaposi's sarcoma associated herpesvirus (KSHV) yielded very little overlap (Rozen et al., 2008; Uetz et al., 2006). Clearly, slight variations of vectors, strains, or assay conditions can strongly affect the resulting interactions, even when identical proteins are used. Together with the knowledge about high false-negative rates, my aim was to create a Y2H system, made up of two compatible bait- and prey vectors to amplify the interactome of Varicella zoster virus. Traditional Y2H vector systems carry the cloning sites downstream of the DBD and AD, so that the bait and prey proteins carry N-terminal fusion domains. This assay layout allows screening of eight possible steric combinations of every possible protein pair, increasing the chance to overcome possible steric hindrance effects (see Figure 11 for a schematic overview).

Several vectors have been described that use C-terminal fusions of AD and DBDs (Beranger et al., 1997; Brown and MacGillivray, 1997; James, 2001; Millson et al., 2003). These studies have shown that the topology of the fusion site is critical when selected protein pairs were tested (Brown and MacGillivray, 1997). However, those previously studied vectors are not applicable for high-throughput screening because they require conventional cloning by restriction digest and ligation. As a consequence, it remained unclear how N - or C-terminal Yeast two-hybrid fusions behave when larger sets of proteins or whole genomes are analyzed in standardized Y2H assays.
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Figure 11: Principle of combinatorial Y2H screening.
Each protein pair $X-Y$ is tested eight times (A-D) instead of two times in a classical screen (A). A) Classical NN-topology, both fusion tags are fused to $N$-termini of the hybrid proteins $X$ and $Y$. In a pairwise whole proteome screen each protein is tested once as bait and every time as prey, so every protein pair $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ is tested twice, as bait-X/prey-Y and bait-Y/prey-X. B-D) CC, NC and CN-topologies are screened in the same way as the classical system, thereby quadrupling the screening combinations. Different scenarios are supposable allowing-, or preventing detectability of a PPI in Y2H assays. A)-D), left side. It is assumed that an interacting protein pair $X-Y$ does interact and the fusion tags allow physical interaction (dotted lines). One or more topological DBD-AD tag combinations subsequently may allow driving reporter gene expression. It seems plausible that a certain distance range between the fusion tags promotes the reconstitution of the native-like transcription factor. A)-D) Right side. It is postulated that the fusion tags sterically hinder the interaction, so that no TFreconstitution occurs (indicated by red crosses).

### 1.6.2 Mapping of the homomerization domain of VZV ORF25

The VZV DNA-encapsidation proteins are involved into the packaging of the linear virus genomes into the capsid (Visalli et al., 2007). The concatemeric dsDNA is packaged into the procapsid through a channel formed by a portal protein complex embedded in a unique vertex of the procapsid and cut into single genomes. This is a mechanism that is unique to herpesviruses and many tailed dsDNA bacteriophages (Rao and Feiss, 2008). The protein encoded by VZV ORF25 comprises 156 amino acids and a molecular mass of $17,461 \mathrm{kDa}$. It is conserved throughout the family of the Herpesviridae and plays a central and essential role in the DNA-packaging process (Beard et al., 2002; Visalli et al., 2007; Vizoso Pinto et al., 2010). It may be a promising new drug-target, as we are facing first resistances against established nucleoside analogs which are used for antiviral therapy to date. A prerequisite for virtual drug design was achieved in this study by revealing the interaction sites and associated essential residues.

## 2 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Materials

### 2.1.1 Instruments

12-channel pipette
8-channel pipette
Agarose gel electrophoresis chambers
Benchtop centrifuge 5810R
Benchtop centrifuge Labofuge 400R
Biomek 2000 automated workstation
Bioruptor
Developer
Glassware
High Density Replication Tool
Incubator
Incubator, shaker
Microcentrifuge, Biofuge pico
MultiPep peptide spotter
NanoDrop ND-1000, spectrophotometer
PAGE-chambers
PH-meter
Photometer
Piston driven pipettes
Semidry-Blotter
COREX 8445 centrifugation tubes
Stand alone centrifuge J2-HS
Stand alone centrifuge Avanti-J20
Thermocycler
UV-lightbox

Biohit, Frankfurt
Eppendorf, Hamburg
Peqlab, Erlangen
Eppendorf, Hamburg
Heraeus, Stuttgart
Beckman Coulter, Krefeld
Diagenode, Liège
Kodak, New Haven, USA
Schott, Mainz
Beckman Coulter, Krefeld
Heraeus, Stuttgart
Infors, Bottmingen, Switzerland
Heraeus, Stuttgart
INTAVIS AG, Köln
Peqlab, Erlangen
GE Healthcare, München
Eppendorf, Hamburg
BioRad, München
Gilson, Middleton, USA
Amersham, Freiburg
Corning Glass Works, New York
Beckman Coulter, Krefeld
Beckman Coulter, Krefeld
BioRad, München
Peqlab, Erlangen

### 2.1.2 Consumable Materials

96-deep-well plates
96-well plates, flat bottom
96-well plates, round bottom
Bottle top sterile filters, $\varnothing 0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Conical tubes, $15 \mathrm{ml} \& 50 \mathrm{ml}$
Dialysis membrane, Type 8/32
Microcentrifuge tubes, $1.5 \mathrm{ml} \& 2 \mathrm{ml}$
Omnitray plates
PCR tubes
Petri dishes
PVDF membrane
Sterile syringe filters, $\varnothing 0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Syringes
Whatman paper
Whatman 50 paper,
(hardened low ash filter paper, grade 50)

### 2.1.3 General Chemicals

## 1,4-dithiothreitol

3-amino-1,2,4-triazole
5 -fluoroorotic acid
Acetic acid, glacial
Acrylamide/N,N'-methylenbisacrylamide
Activated charcoal
Agarose
Anhydrotetracycline
Ammonium persulfate
Amylose Resin High Flow
$\beta$-mercaptoethanol
Bovine serum albumin
Bradford reagent
Bromophenol blue

VWR International, Darmstadt
Sarstedt, Nümbrecht
Sarstedt, Nümbrecht
Nalge Nunc, Rochester, USA
Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen
Roth, Karlsruhe
Eppendorf, Hamburg
Nalge Nunc, Wiesbaden
Corning, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Greiner, Nürtingen
Millipore, Schwalbach
Schleicher \& Schuell, Dassel
Braun, Melsung
Bender und Hobein, Karlsruhe

Bender und Hobein, Karlsruhe

Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Fermentas, St Leon-Rot
Merck, Darmstadt
Roth, Karlsruhe
Roth, Karlsruhe
Peqlab, Erlangen
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
New England Biolabs, Frankfurt
Roth, Karlsruhe
PAA Laboratories, Marburg
Roth, Karlsruhe
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
$\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$
Complete protease inhibitor mix tablets
Coomassie brilliant blue G-250
DMSO
dNTPs
EDTA
Ethanol
Ethidium bromide
Glass beads
Glycerol
HCl
Imidazole
IPTG
Isopropanol
Igepal CA-630
Lithium acetate
Lysozyme, from chicken egg white
Maltose
Skimmed milk powder
NaOH
Ni-NTA-Agarose
PEG P3640
PMSF
Ponceau-S
Potassium acetate
Salmon sperm DNA
SDS
Sodium hypochlorite, 12 \%
TEMED
Tris-base / - HCl
Triton-X-100
Tween20

Roth, Karlsruhe
Roche, Mannheim
Roth, Karlsruhe
Roth, Karlsruhe
Metabion, Martinsried
Roth, Karlsruhe
Roth, Karlsruhe
Roth, Karlsruhe
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Roth, Karlsruhe
Roth, Karlsruhe
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Roth, Karlsruhe
Merck, Darmstadt
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Roth, Karlsruhe
Saliter, Obergünzburg
Roth, Karlsruhe
Qiagen, Hilden
Peqlab, Erlangen
Serva, Heidelberg
Roth, Karlsruhe
Roth, Karlsruhe
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Roth, Karlsruhe
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Roth, Karlsruhe
Roth, Karlsruhe
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Roth, Karlsruhe

### 2.1.4 Kits

| ECL western blotting analysis system | Amersham, Freiburg |
| :--- | :--- |
| PCR-product clean-up kit, "SV Wizard" | Promega, Mannheim |
| Plasmid maxiprep kit | Qiagen, Hilden |
| Plasmid miniprep 96 kit, "Montage" | Millipore, Schwalbach |
| Plasmid miniprep kit, "Quick Lyse" | Qiagen, Hilden |

### 2.1.5 Compounds of Bacteria- and Yeast Media

Adenine
Agar-agar
Ammonium sulfate
Ampicillin
Arginine
Asparagine
Aspartic acid
Bacto ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ peptone
Bacto ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Yeast extract
Chloramphenicol
D(+)-glucose
Gentamicin
Glutamine
Histidine
Isoleucine
Kanamycin
Leucine
Lysine
NaCl
Phenylalanine
Serine
Spectinomycin
Threonine
Tryptophan
Tyrosine

Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Otto-Nordwald KG, Hamburg
Roth, Karlsruhe
Roth, Karlsruhe
Merck, Darmstadt
Merck, Darmstadt
Merck, Darmstadt
Roth, Karlsruhe
Roth, Karlsruhe
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Roth, Karlsruhe
Roth, Karlsruhe
Merck, Darmstadt
Merck, Darmstadt
Merck, Darmstadt
Roth, Karlsruhe
Merck, Darmstadt
Merck, Darmstadt
Roth, Karlsruhe
Merck, Darmstadt
Merck, Darmstadt
Duchefa, Haarlem, The Netherlands
Merck, Darmstadt
Merck, Darmstadt
Merck, Darmstadt

Uracil
Valine
Yeast nitrogen base

Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Merck, Darmstadt
Gibco, Karlsruhe

### 2.1.6 Chemicals for Peptide Synthesis

DMF (N,N- Dimethylformamide)
DIC (N,N'-Diisopropylcarbodiimide)
NMI (1-Methylimidazole)
Methanol
HOBt (1-Hydroxybenzotriazole)
NMP (1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone)
Piperidine
Acetic anhydride
TFA (2,2,2-Trifluoroacetic acid)
TIPS (TriisopropyIsilane)
Phenol (Hydroxybenzene)

Roth, Karlsruhe
Merck, Darmstadt
Merck, Darmstadt
Roth, Karlsruhe
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Fisher Scientific, Schwerte
Roth, Karlsruhe
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen
Merck, Darmstadt
Merck, Darmstadt

| AA-Derivative | Novabiochem No. | M [g/mol] | m [0,5 mmol] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fmoc-Ala-OH | $04-12-1006$ | 311,3 | $155,7 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH | $04-12-1145$ | 648,8 | $324,4 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Asn(Trt)-OH | $04-12-1089$ | 596,7 | $298,4 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Asp(OtBu)-OH | $04-12-1013$ | 411,5 | $205,8 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Cys(Trt)-OH | $04-12-1018$ | 585,7 | $292,9 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-GIn(Trt)-OH | $04-12-1090$ | 610,7 | $305,4 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Glu(OtBu)-OH | $04-12-1020$ | 425,5 | $212,8 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Gly-OH | $04-12-1001$ | 297,3 | $148,7 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-His(Trt)-OH | $04-12-1065$ | 619,7 | $309,9 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Ile-OH | $04-12-1024$ | 353,4 | $176,7 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Leu-OH | $04-12-1025$ | 353,4 | $176,7 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Lys(boc)-OH | $04-12-1026$ | 468,5 | $234,3 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Met-OH | $04-12-1003$ | 371,5 | $185,8 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Phe-OH | $04-12-1030$ | 387,4 | $193,7 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Pro-OH | $04-12-1031$ | 337,4 | $168,7 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Ser(tBu)-OH | $04-12-1033$ | 383,4 | $191,7 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Thr(tBu)-OH | $04-12-1000$ | 397,5 | $198,8 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Trp(Boc)-OH | $04-12-1103$ | 526,6 | $263,3 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-Tyr(tBu)-OH | $04-12-1037$ | 459,6 | $229,8 \mathrm{mg}$ |


| AA-Derivative | Novabiochem No. | $\mathbf{M}$ [g/mol] | $\mathbf{m}$ [0,5 mmol] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fmoc-Val-OH | $04-12-1039$ | 339,4 | $169,7 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| Fmoc-ß-Ala-OH | $04-12-1044$ | 319,3 | $128,0 \mathrm{mg}$ |

Table 1 Fmoc protected amino acids for SPPS

### 2.1.7 DNA and Protein Ladders

1 kb DNA-ladder
PeqGOLD prestained protein ladder

### 2.1.8 Enzymes

| BP Clonase Mix II | Invitrogen, Karlsruhe |
| :--- | :--- |
| LR Clonase Mix II | Invitrogen, Karlsruhe |
| PrimeStar HS DNA Polymerase | TaKaRa Bio Europe, Potsdam |
| Proteinase K | Invitrogen, Karlsruhe |
| Restriction endonucleases | Promega, Mannheim and |
|  | New England Biolabs, Frankfurt |
| RNAse A | Qiagen, Hilden |
| Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase | Promega, Mannheim |
| T4 DNA Ligase | Promega, Mannheim |
| Taq DNA Polymerase | Promega, Mannheim |

### 2.1.9 Media for Bacterial Culture

### 2.1.9.1 LB liquid medium

0.5 \% (w/v) Bacto ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Yeast extract
$1 \%$ (w/v) Bacto ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Peptone
$1 \%(w / v) \mathrm{NaCl}$
Autoclave 20 min at $121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. If required, add antibiotics for selection after the medium has cooled down to approximately $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.1.9.2 LB agar plates

Additionally to the liquid medium add 1.6 \% Agar-Agar prior to autoclaving. Pour the medium 5 cm or 10 cm Petri dishes after cooling down to approximately $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.1.9.3 Antibiotics

| Name | Working concentration |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ampicillin | $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |
| Chloramphenicol | $34 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |
| Gentamicin | $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |
| Kanamycin | $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |
| Spectinomycin | $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ |

Antibiotic stocks were prepared in $1000 \times$ concentration and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.1.10 Media for Yeast Culture

### 2.1.10.1 YPD liquid medium

1 \% (w/v) Bacto ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Yeast extract
2 \% (w/v) Bacto ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Peptone
2 \% (w/v) Glucose
Autoclave 20 min at $121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.1.10.2 YPD agar medium

Additionally to the liquid YPD medium add 1.6 \% Agar-Agar prior to autoclaving. After autoclaving add 4 ml of a $1 \%$ adenine solution ( $1 \%$ adenine in 0.1 M NaOH ). Pour the medium in OmniTrays after cooling down to approximately $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.1.10.3 SD media for yeast selective plates

- $5 \times$ Medium concentrate, 1 I
$8.5 \mathrm{~g} \quad$ Yeast nitrogen base
25 g Ammonium sulfate
100 g Glucose
7 g Dropout mix
Add deionized water up to 1 I . Sterile filter through a bottle top filter.
- Dropout mix (-Leu,-Trp,-His)

| Amino acid / Nucleobase | m [g] |
| :--- | :--- |
| Methionine | 1 |
| Arginine | 1 |
| Phenylalanine | 2.5 |
| Lysine | 3 |
| Tyrosine | 3 |
| Isoleucine | 4 |
| Glutamic acid | 5 |
| Aspartic acid | 5 |
| Valine | 7.5 |
| Threonine | 10 |
| Serine | 20 |
| Adenine | 1 |
| Uracil | 1 |

Mix all components and store under dry conditions

## - Amino acid stock solutions

Leucine (Leu, L):
Tryptophan (Trp, (W), T):
Histidine (His, H):
dissolve $7.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ in DIW, sterile filter.
dissolve $4.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ in DIW, sterile filter.
dissolve $4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ in DIW, sterile filter.

Note: Even though the official one-letter code for Tryptophan is W in this study it is abbreviated T , which is commonly used for Threonine.

## - 3-AT Stock Solution

Dissolve 1 mol/l 3-AT in deionized water.
Sterile filter through a bottletop filter.

## - Preparation of selective plates

Per liter medium autoclave 16 g Agar in 800 ml DIW, then let it cool down to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Add 200 ml of 5 x medium concentrate. Depending on the required selective plates, add the missing amino acids or the required amount of 3-AT from the stock solution as follows.

-     - Trp (-T): $\quad 8.3 \mathrm{ml}$ Leucine and 8.3 ml Histidine stock solution
- -Leu (-L): $\quad 8.3 \mathrm{ml}$ Tryptophan and 8.3 ml Histidine stock solution
- -Leu-Trp (-LT):
8.3 ml Histidine stock solution
- -Leu-Trp-His (-LTH): Nothing to be added
- -Leu-Trp-His, x mM 3-AT: x ml of 3-AT stock solution


### 2.1.10.4 Solutions for Yeast transformation

- Salmon Sperm DNA: Dissolve $7.75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ salmon sperm DNA in water, autoclave 15 min at $121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and store at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- 96 PEG solution ( 100 ml ):
- 45.6 g PEG
- 6.1 ml of 2 M LiOAc (Lithium acetate)
- 1.14 ml of 1 M Tris pH 7.5
- $232 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ EDTA
- Fill up to 100 ml with sterile water and autoclave


### 2.1.11 General Buffers and Solutions

- 6 x DNA loading buffer

30 \% (v/v) Glycerol
0.01 \% (w/v) Bromophenol blue
0.001 \% (w/v) RNAse A

- $50 \times$ TAE buffer

242 g Tris base
100 ml 0.5 M EDTA
57.1 ml Glacial acetic acid

Add enough DIW to dissolve solids, adjust $\mathrm{pH} 7.6-7.8$ with HCl then fill up to 1000 ml .

- Plasmid miniprep solution 1

50 mM glucose
25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)
10 mM EDTA ( pH 8.0 )
Autoclave, add $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ RNAse A and store at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

- Plasmid miniprep solution 2

200 mM NaOH
1 \% (w/v) SDS

## - Plasmid miniprep solution 3

## 3 M Potassium acetate

Adjust pH 5.5 with glacial acetic acid.

### 2.1.12 Plasmids

| Name | Promoter | Marker | Tag | Host | Origin | Source | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { pDONR } \\ 201 \end{array}$ | none | kan' | none | TOP10 | pUC | Invitrogen | Gateway® donor vector |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { pDONR } \\ & 207 \end{aligned}$ | none | gen ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | none | TOP10 | pUC | Invitrogen | Gateway® donor vector |
| VZV <br> ORFeome | none | gen' | none | TOP10 | pUC | (Uetz et al., 2006) | All VZV ORFs and ORFfragments cloned into pDONR207 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { pDONR } \\ & 223 \end{aligned}$ | none | spec ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | none | TOP10 | pUC | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { (Rual et al., } \\ & 2004 \text { ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Gateway® donor vector |
| pETG-10A | T7/lac | amp ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | N -His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BL21 } \\ & \text { (DE3) } \end{aligned}$ | pBR322 | A. Geerlof, EMBL | expression vector based on pET-22b |
| pETG-30A | T7/lac | ampr ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N-His } \\ & \text { N-GST } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BL21 } \\ & \text { (DE3) } \end{aligned}$ | pBR322 | A. Geerlof, EMBL | expression vector based on pET-22b |
| pETG-40K | T7/lac | kan' | N-MBP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BL21 } \\ & \text { (DE3) } \end{aligned}$ | pBR322 | A. Geerlof, EMBL | expression vector based on pET-24d |
| pGADT7g | pADH1 | ampr ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | N-Gal4AD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOP10 } \\ & \text { AH109 } \end{aligned}$ | pUC, $2 \mu$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Uetz et al., } \\ & \text { 2006) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Gateway® Y2H } \\ \text { prey } \\ \text { expression } \\ \text { vector } \end{array} \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| pGBKT7g | pADH1 | kan' | N -Gal4DBD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOP10 } \\ & \text { Y187 } \end{aligned}$ | pUC, $2 \mu$ | (Uetz et al., 2006) | Gateway® Y2H bait expression vector |
| pGBGT7g | pADH1 | gen ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $\mathrm{N}-$ Gal4DBD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOP10 } \\ & \text { Y187 } \end{aligned}$ | pUC, $2 \mu$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Uetz et al., } \\ & \text { 2006) } \end{aligned}$ | Gateway® Y2H bait expression vector |
| pGADCg | pADH1 | ampr ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | C- <br> Gal4- <br> AD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOP10 } \\ & \text { AH109 } \end{aligned}$ | pUC, $2 \mu$ | (Stellberger <br> et al., <br> 2010) | ```Gateway® Y2H prey expression vector``` |
| pGBKCg | pADH1 | kan' | C-Gal4DBD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOP10 } \\ & \text { Y187 } \end{aligned}$ | pUC, $2 \mu$ | (Stellberger et al., <br> 2010) | Gateway® Y2H bait expression vector |
| pNusA | tet | ampr ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | N - <br> NusA <br> N -His | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BL21 } \\ & \text { (DE3) } \end{aligned}$ | unknown | Santhera Pharmaceu ticals | expression vector based on pASK75 |

### 2.1.13 Bacterial Strains

| Name | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E. coli <br> TOP10 | Chemically competent bacterial cells for amplification of <br> plasmids | Invitrogen |
| E. coli DB3.1 | Electrocompetent and chemically competent cells suitable for <br> propagation of plasmids containing the ccdB gene | Invitrogen |
| E. coli BL21- <br> CodonPlus® <br> (DE3)-RILEncodes T7 RNA polymerase under control of the lacUV5 <br> promoter for easy protein expression | Stratagene |  |

### 2.1.14 Yeast Strains

| Name | Genotype | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AH109 | MATa, trp1-901, leu2-3, 112, ura3-52, his3-200, gal44, gal80 $\Delta$, LYS2::GAL1 ${ }_{\text {UAs }}-$ GAL1 $_{\text {TATA }}$-HIS3, GAL2 ${ }_{\text {UAs }}-G A L 2_{\text {TATAAD }} A D E 2$, URA3::MEL1 ${ }_{\text {UAS }}-M E L 1_{\text {TATA }}-\operatorname{lacZ}$ | BD Biosciences Clontech, Palo Alto, USA |
| Y187 | MAT $\alpha$, ura3- 52, his3-200, ade2-101, trp1-901, leu2-3, 112, gal4 4 , met-, gal80 , URA3::GAL1 ${ }_{\text {uAs }}-G A L 1_{\text {tata }}-\operatorname{lacZ}$ | BD Biosciences Clontech, Palo Alto, USA |
| MaV103 | MATa, leu2-3,112, trp1-901, his3 4200 , ura3-52, ade2-101, gal4 $\Delta$, gal80 ${ }^{\text {, cyh2 }}{ }^{\text {R }}$, can1¹$, ~ G A L 1:: H I S 3 @ L Y S 2, ~ G A L 1:: l a c Z, ~$ SPAL10::URA3@ura3 | (Vidal et al., 1996) |
| MaV203 | MAT $\alpha$, leu2-3,112, $\operatorname{trp} 1-901$, his3 4200 , ura3-52, ade2-101, gal4 $\Delta$, gal80 , cyh2 $^{\text {R }}$, can1 ${ }^{\text {R }}$, GAL1::HIS3@LYS2, GAL1::lacZ, SPAL10::URA3@ura3 | (Vidal et al., 1996) |

### 2.1.15 PCR-Primers

| Name | Sequence $\mathbf{5}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ ] |
| :--- | :--- |
| VZV_ORF21_FW | AAAAAGCAGGCTCCGCCATGGAAGAACCAATTTGTTATGAT |
| VZV_ORF21_REV | AGAAAGCTGGGTCAGGGTCACTCCCACTTGTAT |
| VZV_ORF22_FW | AAAAAGCAGGCTCCGCCATGGATATAATTCCGCCTATAG |
| VZV_ORF22N_REV | AGAAAGCTGGGTATCTCGGTAGTTAGGTATTCCATTAATAG |
| attB1_FW | GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCT |
| attB2_REV | GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGT |
| GAL4_AD_REV | GGTTCGGACCGTTGCTACT |
| GAL4_DBD_REV | GGGCAAATATCGCATGCTTG |
| HEV_ORF2_P1_FW | AAAAAGCAGGCTCCGCCATGGGTAATACCAACACGCGGGTC |
| HEV_ORF2_P1_REV | AGAAAGCTGGGTCTGTCGGTCGGTCCTGCTCATG |
| HEV_ORF2_P2_FW | AAAAAGCAGGCTCCGCCATGCCTTCCCCAGCCCCATCG |
| HEV_ORF2_P2_REV | AGAAAGCTGGGTCTGCTAGCGCAGAGTGGGGG |
| HEV_ORF2_S_FW | AAAAAGCAGGCTCCGCCATGGCGGTCGCTCCGGCCCAT |
| HEV_ORF2_S_REV | AGAAAGCTGGGTCGGGGGTGAGGTTGCGGAAC |
| Kpnl-GWY RFB-Kpnl_FW | AAAAGGTACCGCATCAACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCTGAACGA |

Materials and Methods

| Kpnl-GWY RFB-Kpnl_REV | AAAAGGTACCATCAACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGAAC |
| :--- | :--- |
| M13_FW | CGTTGTAAAACGACGGCCAG |
| M13_REV | GCCAGGAAACAGCTATGACC |
| pDONR207_FW | TTCCCGAGGTAATCGGAGTCCGGCT |
| pDONR207_REV | TGTGACAAAATAAAAACATCTACC |
| pETG-40K_FW | CAGCGGTGGCAGCAGCC |
| pETG-40K_REV | GCCGCCAGCGGTCGTA |
| pGADC_Xbal/Bcll_FW | GATCCTCGAGTACGACGTACCAGATTACGCTTAGTGATCA |
| pGADC_Xbal/Bcll_REV | CGTGATCACTAAGCGTAATCTGGTACGTCGTACTCGAG |
| pGADT7g_FW | TTTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCG |
| pGADT7g_REV | CTGTGCATCGTGCACCATCT |
| pGBKC_8mer | AATTTGCA |
| pGBKC_A | TGGCATTGATATCGTCCAACTG |
| pGBKC_B | AAGCTTCATCTTGGTACCTCAGGAGGCTTGCTTCAAGCTT |
| pGBKC_C | GGTCTTCTCGAGGAAAAATCAGTAG |
| pGBKC_D | GTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCG |
| pGBKT7g_FW | GACTCTTAGGTTTTAAAACGAAAA |
| pGBKT7g_REV | AGGGCATTGATGATCTGGCT |
| pNusA_FW | TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG |
| pNusA_REV |  |
| T7_FW | T7_REV |

### 2.1.16 Antibodies

| Name | Source |
| :--- | :--- |
| Anti-His-Tag, mouse monoclonal antibody | New England Biolabs, Frankfurt |
| Anti-HA, mouse monoclonal antibody | Covance, Freiburg |
| Anti-MBP, mouse monoclonal antibody | New England Biolabs, Frankfurt |
| Anti mouse IgG HRP conjugate, Goat polyclonal antibody | Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen |

### 2.2 Methods

### 2.2.1 General DNA-related Methods

### 2.2.1.1 Competent bacteria for DNA transformation ( $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ method)

- Inoculate 150 ml LB medium with 1 ml bacterial pre-culture.
- Incubate at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.35$ and cool the bacterial culture on ice for 10 min.
- Centrifuge for 10 min at 6000 rpm (Beckmann J2-HS) and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; discard the supernatant.
- Wash cells with 30 ml 100 mM CaCl , centrifuge for 10 min at 4000 rpm (Eppendorf 5810R) and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
- Resuspend pellet in 30 ml 100 mM CaCl 2 , and incubate for 1 h on ice.
- Centrifuge again and resuspend in $3 \mathrm{ml} 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with $10 \%$ Glycerol.
- Freeze $100 \mu$ l aliquots in liquid nitrogen and store at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


### 2.2.1.2 Transformation of chemical competent bacteria

- Take the required number of tubes or 96-deep well plates containing competent E.coli from the $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer.
- Thaw the cells on ice.
- Add the transforming DNA, vortex briefly to mix and incubate on ice for 20-30 minutes.
- Transfer the cells into a $42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water bath or a heat block for 90 s .
- Add $600 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of RT LB medium (without antibiotic) and shake the cultures at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h .
- Centrifuge the cells at 13.000 rpm for 1 min and resuspend in $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sterile Water.
- Transfer each suspension onto a LB agar plate with the appropriate antibiotic.
- Plate out each suspension by the glass bead shaking method (see next paragraph).
- Remove the glass beads
- Incubate at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


### 2.2.1.3 Glass bead streaking method for high-throughput plating

Add 3 to 4 glass beads to each plate. Swirl single or a whole stack of plates to spread bacteria. Recycle beads by washing in EtOH, water and autoclaving. Glass beads can be conveniently distributed from a 50 ml conical tube with a small hole in the lid.

### 2.2.1.4 Plasmid preparation (small scale, individual tubes)

- Pick an individual bacterial colony from culture plate and inoculate in 2-5 ml LB liquid medium (with antibiotic for plasmid selection).
- Incubate o/n with shaking at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
- Pellet 1.5 ml of the culture in a Microcentrifuge (1 min at top speed).
- Resuspend pellet in $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ buffer P1.
- Add $150 \mu$ l buffer P2, mix gently by inverting the Microcentrifuge tube 4-5 times and incubate on ice for 5 min .
- Add $150 \mu$ l buffer P3, vigorously mix by shaking and centrifuge at top speed for 6 min . Transfer the supernatant to a fresh Microcentrifuge tube.
- Add $1 / 10 \mathrm{Vol}$. of 3 M NaOAc solution (pH 5.5).
- Add 0.7 Vol. isopropanol, mix thoroughly.
- Put the tube into a $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer for 10 min .
- Centrifuge at top speed for 5 min .
- Wash the pellet with 70 \% ethanol, air dry the pellet and dissolve it in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sterile $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
- Alternatively commercial available Kits with silica columns for DNA purification were used (e.g. QuickLyse from Qiagen, Hilden).


### 2.2.1.5 Plasmid preparation ( 96 -well plasmid preparation kit)

Plasmid DNA isolation from 96-well cultures was performed by the "Montage Plasmid Miniprep 96-well kit" (Millipore, Schwalbach).

### 2.2.1.6 Determination of nucleic acid concentration

The concentration of a DNA-solution was automatically determined with a NanoDrop UV spectrophotometer. The measurement is based on determination of the optical density at 260 nm and 280 nm . The $\mathrm{OD}_{260}$ value of one is equivalent to $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of
double stranded DNA. Pure DNA in aqueous solution should have an $O D_{260} / O D_{280}$ ratio of 1.6-1.8.

### 2.2.1.7 Restriction digestion of DNA

Commonly 2 to 3 units of a restriction enzyme per $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of DNA were used. 1 to $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of DNA was digested in the buffer recommended by the supplier. The reaction was carried out between 2 to 4 hours at enzyme supplier recommended temperature. The quality of the digestion was controlled by DNA agarose gel electrophoresis.

### 2.2.1.8 Nucleic acid analysis by agarose gel electrophoresis

Agarose was dissolved in 1x TAE-buffer by boiling in a microwave oven (final concentration between $0.8 \%$ and $1.5 \%$ ). Ethidium bromide was added to a final concentration of $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The molten gel was poured into a horizontal agarose gel electrophoresis chamber. Immediately insert the combs and let solidify. The DNA sample was mixed with the required amount of $6 \times$ DNA loading buffer and loaded on the gel. For determination of the size an additional sample of DNA-ladder was loaded in parallel. The separation in the gel was commonly performed by electrophoresis for 1 h at 100 V . After separation the DNA was visualized by transillumination with 302 nm ultraviolet radiation.

### 2.2.1.9 Gel purification of DNA

The DNA band of interest was isolated electrophoretical by running the gel until the DNA band of interest was separated from adjacent contaminating fragments. The DNA was cut out of the gel with a scalpel and recovered into aqueous solution with the PCR-product clean-up kit, "SV Wizard". The purification was carried out according to the protocol of the supplier.

### 2.2.1.10 Ligation of DNA

In all cases the restriction digested insert and vector were loaded on an agarose gel to check the DNA content before ligation. Ligation was performed in a total volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ with a molar insert-vector ratio of approximately $4: 1$ in $1 \times$ ligation buffer and one unit of T4 DNA ligase and incubated for 2 h at RT or at $16{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight (blunt end ligation).

### 2.2.1.11 Polymerase chain reaction

## - PCR reaction setup

- $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ template DNA (20-200 ng)
- $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ dNTP mix (dATP, dTTP, dCTP, dGTP. c = 10 mM each)
- $5 \times$ Polymerase buffer
- $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ forward primer ( $\mathrm{c}=10 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ )
- $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reverse primer ( $\mathrm{c}=10 \mathrm{pmol} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ )
- 0.5-1.0 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ polymerase (Taq polymerase for analytical, PrimeStar polymerase for preparative PCR)
- In a total volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$


## - PCR program

A commonly used PCR program is given below. This program was modified according to specific PCR requirements.

- Step 1: 1 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Step 2: (30 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at annealing temperature, 1 min per 1 kb DNA to be amplified at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Repetition of Step 2 for 30 times
- Step 3: Store at $8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

The annealing temperature was commonly set to $\mathrm{Tm}-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.1.12 Colony PCR (from yeast or bacterial cells)

Resuspend a single colony in $10 \mu \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Boil sample for 10 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Spin down the debris and use $2 \mu$ l of the supernatant as template-DNA for PCR.

### 2.2.2 Yeast two-hybrid screening

### 2.2.2.1 Gateway®-cloning

The Gateway® system (Invitrogen) provides a universal technology to clone DNA sequences for Y 2 H assays, functional analysis and protein expression in multiple systems. It is based on site-specific recombination of bacteriophage lambda (Landy, 1989). The lambda recombinase is employed to recombine attachment sites (att) from different vectors or from a linear DNA strand to a vector. This allows cloning any
desired DNA sequence which can be PCR-amplified or synthetically derived into a donor vector from which it can be recombined in any destination vector.

### 2.2.2.2 Cloning of ORFs with the Gateway® system - the BP reaction

The first step of ORF cloning is the primer design. The PCR-amplification of the ORFs will be done in two steps: in the $1^{\text {st }}$ PCR the ORF is amplified with ORFspecific primers, in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ PCR attB-sites for cloning are completed to the resulting PCR product. For primer design, the "Oligo Calc: Oligonucleotide Properties Calculator" was used (Kibbe, 2007). The following parameters can serve as guidelines: optimal Tm is $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the Tm range is $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above and below the optimal Tm , and the maximum Tm difference between forward and reverse primers is $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## - The primers for the $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{PCR}$ are designed as follows

- Forward Primer:

5'-AA AAA GCA GGC TCC GCC ATG -18-20 nt (ORF specific sequence)-3'

- Reverse Primer:

5'-A GAA AGC TGG GTA CTA - 18-20 nt (specific ORF sequence)-3'
ATG: start codon
CTA: stop codon (reverse-complement of TAG); must be omitted for C-terminal fusions.

The $1^{\text {st }}$ PCR is done with a standard PCR protocol employing a proof-reading polymerase (e.g., PrimeStar polymerase). However, the number of cycles in the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{PCR}$ can be reduced if errors are expected.
The PCR-products are checked by agarose gel electrophoresis and 1-5 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of the products is used for the $2^{\text {nd }}$ PCR reaction with the primer pair:

- Forward Primer (attB1):

5'-G GGG ACA AGT TTG TAC AAA AAA GCA GGC T-3'

- Reverse Primer (attB2):


## 5'-GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GT-3'

If unspecific bands are detected, the PCR product is gel purified. Otherwise, a column purification of the product is sufficient. The purified PCR product is used in a BP recombination to create the entry clone (Figure 12).


Figure 12: Gateway ${ }^{\circledR}$ BP reaction.
For generation of entry clones an attB substrate (PCR product) is recombined with an attP substrate (donor vector) mediated by BP Clonase® Mix II. Source: Gateway® manual (Invitrogen).

## - BP-reaction setup

- $0.5 \mu \mathrm{I}$ BP Clonase Mix II
- $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ destination vector (pDONR207 / $150 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ )
- $1 \mu$ l purified PCR fragment
- Cover with $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ mineral oil


## - Performing BP-reaction

- Incubate 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Add $1 \mu$ Proteinase K
- Incubate for 10 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to stop the enzymatic reaction
- Add 10 ml sterile water
- Transform into TOP10 cells
- Select on LB-agar plate containing gentamicin

Picking of a single colony is usually sufficient. Constructs were verified by PCR with the primer pair pDONR207_FW and pDONR207_REV.

### 2.2.2.3 Destination vector creation with the Gateway ${ }^{\circledR}$ system - the LR reaction

The entry clones in pENTR207 can be transferred into different destination vectors with an LR reaction.


Figure 13: Gateway® ${ }^{\circledR}$ LR reaction.
For generation of destination clones an attL substrate (entry clone) is recombined with an attP substrate (destination vector) mediated by LR Clonase ${ }^{\circledR}$ Mix II. Source: Gateway ${ }^{\circledR}$ manual (Invitrogen).

For Y2H assays, the pGBKT7g (bait) and pGADT7g (prey) destination-vectors were used (Uetz et al., 2006) plus novel Y2H-vectors with C-terminal DBD or AD fusion-tag (pGBKCg and pGADCg) designed in this study (Stellberger et al., 2010).

## - LR-reaction setup

- $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ LR Clonase Mix II
- $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Entry vector ( $150 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ )
- $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Destination vector ( $150 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ )
- Performing LR-reaction
- Incubate 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Add $1 \mu$ Proteinase K
- Incubate for 10 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to stop the enzymatic reaction
- Transform into TOP10 cells and select on appropriate antibiotic


### 2.2.2.4 Yeast transformation

This protocol is suitable for 100 yeast transformations and was scaled up or down to experimental requirements. Bait constructs were transformed into Y187 and prey constructs into AH109 laboratory strains, each harboring the HIS3-Marker gene used for detection of PPIs in the Y2H-assay.

## - Required material

- Salmon sperm DNA
- DMSO
- Competent host yeast strains, in this study Y187 for baits and AH109 for preys
- Lithium Acetate (0.1 M)
- Selective SD agar plates
- 96PEG solution
- Carrier DNA (salmon sperm DNA)


## - Preparation of competent yeast cells

- Inoculate 50 ml YPD liquid medium with yeast in a 250 ml flask and shake o/n at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (minimum 15 h , max. 24 h )
- Spin down cells in 50 ml conical tube ( $3500 \mathrm{rpm}, 5 \mathrm{~min}$ at RT)
- Decant supernatant and dissolve pellet in 2 ml 0.1 M LiOAc
- Transfer yeast into 15 ml conical tube. Spin down yeast and resuspend in a total volume of 1.8 ml 0.1 M LiOAc


## - Preparation of "CT110" for yeast transformation

- Mix the following solutions in a 50 ml conical tube:
- $\quad 20.73 \mathrm{ml}$ 96PEG
- 0.58 ml boiled salmon sperm DNA (boil frozen salmon sperm DNA at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and cool on ice before use)
- 2.62 ml DMSO, shake immediately
- 20 ng prey or bait plasmid construct
- Vortex 1 min
- Add the competent yeast cells and mix vigorously by hand or by vortexing for 1 minute. Immediately pipette $245 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ into 96 -well plate or individual microcentrifuge tubes
- Seal and vortex for 4 minutes
- Incubate at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes
- Centrifuge the 96 well plate or tubes; discard the supernatant and tap on cotton napkin for a couple of times
- Add $150 \mu$ l of sterile water to all 96 wells, resuspend and plate them on SD plates lacking Leucine (prey) or Tryptophan (bait)
- Incubate the plates at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2-3 days. After one day the colonies start to appear; pick colonies after 2-3 days and make glycerol stocks (20 \% (v/v), store at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )


### 2.2.2.5 Setup of the prey array

Preys rather than baits are arranged on an array, because the former do not generally show self-activation of transcription. For creation of the prey array, the layout of the array is defined first. Each prey construct of the proteome is given a specific position of a particular 96-well-plate, e.g. position A06 of prey plate \#1. The wells of these 96 -well-plates are filled with $100 \mu \mathrm{I}$ YPD medium. Several colonies from a specific prey transformation are combined and manually transferred into the well at the previously defined position. These 96 -well plates carrying the prey strains are incubated o/n at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and replicated to Omnitray plates with solid media - at least one selective Omnitray plate (-L) and one YPD plate. $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$
glycerol is added to the liquid culture plate. The plate is sealed and transferred to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for long term storage. The solid prey plates with prey strains in the 96 -format were quadruplicated to the 384-format using an automatic workstation (Biomek 2000 laboratory robot). For increased throughput, duplicates rather than quadruplicates from two 96 -formatted plates can be combined on one 384 -formatted plate. This prey array was generally stored on selective plates (-L). Copies for Y 2 H screens were pinned on solid YPD medium because of faster growth and higher yield of cell mass.

### 2.2.2.6 Bait construction

If not provided by collaborators, baits were constructed by Gateway®-cloning procedure as described. Baits were transformed into the Y187 yeast strain and stored on -Trp plates and as glycerol stocks.

### 2.2.2.7 Autoactivation test

Prior to the two-hybrid analysis, the bait yeast strains (DB-X) must be examined for potential autoactivation properties. Autoactivation is defined as a detectable DB-Xdependent two-hybrid reporter gene activation in the absence of a prey construct (AD-Y). Weak to intermediate-strength self-activating baits can be used in two-hybrid array screens because the corresponding DB-X/AD-Y interactions produce significantly stronger signals that can be detected despite of some autoactivation background. In case of the HIS3 reporter gene, the unspecific background can be titrated by different concentrations of 3-AT, a competitive inhibitor of His3p (Hilton et al., 1965) (Figure 14). The lowest concentration of 3-AT that suppresses growth in this test is later on used for the Y2H interaction screen.

## - Required material

- Full medium and selective medium agar in single-well microtiter plates (OmniTray plates):
- YPD plates
- -LT plates
- Selective plates without Leu, Trp, His and different concentrations of 3-AT, e.g., $0 \mathrm{mM}, 1 \mathrm{mM}, 3 \mathrm{mM}, 10 \mathrm{mM}, 25 \mathrm{mM}$ and 50 mM (-LTH/3-AT plates)
- Prey strain carrying the empty prey plasmid (AH109 with pGADT7g)


Figure 14: Self activation test of VZV-ORFs in pGBKCg.
VZV bait array in pGBKCg (Table 2) transformed into Y187 and mated against pGADT7g. After mating the diploid cells were selected on -LT agar and replicated onto -LTH agar with rising concentration of 3-AT. 3-AT concentrations (from upper left to lower right): $0,1,3,10,25,50 \mathrm{mM}$. The lowest concentration is listed and used for the following Y 2 H -screen against the VZV prey-array.

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 1 N | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 F | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 a |
| B | 9 N | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 N | 12 C | 13 | 14 | 14 N | 15 | 15 N | 15 F |
| C | 16 | 17 | 18 | 18 N | 18 C | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 N | 23 | 24 | 24 N |
| D | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 31 N | 31 C | 32 | 33 | 33.5 |
| E | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 37 N | 38 | 39 | 39 N | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 |
| F | 43 C | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 50 C | 51 | 52 | 53 |
| G | 54 | 55 | 56 | 56 C | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 60 C | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| H | 64 | 65 | 65 N | 66 | 67 | 67 N | 67 C | 68 | 68 F | 68 C | 0 | 0 C |

Table 2: VZV array-layout.
96 position array layout of the VZV library. Positions are defined by the column number in the first row ( 1 to 12 ) and the row number ( A to H ).

## - Testing procedure

- Bait strains are arrayed onto a single-well Omnitray agar plate; either the standard 96 -well format or the 384 -well format is used. Baits are first inoculated at the different positions of a 96 -well plate as liquid culture, and then cells are transferred (manually or with robot) to Omnitray plates. In this step the 96-well
format can also be converted into the 384-well format, this will position all baits in quadruplicates on the 384-well formatted plate. Full medium agar (YPD agar) can be used, however, for long term storage of the array selective agar ( $-T$ ) is suggested to prevent loss of plasmids.
- The arrayed bait strains are mated with the prey strain carrying the empty prey plasmid, AH109 with pGADT7g. Mating is conducted according to the standard screening protocol. Note: Compared to the actual screening protocol bait and prey strains are exchanged.
- After selecting for diploid yeast cells (on -LT agar) the cells are transferred to -LTH-medium selecting for the HIS3 reporter gene activity (His3p-expression). The transfer is repeated on several selective plates with increasing concentrations of the competitive inhibitor of His3p, 3-Aminotriazole (3-AT). Suggested are 3-AT concentrations of $0 \mathrm{mM}, 1 \mathrm{mM}, 3 \mathrm{mM}, 10 \mathrm{mM}, 25 \mathrm{mM}$, and 50 mM .
- These -LTH/3-AT plates are incubated for 1 week at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The self-activation level of individual baits is assessed: the lowest 3-AT concentration that completely prevents colony growth is noted. As this concentration of 3-AT suppresses reporter activation in absence of an interacting prey this 3-AT concentration is added to -LTH plates in the subsequent interaction screening.


### 2.2.2.8 Screening for protein interactions using a Y2H protein array

The Y2H prey array is screened for protein interactions by a mating procedure that is carried out using robotic support. A strain expressing a single candidate protein as a DBD fusion (bait strain) is mated to all the colonies in the prey array. After mating, the colonies are transferred to double-selective medium (-LT to select for diploid yeast cells) and then to triple-selective medium (-LTH). A robotic workstation (Biomek 2000, Beckman Coulter) was used for the screening procedure. A 384 pin replicating tool (High Density Replication Tool, Beckman Coulter) was used to transfer the colonies from one plate to another. Between the transfer steps, the pinning tool must be sterilized by sequential immersion into a 20 ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) bleach solution (20-40 s), sterile water ( 1 s ), $95 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ ethanol (20-40 s), and sterile water (1 s). The level of these liquids should be 2 to 4 mm from the base of the pin. Attention: Take care that the ethanol does not evaporate.

It is important to ensure that plasticware is compatible with the movement of the robot. Here, the prey array was dissected on $86 \times 128-m m$ OmniTrays in 384-colony format.

## - Required material

- 384 pin replicating tool
- 20 \% (v/v) bleach (1 \% sodium hypochlorite)
- 95 \% (v/v) ethanol
- Single-well microtiter plates (OmniTray; Nalge Nunc international) containing solid YPD medium, -LT, -LTH w/o and with different concentrations of 3-AT
- Bait liquid culture (DBD-fusion expressing yeast strain)
- Yeast protein array on solid YPD plates (prey array)


## - Sterilization

Sterilize the 384-pin replication-tool by dipping the pins into $20 \%$ bleach for 20 s , sterile water for $1 \mathrm{~s}, 95 \%$ ethanol for 20 s , and sterile water again for 1 s . Repeat this sterilization prior to each transfer.

## - Preparing bait liquid culture

Inoculate $20-30 \mathrm{ml}$ of liquid YPD medium in a 250 ml conical flask with a bait strain (DBD fusion-expressing yeast strain) and grow overnight in $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ shaker. If the Bait strains are frozen, they are streaked out or pinned on selective solid medium (-T) and grown 1-2 days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Bait colonies from this plate are then used to inoculate the liquid YPD medium.

## - Mating procedure

- Pour the dense bait strain culture into a sterile Omnitray. Dip the sterilized pins of the replication-tool (thick pins should be used to pin baits) into the bait liquid culture and place directly onto YPD agar and allow the yeast to dry on the plates for 10-20 minutes.
- Pin the prey array with sterilized pins (use thin pins for the preys) and transfer them directly onto the baits pinned onto the YPD plate, so that each of the 384 bait spots per plate receives a quadruplicate of different prey yeast cells. Incubate 1-2 days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to allow mating. Mating will take place within 15 h
but longer period is recommended, as some baits strains show poor mating efficiency.
- Selection of diploids: For the selection of diploids, transfer the colonies from YPD mating plates to single-well microtiter plates containing -LT medium using the sterilized pinning tool (thin pins should be used in this step). Grow for 2-3 days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the colonies are $>1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter. This step is essential because only diploid cell containing Leucine and Tryptophan markers on prey and bait vector, respectively, will grow on this medium. This step also leads to an enrichment of diploid cells which increases the efficiency of the next selection step.


## - Interaction selection

- Transfer the colonies from -LT plates to a single-well microtiter plate containing solid -LTH agar using the sterilized pinning tool. If the baits are self-activating, they have to be transferred on -LTH with a predetermined concentration of 3AT. Incubate for $6-10$ days at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
- Score the interactions by looking for growing colonies that are significantly above the background by size and that are present for $>1$ of the quadruplicate colonies. The plates should be examined every day. Most two-hybrid positive colonies appear within 3 to 5 days, but occasionally positive interactions can be observed later. Very small colonies are usually designated as background. However, there is no absolute measure to distinguish between the background and real positives. When there are many (i.e., > 30) large colonies per array of 6000 positions, these baits are considered as random activators. In this case the screen should be repeated.


### 2.2.2.9 Evaluation of raw results

Filtering of the obtained raw results significantly improves the data quality of the protein interaction set. For filtering at least three parameters should be considered. Detected protein interactions that are not reproducible should be discarded. The reproducibility is assessed from the screen by pinning quadruplicates or by conducting an independent retest of initially detected protein interactions. For each prey the number of different interacting baits is calculated. Preys interacting with a significant high number of baits - judged by evaluating the distribution of these
numbers - are assumed to interact unspecific and are neglected ("sticky preys"). The last parameter is the background activation activity of the tested bait. The activation strength of interaction pairs must be significantly higher than with remaining pairs. In principle, at least with the HIS3-reporter, no activation (no colony growth) should be observed in non-interacting pairs.

### 2.2.3 Bioinformatical Analysis

### 2.2.3.1 Y2H Interaction-data: Storage and analysis

The Yeast two-hybrid interaction data of the combined VZV screen was stored and analyzed with the help of FileMaker Pro v.8.5 databases (http://www.filemaker.de). At this point I want to thank my colleague Roman Häuser, who trained me in the use of the FileMaker software and helped me to develop the databases, which allowed the detailed data analysis presented in this study.

### 2.2.3.2 Protein network visualization

Protein interaction networks were visualized with the Cytoscape software package (Shannon et al., 2003). Data analysis was done with perl (www.perl.org) and R (R-Development-Core-Team, 2004). Statistical analysis was done with R and MS-Excel. Tightly connected clusters in networks were identified with the MCODE algorithm as implemented into the Cytoscape software package (Bader and Hogue, 2003). Topological parameters of networks were computed with the NetworkAnalyzer plug-in for Cytoscape (MPI for Informatics, Germany, med.bioinf.mpiinf.mpg.de/netanalyzer). Network centralities (node degree, centroid value) were calculated with CentiBiN (Junker et al., 2006).

### 2.2.3.3 Network analysis

Network parameters were calculated using the "NetworkAnalyzer" plugin (Assenov et al., 2008) for Cytoscape network visualization software (Shannon et al., 2003).

### 2.2.3.3.1 Node degree and degree distribution

The degree of a node, abbreviated $k$, tells how many links a node has to other nodes. In undirected networks, the node degree of a node $n$ is the number of edges linked to $n$. A self-loop of a node is counted like two edges for the node degree (Diestel,
2005). An undirected network with $N$ nodes (proteins) and $L$ edges (links, interactions) is characterized by an average degree $<k>=2 * L / N$ while selfinteractions are subtracted. The degree distribution $P(k)$ of a network is defined to be the fraction of nodes in the network with degree $k$. Thus if there are $n$ nodes in total in a network and $n_{k}$ of them have degree $k$, we have $P(k)=n_{k} / n$.
The node degree distribution gives the number of nodes with degree $k$ for $k=0,1 \ldots$

### 2.2.3.3.2 Clustering coefficients

In undirected networks, the clustering coefficient Cn of a node n is defined as $\mathrm{Cn}=$ $2 e_{n} /\left(k_{n}\left(k_{n}-1\right)\right)$, where $k_{n}$ is the number of neighbors of $n$ and $e_{n}$ is the number of connected pairs between all neighbors of $n$ (Barabasi and Oltvai, 2004; Watts and Strogatz, 1998). The clustering coefficient is a ratio $N / M$, where $N$ is the number of edges between the neighbors of $n$, and $M$ is the maximum number of edges that could possibly exist between the neighbors of $n$. The clustering coefficient of a node is always a number between 0 and 1 .
The average clustering coefficient distribution gives the average of the clustering coefficients for all nodes $n$ with $k$ neighbors for $k=2, \ldots$.

### 2.2.3.3.3 Characteristic path length and attack tolerance

The characteristic path length (CPL) is for example the number of clicks which will lead you from one website to another, in this case the number of interactions leading from one protein to another on an average within the whole network (average distance between any two proteins).
If $d(X ; Y)$ is the length of the shortest path between the nodes $X$ and $Y$, then the characteristic path length, CPL, is $d(X ; Y)$ averaged over all pairs of nodes.
The robustness of the networks is investigated by a step-by-step assault strategy and subsequent recalculation of the characteristic path length. The most highly connected nodes are removed in decreasing order. After each node is removed, the new network CPL of the remaining network is plotted as a multiple or fraction of the original parameters. A higher attack tolerance is ascertained when the increase in path length is considerably smaller.

### 2.2.3.4 Multiple sequence alignments

Amino acid sequences were retrieved from the Uniprot Knowledgebase (UniProtConsortium, 2010). Sequences were aligned directly from the Uniprot website (www.uniprot.org) using ClustalW version 2 online via the EBI ClustalW server (Larkin et al., 2007). Figures of Alignments were derived from the Jalview alignment editor (Waterhouse et al., 2009) using the ClustalX colour scheme. ClustalX is the graphical user interface of ClustalW derived sequence alignments. Each amino acid in the alignment is assigned a colour if the amino acid profile of the alignment at that position meets some minimum criteria specific for the residue type according to the ClustalX colour scheme (see Table 3).

| Residue at position | Applied Colour | \{ Threshhold, Residue group \} |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A,I,L,M,F,W,V | BLUE | \{+60\%, WLVIMAFCHP\} |
| R,K | RED | $\{+60 \%, \mathrm{KR}\},\{+80 \%, \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{Q}\}$ |
| N | GREEN | $\{+50 \%, N\},\{+85 \%, N, Y\}$ |
| C | BLUE | \{+60\%, WLVIMAFCHP\} |
| C | PINK | \{100\%, C $\}$ |
| Q | GREEN | $\{+60 \%, K R\},\{+50 \%, Q E\},\{+85 \%, Q, E, K, R\}$ |
| E | MAGENTA | $\{+60 \%, K R\},\{+50 \%, Q E\},\{+85 \%, E, Q, D\}$ |
| D | MAGENTA | \{+60\%,KR\}, \{+85\%, K,R,Q\}, \{+50\%,ED\} |
| G | ORANGE | $\{+0 \%, \mathrm{G}\}$ |
| H,Y | CYAN | $\begin{gathered} \{+60 \%, \text { WLVIMAFCHP }\},\{+85 \%, \\ \text { W,Y,A,C,P,Q,F,H,I,L,M,V } \end{gathered}$ |
| P | YELLOW | $\{+0 \%, \mathrm{P}\}$ |
| S,T | GREEN | \{+60\%, WLVIMAFCHP $\},\{+50 \%, \mathrm{TS}\},\{+85 \%, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}\}$ |

Table 3: ClustalX colour scheme applied to multiple sequence alignments.
The table gives these criteria as clauses: $(+X \%, x x, y)$, where $X$ is the minimum percentage presence for any of the $x x$ (or $y$ ) residue types. Source: www.clustal.org.

### 2.2.4 General protein related procedures

### 2.2.4.1 SDS-PAGE Gels (for 2 gels $10 \mathrm{~cm} \times 8 \mathrm{~cm}$ with 1 mm spacers)

## - Required material

- Gel chambers ( 2 gels, $10 \mathrm{~cm} \times 8 \mathrm{~cm}$ with 1 mm spacers)
- 0.5 M EDTA
- 30 \% Acrylamide/N,N'-methylenbisacrylamide
- TEMED


## - Protein molecular weight marker

- Buffers and solutions

| Solution | Composition |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tris-HCl pH 8.8 | 1 M Tris-HCI pH 8.8 |
| Tris-HCl pH 6.8 | 1 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8 |
| Separating gel buffer (4x) | 18.17 g Tris base <br> $4 \mathrm{ml} 10 \%$ (w/v) SDS adjust pH to 8.8 with HCl add DIW to 100 ml autoclave |
| Stacking gel buffer (4x) | 6.06 g Tris base <br> 4 ml 10 \% (w/v) SDS adjust pH to 6.8 with HCl add DIW to 100 ml autoclave |
| 10 \% APS | 10 \% (w/v) APS in DIW store at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 20 \% SDS | 20 \% (w/v) SDS in DIW |
| 1 x Laemmli running buffer | 30 g tris base <br> 144 g Glycine <br> 100 ml 10 \% (w/v) SDS <br> add DDW to 11 |
| 2. Laemmli sample buffer | 125 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8 <br> 4 \% (w/v) SDS <br> 20 \% (v/v) Glycerol <br> $10 \% \beta$-mercaptoethanol <br> (or 100 mM DTT) <br> 0.004 \% (w/v) Bromophenol blue |

- Gel preparation

| Component | Stacking Gel (5\%) | Separating Gel (10\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $30 \%$ Acrylamide/N,N'- <br> methylenbisacrylamide | 1.5 ml | 4 ml |
| Separation gel buffer (4x) | - | 3 ml |
| Stacking gel buffer (4x) | 2 ml | - |
| DIW | 4.6 ml | 5 ml |
| $10 \%$ APS | $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| TEMED | $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $60 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

Pour separating gel (add reagents as indicated above) into gel chamber (so that it fills up $2 / 3$ of the chamber) and cover with isopropanol and let solidify.
Remove isopropanol and pour stacking gel. Immediately insert the combs and let solidify.

### 2.2.4.2 Coomassie Blue staining of PAGE Gels

## - Required buffers and solutions

| Solution | Composition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Coomassie staining <br> solution | $0.2 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Coomassie brilliant blue R250 |
|  | $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Methanol |
| $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Glacial acetic acid |  |
| Coomassie destaining <br> solution | $30 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Methanol <br> $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Glacial acetic acid |

- Incubate protein gel in Coomassie staining solution for 30 min
- Recover Coomassie stain (can be used several times)
- Incubate the Coomassie stained gel in destaining solution (change destaining solution several times) until the background is sufficiently reduced
- Recover destaining solution by filtering through activated charcoal
- Rinse the gel in water and dry the gel for longer storage


### 2.2.4.3 Western Blot (semi-dry)

## - Required material

- Blot chamber
- Whatman paper
- PVDF membrane
- Buffers and solutions

| Solution | Composition |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 25 mM Tris base |
|  | 190 mM Glycine |
| Semi-dry western blot transfer buffer | $20 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Glycerol |
|  | $0.05 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ SDS |
| Ponceau-S staining solution | $0.25 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Ponceau-S |
|  | $40 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Methanol |
|  | $15 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Glacial acetic acid |
|  | $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Skimmed milk powder in TBS(-T) |
|  | 50 mM Tris-HCI |
|  | 150 mM NaCl |
| TBS-T | $0.2 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Tween-20 |
|  | Adjust pH 8.0 with 10 M NaOH |

## - Western blotting procedure

- Cut blot paper ( 6 sheets of Whatman paper per gel) according the size of the gel and equilibrate in transfer buffer
- Cut blotting membrane with the same size and activate in methanol for 2 minutes, then equilibrate in transfer buffer
- Disassemble electrophoresis chamber and carefully transfer gel (cut off stacking gel) into a tray with transfer buffer, briefly equilibrate
- Build up blot: To bottom platinum anode of Blotting-chamber place:
- Pre-wet filter paper (3 sheets of Whatman paper)
- Pre-wet membrane
- Gel
- Pre-wet filter paper (3 sheets of Whatman paper)
- Roll out air bubbles
- Secure safety cover and connect to power supply
- Run for 60 min at 110 mA per gel
- Stop transfer, discard filter paper and briefly wash blot in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
- Check transfer by staining blot in Ponceau S solution and destain in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
- Blocking: Incubate membrane for 30 min with blocking solution
- Incubate with $1^{\text {st }}$ antibody (1:10.000 dilution) in blocking solution for 1 h at RT (alternatively o/n at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
- Wash $3 x$ with TBS-T for 10 min each step
- Incubate with $2^{\text {nd }}$ antibody (1:5.000 dilution; HRP labeled) in TBS-T for 1 h at RT
- Wash $3 \times$ with TBS-T and perform chemoluminescence detection for bound antibodies


### 2.2.4.4 Protein expression and purification

## - Required material

- E. coli BL21-CodonPlus® (DE3)-RIL cells containing the respective expression clone
- LB liquid medium with the appropriate antibiotic
- Maltose and Amylose resin High Flow for MBP-Tag purification
- Imidazole and Ni-NTA-Agarose for His-Tag purification
- Buffers and solutions:

| Compound | Composition |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 50 mM Tris-HCI, pH 8.0 |
|  | 150 mM NaCl |
| Washing buffer | 1 mM PMSF |
|  | 1 mM EDTA |
|  | 1 mM DTT |
|  | $(20 \mathrm{mM}$ Imidazole for His-Tag purification) |
|  | Washing buffer |
|  | $0.5 \%$ Igepal CA-630 |
|  | $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ Lysozyme |
|  | 1 mM PMSF |
|  | Complete protease inhibitor mix stock solution, $1: 50$ dilution |
|  | $(10 \mathrm{mM}$ Imidazole for His-Tag purification) |
| Proteinase inhibitor stock solutions | 200 mM PMSF dissolved in isopropanol |
|  | Complete protease inhibitor mix tablets (1 Tablet/2 ml DIW) |
| IPTG stock solution | 1 M IPTG in sterile water |
| AHT stock solution | 2 mg/ml |
| Elution buffer (for MBP-Tag | Washing buffer |
| purification) | 10 mM Maltose (from 1M stock solution) |
| Elution buffer (for His-Tag | Washing buffer |
| purification) | 250 mM Imidazole (from 1M stock solution) |

## - Procedure

- Start with the bacterial expression clone
- Inoculate 5 ml LB liquid medium plus appropriate antibiotic with a single colony, shake o/n at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Dilute culture in 250 ml LB liquid medium with antibiotic
- Incubate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=0.6$
- Induce with 1 mM IPTG or $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ AHT
- Shake 4-5 h at selected temperature (RT to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
- Centrifuge 10 min at 6000 rpm
- Discard supernatant (storing at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ possible) and resuspend cells in 10 ml lysis buffer
- incubate for $\sim 30 \mathrm{~min}$ on ice
- Shear DNA by ultrasonic impulses with Bioruptor ( $3 x \sim 15$ s pulses, keep on ice)
- Remove $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquot for SDS-PAGE (whole cellular lysate)
- Centrifuge at $15.000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Remove $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquot for SDS-PAGE (soluble protein extract)
- Transfer supernatant to 15 ml conical tube
- Affinity purification
- Take $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Amylose Resin High Flow (MBP-Tag) or Ni-NTA-Agarose (HISTAG), 50 \% slurry
- Wash 3 x with 10 ml TBS
- Add supernatant
- Rotate 30 min at RT
- Wash $3 \times$ with washing buffer (rotate for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
- Elute 3 x with 1 ml elution buffer ( 5 min each, resuspend pellet gently several times). Remove $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquot for SDS-PAGE (Eluates 1-3)
- Add $10 \%$ sterile Glycerol and store at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


### 2.2.5 SPOT Peptide Synthesis

SPOT synthesis which was originally introduced by Ronald Frank is a convenient and versatile technique for simultaneous and parallel solid phase synthesis of peptides at distinct positions on a membrane support (Frank, 2002).The SPOT method has opened up great opportunities to synthesize and subsequently screen large arrays of synthetic peptides (Landgraf et al., 2004; Otte et al., 2003). Peptide arrays prepared by SPOT synthesis can be used to study molecular recognition events such as epitope mapping, the analysis of protein-protein and the identification of biologically active peptides. Peptide arrays can be applied to precisely depict molecular recognition events on the single amino acid level.

### 2.2.5.1 Preparation of peptide arrays by SPOT peptide synthesis

### 2.2.5.1.1 Materials

## - Solvents

- N,N'-dimethylformamide (DMF)
- Methanol or ethanol (MeOH or EtOH)
- N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP)
- Dichloromethane (DCM)


## - Preparation of membranes

- Cellulose membranes for the preparation of peptide arrays are prepared from filter paper Whatman 50 (alternatively Whatman 540 or Chr1). Ready-to-use modified membranes for synthesis of peptides are available from INTAVIS.
- Diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC)
- N-methylimidazole (NMI)
- Fmoc- $\beta$-alanine


## - Preparation of activated amino acid solutions

- Fmoc protected amino acids for SPPS (Table 1)
- Coupling reagents: Diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC)
- N-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt)
- SPOT synthesis on cellulose membranes
- Solution for Fmoc-deprotection: 20 \% (v/v) piperidine in DMF
- Capping solution: 2 \% (v/v) acetic anhydride in DMF
- Cleavage solution I: $90 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (v/v) (TFA) + $5 \%(v / v) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+3 \%$ (v/v) triisopropylsilane (TIPS) $+1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ phenol $+1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ DCM
- Cleavage solution II: $60 \%(v / v)$ TFA $+3 \%(v / v)$ TIPS $+2 \%(v / v) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+1 \%$ (v/v) phenol + $44 \%(v / v)$ DCM


### 2.2.5.1.2 Methods

Spot synthesis was carried out fully automated using a MultiPep spotter from INTAVIS AG (Köln) (Figure 15). Individual spots were placed with a pipetting volume of $0.2 \mu \mathrm{l}$.

## - Amine functionalization by esterification of filter paper

- Preparation of a Cellulose Membrane for Spot Synthesis
- Cut a piece of Whatman 50 paper with the size of $10 \mathrm{~cm} \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$
- Amine functionalization of the filter paper:
- Dissolve 0.8 g Fmoc- $\beta$-alanine in 12.5 ml amine-free DMF.
- Add $468 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DIC and $397 \mu \mathrm{NMI}$, mix well and transfer the reaction solution into a chemically resistant box with lid. Avoid air bubbles under the paper during placement of the filter paper in the box and ensure that the surface of the membrane is slightly covered by the solution.
- Close the box and leave the membrane in the reaction mixture overnight
- Wash the membrane $3 x$ with DMF for at least 30 s each. The membrane can be stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for several months.
- For storage, wash the modified membrane at least twice with MeOH or EtOH and air dry in a fume hood. When needed, equilibrate the membrane to room temperature and wash the membrane 1 x with DMF for at least 20 min prior to the deprotection step.
- Fmoc-deprotection: treat the membrane twice with $20 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ piperidine in DMF for at least 5 min each.


Figure 15: Fully automatic spot synthesizer. The MultiPep Spotter by Intavis AG, Köln.

## - Preparation of activated amino acid solutions

Peptide synthesis was performed using pre-activated Fmoc-protected amino acids. The advantage of this method is the use of only one reagent for each solution, making the preparation of the amino acid solutions very simple and the likelihood of mistakes low.

- Preparation of coupling solutions
- Weigh 0.5 mmol of each amino acid (see Table 1)
- Add for each amino acid 1 ml of HOBt ( 2.3 g in 20 ml NMP)
- Dissolve amino acids and fill up to 1.5 ml with NMP
- Aliquot $4 \times 360 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes
- Store at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for up to 6 months

At room temperature, the solutions are stable for about 1 day. Therefore, before starting the first synthesis cycle of a day, always discard the solutions from the previous day and replace them by a freshly activated aliquot from the stock solutions.

- Peptide synthesis
- Day 1
- Thaw one set of amino acid aliquots o/n at RT
- Activate each aliquot with $240 \mu$ I Activation Stock Solution:
- 0.4 ml DIC
- 2.0 ml NMP
- 2.8 ml DMF
- Mix and activate for 30 min at RT
- Centrifuge 3 min at 4000 rpm
- Pipette solution into the synthesizer vials in correct order. Avoid possibly emerged needles of urea.
- Fill up solvents and reagents for coupling steps:
- DMF
- Methanol
- 20 \% Piperidine/DMF
- Capping solution ( 0.3 ml Acetic Anhydride in 15 ml DMF)
- Activate membrane in DMF
- Start Program
- Thaw amino acids aliquots overnight
- Day 2 and 3
- Activate amino acids
- Discard old AA solutions and pipette freshly activated amino acids
- Fill up capping and piperidine solutions
- Thaw amino acids overnight at RT
- After the last deprotection step, let the membrane dry o/n in a fume hood
- TFA removal of all side chain protecting groups
- Incubate the membrane 30 min in 25 ml cleavage solution I (without shaking)
- Wash 3x 1 min with DCM
- Incubate the membrane 3 h in 25 ml cleavage solution II (without shaking)
- Wash at least $5 \times 1 \mathrm{~min}$ with water until pH is about 7
- Wash $3 \times 3$ min with MeOH
- Let dry o/n in a fume hood


### 2.2.5.1.3 Protein hybridization and detection

- Activate the membrane for 10 min with MeOH
- Wash $3 \times 5$ min with TBS
- Block 3 h with blocking solution (5\% skimmed milk powder in TBS)
- Incubate $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ purified protein of interest in blocking solution for 1 h at RT
- Wash $3 \times 5$ min with $1 \times$ TBS
- Incubate 1 h at RT with primary antibody in blocking solution + 0.05 \% Tween
- Wash $3 \times 5$ min with $1 \times$ TBS-T
- Incubate 1.5 h at RT with secondary antibody in blocking solution + 0.05 \% Tween
- Wash $3 \times 5$ min with $1 \times$ TBS-T
- Mix ECL-detection kit solutions 1:1
- Pipette the mixture on to the membrane and let activate for 5 min
- Expose to light-sensitive film


### 2.2.5.1.4 Reuse of peptide arrays

- Required solutions

| Solution | Composition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stripping solution I | $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Ethanol |
|  | $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Glacial acetic acid |
| Stripping solution II | $2 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ SDS |
|  | $0.8 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ DTT |

## - Procedure

- Incubate membranes alternating in stripping solution I and II for three times and for $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$ each. Incubation in stripping solution II is performed in a water bath at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Between the washing steps rinse the membranes with deionized water.
- After the last washing step rinse the membrane three times with DIW and finally three times with Ethanol.
- Let the membranes dry o/n under a fume hood.


## 3 Results

### 3.1 Yeast two-hybrid screening of the VZV ORFeome

A previous Y2H screen of the VZV interactome has been published by Uetz and coworkers in 2006 (Uetz et al., 2006). In this study, a novel approach has been established to gather a more complete insight into the complex network of the VZV protein-protein interactions. Beyond that, I developed a tool to improve the method itself for future requirements. Therefore, a parallelly generated library of VZV ORFs lacking the stop codon was used, kindly provided by Prof. Dr. Jürgen Haas (Max-vonPettenkofer Institut, München). The Library was generated by Pothineni Venkata Raveend under supervision of Dr. Armin Baiker, who was in the research group around Prof. Dr. Haas. Thereby it was possible to use the ORF collection for combinatorial screening with N - and C-terminal fusion tags.

### 3.1.1 Optimization of the VZV ORFeome collection

Prior to the restatement of the VZV interactome by this new screening approach, the ORFs 21 and 22N (N-terminal domain of ORF22) had to be reconstructed. The reason therefore was negative verification of the respective entry-clones by sequencing. As template for subsequent Gateway-cloning, cDNA from VZV pOKAinfected MeWo melanoma cells was used (Takahashi et al., 1974) (GenBank accession no. AB097933.1). As $1^{\text {st }}$ round primers, I used VZV_ORF21_FW/ VZV_ORF21_REV and VZV_ORF22N_FW/VZV_ORF22N_REV, respectively. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ round PCR was performed with those PCR-Products as template using the attB1 and attB2 primers. BP-recombination into pDONR207 was followed by LRrecombination into the Y 2 H destination vectors pGADT7g, pGADCg (prey plasmids) and, pGBKT7g and pGBKCg (bait plasmids). Preys were transformed into AH109 and baits into Y187 yeast reporter strains. The constructs were verified by analytical PCRs after each recombination step. Additionally, the entry clones were sequenced to assure the accuracy of the insert.

### 3.1.2 Generation of Y2H destination vectors with C-terminal fusion tags

The Yeast two-hybrid vectors pGBKT7g and pGADT7g generate N-terminal fusions of DNA binding (DBD) and activation domain (AD) fusions, respectively. The derivative vectors pGBKCg and pGADCg were designed to fuse the DBD and AD to the C-terminus of inserted ORFs (see Figure 16).


Figure 16: Gateway bait and prey vectors with N - and C-terminal fusion tags.
The parental vectors pGBKT7g and pGADT7g generate N -terminal fusions of DNA-binding (DBD) and activation domain (AD) fusions, respectively. The new vectors pGBKCg and pGADCg fuse DBD and AD at the C-terminus of inserted ORFs. Note that both pGBK- vectors contain a truncated ADH promoter (indicated by *) which may reduce expression levels and thus interaction signals (Ammerer, 1983; Legrain et al., 1994; Tornow and Santangelo, 1990). A second derivative of pGBKT7g, which was used in Chapter 3.2.5 is pGBGT7g where the Kanamycin resistance was replaced by Gentamicin resistance.(Stellberger et al., 2010)

### 3.1.2.1 Conversion of pGADT7g to pGADCg

The conversion of the parental vector pGADT7g into pGADCg was performed in three major steps:

1) Removal of the region downstream of the Gal4 AD.

- Double digestion of pGADT7g with Bglll and Clal to remove the coding region between Gal4 AD and the stop codon TGA (see Figure 17)
- Purification of the vector backbone by Agarose-Gelelectrophoresis
- 5'-Dephosphorylation of linearized vector by SAP treatment


Figure 17: Transcribed region of pGADT7g flanked by promoter and terminator.
A schematic view of the vector region which is relevant for transcription and the nature of the preyconstructs expressed in the Y2H test strains. pADH1: Yeast ADH1 promoter; ATG: Start codon; NLS: Nuclear localization Signal derived from SV40 (Simian Virus 40); AD: Gal4 transactivation domain; T7: Bacteriophage T7 promoter; HA: Human influenza hemagglutinin; GWY: Gateway-cassette, reading frame B (Invitrogen); TGA: Stop codon; Restriction nuclease recognition sites as indicated.
2) Ligation of an Xbal-HA-STOP-Bcll Fragment.

- The reverse-complementary oligonucleotides pGADC_Xbal/Bcll_FW and pGADC_Xbal/Bcll_REV were dimerized and ligated into the linearized pGADbackbone. The Result is an intermediate vector lacking the cloning site
- The oligonucleotides were designed that the dimers bear 5'-overhangs that are complementary to the $3^{\prime}$-overhangs of the digested vector. The inserted HA-tag and stop codon could thereby be replaced by cloning with Xbal and Bcll. (see Figure 18)
- The intermediate vector was checked by analytical PCR using pADH1_FW and GAL4_AD_REV primers and subsequently sequenced.


Figure 18: pGADC intermediate vector.
Compared to Figure 17 the T7-HA-GWY region is replaced by HA followed by a TGA stop codon.
3) Insertion of the Gateway-cassette between the NLS and the Gal4 AD.

In the last work step a novel Gateway-cassette has been introduced into the intermediate vector to generate pGADCg (Figure 19).


Figure 19: Resulting vector pGADCg
The Gateway cassette has been ligated into the Kpnl restriction site (cf. Figure 18)

- To generate copies of a Gateway-cassette that can be cloned between the NLS and AD-region a Kpnl restriction site was fused by a preparative PCR using pGADT7g as a template. The cassette has the reading frame $B$ (RFB, see Gateway manual, Invitrogen) with the primers Kpnl-GWY RFB-Kpnl_FW and Kpnl-GWY RFB-Kpnl_REV.
- Cleanup of the PCR product was followed by a Kpnl digest.
- Parallel the intermediate vector was digested with Kpnl and dephosphorylated and cleaned up.
- The cassette was ligated into the vector and transformed into DB3.1-cells. Colony-PCR was performed to identify positive clones with inserted Gatewaycassette (pADH1_FW and Gal4_AD_REV primers).
- The correct orientation of the cassette was controlled by sequencing.

The resulting Vector was amplified within DB3.1 cells and purified by plasmid-DNA maxi preparation. Now any desired protein coding sequence or fragment thereof can be cloned into this vector by LR-recombination reaction as long as it is available as Entry-clone with other than an ampicillin resistance marker. A detailed view of the recombination event is given in Figure 20.

### 3.1.2.2 Conversion of pGBKT 7 g to pGBKCg

The Yeast two-hybrid vector for expression of C-terminally fused DBD domain was also performed in three main steps:

1) Removal of the Gateway-cassette.

- First a double digestion of pGBKT7g (Figure 21) with EcoRI/Pstl was performed. There are for both enzymes two restriction sites, each with one flanking the recombination cassette and a second one within it. Therefore special attention was paid to achieve a complete as possible turnover of plasmids to be digested.


Figure 20: Example: Recombination of VZV-ORF26 into pGADCg
The prey vector pGADCg generates a C-terminal fusion of the Gal4 AD (Stellberger et al., 2010). The cloned ORF (here: VZV ORF 26) is preceded by a 33 amino acid sequence that contains the nuclear localization signal (NLS). A 14 amino acid linker separates the ORF from the Gal4 AD. The HA tag of pGADCg has been shortened to seven amino acids so that it may not be recognized by anti-HA antibodies. The LR-recombination recognizes attR (Destination vector) and attL sites (Donor-vector). The resulting attB sites, which were part of the attL sites (shown in light green), create short AA linkers at the N - and C -Terminus of the insert, ORF26. Underlined sequences differ between vector and final product and thus represent the inserted ORF plus a few additional bases introduced by the clone originating from attL1/2. For further technical details see the Gateway manuals at http://www.invitrogen.com.


Figure 21: Sector of pGBKT7g implicated in the conversion to pGBKCg.
This is an illustration of the vector region which is relevant for transcription and the expressed baitconstructs. pADH1*: Truncated yeast ADH1 promoter; ATG: Start codon; DBD: Gal4 DNA binding domain; T7: Bacteriophage T7 promoter; MYC: Coding for human cMYC; GWY: Gateway-cassette, reading frame B (Invitrogen); TAA: Stop codon; Relevant restriction nuclease recognition sites are indicated.

- EcoRI leaves a 4 nucleotide 5'-TTAA overhang while Pstl-restriction results in a 4 nt $3^{\prime}$-ACTG overhang. This resulted in an 8 nt gap on the sense-strand which was closed by oligonucleotide-ligation of the pGBKC_8mer with the sequence: 5'-AATTTGCA-3'.
- The reaction was transformed into TOP10-cells. Loss of the Gateway-cassette was confirmed by colony-PCR using the primers pADH1_FW and GAL4_DBD_REV. The resulting plasmid was the first progenitor of pGBKCg (see Figure 22A).
- Insertion of a restriction site upstream of the DBD

To generate a restriction site suitable for insertion of the recombination cassette in frame between the promoter region and the DNA binding domain I used a fusionPCR strategy. The goal of this step was to obtain a PCR-product carrying the generated Kpnl restriction site and spanning the neighbored sequences until unique restriction sites. These are subsequently used to exchange the PCR-product with the corresponding vector sequence.

Three separate PCR reactions were performed (see also Figure 22B):

- The first PCR was performed with pGBKT7g as template using the primers pGBKC_A (A) and pGBKC_B (B). The reverse primer B contains a non-specific sequence bearing the Kpnl restriction site (dotted line).
- A second PCR reaction, using primers pGBKC_C (C) and pGBKC_D (D) replicates the sequence downstream of the designated Kpnl site with and fusing it to the PCR-product with 28 nt homology to the first PCR product (dotted line).
- The third PCR reaction, using primers pGBKC_A (A) and pGBKC_D (D) produced the desired DNA-molecule by fusing the gel purified PCR-products from PCR \#1 and \#2 which were both used as templates generating the desired DNA molecule (Figure 22C).

The DNA resulting from the fusion-PCR was double digested with EcoRV/Xhol as well as the progenitor vector which was additionally dephosphorylated. The Backbone of the digested progenitor was gel purified and ligated with the columnpurified digest of the PCR. The resulting transformants were checked by pADH1_FW/GAL4_DBD_REV and subsequently sequenced resulting in the intermediate pGBKC vector.


Figure 22: Fusion-PCR strategy to generate Kpnl restriction site.
A) First progenitor of pGBKCg. To generate bait constructs with a C-terminal DBD-fusion the Gateway cassette had to be inserted between pADH1* and the start codon ATG. B) A Fusion-PCR strategy was used and three consecutive PCR steps led to the DNA molecule (C) for EcoRV/Xhol cloning in the progenitor vector.
2) Insertion of the Gateway-cassette in front of the Gal4 DBD.

The insertion of the recombination cassette was performed as described for pGADCg in chapter 3.1.2.1 yielding the vector pGBKCg which was finally verified by PCR and sequencing (Figure 23).


Figure 23: Resulting vector pGBKCg
The vector has the Gateway-cassette inserted between the truncated ADH1-promoter (pADH1*) and the original start codon which now has to be generally replaced by an intrinsic start codon of the test constructs which are later on recombinated in the destination vector pGBKCg.

The vector was amplified in DB3.1 cells and purified by plasmid-DNA maxipreparation. By LR-recombination reaction every protein coding DNA sequence can be cloned into this vector from a Gateway entry-clone with other than a kanamycin resistance marker. A detailed view of the recombination event is given in Figure 24.


Figure 24: Example: Recombination of VZV-ORF19 into pGBKCg
The vector pGBKCg generates a C-terminal fusion of the Gal4 DBD separated by a 13 amino acid linker from the N-terminally fused ORF (here: VZV ORF 19) (Stellberger et al., 2010). The NLS of pGBKC is part of the DBD. A MYC tag is embedded in a C-terminal 37 amino acid tail. The LRrecombination recognizes attR (destination vector) and attL sites (donor-vector). The resulting attB sites, which were part of the attL sites (shown in light green), create short AA linkers at the N - and C Terminus of the insert. Underlined sequences differ between vector and final product and thus represent the inserted ORF plus a few additional bases introduced by the clone originating from attL1/2.

### 3.1.2.3 Resources of pGBKCg and pGADCg

The vectors pGBKCg and pGADCg are available from Dr. Peter Uetz, Addgene (http://www.addgene.com) or myself. The sequences have been deposited with GenBank under accession numbers FJ696409 (pGBKCg) and FJ696408 (pGADCg). The vectors pGBKT7g and pGADT7g are available from Dr. Peter Uetz.

### 3.1.3 Combinatorial Y2H screening of the VZV ORFeome

The goal of this project was to generate a complete as possible protein interaction map of an organism at the example of the Varicella zoster virus. The big advantage of this model is was the availability of a good quality collection of entry clones. Moreover, the collection contains 96 full-length and domain constructs of 71 distinct
open reading frames, which can be handled very convenient in an array-based Y 2 H screen.

The first step was to clone each ORF into the bait vectors pGBKT7g and pGBKCg and the prey vectors pGADT7g and pGADCg. An overview about the VZV ORFs and the annotated proteins is shown in Table 4. The resulting clones were transfected into the Y2H-reporter yeast strains Y187 (bait-) and AH109 (prey-clones) and placed on a 96 positions array. In an array-based Yeast two-hybrid screen as described in the Methods chapter baits were screened against pGADT7g- and pGADCg prey arrays.

| Product Name | Length | Accession | GenelD | Locus | Locus_tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| membrane protein UL56 | 129 | YP_053044.1 | 1487696 | ORFO <br> (ORFS/L) | HHV3_gp01 |
| membrane protein V1 | 108 | NP_040124.1 | 1487664 | ORF1 | HHV3_gp02 |
| myristylated tegument protein CIRC | 221 | NP_040125.2 | 1487680 | ORF2 | HHV3_gp03 |
| nuclear protein UL55 | 179 | NP_040126.1 | 1487681 | ORF3 | HHV3_gp04 |
| multifunctional expression regulator | 452 | NP_040127.1 | 1487672 | ORF4 | HHV3_gp05 |
| envelope glycoprotein K | 340 | NP_040128.1 | 1487673 | ORF5 | HHV3_gp06 |
| helicase-primase, primase subunit | 1083 | NP_040129.1 | 1487676 | ORF6 | HHV3_gp07 |
| tegument protein UL51 | 259 | NP_040130.1 | 1487677 | ORF7 | HHV3_gp08 |
| deoxyuridine triphosphatase | 396 | NP_040131.1 | 1487671 | ORF8 | HHV3_gp09 |
| envelope glycoprotein N | 87 | YP_068406.1 | 4711773 | ORF9A | HHV3_gp10.5 |
| tegument protein VP22 | 302 | NP_040132.1 | 1487674 | ORF9 | HHV3_gp11 |
| transactivating tegument protein VP16 | 410 | NP_040133.1 | 1487675 | ORF10 | HHV3_gp12 |
| tegument protein VP13/14 | 819 | NP_040134.1 | 1487654 | ORF11 | HHV3_gp13 |
| tegument protein VP11/12 | 661 | NP_040135.1 | 1487655 | ORF12 | HHV3_gp14 |
| thymidylate synthase | 301 | NP_040136.1 | 1487659 | ORF13 | HHV3_gp15 |
| envelope glycoprotein C | 560 | NP_040137.1 | 1487660 | ORF14 | HHV3_gp16 |
| envelope protein UL43 | 406 | NP_040138.1 | 1487652 | ORF15 | HHV3_gp17 |
| DNA polymerase processivity subunit | 408 | NP_040139.1 | 1487653 | ORF16 | HHV3_gp18 |
| tegument host shutoff protein | 455 | NP_040140.1 | 1487714 | ORF17 | HHV3_gp19 |
| ribonucleotide reductase subunit 2 | 306 | NP_040141.1 | 1487715 | ORF18 | HHV3_gp20 |
| ribonucleotide reductase subunit 1 | 775 | NP_040142.1 | 1487716 | ORF19 | HHV3_gp21 |
| capsid triplex subunit 1 | 483 | NP_040143.1 | 1487685 | ORF20 | HHV3_gp22 |
| tegument protein UL37 | 1038 | NP_040144.1 | 1487686 | ORF21 | HHV3_gp23 |
| large tegument protein | 2763 | NP_040145.1 | 1487704 | ORF22 | HHV3_gp24 |
| small capsid protein | 235 | NP_040146.1 | 1487705 | ORF23 | HHV3_gp25 |

Results

| Product Name | Length | Accession | GenelD | Locus | Locus_tag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nuclear egress membrane protein | 269 | NP_040147.1 | 1487693 | ORF24 | HHV3_gp26 |
| DNA packaging protein UL33 | 156 | NP_040148.1 | 1487665 | ORF25 | HHV3_gp27 |
| DNA packaging protein UL32 | 585 | NP_040149.1 | 1487694 | ORF26 | HHV3_gp28 |
| nuclear egress lamina protein | 312 | NP_040150.2 | 1487666 | ORF27 | HHV3_gp29 |
| DNA polymerase catalytic subunit | 1194 | NP_040151.1 | 1487712 | ORF28 | HHV3_gp30 |
| single-stranded DNA-binding protein | 1204 | NP_040152.1 | 1487713 | ORF29 | HHV3_gp31 |
| DNA packaging terminase subunit 2 | 770 | NP_040153.1 | 1487661 | ORF30 | HHV3_gp32 |
| envelope glycoprotein B | 931 | NP_040154.2 | 1487662 | ORF31 | HHV3_gp33 |
| protein V32 | 143 | NP_040155.1 | 1487663 | ORF32 | HHV3_gp34 |
| capsid maturation protease | 605 | NP_040156.1 | 1487717 | ORF33 | HHV3_gp35 |
| capsid scaffold protein | 302 | YP_068407.1 | 4711772 | ORF33.5 | HHV3_gp35.5 |
| DNA packaging tegument protein UL25 | 579 | NP_040157.1 | 1487687 | ORF34 | HHV3_gp36 |
| nuclear protein UL24 | 258 | NP_040158.1 | 1487688 | ORF35 | HHV3_gp37 |
| thymidine kinase | 341 | NP_040159.1 | 1487667 | ORF36 | HHV3_gp38 |
| envelope glycoprotein H | 841 | NP_040160.1 | 1487668 | ORF37 | HHV3_gp39 |
| tegument protein UL21 | 541 | NP_040161.1 | 1487706 | ORF38 | HHV3_gp40 |
| envelope protein UL20 | 222 | NP_040162.2 | 1487707 | ORF39 | HHV3_gp41 |
| major capsid protein | 1396 | NP_040163.1 | 1487708 | ORF40 | HHV3_gp42 |
| capsid triplex subunit 2 | 316 | NP_040164.1 | 1487669 | ORF41 | HHV3_gp43 |
| DNA packaging terminase subunit 1 | 747 | NP_040165.1 | 1487719 | ORF42 | HHV3_gp44 |
| DNA packaging tegument protein UL17 | 676 | NP_040166.1 | 1487670 | ORF43 | HHV3_gp45 |
| tegument protein UL16 | 363 | NP_040167.1 | 1487718 | ORF44 | HHV3_gp46 |
| tegument protein UL14 | 199 | NP_040168.1 | 1487720 | ORF46 | HHV3_gp47 |
| tegument serine/threonine protein kinase | 510 | NP_040169.1 | 1487678 | ORF47 | HHV3_gp48 |
| deoxyribonuclease | 551 | NP_040170.1 | 1487679 | ORF48 | HHV3_gp49 |
| myristylated tegument protein | 81 | NP_040171.1 | 1487656 | ORF49 | HHV3_gp50 |
| envelope glycoprotein M | 435 | NP_040172.1 | 1487658 | ORF50 | HHV3_gp51 |
| DNA replication origin-binding helicase | 835 | NP_040173.1 | 1487657 | ORF51 | HHV3_gp52 |
| helicase-primase subunit | 771 | NP_040174.1 | 1487721 | ORF52 | HHV3_gp53 |
| tegument protein UL7 | 331 | NP_040175.1 | 1487722 | ORF53 | HHV3_gp54 |
| capsid portal protein | 769 | NP_040176.1 | 1487723 | ORF54 | HHV3_gp55 |
| helicase-primase helicase subunit | 881 | NP_040177.1 | 1487682 | ORF55 | HHV3_gp56 |
| nuclear protein UL4 | 196 | NP_040178.2 | 1487683 | ORF56 | HHV3_gp57 |
| protein V57 | 71 | NP_040179.1 | 1487684 | ORF57 | HHV3_gp58 |


| Product Name | Length | Accession | GenelD | Locus | Locus_tag |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nuclear protein UL3 | 221 | NP_040180.1 | 1487690 | ORF58 | HHV3_gp59 |
| uracil-DNA glycosylase | 305 | NP_040181.1 | 1487691 | ORF59 | HHV3_gp60 |
| envelope glycoprotein L | 159 | NP_040182.1 | 1487692 | ORF60 | HHV3_gp61 |
| ubiquitin E3 ligase ICP0 | 467 | NP_040183.1 | 1487698 | ORF61 | HHV3_gp62 |
| transcriptional regulator ICP4 | 1310 | NP_040184.1 | 1487699 | ORF62 | HHV3_gp63 |
| regulatory protein ICP22 | 278 | NP_040185.1 | 1487700 | ORF63 | HHV3_gp64 |
| virion protein US10 | 180 | NP_040186.1 | 1487701 | ORF64 | HHV3_gp65 |
| membrane protein US9 | 102 | NP_040187.1 | 1487702 | ORF65 | HHV3_gp66 |
| serine/threonine protein kinase <br> US3 | 393 | NP_040188.1 | 1487703 | ORF66 | HHV3_gp67 |
| envelope glycoprotein I | 354 | NP_040189.1 | 1487689 | ORF67 | HHV3_gp68 |
| envelope glycoprotein E | 623 | NP_040190.1 | 1487709 | ORF68 | HHV3_gp69 |
| virion protein US10 | 180 | NP_040191.1 | 1487710 | ORF64 | HHV3_gp70 |
| regulatory protein ICP22 | 278 | NP_040192.1 | 1487711 | ORF63 | HHV3_gp71 |
| transcriptional regulator ICP4 | 1310 | NP_040193.1 | 1487695 | ORF62 | HHV3_gp72 |

Table 4: Annotated VZV proteins and their corresponding ORFs.
Protein annotations were derived from the NCBI genome database. Reference sequence (Refseq) accession no.: NC_001348 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NC_001348). Table adapted from the associated table of protein coding genes (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?Db=genome \&Cmd=Retrieve\&dopt=Protein+Table\&list_uids=10044). Note that ORFs \# 63 and \# 64 are duplicated (loci HHV3_gp64 and HHV3_gp71 are ORF63 and HHV3_gp65 and HHV3_gp70 both contain ORFs \# 64.

### 3.1.3.1 Generation of an extended PI network

The starting point of this study was a set of 182 intraviral VZV interactions (173 nonredundant) previously identified in our group (Uetz et al., 2006). This study was performed with standard N -terminal fused Y 2 H -constructs in the vectors pGBKT7g and pGADT7g which were also part of this study.

Combining this data with the interactions of this study, in four distinct screens testing intraviral interactions in the bait-prey combinations:

- pGBKT7g-pGADT7g (NN),
- pGBKT7g-pGADCg (NC),
- pGBKCg-pGADT7g (CN) and
- pGBKCg-pGADCg (CC)

Each screen was performed twice, resulting in a large number of new and overlapping interactions. Taken together, 87 of 96 test constructs showed a total of 569 individual interactions between full-length (FL) ORFs and/or domains (see Supplementary Table S4). 164 of these interactions were redundant in terms of
fusion tag permutation, which means that they were detected in two, three or four of the performed screens, e.g. NN, CN or NC, CN, CC. This leaves a total of 405 PPIs which are listed in the Supplementary Table S1. These 405 interactions still contain redundancies in certain ways. The interactions in this list are all different in terms of interacting constructs. For example, the two interactions between ORF1 and ORF60C (Interactions \#6 and \#7) are listed separately because they involve different constructs (full-length ORF1 in \#6 and an N-terminal fragment of ORF1 in \#7). Such redundancies are indicated by "red" in the column PPIs. All interacting protein pairs irrespective of constructs are listed in VZV_pairs. This column is redundant because the same protein pairs may occur multiple times (as in interactions \#6 and \#7). The PPI network of individual constructs comprising 405 interactions is shown in Figure 25.

To create a non-redundant PPI network, I had to exclude two more sources of redundancy. In the following step of data processing I eliminated redundancies caused by overlaps between different constructs of the same ORF. That means that I mapped each interaction that was detected in bait-prey direction to their full-length (FL)-ORF, for example the interactions \#6 and \#7 in Supplementary Table S1 (ORF1ORF60C and ORF1-ORF60 interaction) were mapped to the same FL interaction (ORF1-ORF60). This led to a reduction of seven percent of the interactions from 405 to 377 PPIs. In the last step I removed identical protein pairs detected as bait-protein Y / prey-Protein X and bait-protein X / prey-Protein Y . This was the case in 7.7 \% of the remaining 377 interactions leading to a non-redundant PPI set of 348 unique intraviral VZV protein interactions (Figure 26). That means that a two-fold increase compared to previously described PPIs was accomplished with the improved Y2H system (173*2.01 = 348). Summarized, PPIs of 67 of the 71 distinct VZV ORFs showed at least one interaction (94 \%). This is an extremely high coverage, compared to the traditional screening method. In the initial NN-screens only 55 of the 71 ORFs interacted at least once as FL- or domain- bait or prey (77 \%). That means that 17 \% more ORFs were accessible by the Y2H system when steric hindrance effects can be overcome by changing the fusion-tag topology.

## Results



Figure 25: Combinatorial VZV PPI network
The PPI network comprises 405 protein-protein and protein-domain interactions. The individual distribution of tag-topology combinations an individual interaction was found with is excluded in this figure. The number of tag-topology combinations is indicated by the edge colour. Black: Interaction detected in one screen, e.g. NN; Green: detected in two screens; Blue: detected in three screens; Red: detected in all 4 screens. The network was generated using Cytoscape software environment v2.5.2 (Shannon et al., 2003).


Figure 26: Non redundant VZV PPI network.
This PPI network comprises 348 identified physiological protein-protein interactions after removal of 29 ( 7.7 \%) redundant interactions of the 377 FL network; Compared to the dataset taken as a basis of this study the number of PPIs was increased by two fold (Uetz et al., 2006).

### 3.1.3.2 Interactions resulting from different vector combinations

Each of the four bait/prey vector combinations produced a significantly different interaction subset. For example, the screens with bait ORF19, the large subunit of ribonucleotide reductase, produced a total of 17 interactions (of 15 distinct proteins), of which only two were found in all four combinations (namely ORF25 and ORF18C), see Figure 27A. Five interactions were found with the $N$-terminal fusions (in pGBKT7g and pGADT7g) while 11 ( 3 strong +8 weak) interactions resulted from the screens with the C-terminal fusions (in pGBKCg and pGADCg). The C-N and N-C combinations generated seven and eight interactions, respectively.

The interactions detected also depended strongly on the selection pressure: typically all screens were initially carried out without 3 -aminotriazole (3AT), a competitive inhibitor of imidazoleglycerolphosphate dehydratase (Hilton et al., 1965), which is the His3 reporter enzyme used in our assays. If baits turned out to be autoactivating under these conditions, we raised the 3AT concentrations up to 50 mM in steps of 1 , $3,10,25,40$ and 50 mM . ORF19 was an activator at 0 , 1 , and 3 mM and clear results were only obtained at 10 or 25 mM (Figure 27). Most interactions disappeared at 25 mM (Figure 27B). In general, the results reported here were obtained at a 3AT concentration that clearly differentiated between signal and noise. Note that ORF19 was a stronger activator as N-terminal DBD-fusion than as C-terminal fusion (background in Figure 27A). However, this was not generally true: in 20 cases the Nterminal bait fusion autoactivated while 21 of the C-terminal baits did so. In seven cases both fusions were autoactivators at 3 mM 3AT or higher concentrations but only one (ORF46) required more than 25 mM in both cases and was thus not interpretable (see Supplementary Table S4).

Figure 27: N - and C -terminal vectors detect different interactions.
Y2H screens of the four different vector combinations showing the differences on $3 \mathrm{mM}(\mathrm{A})$ and 25 mM 3AT (B). The same bait, ORF19 (Uniprot accession P09248) was used as bait with N-terminally and C-terminally fused DNA-binding and activation domains and screened against a whole-genome array of Varicella Zoster Virus (VZV). The N-terminal bait and prey constructs (in pGBKT7g, pGADT7g, NN) show markedly different interaction patterns compared to the C-terminal constructs cloned into pGBKCg and pGADCg (CC). NC and CN combinations show yet different interactions. Preys are indicated by their ORF number, e.g. the bait ORF19 is the large ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) subunit which is known to interact with itself and the small RNR subunit (ORF18 = Uniprot P09247). Note that N and C labels near yeast colonies indicate N - and C -terminal protein fragments, not AD or DBD fusions (e.g. 18C and 18 N are N - and C-terminal domains of ORF18). A complete list of interactions is provided in Supplementary Table S1. The sequences of all proteins are listed in Supplementary Table S5.

A $\quad 3 \mathrm{mM}$ 3AT


B $\quad 25 \mathrm{mM}$ 3AT $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { NN } & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ 11 & 12 & C C\end{array}$
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### 3.1.3.3 Distribution of interactions identified in combinatorial screens

For the present study, I have conducted more than 27,000 individual Y2H tests, each performed two times to gain a systematic insight in the nature of the Yeast two-hybrid testing system which I have developed ( $96 \times 96$ pairwise combinations for three of four permutations, including multiple constructs of 18 of the 71 proteins) (see Supplementary Table S4). 112 interactions were exclusively found with the N terminal combination. No combination turned out to be superior to the other three, although the number of N-C pairs was somewhat lower (90) than the NN, CN, and CC pairs (ranging from 146 to 182, see Figure 29). Absolute numbers lie within a normal distribution interval of $\mu= \pm 2 \sigma$ indicating that no screen is an outlier. Overall, adding three additional combinations to any of the four increased the number of additional interactions by 2.2 to 4.5 -fold (see Table 5).

| combination | PPls | other comb. | fold increase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NN | 182 | 223 | 2.2 |
| NC | 90 | 315 | 4.5 |
| CN | 151 | 254 | 2.7 |
| CC | 146 | 259 | 2.8 |
| $\boldsymbol{S}$ | 569 |  |  |
| mean | 142.25 |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ | 38.30 |  |  |

## Table 5: $\quad$ Number of PPIs found in individual screens.

Individual screened permutations and the associated interaction data. PPIs are the number of interactions found with this combination. Other combinations are all interactions not found with combination. For example, NN detected 182 PPIs, all other combinations found 223 in addition to those found by NN (405 PPIs without tag-topology redundancies). The "fold increase" is the ratio of other combinations over PPIs, i.e. the fold increase of interactions that are found when additional combinations are used in addition to the one indicated. PPIs + other combinations always add up to 405. $\sum$ : Sum of overall detected interactions; mean: Arithmetic mean of detected interactions in individual screens; $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ : Standard deviation.

If we look at the distribution of interactions in regard to the sheer number of screens in which the interactions were detected I observed that the majority was detected merely for one time. While only 64 of 569 redundant interactions were found in all four runs, a rising number was found in decreasing numbers of screens (see Table 6 and Figure 28).

| \# screens | \# Interactions | \% Interactions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 298 | 52.37 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 132 | 23.20 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 75 | 13.18 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 64 | 11.25 |
| $\sum$ | 569 | 100.00 |

Table 6: Distribution of the number of times individual PPIs were found.
In this table the number of screened permutations (1-4) and the respective numbers of interactions and the corresponding fraction are listed. The amount of interactions decreases with rising overlaps between distinct screens.


Figure 28: Distribution of interactions detected one to four times.
This graph shows the distribution of interacting constructs detected one, two, three or four times irrespective of the nature of the individual combination. $4=N N, N C, C N$ and CC; $3=e . g$. NN, CN, CC or CN, NC, CC et cetera. The sum of interactions is 569 .

### 3.1.3.4 Overlap between vector combinations

As shown in Figure 29, increasing numbers of vector combinations detected decreasing numbers of overlapping results. This was generally true: while the N terminal fusions produced a total of 182 interactions, only 16 of them were also found with the other three combinations.

## Results



Figure 29: Overlaps between tag-topology combinations.
This Venn diagram shows the distribution of the 405 VZV interactions found in either one or more tagtopologies. 182 interactions were detected in the traditional screen (NN); 90, 151 and 146 PPIs were additionally identified in the new combinatorial screens (NC, CN and CC respectively). The differences in the tag topologies are sketched aside of the corresponding topology abbreviations. The total number of interactions in each screen is enfolded by a box in the respective colour. The overlaps between different vector systems are specified in Table 7. The number of overlaps is indicated by the thickness of numbers decreasing from four to one.

|  | NN | NC | CN | CC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NN | 182 | $38(21 / 42 \%)$ | $47(26 / 31 \%)$ | $33(18 / 23 \%)$ |
| NC | - | 90 | $26(29 / 17 \%)$ | $30(33 / 21 \%)$ |
| CN | - | - | 151 | $63(42 / 43 \%)$ |
| CC | - | - | - | 146 |

Table 7: Overlap between screens.
Identical interactions found with multiple screens of different combinations. For example, the NN and NC screens found 182 and 90 interactions, respectively, of which 38 were identical (i.e. the protein pairs were identical), corresponding to 21 \% of NN- and $42 \%$ of NC- interactions.

### 3.2 Quality assessment of PPI-data

In the next chapter I will investigate the quality of the Y2H interaction data. This is a very crucial step to allow an estimation of the quality of the present dataset as well as for future users willing to use this technique. The two main questions that have to be addressed are the degree of reduction of false-negative and false-positive interactions.

### 3.2.1 Improvement of the assay sensitivity

The assay sensitivity of Y2H screens (fraction of all biophysical interactions that can be detected by a given assay), has been estimated to cover only $17 \%$ of all actual PPIs taking place in one certain test system (Venkatesan et al., 2009). This low coverage is a major stumbling block in the attempt to gain a complete dataset which is necessary e.g. for systems biological attempts towards the understanding of pathomechanisms (Dreze et al., 2009).

In regard to the present testing system I was primarily interested in how many interactions can be verified by any additional evidence. Therefore I created a set of high-confidence interactions which meet at least one of a set of certain predefined demands, the Gold Standard interactions.

### 3.2.1.1 Gold Standard interactions

To gather a preferably large dataset of Gold Standard interactions I used three different parameters. The first one was to compare the Y2H interaction data to published PPI-data, so called literature-curated (LC) interactions. Second, I predicted VZV-interactions from four large scale screens of related herpesviruses (HSV-1, MCMV, EBV and KSHV), which were previously performed in our group (Fossum et al., 2009). At last, I took those interactions into account which appeared more than one time within the VZV screens, represented by different constructs of the same protein pair. Comparison of the literature curated interactions and Y2H interologs with the VZV interaction data from the combinatorial screening was performed with the help of databases generated with "FileMakerPro", v.9.5 (http://www.filemaker.de).

### 3.2.1.1.1 Literature-curated interactions

From a recent publication I could make use of an overview about all published protein interaction data of VZV to date (Fossum et al., 2009). This literature-curated dataset is made up of various small-scale and large-scale studies and was consequently used as gold-standard set. Since not many VZV interactions have been published in small-scale studies so far I included small- and large scale derived interactions from four more members of the Herpesviridae family, viz. HSV-1, MCMV, EBV and KSHV (Rozen et al., 2008) and checked the VZV interactions also for interologous interactions thereof (see Supplementary Table S2).

### 3.2.1.1.2 Orthologous prediction of LC VZV interactions

Fossum et al. listed 91 literature-curated interactions from five different herpesviruses including nine in VZV. 67 of the 91 interacting pairs had orthologs in VZV (Davison, 2004) and could therefore be used for orthologous prediction. A List of orthologous proteins in VZV, HSV-1, MCMV, EBV and KSHV can be found in the supplementary information of Fossum et al., 2009.

### 3.2.1.1.3 Orthologous prediction of Y2H interactions

In the same way as described in the previous passage, I compared published Y2H interactions from large scale studies (Fossum et al., 2009; Uetz et al., 2006) to the 405 VZV-interactions found in this study. Altogether, 60 interactions could be found in this way. The individual ratio is constantly rising from $11.1 \%$ within interactions found in one vector combination to 43.8 \% found in all four combinations. Altogether the number of Y 2 H verified interactions is corresponding to $14.8 \%$ of the whole dataset (see Table 8).

### 3.2.1.1.4 Redundancies within the screen

The third indication for high confidence interactions is the appearance of redundant interactions within the dataset, e.g. identical protein pairs are represented by different constructs by an interaction of full-length proteins reproduced by a full-length protein and a protein fragment. See for example interaction number 18 and 19 in Supplementary Table S1. Or an interaction is found in the combinations bait-protein X/ prey-protein Y and bait-protein X/ prey-protein Y, e.g. the interactions \#147 and \#148.

### 3.2.1.2 Verification of the dataset using Gold Standard interactions

The raw data was systematically screened for Gold Standard interactions with the help of a database created with FileMaker version 8.5 (FileMaker GmbH, Unterschleißheim). Taken together 47 \% of all interactions could be verified by at least one quality feature ( $41 \%$ of the redundant dataset without tag-topology redundancies and 32 \% of the non-redundant dataset). The individual distribution of gold-standard interactions is listed in Table 8 and shown in Figure 30.

| \# combinations | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\sum$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| verified PPIs | $76(107)$ | $17(35 ; 70)$ | $9(14 ; 42)$ | $9(12 ; 48)$ | $111(168 ;$ <br> $267)$ |
| all PPIs | $267(298)$ | $48(66 ; 132)$ | $20(25 ; 75)$ | $13(16 ; 64)$ | $348(405 ;$ <br> $569)$ |
| $\%$ verified | $28 \%(36 \%)$ | $35 \%(53 \%)$ | $45 \%(56 \%)$ | $69 \%(75 \%)$ | $32 \%(41 \% ;$ <br> $47 \%)$ |

Table 8: Verification by additional evidence.
Verifications include literature-curated interactions, large-scale Y2H assays, or interactions found with alternative constructs. For example, there are 13 unique interactions that have been found in all 4 combinations (NN, NC, CN, CC) (redundant interactions are those that are found among the same proteins but using different constructs, e.g. between ORF24 and ORF27 as well as between ORF24N and ORF27). Redundant interactions are labeled "red" in column PPIs in Supplementary Table S1. Verified interactions have been verified by (1) an elsewhere published interaction (indicated by the PubMed ID in Supplementary Table S1), (2) a large-scale Y2H experiment (Fossum et al., 2009; Uetz et al., 2006), or by an independent construct (e.g. a fragment of a protein in addition to a full-length protein). Numbers apply to non-redundant interactions (348 PPIs), numbers in brackets refer to the redundant dataset (405 PPIs w/o-; 569 PPIs with tag-topology overlaps).

Results


Figure 30: Distribution of verified VZV interactions.
Fraction of gold-standard verified interactions in subject to the number of tag-permutations they were detected with. Values refer to Table 8; the percentage of verified interactions referring to nonredundant interactions (non-red) is topped by the value within the redundant dataset (red).

### 3.2.1.3 Is the data-quality between tag-permutations equal?

A crucial question is how good the quality of interactions is distributed when different vector combinations are used. Comparison of the number of Gold-Standard verified interactions to the overall interaction number of the respective screen revealed ratios between $45 \%$ and $50 \%$ for any of the four independent screens (see Table 9).

|  | NN | NC | CN | CC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| verified | 83 | 45 | 71 | 68 |
| total | 182 | 90 | 151 | 146 |
| \% verified | $45 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| mean | $47.25 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ | $2.06 \%$ |  |  |  |

## Table 9: All permutations generate data of equal quality.

The interactions found for each permutation were checked for their fraction of verified interactions as in the previous chapter. No permutation seems to be superior to any other permutation. Note that the percentages are larger than in Table 8 because redundant interactions were counted as well. Such redundant interactions are generated by different constructs of the same protein all of which may confirm an interaction of another permutation. $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ : Standard deviation.

### 3.2.2 Conservation of PPIs between orthologous proteins

It has been shown that the conservation of proteins positively correlates with the conservation of protein interactions (Fox et al., 2009). In this paragraph I want to investigate if the VZV interaction data supports this observation.
To investigate which conserved PPIs have been found in which screen and for how many times, I extracted literature-curated and Y2H interologous interactions from the raw interaction data (Supplementary Table S1) and apportioned the remaining interactions. Altogether, 74 interactions are in the VZV dataset which were previously reported in at least one more herpesvirus and are therefore called interologous interactions. The overlaps of interologous interactions between the Y 2 H and LC dataset with VZV interactions detected in this study are graphed in Figure 31. 15 of these interactions imply one core-ortholog, five take place between non-core proteins, while 54 take place between two core-orthologs. This indicates a high degree of interaction conservation between closely related species. On the other hand I found 136 interactions between 38 of 41 core-proteins which are conserved in HSV1, MCMV, EBV, or KSHV as well (see Figure 32). Subsequently, 212 interactions take place between one core-ortholog and a non-core protein or between two non-core proteins. Putting these numbers together, 54 of 136 (39.7 \%) coreinteractions are conserved between five herpesvirus species while only 20 of 212 ( $9.4 \%$ ) are conserved between less conserved proteins. This meets with a 4.2-fold increase of interaction conservation between conserved proteins in comparison to non-conserved interaction partners. Compared to the whole dataset (348 PPIs), 21.2 \% of all VZV interactions are conserved among HV-species, 15.5 \% are conserved within core-orthologs and 5.7 \% outside of the core interactions. For detailed numbers see Table 10.

## Results



Figure 31: Overlaps between Y2H-data and VZV PPI network.
This Venn diagrams show the number of PPIs detected in (A) large-scale Y2H-screens (Fossum et al., 2009; Stellberger et al., 2010; Uetz et al., 2006) and in (B) extensive investigation of small-scale studies which make up the literature-curated dataset (Fossum et al., 2009) and the interologous overlaps with the VZV-network. In aid of clarity overlaps between HSV-1, MCMV, KSHV and EBV were omitted.


Figure 32: VZV interactions among core-proteins.
The core-network comprises 136 binary protein-protein interactions between 38 of 41 conserved herpesviral proteins. Colors indicate the degree of sequence conservation between the orthologs in VZV, HSV1, MCMV, EBV, or KSHV. Yellow: Sequence conservation < $30 \%$; Red: < $40 \%$; Green: > 40 \%.

### 3.2.3 Introducing an intrinsic quality score

The existence of false-positive interactions within a PPI-network is almost inevitable and it is very difficult to prove the existence of false-positives, if this can be done at all. In this study, proteins are investigated which are expressed in the same cell and one can not be 100 \% sure that interactions detected in Y 2 H assays do not take place in a human host cell. In this chapter I provided circumstantial evidence that interactions found in multiple combinations are of higher quality than interactions found in a single screen. Thus, I can allege that interactions found with multiple protein fragments support this interaction (as long as the fragments are not exclusive as in non-overlapping N - and C -terminal fragments).

| combinations | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\sum$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LC interologs (core) | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| Y2H interologs (core) | 26 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 48 |
| verified core PPIs (NR) | 31 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 54 |
| PPIs total (NR) | 267 | 48 | 20 | 13 | 348 |
| \% verified core (136) | $22.8 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | 39.7 \% |
| \% verified core total (348) | $11.6 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5} \%$ |
| LC interologs (non-core) | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Y2H interologs (non-core) | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| verified non-core PPIs (NR) | 16 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 20 |
| \% verified non-core (212) | $7.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4} \%$ |
| \% verified non-core total (348) | $6.0 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 . 7} \%$ |
| \% verified core and non-core | $17.6 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 2} \%$ |

Table 10: Interologous interactions among core and non-core proteins.
This table summarizes the fractions of interologous interactions found by one to four fusion tag permutations. Interologs are divided in core (upper half of the table) and non-core interactions (lower half). Additionally each group is subdivided into literature-curated interologs (LC interologs) and those identified in large-scale Y 2 H screens (Y2H-interologs). As there are overlaps between those two subgroups the number of verified PPIs is lower than the sum of both. This is caused by interactions verified by literature and Y2H data from distinct HV-species, e.g. interaction \#117 in supplementary Table S1. Percentual fractions of verified core and non-core interactions are calculated in relation to the number of non-redundant (NR) intra-core (136) or intra non-core (212) interactions. Total numbers correspond to the fraction of interactions found one to four times in the whole non-redundant dataset, e.g. combination $=1$; \# verified core PPIs $(N R)=31$; PPIs total $(N R)=267$; consequential the ratio of verified interaction in total is $31 / 267=11.6 \%$.

Based on these findings I defined three quality scores, high-, medium- and basic quality interactions. Quality scores were defined as:

- "3" (high) when found in three or four different screens and/ or verified by literature curation
- "2" (medium) when found one or two times in the own Y2H screens and having either an interologous Y 2 H interaction in another herpesvirus (Fossum et al., 2009; Uetz et al., 2006) and/ or being verified by redundancy within the permutated screens, and
- "1" (basic) quality interactions detected in one or two permutations and not further confirmed.

The distribution of the quality scores seems intuitively to make sense as the fractions almost double from the higher quality score to the next lower one (see Table 11).

| quality score | PPI attributes | $\#$ <br> interactions | fold <br> increase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 (high) | perm_count = 3 or 4 and/or LC verified | 65 | - |
| 2 (medium) | perm_count 1 or 2 + Y2H-Interolog and/or redundancy | 118 | 1.82 |
| (basic) | perm_count 1 or 2 | 222 | 1.88 |
| $\sum$ |  | 405 |  |

Table 11: Quality scores assigned based on data verification.
Distribution of interactions within the three quality characteristics defined. perm_count: Number of permutations this protein pair was found in, e.g. 2 when found as NN and CC; Y2H-Interolog: Interologs from previous large-scale Y2H screens by our group (Uetz et al. 2006 and Fossum et al. 2009); LC verified: Published interaction or interologs of published interactions; redundancy: Interactions detected in this study by independent constructs of the same protein pair.

### 3.2.4 Network analysis

In this chapter I want to compare the three most robust network parameters of the traditionally screened VZV interactome (N-terminally fused bait and prey tags) and the one derived by permutated screening. Those measures of network topology are degree distribution, clustering coefficient and the average path length.

Network parameters were calculated using the "NetworkAnalyzer" plugin (Assenov et al., 2008) for Cytoscape network visualization software (Shannon et al., 2003). Protein interaction networks are commonly treated as undirected networks meaning that an edge (protein interaction) between two nodes (proteins) describes an alternating binding relationship without predetermined direction. For example a protein X interacts with protein Y and vice versa (Barabasi and Oltvai, 2004). It would be possible to introduce a direction by concerning the bait-prey direction of interactions but this is without relevance to the biological system which is investigated and is therefore omitted.

### 3.2.4.1 Node degree distribution and average degree

The degree of a node, abbreviated $k$, tells how many links a node has to other nodes. In undirected networks, the node degree of a node $n$ is the number of edges linked to $n$. A self-loop of a node is counted like two edges for the node degree (Diestel, 2005). An undirected network with $N$ nodes (proteins) and $L$ edges (links, interactions) is characterized by an average degree $<k>=2 * L / N$ while selfinteractions are subtracted.

The average degree of the initial network is $\langle k\rangle=2^{*}(171-11) / 55=5.6$.

After introduction of C-terminal tagged test constructs $<k>=2 *(348-23) / 67=9.7$.
This means that each protein has in average 1.7 times more interactions.
The node degree distribution gives the number of nodes with degree $k$ for $k=0,1 \ldots$ and is used to distinguish between random and scale-free networks. Both networks degree distributions are plotted in Figure 33, see Supplementary Table S7 for the associated data. As reported for cellular protein interaction networks (Bonifazi et al., 2009), the VZV networks appear to be scale-free. Scale-free networks are complex networks which have no characteristic number of edges per node. The node-degree distributions follow power law functions of the form $y=a^{*} x^{k}$ implicated in the respective graphs of Figure 33. Comparison of the Coefficients of Determination ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) which are a measure of agreement between the observed values and the modeled power-law values shows a higher agreement for the extended VZV protein interaction dataset. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ rises from 0.516 to 0.619 in the permutated screening for $0=$ no correlation and $1=$ perfect fit between the observed values and the computed regression line.

### 3.2.4.2 Network clustering coefficient

The clustering coefficient of a node is the number of triangles (3-loops) that pass through this node, relative to the maximum number of 3-loops that could pass through the node. In undirected networks, the clustering coefficient Cn of a node n is defined as $\mathrm{Cn}=2 \mathrm{en} /(\mathrm{kn}(\mathrm{kn}-1))$, where kn is the number of neighbors of n and en is the number of connected pairs between all neighbors of n (Barabasi and Oltvai, 2004; Watts and Strogatz, 1998). The clustering coefficient is a ratio N / M, where N is the number of edges between the neighbors of $n$, and $M$ is the maximum number of edges that could possibly exist between the neighbors of $n$. The clustering coefficient of a node is always a number between 0 and 1 .

The average clustering coefficient distribution gives the average of the clustering coefficients for all nodes n with k neighbors for $\mathrm{k}=2 \ldots$


Figure 33: VZV node degree distribution of the primary and the extended VZV-network.
Node degree distributions indicate power-law distribution of the protein-interactions. The initial dataset comprising 171 protein interactions (A) has an average node degree $<k>$ of 5.6 and fits the calculated regression with a Coefficient of Determination $\left(R^{2}\right)$ of 0.516 . For the extended interaction network <k> $=9.7$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.619$. The regressions following power-law distributions are marked on the respective plot.

The network clustering coefficient is the average of the clustering coefficients for all nodes in the network. Here, nodes with less than two neighbors are assumed to have a clustering coefficient of 0 .
The network clustering coefficient of the 348 PPI network is 0.311 , the one of the 171 PPI network is 0.236 . That means that each node has 1.32 times more clusters (triangular relationships between proteins) after introduction of C-terminally tagged test constructs.

### 3.2.4.3 Characteristic path length and attack tolerance of VZV networks.

The characteristic path length (CPL) is for example the number of clicks which will lead you from one website to another, in this case the number of interactions leading from one protein to another on an average within the whole network (average distance between any two proteins). The characteristic path length distinguishes an easily acessible network from one which is complicated and inefficient, with a shorter CPL being more desirable, for example when it comes to transfer of information or signalling in a biological system. The network itself might have some very dislodged connected nodes and many nodes which are neighbors of each other, and the CPL describes what the path length will most likely be.


Figure 34: Attack Tolerance of the primary and the extended VZV-network.
The VZV networks were attacked by step-by-step removal of the most connected nodes (hubs). Characteristic path length was recalculated after each step and put in relation to the initial value which was set as 1. Deterioration of the networks organization reflects in an increase of the characteristic path length. Removal of $18 \%$ of the most highly connected hub proteins (node degree 9 for the NNand 18 for the extended network) makes no difference ( t -value $=0.44$ ) while removal of $19 \%$ to $50 \%$ of the more complex network significantly increases the attack tolerance ( t -value $=1.3^{*} 10^{-6}$ ).

The robustness of the networks is investigated by a step-by-step assault strategy and subsequent recalculation of the characteristic path length. The most highly connected nodes are removed in decreasing order. After each node is removed, the new network CPL of the remaining network is plotted as a multiple or fraction of the
original parameters (Figure 34 \& Supplementary Table S6). A higher attack tolerance is ascertained when the increase in path length is considerably smaller. The more complex network of 348 intraviral VZV interaction shows a lower attack tolerance after removal of more than $18 \%$ of the highest connected proteins. Those so called hub-proteins share the same alteration of the characteristic path length ( t -value $=$ 0.44 ) compared to the NN-network, while removal of less connected nodes induces a highly significant increase of the CPL in the combined network ( $t$-value $=1.3^{* 1} 10^{-6 * * *}$ ).

### 3.2.5 Combinatorial screening of human reference sets

In order to be able to make estimations about the assay sensitivity and the rate of false-positives, we tested human reference sets of binary protein-protein interactions into the Yeast two-hybrid vectors used for the newly developed combinatorial screening system. Both a reference set of positive interactions and very unlikely interactions were tested. The positive reference set (hsPRS-v1; PRS) and the random reference set (hsRRS-v1; RRS) were kindly provided as entry clone collections by Pascal Braun (Braun et al., 2009). This project was performed in collaboration with Yu-Chi Chen and Seesandra Venkatappa Rajagopala, PhD, two members of our split research group at the J. Craig Venter Institute in Rockville, Maryland, USA. The work has been published recently (Chen et al., 2010).
The reference set ORFs were recombinated from the entry vectors by LR-reaction into the prey vectors pGADT7g and pGADCg as well as into the bait vectors pGBGT7g and pGBKCg (see Figure 16). The pGADCg and pGBKCg test constructs were generated by myself, while the pGADT7g and pGBKT7g- constructs, the yeast test-strains and subsequent screening was performed by Yu-Chi Chen under supervision of Seesandra Venkatappa Rajagopala, PhD.
Both reference sets consist of 92 protein pairs. That means, for each set two times 92 entry clones were recombinated into four destination vectors. Altogether, 736 LRrecombination reactions were necessary for the PRS and again the same number for the RRS. Bait vectors were transformed into the reporter yeast strain AH109 (a) and the prey vectors into the complementary strain Y187 ( $\alpha$ ). For a detailed list of the hsPRS-v1 and hsRRS-v1 see Supplementary Table S8. In addition to each vector pair, each protein was tested both as activation (prey) and DNA binding domain fusion (bait), as well as C- and N-terminal fusions. This way, each protein pair was tested in eight different configurations.

### 3.2.5.1 Estimations on the assay sensitivity and false-positive rate

The resulting bait and prey arrays were mated against each other and the resulting diploid test strains were grown on -LTH-agar plates for seven days with rising stringency (0, 1, 3, 10, 25 mM 3-AT) (Yu-Chi Chen, Seesandra Venkatappa Rajagopala). The interactions detected in the single tag-topology combinations and taken together reflect the assay sensitivity of the screening method applied to the hsPRS-v1 set. Furthermore, it can give evidence on the general assay sensitivity that can be obtained by Y2H screening with permutated tag topology combinations.

### 3.2.5.1.1 hsPRS-v1 interactions

The 92 hsPRS-v1 interactions were tested pairwise in combinatorial screens of rising stringency (Yu-Chi Chen, Seesandra Venkatappa Rajagopala). Every assay was accompanied by a control assay with the respective bait-array mated against the empty prey vector to monitor autoactivation of the bait constructs. From 92 possible interactions, 74 could be detected in at least one screen representing an assay sensitivity of $80.4 \%$. The results are visualized in detail as an interaction matrix in Figure 35. The distribution of interactions referring to the fusion-tag orientations is showed in Table 12. To assess, if the interaction-distribution between the human positive reference set and the VZV interactome are comparable, I plotted the fusiontag distributions of either screens in parallel and could notice that there is probably no significant difference (see Figure 36A). In order to make a statistical comparison, I calculated the relative screen performance of both datasets. The number of total nonredundant interactions was normalized and the different tag-topology combinations were calculated to their proportion of the total value (see Figure 36B). Relative values of NN, NC, CN and CC-detected interactions (listed in Table 13) were pairwise compared by a two-tailed t-test for heteroskedastic samples. The resulting p -value is 0.11 , which means that there is no significant difference between the tag-topology distributions of both datasets.


Figure 35: hsPRS-v1 interactions reproduced in combinatorial screens.
Matrix of interacting protein pairs and the bait-prey vector combinations they were assayed with. Interactions are marked by colored boxes according to the highest stringency they were still detectable (see legend). Interacting pairs in boxes indicate interactions which are depending on phosphorylation, e.g. interactions \#16 and \#23. A subset of those post-translational modification-dependent interactions can be detected in Y2H assays (5 of 8 interactions). Adapted from: Chen et al., 2010.

| tag <br> permutation | \# interactions <br> detected | assay sensitivity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NN | 48 of 92 | $52.17 \%$ |
| CC | 47 of 92 | $45.65 \%$ |
| NC | 42 of 92 | $31.52 \%$ |
| CN | 29 of 92 | $51.09 \%$ |
| combined | 74 of 92 | $80.44 \%$ |

Table 12: hsPRS-v1 interactions broken down to the single tag permutations.
Number of Interactions detected in the single tag permutation combinations (data from Figure 35) and the respective assay sensitivity regarding the hsPRSv1 set of pairwise interacting proteins.


Figure 36: Correlation between hsPRS-v1 and VZV data.
A) Number of reproduced hsPRSv1 interactions and the respective VZV interactions. The hsPRS-v1 interactions from four different tag-topology combinations (see Table 12) and the respective data from the VZV screen (c.f. Table 7) were put in the same histogram. In regard of the different sample sizes the absolute number of interactions was plotted on a logarithmic $y$-axis. The combined interaction numbers refer to non-redundant interactions ( 74 of the hsPRS-v1 set and 348 VZV -interactions). B) Relative proportions of hsPRS-v1 and VZV interactions. The number of non redundant interactions from all four tag-topology combinations was set to $100 \%$ and the individual interaction numbers from the different screens were calculated as a proportion of all interactions. For the detailed numbers see Table 13.

| tag <br> permutation | \% of all detected hsPRS-v1 <br> interactions | \% off all detected VZV <br> interactions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NN | 65 | 52 |
| NC | 57 | 26 |
| CN | 39 | 43 |
| CC | 64 | 42 |
| combined | 100 | 100 |
| p-value | 0.10879 |  |

Table 13: Relative contributions of single tag permutations are equal.
Number of Interactions detected in the single tag permutation combinations (data from Table 7 \& Table 12) normalized to the total number of non-redundant interactions. Proportions of NN, NC, CN and CC interactions of the hsPRS-v1 and VZV-dataset were compared to each other using a twotailed $t$-test for heteroskedastic samples. The resulting $p$-value of 0.11 is not sufficient to decline the null hypothesis $h_{0}$. It can be declined if the $p$-value is equal or smaller 0.05 (R-Development-CoreTeam, 2004).

### 3.2.5.1.2 hsRRS-v1 interactions

The 92 hsRRS-v1 interactions were tested against each other in combinatorial screens of rising stringency as described for the hsPRS-set (Yu-Chi Chen, Seesandra Venkatappa Rajagopala). A maximum of 16 non-redundant interactions were detected in at least one screen which would account for a maximum falsepositive (FP)-rate of $17.4 \%$. By increasing the assay-stringency with 3-AT the falsenegative rate quickly declines to zero. A detailed interaction matrix is shown in Figure
37. The FP-rates according to the fusion-tag orientations are showed in Table 14. The FP-rates were subsequently plotted against the assay stringency where a rapid decrease could be observed with increasing stringency (see Figure 38).

stringency (mM 3AT): $\square 0 \square \geq 0 \square \geq 3 \square 10$
Figure 37: hsRRS-v1 interactions detected in combinatorial screens.
Matrix of interacting hsRRS-v1protein pairs according to the bait-prey vector combinations they were detected with as described before the hsPRS-v1 interactions (see Figure 35). Adapted from: Chen et al., 2010.

| tag <br> topology | stringency [mM 3-AT] |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | $\geq 0$ | $\geq 3$ | 10 |
|  | NN | $5.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| CC | $4.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| NC | $4.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| CN | $1.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| combined | $12.0 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

Table 14: hsRRS-v1 interactions depending on the assay stringency.
Number of Interactions detected in the combinatorial hs-RRS-v1 screens depending on the concentration of 3-AT they were detected with (see Figure 37).


Figure 38: hsRRS-v1 interactions detected in combinatorial screens.
The rate of false-positive interactions decreases with higher stringency applied to the Y 2 H assay. Assays were repeated with rising concentrations of 3-AT on the Y2H readout-medium (see figure legend, $c=[\mathrm{mM} 3-\mathrm{AT}]$ ). Interactions were counted positive when unspecific background due to possible autoactivation had disappeared. At a 3-AT concentration of 10 mM no more false positive interactions were detected.

### 3.3 VZV Terminase complex retest

A more detailed look was taken on the interactions between VZV DNA-encapsidation gene products, putative terminase complex subunits (Visalli et al., 2007). In herpesviruses and many tailed double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) bacteriophages, the newly synthesized viral genomes in the infected host cell are present as concatemeric DNA that is comprised of multiple copies of the genome. The concatemeric DNA is packaged into the procapsid through a channel formed by a portal protein complex embedded in a unique vertex of the procapsid (Rao and Feiss, 2008).

For the retests, the respective entry vectors of full-length ORFs and fragments available from the VZV library were sequenced and newly recombined by LRreaction into the Y 2 H bait and prey vectors for N - and C-terminally fused test domains and subsequently transformed into the reporter yeast strains Y187 and AH109. All topological bait-prey combinations were subsequently tested. Figure 39 shows the test-plates of ORF42 screened as bait against the potential terminase subunits. The interaction data is listed in Table 15 and was subsequently integrated
into an interaction matrix which gives an overview about all unique interactions detected in the complete library screens, predictable from four more HV-species and found in the retest-screen (see Figure 40). Based on this matrix I designed an interaction network of the DNA-packaging proteins providing a graphical overview of the relationships between these components and their functions, if known (see Figure 56).


Figure 39: Systematic Y2H-retest of the VZV terminase complex.
Terminase subunits were systematically retested against each other. For example, ORF42 bait constructs were mated against the terminase prey array (see box below, referring to the respective ORF numbers) containing all putative terminase subunits as N -terminal AD-fusion (pGADT7g) and Cterminal AD-fusion (pGADCg). Baits were screened as N - and C-terminal DBD-fusions (pGBKT7g and pGBKCg) on appropriate 3-AT concentrations ( 0 and 1 mM 3 -AT, respectively) for seven days on readout medium lacking histidine. Note that the interactions detected as N - and C-terminally tagged baits are the same, except the one between ORF25 and ORF34 which is only detected in N-N conformation (position A12).


Figure 40: Combined interaction matrix of putative terminase complex members.
This interaction-matrix contains 19 non-redundant interactions derived from Table 15. Light grey boxes indicate interactions from the full-library screens; ciphers indicate the respective number of tagtopology combinations the respective interaction was found with (as described in Chapter 3.1). The interaction of ORF34 and ORF45/42 (represented by the C-terminal ORF42) was found redundant in both bait-prey directions, each time in one permutation-combination, indicated as " $1+1$ red". Dark grey boxes indicate interologous predicted interactions from the labeled HV-species, from Y2H screens performed in our group or literature-curated from small scale studies (underlined). Green boxes represent interactions found in the retest-screen, semi-green boxes for verified Y 2 H or interologous interactions. Plain-green boxes represent novel interactions found in the retest-screen.

| bait | prey | Y2H tag <br> topology | comments and references | source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ORF25 | ORF25 | NN_NC_CN | HSV-1 interolog (Chapter 3.1) <br> (Visalli et al., 2009) | Terminase retest |
| ORF25 | ORF25C | NN | HSV-1 interolog (Chapter 3.1) | Terminase retest |
| ORF25N | ORF25 | NN | HSV-1 interolog (Chapter 3.1) | Terminase retest |
| ORF25N | ORF25N | NN | HSV-1 interolog (Chapter 3.1) | Terminase retest |
| ORF25N | ORF25C | NN | HSV-1 interolog (Chapter 3.1) | Terminase retest |
| ORF25C | ORF25 | NN_NC | HSV-1 interolog (Chapter 3.1) | Terminase retest |
| ORF25C | ORF25C | NN | HSV-1 interolog (Chapter 3.1) | Terminase retest |
| ORF26 | ORF26 | NN | EBV interolog (Chapter 3.1) | Terminase retest |
| ORF30 | ORF25 | NN | HSV-1 interolog |  |
| (Jacobson et al., 2006) |  |  |  |  |
| (Visalli et al., 2009) | Terminase retest |  |  |  |
| ORF34 | ORF25 | NN_NC | MCMV interolog confirmed <br> (Fossum et al., 2009) | Terminase retest |
| ORF34 | ORF34 | NN | new identified | Terminase retest |
| ORF34 | ORF42 | NC | Chapter 3.1, redundant <br> direction | Terminase retest |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { bait } & \text { prey } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Y2H tag } \\
\text { topology }\end{array} & \text { comments and references } & \text { source } \\
\hline \text { ORF42 } & \text { ORF25 } & \text { NN_NC_CN_CC } & \text { Chapter 3.1 (Visalli et al., 2009) } & \text { Terminase retest } \\
\hline \text { ORF42 } & \text { ORF25N } & \text { NN_CN } & \text { Chapter 3.1 } & \text { Terminase retest } \\
\hline \text { ORF42 } & \text { ORF25C } & \text { NN_CN } & \text { Chapter 3.1 } & \text { Terminase retest } \\
\hline \text { ORF42 } & \text { ORF34 } & \text { NN } & \text { Chapter 3.1 } & \text { Terminase retest } \\
\hline \text { ORF42 } & \text { ORF42 } & \text { NN_NC_CN_CC } & \begin{array}{l}\text { HSV-1 interolog } \\
\text { (Abbotts et al., 2000) }\end{array} & \text { Terminase retest } \\
\hline \text { ORF43C } & \text { ORF25 } & \text { NN_NC_CN_CC } & \text { Chapter 3.1 (Visalli et al., 2009) } & \text { Terminase retest } \\
\hline \text { ORF43C } & \text { ORF25N } & \text { CN } & \text { Chapter 3.1 } & \text { Terminase retest } \\
\hline \text { ORF43C } & \text { ORF34 } & \text { NN } & \begin{array}{l}\text { HSV-1 interolog } \\
\text { (Trus et al., 2007) }\end{array} & \text { Terminase retest } \\
\hline \text { ORF43C } & \text { ORF42 } & \text { NC } & \text { new identified } & \text { Terminase retest } \\
\hline \text { ORF25 } & \text { ORF25 } & \text { NN_NC_CC } & \begin{array}{l}\text { HSV-1 interolog (Chapter 3.1) } \\
\text { (Visalli et al., 2009) }\end{array} & \text { Full screen+Interologs } \\
\hline \text { ORF26 } & \text { ORF25 } & \text { NC_CN_CC } & \text { Chapter 3.1 } & \text { Full screen+Interologs } \\
\hline \text { ORF26 } & \text { ORF26 } & \text { NN_CC } & \text { EBV interolog (Chapter 3.1) } & \text { Full screen+Interologs } \\
\hline \text { ORF30 } & \text { ORF25 } & \text { NN } & \begin{array}{l}\text { HSV-1 interolog } \\
\text { (Jacobson et al., 2006) }\end{array}
$$ \& Full screen+Interologs <br>

(Visalli et al., 2009)\end{array}\right]\)

Table 15: Interaction data of putative terminase subunits.
The interaction data in this table is derived from the full-library screens, interologous interactions from three additional herpesviruses, both Y2H- and LC-derived (see references), and the interactions from the retest.

### 3.4 Mapping of the ORF25 homomerization interface.

ORF25 encodes is the most connected protein among the DNA-packaging proteins and it was proven to be essential for VZV replication in a collaboration study at the Max-von-Pettenkofer-Institut at the Ludwig-Maximillians-Universität (LMU), Munich (Vizoso Pinto et al., Manuscript in preparation). Moreover, this study suggests ORF25 protein being a chaperone, which provides an explanation for the high number of interaction partners, also beyond those proteins which are involved in DNA-packaging. Six of the seven annotated DNA-packaging proteins interact with ORF25, including a self interaction, indicating that ORF25 protein forms multimeric complexes.

### 3.4.1 Yeast two-hybrid and Peptide array mapping

The ORF25 amino acid sequence was spotted as a peptide-scan of 15 mers with a three AA shift on a cellulose membrane and probed with purified MBP-ORF25 protein. Interacting peptides were detected immunologically and visualized by Enhanced Chemiluminescence (ECL) on light sensitive film as described in Chapter 2.2.5. ORF25 was expressed with an N-terminal MBP-tag from the vector pETG-4OK and subsequently purified according to Chapter 2.2.4.4.

Three interacting sequences were identified (see Figure 41). The results obtained with the peptide arrays were compared to Y2H- interactions found among the ORF25 full-length construct and N - and C-terminal fragments thereof (see Figure 42). Yeast two-hybrid analysis could show that beyond the interaction between the full-length ORF25, the N - and C-termini interact with each other, as well as the N - with the C terminus. These results are supported by the peptide arrays, as N - and C-terminal halves contain interacting peptides.

B

D
B22: R-E-R-F-A-G-V-M-A-K-F-L-D-L-H

| B7: | T-T-D-A-Q-L-N-Y-I S-F-T-S-R-L |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B8: | A-Q-L-N-Y-I S-F-T-S-R-L-A-S-V |
| B9: | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{S}$ S-F-T-S-R-L-A-S-V-L-K-H |
| B10: | S-F-T-S-R-L-A-S-V-L-K-H-K-E-S |

Figure 41: Mapping of the ORF25 homomerization interface.
The ORF25 AA sequence was spotted as 15 mers with a three AA shift on a $\beta$-Alanine esterfied membrane support. Peptide positions are defined by row (A-D) and column (1-24). Positions A1 to B24: ORF25 array hybridized with MBP-ORF25p [10 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ]. Black spots indicate peptides with bound ORF25p, detected immunologically by the MBP-tag. The respective control array (C1 to D24) was hybridized with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ purified MBP and processed in parallel to the test-array. The Arrow indicates the border between spots bearing sequences unique to Y 2 H -constructs ORF25N (A1-B2) and ORF25C (B3-B24) which were used for Y2H-mapping of the ORF25p self-interaction.


Figure 42: Y2H-mapping of the ORF25 self-interaction.
Extracts from the VZV terminase retest showing the interactions between ORF25 constructs (see Chapter 3.3). Interactions were detected by pGBKT7g bait-, and pGADT7g prey vectors. Overlapping results using C-terminally tagged bait and prey constructs are not shown. This results show that ORF25p N - and C-terminal constructs interact with each other as well as the N - and C-terminal constructs interact with themselves.

### 3.4.2 Bioinformatical analysis of the ORF25 mapping results.

To investigate whether the interacting peptides of ORF25 are conserved, I performed a multiple sequence alignment between the eight human pathogenic herpesviruses. The sequences orthologous of the ones detected in VZV (HHV-3) were highlighted in red boxes and consecutively given the numbers I, II and III, according to their position in the protein from N - to C-terminus (as shown in Figure $41 \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{D}$ ). It turned out that the interacting sequence I is conserved in HHV-1 to HHV-4 but absent in HHV-5 to HHV-8. The central and C-terminal sequences II and III are conserved throughout all herpesvirus subfamilies (see Figure 43).

### 3.4.2.1 Comparison of ORF25 and its HSV-1 ortholog UL33.

To proof the in vivo relevance of interaction interfaces that I obtained from the peptide arrays, I compared the results of a recently published study where the HSV-1 ortholog of VZV ORF25, UL33, was systematically mutated. Mutations of UL33 were obtained by random transposon-mediated insertion of five codons resulting in 15 mutants in 14 distinct regions throughout the whole ORF. The resulting mutants were tested for viral growth, viral genomic DNA-packaging and further, mutated UL33 proteins were tested for their ability to interact with the large terminase subunit, UL28p by Co-IP (Beilstein et al., 2009).

Comparison of the pairwise aligned sequences shows a remarkable correlation between the sequences I to III, identified to be involved in self-interaction of ORF25 and the orthologous sequences of UL33, which show a replication and DNApackaging phenotype in HSV-1 (Figure 44). While the mutation in the proximity of sequence "l", which is not conserved throughout the herpesvirus family, has only a mild phenotype in HSV-1, both the central and C-terminal mutations, which overlap with the interacting sequences II and III completely inhibit viral growth and DNAprocessing. In terms of the interaction with the large terminase subunit UL28, the N and C-terminal interaction sites play no or just less important roles, respectively and may therefore be in reverse more important for the ORF25p self-interaction.


Figure 43: Multiple sequence alignment- ORF25 orthologs in human pathogenic herpesviruses. Amino acid sequences of the eight human pathogenic herpesvirus species, HHV-1 to HHV-8 were retrieved from the Uniprot Knowledgebase (UniProt-Consortium, 2010). Sequences were aligned directly from the Uniprot website (www.uniprot.org) using ClustalW version 2 online via the EBI ClustalW server and visualized by default ClustalX settings (Larkin et al., 2007). See Table 3 for the applied colour scheme. HHV-1-8: Human Herpesvirus species 1 to 8 . VZV $=$ HHV-3. Indicated at the left is the respective subfamily within the Herpesviridae, Alpha-, Beta-, or Gammaherpesvirinae. Red boxes mark the orthologous sequences of interacting peptides identified in Figure 41.

Nevertheless, the central domain of UL33 and probably ORF25 plays a versatile role in protein interactions and can be regarded as the most promising candidate for drug targeting of this protein. To identify the essential residues in the AA sequences "I" and II, I spotted Alanine substitution arrays and hybridized them once more with MBP-ORF25p. In sequence II, the central interacting interface, exchange of the Arginine residue at position 104 in ORF25p (S-F-T-S-R ${ }^{104}-L$ ) lead to the loss of the protein-peptide binding (Figure 45B). Sequence "I" showed a higher sensitivity against amino acid exchange which might reflect a lower binding affinity to this sequence. Single substitutions of the three consecutive residues $36-38\left(S-T-R^{36}-S^{37}\right.$ -$I^{38}-S$ ) avoided binding of MBP-ORF25p (Figure 45A). Comparing both sequences, a high similarity of both sequences is observable. The common binding motif can be described as S-[FT]-[TR]-S-[RI]-[LS], while AAs in braces are either in sequence "l" or sequence II. Remarkable is the alternation of the polar, hydrophilic amino acids Serine (S) and Threonine (T) and the hydrophobic residues Isoleucine (I), Leucine (L) and Phenylalanine ( F ) in both sequences, which is interrupted by a single basic Arginine ( R ) residue, which plays apparently a pivotal role in the binding. Significantly, Arginine residues and commonly basic residues can play essential roles in protein-protein and protein-DNA binding (Leung et al., 2010).

Last step of investigating the ORF25p self-interaction was to specify the C-terminal interacting sequence III, which was detected only as a single interacting peptide on the peptide array (see Figure 41D). Longer exposure times of the light sensitive film at MBP-ORF25p detection revealed additional signals, probably unspecific, which were included in the following analysis.

To localize the interaction epitope, I synthesized a peptide array of the signaling amino acid sequence in a "Hidden-epitope assay". The 29 AA sequence was first spotted as 8 mers with a two amino acid shift, and then repeated as 7 mers, 6 mers, 5 mers and 4 mers. In doing so, the minimum interacting epitope can be identified. Figure 46 shows the test array and the respective control, identifying the amino acid sequence $V^{142}-M-A-K-F-L$ as minimum requirement for MBP-ORF25p binding. Additionally, a sequence nine amino acids closer to the N -termnus, $\mathrm{T}^{133}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{E}$, gave a weak but specific signal. However, the adjacent AA sequence $R^{136}-E-R-F$ was bound very strong by the MBP control protein which makes it very difficult to make definite predictions on this interaction (results of this assay are additionally summed up in Table 16).


Figure 44: Pairwise sequence alignment of HHV-1 UL33 and HHV-3 ORF25.
Red boxes indicate interacting sequences I to III derived from HHV-3 (VZV ORF25, UniProt Accession P09281) protein peptide interaction assays and the orthologous sequences of HHV-1 (HSV-1 UL33, UniProt Accession P10217). Numbers and arrows point to five amino acid insertion sites from the UL33 mutagenesis study (Beilstein et al., 2009). 79A, B: Two insertion mutants in the same codon, differing in the inserted AAs and showing the same phenotypes. Black boxes span sequences within which mutations caused defects in viral replication and DNA-packaging. The 27 N -terminal AAs of ORF25 with no homology to UL33 were removed for improving figure clarity.
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Figure 45: Alanine substitution of the ORF25 interacting peptides I \& II.
The interacting sequence I and II of ORF25 were spotted on a $\beta$-Alanine esterfied cellulose membrane. Single amino acids were successively substituted by alanine (A). The arrays were hybridized with MBP-ORF25p [ $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ] and bound protein immunologically detected. As a control, the membranes were stripped (see Chapter 2.2.5.1.4) and hybridized once more with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ purified MBP and subsequently processed as in the test assay before. A) Alanine substitution of sequence I showed that the residues exchanged in the spots A4 to A6 are essential for the MBP-ORF25p binding to the peptide S-T-R-S-I-S ( $R^{36}, S^{37}, I^{37}$ of ORF25p), according to the missing signals at the positions A4 to A6; B) Substitution analysis of sequence II revealed that the Arginine residue ( $R^{104}$ of ORF25p) is essential for the MBP-ORF25p binding to the peptide S-F-T-S-R-L as binding disappears at the spot at position A6.

Exposure time


Figure 46: Hidden-epitope assay of the ORF25 interacting sequence III.
The C-terminal interacting domain was spotted on a $\beta$-Alanine esterfied cellulose membrane. The 29 AAs spanning sequence within the spots B18 to B22 in Figure 41, was synthesized with a two AA shift as 8 mers, then as 7 mers and in decreasing manner up to 4 mers to identify the minimum interacting epitope hidden in the ORF25 C-terminus. The array was hybridized with MBP-ORF25p [ $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ] and bound protein immunologically detected as already described. As control, the membrane was stripped (see Chapter 2.2.5.1.4) and hybridized once more with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ purified MBP and processed as in the test assay before. Specific signals are highlighted in red boxes and respective positions and AA sequences are shown.

| position <br> on array | AA-Sequence | assay | control | position <br> on array | AA-sequence | assay | control |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| A1 | V-P-C-E-H-S-A-S | - | - | B1 | V-P-C-E-H | - | - |
| A2 | E-H-S-A-S-V-T-R | ++ | + | B2 | E-H-S-A-S | - | - |
| A3 | A-S-V-T-R-R-R-E | + | - | B3 | A-S-V-T-R | + | + |
| A4 | T-R-R-R-E-R-F-A | + | + | B4 | T-R-R-R-E | + | - |
| A5 | R-E-R-F-A-G-V-M | - | - | B5 | R-E-R-F-A | ++ | ++ |
| A6 | F-A-G-V-M-A-K-F | + | - | B6 | F-A-G-V-M | - | - |
| A7 | V-M-A-K-F-L-D-L | - | - | B7 | V-M-A-K-F | + | + |
| A8 | M-A-K-F-L-D-L-H | - | - | B8 | K-F-L-D-L | - | - |
| A9 | V-P-C-E-H-S-A | - | - | B9 | F-L-D-L-H | - | - |
| A10 | E-H-S-A-S-V-T | - | - | B10 | V-P-C-E | - | - |
| A11 | A-S-V-T-R-R-R | - | - | B11 | E-H-S-A | - | - |
| A12 | T-R-R-R-E-R-F | ++ | ++ | B12 | A-S-V-T | - | - |
| A13 | R-E-R-F-A-G-V | - | - | B13 | T-R-R-R | - | - |
| A14 | F-A-G-V-M-A-K | + | - | B14 | R-E-R-F | +++ | +++ |
| A15 | V-M-A-K-F-L-D | - | - | B15 | F-A-G-V | - | - |
| A16 | M-A-K-F-L-D-L | - | - | B16 | V-M-A-K | - | - |
| A17 | V-P-C-E-H-S | - | - | B17 | K-F-L-D | - | - |
| A18 | E-H-S-A-S-V | - | - | B18 | L-D-L-H | - | - |


| position <br> on array | AA-sequence | assay | control | position <br> on array | AA-sequence | assay | control |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A19 | A-S-V-T-R-R | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| A20 | T-R-R-R-E-R | + | - |  |  |  |  |
| A21 | R-E-R-F-A-G | + | + |  |  |  |  |
| A22 | F-A-G-V-M-A | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| A23 | V-M-A-K-F-L | ++ | - |  |  |  |  |
| A24 | K-F-L-D-L-H | - | - |  |  |  |  |

Table 16: Hidden-epitope assay of the ORF25 C-terminal dimerization domain.
Results from the Hidden-epitope assay (c.f. Figure 46) were listed and evaluated by the signal strength according to the following scheme: + = weak-; ++ = robust-; +++ = strong- and $-=$ no signal. Sequences specific for MBP-ORF25p interaction are highlighted bold. Strong unspecific MBP-binding was detected at R-E-R-F containing sequences, whereas specific signals contain the minimumepitope V-M-A-K-F-L and T-R-R-R-E, the latter one overlapping the unspecific R-E-R-F sequence (spot at position B14).

## 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Combinatorial Y2H screening with permutated fusion tags

The major goal of this study was to develop, apply and to evaluate a Yeast twohybrid system with permutated fusion tags that may improve the performance of the assay under standardized conditions. It was shown that steric constraints do play a role in the detectability of protein-protein interactions in certain cases, and I performed a systematic analysis of this impact on high-throughput Yeast two-hybrid screens.

As a prerequisite, I have generated two new Yeast two-hybrid vectors, pGBKCg and pGADCg, which allow the C-terminal fusion of the GAL4 DNA-binding and activation domain. Together with the parental vectors for N -terminal fusions, four different baitprey fusion tag topology combinations are now possible to be screened: NN, CC, NC, and CN. In the next step I tested all approximately 9000 pairwise combinations between 96 Varicella zoster virus proteins and fragments thereof for pairwise interactions in the now possible combinations. About 27,000 individual Y 2 H tests, each performed twice, resulted additionally to the previously reported 182 NNinteractions (Uetz et al., 2006) in 90 NC, 151 CN, and 146 CC interactions. This study was the first systematic analysis of such N - and C-terminal Y 2 H vectors.

### 4.1.1 Structural influence of tag-topologies

The overlap between the four permutation combinations lies between 17 \% (NC-CN) and 43 \% (CN-CC), regarding the raw data (c.f. Table 7). The highest data-overlaps were observed between bait-prey combinations of the same bait permutation (NNNC, 42 \% and CC-CN, 43 \%). This indicates that the orientation of the bait-hybrid, which is sitting as a dimer on the GAL1-UAS (Upstream Activating Sequence) plays the major role in permission of subsequent reporter gene transcription (Figure 47). In the converse argument, the PPI overlaps which are achieved between different bait permutation screens (NN-CN, NN-CC, NC-CN and NC-CC) are smaller (between 17 \% and $31 \%$ ), thus detecting more different interaction data subsets.


Figure 47: Structure of the Gal4-DNA complex.
Gal4 is a transcription factor for galactose-induced genes (GAL1, GAL2, GAL7, GAL10, and MEL1). These genes encode enzymes which convert galactose to glucose. pGal4 recognizes a 17 base-pair long sequence in the upstream activating sequence (uas-g) of these genes. pGal4 binds to the DNA as a homodimer, most likely forming a complex with GAL11. The DBD is located at the N-terminus, the AD lies at the C-terminus of pGal4. The structure was resolved by Hong and coworkers in 2008 (Hong et al., 2008).

The prey orientation seems to play a minor role. An explanation for this observation could be that if prey binding does occur, the elasticity of the DNA strand allows transactivation of downstream reporter elements. Taken together, these findings support the thesis that steric restrictions are a source of false-negative interactions in Yeast two-hybrid screens.

### 4.1.2 Reduction of false-negatives and data quality

Screening of four bait-prey tag permutations instead of one resulted in almost exactly twice as much non-redundant interactions (348 compared to 173, regarding fulllength ORFs) and thus fewer false-negative interactions. Increasing numbers of interactions are detected by decreasing numbers of tag permutations.
In addition, interactions that are found in multiple combinations confirm each other and thus provide an intrinsic quality score. Moreover, the fraction of interactions which could be confirmed by the Gold-Standard set is rising within the subsets of interactions which were detected in multiple screens. While 36 \% of non-redundant interactions could be verified, found in exclusively one tag-topology, the ratio is rising
up to $75 \%$ within the interactions detected in all four combinations. Furthermore, I could show that the unique permutations produce data of equal quality, as the ratio of Gold-Standard interactions lies between $45 \%$ and $50 \%$.

### 4.1.3 Conservation of interactions among herpesviruses

Evaluation of the VZV interaction data with regard to the conservation of interactions among five herpesvirus species throughout the alpha-, beta- and gamma-subfamily (c.f. Chapter 3.2.2) could confirm that there is an enrichment of interactions between core-proteins. Regarding the VZV interaction data of this study, there is a 4.2-fold enrichment of core-core interactions within the interactions of core-proteins, compared to literature-curated data in five different herpesviruses (HSV-1, HCMV, MCMV, EBV and KSHV).

### 4.1.4 Network analysis

The comparison of the VZV network before and after combinatorial screening showed that both networks are scale-free. Both degree distributions follow power law functions, while the extended network shows a better correlation to the fitted power law. Due to the higher sample size the probability of an even node-degree distribution was given. Similarly, the attack tolerance was higher in the smaller NNderived network, reflecting that this viral interactome only represented a minor part of the complete interactome. These observations confirm the evolutionarily conserved topology of herpesviral PPI networks on the one hand (Fossum et al., 2009), and on the other hand I could proof that no randomization of the network did occur with the newly generated data.

### 4.1.5 Novel VZV interactions: ORF10-ORF57

The VZV interaction data bears 15 protein-protein interactions, which were found in three or four permutations and have never reported in the literature (see Supplementary Table S1). They should be interesting candidates for further studies of VZV biology.

One example, which was described by Dr. Armin Baiker at the Max-von-Pettenkofer Institut, is the interaction between pORF57 and pORF10 (Stellberger et al., 2010), which we found in all four Y2H tag-permutations. The ORF10 protein is the HSV-1 VP16 ortholog. Both proteins have been shown to be structural components of the
virion tegument, but also appear to activate viral immediate-early (IE) protein promoters (Moriuchi et al., 1993). In contrast to HSV-1, where ORF10 is essential, VZV ORF10 is dispensable for viral replication in vitro (Cohen and Seidel, 1994). However, a more detailed analysis of VZV ORF10 deletion mutants in SCIDhu skin xenografts revealed that ORF10 is a virulence factor for the pathogenesis of VZV in skin (Che et al., 2007; Che et al., 2006). VZV ORF10 mutants are characterized by decreased viral titers and decreased cutaneous lesions within skin xenografts. Electron microscopy pictures showed that VZV-infected epidermal cells had significantly fewer DNA containing nucleocapsids and extensive aggregates of cytoplasmic viral particles (Che et al., 2006). Little is known about the function of the VZV ORF57 protein. This protein has been shown to localize to the cytoplasm of infected cells and to be dispensable for the replication of VZV in vitro (Cox et al., 1998). However, UL3.5, the homologue of VZV ORF57 in Pseudorabies virus (PrV), is essential for virus replication in vitro, playing an important role in viral egress. Electron microscopic pictures showed that cells infected with PrV UL3.5 deletion mutant virus exhibited accumulated cytoplasmic viral capsids (Fuchs et al., 2007; Fuchs et al., 1996). Accordingly, deletion mutants of VZV ORF10 and the UL3.5 homologue of VZV ORF57 in PrV exhibit identical phenotypes, the cytoplasmic aggregation of viral particles, and thus suggest that both proteins play an essential role in virus egress. However, their precise molecular role in this process is not known.

### 4.2 Combinatorial screening of human reference sets

### 4.2.1 False-negative interactions

A main issue working with the Yeast two-hybrid system is the high rate of falsenegatives (Braun et al., 2009; Rajagopala et al., 2007). With rising numbers of binary protein interaction studies, dataset quality has been questioned. A first study that compared several interaction data sets to a Gold Standard of high-quality protein complexes suggested that high-throughput Y2H data is of poor quality (von Mering et al., 2002). A more recent analysis showed that protein complexes are inappropriate for evaluating Y2H data and that high-throughput Y2H data are of high quality when compared against a Gold Standard of directly interacting proteins (Yu et al., 2008).

The assay sensitivity is the fraction of all biological occurring interactions (truepositives) that can be identified by an assay which is performed under specific experimental conditions. Like other protein interaction detection systems, the Y2H system is not able to detect all PPIs that occur in vivo (Braun et al., 2009). An assay sensitivity of $25 \%$ means conversely that $75 \%$ of biological relevant interactions are missed, those are false-negative interactions.
A first approach to evaluate the outcome of Yeast two-hybrid screens was performed with the help of a positive reference set of binary yeast protein-protein interactions, comprising 116 binary PPIs which were curated in more than four publications (Yu et al., 2008). In this case, an assay sensitivity of $20 \%$ was achieved, while a second method, an YFP-based protein fragment complementation assay (PCA) (see Figure 48) detected 18 \% PRS-interactions, which in addition detected $5 \%$ of probably false-positive interactions. This was investigated in a similar way, with the use of a second reference set of 116 randomly chosen protein-protein combinations, a random reference set (RRS) (False positive interactions will be discussed separately).
New vector systems as the one presented here can decrease the number of falsepositive interactions. It was shown recently, that alternative N-terminal vector systems can reduce the number of false-positives (Rajagopala et al., 2009) and this study expands this strategy with C-terminal fusions and their combination with classical N-terminal vectors.

$$
\text { YFP fragment } 1 \quad \text { YFP fragment } 2 \quad \text { complemented YFP }
$$



Figure 48: YFP-PCA system.
Principle of the Yellow fluorescent protein-protein complementation assay (YFP-PCA). YFP fragments 1 (amino acids $1-158$ ) and 2 (amino acids $159-239$ ) are fused to a protein $X$ and a protein Y. Protein interaction of the proteins $X$ and $Y$ brings the two fragments of YFP into close proximity and leads to complementation into functional fluorescent YFP by folding into an active 3D structure. Subsequently, fluorescence can be detected by FACS-analysis or fluorescence-microscopy. (Adapted from: Nyfeler et al., 2005)

### 4.2.1.1 hsPRS-v1 screening

In the following, a systematic analysis of protein-protein interactions of a positive reference set of well described human protein pairs (hsPRS-v1) by Braun and coworkers allowed the calculation of the assay sensitivity of five different detection methods, including the Yeast two-hybrid system (Braun et al., 2009). For the given standard assay conditions of the group (low copy plasmids, one of two distinct reporters activated), the Yeast two-hybrid system lay in the middle position at place three, with an assay sensitivity of 25 \% ( 23 out of 92 interactions detected), including 25 \% of the assayed phosphorylation-dependent interactions (two out of eight interactions). The highest assay sensitivity was reported for the LUMIER-system with 36 \% (33/92) of the PRS-interactions detected. LUMIER is an automated highthroughput technology designed for the systematic mapping of dynamic proteinprotein interaction networks in mammalian cells (Barrios-Rodiles et al., 2005), see Figure 49. However, when they used an assay layout that is more comparable to our classical Y2H-system (high-copy plasmids, one reporter gene) $40 \%$ (34/92) of the PRS interactions were found.


Figure 49: LUMIER system.
Renilla luciferase tagged bait co-expressed in mammalian cells with a Flag-tagged prey is detected in immunoprecipitates (purification) enzymatically as light emission. It was designed to identify PPIs in dynamic signaling pathways that can be induced by a defined stimulus. (Adapted from Barrios-Rodiles et al., 2005).

Using the classical Yeast two-hybrid system with N-terminal fused domains which is well established in our research group, more than half of the hsPRS-v1 interactions ( $52 \%, 48$ out of 92 interactions) could be detected. That means, that the classical layout detected already more than twice as much of the interactions reported by Braun et al., 2009. However, the assay conditions and the vectors used in both studies are not equal and though give rise to explanation possibilities of these differences, even though the same tag-topology was used.

### 4.2.1.2 Plasmid copy number and expression level

The copy number of a plasmid has an influence on the sensitivity of a Y 2 H assay. Braun and coworkers have shown that the use of high copy plasmids instead of lowcopy plasmids increases the assay sensitivity. High copy plasmids carry a $2 \mu$ origin of replication and exist in 50 to 100 copies per cell, while ARS/CEN- (autonomously replicating/centromeric sequence) based plasmids occur only as one or two copies in a yeast cell (Van Criekinge and Beyaert, 1999). The elevation of the copy number presumably leads to a higher transcription rate of reporter genes. But the protein expression level has been shown to be not equivalent to the plasmid copy number, the difference in protein levels is only 20- to 30-fold (Van Criekinge and Beyaert, 1999).

34 interactions of the PRS were found with high-copy plasmids by Braun et al., 2009, 25 thereof were reproduced by our standard high-copy plasmids pGBGT7g and pGADT7g (74 \%). Regarding the low-copy results, 19 of 23 interactions were reproduced ( $83 \%$ ). This indicates that the low copy number bait- and prey-plasmids mainly detect a subset of the high copy number plasmids due to lower assay stringency caused by a higher abundance of hybrid proteins. But obviously the plasmid copy number alone does not solely result in different Y2H sensitivity, because the CEN/ARS based vectors don't produce a simple subset of $2 \mu$ based interactions, which can be considered to be stronger interactions or differently described, interactions between proteins with a high affinity for each other. But this is not the only explanation, as there are still differences that may be a result of other differences in the used vector systems.

A second factor that has an impact on the expression level of the hybrid proteins is the promoter that drives their expression. The ADH1 promoter is a strong constitutively expressing promoter of the S.cerevisiae Alcohol dehydrogenase 1
(Grimm et al., 1991) and is used in most GAL4-based two-hybrid systems (Van Criekinge and Beyaert, 1999). However, there are two types of the ADH1 promoter in use, the full-length nucleotide sequence and a truncated version. The bait vectors that I used for instance, pGBKT7g and pGBKCg use a truncated version of the promoter, denoted ADH1*-promoter. In contrast to the full-length promoter the expression level is lower, which compensates for the higher expression level of highcopy plasmids compared to low copy plasmids. For the above mentioned comparison of PRS interactions found with high and low copy plasmids, however, this still holds true as both systems use ADH1* promoters (Vidal et al., 1996; Vidalain et al., 2004).

### 4.2.1.3 Cloning sites and linker sequences

Even if the Y2H assay layout is the same, with bait and prey fusions using GAL4 DBD and -AD, respectively, there are differences between vector systems which are reflecting in the amino acid sequence of the test constructs. Figure 50 shows some examples of bait and prey vectors which are commonly used in our research group. Bait vectors differ mainly in the length of the linker sequence between the DBD and the test insert ("variable" sequence). Secondly, additional C-terminal fused peptides appear, if the insert contains no stop codon (c.f. Figure 50A pDEST32 and pGBKT7g). Conversely, the C-terminus reflects the native situation, if test constructs are cloned with stop codon (see for example Figure 50B, pLP-GADT7). So, omitting stop codons has the disadvantage that the native C-termini get lost. Depending on the vector used, C-terminal peptides arise, depending on when the next in-frame stop-codon appears in the downstream vector sequence. The advantage of generating test-libraries without stop codons, e.g. as Gateway entry vectors like the VZV ORFeome that was used in this study, is the more versatile use that can be made of those libraries, because they can be cloned into destination vectors for N and C-terminal fusions, like for example pGBKT7g and pGBKCg.

### 4.2.1.4 Sampling sensitivity

A source for false-negative interactions in HTP-screens is the rate of detectable interactions, which can be found in a single independent run of a screen. Repetitive screens can produce partially overlapping data until a level of saturation is reached. The ratio of interactions detected at saturation of an assay is equivalent to the assay sensitivity. However, this holds true for library screens, while it is assumed that
pairwise mating experiments operate at or near full sampling sensitivity since such experiments overcome losses due to pooling, limited selection of positives and sequencing. Moreover, one-on-one screens are performed as duplicates or even quadruplicates.

## A

Bait fusion proteins


## B

Prey fusion proteins

|  | GGSNQTSLYKKAGLALRA |  |  |  | GLCGRHPAFLYKVV* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1731 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pDEST22 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | 125 aa | 14 aa |  | variable | 13 aa |  |



Figure 50: Comparison of the design of different Y 2 H vectors.
A) Bait and B) prey-fusions of different Y2H vectors were compared by Rajagopala and coworkers (Rajagopala et al., 2009), including the vectors for N-terminal fusions used in this study, pGBKT7g or pGBGT7g which are identical except of their auxotrophic marker and pGADT7g. All compared bait and prey vectors are based on GAL4-DBD and -AD. The amino acid sequence of the linker region between Gal4 AD or DBD and the prey or bait ORF is indicated above the respective box. A) Bait vectors differ in the length of the linker sequence between the DBD and the test insert ("variable" sequence). Secondly, additional C-terminal fused peptides appear, depending on the nature of the inserts. If the insert contains a stop codon, the C-terminus reflects the native situation. Test constructs without stop codon are on the other hand more versatile as they can be cloned into destination vectors for N - and C-terminal fusions. B) Prey fusions mainly in the linker sequences between the AD and the prey ORF. Additionally, pDEST22 has a 6 AA truncated GAL4-AD. NLS: nuclear localization signal. A C-terminal peptide also depends on the existence of a stop codon.

### 4.2.1.5 Steric constraints

Besides the above discussed reasons, false-negative interactions may be traced back to steric hindrance by the fusion tags, preventing physical interaction by covering interaction sites or preventing subsequent transcriptional activation. So far, no high-throughput accessible set of vectors for C-terminal fusions of GAL4 AD and DBD have been described, even though it was shown that the topology of the fusion site is critical when selected protein pairs were tested (Brown and MacGillivray, 1997). In addition to that, I developed a system of compatible bait and prey vectors, which allow crosswise combination of N - and C -terminal fusion tags.

### 4.2.1.6 Comparison of tag-topology combinations

## - NN-topology in this study and Braun data

Regarding the results from the combinatorial screens of the hsPRS-v1 (see Figure 35) the NN-conformation has found, as described above, 52 \% ( 48 out of 92 interactions). 34 interactions were detected by Braun et al., 25 thereof could be also detected by pGBGT7g/pGADT7g that is coverage of $74 \%$ of the Braun data and an overlap of $52 \%$ with the NN-dataset (25/48 interactions).

## - NN and CC tag-permutation

Almost the same number was found in the NN and CC bait/prey combination: 48 and 47 interactions, respectively. The overlap between both combinations is 26 common interactions. The overlap between the CC- and NN-dataset is 55 \%.

## - NN and NC combination

The assay sensitivity of the NC-combination was lower with 42 of 92 interactions detected. Those are $46 \%$. 28 of the interactions are in common, that's an overlap of 58 \%.

## - NN and CN combination

The poorest assay sensitivity was observed using the CN-combination pGBKCg bait and pGADT7g prey. 20 of 29 CN-interactions are in common with the NN-dataset. There is also a relatively small overlap with the NN-data, which is 42 \%.

### 4.2.1.7 Relative overlaps between topologies

Interestingly, the relative overlaps of PRS-interactions are the highest among the both NN -vector systems, underlining the impact of steric factors on the detectability of protein-protein interactions (see Table 17).

|  | NN (Braun) | CC | NC | CN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PRS-positive interactions | 34 | 47 | 42 | 29 |
| overlap with NN (this study) | 25 | 26 | 28 | 20 |
| ratio | $74 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $69 \%$ |

Table 17: Relative overlaps of PRS-interactions with the NN-topology.
The overlap between different vector systems and the classical system used here varies between 20 and 28 interactions. The relative overlaps between the NN-topologies are the highest ( $74 \%$ ), even though they were assayed by different Laboratories.

### 4.2.1.8 Assay sensitivity of combined screens

The major benefit that is achieved by combined tag-topology screens is the high assay sensitivity that can be obtained. Taken together, 74 of the 92 PRS interactions could be detected, which reflects an assay sensitivity of $80 \%$. The classical NNtopology detected 48 ( $52 \%$ ) of the 92 PRS interactions, additional 26 interactions (28 \%) were detected involving at least one C-terminal fusion tag, which reflects an increase of interaction data of $65 \%$.

### 4.2.1.9 Additional assays

Additional assays like LUMIER or YFP-PCA usually detect different, partially overlapping subsets of PPIs of the same organism or test space (Rajagopala et al., 2009; Rual et al., 2005). Additionally, verification of Y2H interactions by an additional assay, like e.g. Co-Immunoprecipitation, is widely regarded as a tool to confirm the authenticity of Y2H interactions. Especially in the case of large scale studies, they are time-consuming, expensive, and require additional reagents and protocols. In addition, a large fraction of bona fide interactions may be suitable for one particular assay but not for another and thus confirmatory experiments may still miss up to 80 \% of all interactions. When the hsPRS-v1 was assayed by four different methods by Braun and colleagues (Braun et al., 2009), similar to combinatorial Y2H-screening, the coverage of PRS-interactions was extended, to 55 of 92 interactions, or $60 \%$
(see Figure 51). Hence, the coverage was still 20 \% lower than from combinatorial Y 2 H screening.


Figure 51: PRS interactions from additional assays.
55 of 92 hsPRS-v1 interactions were detected by four independent assays. LUMIER, MAPPIT (see Figure 52A) and PCA could detect at least one phosphorylation-dependent interaction (black edges), wNAPPA (Figure 52B) did not. Figure modified from Braun et al., 2009.


Figure 52: MAPPIT and wNAPPA principle.
(A) The mammalian protein-protein interaction trap (MAPPIT) is based on reconstitution of a signaling cascade, activating Stat3 dependent transcription. A bait protein is fused to a hybrid erythropoietinleptin receptor and the prey is fused to gp130. Upon stimulation with erythropoietin, Janus kinases (JAKs) transphosphorylate each other, and if bait and prey interact, the activated JAKs will phosphorylate gp130, which in turn recruits and subsequently activates STAT3, which then activates transcription of a reporter. Source: Eyckerman et al., 2001. (B) Well - nucleic acid programmable protein array (wNAPPA). Plasmids encoding GST-bait and HA-prey fusions are in vitro translated, e.g. using reticulocyte lysate (purple shading). Subsequently, the bait-GST is captured on the bottom of a 96 -well plate coated with GST antibody. An interaction recruits the HA-prey fusion which can be immunologically detected (Braun et al., 2009).

### 4.2.2 False-positives

Yeast two-hybrid interactions have often been considered as unreliable, generating many false-positive and false-negative results (Edwards et al., 2002). False-positives can be suspected because interactions appear implausible to the observer, especially if they lack independent confirmation. However, it is difficult to exclude that proteins which are tested randomly do not interact in vivo. But it is anyway an indicator for a running system to evaluate its susceptibility for false-positive interactions.

### 4.2.2.1 hsRRS-v1 screening

Pairwise testing of hsPRS-v1 interactions detected, taken together all non-redundant interactions of all four screens 16 pairwise interactions, which equals $17 \%$ of the whole RRS. The putative false-positive rates of single permutations were $6.5 \%$ in the NN-, 8.7 \% in the CC, 7.1 \% in the NC combination. In the CN permutation the rate was somewhat lower with $4.4 \%$, probably also reflecting its overall weaker performance in the PRS-assays. Taken together, a standard screen contains approximately around seven percent false-positive interactions. Essentially, the rate of false-positives can be minimized to a minimum level, down to zero, see also Table 14 with no RRS-interaction detected using $10 \mathrm{mM} 3-A T$ in the readout medium. But stringency and the resulting loss of sensitivity goes at the expense of loosing truepositive interactions as well (Braun et al., 2009).

Testing the hsPRS-v1 interactions by combinatorial screening was performed under largely comparable conditions as the VZV screen before, pretests were performed with the bait-strains mated against the empty pGADT7g vector and subsequently tested for self-activation. Assays were subsequently scored on minimum inhibitory concentration of 3-AT.

One essential outcome of the RRS-evaluation is that no tag combination produces an elevated number of false-positive interactions compared to the others. What has to be taken in account as well, regarding the assay layout compared to a standard array-based screen is that an array of different bait proteins is screened on one array at a time. This has the disadvantage that the assessment of the specificity by means of the array appearance is lost. So the only evidence of specificity goes back to the self-activation assay that is in addition performed in an independent assay step. In
many cases, the minimum inhibitory concentration of a bait construct stays undecided and two or more concentrations are screened for later evaluation of the results with the help of comparable arrays, see Figure 53 for an example. This strategy helps avoiding false-negative interactions, which in fact is not accounted for in the hsRRS-v1 screen.

A VZV ORF19 on -LTH, 7d

tag-topology
B ORF19 Autoactivation pretest


Figure 53: VZV ORF19 in a screen the autoactivation pretest.
A) VZV ORF19 was screened as bait in pGBKCg against the VZV prey array (for the array layout see Table 2). In the screen, there is an unspecific background visible at 1 mM 3 -AT that vanishes with rising 3-AT concentration. The unspecific background is of equal strength with C-terminally tagged preys (CC, upper half) and N -terminally tagged preys (CN, lower half) B) In the autoactivation pretest of ORF19 in pGBKCg mated against pGADT7g no growth was visible at $1 \mathrm{mM} 3-\mathrm{AT}$. In this case the subsequent scoring of interactions on 3 mM 3 -AT reduces false-negative interactions.

### 4.2.2.2 Additional assays

Like the positive reference set of binary human interactions, the hsRRS-v1 was tested by Braun and colleagues (Braun et al., 2009) using four different methods for PPI detection (Figure 54). Each method detected two to four putative false-positive interactions. Combined, the false-negative rate is 11 \% (10 of 92 random-interactions
detected) which is only six percent lower than the calculated FP-rate from the combinatorial Y2H screens. Taking in account the much lower expenses of one single assay and the potential of reducing false-positives from Y2H by array-based screening and elevated assay stringency the extra efforts seem to be not reasonable, especially for high-throughput screening.


Figure 54: RRS interactions from additional assays.
The least RRS-interactions, two each, were detected by MAPPIT and PCA. One more was detected by wNAPPA and four by LUMIER. Taken together, nine non-redundant putative false-positive interactions were detected. Figure modified from Braun et al., 2009.

### 4.2.2.3 Quality assessment by LuMPIS

An additional evidence for good Y2H data quality I observed in a collaboration study with the Max-von-Pettenkofer Institut at the LMU Munich. The task was to generate an intraviral Hepatitis-E-Virus PPI network. Cloning and subsequent verification by LuMPIS-assays (Vizoso Pinto et al., 2009), which is a derivative of the LUMIER system, were performed by Andreas Osterman and Dr. Maria Vizoso-Pinto in the research group of Dr. Armin Baiker. I performed an intraviral Y2H screen with permutated fusion tags. Strikingly, the Yeast two-hybrid interactions could be verified to the largest part (88 \%). The second outcome of this study is, that the interactions that could not be detected in the LuMPIS system, which uses N-terminal fusions of eGFP-Luciferase and MBP as bait and prey constructs (Figure 55), were exclusively detected involving C-terminal fusion constructs (Osterman et al., Manuscript in preparation). This is an additional evidence for sterical hindrance of PPIs by fusion tags.


Figure 55: The LuMPIS system.
The eGFP-luciferase tagged bait mixed with a MBP-tagged prey expressed in mammalian cells is detected in affinity purified samples as light emission. It was designed to identify PPIs of organisms with low GC-content, like VZV. The eGFP (enhanced green fluorescent protein) and MBP (maltosebinding protein) fusion tags have a high GC-content which improves recombinant expression in mammalian cells.

### 4.2.3 Conclusions

Apart from the orientation of fusion tags, various variations of the Y 2 H system are possible, e.g. copy number, reporter yeast strains, different reporters etc. (Rajagopala et al., 2009). The parental vectors and the two new C-terminal vectors described here are identical in most ways, including their origins of replication (and thus their copy number), their promoters (and thus protein expression levels), as well as the yeast strains in which they were expressed. In addition, the experimental conditions of our Y2H assays were identical (except for adapting the needed 3-AT concentrations). This allows me to conclude that differences in PPIs result from sterical constraints caused by the location of the DBD and AD fusion tags and the associated linker sequences. Considering these findings, other Y 2 H vector systems including commercial available vectors would benefit from the permutations described here. They should be used routinely in large-scale screens, not least because they provide an intrinsic quality score for the obtained Y2H interactions by providing reproducibility without additional assays.

### 4.3 VZV ORF25 and the terminase complex

The Herpesviridae encode a family of seven orthologous proteins, which cleave concatemeric viral DNA into separate genome units and insert the DNA into preformed capsids in the nucleus of an infected host cell. These DNA-packaging proteins are encoded by the VZV ORFs 25, 26, 30, 34, 43, 45/42 and 54 (Visalli et al., 2007) and orthologs thereof in other herpesviruses. The identification of encapsidation-specific antiviral inhibitors for HSV, HCMV, and VZV suggests that viral DNA encapsidation is a promising antiviral target for herpesviruses (Biron, 2006; Bogner, 2002; Buerger et al., 2001; Di Grandi et al., 2004; Reefschlaeger et al., 2001; Underwood et al., 1998; van Zeijl et al., 2000; Visalli et al., 2003), especially as this is a virus-specific mechanism, which minimizes the risk of side effects of new therapeutic compounds in preclinical development and subsequent clinical trials. New pharmaceuticals are required as the classical antiviral therapy which is mainly based on nucleoside analogs is facing the problem of resistance development. Moreover, resistance against one kind of nucleoside analog is often accompanied by resistance to other nucleoside analog derivatives, so called cross-resistance, based on mutations in the viral thymidine kinase and DNA-polymerase genes (Sauerbrei et al., 2010). That is why a better characterization of the interactions between DNA encapsidation proteins can be used for development of new antiviral compounds based on the prevention of viral DNA packaging.

### 4.3.1 Terminase complex as drug target - actual state of affairs

So far, only few drugs targeting the human cytomegalovirus viral terminase were or are being developed. BAY-384766 (Tomeglovir®, Bayer AG) and GW-275175X (175X; University of Michigan/GlaxoSmithKline), both had entered Phase I trials but developments were discontinued in November 2000 for unknown reasons. Maribavir (MBV; 1263W94; Camvia®; Viropharma) is a promising agent undergoing Phase III trials. It is a potent member of a new class of drugs called benzimidazole ribosides (Hwang et al., 2009; Lischka and Zimmermann, 2008). A fourth substance, called AIC246 (AiCuris) is undergoing clinical development (Lischka et al., 2010).

### 4.3.2 Protein interactions among DNA encapsidation proteins

I assembled all available interaction data of the DNA encapsidation proteins to generate a complete-as-possible network of this crucial step in virion morphogenesis. The data was assembled from the combinatorial, array-based Y2H screens of VZV (Stellberger et al., 2010; Uetz et al., 2006), from orthologous prediction from four additional herpesviruses (Fossum et al., 2009) as well as from a systematical rescreen of the seven VZV proteins with re-cloned ORFs, to maximize the quality of the test constructs. Figure 56 gives an overview of the DNA-encapsidation network.


25 (2)
Figure 56: Interaction network of putative terminase complex subunits-
The interaction data from Figure 40 was used to model an interaction network of the VZV proteins involved in DNA-packaging. ORFs are indicated by the respective number, self interactions are indicated by attached numbers in brackets. Structures of ORF25 and ORF34 were derived based on homology from the NCBI Molecular Modeling Database, MMDB (Wang et al., 2007) and visualized using the Cn3D viewer (Wang et al., 2000). The portal vertex image (ORF54 dodecamer) is adapted from Cardone and colleagues (Cardone et al., 2007), showing a detail of the closely related HSV-1 virion. Toroidal structures of the large and small terminase subunit (ORF30 and ORF45/42, respectively) are represented by cylindrical nodes, according to the observations of Scheffzzik and Savva on the HCMV orthologs UL56 and UL89 (Savva et al., 2004; Scheffczik et al., 2002).

### 4.3.3 Promiscuity of ORF25

The VZV ORF25 encoded protein belongs to the Herpes UL33 protein superfamily (pfam03581) and is highly conserved throughout the Herpesviridae (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2007). This very promiscuous protein interacts with almost two thirds of the VZV encoded proteins in the Y2H system. Before the combinatorial Y2H screening of VZV was performed, 33 PPIs were detected with in the NN-topology (Uetz et al., 2006), which is almost half of the VZV encoded proteins. Interestingly, 18 orthologous interactions (56 \%) were confirmed in intraviral Y2H screens of HSV-1, EBV, MCMV (Fossum et al., 2009). Additionally, the interaction of ORF25 with the terminase subunit ORF30 and the orthologous interaction in HSV-1, are meanwhile described in the literature (Jacobson et al., 2006; Visalli et al., 2009). This suggests that the interactions of ORF25 protein are mostly specific and not caused by an unspecific stickiness of the VZV ORF25 Yeast two-hybrid constructs used in the screenings. The combinatorial Y 2 H screens in this study revealed 13 additional interactions involving the newly designed C-terminally tagged Yeast two-hybrid constructs. These are including seven interologous interactions in HSV-1, EBV and MCMV (54 \%), which is pointing out an equal data quality derived by the C-terminal fusions.

### 4.3.4 Role of ORF25

Even though ORF25 protein interacts with a large variety of viral and also host cell proteins (Vizoso Pinto et al., Manuscript in preparation), it was suggested that in HSV-1 the terminase complex is a trimeric complex of the VZV orthologs of ORF45/42, ORF30 and ORF25 (Yang et al., 2007). Recently, this was relativized by Visalli and coworkers. It is now hypothesized that the Herpes UL33 superfamily homologs are not plainly encapsidation proteins. Beyond that they play a role in the assembly of viral proteins and/or in the optimization of viral protein complexes (Visalli et al., 2009). This theory is supported by several studies that include:

- The optimization of the terminase complex of HSV-1 UL33 in HSV infected cells (Yang and Baines, 2006).
- The high number of interactions of VZV ORF25 and its orthologs (Fossum et al., 2009; Stellberger et al., 2010; Uetz et al., 2006)
- The translocation of VZV, HSV-2 and KSHV UL33 orthologs into the nucleus. (Sander et al., 2008; Vizoso Pinto et al., Manuscript in preparation; Yamauchi et al., 2001)
- The interactions of VZV ORF25 protein with most of the DNA encapsidation proteins (Figure 56).

So far, this data suggests the model that the UL33 proteins bring together viral proteins in order to form functional complexes and that the putative DNA encapsidation complex of VZV consists of at least three different proteins analogous to that reported for HSV-1, ORF25, ORF45/42 and ORF30 (Visalli et al., 2007). However, new results suggest that ORF25 acts as a molecular chaperone for viral proteins as it shows characteristic behavior of heat shock proteins like translocation into the nucleus upon cellular stress (Vizoso Pinto et al., Manuscript in preparation). This provides an explanation for the promiscuity of the protein, as well as for the involvement into the DNA-encapsidation complex and the stabilizing effect on protein complexes.

### 4.3.5 Mapping of the ORF25 homomerization interface

Yeast two-hybrid analysis and peptide scans of the ORF25-ORF25 interaction showed that this involves segments of N - and C-terminal constructs (ORF25N and ORF25C). Moreover, on the peptide array there were two distinct areas on the Cterminal domain identified, which are evolutionary higher conserved based on sequence alignment of the UL33 superfamily in HHV-1 to HHV-8. Based on these findings, I assume that the interaction interface is a discontinuous interaction epitope, with a conserved part made up of central- and C-terminal portions. The N-terminal fraction was lost during herpesviral evolution (see Figure 57), likely due to the fact that it is dispensable for the interaction.


Figure 57: Phylogenetic tree of the UL33 protein superfamily.
The average distance was calculated based on the amino acid sequence alignment of UL33 orthologs in HHV-1 to HHV-8 shown in Figure 43, using the BLOSUM62 algorithm. The star marks the evolutionary event that separated the Gamma- and Betaherpesvirinae, which led to the loss of amino acids at the N -terminus that is part of the homomerization interface of ORF25 protein and probably the orthologs in HHV-1, -2 and -4.

### 4.4 Outlook

### 4.4.1 Combinatorial Y2H screening

Ever since the publication of the novel Yeast two-hybrid vectors pGADCg and pGBKCg, the system has attracted attention in research groups in many parts of the world. To date, I have provided them to laboratories in the United States, China, Hungary, France, Great Britain Switzerland and Germany. I am pleased to be able to provide a tool that has the potential to support protein-protein interaction studies, performed either in large-scale or small-scale, in all fields of basic- or biomedical research.
I screened the intraviral PPIs of Hepatitis-E-Virus as first de-novo determined network by combinatorial screening of four tag-permutations and gained very good data quality. Encouraged by this study, I will start in the near future intraviral screening of Human Coronavirus NL63 (HCoV-NL63), as starting point for a postdoctoral project.

### 4.4.2 Drug design based on PPI blocking

Protein-protein interactions play a central role in biochemical reactions including processes of pathogenesis. Proteins often work in complexes of several macromolecules and small ligands. The structural and functional description of protein-protein interactions is very important for basic and applied research. The interface areas of protein complexes have unique structure and properties, so PPI represent prospective targets for a new generation of drugs.

One of the key targets of PPI inhibitors are oligomeric enzymes, which is of increasing interest within the last years, e.g. the HIV-1 protease (HIVp), which functions as a homodimer or bacterial L-asparaginase (homo-tetramer) (Ivanov et al., 2007).

A prerequisite for drug design based on prevention of essential protein-protein interactions is the identification of interaction sites, like it was determined in this study for VZV ORF25 homomerization. The second basic requirement is the revelation of the three dimensional structure of the target proteins. Supportingly, the field of structural genomics has emerged as one of the -omics (like e.g. genomics, transcriptomics and proteomics) disciplines more than a decade ago, and large scale programs have been launched across the world, for development and application of methods for high-throughput structural biology. As a result, the growing number of high resolution structures of protein drug targets is expected to have a dominant impact on future drug discovery programs (Weigelt, 2010).

Without knowing the structure of VZV ORF25 protein, I started to develop a highthroughput method to screen for antiviral compounds in small-compound libraries, based on the reverse Yeast two-hybrid (rY2H) system. The rY2H allows to screen for mutations which abolish PPIs using the URA3 reporter gene in combination with 5FOA (Vidal et al., 1996), and thus should have the potential to identify compounds which block protein-protein interactions between promising drug-targets.
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Appendix

| Interaction \# | VzV_bait | VzV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | VzV_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID |  | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ORF24N | ORF1N | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF1-ORF24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | ORF1N | ORF25 | NN CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF1-ORF25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | ORF1N | ORF27 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF1-ORF27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | ORF3 | ORF1N | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF1-ORF3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | ORF43 | ORF1N | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF1-ORF43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | ORF1 | ORF60C | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF1-ORF60 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 7 | ORF1N | ORF60C | NN | 1 | red 2 | ORF1-ORF60 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 8 | ORF1N | ORF62 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF1-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | ORF10 | ORF32 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF10-ORF32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | ORF10 | ORF56C | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF10-ORF56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | ORF57 | ORF10 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF10-ORF57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | ORF11 | ORF13 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF11-ORF13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | ORF11 | ORF27 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF11-ORF27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | ORF11 | ORF38 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF11-ORF38 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL47-HSV1_UL21 | Y2H |
| 15 | ORF12 | ORF12 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | ORF12 | ORF16 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | ORF12 | ORF24N | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | ORF12 | ORF25 | NN CN CC | 3 | 2 | ORF12-ORF25 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL46-HSV1_UL33, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| 19 | ORF12C | ORF25 | CN CC | 2 | red 2 | ORF12-ORF25 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 20 | ORF12 | ORF27 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | ORF33 | ORF12C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | ORF33.5 | ORF12C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF12- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | ORF12C | ORF37N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | ORF12 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF38 | HSV1_UL46-HSV1_UL21 | 18602131 |  |  | LC |
| 25 | ORF12 | ORF39 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | ORF12 | ORF42 | NC | 1 | 2 | ORF12-ORF42 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 27 | ORF12C | ORF42 | CC | 1 | red 2 | ORF12-ORF42 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 28 | ORF12 | ORF60C | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF12-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | ORF65 | ORF12C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | ORF12 | ORF9 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF9 | HSV1_UL46-HSV1_UL49 | 18602131 |  |  | LC |
| 31 | ORF6 | ORF13 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF13-ORF6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | ORF14 | ORF35 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF14-ORF35 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | ORF64 | ORF14N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF14-ORF64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | ORF24N | ORF15 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF15-ORF24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | ORF15F | ORF25 | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF15-ORF25 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL43-HSV1_UL33, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| 36 | ORF15N | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | red 2 | ORF15-ORF25 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 37 | ORF15 | ORF42 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF15-ORF42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | ORF15F | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF15-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | ORF68F | ORF15 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF15-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | ORF9 | ORF15 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF15-ORF9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | ORF16 | ORF16 | NN CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF16-ORF16 | EBV_BMRF1-EBV_BMRF1, <br> KSHV̄_59-KSHV_59 | 15286084 | 15075322 |  | LC |
| 42 | ORF19 | ORF16 | NN CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF16-ORF19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | ORF24N | ORF16 | NN CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF16-ORF24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | ORF3 | ORF16 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF16-ORF3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | ORF33 | ORF16 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF16-ORF33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | ORF37N | ORF16 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF16-ORF37 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix

| Interaction \# | VzV_bait | VzV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | VzV_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID |  | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | ORF16 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF16-ORF38 |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 48 | ORF38 | ORF16 | CN | 1 | red 2 | ORF16-ORF38 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 49 | ORF42 | ORF16 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF16-ORF42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | ORF58 | ORF16 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF16-ORF58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | ORF16 | ORF62 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF16-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | ORF64 | ORF16 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF16-ORF64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | ORF8 | ORF16 | CN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF16-ORF8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | ORF39N | ORF17 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF17-ORF39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | ORF18C | ORF18C | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF18-ORF18 | EBV_BaRF1-EBV_BaRF1 | 17446270 |  | HSV1_UL40-HSV1_UL40, KSHV_60-KSHV_60, redundant construct | LC Y2H red |
| 56 | ORF18C | ORF18N | CN CC | 2 | red 2 | ORF18-ORF18 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 57 | ORF18C | ORF19 | NN CN | 2 | 2 | ORF18-ORF19 | HSV1_UL39-HSV1_UL40 | 1322407 | 3012359 | KSHV_61-KSHV_60, redundant construct | LC Y2H red |
| 58 | ORF19 | ORF18C | NN CC | 2 | red 2 | ORF18-ORF19 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 59 | ORF18C | ORF23 | CN CC | 2 | 3 | ORF18-ORF23 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 60 | ORF18N | ORF23 | NN CN CC | 3 | red 3 | ORF18-ORF23 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 61 | ORF23 | ORF18N | NC | 1 | red 3 | ORF18-ORF23 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 62 | ORF18C | ORF24N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF18-ORF24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | ORF18 | ORF25 | NN CN CC | 3 | 3 | ORF18-ORF25 |  |  |  | HSV1 UL40-HSV1 UL33, KSHV_67.5KSHV_60, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| 64 | ORF18C | ORF25 | NN CN CC | 3 | red 3 | ORF18-ORF25 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 65 | ORF18N | ORF25 | CN CC | 2 | red 3 | ORF18-ORF25 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 66 | ORF18C | ORF26 | CC | 1 | 3 | ORF18-ORF26 |  |  |  | KSHV_68-KSHV_60, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| 67 | ORF26 | ORF18C | CN | 1 | red 3 | ORF18-ORF26 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 68 | ORF26 | ORF18N | CN CC | 2 | red 3 | ORF18-ORF26 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 69 | ORF28 | ORF18C | CC | 1 | 2 | ORF18-ORF28 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL30-HSV1_UL40, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| 70 | ORF28 | ORF18N | CC | 1 | red 2 | ORF18-ORF28 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 71 | ORF29 | ORF18C | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF18-ORF29 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 72 | ORF29 | ORF18N | CN CC | 2 | red 2 | ORF18-ORF29 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 73 | ORF33 | ORF18N | NC CC | 2 | 1 | ORF18-ORF33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | ORF33.5 | ORF18C | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF18ORF33.5 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 75 | ORF33.5 | ORF18N | NN NC | 2 | red 2 | ORF18ORF33.5 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 76 | ORF18C | ORF37N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF18-ORF37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | ORF18C | ORF41 | CN CC | 2 | 2 | ORF18-ORF41 |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 78 | ORF41 | ORF18C | NN | 1 | red 2 | ORF18-ORF41 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 79 | ORF43 | ORF18N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF18-ORF43 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | ORF18C | ORF53 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF18-ORF53 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | ORF18C | ORF56C | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF18-ORF56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | ORF57 | ORF18N | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF18-ORF57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | ORF18C | ORF58 | CC | 1 | 2 | ORF18-ORF58 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 84 | ORF58 | ORF18N | NN CN | 2 | red 2 | ORF18-ORF58 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 85 | ORF18C | ORF60C | CN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF18-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | ORF62 | ORF18C | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF18-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87 | ORF65 | ORF18C | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF18-ORF65 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 88 | ORF65 | ORF18N | NN | 1 | red 2 | ORF18-ORF65 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 89 | ORF18C | ORF68 | CC | 1 | 2 | ORF18-ORF68 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 90 | ORF18C | ORF68F | CC | 1 | red 2 | ORF18-ORF68 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 91 | ORF18C | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF18-ORF9a | EBV_BaRF1-EBV_BLRF1 | 17446270 |  |  | LC |

## Appendix

| Interaction \# | VzV_bait | VzV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | VzV_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID |  |  | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | ORF18C | S/LC | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF18-S/L |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | ORF19 | ORF19 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF19-ORF19 |  |  |  |  | MCMV_M45-MCMV_M45, KSHV_61-KSHV_61 | Y2H |
| 94 | ORF19 | ORF24N | CC | 1 | 2 | ORF19-ORF24 |  |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 95 | ORF24N | ORF19 | CN | 1 | red 2 | ORF19-ORF24 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 96 | ORF19 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF19-ORF25 |  |  |  |  | MCMV_M45-MCMV_M51 | Y2H |
| 97 | ORF19 | ORF26 | NC CC | 2 | 2 | ORF19-ORF26 |  |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 98 | ORF26 | ORF19 | CN | 1 | red 2 | ORF19-ORF26 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 99 | ORF19 | ORF27 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | ORF19 | ORF38 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF19-ORF38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101 | ORF19 | ORF39N | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 | ORF19 | ORF41 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 | ORF19 | ORF42 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 | ORF43 | ORF19 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | ORF19 | ORF48 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 | ORF19 | ORF53 | NC CC | 2 | 1 | ORF19-ORF53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 | ORF19 | ORF57 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | ORF19 | ORF58 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 109 | ORF19 | ORF60C | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 | ORF19 | ORF62 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF19-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 | ORF19 | ORF68F | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 112 | ORF8 | ORF19 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF8 |  |  |  |  | MCMV_M45-MCMV_M72 | Y2H |
| 113 | ORF19 | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 114 | ORF2 | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF2-ORF25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115 | ORF2 | ORF56C | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF2-ORF56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 116 | ORF4 | ORF20 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF20-ORF4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 117 | ORF21 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF22 | HSV1_UL36-HSV1_UL37, KSHV_63-KSHV $6 \overline{4}$ | 16014918 | 18602131 | 18321973 | EBV_BOLF1-EBV_BPLF1 | LC Y2H |
| 118 | ORF21 | ORF23 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF23 | HSV1_UL35-HSV1_UL37 | 18602131 |  |  | KSHV_65-KSHV_63 | LC Y2H |
| 119 | ORF24N | ORF21 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | ORF21 | ORF27 | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF21-ORF27 |  |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 121 | ORF27 | ORF21 | NN NC | 2 | red 2 | ORF21-ORF27 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 122 | ORF3 | ORF21 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 | ORF33 | ORF21 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF21-ORF33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 | ORF33.5 | ORF21 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { ORF21- } \\ & \text { ORF33.5 } \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 125 | ORF21 | ORF34 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 | ORF21 | ORF42 | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF21-ORF42 |  |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 127 | ORF42 | ORF21 | NN NC | 2 | red 2 | ORF21-ORF42 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 128 | ORF43 | ORF21 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 129 | ORF21 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF60 | KSHV_63-KSHV_47 | 18321973 |  |  |  | LC |
| 130 | ORF64 | ORF21 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 | ORF65 | ORF21 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132 | ORF24N | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 133 | ORF33 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | ORF33.5 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { ORF22- } \\ & \text { ORF33.5 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | EBV_BPLF1-EBV_BDRF1 | Y2H |
| 135 | ORF38 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF38 |  |  |  |  | EBV_BPLF1-EBV_BTRF1 | Y2H |
| 136 | ORF41 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF41 | KSHV_64-KSHV_26 | 18321973 |  |  |  | LC |

## Appendix

| Interaction \# | VzV_bait | VzV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | VzV_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID |  |  | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137 | ORF43 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 138 | ORF65 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 139 | ORF68 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140 | ORF9a | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 141 | ORF24N | ORF23 | CNCC | 2 | 1 | ORF23-ORF24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 142 | ORF23 | ORF25 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF23-ORF25 |  |  |  |  | MCMV_M48.2-MCMV_M51, EBV_BFRF3EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| 143 | ORF26 | ORF23 | CNCC | 2 | 1 | ORF23-ORF26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 | ORF23 | ORF27 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF23-ORF27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 | ORF3 | ORF23 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF23-ORF3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 146 | ORF33 | ORF23 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF23-ORF33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 | ORF23 | ORF39N | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF23-ORF39 |  |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 148 | ORF39N | ORF23 | NC | 1 | red 2 | ORF23-ORF39 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 149 | ORF23 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF23-ORF60 |  |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 150 | ORF60C | ORF23 | NC CN CC | 3 | red 2 | ORF23-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 151 | ORF65 | ORF23 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF23-ORF65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 152 | ORF68 | ORF23 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF23-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 153 | ORF7 | ORF23 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF23-ORF7 | HSV1_UL35-HSV1_UL51 | 18602131 |  |  |  | LC |
| 154 | ORF23 | ORF9 | NC | 1 | 2 | ORF23-ORF9 |  |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 155 | ORF9 | ORF23 | CN CC | 2 | red 2 | ORF23-ORF9 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 156 | ORF9a | ORF23 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF23-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 | ORF24N | ORF24N | CNCC | 2 | 1 | ORF24-ORF24 |  |  |  |  | EBV_BFRF1-EBV_BFRF1 | Y2H |
| 158 | ORF24N | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF24-ORF25 |  |  |  |  | EBV_BFRF1-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| 159 | ORF26 | ORF24N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160 | ORF24 | ORF27 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 4 | ORF24-ORF27 | HSV1_UL34-HSV1_UL31 | 10627546 | 11507225 | 15731273 | HSV1_UL31-HSV1_UL34, redundant direction construct | LC Y2H red |
| 161 | ORF24N | ORF27 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | red 4 | ORF24-ORF27 | EBV_BFRF1-EBV_BFLF2 | 15003866 | 15731265 | 17446270 | MCMV_M53-MCMV_M50 | perm-red |
| 162 | ORF27 | ORF24 | NN | 1 | red 4 | ORF24-ORF27 | MCMV_M53-MCMV_M50 | 17005637 |  |  | EBV_BFRF1-EBV_BFLF2 | perm-red |
| 163 | ORF27 | ORF24N | NC CN CC | 3 | red 4 | ORF24-ORF27 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 164 | ORF24N | ORF34 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 165 | ORF24N | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 166 | ORF24 | ORF39N | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF24-ORF39 |  |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 167 | ORF24N | ORF39N | NN CN | 2 | red 2 | ORF24-ORF39 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 168 | ORF24N | ORF41 | NC CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF24-ORF41 |  |  |  |  | MCMV_M85-MCMV_M50 | Y2H |
| 169 | ORF24N | ORF42 | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF24-ORF42 |  |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 170 | ORF42 | ORF24N | CC | 1 | red 2 | ORF24-ORF42 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 171 | ORF24 | ORF46 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF46 |  |  |  |  | HSV1_UL34-HSV1_UL14 | Y2H |
| 172 | ORF24N | ORF52 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 173 | ORF24N | ORF53 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 174 | ORF57 | ORF24N | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | ORF24N | ORF58 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 176 | ORF60C | ORF24N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF60 |  |  |  |  | EBV_BKRF2-EBV_BFRF1 | Y2H |
| 177 | ORF24N | ORF62 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF24-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 178 | ORF24N | ORF64 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 179 | ORF24N | ORF66 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF66 | HSV1_UL34-HSV1_US3 | 10627546 |  |  |  | LC |
| 180 | ORF67C | ORF24N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 181 | ORF24N | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix

| Interaction \# | Vzv_bait | VzV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | Vzv_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID |  |  | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 182 | ORF25 | ORF25 | NN NC CC | 3 | 1 | ORF25-ORF25 |  |  |  |  | HSV1_UL33-HSV1_UL33, MCMV_M51MCMV_M51, EBV_BFRF4-EBV_BFRF4 | Y 2 H |
| 183 | ORF26 | ORF25 | NCCNCC | 3 | 1 | ORF25-ORF26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 184 | ORF27 | ORF25 | NC CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF25-ORF27 |  |  |  |  | MCMV_M53-MCMV_M51, EBV_BFLF2EBV_BFRF4, KSHV_67.5-KSHV_69 | Y2H |
| 185 | ORF28 | ORF25 | CN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF28 |  |  |  |  | HSV1 UL30-HSV1_UL33, MCMV_M51MCMV_M54, EBV_BALF5-EBV_BFRF4, KSHV_67.5-KSHV_9 | Y2H |
| 186 | ORF29 | ORF25 | CNCC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF29 |  |  |  |  | EBV_BALF2-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| 187 | ORF3 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF25-ORF3 |  |  |  |  | HSV1_UL55-HSV1_UL33 | Y 2 H |
| 188 | ORF30 | ORF25 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF30 | HSV1_UL28-HSV1_UL33 | 17035316 |  |  | ```HSV1_UL28-HSV1_UL33, EBV_BFRF4- EBV_BALF3``` | LC Y2H |
| 189 | ORF25 | ORF31N | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF25-ORF31 |  |  |  |  | EBV_BALF4-EBV_BFRF4, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| 190 | ORF31C | ORF25 | CC | 1 | red 2 | ORF25-ORF31 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 191 | ORF32 | ORF25 | NN NC CNCC | 4 | 1 | ORF25-ORF32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 192 | ORF33 | ORF25 | NN NC CC | 3 | 1 | ORF25-ORF33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 193 | ORF33.5 | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF25ORF33.5 |  |  |  |  | EBV_BdRF1-EBV_BFRF4, EBV_BFRF4EBV_BDRF1 | Y2H |
| 194 | ORF36 | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 195 | ORF25 | ORF38 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF38 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { EBV_BFRF4-EBV_BTRF1, KSHV_67.5- } \\ & \text { KSHV_23 } \end{aligned}$ | Y2H |
| 196 | ORF25 | ORF39N | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF25-ORF39 |  |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 197 | ORF39N | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | red 2 | ORF25-ORF39 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 198 | ORF41 | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 199 | ORF42 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF25-ORF42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 | ORF43 | ORF25 | CN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF43 |  |  |  |  | HSV1 UL17-HSV1 UL33, MCMV M93MCMV_M51, EBV_BGLF1-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| 201 | ORF44 | ORF25 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF44 |  |  |  |  | HSV1_UL16-HSV1_UL33 | Y2H |
| 202 | ORF48 | ORF25 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 203 | ORF49 | ORF25 | NN NC CN | 3 | 1 | ORF25-ORF49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 204 | ORF50 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 2 | ORF25-ORF50 |  |  |  |  | MCMV_M100-MCMV_M51, EBV_BFRF4EBV_BBRF3, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| 205 | ORF50C | ORF25 | CNCC | 2 | red 2 | ORF25-ORF50 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 206 | ORF51 | ORF25 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF51 |  |  |  |  | HSV1_UL9-HSV1_UL33 | Y 2 H |
| 207 | ORF52 | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF52 |  |  |  |  | EBV_BBLF2-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| 208 | ORF55 | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF55 |  |  |  |  | EBV_BBLF4-EBV_BFRF4 | Y 2 H |
| 209 | ORF57 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF25-ORF57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210 | ORF58 | ORF25 | NNCNCC | 3 | 1 | ORF25-ORF58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 211 | ORF59 | ORF25 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF59 |  |  |  |  | HSV1_UL2-HSV1_UL33 | Y2H |
| 212 | ORF6 | ORF25 | CNCC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 213 | ORF60C | ORF25 | NC CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF25-ORF60 |  |  |  |  | EBV_BKRF2-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| 214 | ORF62 | ORF25 | CNCC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 215 | ORF64 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF25-ORF64 |  |  |  |  | HSV1_US10-HSV1_UL33 | Y2H |
| 216 | ORF65 | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | 2 | ORF25-ORF65 |  |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 217 | ORF65N | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | red 2 | ORF25-ORF65 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 218 | ORF67 | ORF25 | NC | 1 | 2 | ORF25-ORF67 |  |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 219 | ORF67C | ORF25 | NC CNCC | 3 | red 2 | ORF25-ORF67 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 220 | ORF68C | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 2 | ORF25-ORF68 |  |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 221 | ORF68F | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | red 2 | ORF25-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |



Appendix

| Interaction \# | VzV_bait | VzV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | VZV_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID |  |  | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 269 | ORF29 | ORF65N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF29-ORF65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 270 | ORF29 | ORF68F | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF29-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 271 | ORF29 | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF29-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 272 | ORF3 | ORF3 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF3-ORF3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 273 | ORF33 | ORF3 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF3-ORF33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 274 | ORF3 | ORF34 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF3-ORF34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 275 | ORF3 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF3-ORF38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 276 | ORF3 | ORF39N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF3-ORF39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 277 | ORF3 | ORF41 | NC | 1 |  | ORF3-ORF41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 278 | ORF3 | ORF46 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF3-ORF46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 279 | ORF3 | ORF53 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF3-ORF53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 280 | ORF3 | ORF60C | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF3-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 281 | ORF3 | ORF62 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF3-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 282 | ORF31 | ORF45 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF31-ORF45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 283 | ORF31 | ORF61 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF31-ORF61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 284 | ORF32 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF32-ORF38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 285 | ORF32 | ORF39N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF32-ORF39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 286 | ORF9 | ORF32 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF32-ORF9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 287 | ORF33.5 | ORF41 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF33.5- <br> ORF41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 288 | ORF50C | ORF33.5 | CN | 1 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ORF33.5- } \\ & \text { ORF50 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | EBV_BdRF1-EBV_BBRF3 | Y2H |
| 289 | ORF33.5 | ORF56 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF33.5- ORF56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 290 | ORF33.5 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF33.5- ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 291 | ORF33.5 | ORF66 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF33.5ORF66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 292 | ORF33 | ORF33 | NN CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF33-ORF33 |  |  |  |  | MCMV_M80-MCMV_M80 | Y2H |
| 293 | ORF33 | ORF33.5 | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF33ORF33.5 | HSV1_UL26.5-HSV1_UL26 | 8661404 |  |  | redundant direction | LC red |
| 294 | ORF33.5 | ORF33 | NN | 1 | red 2 | ORF33ORF33.5 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 295 | ORF33 | ORF41 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF33-ORF41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 296 | ORF33 | ORF53 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF33-ORF53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 297 | ORF33 | ORF56 | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF33-ORF56 |  |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 298 | ORF33 | ORF56C | CC | 1 | red 2 | ORF33-ORF56 |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 299 | ORF33 | ORF60C | NN CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF33-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 | ORF33 | ORF66 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF33-ORF66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 301 | ORF33 | ORF68F | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF33-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 302 | ORF42 | ORF34 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF34-ORF42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 303 | ORF34 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF34-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 304 | ORF8 | ORF34 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF34-ORF8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 305 | ORF35 | ORF41 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF35-ORF41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 306 | ORF65 | ORF35 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF35-ORF65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 307 | ORF36 | ORF36 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF36-ORF36 | KSHV_21-KSHV_21 | 18321973 |  |  |  | LC |
| 308 | ORF36 | S/L C | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF36-S/L |  |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 309 | S/L | ORF36 | NN | 1 | red 2 | ORF36-S/L |  |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 310 | ORF39N | ORF37N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF37-ORF39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 311 | ORF9 | ORF37N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF37-ORF9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 312 | ORF39N | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF39 |  |  |  |  | HSV1_UL21-HSV1_UL20 | Y2H |
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| Interaction \# | Vzv_bait | VzV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | VzV_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID |  | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 313 | ORF4 | ORF38 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF38-ORF4 |  |  |  | KSHV_23-KSHV_57 | Y2H |
| 314 | ORF42 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 315 | ORF38 | ORF44 | NN NC | 2 | 2 | ORF38-ORF44 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL16-HSV1_UL21, redundant direction | Y2H red |
| 316 | ORF44 | ORF38 | NN | 1 | red 2 | ORF38-ORF44 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 317 | ORF46 | ORF38 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF46 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 318 | ORF49 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF49 |  |  |  | EBV_BBLF1-EBV_BTRF1 | Y2H |
| 319 | ORF57 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 320 | ORF58 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 321 | ORF38 | ORF61 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF61 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 322 | ORF65N | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 323 | ORF67C | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF67 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 324 | ORF9 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 325 | ORF39 | ORF39 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF39-ORF39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 326 | ORF41 | ORF39N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF39-ORF41 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 327 | ORF42 | ORF39N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF39-ORF42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 328 | ORF39N | ORF50 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF39-ORF50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 329 | ORF39N | ORF57 | NC | 1 | 2 | ORF39-ORF57 |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 330 | ORF57 | ORF39N | CN | 1 | red 2 | ORF39-ORF57 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 331 | ORF58 | ORF39N | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF39-ORF58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 332 | ORF65 | ORF39N | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF39-ORF65 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 333 | ORF65N | ORF39N | NN | 1 | red 2 | ORF39-ORF65 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 334 | ORF39 | ORF68C | NC | 1 | 2 | ORF39-ORF68 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 335 | ORF68F | ORF39N | NN | 1 | red 2 | ORF39-ORF68 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 336 | ORF8 | ORF39N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF39-ORF8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 337 | ORF9a | ORF39N | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF39-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 338 | ORF4 | ORF4 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF4-ORF4 | HSV1_UL54-HSV1_UL54, KSHV-57-KSHV_57 | 10329545 | 15269354 | HSV1_UL54-HSV1_UL54, MCMV_M69MCMV_M69, KSHV_57-KSHV_57 | LC Y2H |
| 339 | ORF4 | ORF41 | NC CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF4-ORF41 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340 | ORF4 | ORF62 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF4-ORF62 | HSV1 UL54-HSV1 RS1, VZV_ORF4-VZV_ORF62 | 8995681 | 10873781 |  | LC |
| 341 | ORF4 | ORF9aN | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF4-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 342 | ORF42 | ORF41 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF41-ORF42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 343 | ORF41 | ORF56 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF41-ORF56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 344 | ORF41 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF41-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 345 | ORF42 | ORF42 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF42-ORF42 | HSV1_UL15-HSV1_UL15 | 11086131 |  |  | LC |
| 346 | ORF42 | ORF53 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF42-ORF53 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HSV1_UL7-HSV1_UL15, MCMV_M103- } \\ & \text { MCMV_M89 } \end{aligned}$ | Y2H |
| 347 | ORF57 | ORF42 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF42-ORF57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 348 | ORF42 | ORF60C | NN CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF42-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 349 | ORF42 | ORF68F | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF42-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 350 | ORF9 | ORF42 | CNCC | 2 | 1 | ORF42-ORF9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 351 | ORF42 | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 2 | ORF42-ORF9a |  |  |  | HSV1_UL49A-HSV1_UL15, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| 352 | ORF9aN | ORF42 | CC | 1 | red 2 | ORF42-ORF9a |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 353 | ORF43 | ORF56C | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF43-ORF56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 354 | ORF43 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF43-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 355 | ORF43 | ORF65N | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 2 | ORF43-ORF65 |  |  |  | redundant direction | red |
| 356 | ORF65N | ORF43 | NN CN | 2 | red 2 | ORF43-ORF65 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 357 | ORF43 | ORF9 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF43-ORF9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 358 | ORF43 | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF43-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix

| Interaction \# | VzV_bait | VzV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | VzV_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID |  | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 359 | ORF44 | ORF49 | NC | 1 | 2 | ORF44-ORF49 | HSV1_UL11-HSV1_UL16 | 16014918 | 18602131 | HSV1_UL11-HSV1_UL16, MCMV_M94MCMV_M99, EBV_BBLF1-EBV_BGLF2, redundant direction | LC Y2H red |
| 360 | ORF49 | ORF44 | CN | 1 | red 2 | ORF44-ORF49 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 361 | ORF44 | ORF53 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF44-ORF53 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL7-HSV1_UL16 | Y2H |
| 362 | ORF44 | ORF61 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF44-ORF61 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 363 | ORF44 | ORF62 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF44-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 364 | ORF57 | ORF46 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF46-ORF57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 365 | ORF50C | ORF50C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF50-ORF50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 366 | ORF50C | ORF61 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF50-ORF61 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 367 | ORF50C | ORF62 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF50-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 368 | ORF50C | ORF66 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF50-ORF66 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 369 | ORF50 | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF50-ORF9a | EBV_BLRF1-EBV_BBRF3 | 11070013 |  | HSV1_UL10-HSV1_UL49A | LC Y2H |
| 370 | ORF60C | ORF53 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF53-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 371 | ORF53 | ORF7 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF53-ORF7 |  |  |  | EBV_BSRF1-EBV_BBRF2 | Y2H |
| 372 | ORF55 | ORF61 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF55-ORF61 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 373 | ORF60C | ORF56C | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF56-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 374 | ORF62 | ORF56C | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF56-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 375 | ORF65 | ORF56 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF56-ORF65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 376 | ORF9a | ORF56 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF56-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 377 | ORF57 | ORF62 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF57-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 378 | ORF57 | ORF9a | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF57-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 379 | ORF58 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF58-ORF60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 380 | ORF60C | ORF60 | NC | 1 | 2 | ORF60-ORF60 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 381 | ORF60C | ORF60C | CN CC | 2 | red 2 | ORF60-ORF60 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 382 | ORF62 | ORF60C | CN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF60-ORF62 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 383 | ORF65 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF60-ORF65 |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 384 | ORF65N | ORF60C | NN | 1 | red 2 | ORF60-ORF65 |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 385 | ORF68 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF60-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 386 | ORF9a | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF60-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 387 | ORF61 | ORF61 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF61-ORF61 | HSV1_RL2-HSV1_RL2 | 8151788 | 7966607 |  | LC |
| 388 | ORF62 | ORF68F | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF62-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 389 | ORF9 | ORF62 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF62-ORF9 | VZV_ORF9-VZV_ORF62 | 17079304 |  |  | LC |
| 390 | ORF62 | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 2 | ORF62-ORF9a |  |  |  | redundant construct | red |
| 391 | ORF62 | ORF9aN | CN | 1 | red 2 | ORF62-ORF9a |  |  |  |  | perm-red |
| 392 | S/L C | ORF62 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF62-S/L |  |  |  |  |  |
| 393 | ORF63 | ORF63 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF63-ORF63 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 394 | ORF64 | ORF64 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF64-ORF64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 395 | ORF65 | ORF64 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF64-ORF65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 396 | ORF68C | ORF64 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF64-ORF68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 397 | ORF9 | ORF64 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF64-ORF9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 398 | ORF67C | ORF67C | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF67-ORF67 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 399 | ORF67C | ORF68C | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF67-ORF68 | HSV1_US7-HSV1_US8, VZV_ŌRF67-VZV_ORF68 | 7995945 | 18945783 |  | LC |
| 400 | ORF8 | ORF67C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF67-ORF8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 401 | ORF67C | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF67-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 402 | ORF7 | ORF7 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF7-ORF7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 403 | ORF8 | ORF8 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF8-ORF8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 404 | ORF9 | ORF9aN | CN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF9-ORF9a |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix



Supplementary Table S1: Y2H data - all interactions detected in combinatorial Y2H-screens.
Note that this table is redundant in certain ways. The list contains a total of 405 interactions which are all different in terms of interacting proteins. For example, the two interactions between ORF1 and ORF60C (Interactions \# 6 and 7) are listed separately because they involve different constructs (full-length ORF1 in \# 6 and an N-terminal fragment of ORF1 in \# 7). Such redundancies are indicated by "red" in the column PPIs. All interacting protein pairs irrespective of constructs are listed in VZV_pairs. This column is redundant because the same protein pairs may occur multiple times (as in interactions 6 and 7). Y2H signals that were clearly contaminations or clearly unspecific (i.e. appearing with many unrelated baits) were considered as false-positives and left out from this table. The protein interactions from this study can also be found in the IntAct database of the European Bioinformatics Institute. The interaction data is provided by the IMExconsortium (http://imex.sf.net) through IntAct (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/main.xhtml?conversationContext=1) and was given the Identifier IM-117118.

## Appendix

| Y2H Interaction Set |  |  |  | Literature-curated Interaction Set |  |  |  | Reference (SS: Small Scale Study; LS: Large Scale Study) | Reference Set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID | Prey_ID | Reference | Species | ORFA_ID | ORFB_ID | LC Reference (PMID) |  |  |
| VZV | ORF1N | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL5 | UL52 | 10075707;10501495 | 10075707;10501495 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF1N | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL30 | UL42 | 10998337;11333878;15157875;2173776;7964622;83 80085;8380091;8382792;8396660 | 10998337;11333878;15157875;2173776;7964622;8380085;8380 091;8382792;8396660 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF1 | ORF60C | this study | HSV-1 | UL9 | UL29 | 12758170;8397405 | 12758170;8397405 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF1N | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL19 | UL26 | 10497121;8661404 | 10497121;De Sai et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF1N | ORF62 | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL19 | UL26.5 | 10497121;8523566;8661404;8995652;9188587 | 10497121;8523566;De Sai et al. (LS);8995652;9188587 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF10 | ORF32 | this study | HSV-1 | UL52 | UL8 | 10501495;7931156;9344911 | 10501495;7931156;9344911 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF10 | ORF56C | this study | HSV-1 | UL34 | UL31 | 10627546;11507225;15731273 | Ye et al. (SS);Reynolds et al. (SS);Liang et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF11 | ORF13 | this study | HSV-1 | UL34 | UL19 | 10627546 | Ye et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF11 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL15 | UL28 | 11086131;17035316 | Abbotts et al. (SS);Jacobson et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF11 | ORF38 | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL15 | UL15 | 11086131 | Abbotts et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12 | ORF12 | this study | HSV-1 | UL28 | UL33 | 17035316 | Jacobson et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12 | ORF16 | this study | HSV-1 | UL25 | UL19 | 11152516 | 11152516 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12 | ORF24N | this study | HSV-1 | UL25 | UL38 | 11152516 | 11152516 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12C | ORF25 | this study | HSV-1 | US7 | US8 | 7995945 | Basu et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL26.5 | UL26.5 | 8661404;9188587 | De Sai et al. (LS);9188587 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12 | ORF27 | this study | HSV-1 | UL26.5 | UL26 | 8661404 | De Sai et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12C | ORF37N | this study | HSV-1 | UL41 | UL48 | 8139019;8642633;12584313;15564467 | 8139019;8642633;12584313;15564467 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12 | ORF38 | this study | HSV-1 | UL6 | UL15 | 12743292 | 12743292 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12 | ORF39 | this study | HSV-1 | UL6 | UL28 | 12743292 | 12743292 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12C | ORF42 | this study | HSV-1 | UL39 | UL40 | 1322407;3012359 | Filatov et al. (SS);Dutia et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12 | ORF42 | this study | HSV-1 | UL9 | UL42 | 9454723 | 9454723 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12 | ORF60C | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL9 | UL8 | 7931156 | 7931156 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF12 | ORF9 | this study | HSV-1 | UL9 | UL29 | 8397405 | 8397405 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF14 | ORF35 | this study | HSV-1 | UL8 | UL52 | 7931156;9344911 | 7931156;9344911 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF15F | ORF25 | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL48 | UL22 | 14675620;15857998 | 14675620;15857998 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF15N | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL12 | UL29 | 15078942 | 15078942 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF15 | ORF42 | this study | HSV-1 | UL12.5 | UL29 | 15078942 | 15078942 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF15F | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL54 | UL29 | 15582656 | 15582656 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF16 | ORF16 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL6 | UL26.5 | 12941896 | 12941896 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF16 | ORF38 | this study | HSV-1 | US6 | UL49 | 15659744 | 15659744 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF16 | ORF62 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL11 | UL16 | 16014918;18602131 | Vittone et al. (LS);Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF18C | this study | HSV-1 | UL36 | UL37 | 16014918;18602131 | Vittone et al. (LS);Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF18N | this study | HSV-1 | UL36 | UL48 | 16014918;18602131 | Vittone et al. (LS);Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF19 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL48 | 16014918;18602131 | Vittone et al. (LS);Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF23 | this study | HSV-1 | UL47 | UL48 | 16014918 | Vittone et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18N | ORF23 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL49 | UL48 | 16014918;16189010; 18602131;16160145 | Vittone et al. (LS);16189010;Lee et al. (LS);16160145 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF24N | this study | HSV-1 | US11 | US11 | 16014918;18602131 | Vittone et al. (LS);Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18N | ORF25 | this study | HSV-1 | UL37 | UL37 | 16014918;18602131 | Vittone et al. (LS);Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this | HSV-1 | UL49 | UL49 | 16014918;18602131 | Vittone et al. (LS);Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  |  |  | Literature-curated Interaction Set |  |  |  | Reference (SS: Small Scale Study; LS: Large Scale Study) | Reference Set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID | Prey_ID | Reference | Species | ORFA_ID | ORFB_ID | LC Reference (PMID) |  |  |
|  |  |  | study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL5 | UL8 | 7931156;9344911 | 7931156;9344911 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF26 | this study | HSV-1 | UL27 | UL27 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF37N | this study | HSV-1 | UL27 | UL48 | 7941326 | 7941326 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF41 | this study | HSV-1 | RS1 | RL2 | 7966607 | Yao et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF53 | this study | HSV-1 | RL2 | RL2 | 8151788;7966607 | Ciufo et al. (SS);Yao et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF56C | this study | HSV-1 | UL18 | UL38 | 8661404;18602131 | De Sai et al. (LS);Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF58 | this study | HSV-1 | UL54 | RS1 | 8995681 | Panagiotidis et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF60C | this study | HSV-1 | UL30 | UL8 | 9261356 | 9261356 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF68 | this study | HSV-1 | UL8 | UL29 | 9278436 | 9278436 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF68F | this study | HSV-1 | UL22 | UL1 | 10441558 | 10441558 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | ORF9a | this study | HSV-1 | UL13 | US8 | 9454715 | 9454715 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF18C | S/L C | this study | HSV-1 | UL18 | UL18 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF16 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL19 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF18C | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL54 | UL54 | 10329545 | Zhi et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF19 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL34 | US3 | 10627546 | Ye et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF24N | this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL18 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL25 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF26 | this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL38 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL19 | UL16 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF38 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL19 | UL21 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF39N | this study | HSV-1 | UL19 | UL48 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF41 | this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL11 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF42 | this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL14 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF48 | this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL16 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF53 | this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL21 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF57 | this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL37 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF58 | this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL48 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF60C | this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | UL51 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF62 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL35 | US3 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF68F | this study | HSV-1 | UL37 | UL38 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF19 | ORF9a | this study | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL18 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF2 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL19 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF2 | ORF56C | this study | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL25 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF21 | ORF22N | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL38 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF21 | ORF23 | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL4 | UL56 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF21 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL21 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF21 | ORF34 | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL37 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF21 | ORF42 | this study | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL49 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF21 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL46 | US3 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF23 | ORF18N | this study | HSV-1 | UL46 | US10 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF23 | ORF25 | this study | HSV-1 | UL49 | UL48 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  |  |  | Literature-curated Interaction Set |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID | Prey_ID | Reference | Species | ORFA_ID | ORFB_ID | LC Reference (PMID) | Reference (SS: Small Scale Study; LS: Large Scale Study) | Reference Set |
| VZV | ORF23 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL10 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF23 | ORF39N | Uetz et al. | HSV-1 | US9 | UL49 | 18602131 | Lee et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF23 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | EBV | BSLF1 | BBLF4 | 10580049 | 10580049 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF23 | ORF9 | this study | EBV | BSLF1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { BBLF2/BBLF } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 10580049 | 10580049 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF1N | this study | EBV | BBLF4 | BBLF2/BBLF <br> 3 | 10580049 | 10580049 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF15 | this study | EBV | BLRF1 | BBRF3 | 11070013 | Lake et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF16 | Uetz et al.; this study | EBV | BZLF1 | BBLF4 | 11507224;9765394 | 11507224;9765394 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF19 | this study | EBV | BZLF1 | BBLF2/BBLF <br> 3 | 9765394 | 9765394 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF21 | this study | EBV | BFRF1 | BFLF2 | 15003866;15731265;17446270 | Lake et al. (SS);Gonnella et al. (SS);Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VzV | ORF24N | ORF22N | Uetz et al. | EBV | BGLF5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { BBLF2/BBLF } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 15596820 | 15596820 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF23 | this study | EBV | BZLF1 | BMRF1 | 8764021 | 8764021 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF24N | this study | EBV | BSLF2 | BMLF1 | 9765385 | 9765385 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | EBV | EBNA1 | EBNA1 | 11684888;17446270 | 11684888;Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24 | ORF27 | Uetz et al.; this study | EBV | BZLF1 | BZLF1 | 10727769 | 10727769 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF27 | Uetz et al.; this study | EBV | BALF5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BBLF2/BBLF } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 10684269 | 10684269 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF34 | Uetz et al. | EBV | BALF5 | BSLF1 | 10684269 | 10684269 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF38 | this study | EBV | BALF5 | BBLF4 | 10684269 | 10684269 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24 | ORF39N | Uetz et al. | EBV | BXLF2 | BZLF2 | 7539502 | 7539502 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF39N | Uetz et al.; this study | EBV | BCRF1 | BCRF1 | 9159483 | 9159483 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF41 | this study | EBV | EBNA-LP | EBNA-LP | 11773378;14732686 | 11773378;14732686 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF42 | this study | EBV | BMRF1 | BMRF1 | 15286084 | Makhov et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24 | ORF46 | this study | EBV | BGLF5 | BMRF1 | 8396819 | 8396819 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF52 | Uetz et al. | EBV | EBNA-LP | EBNA2 | 14732686 | 14732686 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF53 | this study | EBV | BRLF1 | BRLF1 | 1645863 | 1645863 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF58 | this study | EBV | EBNA2 | EBNA2 | 8207803 | 8207803 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF62 | Uetz et al.; this study | EBV | BaRF1 | BaRF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF64 | this study | EBV | BcLF1 | BFRF3 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF66 | this study | EBV | BGLF2 | BSLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF24N | ORF9a | this study | EBV | BGLF4 | BXLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF25 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | EBV | BNRF1 | BLRF2 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF25 | ORF31N | this study | EBV | LF2 | BRLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF25 | ORF38 | Uetz et al. | EBV | LF2 | LF2 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF25 | ORF39N | Uetz et al. | EBV | BNRF2 | BLRF3 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF18C | this study | EBV | BPLF1 | BaRF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF18N | this study | EBV | BPLF1 | BPLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF19 | this study | EBV | EBNA2 | BDLF2 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF23 | this study | EBV | EBNA2 | EBNA3A | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF24N | this study | EBV | BZLF1 | EBNA2 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF25 | this study | EBV | EBNA3A | EBNA3A | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF26 | Uetz et al.; this | EBV | BORF2 | BcLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |

Appendix

| Y2H Interaction Set |  |  |  | Literature-curated Interaction Set |  |  |  | Reference (SS: Small Scale Study; LS: Large Scale Study) | Reference Set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID | Prey_ID | Reference | Species | ORFA_ID | ORFB_ID | LC Reference (PMID) |  |  |
|  |  |  | study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF38 | Uetz et al. | EBV | BRRF2 | LMP2A | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF53 | this study | EBV | EBNA3A | EBNA3C | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF56C | this study | EBV | EBNA3C | BXLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF60C | this study | EBV | BNLF2a | BNLF2a | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF68 | this study | EBV | BORF2 | BALF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF68F | this study | EBV | BXLF1 | BILF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | ORF9a | this study | EBV | BXLF1 | BRLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF26 | S/L C | this study | EBV | BBRF1 | BSRF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF27 | ORF21 | Uetz et al.; this study | EBV | BdRF1 | BALF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF27 | ORF24N | this study | EBV | BNRF1 | BaRF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF27 | ORF24 | Uetz et al. | EBV | BZLF2 | BTRF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF27 | ORF25 | this study | EBV | LMP1 | BKRF2 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF27 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | EBV | BILF1 | EBNA1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF27 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | EBV | BLLF1 | BBLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF28 | ORF18C | this study | EBV | BLLF2 | EBNA3B | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF28 | ORF18N | this study | EBV | LMP1 | BLLF2 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF28 | ORF25 | this study | EBV | LMP1 | BLRF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF28 | ORF26 | this study | EBV | BaRF1 | BLRF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF28 | ORF3 | this study | EBV | BILF1 | BaRF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF28 | ORF38 | this study | EBV | BILF1 | BXRF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF28 | ORF56C | this study | EBV | BMRF1 | EBNA3B | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF28 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | EBV | BNLF2b | BDLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF28 | ORF68C | this study | EBV | EBNA3B | BALF4 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF28 | ORF9a | this study | EBV | EBNA3B | BDLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF29 | ORF18C | this study | EBV | EBNA3C | EBNA1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF29 | ORF18N | this study | EBV | LMP1 | BcLF1 | 17446270 | Calderwood et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF29 | ORF25 | this study | MCMV | M123 | M112/113 | 15596821 | 15596821 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF29 | ORF26 | this study | MCMV | m139 | m141 | 12719548 | 12719548 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF29 | ORF56C | this study | MCMV | m142 | m143 | 17005694 | 17005694 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF29 | ORF60C | this study | MCMV | M53 | M50 | 17005637 | Schnee et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF29 | ORF65N | this study | MCMB | M45 | M48 | 19244336 | 19244336 | LC Whitehurst |
| VZV | ORF29 | ORF68F | this study | VZV | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { VZV_ORF6 } \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | VZV_ORF62 | 11483768;14722273;12429517 | 11483768;14722273;12429517 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF29 | ORF9a | this study | VZV | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { VZV_ORF3 } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | VZV_ORF60 | 7618278 | 7618278 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF1N | this study | VZV | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { VZV_ORF6 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | VZV_ORF66 | 16439528 | 16439528 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF16 | Uetz et al. | VZV | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { VZV_ORF6 } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | VZV_ORF68 | 18945783 | Berarducci et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF21 | this study | VZV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VZV_ORF6 } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | VZV_ORF68 | 18945783 | Berarducci et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF23 | this study | VZV | VZV_ORF4 | VZV_ORF62 | 10873781 | Spengler et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | VZV_ORF9 | VZV_ORF62 | 17079304 | Cilloniz et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF28 | this study | VZV | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { VZV_ORF3 } \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | VZV_ORF68 | 14990707 | 14990707 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF3 | this study | VZV | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { VZV_ORF4 } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | VZV_ORF30 | 17868947 | 17868947 | LC Fossum et al. |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  |  |  | Literature-curated Interaction Set |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID | Prey_ID | Reference | Species | ORFAID | ORFB_ID | LC Reference (PMID) | Reference (SS: Small Scale Study; LS: Large Scale Study) | Reference Set |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF34 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 59 | 9 | 11069986 | 11069986 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF38 | this study | KSHV | K8 | 50 | 12502859 | 12502859 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF39N | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 25 | 65 | 12634386 | 12634386 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF41 | this study | KSHV | 73 | 50 | 15163750 | 15163750 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF46 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 57 | 50 | 15269354 | Malik et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF53 | this study | KSHV | 59 | 59 | 15075322 | Chen et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF60C | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 50 | 50 | 12915555 | 12915555 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF3 | ORF62 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K12 | K12 | 11336706 | 11336706 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF30 | ORF25 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 57 | 57 | 15269354 | Malik et al. (SS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF31C | ORF25 | this study | KSHV | K8 | K8 | 15919946 | 15919946 | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF31 | ORF45 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 11 | 21 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF31 | ORF61 | this study | KSHV | 11 | 47 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF32 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 11 | 53 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF32 | ORF38 | this study | KSHV | 21 | 25 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF32 | ORF39N | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 21 | 62 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF12C | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 21 | 21 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF16 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 21 | 64 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF18N | this study | KSHV | 21 | 75 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF21 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 21 | 22 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF22N | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 21 | 47 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF23 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 21 | 53 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 27 | 39 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF26 | this study | KSHV | 27 | 22 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF27 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 27 | 47 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF3 | this study | KSHV | 27 | 53 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF33 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 33 | 52 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF33.5 | this study | KSHV | 33 | 28 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF41 | this study | KSHV | 33 | 39 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF53 | this study | KSHV | 33 | 22 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF56C | this study | KSHV | 33 | 53 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF56 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 45 | 26 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF60C | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 45 | 62 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF66 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 45 | 65 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33 | ORF68F | this study | KSHV | 45 | 11 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF12C | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 45 | 21 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF18C | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 45 | 27 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF18N | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 45 | 33 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF21 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 45 | 63 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VzV | ORF33.5 | ORF22N | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 45 | 64 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  |  |  | Literature-curated Interaction Set |  |  |  | Reference (SS: Small Scale Study; LS: Large Scale Study) | Reference Set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID | Prey_ID | Reference | Species | ORFA_ID | ORFB_ID | LC Reference (PMID) |  |  |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 45 | 75 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 45 | 39 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF33 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 45 | 22 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF41 | this study | KSHV | 45 | 47 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF56 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 45 | 53 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 52 | 26 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF33.5 | ORF66 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 52 | 45 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF34 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 52 | 64 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF35 | ORF41 | this study | KSHV | 52 | 75 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF36 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 52 | 39 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF36 | ORF36 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 52 | 47 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF36 | S/L C | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 52 | 53 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF37N | ORF16 | this study | KSHV | 63 | 21 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF38 | ORF16 | this study | KSHV | 63 | 45 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF38 | ORF22N | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 63 | 64 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF38 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 63 | 47 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF38 | ORF44 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 63 | 53 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF38 | ORF61 | this study | KSHV | 64 | 25 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF39N | ORF17 | this study | KSHV | 64 | 26 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF39N | ORF23 | this study | KSHV | 64 | 62 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF39N | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | KSHV | 64 | 11 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF39N | ORF26 | this study | KSHV | 64 | 33 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF39N | ORF37N | this study | KSHV | 64 | 64 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF39N | ORF38 | this study | KSHV | 64 | 75 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF39 | ORF39 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 64 | 28 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF39N | ORF50 | this study | KSHV | 64 | 39 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF39N | ORF57 | this study | KSHV | 64 | 22 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF39 | ORF68C | this study | KSHV | 64 | 47 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF4 | ORF20 | this study | KSHV | 75 | 62 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF4 | ORF27 | this study | KSHV | 75 | 39 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF4 | ORF28 | this study | KSHV | 75 | 53 | 18321973 | Rozen et al. (LS) | LC Fossum et al. |
| VZV | ORF4 | ORF38 | Uetz et al.; this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF4 | ORF4 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF4 | ORF41 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF4 | ORF62 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF4 | ORF9aN | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF41 | ORF18C | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF41 | ORF22N | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF41 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF41 | ORF39N | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF41 | ORF56 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF41 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF16 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  |  |  | Literature-curated Interaction Set |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID | Prey_ID | Reference | Species | ORFA_ID | ORFB_ID | LC Reference (PMID) | Reference (SS: Small Scale Study; LS: Large Scale Study) | Reference Set |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF21 | Uetz et al.; this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF24N | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF26 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF34 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF38 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF39N | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF41 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF42 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF53 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF60C | Uetz et al.; this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF68F | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF42 | ORF9a | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF1N | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF18N | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF19 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF21 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF22N | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF25 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF26 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF56C | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VzV | ORF43 | ORF65N | Uetz et al.; this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF9 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF43 | ORF9a | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF44 | ORF25 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF44 | ORF38 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VZV | ORF44 | ORF49 | this study |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  |
| VZV | ORF44 | ORF53 | this study | VZV | ORF62 | ORF9a | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF25 | this study |
| VZV | ORF44 | ORF61 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF62 | ORF9aN | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF26 | this study |
| VZV | ORF44 | ORF62 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF63 | ORF63 | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF32 | this study |
| VZV | ORF46 | ORF38 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF64 | ORF14N | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF37N | this study |
| VZV | ORF48 | ORF25 | this study | VZV | ORF64 | ORF16 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF38 | this study |
| VZV | ORF49 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF64 | ORF21 | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF42 | this study |
| VZV | ORF49 | ORF38 | this study | VZV | ORF64 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF62 | this study |
| VZV | ORF49 | ORF44 | this study | VZV | ORF64 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF64 | this study |
| VZV | ORF50C | ORF25 | this study | VZV | ORF64 | ORF64 | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF9aN | this study |
| VZV | ORF50 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF65 | ORF12C | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9a | ORF22N | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF50C | ORF27 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF65 | ORF18C | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9a | ORF23 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF50C | ORF33.5 | this study | VZV | ORF65 | ORF18N | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9a | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study |
| VZV | ORF50C | ORF50C | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF65 | ORF21 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9aN | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study |
| VZV | ORF50C | ORF61 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF65 | ORF22N | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9a | ORF27 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF50C | ORF62 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF65 | ORF23 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9a | ORF39N | this study |
| VZV | ORF50C | ORF66 | this study | VZV | ORF65 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF9aN | ORF42 | this study |
| VZV | ORF50 | ORF9a | this study | VZV | ORF65N | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF9a | ORF56 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF51 | ORF25 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF65 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9a | ORF60C | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF52 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF65N | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9a | ORF9a | this study |
| VZV | ORF53 | ORF7 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF65 | ORF35 | this study | VZV | S/L | ORF25 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF55 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF65N | ORF38 | this study | VZV | S/L | ORF36 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF55 | ORF61 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF65 | ORF39N | Uetz et al. | VZV | S/L C | ORF62 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF57 | ORF10 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF65N | ORF39N | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF25 | this study |
| VZV | ORF57 | ORF18N | this study | VZV | ORF65N | ORF43 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF26 | this study |
| VZV | ORF57 | ORF24N | this study | VZV | ORF65 | ORF56 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF32 | this study |
| VZV | ORF57 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF65 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF37N | this study |
| VZV | ORF57 | ORF38 | this study | VZV | ORF65N | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF38 | this study |
| VZV | ORF57 | ORF39N | this study | VZV | ORF65 | ORF64 | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF42 | this study |
| VZV | ORF57 | ORF42 | this study | VZV | ORF67C | ORF24N | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF62 | this study |
| VZV | ORF57 | ORF46 | this study | VZV | ORF67 | ORF25 | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF64 | this study |
| VZV | ORF57 | ORF62 | this study | VZV | ORF67C | ORF25 | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF9aN | this study |
| VZV | ORF57 | ORF9a | this study | VZV | ORF67C | ORF38 | this study | VZV | ORF9a | ORF22N | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF58 | ORF16 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF67C | ORF67C | this study | VZV | ORF9a | ORF23 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF58 | ORF18N | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF67C | ORF68C | this study | VZV | ORF9a | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study |
| VZV | ORF58 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF67C | ORF9a | this study | VZV | ORF9aN | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study |
| VZV | ORF58 | ORF38 | this study | VZV | ORF68F | ORF15 | this study | VZV | ORF9a | ORF27 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF58 | ORF39N | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF68 | ORF22N | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9a | ORF39N | this study |
| VZV | ORF58 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF68 | ORF23 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9aN | ORF42 | this study |
| VZV | ORF59 | ORF25 | this study | VZV | ORF68F | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF9a | ORF56 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF6 | ORF13 | this study | VZV | ORF68C | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VZV | ORF9a | ORF60C | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF6 | ORF25 | this study | VZV | ORF68F | ORF26 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9a | ORF9a | this study |
| VZV | ORF60C | ORF23 | this study | VZV | ORF68 | ORF27 | Uetz et al. | VZV | S/L | ORF25 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF60C | ORF24N | this study | VZV | ORF68F | ORF39N | Uetz et al. | VZV | S/L | ORF36 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF60C | ORF25 | this study | VZV | ORF68 | ORF60C | Uetz et al. | VZV | S/L C | ORF62 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF60C | ORF26 | this study | VZV | ORF68C | ORF64 | this study | VZV | ORF9 | ORF25 | this study |
| VZV | ORF60C | ORF53 | this study | VZV | ORF7 | ORF23 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF26 | this study |
| VZV | ORF60C | ORF56C | this study | VZV | ORF7 | ORF7 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF32 | this study |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  |
| VZV | ORF60C | ORF60C | this study | VzV | ORF8 | ORF16 | this study | VzV | ORF9 | ORF37N | this study |
| VZV | ORF60C | ORF60 | this study | VZV | ORF8 | ORF19 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF38 | this study |
| VZV | ORF61 | ORF61 | Uetz et al. | VzV | ORF8 | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study | VzV | ORF9 | ORF42 | this study |
| VZV | ORF62 | ORF18C | this study | VzV | ORF8 | ORF34 | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF62 | this study |
| VZV | ORF62 | ORF25 | this study | VzV | ORF8 | ORF39N | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF64 | this study |
| VZV | ORF62 | ORF26 | this study | VzV | ORF8 | ORF67C | Uetz et al. | VZV | ORF9 | ORF9aN | this study |
| VZV | ORF62 | ORF56C | this study | VzV | ORF8 | ORF8 | this study | VZV | ORF9a | ORF22N | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF62 | ORF60C | this study | VzV | ORF9 | ORF15 | this study | VZV | ORF9a | ORF23 | Uetz et al. |
| VZV | ORF62 | ORF68F | this study | VzV | ORF9 | ORF23 | this study | VzV | ORF9a | ORF25 | Uetz et al.; this study |
| HSV-1 | RL2 | UL49 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL40 | UL37 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M1 | M88 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL2 | UL12 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL40 | UL40 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M3 | M9 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL2 | UL14 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL40 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M3 | M10 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL2 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL43 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M3 | M50 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL2 | UL37 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL43 | UL40 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M3 | M55 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL2 | UL40 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL43 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M3 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL2 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL43 | UL49A | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M3 | M80 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL3 | UL4 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL43 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M3 | M106 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL7 | UL7 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL43 | US2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M3 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL7 | UL14 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL44 | UL17 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M3 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL7 | UL15 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL44 | UL21 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M7 | M125 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL7 | UL16 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL44 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M7 | M163 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL7 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL44 | UL40 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M7 | M164 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL7 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL45 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M7 | M169 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL7 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL45 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M8 | M50 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL7 | US2 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M10 | M95 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL9 | UL15 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M11 | M26 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL9 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL46 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M11 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL9 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL47 | UL14 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M11 | M87 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL10 | UL49A | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL47 | UL15 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M11 | M93 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL10 | US8A | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL47 | UL17 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M11 | M125 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL11 | UL16 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL47 | UL21 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M11 | M126 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL14 | UL14 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL47 | UL40 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M11 | M162 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL16 | UL14 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL47 | UL48 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M11 | M168 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL16 | UL21 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL47 | UL49 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M14 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL16 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL47 | US1 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M3 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL17 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL47 | US11 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M9 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL17 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL49 | UL49 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M10 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL21 | UL20 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL49 | US8 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M13 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL23 | UL40 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL49A | UL15 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M14 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL23 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL49A | UL33 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL26 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL49A | UL49A | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M55 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL26 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL53 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL28 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL53 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M106 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL28 | UL40 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL54 | UL54 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M107 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL28 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL55 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL28 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL55 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL28 | US2 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL55 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M126 | Fossum et al. |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  |
| HSV-1 | UL30 | UL14 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL56 | UL21 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M163 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL30 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL56 | UL49 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M164 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL30 | UL40 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL56 | US1 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M17 | M169 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL30 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | UL56 | US11 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M18 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL30 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US1 | US7 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M18 | M163 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL31 | UL34 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US2 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M20 | M40 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL31 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US2 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M20 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL33 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US2 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL34 | UL14 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US2 | US2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL36 | UL48 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US4 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M87 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL38 | UL14 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US5 | UL43 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M88 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL38 | UL18 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US8 | UL53 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M93 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL38 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US10 | UL14 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M107 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL38 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US10 | UL23 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M125 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL38 | UL48 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US10 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M126 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL40 | UL14 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US10 | UL45 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M128 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL40 | UL15 | Fossum et al. | HSV-1 | US11 | US11 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M134 | Fossum et al. |
| HSV-1 | UL40 | UL33 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M43 | M103 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M22 | M142 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M22 | M144 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M43 | M144 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M84 | M33 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M22 | M162 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M43 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M85 | M50 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M22 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M45 | M45 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M85 | M77 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M22 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M45 | M48 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M85 | M85 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M23.1 | M29.1 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M45 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M86 | M48.1 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M23.1 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M45 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M87 | M163 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M23.1 | M87 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M45 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M87 | M164 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M23.1 | M88 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M48 | M10 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M89 | M93 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M25.1a | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M48 | M94 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M35 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M25.2 | M69 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M48 | M137 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M51 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M26 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M48.1 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M26 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M48.2 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M88 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M28 | M106 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M48.2 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M93 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M28 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M48.2 | M86 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M103 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M28 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M48.2 | M87 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M107 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M29.1 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M48.2 | M91 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M30 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M48.2 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M144 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M50 | M10 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M168 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M50 | M14 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M169 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M97 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M50 | M55 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M90 | M29.1 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M106 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M50 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M50 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M107 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M50 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M51 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M127 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M50 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M144 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M50 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M77 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M162 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M50 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M85 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M29.1 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M51 | M48 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M87 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M30 | M106 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M51 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M88 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M30 | M144 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M51 | M54 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M93 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M32 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M51 | M97 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M106 | Fossum et al. |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  |
| MCMV | M35 | M106 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M51 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M107 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M35 | M144 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M52 | M75 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M93 | M25.1a | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M36 | M25.1a | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M52 | M97 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M94 | M99 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M36 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M53 | M50 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M95 | M51 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M36 | M144 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M53 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M97 | M54 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M36 | M163 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M53 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M97 | M95 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M36 | M164 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M55 | M125 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M98 | M97 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M36 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M55 | M163 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M98 | M106 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M36 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M55 | M164 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M98 | M114 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M37 | M10 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M56 | M1 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M99 | M10 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M37 | M55 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M56 | M14 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M99 | M35 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M37 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M69 | M69 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M99 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M37 | M144 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M69 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M99 | M90 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M37 | M163 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M69 | M89 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M99 | M106 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M37 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M72 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M99 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M40 | M106 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M73 | M55 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M100 | M26 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M40 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M73 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M100 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M40 | M146 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M73 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M100 | M51 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M40 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M73 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M100 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M41 | M9 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M77 | M48 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M100 | M73.5 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M41 | M50 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M77 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M100 | M87 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M41 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M77 | M88 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M100 | M168 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M41 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M77 | M103 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M103 | M51 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M41 | M147 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M77 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M103 | M53 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M43 | M29.1 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M77 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M103 | M89 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M43 | M87 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M80 | M80 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M103 | M97 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M43 | M88 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M84 | M23.1 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M108 | M25.1a | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M43 | M93 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M120 | M144 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M108 | M26 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M108 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M120 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M93 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M108 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M120 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M107 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M108 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M124 | M10 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M124.1 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M108 | M87 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M124 | M106 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M126 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M108 | M88 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M124 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M128 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M108 | M93 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M124 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M136 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M108 | M103 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M124.1 | M50 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M141 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M3 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M125 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M168 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M9 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M125 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M142 | M126 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M10 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M125 | M163 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M142 | M128 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M13 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M125 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M142 | M143 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M14 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M125 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M142 | M161 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M55 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M126 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M142 | M168 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M91 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M126 | M87 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M144 | M144 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M106 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M126 | M88 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M146 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M126 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M147 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M126 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M150 | M40 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M163 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M127 | M78 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M150 | M136 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M164 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M127 | M88 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M150 | M169 | Fossum et al. |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  |
| MCMV | M117.1 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M127 | M93 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M9 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M26 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M127 | M103 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M10 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M130 | M71 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M13 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M51 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M131 | M26 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M14 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M131 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M87 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M131 | M134 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M51 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M131 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M55 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M152 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M131 | M87 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M155 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M134 | M9 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M87 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M162 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M134 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M163 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M134 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.1 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M134 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M151 | M162 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M10 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M135 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M154 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M12 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M154 | M169 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M14 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M3 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M155 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M55 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M9 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M3 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M71 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M10 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M10 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M12 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M13 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M91 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M13 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M14 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M106 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M14 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M55 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M20 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M91 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M125 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M29.1 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M106 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M128 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M30 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M136 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M40 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M163 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M164 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M164 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.2 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M55 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M159 | M169 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.3 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M161 | M10 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.4 | M29.1 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M107 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M161 | M13 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.4 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M161 | M55 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.4 | M152 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M161 | M106 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.4 | M155 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M119.5 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M161 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.5 | M87 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M164 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M162 | M26 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.5 | M88 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M138 | M169 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M162 | M48.2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.5 | M162 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M139 | M26 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M162 | M72 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M119.5 | M168 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M50 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M162 | M88 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M120 | M125 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M72 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M162 | M125 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M120 | M126 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M140 | M88 | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M162 | M126 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M120 | M127 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BARF1 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | MCMV | M162 | M134 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M162 | M162 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF1 | BGLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFLF1 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M162 | M168 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF1 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFLF1 | BFLF1 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M163 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF2 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFLF1 | BFLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M163 | M119.3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF2 | BARF0 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFLF1 | BGLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M163 | M163 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF2 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFLF1 | BORF1 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M163 | M169 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF2 | BFLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFLF2 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M168 | M119.2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF2 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFLF2 | BCRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| MCMV | M170 | M78 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF2 | BORF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFLF2 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | A73 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF3 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFLF2 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  |
| EBV | A73 | BARF0 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF4 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFLF2 | BGLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | A73 | BBLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBLF4 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF1 | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | A73 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBRF1 | BMLF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF1 | BFLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | A73 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBRF2 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF1 | BFRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | A73 | BGLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBRF3 | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF1 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | A73 | BGLF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBRF3 | BFRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF3 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | A73 | BGLF5 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BBRF3 | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF4 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | A73 | BLLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BcLF1 | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF4 | BBRF3 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | A73 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BCRF1 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF4 | BCRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF1 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDLF2 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF4 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF1 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDLF2 | BARF0 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF4 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF1 | BGLF5 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDLF2 | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BFRF4 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF1 | BSRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDLF2 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BGLF1 | BARF0 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF1 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDLF2 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BGLF1 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF2 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDLF2 | BGLF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BGLF1 | BFRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF2 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDLF2 | BSRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BGLF1 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF3 | BGLF5 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDLF3 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | EBV | BGLF1 | BLLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF3 | BORF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BGLF1 | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF3 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BARF0 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BGLF1 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BBLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BGLF1 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BARF0 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BBRF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BHRF1 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BBLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BCRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BHRF1 | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BBRF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BHRF1 | BFRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BCRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BHRF1 | BFRF3 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BDLF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BGLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BHRF1 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BGLF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BHRF1 | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BFLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BGLF5 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BHRF1 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BLLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BKRF2 | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BGLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BMLF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BKRF2 | BFRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BGLF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BdRF1 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BKRF2 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BGLF5 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDRF1 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BKRF2 | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BKRF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDRF1 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BLLF1 | BLLF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BLLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDRF1 | BGLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BLLF1 | BLLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BLRF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDRF1 | BGLF5 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BLLF1 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BOLF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BDRF1 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BLRF1 | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BORF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BLRF1 | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BPLF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BARF0 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BLRF1 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BSRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BBLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BLRF2 | BLRF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BLRF2 | EBNA-LP | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BFLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BMRF2 | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF4 | EBNA-LP | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BMRF2 | BFRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF5 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BGLF3 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BMRF2 | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF5 | BARF0 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BGLF5 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BNLF2a | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF5 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BMLF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BNLF2a | BFRF1 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF5 | BGLF2 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BORF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BNLF2a | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF5 | BNRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | EBV | BNLF2b | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BALF5 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | EBV | BERF3 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | EBV | BNRF1 | BBLF1 | Fossum et al. |


| Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  |
| EBV | BARFO | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 23 | 28 | Uetz et al. | EBV | BNRF1 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. |
| EBV | BNRF1 | BLRF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 23 | 29b | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 65 | 63 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BNRF1 | EBNA-LP | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 23 | 30 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 67.5 | 9 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BOLF1 | BPLF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 23 | 45 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 67.5 | 23 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 23 | 57 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 67.5 | 28 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | BARFO | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 23 | 60 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 67.5 | 29b | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | BBRF3 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 23 | 63 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 67.5 | 34 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | BCRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 23 | K09 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 67.5 | 59 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 25 | 65 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 67.5 | 60 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | BFLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 27 | 58 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 67.5 | 63 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 28 | 28 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 67.5 | 69 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | BHRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 28 | 29b | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 67.5 | 75 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | BPLF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 28 | 30 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 68 | 9 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 28 | K11 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 68 | 29b | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BPLF1 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 29b | 50 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 68 | 57 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BRLF1 | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 29b | 54 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 68 | 59 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BSRF1 | BARFO | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 29b | 72 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 68 | 60 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BSRF1 | BBRF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 29b | K08.1 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 68 | 75 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BSRF1 | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 29b | K10.5 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 72 | 37 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BSRF1 | BFLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 30 | 29b | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 74 | 27 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BSRF1 | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 31 | 30 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 74 | 29b | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BSRF1 | BGLF5 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 31 | 31 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K03 | 53 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BSRF1 | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 31 | 41 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K03 | 60 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BSRF1 | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 31 | 67.5 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K03 | K03 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BTRF1 | BLRF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 31 | 68 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K03 | K07 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BTRF1 | EBNA-LP | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 31 | K11 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K05 | 6 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BXRF1 | BMLF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 36 | 48 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K05 | 28 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BZLF1 | BGLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 36 | 56 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K05 | 34 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BZLF1 | BSRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 36 | 61 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K05 | 53 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BZLF2 | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 37 | K08 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K05 | 59 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BZLF2 | BLLF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 39 | 9 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K05 | 60 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | BZLF2 | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 41 | 9 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K07 | 74 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | EBNA3C | BBLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 41 | 28 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K07 | K05 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | EBNA3C | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 41 | 29b | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K08 | 57 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | EBNA3C | BLLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 41 | 63 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K08 | 60 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | EBNA-LP | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 45 | 36 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K08.1 | 75 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | EBNA-LP | BFRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 45 | 50 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K09 | 63 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | EBNA-LP | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 45 | 72 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K09 | 69 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LF2 | LF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 47 | 9 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 2 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP1 | BcLF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 50 | 57 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 9 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP1 | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 50 | 75 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 28 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP1 | BFRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 52 | 34 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 29b | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP1 | BNLF2a | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 52 | 49 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 31 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP2A | BALF3 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 52 | 52 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 37 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP2A | BARFO | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 52 | 57 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 39 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP2A | BDRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 52 | 59 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 41 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP2A | BFLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 52 | 60 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 47 | Uetz et al. |

## Appendix

| Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference | Y2H Interaction Set |  | Prey_ID | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  | Species | Bait_ID |  |  |
| EBV | LMP2A | BFRF4 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 52 | 69 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 49 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP2A | BLLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 54 | 36 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 59 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP2A | BTRF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 54 | 62 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 60 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP2A | EBNA3A | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 56 | 60 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 61 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | LMP2B | BDLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 57 | 57 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 67.5 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | RPMS1 | BBLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 60 | 60 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | 68 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | RPMS1 | BGLF3 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 60 | K01 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10 | K12 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | RPMS1 | BLLF2 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 61 | 57 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10.5 | 56 | Uetz et al. |
| EBV | RPMS1 | BMLF1 | Fossum et al. | KSHV | 61 | 60 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K10.5 | 75 | Uetz et al. |
| KSHV | 6 | 52 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | 61 | 61 | Uetz et al. | KSHV | K11 | 34 | Uetz et al. |
| KSHV | 6 | K15 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  | KSHV | K11 | 29b | Uetz et al. |
| KSHV | K11 | 59 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KSHV | K11 | 60 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KSHV | K11 | 61 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KSHV | K11 | 69 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KSHV | K12 | 29b | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KSHV | K12 | 60 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KSHV | K12 | K12 | Uetz et al. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Supplementary Table S2: List of all published PPIs
All PPIs: Interactions of this study combined with all previously published interactions among herpesviral proteins which were used as reference set.

## Appendix

| VZV_bait | VZV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | VZV_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID | PMID | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF18C | ORF26 | CC | 1 | 3 | ORF18-ORF26 |  |  |  | KSHV_68-KSHV_60, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| ORF18C | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF18-ORF9a | EBV_BaRF1-EBV_BLRF1 | 17446270 |  |  | LC |
| ORF28 | ORF18C | CC | 1 | 2 | ORF18-ORF28 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { HSV1_UL30-HSV1_UL40, } \\ \text { redundant construct } \end{array} \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y2H red |
| ORF28 | ORF26 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF26-ORF28 |  |  |  | KSHV_68-KSHV_9 | Y2H |
| ORF42 | ORF53 | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF42-ORF53 |  |  |  | HSV1 UL7-HSV1 UL15, MCMV M103-MCMV M89 | Y2H |
| ORF42 | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 2 | ORF42-ORF9a |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { HSV1_UL49A-HSV1_UL15, } \\ \text { redundant construct } \end{array} \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | Y2H red |
| ORF50 | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF50-ORF9a | EBV_BLRF1-EBV_BBRF3 | 11070013 |  | HSV1_UL10-HSV1_UL49A | LC Y2H |
| ORF60C | ORF24N | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF60 |  |  |  | EBV_BKRF2-EBV_BFRF1 | Y2H |
| ORF67C | ORF68C | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF67-ORF68 | HSV1 US7-HSV1 US8, VZV_ORF67-VZV_ORF68 | 7995945 | 18945783 |  | LC |
| ORF9a | ORF9a | CC | 1 | 1 | ORF9a-ORF9a |  |  |  | HSV1_UL49A-HSV1_UL49A | Y2H |
| ORF12 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF38 | HSV1_UL46-HSV1_UL21 | 18602131 |  |  | LC |
| ORF18C | ORF18C | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF18-ORF18 | EBV_BaRF1-EBV_BaRF1 | 17446270 |  | HSV1_UL40-HSV1_UL40, KSHV_60-KSHV_60, redundant construct | LC Y2H red |
| ORF24 | ORF46 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF46 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL34-HSV1_UL14 | Y2H |
| ORF24N | ORF66 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF24-ORF66 | HSV1_UL34-HSV1_US3 | 10627546 |  |  | LC |
| ORF25 | ORF31N | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF25-ORF31 |  |  |  | EBV BALF4-EBV BFRF4, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| ORF33 | ORF33.5 | CN | 1 | 2 | ORF33-ORF33.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { HSV1_UL26.5- } \\ & \text { HSV1_UL26 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8661404 |  | redundant direction | LC red |
| ORF39N | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF39 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL21-HSV1_UL20 | Y2H |
| ORF4 | ORF4 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF4-ORF4 | HSV1_UL54-HSV1_UL54, KSHV_57-KSHV_57 | 10329545 | 15269354 | HSV1 UL54-HSV1 UL54, MCMV̄_M69-MCMV__M69, KSHV_57-KSHV_57 | LC Y2H |
| ORF42 | ORF42 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF42-ORF42 | HSV1_UL15-HSV1_UL15 | 11086131 |  |  | LC |
| ORF49 | ORF38 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF38-ORF49 |  |  |  | EBV_BBLF1-EBV_BTRF1 | Y2H |
| ORF50C | ORF33.5 | CN | 1 | 1 | ORF33.5-ORF50 |  |  |  | EBV_BdRF1-EBV_BBRF3 | Y2H |
| ORF24N | ORF24N | CN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF24-ORF24 |  |  |  | EBV_BFRF1-EBV_BFRF1 | Y2H |
| ORF28 | ORF25 | CN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF28 |  |  |  | HSV1 UL30-HSV1 UL33, MCMV_M51-MCMV_M54, EBV BALF5-EBV BFRF4, KSHV_67.5-KSHV_9 | Y2H |
| ORF29 | ORF25 | CN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF29 |  |  |  | EBV_BALF2-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| ORF43 | ORF25 | CN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF43 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL17-HSV1 UL33, MCMV_M93-MCMV_M51, EBV BGLF1-EBV BFRF4 | Y2H |
| ORF12 | ORF9 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF12-ORF9 | HSV1_UL46-HSV1_UL49 | 18602131 |  |  | LC |

Appendix

| VZV_bait | VZV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | VZV_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID | PMID | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF23 | ORF25 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF23-ORF25 |  |  |  | MCMV_M48.2-MCMV_M51, EBV_BFRF3-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| ORF44 | ORF49 | NC | 1 | 2 | ORF44-ORF49 | HSV1_UL11-HSV1_UL16 | 16014918 | 18602131 | HSV1 UL11-HSV1 UL16, MCMV_M94-MCMV_M99, EBV BBELF1-EBV BGLF2, redundant direction | LC Y2H red |
| ORF44 | ORF53 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF44-ORF53 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL7-HSV1_UL16 | Y2H |
| ORF59 | ORF25 | NC | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF59 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL2-HSV1_UL33 | Y2H |
| ORF24N | ORF41 | NC CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF24-ORF41 |  |  |  | MCMV_M85-MCMV_M50 | Y2H |
| ORF27 | ORF25 | NC CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF25-ORF27 |  |  |  | MCMV M53-MCMV M51, EBV_BFLF2-EBV_BFRF4, KSHV 67.5-KSHV 69 | Y2H |
| ORF60C | ORF25 | NC CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF25-ORF60 |  |  |  | EBV_BKRF2-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| ORF11 | ORF38 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF11-ORF38 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL47-HSV1_UL21 | Y2H |
| ORF15F | ORF25 | NN | 1 | 2 | ORF15-ORF25 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL43-HSV1_UL33, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| ORF21 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF22 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { HSV1_UL36-HSV1_UL37, } \\ & \text { KSHV_63-KSHV_64 } \end{aligned}$ | 16014918 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 18602131 ; \\ & 18321973 \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | EBV_BOLF1-EBV_BPLF1 | LC Y2H |
| ORF21 | ORF23 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF23 | HSV1_UL35-HSV1_UL37 | 18602131 |  | KSHV_65-KSHV_63 | LC Y2H |
| ORF21 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF21-ORF60 | KSHV_63-KSHV_47 | 18321973 |  |  | LC |
| ORF25 | ORF38 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF38 |  |  |  | EBV_BFRF4-EBV_BTRF1, KSHV $67.5-\mathrm{KSHV} 23$ | Y2H |
| ORF28 | ORF60C | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF28-ORF60 |  |  |  | KSHV_47-KSHV_9 | Y2H |
| ORF30 | ORF25 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF30 | HSV1_UL28-HSV1_UL33 | 17035316 |  | HSV1 UL28-HSV1 UL33, EBV BFRF4-EBV BALF3 | LC Y2H |
| ORF33.5 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF33.5 |  |  |  | EBV_BPLF1-EBV_BDRF1 | Y2H |
| ORF33.5 | ORF27 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF27-ORF33.5 |  |  |  | EBV_BFLF2-EBV_BDRF1 | Y2H |
| ORF36 | ORF36 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF36-ORF36 | KSHV_21-KSHV_21 | 18321973 |  |  | LC |
| ORF38 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF38 |  |  |  | EBV_BPLF1-EBV_BTRF1 | Y2H |
| ORF4 | ORF62 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF4-ORF62 | HSV1 UL54-HSV1_RS1, VZV_O-RF4-VZV_ORF62 | 8995681 | 10873781 |  | LC |
| ORF41 | ORF22N | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF22-ORF41 | KSHV_64-KSHV_26 | 18321973 |  |  | LC |
| ORF44 | ORF25 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF44 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL16-HSV1_UL33 | Y2H |
| ORF51 | ORF25 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF25-ORF51 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL9-HSV1_UL33 | Y2H |
| ORF53 | ORF7 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF53-ORF7 |  |  |  | EBV_BSRF1-EBV_BBRF2 | Y2H |
| ORF61 | ORF61 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF61-ORF61 | HSV1_RL2-HSV1_RL2 | 8151788 | 7966607 |  | LC |
| ORF7 | ORF23 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF23-ORF7 | HSV1_UL35-HSV1_UL51 | 18602131 |  |  | LC |
| ORF8 | ORF19 | NN | 1 | 1 | ORF19-ORF8 |  |  |  | MCMV_M45-MCMV_M72 | Y2H |
| ORF26 | ORF26 | NN CC | 2 | 1 | ORF26-ORF26 |  |  |  | EBV_BFLF1-EBV_BFLF1 | Y2H |
| ORF18C | ORF19 | NN CN | 2 | 2 | ORF18-ORF19 | HSV1_UL39-HSV1_UL40 | 1322407 | 3012359 | KSHV 61-KSHV 60, redundant construct | LC Y2H red |

Appendix

| VZV_bait | VZV_prey | permutation | perm_count | PPIs | VZV_pairs | LC_interologs | PMID | PMID | Y2H_interolog | verification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF19 | ORF19 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF19-ORF19 |  |  |  | MCMV_M45-MCMV_M45, KSHV_61-KSHV_61 | Y2H |
| ORF4 | ORF38 | NN CN | 2 | 1 | ORF38-ORF4 |  |  |  | KSHV_23-KSHV_57 | Y2H |
| ORF12 | ORF25 | NN CN CC | 3 | 2 | ORF12-ORF25 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL46-HSV1_UL33, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| ORF16 | ORF16 | NN CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF16-ORF16 | EBV BMRF1- <br> EBV_BMRF1, KSHV_59- <br> KSHV 59 | 15286084 | 15075322 |  | LC |
| ORF18 | ORF25 | NN CN CC | 3 | 3 | ORF18-ORF25 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL40-HSV1_UL33, KSHV_67.5-KSHV_60, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| ORF33 | ORF33 | NN CN CC | 3 | 1 | ORF33-ORF33 |  |  |  | MCMV_M80-MCMV_M80 | Y2H |
| ORF9a | ORF25 | NN CN CC | 3 | 2 | ORF25-ORF9a |  |  |  | HSV1_UL49A-HSV1_UL33, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| ORF33.5 | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF33.5 |  |  |  | EBV BdRF1-EBV BFRF4, EBV BFRF4-EBV BDRF1 | Y2H |
| ORF38 | ORF44 | NN NC | 2 | 2 | ORF38-ORF44 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL16-HSV1_UL21, redundant direction | Y2H red |
| ORF52 | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF52 |  |  |  | EBV_BBLF2-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| ORF55 | ORF25 | NN NC | 2 | 1 | ORF25-ORF55 |  |  |  | EBV_BBLF4-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| ORF25 | ORF25 | NN NC CC | 3 | 1 | ORF25-ORF25 |  |  |  | HSV1 UL33-HSV1 UL33, MCMV_M51-MCMV_M51, EBV BFRF4-EBV BFRF4 | Y2H |
| ORF19 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF19-ORF25 |  |  |  | MCMV_M45-MCMV_M51 | Y2H |
| ORF24 | ORF27 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 4 | ORF24-ORF27 | HSV1_UL34-HSV1_UL31 | 10627546 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11507225 ; \\ & 15731273 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | HSV1_UL31-HSV1_UL34, redundant direction construct | LC Y2H red |
| ORF24N | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF24-ORF25 |  |  |  | EBV_BFRF1-EBV_BFRF4 | Y2H |
| ORF3 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF25-ORF3 |  |  |  | HSV1_UL55-HSV1_UL33 | Y2H |
| ORF50 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 2 | ORF25-ORF50 |  |  |  | MCMV_M100-MCMV_M51, EBV_BFRF4-EBV_BBRF3, redundant construct | Y2H red |
| ORF64 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF25-ORF64 |  |  |  | HSV1_US10-HSV1_UL33 | Y2H |
| ORF8 | ORF25 | NN NC CN CC | 4 | 1 | ORF25-ORF8 |  |  |  | MCMV_M72-MCMV_M51 | Y2H |

Supplementary Table S3: Verification of Y2H data.
List of verified interaction data extracted from Supplementary Table S1.

| ORF_ID | ROW | COLUMN | Array ID | $\mathrm{c}_{\text {min }}$ [3-AT] | Array ID | $\mathrm{c}_{\text {min }}$ [3-AT] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF1 | a | 1 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF1N | a | 2 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF2 | a | 3 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF3 | a | 4 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 40 |
| ORF4 | a | 5 | VZV_GBKg | 50 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |
| ORF5 | a | 6 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF5F | a | 7 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF6 | a | 8 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF7 | a | 9 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF8 | a | 10 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 10 |
| ORF9 | a | 11 | VZV_GBKg | 10 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF9a | a | 12 | VZV_GBKg | 10 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |
| ORF9aN | b | 1 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF10 | b | 2 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF11 | b | 3 | VZV_GBKg | 50 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF12 | b | 4 | VZV_GBKg | 3 | VZV_GBKCg | 1 |
| ORF12N | b | 5 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF12C | b | 6 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF13 | b | 7 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF14 | b | 8 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF14N | b | 9 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF15 | b | 10 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF15N | b | 11 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF15F | b | 12 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 1 |
| ORF16 | c | 1 | VZV_GBKg | 3 | VZV_GBKCg | 25 |
| ORF17 | C | 2 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF18 | c | 3 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF18N | c | 4 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF18C | C | 5 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |


| ORF_ID | ROW | COLUMN | Array ID | $\mathrm{c}_{\text {min }}$ [3-AT] | Array ID | $\mathrm{c}_{\text {min }}$ [3-AT] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF19 | c | 6 | VZV_GBKg | 10 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |
| ORF20 | c | 7 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF21 | C | 8 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF22N | c | 9 | VZV_GBKg | 40 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF23 | c | 10 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF24 | C | 11 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF24N | c | 12 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |
| ORF25 | d | 1 | VZV_GBKg | 10 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |
| ORF26 | d | 2 | VZV_GBKg | 3 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF27 | d | 3 | VZV_GBKg | 3 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF28 | d | 4 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 10 |
| ORF29 | d | 5 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF30 | d | 6 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF31 | d | 7 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF31N | d | 8 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF31C | d | 9 | VZV_GBKg | 25 | VZV_GBKCg | 1 |
| ORF32 | d | 10 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 40 |
| ORF33 | d | 11 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF33.5 | d | 12 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF34 | e | 1 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF35 | e | 2 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF36 | e | 3 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF37 | e | 4 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF37N | e | 5 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF38 | e | 6 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF39 | e | 7 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF39N | e | 8 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |
| ORF40 | e | 9 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF41 | e | 10 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF42 | e | 11 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 1 |
| ORF43 | e | 12 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |


| ORF_ID | ROW | COLUMN | Array ID | $\mathrm{c}_{\text {min }}$ [3-AT] | Array ID | $\mathrm{c}_{\text {min }}$ [3-AT] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF43C | f | 1 | VZV_GBKg | 3 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF44 | f | 2 | VZV_GBKg | 10 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF45 | $f$ | 3 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF46 | f | 4 | VZV_GBKg | 40 | VZV_GBKCg | 40 |
| ORF47 | f | 5 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF48 | f | 6 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF49 | f | 7 | VZV_GBKg | 3 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |
| ORF50 | f | 8 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF50C | f | 9 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF51 | f | 10 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF52 | f | 11 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF53 | $f$ | 12 | VZV_GBKg | 3 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF54 | g | 1 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF55 | g | 2 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF56 | g | 3 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF56C | g | 4 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF57 | g | 5 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |
| ORF58 | g | 6 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |
| ORF59 | g | 7 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF60 | g | 8 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF60C | g | 9 | VZV_GBKg | 3 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF61 | g | 10 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 1 |
| ORF62/71 | g | 11 | VZV_GBKg | 3 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF63/70 | g | 12 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF64/69 | h | 1 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 3 |
| ORF65 | h | 2 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF65N | h | 3 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 40 |
| ORF66 | h | 4 | VZV_GBKg | 50 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF67 | h | 5 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF67N | h | 6 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF67C | h | 7 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 10 |


| ORF_ID | ROW | COLUMN | Array ID | $\mathbf{c}_{\text {min }}$ [3-AT] | Array ID | $\mathbf{c}_{\text {min }}$ [3-AT] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ORF68 | h | 8 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF68F | h | 9 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| ORF68C | h | 10 | VZV_GBKg | 1 | VZV_GBKCg | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| ORF0 | h | 11 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |
| ORF0C | h | 12 | VZV_GBKg | 0 | VZV_GBKCg | 0 |

Supplementary Table S4: Autoactivation properties differ between vector systems.
In 20 cases the N -terminal bait fusion autoactivated while 21 of the C-terminal baits did so (bold numbers). In 7 cases both fusions were autoactivators at 3 mM 3AT or higher (ORF names highlighted bold underlined) concentrations but only ORF46 required more than 25 mM in both cases and was thus not interpretable.

## Appendix

## >ORF1 / ORF1N

MSRVSEYGVPEGVRESDSDTDSVFMYQHTELMQNNASPLVVQTRPPAVLIPLVDVPRPRSRRKASAQLKMQMDRLCNVLGVVLQMATLALVTYIAFVVHTRATSCKRE
>ORF2
MHVISETLAYGHVPAFIMGSTLVRPSLNATAEENPASETRCLIRVIAGRTVDIPGGGTLHITCTKTYVIIGKYSKPGERLSLARLIGRAMTPGGARTFIILAMKEKRSTTLGYECGT GLHLLAPSMGTFLRTHGLSNRDLCLWRGNIYDMHMQRLMFWENIAQNTTETPCITSTLTCNLTEDSGEAALTTSDRPTLPTLTAQGRPTVSNIRGILKGSPRQQPVCHRVRFAE PTEGVLM

## >ORF3

MDTTGASESSQPIRVNLKPDPLASFTQVIPPLALETTWTCPANSHAPTPSPLYGVKRLCALRATCGRADDLHAFLIGLGRRDKPSESPMYVDLQPFCSLLNSQRLLPEMANYNT LCDAPFSAATQQMMLESGQLGVHLAAIGYHCHCKSPFSAECWTGASEAYDHVVCGGKARAAVGGL
>ORF4
MASASIPTDPDVSTICEDFMNLLPDEPSDDFALEVTDWANDEAIGSTPGEDSTTSRTVYVERTADTAYNPRYSKRRHGRRESYHHNRPKTLVVVLPDSNHHGGRDVETGYARI ERGHRRSSRSYNTQSSRKHRDRSLSNRRRRPTTPPAMTTGERNDQTHDESYRLRFSKRDARRERIRKEYDIPVDRITGRAIEVVSTAGASVTIDSVRHLDETIEKLVVRYATIQE GDSWASGGCFPGIKQNTSWPELMLYGHELYRTFESYKMDSRIARALRERVIRGESLIEALESADELLTWIKMLAAKNLPIYTNNPIVATSKSLLENLKLKLGPFVRCLLLNRDNDL GSRTLPELLRQQRFSDITCITTYMFVMIARIANIVVRGSKFVEYDDISCNVQVLQEYTPGSCLAGVLEALITHQRECGRVECTLSTWAGHLSDARPYGKYFKCSTFNC
>ORF5 / ORF5F
MQALGIKTEHFIIMCLLSGHAVFTLWYTARVKFEHECVYATTVINGGPVVWGSYNNSLIYVTFVNHSTFLDGLSGYDYSCRENLLSGDTMVKTAISTPLHDKIRIVLGTRNCHAYF WCVQLKMIFFAWFVYGMYLQFRRIRRMFGPFRSSCELISPTSYSLNYVTRVISNILLGYPYTKLARLLCDVSMRRDGMSKVFNADPISFLYMHKGVTLLMLLEVIAHISSGCIVLLT LGVAYTPCALLYPTYIRILAWVVVCTLAIVELISYVRPKPTKDNHLNHINTGGIRGICTTCCATVMSGLAIKCFYIVIFAIAVVIFMHYEQRVQVSLFGESENSQKH

## >ORF6

MDKSSKPTIRLLFATKGCAISHSLLLLTGQISTEPLYVVSYTWTPDLDDVFVKNGREEITQVIPTKRPREVTENDEENQIMHLFCSRDVNVIFYLIGGFSTGDVRSRVWPIFFCCFK TQTDFKALYKALWYGAPLNPHIISDTLCISETFDIHSEVIQTLMVTTHHLNRKGLSDNGLCITEATLCKLVKKSVGRQELTSLYAHYERQVLAAYRRLYWGYGCSPFWYIVRFGPS EKTLVLATRYYLLQTDTSYNTLETPLYDLQAIKDLFLTYQVPALPNCSGYNISDLLSFDKLSMFCCSSTYTRGLTAKNALSYILQRIHTDTTEIHAVSEYITNDRKGLKVPDREFVDY YLAHFECFNRKQIADHLQAVTYSDFVNKPVLLKSSNLGKRATANFFNHVRSRLNMRDYIKKNVICDVTELGPEIGHKYTITKTYTLSLTYAAKPSKFIGVCDLATTLTRRVENIEKQ FSPYGWSSTIPSNPPGFDELSNFEDSGVSAEALRAANFANDTPNQSGRTGFDTSPGITKLLLFFSAATGIATHDVSILSYKTPLEALIGHSEVTGPMPVYRVALPHGAQAFAVIAN DTWSSITNRYTLPHEARLIAEDLKQINPCNFVAASLRDMQLTLLLSTSVKNVSKISSNIPKDQLYINRNELFNTNLIITNLILDVDF
HIRKPIPLGILHAGMRAFRHGILTAMQLLFPKAVVNPNKDPCYFYKTACPEPTVEVLDDDNLLDITSHSDIDFYIENGELYTCVEENYTEDVWFFDTQTTSEVHTHADVSNNENLH ETLPCNCKEKIGFRVCVPIPNPYALVGSSTLKGFAQILQQAVLLEREFVEYIGPYLRDFSFIDTGVYSHGHSLRLPFFSKVTTTGTAVGQLLPFYVVPEQCIDILAFVTSHRNPANF HFHSRPQSNVPVQFILHNLGGEYAEFFERKVARNKQIFSSPQISLTKALKERGVTCLDAFTLEAFVDSTILESIVEHIAVHFPGRDREYTLTSSKCIAIKRDWVLFQLICGTKGFTCL RYPHRGGRTAPRTFVSLRVDHHNRLCISLAQQCFATKCDSNRMHTIFTLEVPNYPNLTSS
>ORF7
MQTVCASLCGYARIPTEEPSYEEVRVNTHPQGAALLRLQEALTAVNGLLPAPLTLEDVVASADNTRRLVRAQALARTYAACSRNIECLKQHHFTEDNPGLNAVVRSHMENSKRL ADMCLAAITHLYLSVGAVDVTTDDIVDQTLRMTAESEVVMSDVVLLEKTLGVVAKPQASFDVSHNHELSIAKGENVGLKTSPIKSEATQLSEIKPPLIEVSDNNTSNLTKKTYPTET

## Appendix

| LQPVLTPKQTQDVQRTTPAIKKSHVMLV |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| >ORF8 |  |
|  | MNEAVIDPILETAVNTGDMFCSQTIPNRCLKDTILIEVQPECADTLQCVLDDKVSRHQPLLLRNHKKLELPSEKSVTRGGFYMQQLELLVKSAPPNEYALLLIQCKDTALADEDNF FVANGVIDAGYRGVISALLYYRPGVTVILPGHLTIYLFPVKLRQSRLLPKNVLKHLDPIFKSIQVQPLSNSPSNYEKPVIPEFADISTVQQGQPLHRDSAEYHIDVPLTYKHIINPKRQ EDAGYDICVPYNLYLKRNEFIKIVLPIIRDWDLQHPSINAYIFGRSSKSRSGIIVCPTAWPAGEHCKFYVYNLTGDDIRIKTGDRLAQVLLIDHNTQIHLKHNVLSNIAFPYAIRGKCGI PGVQWYFTKTLDLIATPSERGTRGFGSTDKETNDVDFLLKH |
| >ORF9a / ORF9aN |  |
| MGSITASFILITMQILFFCEDSSGEPNFAERNFWHASCSARGVYIDGSMITTLFFYASLLGVCVALISLAYHACFRLFTRSVLRSTW |  |
| >ORF9 |  |
|  | MASSDGDRLCRSNAVRRKTTPSYSGQYRTARRSVVVGPPDDSDDSLGYITTVGADSPSPVYADLYFEHKNTTPRVHQPNDSSGSEDDFEDIDEVVAAFREARLRHELVEDAV YENPLSVEKPSRSFTKNAAVKPKLEDSPKRAPPGAGAIASGRPISFSTAPKTATSSWCGPTPSYNKRVFCEAVRRVAAMQAQKAAEAAWNSNPPRNNAELDRLLTGAVIRITVH EGLNLIQAANEADLGEGASVSKRGHNRKTGDLQGGMGNEPMYAQVRKPKSRTDTQTTGRITNRSRARSASRTDTRK |
| >ORF10 |  |
|  | MECNLGTEHPSTDTWNRSKTEQAVVDAFDESLFGDVASDIGFETSLYSHAVKTAPSPPWVASPKILYQQLIRDLDFSEGPRLLSCLETWNEDLFSCFPINEDLYSDMMVLSPDP DDVISTVSTKDHVEMFNLTTRGSVRLPSPPKQPTGLPAYVQEVQDSFTVELRAREEAYTKLLVTYCKSIIRYLQGTAKRTTIGLNIQNPDQKAYTQLRQSILLRYYREVASLARLLY LHLYLTVTREFSWRLYASQSAHPDVFAALKFTWTERRQFTCAFHPVLCNHGIVLLEGKPLTASALREINYRRRELGLPLVRCGLVEENKSPLVQQPSFSVHLPRSVGFLTHHIKR KLDAYAVKHPQEPRHVRADHPYAKVVENRNYGSSIEAMILAPPSPSEILPGDPPRPPTCGFLTR |
| >ORF11 |  |
|  | MQSGHYNRRQSRRQRISSNTTDSPRHTHGTRYRSTNWYTHPPQILSNSETLVAVQELLNSEMDQDSSSDASDDFPGYALHHSTYNGSEQNTSTSRHENRIFKLTEREANEEI NINTDAIDDEGEAEEGEAEEDAIDDEGEAEEGEAEEDAIDDEGEAEEGEAEEDAIDDEGEAEEGEAEEGEAEEGEAEEDAIDDEGEAEEDAAEEDAIDDEGEAEEDYFSVSQVC SRDADEVYFTLDPEISYSTDLRIAKVMEPAVSKELNVSKRCVEPVTLTGSMLAHNGFDESWFAMRECTRREYITVQGLYDPIHLRYQFDTSRMTPPQILRTIPALPNMTLGELLLI FPIEFMAQPISIERILVEDVFLDRRASSKTHKYGPRWNSVYALPYNAGKMYVQHIPGFYDVSLRAVGQGTAIWHHMILSTAACAISNRISHGDGLGFLLDAAIRISANCIFLGRNDN FGVGDPCWLEDHLAGLPREAVPDVLQVTQLVLPNRGPTVAIMRGFFGALAYWPELRIAISEPSTSLVRYATGHMELAEWFLFSRTHSLKPQFTPTEREMLASFFTLYVTLGGGM LNWICRATAMYLAAPYHSRSAYIAVCESLPYYYIPVNSDLLCDLEVLLLGEVDLPTVCESYATIAHELTGYEAVRTAATNFMIEFADCYKESETDLMVSAYLGAVLLLQRVLGHANL LLLLLSGAALYGGCSIYIPRGILDAYNTLMLAASPLYAHQTLTSFWKDRDDAMQTLGIRPTTDVLPKEQDRIVQASPIEMNFRFVGLETIYPREQPIPSVDLAENLMQYRNEILGLD WKSVAMHLLRKY |
| >ORF12 / ORF12N / ORF12C |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| DESNTMFDQRSDLRHIETQASLNDHVYENIPPKEVGFNSSSDLDVDSLNGYTSGDMHTDDDLSPDFIPNDVPVRCKTTVTFRKNTPKSHH |  |
|  | >ORF13 |

## Appendix

MGDLSCWTKVPGFTLTGELQYLKQVDDILRYGVRKRDRTGIGTLSLFGMQARYNLRNEFPLLTTKRVFWRAVVEELLWFIRGSTDSKELAAKDIHIWDIYGSSKFLNRNGFHKR HTGDLGPIYGFQWRHFGAEYKDCQSNYLQQGIDQLQTVIDTIKTNPESRRMIISSWNPKDIPLMVLPPCHTLCQFYVANGELSCQVYQRSGDMGLGVPFNIAGYALLTYIVAHVT GLKTGDLIHTMGDAHIYLNHIDALKVQLARSPKPFPCLKIIRNVTDINDFKWDDFQLDGYNPHPPLKMEMAL
>ORF14 / ORF14N
MKRIQINLILTIACIQLSTESQPTPVSITELYTSAATRKPDPAVAPTSAASRKPDPAVAPTSAASRKPDPAVAPTSAASRKPDPAVAPTSAATRKPDPAVAPTSAASRKPDPAVAPT SAATRKPDPAVAPTSAASRKPDPAANTQHSQPPFLYENIQCVHGGIQSIPYFHTFIMPCYMRLTTGQQAAFKQQQKTYEQYSLDPEGSNITRWKSLIRPDLHIEVWFTRHLIDPH RQLGNALIRMPDLPVMLYSNSADLNLINNPEIFTHAKENYVIPDVKTTSDFSVTILSMDATTEGTYIWRVVNTKTKNVISEHSITVTTYYRPNITVVGDPVLTGQTYAAYCNVSKYYP PHSVRVRWTSRFGNIGKNFITDAIQEYANGLFSYVSAVRIPQQKQMDYPPPAIQCNVLWIRDGVSNMKYSAVVTPDVYPFPNVSIGIIDGHIVCTAKCVPRGVVHFVWWVNDSPI NHENSEITGVCDQNKRFVNMQSSCPTSELDGPITYSCHLDGYPKKFPPFSAVYTYDASTYATTFSVVAVIIGVISILGTLGLIAVIATLCIRCCS
>ORF15 / ORF15N / ORF15F
MAVNGERAVHDENLGVLDRELIRAQSIQGCVGNPQECNSCAITSASRLFLVGLQASVITSGLILQYHVCEAAVNATIMGLIVVSGLWPTSVKFLRTLAKLGRCLQTVVVLGFAVL WAVGCPISRDLPFVELLGISISAITGTVAAVHIHYYNFVTTFNGPHIYFYVMMLGTGLGGLLTVILYMYVSKYEVLIGLCISIVTLVSIVDAATDLQDTCIYRKNRHKQLNTYTDLGFAV VYTQNDRGRVCDHRESSRTLKRVFKGIRIMSVIPPVLYIVTPLMWAISHIIKLNHFIKLTQVTLAVSIGGHIIAFGLQGFAVLYQEKKNLWVIVLYTTTSVTGIAVTFAGISWGAIIILTST VAAGLTCIQMMRLSVKPIDCFMASHITKVYHVCVYIIINLCYLCGTYVS
>ORF16
MDLRSRTDDALDMELHAGFDAPEIARAVLTEKTLTGLISSISPLVNRLRDSILIFSDEGLIIHCSLETEQLYIPIPANMFDQYNWTGPRMVVLAATEGRSSLIDAFRHTKDPSTPTRL YFKFTGQPPERSIIQTMVWQRPGDCGPDDQVQCYKQVVKRELACYTMMFPNLTPDISICLKRDQFTRLQRLLKTFGFTTCFILTATDMYIQTAGGGFISFNVSLDINGSKPTPYN LIRSITNSKRILNNVVYGSGSMREFGVLLETHSGFRSAVQNLKLTRDETCYINFYLALTNSPMVGLYIQRSAPVHSFFYATFLSPKDLKEKLTSMQLFANMESVKDEPPLKKRRNL LTKRNEKNTGNKMGGKLPETTWQEGIGIREYCVAPPVDPAGTLDYSELSRESDVICTVK
>ORF17
MGLFGLTRFIHEHKLVKPSIISTPPGVLTPVAVDVWNVMYTLLERLYPVGKRENLHGPSVTIHCLGVLLRLLTQRSYYPIFVLERCTDGPLSRGAKAIMSRAMNHDERGTSDLTRV LLSSNTSCSIKYNKTSETYDSVFRNSSTSCIPSEENKSQDMFLDGCPRQTDKTICLRDQNVCSLTSTMPSRGHPNHRLYHKLCASLIRWMGYAYVEAVDIEADEACANLFHTRT VALVYTTDTDLLFMGCDILLDAIPMFAPVVRCRDLLQYLGITYPEFLVAFVRCQTDLHTSDNLKSVQQVIQDTGLKVPHQMDTSTRSPTYDSWRHGEVFKSLTVATSGKTENGVS VSKYASNRSEVTVDASWALNLLPPSSSPLDNLERAFVEHIIAVVTPLTRGRLKLMKRVNIMQNTADPYMVINTLYHNLKGEKMARQYARIFKQFIPTPLPLNTVLTKYWN
>ORF18 / ORF18N / ORF18C
MDQKDCSHFFYRPECPDINNLRALSISNRWLESDFIIEDDYQYLDCLTEDELIFYRFIFTFLSAADDLVNVNLGSLTQLFSQKDIHHYYIEQECIEVVHARVYSQIQLMLFRGDESLR VQYVNVTINNPSIQQKVQWLEEKVRDNPSVAEKYILMILIEGIFFVSSFAAIAYLRNNGLFVVTCQFNDLISRDEAIHTSASCCIYNNYVPEKPAITRIHQLFSEAVEIECAFLKSHAPK TRLVNVDAITQYVKFSADRLLSAINVPKLFNTPPPDSDFPLAFMIADKNTNFFERHSTSYAGTVINDL

## >ORF19

MEFKRIFNTVHDIINRLCQHGYKEYIIPPESTTPVELMEYISTIVSKLKAVTRQDERVYRCCGELIHCRINLRSVSMETWLTSPILCLTPRVRQAIEGRRDEIRRAILEPFLKDQYPAL ATLGLQSALKYEDFYLTKLEEGKLESLCQFFLRLAATVTTEIVNLPKIATLIPGINDGYTWTDVCRVFFTALACQKIVPATPVMMFLGRETGATASCYLMDPESITVGRAVRAITGD VGTVLQSRGGVGISLQSLNLIPTENQTKGLLAVLKLLDCMVMAINSDCERPTGVCVYIEPWHVDLQTVLATRGMLVRDEIFRCDNIFCCLWTPDLFFERYLSYLKGASNVQWTLF DNRADILRTLHGEAFTSTYLRLEREGLGVSSVPIQDIAFTIIRSAAVTGSPFLMFKDACNRNYHMNTQGNAITGSNLCTEIVQKADAHQHGVCNLASINLTTCLSKGPVSFNLNDL QLTARTTVIFLNGVLAAGNFPCKKSCKGVKNNRSLGIGIQGLHTTCLRLGFDLTSQPARRLNVQIAELMLYETMKTSMEMCKIGGLAPFKGFTESKYAKGWLHQDGFSTISYLDL PWCTLRDDICAYGLYNSQFLALMPTVSSAQVTECSEGFSPIYNNMFSKVTTSGELLRPNLDLMDELRDMYSCEEKRLEVINILEKNQWSVIRSFGCLSNSHPLLKYKTAFEYEQE

## Appendix

## DLVDMCAERAPFIDQSQSMTLFIEERPDGTIPASKIMNLLIRAYKAGLKTGMYYCKIRKATNSGLFAGGELTCTSCAL <br> >ORF20

MGSQPTNSHFTLNEQTLCGTNISLLGNNRFIQIGNGLHMTYAPGFFGNWSRDLTIGPRFGGLNKQPIHVPPKRTETASIQVTPRSIVINRMNNIQINPTSIGNPQVTIRLPLNNFKS TTQLIQQVSLTDFFRPDIEHAGSIVLILRHPSDMIGEANTLTQAGRDPDVLLEGLRNLFNACTAPWTVGEGGGLRAYVTSLSFIAACRAEEYTDKQAADANRTAIVSAYGCSRMET RLIRFSECLRAMVQCHVFPHRFISFFGSLLEYTIQDNLCNITAVAKGPQEAARTDKTSTRRVTANIPACVFWDVDKDLHLSADGLKHVFLVFVYTQRRQREGVRLHLALSQLNEQ CFGRGIGFLLGRIRAENAAWGTEGVANTHQPYNTRALPLVQLSNDPTSPRCSIGEITGVNWNLARQRLYQWTGDFRGLPTQLSCMYAAYTLIGTIPSESVRYTRRMERFGGYN VPTIWLEGVVWGGTNTWNECYY

## >ORF21

MEEPICYDTQKLLDDLSNLKVQEADNERPWSPEKTEIARVKVVKFLRSTQKIPAKHFIQIWEPLHSNICFVYSNTFLAEAAFTAENLPGLLFWRLDLDWTIEEPGNSLKILTQLSSV VQDSETLHRLSANKLRTSSKFGPVSIHFIITDWINMYEVALKDATTAIESPFTHARIGMLESAIAALTQHKFAIIYDMPFVQEGIRVLTQYAGWLLPFNVMWNQIQNSSLTPLTRALFI ICMIDEYLTETPVHSISELFADTVNLIKDEAFVSIEEAVTNPRTVHESRISSALAYRDPYVFETSPGMLARRLRLDNGIWESNLLSLSTPGIHIEALLHLLNSDPEAETTSGSNVAEHT RGIVEKVQASTSPSMLISTLAESGFTRFSCKLLRRFIAHHTLAGFIHGSVVADEHITDFQQTLGCLALVGGLAYQLVETYAPTTEYVLTYTRTVNETEKRYETLLPALGLPPGGLG QIMRRCFAPRPLIESIQATRVILLNEISHAEARETTYFKQTHNQSSGALLPQAGQSAVREAVLTWFDLRMDSRWGITPPVDVGMTPPICVDPPATGLEAVMITEALKIAYPTEYNR SSVFVEPSFVPYIIATSTLDALSATIALSFDTRGIQQALSILQWARDYGSGTVPNADGYRTKLSALITILEPFTRTHPPVLLPSHVSTIDSLICELHRTVGIAVDLLPQHVRPLVPDRPS ITNSVFLATLYYDELYGRWTRLDKTSQALVENFTSNALVVSRYMLMLQKFFACRFYPTPDLQAVGICNPKVERDEQFGVWRLNDLADAVGHIVGTIQGIRTQMRVGISSLRTIMA DASSALRECENLMTKTSTSAIGPLFSTMASRYARFTQDQMDILMRVDKLTTGENIPGLANVEIFLNRWERIATACRHATAVPSAESIATVCNELRRGLKNIQEDRVNAPTSYMSH ARNLEDHKAAVSFVMDSRQQFIVDSGPQMGAVLTSQCNIGTWENVNATFLHDNVKITTTVRDVISEAPTLIIGQRWLRPDEILSNVDLRLGVPGNTSGSDP
>ORF22 I ORF22N
MDIIPPIAVTVAGVGSRNQFDGALGPASGLSCLRTSLSFLHMTYAHGINATLSSDMIDGCLQEGAAWTTDLSNMGRGVPDMCALVDLPNRISYIKLGDTTSTCCVLSRIYGDSHF FTVPDEGFMCTQIPARAFFDDVWMGREESYTIITVDSTGMAIYRQGNISFIFDPHGHGTIGQAVVVRVNTTDVYSYIASEYTHRPDNVESQWAAALVFFVTANDGPVSEEALSSA VTLIYGSCDTYFTDEQYCEKLVTAQHPLLLSPPNSTTIVLNKSSIVPLHQNVGESVSLEATLHSTLTNTVALDPRCSYSEVDPWHAVLETTSTGSGVLDCRRRRRPSWTPPSSEE NLACIDDGLVNNTHSTDNLHKPAKKVLKFKPTVDVPDKTQVAHVLPRLREVANTPDVVLNVSNVDTPESSPTFSRNMNVGSSLKDRKPFLFEQSGDVNMVVEKLLQHGHEISN GYVQNAVGTLDTVITGHTNVPIWVTRPLVMPDEKDPLELFINLTILRLTGFVVENGTRTHHGATSVVSDFIGPLGEILTGFPSAAELIRVTSLILTNMPGAEFGLVAMRVQDTTGAL HAELDVLEADLGGSSPIDLYSRLSTGLISILNSPIISHPGLFAELIPTRTGSLSERIRLLCELVSARETRYMREHTALVSSVKALENALRSTRNKIDAIQIPEVPQEPPEETDIPPEELIR RVYEIRSEVTMLLTSAVTEYFTRGVLYSTRALIAEQSPRRFRVATASTAPIQRLLDSLPEFDAKLTAIISSLSIHPPPETIQNLPVVSLLKELIKEGEDLNTDTALVSWLSVVGEAQTA GYLSRREFDELSRTIKTINTRATQRASAEAELSCFNTLSAAVDQAVKDYETYNNGEVKYPEITRDDLLATIVRATDDLVRQIKILSDPMIQSGLQPSIKRRLETRLKEVQTYANEAR TTQDTIKSRKQAAYNKLGGLLRPVTGFVGLRAAVDLLPELASELDVQGALVNLRTKVLEAPVEIRSQLTGDFWALFNQYRDILEHPGNARTSVLGGLGACFTAIIEIVPIPTEYRPS LLAFFGDVADVLASDIATVSTNPESESAINAVVATLSKATLVSSTVPALSFVLSLYKKYQALQQEITNTHKLTELQKQLGDDFSTLAVSSGHLKFISSSNVDDYEINDAILSIQTNVHA LMDTVKLVEVELQKLPPHCIAGTSTLSRVVKDLHKLVTMAHEKKEQAKVLITDCERAHKQQTTRVLYERWTRDIIACLEAMETRHIFNGTELARLRDMAAAGGFDIHAVYPQARQ VVAACETTAVTALDTVFRHNPYTPENTNIPPPLALLRGLTWFDDFSITAPVFTVMFPGVSIEGLLLLMRIRAVVLLSADTSINGIPNYRDMILRTSGDLLQIPALAGYVDFYTRSYDQ FITESVTLSELRADIRQAAGAKLTEANKALEEVTHVRAHETAKLALKEGVFITLPSEGLLIRAIEYFTTFDHKRFIGTAYERVLQTMVDRDLKEANAELAQFRMVCQATKNRAIQILQ NIVDTANATEQQEDVDFTNLKTLLKLTPPPKTIALAIDRSTSVQDIVTQFALLLGRLEEETGTLDIQAVDWMYQARNIIDSHPLSVRIDGTGPLHTYKDRVDKLYALRTKLDLLRRRI ETGEVTWDDAWTTFKRETGDMLASGDTYATSVDSIKALQASASVVDMLCSEPEFFLLPVETKNRLQKKQQERKTALDVVLQKQRQFEETASRLRALIERIPTESDHDVLRMLLR DFDQFTHLPIWIKTQYMTFRNLLMVRLGLYASYAEIFPPASPNGVFAPIPAMSGVCLEDQSRCIRARVAAFMGEASVVQTFREARSSIDALFGKNLTFYLDTDGVPLRYRVCYKS VGVKLGTMLCSQGGLSLRPALPDEGIVEETTLSALRVANEVNELRIEYESAIKSGFSAFSTFVRHRHAEWGKTNARRAIAEIYAGLITTTLTRQYGVHWDKLIYSFEKHHLTSVMG NGLTKPIQRRGDVRVLELTLSDIVTILVATTPVHLLNFARLDLIKQHEYMARTLRPVIEAAFRGRLLVRSLDGDPKGNARAFFNAAPSKHKLPLALGSNQDPTGGRIFAFRMADWK LVKMPQKITDPFAPWQLSPPPGVKANVDAVTRIMATDRLATITVLGRMCLPPISLVSMWNTLQPEEFAYRTQDDVDIIVDARLDLSSTLNARFDTAPSNTTLEWNTDRKVITDAYI QTGATTVFTVTGAAPTHVSNVTAFDIATTAILFGAPLVIAMELTSVFSQNSGLTLGLKLFDSRHMATDSGISSAVSPDIVSWGLRLLHMDPHPIENACLIVQLEKLSALIANKPLTNN

## Appendix

PPCLLLLDEHMNPSYVLWERKDSIPAPDYVVFWGPESLIDLPYIDSDEDSFPSCPDDPFYSQIIAGYAPQGPPNLDTTDFYPTEPLFKSPVQVVRSSKCKKMPVRPAQPAQPAQ PAQPAQTVQPAQPIEPGTQIVVQNFKKPQSVKTTLSQKDIPLYVETESETAVLIPKQLTTSIKTTVCKSITPPNNQLSDWKNNPQQNQTLNQAFSKPILEITSIPTDDSISYRTWIEK SNQTQKRHQNDPRMYNSKTVFHPVNNQLPSWVDTAADAPQTDLLTNYKTRQPSPNFPRDVHTWGVSSNPFNSPNRDLYQSDFSEPSDGYSSESENSIVLSLDEHRSCRVPR HVRVVNADVVTGRRYVRGTALGALALLSQACRRMIDNVRYTRKLLMDHTEDIFQGLGYVKLLLDGTYI
>ORF23
MTQPASSRVVFDPSNPTTFSVEAIAAYTPVALIRLLNASGPLQPGHRVDIADARSIYTVGAAASAARARANHNANTIRRTAMFAETDPMTWLRPTVGLKRTFNPRIIRPQPPNPS MSLGISGPTILPQKTQSADQSALQQPAALAFSGSSPQHPPPQTTSASVGQQQHVVSGSSGQQPQQGAQSSTVQPTTGSPPAAQGVPQSTPPPTQNTPQGGKGQTLSHTGQ SGNASRSRRV
>ORF24 / ORF24N
MSRRTYVRSERRRGCGDNLLQRIRLVVPSALQCCDGDLPIFDPQRPPARCVFQFNGEDNVSEAFPVEYIMRLMANWAQVDCDPYIKIQNTGVSVLFQGFFFRPTNAPVAEVSI DSNNVILSSTLSTGINLSALESIKRGGGIDRRPLQALMWVNCFVRMPYVQLSFRFMGPEDPSRTIKLMARATDAYMYKETGNNLDEYIRWRPSFRSPPENGSPNTSVQMQSDIK PALPDTQTTRVWKLALPVANVTYALFIVIVLVVVLGAVLFWK

## >ORF25

MYESENASEHHPELEDVFSENTGDSNPSMGSSDSTRSISGMRARDLITDTDVNLLNIDALESKYFPADSTFTLSVWFENLIPPEIEAILPTTDAQLNYISFTSRLASVLKHKESNDS EKSAYVVPCEHSASVTRRRERFAGVMAKFLDLHEILKDA
>ORF26
MDRVESEEPMDGFESPVFSENTSSNSGWCSDAFSDSYIAYNPALLLKNDLLFSELLFASHLINVPRAIENNVTYEASSAVGVDNEMTSSTTEFIEEIGDVLALDRACLVCRTLDLY KRKFGLTPEWVADYAMLCMKSLASPPCAVVTFSAAFEFVYLMDRYYLCRYNVTLVGSFARRTLSLLDIQRHFFLHVCFRTDGGLPGIRPPPGKEMANKVRYSNYSFFVQAVVR AALLSISTSRLDETETRKSFYFNQDGLTGGPQPLAAALANWKDCARMVDCSSSEHRTSGMITCAERALKEDIEFEDILIDKLKKSSYVEAAWGYADLALLLLSGVATWNVDERTN CAIETRVGCVKSYWQANRIENSRDVPKQFSKFTSEDACPEVAFGPILLTTLKNAKCRGRTNTECMLCCLLTIGHYWIALRQFKRDILAYSANNTSLFDCIEPVINAWSLDNPIKLKF PFNDEGRFITIVKAAGSEAVYKHLFCDLLCALSELQTNPKILFAHPTTADKEVLELYKAQLAAQNRFEGRVCAGLWTLAYAFKAYQIFPRKPTANAAFIRDGGLMLRRHAISLVSLE HTLSKYV
>ORF27
MHLKPTRFFHANQPPMPHSYEMEDLCFDDMQYRWSPSNTPYRSMSRRYKSVSRSGPSMRVRSRTPCRRQTIRGKLMSKERSVYRHYFNYIARSPPEELATVRGLIVPIIKTTP VTLPFNLGQTVADNCLSLSGMGYHLGLGGYCPTCTASGEPRLCRTDRAALILAYVQQLNNIYEYRVFLASILALSDRANMQAASAEPLLSSVLAQPELFFMYHIMREGGMRDIRV LFYRDGDAGGFMMYVIFPGKSVHLHYRLIDHIQAACRGYKIVAHVWQTTFLLSVCRNPEQQTETVVPSIGTSDVYCKMCDLNFDGELLLEYKRLYALFDDFVPPR

## >ORF28

MAIRTGFCNPFLTQASGIKYNPRTGRGSNREFLHSYKTTMSSFQFLAPKCLDEDVPMEERKGVHVGTLSRPPKVYCNGKEVPILDFRCSSPWPRRVNIWGEIDFRGDKFDPRF NTFHVYDIVETTEAASNGDVSRFATATRPLGTVITLLGMSRCGKRVAVHVYGICQYFYINKAEVDTACGIRSGSELSVLLAECLRSSMITQNDATLNGDKNAFHGTSFKSASPESF RVEVIERTDVYYYDTQPCAFYRVYSPSSKFTNYLCDNFHPELKKYEGRVDATTRFLMDNPGFVSFGWYQLKPGVDGERVRVRPASRQLTLSDVEIDCMSDNLQAIPNDDSWP DYKLLCFDIECKSGGSNELAFPDATHLEDLVIQISCLLYSIPRQSLEHILLFSLGSCDLPQRYVQEMKDAGLPEPTVLEFDSEFELLIAFMTLVKQYAPEFATGYNIVNFDWAFIMEK LNSIYSLKLDGYGSINRGGLFKIWDVGKSGFQRRSKVKINGLISLDMYAIATEKLKLSSYKLDSVAREALNESKRDLPYKDIPGYYASGPNTRGIIGEYCIQDSALVGKLFFKYLPHL ELSAVARLARITLTKAIYDGQQVRIYTCLLGLASSRGFILPDGGYPATFEYKDVIPDVGDVEEEMDEDESVSPTGTSSGRNVGYKGARVFDPDTGFYIDPVVVLDFASLYPSIIQAH NLCFTTLTLNFETVKRLNPSDYATFTVGGKRLFFVRSNVRESLLGVLLKDWLAMRKAIRARIPGSSSDEAVLLDKQQAAIKVVCNSVYGFTGVAQGFLPCLYVAATVTTIGRQML LSTRDYIHNNWAAFERFITAFPDIESSVLSQKAYEVKVIYGDTDSVFIRFKGVSVEGIAKIGEKMAHIISTALFCPPIKLECEKTFIKLLLITKKKYIGVIYGGKVLMKGVDLVRKNNCQ
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>ORF29
MENTQKTVTVPTGPLGYVYACRVEDLDLEEISFLAARSTDSDLALLPLMRNLTVEKTFTSSLAVVSGARTTGLAGAGITLKLTTSHFYPSVFVFHGGKHVLPSSAAPNLTRACNA ARERFGFSRCQGPPVDGAVETTGAEICTRLGLEPENTILYLVVTALFKEAVFMCNVFLHYGGLDIVHINHGDVIRIPLFPVQLFMPDVNRLVPDPFNTHHRSIGEGFVYPTPFYNT GLCHLIHDCVIAPMAVALRVRNVTAVARGAAHLAFDENHEGAVLPPDITYTYFQSSSSGTTTARGARRNDVNSTSKPSPSGGFERRLASIMAADTALHAEVIFNTGIYEETPTDIK EWPMFIGMEGTLPRLNALGSYTARVAGVIGAMVFSPNSALYLTEVEDSGMTEAKDGGPGPSFNRFYQFAGPHLAANPQTDRDGHVLSSQSTGSSNTEFSVDYLALICGFGAPL LARLLFYLERCDAGAFTGGHGDALKYVTGTFDSEIPCSLCEKHTRPVCAHTTVHRLRQRMPRFGQATRQPIGVFGTMNSQYSDCDPLGNYAPYLILRKPGDQTEAAKATMQDT YRATLERLFIDLEQERLLDRGAPCSSEGLSSVIVDHPTFRRILDTLRARIEQTTTQFMKVLVETRDYKIREGLSEATHSMALTFDPYSGAFCPITNFLVKRTHLAVVQDLALSQCHC VFYGQQVEGRNFRNQFQPVLRRRFVDLFNGGFISTRSITVTLSEGPVSAPNPTLGQDAPAGRTFDGDLARVSVEVIRDIRVKNRVVFSGNCTNLSEAARARLVGLASAYQRQE KRVDMLHGALGFLLKQFHGLLFPRGMPPNSKSPNPQWFWTLLQRNQMPADKLTHEEITTIAAVKRFTEEYAAINFINLPPTCIGELAQFYMANLILKYCDHSQYLINTLTSIITGAR RPRDPSSVLHWIRKDVTSAADIETQAKALLEKTENLPELWTTAFTSTHLVRAAMNQRPMVVLGISISKYHGAAGNNRVFQAGNWSGLNGGKNVCPLFTFDRTRRFIIACPRGGFI CPVTGPSSGNRETTLSDQVRGIIVSGGAMVQLAIYATVVRAVGARAQHMAFDDWLSLTDDEFLARDLEELHDQIIQTLETPWTVEGALEAVKILDEKTTAGDGETPTNLAFNFDS CEPSHDTTSNVLNISGSNISGSTVPGLKRPPEDDELFDLSGIPIKHGNITMEMI
>ORF30
MELDINRTLLVLLGQVYTYIFQVELLRRCDPRVACRFLYRLAANCLTVRYLLKLFLRGFNTQLKFGNTPTVCALHWALCYVKGEGERLFELLQHFKTRFVYGETKDSNCIKDYFVS AFNLKTCQYHHELSLTTYGGYVSSEIQFLHDIENFLKQLNYCYIITSSREALNTLETVTRFMTDTIGSGLIPPVELFDPAHPCAICFEELCITANQGETLHRRLLGCICDHVTKQVRV NVDVDDIIRCLPYIPDVPDIKRQSAVEALRTLQTKTVVNPMGAKNDTFDQTYEIASTMLDSYNVFKPAPRCMYAISELKFWLTSNSTEGPQRTLDVFVDNLDVLNEHEKHAELTAV TVELALFGKTPIHFDRAFSEELGSLDAIDSILVGNRSSSPDSQIEALIKACYAHHLSSPLMRHISNPSHDNEAALRQLLERVGCEDDLTKEASDSATASECDLNDDSSITFAVHGW ENLLSKAKIDAAERKRVYLEHLSKRSLTSLGRCIREQRQELEKTLRVNVYGEALLQTFVSMQNGFGARNVFLAKVSQAGCIIDNRIQEAAFDAHRFIRNTLVRHTVDAAMLPALTH KFFELVNGPLFNHDEHRFAQPPNTALFFTVENVGLFPHLKEELAKFMGGVVGSNWLLSPFRGFYCFSGVEGVTFAQRLAWKYIRELVFATTLFTSVFHCGEVRLCRVDRLGKD PRGCTSQPKGIGSSHGPLDGIYLTYEETCPLVAIIQSGETGIDQNTVVIYDSDVFSLLYTLMQRLAPDSTDPAFS
>ORF31 / ORF31N / ORF31C
MFVTAVVSVSPSSFYESLQVEPTQSEDITRSAHLGDGDEIREAIHKSQDAETKPTFYVCPPPTGSTIVRLEPTRTCPDYHLGKNFTEGIAVVYKENIAAYKFKATVYYKDVIVSTA WAGSSYTQITNRYADRVPIPVSEITDTIDKFGKCSSKATYVRNNHKVEAFNEDKNPQDMPLIASKYNSVGSKAWHTTNDTYMVAGTPGTYRTGTSVNCIIEEVEARSIFPYDSFG LSTGDIIYMSPFFGLRDGAYREHSNYAMDRFHQFEGYRQRDLDTRALLEPAARNFLVTPHLTVGWNWKPKRTEVCSLVKWREVEDVVRDEYAHNFRFTMKTLSTTFISETNEF NLNQIHLSQCVKEEARAIINRIYTTRYNSSHVRTGDIQTYLARGGFVVVFQPLLSNSLARLYLQELVRENTNHSPQKHPTRNTRSRRSVPVELRANRTITTTSSVEFAMLQFTYDH IQEHVNEMLARISSSWCQLQNRERALWSGLFPINPSALASTILDQRVKARILGDVISVSNCPELGSDTRIILQNSMRVSGSTTRCYSRPLISIVSLNGSGTVEGQLGTDNELIMSRD LLEPCVANHKRYFLFGHHYVYYEDYRYVREIAVHDVGMISTYVDLNLTLLKDREFMPLQVYTRDELRDTGLLDYSEIQRRNQMHSLRFYDIDKVVQYDSGTAIMQGMAQFFQGL GTAGQAVGHVVLGATGALLSTVHGFTTFLSNPFGALAVGLLVLAGLVAAFFAYRYVLKLKTSPMKALYPLTTKGLKQLPEGMDPFAEKPNATDTPIEEIGDSQNTEPSVNSGFDP DKFREAQEMIKYMTLVSAAERQESKARKKNKTSALLTSRLTGLALRNRRGYSRVRTENVTGV
>ORF32
MESSNINALQQPSSIAHHPSKQCASSLNETVKDSPPAIYEDRLEHTPVQLPRDGTPRDVCSVGQLTCRACATKPFRLNRDSQYDYLNTCPGGRHISLALEIITGRWVCIPRVFPD TPEEKWMAPYIIPDREQPSSGDEDSDTD
>ORF33 / ORF33,5
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>ORF34
MTARYGFGSISFPNKCGIFLSTTKNFIAPNFPIHYWTAPAFELRGRMNPDLEKNTLTLKNAAAVAALDNLRGETITLPTEIDRRLKPLEEQLTRMAKVLDSLETAAAEAEEADAQSE ECTRTEIIRNESIHPEVQIAKNDAPLQYDTNFQVDFITLVYLGRARGNNSPGIVFGPWYRTLQERLVLDRPVAARGVDCKDGRISRTFMNTTVTCLQSAGRMYVGDRAYSAFEC AVLCLYLMYRTSNSVHEPQVSSFGNLIEHLPEYTETFVNYMTTHENKNSYQFCYDRLPRDQFHARGGRYDQGALTSHSVMDALIRLQVLPPAPGQFNPGVNDIIDRNHTAYVD KIQQAAAAYLERAQNVFLMEDQTLLRLTIDTITALLLLRRLLWNGNVYGDKLKNNFQLGLIVSEATGTPTNNVILRGATGFDGKFKSGNNNFQFLCERYIAPLYTLNRTTELTEMFP GLVALCLDAHTQLSRGSLGRTVIDISSGQYQDRLISLIALELEHRRQNVTSLPIAAVVSIHDSVMLQYERGLGMLMHQPRVRAALEESRRLAQFNVNSDYDLLYFVCLGVIPQFAS TP
>ORF35
MSASRIRAKCFRLGQRCHTRFYDVLKKDIDNVRRGFADAFNPRLAKLLSPLSHVDVQRAVRISMSFEVNLGRRRPDCVCIIQTESSGAGKTVCFIVELKSCRFSANIHTPTKYHQ FCEGMRQLRDTMALIKETTPTGSDEIMVTPLLVFVSQRGLNLLQVTRLPPKVIHGNLVMLASHLENVAEYTPPIRSVRERRRLCKKKIHVCSLAKKRAKSCHRSALTKFEENAAC GVDLPLRRPSLGACGGILQSITGMFSHG

## >ORF36

MSTDKTDVKMGVLRIYLDGAYGIGKTTAAEEFLHHFAITPNRILLIGEPLSYWRNLAGEDAICGIYGTQTRRLNGDVSPEDAQRLTAHFQSLFCSPHAIMHAKISALMDTSTSDLV QVNKEPYKIMLSDRHPIASTICFPLSRYLVGDMSPAALPGLLFTLPAEPPGTNLVVCTVSLPSHLSRVSKRARPGETVNLPFVMVLRNVYIMLINTIIFLKTNNWHAGWNTLSFCN DVFKQKLQKSECIKLREVPGIEDTLFAVLKLPELCGEFGNILPLWAWGMETLSNCSRSMSPFVLSLEQTPQHAAQELKTLLPQMTPANMSSGAWNILKELVNAVQDNTS
>ORF37 / ORF37N
MFALVLAVVILPLWTTANKSYVTPTPATRSIGHMSALLREYSDRNMSLKLEAFYPTGFDEELIKSLHWGNDRKHVFLVIVKVNPTTHEGDVGLVIFPKYLLSPYHFKAEHRAPFPA GRFGFLSHPVTPDVSFFDSSFAPYLTTQHLVAFTTFPPNPLVWHLERAETAATAERPFGVSLLPARPTVPKNTILEHKAHFATWDALARHTFFSAEAIITNSTLRIHVPLFGSVWP RYWATGSVLLTSDSGRVEVNIGVGFMSSLISLSSGPPIELIVVPHTVKLNAVTSDTTWFQLNPPGPDPGPSYRVYLLGRGLDMNFSKHATVDICAYPEESLDYRYHLSMAHTEAL RMTTKADQHDINEESYYHIAARIATSIFALSEMGRTTEYFLLDEIVDVQYQLKFLNYILMRIGAGAHPNTISGTSDLIFADPSQLHDELSLLFGQVKPANVDYFISYDEARDQLKTAY ALSRGQDHVNALSLARRVIMSIYKGLLVKQNLNATERQALFFASMILLNFREGLENSSRVLDGRTTLLLMTSMCTAAHATQAALNIQEGLAYLNPSKHMFTIPNVYSPCMGSLRT DLTEEIHVMNLLSAIPTRPGLNEVLHTQLDESEIFDAAFKTMMIFTTWTAKDLHILHTHVPEVFTCQDAAARNGEYVLILPAVQGHSYVITRNKPQRGLVYSLADVDVYNPISVVYL SRDTCVSEHGVIETVALPHPDNLKECLYCGSVFLRYLTTGAIMDIIIIDSKDTERQLAAMGNSTIPPFNPDMHGDDSKAVLLFPNGTVVTLLGFERRQAIRMSGQYLGASLGGAFL AVVGFGIIGWMLCGNSRLREYNKIPLT

## >ORF38

MEFPYHSTVSYNGVTFYFNERATRAYFICGGCLISIPRKHGGEIAKFGHVVRGVGPGDRSVASYVRSELNRTGKTWAVSSNNNCVFLDRVALLAAGSGAVDRDLCGTFDVEVE DPTLADYLVSLPVTHLTLVAGVDVTRENKLKLFPTPTAINTTNGFMYVPNEASFSLVYMRMLELPESLQELVSGLFDGTPEIRDALNGSNDDEKTSIIVSRRAADVVTEDVKADDV PISGEPYSEKQPRRRKKSDHITLSNFVQIRTIPRVMDIWDPRHKATTHCIRALSCAVFFADEVIFKARKWPGLEDELNEARETIYTAVVAVYGERGELPFFGHAYGRDLTSCQRFV IVQYILSRWEAFNCYAVIEDLTRSYVNALPSDDDTDQVAQDLIRTIVDTANSLLREVGFIGTLAETLLFLPLPQLPCYKETSHLAKKEGVRILRLAKTGVGLSDTVPVDVSVTERHEY
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## EISRYLDTLYSGDPCYNGAVRLCRLLGSSIPIALYYNTISGNAFEPYFAGRRYIAYLGALFFGRVHQTPFGDGKKTQR

>ORF39 / ORF39N
MNPPQARVSEQTKDLLSVMVNQHPEEDAKVCKSSDNSPLYNTMVMLSYGGDTDLLLSSACTRTSTVNRSAFTQHSVFYIISTVLIQPICCIFFFFYYKATRCMLLFTAGLLLTILH HFRLIIMLLCVYRNIRSDLLPLSTSQQLLLGIIVVTRTMLFCITAYYTLFIDTRVFFLITGHLQSEVIFPDSVSKILPVSWGPSPAVLLVMAAVIYAMDCLVDTVSFIGPRVWVRVMLKT SISF

## >ORF40

MTTVSCPANVITTTESDRIAGLFNIPAGIIPTGNVLSTIEVCAHRCIFDFFKQIRSDDNSLYSAQFDILLGTYCNTLNFVRFLELGLSVACICTKFPELAYVRDGVIQFEVQQPMIARD GPHPVDQPVHNYMVKRIHKRSLSAAFAIASEALSLLSNTYVDGTEIDSSLRIRAIQQMARNLRTVLDSFERGTADQLLGVLLEKAPPLSLLSPINKFQPEGHLNRVARAALLSDLK RRVCADMFFMTRHAREPRLISAYLSDMVSCTQPSVMVSRITHTNTRGRQVDGVLVTTATLKRQLLQGILQIDDTAADVPVTYGEMVLQGTNLVTALVMGKAVRGMDDVARHLL DITDPNTLNIPSIPPQSNSDSTTAGLPVNARVPADLVIVGDKLVFLEALERRVYQATRVAYPLIGNIDITFIMPMGVFQANSMDRYTRHAGDFSTVSEQDPRQFPPQGIFFYNKDGI LTQLTLRDAMGTICHSSLLDVEATLVALRQQHLDRQCYFGVYVAEGTEDTLDVQMGRFMETWADMMPHHPHWVNEHLTILQFIAPSNPRLRFELNPAFDFFVAPGDVDLPGPQ RPPEAMPTVNATLRIINGNIPVPLCPISFRDCRGTQLGLGRHTMTPATIKAVKDTFEDRAYPTIFYMLEAVIHGNERNFCALLRLLTQCIRGYWEQSHRVAFVNNFHMLMYITTYL GNGELPEVCINIYRDLLQHVRALRQTITDFTIQGEGHNGETSEALNNILTDDTFIAPILWDCDALIYRDEAARDRLPAIRVSGRNGYQALHFVDMAGHNFQRRDNVLIHGRPVRGD TGQGIPITPHHDREWGILSKIYYYIVIPAFSRGSCCTMGVRYDRLYPALQAVIVPEIPADEEAPTTPEDPRHPLHAHQLVPNSLNVYFHNAHLTVDGDALLTLQELMGDMAERTTA LVSSAPDAGAATATTRNMRIYDGALYHGLIMMAYQAYDETIATGTFFYPVPVNPLFACPEHLASLRGMTNARRVLAKMVPPIPPFLGANHHATIRQPVAYHVTHSKSDFNTLTYS LLGGYFKFTPISLTHQLRTGFHPGIAFTVVRQDRFATEQLLYAERASESYFVGQIQVHHHDAIGGVNFTLTQPRAHVDLGVGYTAVCATAALRCPLTDMGNTAQNLFFSRGGVP MLHDNVTESLRRITASGGRLNPTEPLPIFGGLRPATSAGIARGQASVCEFVAMPVSTDLQYFRTACNPRGRASGMLYMGDRDADIEAIMFDHTQSDVAYTDRATLNPWASQKH SYGDRLYNGTYNLTGASPIYSPCFKFFTPAEVNTNCNTLDRLLMEAKAVASQSSTDTEYQFKRPPGSTEMTQDPCGLFQEAYPPLCSSDAAMLRTAHAGETGADEVHLAQYLI RDASPLRGCLPLPR

## >ORF41

MAMPFEIEVLLPGELSPAETSALQKCEGKIITFSTLRHRASLVDIALSSYYINGAPPDTLSLLEAYRMRFAAVITRVIPGKLLAHAIGVGTPTPGLFIQNTSPVDLCNGDYICLLPPVF
 LIQTANCVRETGQLINIPPMPRIQDGHRRFPIYETISSWISTSSRLGDTLGTRAILRVCVFDGPSTVHPGDRTAVIQV
>ORF42
MPRVLAHSDVTACSCYVLNKPVFITMDGAMRRTADLFMADSFVQEIVGGRKQNSGGVGFDRPLFTKTARERFILYRPSTVANCAILSSVLYVYVDPAFTSNTRASGTGVAIVGR YKSDWIIFGLEHFFLRALTGTSSSEIGRCVTQCLGHILALHPNTFTNVHVSIEGNSSQDSAVAISLAIAQQFAVLEKGNVLSSAPVLLFYHSIPPGCSVAYPFFLLQKQKTPAVDYFV KRFNSGNIIASQELVSLTVKLGVDPVEYLCKQLDNLTEVIKGGMGNLDTKTYTGKGTTGTMSDDLMVALIMSVYIGSSCIPDSVFMPIK
>ORF43 / ORF43C
MEAHLANETKHALWHNDHTKGLLHVVIPNAGLIAAGIDPALLILKKPGQRFKVEVQTRYHATGQCEPWCQVFAAYIPDNALTNLLIPKTEPFVSHVFSATHNSGGLILSLPVYLSP GLFFDAFNVVAIRINTGNRKHRDICIMYAELIPNGTRYFADGQRVLLLCKQLIAYIRCTPRLASSIKIYAEHMVAAMGESHTSNGDNIGPVSSIIDLDRQLTSGGIDDSPAETRIQENN RDVLELIKRAVNIVNSRHPVRPSSSRVASGLLQSAKGHGAQTSNTDPINNGSFDGVLEPPGQGRFTGKKNNSSASIPPLQDVLLFTPASTEPQSLMEWFDICYAQLVSGDTPAD FWKRRPLSIVPRHYAESPSPLIVVSYNGSSAWGGRITGSPILYHSAQAIIDAACINARVDNPQSLHVTARQELVARLPFLANVLNNQTPLPAFKPGAEMFLNQVFKQACVTSLTQ GLITELQTNPTLQQLMEYDIADSSQTVIDEIVARTPDLIQTIVSVLTEMSMDAFYNSSLMYAVLAYLSSVYTRPQGGGYIPYLHASFPCWLGNRSIYLFDYYNSGGEILKLSKVPVP VALEKVGIGNSTQLRGKFIRSADIVDIGICSKYLPGQCYAYICLGFNQQLQSILVLPGGFAACFCITDTLQAALPASLIGPILDRFCFSIPNPHK

## >ORF44
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MELQRIFPLYTATGAARKLTPEAVQRLCDALTLDMGLWKSILTDPRVKIMRSTAFITLRIAPFIPLQTDTTNIAVVVATIYITRPRQMNLPPKTFHVIVNFNYEVSYAMTATLRIYPVE NIDHVFGATFKNPIAYPLPTSIPDPRADPTPADLTPTPNLSNYLQPPRLPKNPYACKVISPGVWWSDERRRLYVLAMEPNLIGLCPAGWHARILGSVLNRLLSHADGCDECNHRV HVGALYALPHVTNHAEGCVCWAPCMWRKAGQRELKVEVDIGATQVLFVDVTTCIRITSTKNPRITANLGDVIAGTNASGLSVPVNSSGWQLYMFGETLSRAIINGCGLLQRICFP ETQRLSGEPEPTTT
>ORF45
MSLIMFGRTLGEESVRYFERLKRRRDERFGTLESPTPCSTRQGSLGNATQIPFLNFAIDVTRRHQAVIPGIGTLHNCCEYIPLFSATARRAMFGAFLSSTGYNCTPNVVLKPWRY SVNANVSPELKKAVSSVQFYEYSPEEAAPHRNAYSGVMNTFRAFSLSDSFCQLSTFTQRFSYLVETSFESIEECGSHGKRAKVDVPIYGRYKGTLELFQKMILMHTTHFISSVLL GDHADRVDCFLRTVFNTPSVSDSVLEHFKQKSTVFLVPRRHGKTWFLVPLIALVMATFRGIKVGYTAHIRKATEPVFEGIKSRLEQWFGANYVDHVKGESITFSFTDGSYSTAVF ASSHNTNVSVL
>ORF46
MSGHTPTYASHRRNRVKLVEAHNRAGLFKERTLDLIRGGASVQDPAFVYAFTAAKEACADLNNQLRSAARIASVEQKIRDIQSKVEEQTSIQQILNTNRRYIAPDFIRGLDKTEDD NTDNIDRLEDAVGPNIEHENHTWFGEDDEALLTQWMLTTHPPTSKYLQLQDLCVPTTIPTDMNQMQPQPISKNENPPTPHTDV
>ORF47
MDADDTPPNLQISPTAGPLRSHHNTDGHEPNATAADQQERESTNPTHGCVNHPWANPSTATCMESPERSQQTSLFLLKHGLTRDPIHQRERVDVFPQFNKPPWVFRISKLSR LIVPIFTLNEQLCFSKLQIRDRPRFAGRGTYGRVHIYPSSKIAVKTMDSRVFNRELINAILASEGSIRAGERLGISSIVCLLGFSLQTKQLLFPAYDMDMDEYIVRLSRRLTIPDHIDRK IAHVFLDLAQALTFLNRTCGLTHLDVKCGNIFLNVDNFASLEITTAVIGDYSLVTLNTYSLCTRAIFEVGNPSHPEHVLRVPRDASQMSFRLVLSHGTNQPPEILLDYINGTGLTKYT GTLPQRVGLAIDLYALGQALLEVILLGRLPGQLPISVHRTPHYHYYGHKLSPDLALDTLAYRCVLAPYILPSDIPGDLNYNPFIHAGELNTRISRNSLRRIFQCHAVRYGVTHSKLFE GIRIPASLYPATVVTSLLCHDNSEIRSDHPLLWHDRDWIGST
>ORF48
MARSGLDRIDISPQPAKKIARVGGLQHPFVKTDINTINVEHHFIDTLQKTSPNMDCRGMTAGIFIRLSHMYKILTTLESPNDVTYTTPGSTNALFFKTSTQPQEPRPEELASKLTQD DIKRILLTIESETRGQGDNAIWTLLRRNLITASTLKWSVSGPVIPPQWFYHHNTTDTYGDAAAMAFGKTNEPAARAIVEALFIDPADIRTPDHLTPEATTKFFNFDMLNTKSPSLLV GTPRIGTYECGLLIDVRTGLIGASLDVLVCDRDPLTGTLNPHPAETDISFFEIKCRAKYLFDPDDKNNPLGRTYTTLINRPTMANLRDFLYTIKNPCVSFFGPSANPSTREALITDHV EWKRLGFKGGRALTELDAHHLGLNRTISSRVWVFNDPDIQKGTITTIAWATGDTALQIPVFANPRHANFKQIAVQTYVLSGYFPALKLRPFLVTFIGRVRRPHEVGVPLRVDTQA AAIYEYNWPTIPPHCAVPVIAVLTPIEVDVPRVTQILKDTGNNAITSALRSLRWDNLHPAVEEESVDCANGTTSLLRATEKPLL
>ORF49
MGQSSSSGRGGICGLCKRYNELVTCNGETVALNSEFFEDFDFDENVTEDADKSTQRRPRVIDVTPKRKPSGKSSHSKCAKC
>ORF50 / ORF50C
MGTQKKGPRSEKVSPYDTTTPEVEALDHQMDTLNWRIWIIQVMMFTLGAVMLLATLIAASSEYTGIPCFYAAVVDYELFNATLDGGVWSGNRGGYSAPVLFLEPHSVVAFTYYT ALTAMAMAVYTLITAAIIHRETKNQRVRQSSGVAWLVVDPTTLFWGLLSLWLLNAVVLLLAYKQIGVAATLYLGHFATSVIFTTYFCGRGKLDETNIKAVANLRQQSVFLYRLAGPT RAVFVNLMAALMAICILFVSLMLELVVANHLHTGLWSSVSVAMSTFSTLSVVYLIVSELILAHYIHVLIGPSLGTLVACATLGTAAHSYMDRLYDPISVQSPRLIPTTRGTLACLAVFS VVMLLLRLMRAYVYHRQKRSRFYGAVRRVPERVRGYIRKVKPAHRNSRRTNYPSQGYGYVYENDSTYETDREDELLYERSNSGWE
>ORF51
MSPNTGESNAAVYASSTQLARALYGGDLVSWIKHTHPGISLELQLDVPVKLIKPGMSQTRPVTVVRAPMGSGKTTALLEWLQHALKADISVLVVSCRRSFTQTLIQRFNDAGLS GFVTYLTSETYIMGFKRLIVQLESLHRVSSEAIDSYDVLILDEVMSVIGQLYSPTMRRLSAVDSLLYRLLNRCSQIIAMDATVNSQFIDLISGLRGDENIHTIVCTYAGVGFSGRTCTI
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LRDMGIDTLVRVIKRSPEHEDVRTIHQLRGTFFDELALRLQCGHNICIFSSTLSFSELVAQFCAIFTDSILILNSTRPLCNVNEWKHFRVLVYTTVVTVGLSFDMAHFHSMFAYIKPM SYGPDMVSVYQSLGRVRLLLLNEVLMYVDGSRTRCGPLFSPMLLNFTIANKFQWFPTHTQITNKLCCAFRQRCANAFTRSNTHLFSRFKYKHLFERCSLWSLADSINILQTLLAS NQILVVLDGMGPITDVSPVQFCAFIHDLRHSANAVASCMRSLRQDNDSCLTDFGPSGFMADNITAFMEKYLMESINTEEQIKVFKALACPIEQPRLVNTAILGACIRIPEALEAFDV FQKIYTHYASGWFPVLDKTGEFSIATITTAPNLTTHWELFRRCAYIAKTLKWNPSTEGCVTQVLDTDINTLFNQHGDSLAQLIFEVMRCNVTDAKIILNRPVWRTTGFLDGCHNQC FRPIPTKHEYNIALFRLIWEQLFGARVTKSTQTFPGSTRVKNLKKKDLETLLDSINVDRSACRTYRQLYNLLMSQRHSFSQQRYKITAPAWARHVYFQAHQMHLAPHAEAMLQL ALSELSPGSWPRINGAVNFESL
>ORF52
MDATQITLVRESGHICAASIYTSWTQSGQLTQNGLSVLYYLLCKNSCGKYVPKFAEITVQQEDLCRYSRHGGSVSAATFASICRAASSAALDAWPLEPLGNADTWRCLHGTALA TLRRVLGFKSFYSPVTFETDTNTGLLLKTIPDEHALNNDNTPSTGVLRANFPVAIDVSAVSACNAHTQGTSLAYARLTALKSNGDTQQQTPLDVEVITPKAYIRRKYKSTFSPPIER EGQTSDLFNLEERRLVLSGNRAIVVRVLLPCYFDCLTTDSTVTSSLSILATYRLWYAAAFGKPGVVRPIFAYLGPELNPKGEDRDYFCTVGFPGWTTLRTQTPAVESIRTATEMY METDGLWPVTGIQAFHYLAPWGQHPPLPPRVQDLIGQIPQDTGHADATVNWDAGRISTVFKQPVQLQDRWMAKFDFSAFFPTIYCAMFPMHFRLGKIVLARMRRGMGCLKPA LVSFFGGLRHILPSIYKAIIFIANEISLCVEQTALEQGFAICTYIKDGFWGIFTDLHTRNVCSDQARCSALNLAATCERAVTGLLRIQLGLNFTPAMEPVLRVEGVYTHAFTWCTTGS WLWNLQTNTPPDLVGVPWRSQAARDLKERLSGLLCTATKIRERIQENCIWDHVLYDIWAGQVVEAARKTYVDFFEHVFDRRYTPVYWSLQEQNSETKAIPASYLTYGHMQDK DYKPRQIIMVRNPNPHGPPTVVYWELLPSCACIPPIDCAAHLKPLIHTFVTIINHLLDAHNDFSSPSLKFTDDPLASYNFLFL
>ORF53
MQRIRPYWIKFEQTGGAGMADGMSGINIPSILGCSVTIDNLLTRAEEGLDVSDVIEDLRIQAIPRFVCEAREVTGLKPRFLANSVVSLRVKPEHQETVLVVVNGDSSEVSCDRYYM ECVTQPAFRGFIFSVLTAVEDRVYTVGVPPRLLIYRMTLFRPDNVLDFTLCVILMYLEGIGPSGASPSLFVQLSVYLRRVECQIGPLEKMRRFLYEGVLWLLNTLMYVVDNNPFTK TRVLPHYMFVKLLNPQPGTAPNIIKAIYSCGVGQRFDLPHGTPPCPDGVVQVPPGLLNGPLRDSEYQKSVYFWWLNRTMVTPKNVQLFETYKNSPRVVK
>ORF54
MAEITSLFNNSSGSEEKRIASSVSIDQGLNGSNPNDQYKNMFDIYWNEYAPDIGFCTFPEEDGWMLIHPTTQSMLFRKILAGDFGYTDGQGIYSAVRSTETVIRQVQATVLMNAL DATRYEDLAADWEHHIQQCNLHAGALAERYGLCGESEAVRLAHQVFETWRQTLQSSLLEFLRGITGCLYTSGLNGRVGFAKYVDWIACVGIVPVVRKVRSEQNGTPAPLNTYM GQAAELSQMLKVADATLARGAAVVTSLVECMQNVAIMDYDRTRLYYNYNRRLIMAKDDVTGMKGECLVVWPPVVCGEGVVFDSPLQRLSGEVLACYALREHARVCQVLNTAP LRVLIGRRNEDDRSHSTRAVDRIMGENDTTRAGSAASRLVKLIVNLKNMRHVGDITETVRSYLEETGNHILEGSGSVDTSQPGFGKANQSFNGGAMSGTTNVQSAFKTSVVNSI NGMLEGYVNNLFKTIEGLKDVNSDLTERLQFKEGELKRLREERVKIKPSKGSHITMAEETRIADLNHEVIDLTGIIGDDAYIANSFQSRYIPPYGDDIKRLSELWKQELVRCFKLHR VNNNQGQEISVSYSNASISLLVAPYFSFILRATRLGFLVTQSEVHRSEEELCQAIFKKARTESYLSQIRILYEMQVRAEVIKRGPRRTPSPSWGLPDPTEDDERIPEPNKINNQYM HVGYKNLSHFMKGHPPERLRVHKVNAADSTLLDKIRANRRRGDGRWDVRNKYTQHFRLQRNDRQLTNTSRRGVGCERRDRRS
>ORF55
MKRSISVDSSSPKNVFNPETPNGFDDSVYLNFTSMHSIQPILSRIRELAAITIPKERVPRLCWFKQLLELQAPPEMQRNELPFSVYLISGNAGSGKSTCIQTLNEAIDCIITGSTRVA AQNVHAKLSTAYASRPINTIFHEFGFRGNHIQAQLGRYAYNWTTTPPSIEDLQKRDIVYYWEVLIDITKRVFQMGDDGRGGTSTFKTLWAIERLLNKPTGSMSGTAFIACGSLPAF TRSNVIVIDEAGLLGRHILTAVVYCWWLLNAIYQSPQYINGRKPVIVCVGSPTQTDSLESHFQHDMQRSHVTPSENILTYIICNQTLRQYTNISHNWAIFINNKRCQEDDFGNLLKT LEYGLPITEAHARLVDTFVVPASYINNPANLPGWTRLYSSHKEVSAYMSKLHAHLKLSKNDHFSVFALPTYTFIRLTAFDEYRKLTGQPGLSVEHWIRANSGRLHNYSQSRDHD MGTVKYETHSNRDLIVARTDITYVLNSLVVVTTRLRKLVIGFSGTFQSFAKVLRDDSFVKARGETSIEYAYRFLSNLIFGGLINFYNFLLNKNLHPDKVSLAYKRLAALTLELLSGTN KAPLHEAAVNGAGAGIDCDGAATSADKAFCFTKAPESKVTASIPEDPDDVIFTALNDEVIDLVYCQYEFSYPKSSNEVHAQFLLMKAIYDGRYAILAELFESSFTTAPFSAYVDNV NFNGSELLIGNVRGGLLSLALQTDTYTLLGYTFAPVPVFVEELTRKKLYRETTEMLYALHVPLMVLQDQHGFVSIVNANVCEFTESIEDAELAMATTVDYGLSSKLAMTIARSQGL SLEKVAICFTADKLRLNSVYVAMSRTVSSRFLKMNLNPLRERYEKSAEISDHILAALRDPNVHVVY
>ORF56 / ORF56C

## Appendix

MKNPQKLAITFLPLYVIPTYTLCIKALYKNTHAGLLFSFLGFVLNTPAMSISGPPTTFILYRLHGVRRVLHWTLPDHEQTLYAFTGGSRSMAVKTDARCDTMSGGMIVLQHTHTVT LLTIDCSTDFSSYAFTHRDFHLQDKPHATFAMPFMSWVGSDPTSQLYSNVGGVLSVITEDDLSMCISIVIYGLRVNRPDDQTTPTPTPHQYTSQRRQPETNCPSSPQPAFFTSD DDVLSLILRDAANA
>ORF57
MDVRERNVFGNASVATPGEHQKFVRELILSGHNNVVLQTYTGKWSDCRKHGKSVMYNTGEARHPTCKAHQR
>ORF58
MFSELPPSVPTALLQWGWGLHRGPCSIPNFKQVASQHSVQNDFTENSVDANEKFPIGHAGCIEKTKDDYVPFDTLFMVSSIDELGRRQLTDTIRRSLVMNACEITVACTKTAAF SGRGVSRQKHVTLSKNKFNPSSHKSLQMFVLCQKTHAPRVRNLLYESIRARRPRRYYTRSTDGKSRPLVPVFVYEFTALDRVLLHKENTLTDQPINTENSGHGRTRT
>ORF59
MDVSGEPTVCSNAYANEMKLSDSKDIYVLAHPVTKKTRKRPRGLPLGVKLDPPTFKLNNMSHHYDTETFTPVSSQLDSVEVFSKFNISPEWYDLLSDELKEPYAKGIFLEYNRLL NSGEEILPSTGDIFAWTRFCGPQSIRVVIIGQDPYPTAGHAHGLAFSVKRGITPPSSLKNIFAALMESYPNMTPPTHGCLESWARQGVLLLNTTLTVRRGTPGSHVYLGWGRLVQ RVLQRLCENRTGLVFMLWGAHAQKTTQPNSRCHLVLTHAHPSPLSRVPFRNCRHFVQANEYFTRKGEPEIDWSV
>ORF60 / ORF60C
MASHKWLLQIVFLKTITIAYCLHLQDDTPLFFGAKPLSDVSLIITEPCVSSVYEAWDYAAPPVSNLSEALSGIVVKTKCPVPEVILWFKDKQMAYWTNPYVTLKGLAQSVGEEHKS GDIRDALLDALSGVWVDSTPSSTNIPENGCVWGADRLFQRVCQ

## >ORF61

MDTILAGGSGTSDASDNTCTICMSTVSDLGKTMPCLHDFCFVCIRAWTSTSVQCPLCRCPVQSILHKIVSDTSYKEYEVHPSDDDGFSEPSFEDSIDILPGDVIDLLPPSPGPSRE SIQQPTSRSSREPIQSPNPGPLQSSAREPTAESPSDSQQDSIQPPTRDSSPGVTKTCSTASFLRKVFFKDQPAVRSATPVVYGSIESAQQPRTGGQDYRDRPVSVGINQDPRT MDRLPFRATDRGTEGNARFPCYMQPLLGWLDDQLAELYQPEIVEPTKMLILNYIGIYGRDEAGLKTSLRCLLHDSTGPFVTNMLFLLDRCTDPTRLTMQTWTWKDTAIQLITGPI VRPETTSTGETSRGDERDTRLVNTPQKVRLFSVLPGIKPGSARGAKRRLFHTGRDVKRCLTIDLTSESDSACKGSKTRKVASPQGESNTPSTSGSTSGSLKHLTKKSSAGKAG KGIPNKMKKS
>ORF62
MDTPPMQRSTPQRAGSPDTLELMDLLDAAAAAAEHRARVVTSSQPDDLLFGENGVMVGREHEIVSIPSVSGLQPEPRTEDVGEELTQDDYVCEDGQDLMGSPVIPLAEVFHT RFSEAGAREPTGADRSLETVSLGTKLARSPKPPMNDGETGRGTTPPFPQAFSPVSPASPVGDAAGNDQREDQRSIPRQTTRGNSPGLPSVVHRDRQTQSISGKKPGDEQAG HAHASGDGVVLQKTQRPAQGKSPKKKTLKVKVPLPARKPGGPVPGPVEQLYHVLSDSVPAKGAKADLPFETDDTRPRKHDARGITPRVPGRSSGGKPRAFLALPGRSHAPDP IEDDSPVEKKPKSREFVSSSSSSSSWGSSSEDEDDEPRRVSVGSETTGSRSGREHAPSPSNSDDSDSNDGGSTKQNIQPGYRSISGPDPRIRKTKRLAGEPGRQRQKSFSLP RSRTPIIPPVSGPLMMPDGSPWPGSAPLPSNRVRFGPSGETREGHWEDEAVRAARARYEASTEPVPLYVPELGDPARQYRALINLIYCPDRDPIAWLQNPKLTGVNSALNQFY QKLLPPGRAGTAVTGSVASPVPHVGEAMATGEALWALPHAAAAVAMSRRYDRAQKHFILQSLRRAFASMAYPEATGSSPAARISRGHPSPTTPATQAPDPQPSAAARSLSVC PPDDRLRTPRKRKSQPVESRSLLDKIRETPVADARVADDHVVSKAKRRVSEPVTITSGPVVDPPAVITMPLDGPAPNGGFRRIPRGALHTPVPSDQARKAYCTPETIARLVDDPL FPTAWRPALSFDPGALAEIAARRPGGGDRRFGPPSGVEALRRRCAWMRQIPDPEDVRLLIIYDPLPGEDINGPLESTLATDPGPSWSPSRGGLSVVLAALSNRLCLPSTHAWA GNWTGPPDVSALNARGVLLLSTRDLAFAGAVEYLGSRLASARRRLLVLDAVALERWPRDGPALSQYHVYVRAPARPDAQAVVRWPDSAVTEGLARAVFASSRTFGPASFARI ETAFANLYPGEQPLCLCRGGNVAYTVCTRAGPKTRVPLSPREYRQYVLPGFDGCKDLARQSRGLGLGAADFVDEAAHSHRAANRWGLGAALRPVFLPEGRRPGAAGPEAGD VPTWARVFCRHALLEPDPAAEPLVLPPVAGRSVALYASADEARNALPPIPRVMWPPGFGAAETVLEGSDGTRFVFGHHGGSERPSETQAGRQRRTADDREHALELDDWEVG CEDAWDSEEGGGDDGDAPGSSFGVSIVSVAPGVLRDRRVGLRPAVKVELLSSSSSSEDEDDVWGGRGGRSPPQSRG

## Appendix

## >ORF63

MFCTSPATRGDSSESKPGASVDVNGKMEYGSAPGPLNGRDTSRGPGAFCTPGWEIHPARLVEDINRVFLCIAQSSGRVTRDSRRLRRICLDFYLMGRTRQRPTLACWEELLQ LQPTQTQCLRATLMEVSHRPPRGEDGFIEAPNVPLHRSALECDVSDDGGEDDSDDDGSTPSDVIEFRDSDAESSDGEDFIVEEESEESTDSCEPDGVPGDCYRDGDGCNTPS PKRPQRAIERYAGAETAEYTAAKALTALGEGGVDWKRRRHEAPRRHDIPPPHGV
>ORF64
MNLCGSRGEHPGGEYAGLYCTRHDTPAHQALMNDAERYFAAALCAISTEAYEAFIHSPSERPCASLWGRAKDAFGRMCGELAADRQRPPSVPPIRRAVLSLLREQCMPDPQS HLELSERLILMAYWCCLGHAGLPTIGLSPDNKCIRAELYDRPGGICHRLFDAYLGCGSLGVPRTYERS
>ORF65 / ORF65N
MAGQNTMEGEAVALLMEAVVTPRAQPNNTTITAIQPSRSAEKCYYSDSENETADEFLRRIGKYQHKIYHRKKFCYITLIIVFVFAMTGAAFALGYITSQFVG
>ORF66
MNDVDATDTFVGQGKFRGAISTSPSHIMQTCGFIQQMFPVEMSPGIESEDDPNYDVNMDIQSFNIFDGVHETEAEASVALCAEARVGINKAGFVILKTFTPGAEGFAFACMDSK TCEHVVIKAGQRQGTATEATVLRALTHPSVVQLKGTFTYNKMTCLILPRYRTDLYCYLAAKRNLPICDILAIQRSVLRALQYLHNNSIIHRDIKSENIFINHPGDVCVGDFGAACFPV DINANRYYGWAGTIATNSPELLARDPYGPAVDIWSAGIVLFEMATGQNSLFERDGLDGNCDSERQIKLIIRRSGTHPNEFPINPTSNLRRQYIGLAKRSSRKPGSRPLWTNLYEL PIDLEYLICKMLSFDARHRPSAEVLLNHSVFQTLPDPYPNPMEVGD
>ORF67 / ORF67N / ORF67C
MFLIQCLISAVIFYIQVTNALIFKGDHVSLQVNSSLTSILIPMQNDNYTEIKGQLVFIGEQLPTGTNYSGTLELLYADTVAFCFRSVQVIRYDGCPRIRTSAFISCRYKHSWHYGNSTD RISTEPDAGVMLKITKPGINDAGVYVLLVRLDHSRSTDGFILGVNVYTAGSHHNIHGVIYTSPSLQNGYSTRALFQQARLCDLPATPKGSGTSLFQHMLDLRAGKSLEDNPWLHE DVVTTETKSVVKEGIENHVYPTDMSTLPEKSLNDPPENLLIIIPIVASVMILTAMVIVIVISVKRRRIKKHPIYRPNTKTRRGIQNATPESDVMLEAAIAQLATIREESPPHSVVNPFVK
>ORF68 / ORF68F / ORF68C
MGTVNKPVVGVLMGFGIITGTLRITNPVRASVLRYDDFHIDEDKLDTNSVYEPYYHSDHAESSWVNRGESSRKAYDHNSPYIWPRNDYDGFLENAHEHHGVYNQGRGIDSGER LMQPTQMSAQEDLGDDTGIHVIPTLNGDDRHKIVNVDQRQYGDVFKGDLNPKPQGQRLIEVSVEENHPFTLRAPIQRIYGVRYTETWSFLPSLTCTGDAAPAIQHICLKHTTCFQ DVVVDVDCAENTKEDQLAEISYRFQGKKEADQPWIVVNTSTLFDELELDPPEIEPGVLKVLRTEKQYLGVYIWNMRGSDGTSTYATFLVTWKGDEKTRNPTPAVTPQPRGAEF HMWNYHSHVFSVGDTFSLAMHLQYKIHEAPFDLLLEWLYVPIDPTCQPMRLYSTCLYHPNAPQCLSHMNSGCTFTSPHLAQRVASTVYQNCEHADNYTAYCLGISHMEPSFGL ILHDGGTTLKFVDTPESLSGLYVFVVYFNGHVEAVAYTVVSTVDHFVNAIEERGFPPTAGQPPATTKPKEITPVNPGTSPLLRYAAWTGGLAAVVLLCLVIFLICTAKRMRVKAYR VDKSPYNQSMYYAGLPVDDFEDSESTDTEEEFGNAIGGSHGGSSYTVYIDKTR
>ORFS/L MKKVSV / ORFS/L C
MKKVSVCLCGRATGGLVGPPPENNPPPVSGRPADPGRGGQPSRGPLEREKKKRPHLPARLRGDHRGGWDFLPGNPPPPAFNKTRAFCVHPSFTARMATVHYSRRPGTPPV TLTSSPSMDDVATPIPYLPTYAEAVADAPPPYRSRESLVFSPPLFPHVENGTTQQSYDCLDCAYDGIHRLQLAFLRIRKCCVPAFLILFGILTLTAVVVAIVAVFPEEPPNSTT

## Supplementary Table S5: All VZV proteins and fragments used in this study

All proteins have been cloned as full-length ORFs (except ORF22-8kb; this was cloned only in half: ORF22N - 4kb). Underlined are fragments of proteins (N: Nterminal; C: C-terminal; F: fragments somewhere in between).If there are multiple fragments, one is underlined, the other underlined and in italics, e.g. ORF15 (normal), ORF15N (underlined), ORF15F (underlined italics). Find the file in the internet under: [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/ 1477-5956-8-8-S1.XLS
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| NN-Network |  |  |  |  | Combined Network |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Node ID | node degree | \% nodes removed | average degree | rel. average deg. | Node ID | node degree | \% nodes removed | average degree | rel. average deg. |
| all |  | 0.00\% | 2.364 | 1 | all |  | 0.00\% | 2.138 | 1.000000 |
| ORF25 | 33 | 1.82\% | 2.636 | 1.11505922 | ORF25 | 46 | 1.49\% | 2.418 | 1.130964 |
| ORF60 | 18 | 3.64\% | 2.687 | 1.13663283 | ORF24 | 29 | 2.99\% | 2.446 | 1.144060 |
| ORF27 | 18 | 5.45\% | 2.843 | 1.20262267 | ORF60 | 28 | 4.48\% | 2.476 | 1.158092 |
| ORF39 | 12 | 7.27\% | 2.959 | 1.25169205 | ORF38 | 24 | 5.97\% | 2.659 | 1.243686 |
| ORF33 | 12 | 9.09\% | 3.048 | 1.2893401 | ORF18 | 23 | 7.46\% | 2.697 | 1.261459 |
| ORF65 | 11 | 10.91\% | 3.084 | 1.30456853 | ORF9a | 22 | 8.96\% | 2.751 | 1.286717 |
| ORF33.5 | 10 | 12.73\% | 3.037 | 1.28468697 | ORF19 | 21 | 10.45\% | 2.775 | 1.297942 |
| ORF23 | 10 | 14.55\% | 2.862 | 1.2106599 | ORF39 | 21 | 11.94\% | 2.835 | 1.326006 |
| ORF22 | 10 | 16.36\% | 3.241 | 1.37098139 | ORF26 | 21 | 13.43\% | 2.877 | 1.345650 |
| ORF62 | 9 | 18.18\% | 3.527 | 1.49196277 | ORF27 | 20 | 14.93\% | 2.792 | 1.305893 |
| ORF38 | 9 | 20.00\% | 2.89 | 1.22250423 | ORF42 | 20 | 16.42\% | 2.844 | 1.330215 |
| ORF21 | 9 | 21.82\% | 2.857 | 1.20854484 | ORF62 | 18 | 17.91\% | 3.0009 | 1.403601 |
| ORF19 | 9 | 23.64\% | 3.224 | 1.36379019 | ORF33 | 18 | 19.40\% | 3.118 | 1.458372 |
| ORF16 | 9 | 25.45\% | 2 | 0.84602369 | ORF68 | 17 | 20.90\% | 3.21 | 1.501403 |
| ORF24 | 8 | 27.27\% | 1.806 | 0.76395939 | ORF3 | 16 | 22.39\% | 3.57 | 1.669785 |
| ORF68 | 7 | 29.09\% | 1.829 | 0.77368866 | ORF65 | 15 | 23.88\% | 3.679 | 1.720767 |
| ORF42 | 7 | 30.91\% | 1.767 | 0.74746193 | ORF23 | 15 | 25.37\% | 3.894 | 1.821328 |
| ORF3 | 7 | 32.73\% | 1.609 | 0.68062606 | ORF41 | 14 | 26.87\% | 4.11 | 1.922357 |
| ORF18 | 7 | 34.55\% | 1.3125 | 0.55520305 | ORF12 | 14 | 28.36\% | 4.297 | 2.009822 |
| ORF9a | 6 | 36.36\% | 1.286 | 0.54399323 | ORF56 | 14 | 29.85\% | 4.523 | 2.115529 |
| ORF41 | 6 | 38.18\% | 1.308 | 0.55329949 | ORF9 | 13 | 31.34\% | 4.024 | 1.882133 |
| ORF34 | 6 | 40.00\% | 1.273 | 0.53849408 | ORF21 | 13 | 32.84\% | 2.971 | 1.389616 |
| ORF8 | 5 | 41.82\% | 1.3 | 0.5499154 | ORF16 | 13 | 34.33\% | 3.036 | 1.420019 |
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| NN-Network |  |  |  |  | Combined Network |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Node ID | node degree | \% nodes removed | average degree | rel. average deg. | Node ID | node degree | \% nodes removed | average degree | rel. average deg. |
| ORF58 | 5 | 43.64\% | 1.3 | 0.5499154 | ORF43 | 12 | 35.82\% | 2.529 | 1.182881 |
| ORF56 | 5 | 45.45\% | 1.3 | 0.5499154 | ORF33.5 | 12 | 37.31\% | 2.25 | 1.052385 |
| ORF50 | 5 | 47.27\% | 1.143 | 0.48350254 | ORF57 | 11 | 38.81\% | 2.288 | 1.070159 |
| ORF12 | 5 | 49.09\% | 1.143 | 0.48350254 | ORF22 | 10 | 40.30\% | 2.288 | 1.070159 |
| ORF61 | 4 | 50.91\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF28 | 10 | 41.79\% | 2.316 | 1.083255 |
| ORF44 | 4 | 52.73\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF64 | 10 | 43.28\% | 2.345 | 1.096819 |
| ORF43 | 4 | 54.55\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF53 | 10 | 44.78\% | 2 | 0.935454 |
| ORF1 | 4 | 56.36\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF50 | 9 | 46.27\% | 1.72 | 0.804490 |
| S/L | 3 | 58.18\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF29 | 8 | 47.76\% | 1.72 | 0.804490 |
| ORF7 | 3 | 60.00\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF4 | 8 | 49.25\% | 1.75 | 0.818522 |
| ORF64 | 3 | 61.82\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF58 | 8 | 50.75\% | 1.75 | 0.818522 |
| ORF36 | 3 | 63.64\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF1 | 7 | 52.24\% | 1.75 | 0.818522 |
| ORF26 | 3 | 65.45\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF67 | 7 | 53.73\% | 1.773 | 0.829280 |
| ORF66 | 2 | 67.27\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF8 | 7 | 55.22\% | 1.81 | 0.846586 |
| ORF57 | 2 | 69.09\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF15 | 6 | 56.72\% | 1.81 | 0.846586 |
| ORF55 | 2 | 70.91\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF44 | 6 | 58.21\% | 1.417 | 0.662769 |
| ORF52 | 2 | 72.73\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF61 | 6 | 59.70\% | 1.143 | 0.534612 |
| ORF46 | 2 | 74.55\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF34 | 6 | 61.19\% | 1.143 | 0.534612 |
| ORF4 | 2 | 76.36\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF37 | 5 | 62.69\% | 1.143 | 0.534612 |
| ORF32 | 2 | 78.18\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | S/L | 5 | 64.18\% | 1.167 | 0.545837 |
| ORF15 | 2 | 80.00\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF32 | 5 | 65.67\% | 1.2 | 0.561272 |
| ORF11 | 2 | 81.82\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF66 | 4 | 67.16\% | 1.2 | 0.561272 |
| ORF67 | 1 | 83.64\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF46 | 4 | 68.66\% | 1.2 | 0.561272 |
| ORF53 | 1 | 85.45\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF36 | 3 | 70.15\% | 1.2 | 0.561272 |


| NN-Network |  |  |  |  | Combined Network |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Node ID | node degree | \% nodes removed | average degree | rel. average deg. | Node ID | node degree | \% nodes removed | average degree | rel. average deg. |
| ORF51 | 1 | 87.27\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF10 | 3 | 71.64\% | 1.2 | 0.561272 |
| ORF49 | 1 | 89.09\% | 1 | 0.42301184 | ORF7 | 3 | 73.13\% | 1.2 | 0.561272 |
| ORF45 | 1 | 90.9\% | N/A |  | ORF35 | 3 | 74.63\% | 1.25 | 0.584659 |
| ORF31 | 1 | 92.7\% | N/A |  | ORF11 | 3 | 76.12\% | 1 | 0.467727 |
| ORF30 | 1 | 94.5\% | N/A |  | ORF49 | 3 | 77.61\% | 1 | 0.467727 |
| ORF28 | 1 | 96.4\% | N/A |  | ORF31 | 3 | 79.10\% | 1 | 0.467727 |
| ORF2 | 1 | 98.2\% | N/A |  | ORF2 | 2 | 80.60\% | 1 | 0.467727 |
| ORF10 | 1 | 100.0\% | N/A |  | ORF13 | 2 | 82.1\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF14 | 2 | 83.6\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF55 | 2 | 85.1\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF48 | 2 | 86.6\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF52 | 2 | 88.1\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF6 | 2 | 89.6\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF51 | 1 | 91.0\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF20 | 1 | 92.5\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF63 | 1 | 94.0\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF30 | 1 | 95.5\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF59 | 1 | 97.0\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF45 | 1 | 98.5\% | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | ORF17 | 1 | 100.0\% | N/A |  |

Supplementary Table S6: Attack tolerance of the NN- and combinatorial PPI network.
The node degree of every protein in the VZV NN- and combinatorial network was calculated. The average degree was calculated after each node was removed according to the node degree in decreasing order. The average degree of the whole network was set to 1 and for every node removed the respective relative average degree was calculated.

| NN Network |  | Combined Network |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degree | Number of Nodes | Degree | Number of Nodes |
| 1 | 10 | 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 9 | 2 | 8 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| 6 | 4 | 6 | 3 |
| 7 | 4 | 7 | 2 |
| 8 | 1 | 8 | 4 |
| 9 | 3 | 9 | 1 |
| 10 | 5 | 10 | 4 |
| 11 | 1 | 11 | 2 |
| 13 | 2 | 12 | 2 |
| 18 | 1 | 13 | 2 |
| 19 | 1 | 14 | 3 |
| 34 | 1 | 15 | 3 |
|  |  | 17 | 2 |
|  |  | 18 | 1 |
|  |  | 19 | 1 |
|  |  | 21 | 2 |
|  |  | 22 | 3 |
|  |  | 23 | 1 |
|  |  | 24 | 2 |
|  |  | 29 | 1 |
|  |  | 30 | 1 |
|  |  | 47 | 1 |

## Supplementary Table S7: Node degree distribution of the NN- and combinatorial PPI

 network.The degree of a protein is synonymous to the number of interaction partners connected by an edge in the network graph. The number of nodes is the amount of proteins that share the same number of PPIs. Characteristic for scale-free networks is that many proteins have only a few interaction partners while a decreasing portion of proteins show many interactions, so called hub-proteins.

## Appendix

| PLATE | ROW | COL | POS | CLASS | GENE_ID_X | SYMBOL_BAIT | ACCESSION I | GENE_ID_Y | SYMBOL_PREY | ACCESSION II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plate1 | A | 1 | 1 | gold_positive_fl | 3937 | LCP2 | BC016618 | 4690 | NCK1 | BC006403 |
| Plate1 | B | 1 | 2 | gold_negative | 4670 | HNRPM | BC000138 | 8743 | TNFSF10 | BC032722 |
| Plate1 | C | 1 | 3 | gold_positive_fl | 2171 | FABP5 | BC019385 | 6278 | S100A7 | BC034687 |
| Plate1 | D | 1 | 4 | gold_negative | 6472 | SHMT2 | BC013677 | 246329 | STAC3 | BC008069 |
| Plate1 | E | 1 | 5 | gold_positive_fl | 7189 | TRAF6 | BC031052 | 257397 | TAB3 | BC032526 |
| Plate1 | F | 1 | 6 | gold_negative | 2010 | EMD | BC000738 | 55156 | ARMC1 | BC011607 |
| Plate1 | G | 1 | 7 | gold_negative | 5162 | PDHB | BC000439 | 51530 | ZC3HC1 | BC011551 |
| Plate1 | H | 1 | 8 | gold_positive_fl | 6277 | S100A6 | BC009017 | 6285 | S100B | BC001766 |
| Plate1 | A | 2 | 9 | gold_negative | 2287 | FKBP3 | BC016288 | 4835 | NQO2 | BC006096 |
| Plate1 | B | 2 | 10 | gold_positive_fl | 9402 | GRAP2 | BC025692 | 27040 | LAT | BC011563 |
| Plate1 | C | 2 | 11 | gold_negative | 5865 | RAB3B | BC005035 | 91653 | BOC | BC034614 |
| Plate1 | D | 2 | 12 | gold_positive_fl | 3937 | LCP2 | BC016618 | 9402 | GRAP2 | BC025692 |
| Plate1 | E | 2 | 13 | gold_positive_fl | 27258 | LSM3 | BC007055 | 57819 | LSM2 | BC009192 |
| Plate1 | F | 2 | 14 | gold_negative | 2584 | GALK1 | BC001166 | 56922 | MCCC1 | BC004187 |
| Plate1 | G | 2 | 15 | gold_negative | 2820 | GPD2 | BC019874 | 79680 | FLJ21125 | BC011679 |
| Plate1 | H | 2 | 16 | gold_negative | 5711 | PSMD5 | BC014478 | 55356 | SLC22A15 | BC026358 |
| Plate1 | A | 3 | 17 | gold_positive_fl | 5747 | PTK2 | BC028733 | 6714 | SRC | BC011566 |
| Plate1 | B | 3 | 18 | gold_positive_fl | 3434 | IFIT1 | BC007091 | 3646 | EIF3S6 | BC008419 |
| Plate1 | C | 3 | 19 | gold_negative | 5537 | PPP6C | BC006990 | 59348 | ZNF350 | BC009921 |
| Plate1 | D | 3 | 20 | gold_negative | 975 | CD81 | BC002978 | 10577 | NPC2 | BC002532 |
| Plate1 | E | 3 | 21 | gold_positive_fl | 5710 | PSMD4 | BC002365 | 5886 | RAD23A | BC014026 |
| Plate1 | F | 3 | 22 | gold_negative | 685 | BTC | BC011618 | 84726 | KIAA0515 | BC012289 |
| Plate1 | G | 3 | 23 | gold_positive_fl | 4171 | MCM2 | BC007670 | 4172 | MCM3 | BC001626 |
| Plate1 | H | 3 | 24 | gold_positive_fl | 578 | BAK1 | BC004431 | 598 | BCL2L1 | BC019307 |
| Plate1 | A | 4 | 25 | gold_positive_fl | 4999 | ORC2L | BC014834 | 55388 | MCM10 | BC009108 |
| Plate1 | B | 4 | 26 | gold_positive_fl | 2908 | NR3C1 | BC015610 | 5970 | RELA | BC011603 |
| Plate1 | C | 4 | 27 | gold_positive_fl | 1017 | CDK2 | BC003065 | 1163 | CKS1B | BC007751 |
| Plate1 | D | 4 | 28 | gold_negative | 977 | CD151 | BC013302 | 55255 | WDR41 | BC040241 |
| Plate1 | E | 4 | 29 | gold_positive_fl | 572 | BAD | BC001901 | 598 | BCL2L1 | BC019307 |
| Plate1 | F | 4 | 30 | gold_positive_fl | 3091 | HIF1A | BC012527 | 7157 | TP53 | BC003596 |
| Plate1 | G | 4 | 31 | gold_positive_fl | 5576 | PRKAR2A | BC002763 | 7430 | VIL2 | BC013903 |
| Plate1 | H | 4 | 32 | gold_positive_fl | 896 | CCND3 | BC011616 | 1021 | CDK6 | BC027989 |
| Plate1 | A | 5 | 33 | gold_positive_fl | 627 | BDNF | BC029795 | 4909 | NTF5 | BC012421 |
| Plate1 | B | 5 | 34 | gold_negative | 5152 | PDE9A | BC009047 | 161253 | FLJ38964 | BC035663 |
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## Appendix

| PLATE | ROW | COL | POS | CLASS | GENE_ID_X | SYMBOL_BAIT | ACCESSION I | GENE_ID_Y | SYMBOL_PREY | ACCESSION II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plate1 | C | 5 | 35 | gold_positive_fl | 2246 | FGF1 | BC032697 | 2260 | FGFR1 | BC015035 |
| Plate1 | D | 5 | 36 | gold_negative | 821 | CANX | BC003552 | 29028 | ATAD2 | BC019909 |
| Plate1 | E | 5 | 37 | gold_positive_fl | 4085 | MAD2L1 | BC000356 | 8379 | MAD1L1 | BC009964 |
| Plate1 | F | 5 | 38 | gold_positive_fl | 3726 | JUNB | BC004250 | 10538 | BATF | BC032294 |
| Plate1 | G | 5 | 39 | gold_negative | 2639 | GCDH | BC002579 | 84240 | ZCCHC9 | BC032736 |
| Plate1 | H | 5 | 40 | gold_negative | 2898 | GRIK2 | BC037954 | 151188 | ARL6IP6 | BC028741 |
| Plate1 | A | 6 | 41 | gold_negative | 5995 | RGR | BC011349 | 55324 | ABCF3 | BC009253 |
| Plate1 | B | 6 | 42 | gold_positive_fl | 1649 | DDIT3 | BC003637 | 2353 | FOS | BC004490 |
| Plate1 | C | 6 | 43 | gold_negative | 3920 | LAMP2 | BC002965 | 7327 | UBE2G2 | BC001738 |
| Plate1 | D | 6 | 44 | gold_positive_fl | 2919 | CXCL1 | BC011976 | 3579 | IL8RB | BC037961 |
| Plate1 | E | 6 | 45 | gold_negative | 5008 | OSM | BC011589 | 146542 | LOC146542 | BC018997 |
| Plate1 | F | 6 | 46 | gold_negative | 4331 | MNAT1 | BC000820 | 29926 | GMPPA | BC007456 |
| Plate1 | G | 6 | 47 | gold_negative | 2173 | FABP7 | BC012299 | 6811 | STX5A | BC002645 |
| Plate1 | H | 6 | 48 | gold_negative | 572 | BAD | BC001901 | 8817 | FGF18 | BC006245 |
| Plate1 | A | 7 | 49 | gold_positive_fl | 4097 | MAFG | BC012327 | 4779 | NFE2L1 | BC010623 |
| Plate1 | B | 7 | 50 | gold_negative | 6317 | SERPINB3 | BC005224 | 10671 | DCTN6 | BC013175 |
| Plate1 | C | 7 | 51 | gold_negative | 3059 | HCLS1 | BC016758 | 6297 | SALL2 | BC024245 |
| Plate1 | D | 7 | 52 | gold_positive_fl | 2908 | NR3C1 | BC015610 | 3320 | HSPCA | BC023006 |
| Plate1 | E | 7 | 53 | gold_negative | 5031 | P2RY6 | BC009391 | 7726 | TRIM26 | BC032297 |
| Plate1 | F | 7 | 54 | gold_negative | 2277 | FIGF | BC027948 | 7597 | ZNF46 | BC035804 |
| Plate1 | G | 7 | 55 | gold_negative | 3705 | ITPK1 | BC018192 | 80723 | TMEM22 | BC022557 |
| Plate1 | H | 7 | 56 | gold_negative | 5627 | PROS1 | BC015801 | 10494 | STK25 | BC007852 |
| Plate1 | A | 8 | 57 | gold_positive_fl | 3065 | HDAC1 | BC000301 | 7704 | ZBTB16 | BC026902 |
| Plate1 | B | 8 | 58 | gold_positive_fl | 467 | ATF3 | BC006322 | 1649 | DDIT3 | BC003637 |
| Plate1 | C | 8 | 59 | gold_negative | 5156 | PDGFRA | BC015186 | 80762 | NDFIP1 | BC004317 |
| Plate1 | D | 8 | 60 | gold_negative | 3109 | HLA-DMB | BC027175 | 5664 | PSEN2 | BC006365 |
| Plate1 | E | 8 | 61 | gold_positive_fl | 3958 | LGALS3 | BC001120 | 3959 | LGALS3BP | BC002403 |
| Plate1 | F | 8 | 62 | gold_negative | 6529 | SLC6A1 | BC033904 | 7104 | TM4SF4 | BC001386 |
| Plate1 | G | 8 | 63 | gold_positive_fl | 2237 | FEN1 | BC000323 | 5111 | PCNA | BC000491 |
| Plate1 | H | 8 | 64 | gold_positive_fl | 207 | AKT1 | BC000479 | 8115 | TCL1A | BC003574 |
| Plate1 | A | 9 | 65 | gold_positive_fl | 3481 | IGF2 | BC000531 | 3487 | IGFBP4 | BC016041 |
| Plate1 | B | 9 | 66 | gold_negative | 5502 | PPP1R1A | BC022470 | 414918 | MGC33692 | BC031069 |
| Plate1 | C | 9 | 67 | gold_negative | 4841 | NONO | BC012141 | 7439 | VMD2 | BC015220 |
| Plate1 | D | 9 | 68 | gold_positive_fl | 5824 | PEX19 | BC000496 | 8799 | PEX11B | BC011963 |

## Appendix

| PLATE | ROW | COL | POS | CLASS | GENE_ID_X | SYMBOL_BAIT | ACCESSION I | GENE_ID_Y | SYMBOL_PREY | ACCESSION II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plate1 | E | 9 | 69 | gold_positive_fl | 4686 | NCBP1 | BC001450 | 22916 | NCBP2 | BC001255 |
| Plate1 | F | 9 | 70 | gold_negative | 2555 | GABRA2 | BC022488 | 9050 | PSTPIP2 | BC035395 |
| Plate1 | G | 9 | 71 | gold_positive_fl | 2175 | FANCA | BC008979 | 2189 | FANCG | BC000032 |
| Plate1 | H | 9 | 72 | gold_positive_fl | 1026 | CDKN1A | BC000312 | 8900 | CCNA1 | BC036346 |
| Plate1 | A | 10 | 73 | gold_positive_fl | 6500 | SKP1A | BC009839 | 6502 | SKP2 | BC001441 |
| Plate1 | B | 10 | 74 | gold_positive_fl | 868 | CBLB | BC032851 | 2885 | GRB2 | BC000631 |
| Plate1 | C | 10 | 75 | gold_negative | 2107 | ETF1 | BC014269 | 55716 | LIMR | BC015015 |
| Plate1 | D | 10 | 76 | gold_negative | 2167 | FABP4 | BC003672 | 2641 | GCG | BC005278 |
| Plate1 | E | 10 | 77 | gold_negative | 347 | APOD | BC007402 | 4589 | MUC7 | BC025688 |
| Plate1 | F | 10 | 78 | gold_positive_fl | 1104 | CHC1 | BC007300 | 5901 | RAN | BC014901 |
| Plate1 | G | 10 | 79 | gold_positive_fl | 2885 | GRB2 | BC000631 | 5747 | PTK2 | BC028733 |
| Plate1 | H | 10 | 80 | gold_positive_fl | 4771 | NF2 | BC003112 | 9146 | HGS | BC003565 |
| Plate1 | A | 11 | 81 | gold_negative | 445 | ASS | BC009243 | 64785 | FLJ13912 | BC005879 |
| Plate1 | B | 11 | 82 | gold_negative | 410 | ARSA | BC014210 | 1627 | DBN1 | BC007281 |
| Plate1 | C | 11 | 83 | gold_negative | 293 | SLC25A6 | BC014775 | 7760 | ZNF213 | BC007287 |
| Plate1 | D | 11 | 84 | gold_positive_fl | 1054 | CEBPG | BC013128 | 2353 | FOS | BC004490 |
| Plate1 | E | 11 | 85 | gold_negative | 760 | CA2 | BC011949 | 5802 | PTPRS | BC029496 |
| Plate1 | F | 11 | 86 | gold_positive_fl | 5598 | MAPK7 | BC007404 | 5607 | MAP2K5 | BC008838 |
| Plate1 | G | 11 | 87 | gold_negative | 1104 | CHC1 | BC007300 | 89857 | KLHL6 | BC032348 |
| Plate1 | H | 11 | 88 | gold_positive_fl | 331 | BIRC4 | BC032729 | 836 | CASP3 | BC016926 |
| Plate1 | A | 12 | 89 | gold_negative | 3718 | JAK3 | BC028068 | 51382 | ATP6V1D | BC001411 |
| Plate1 | B | 12 | 90 | gold_positive_fl | 6271 | S100A1 | BC014392 | 6285 | S100B | BC001766 |
| Plate1 | C | 12 | 91 | gold_positive_fl | 5894 | RAF1 | BC018119 | 5906 | RAP1A | BC014086 |
| Plate1 | D | 12 | 92 | gold_negative | 1058 | CENPA | BC000881 | 53938 | PPIL3 | BC007693 |
| Plate2 | A | 1 | 1 | gold_positive_fl | 3937 | LCP2 | BC016618 | 7409 | VAV1 | BC013361 |
| Plate2 | B | 1 | 2 | gold_negative | 3119 | HLA-DQB1 | BC012106 | 220202 | ATOH7 | BC032621 |
| Plate2 | C | 1 | 3 | gold_negative | 5371 | PML | BC000080 | 23678 | SGKL | BC015326 |
| Plate2 | D | 1 | 4 | gold_negative | 4781 | NFIB | BC001283 | 114609 | TIRAP | BC032474 |
| Plate2 | E | 1 | 5 | gold_negative | 6257 | RXRB | BC001167 | 6373 | CXCL11 | BC005292 |
| Plate2 | F | 1 | 6 | gold_negative | 4060 | LUM | BC007038 | 55757 | UGCGL2 | BC032302 |
| Plate2 | G | 1 | 7 | gold_positive_fl | 5781 | PTPN11 | BC008692 | 10818 | FRS2 | BC021562 |
| Plate2 | H | 1 | 8 | gold_positive_fl | 1647 | GADD45A | BC011757 | 5111 | PCNA | BC000491 |
| Plate2 | A | 2 | 9 | gold_positive_fl | 4000 | LMNA | BC003162 | 4001 | LMNB1 | BC012295 |
| Plate2 | B | 2 | 10 | gold_positive_fl | 2885 | GRB2 | BC000631 | 7409 | VAV1 | BC013361 |

## Appendix

| PLATE | ROW | COL | POS | CLASS | GENE_ID_X | SYMBOL_BAIT | ACCESSION I | GENE_ID_Y | SYMBOL_PREY | ACCESSION II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plate2 | C | 2 | 11 | gold_negative | 1315 | COPB | BC037280 | 23516 | SLC39A14 | BC015770 |
| Plate2 | D | 2 | 12 | gold_negative | 4660 | PPP1R12B | BC034430 | 387032 | ZNF307 | BC014031 |
| Plate2 | E | 2 | 13 | gold_positive_fl | 2175 | FANCA | BC008979 | 2176 | FANCC | BC015748 |
| Plate2 | F | 2 | 14 | gold_negative | 3628 | INPP1 | BC015496 | 134510 | MGC10067 | BC013425 |
| Plate2 | G | 2 | 15 | gold_positive_fl | 3065 | HDAC1 | BC000301 | 5925 | RB1 | BC039060 |
| Plate2 | H | 2 | 16 | gold_negative | 3704 | ITPA | BC010138 | 284403 | C19orf14 | BC017261 |
| Plate2 | A | 3 | 17 | gold_positive_fl | 5159 | PDGFRB | BC032224 | 5781 | PTPN11 | BC008692 |
| Plate2 | B | 3 | 18 | gold_negative | 1748 | DLX4 | BC016145 | 117177 | RAB3IP | BC015548 |
| Plate2 | C | 3 | 19 | gold_negative | 389 | RHOC | BC007245 | 54830 | FLJ20130 | BC016327 |
| Plate2 | D | 3 | 20 | gold_negative | 821 | CANX | BC003552 | 22899 | ARHGEF15 | BC036749 |
| Plate2 | E | 3 | 21 | gold_negative | 1854 | DUT | BC033645 | 91442 | MGC32020 | BC020247 |
| Plate2 | F | 3 | 22 | gold_positive_fl | 8767 | RIPK2 | BC004553 | 10392 | CARD4 | BC040339 |
| Plate2 | G | 3 | 23 | gold_positive_fl | 5195 | PEX14 | BC006327 | 5824 | PEX19 | BC000496 |
| Plate2 | H | 3 | 24 | gold_negative | 4605 | MYBL2 | BC007585 | 55068 | FLJ10094 | BC024178 |
| Plate2 | A | 4 | 25 | gold_positive_fl | 375 | ARF1 | BC011358 | 23647 | ARFIP2 | BC000392 |
| Plate2 | B | 4 | 26 | gold_positive_fl | 4000 | LMNA | BC003162 | 5925 | RB1 | BC039060 |
| Plate2 | C | 4 | 27 | gold_positive_fl | 5824 | PEX19 | BC000496 | 8504 | PEX3 | BC015506 |
| Plate2 | D | 4 | 28 | gold_negative | 10 | NAT2 | BC015878 | 3301 | DNAJA1 | BC008182 |
| Plate2 | E | 4 | 29 | gold_positive_fl | 6118 | RPA2 | BC012157 | 6119 | RPA3 | BC005264 |
| Plate2 | F | 4 | 30 | gold_negative | 1667 | DEFA1 | BC027917 | 158427 | C9orf97 | BC022958 |
| Plate2 | G | 4 | 31 | gold_negative | 5718 | PSMD12 | BC019062 | 9419 | CRIPT | BC006980 |
| Plate2 | H | 4 | 32 | gold_positive_fl | 5979 | RET | BC004257 | 10818 | FRS2 | BC021562 |
| Plate2 | A | 5 | 33 | gold_negative | 949 | SCARB1 | BC022087 | 112885 | PHF21B | BC012187 |
| Plate2 | B | 5 | 34 | gold_positive_fl | 331 | BIRC4 | BC032729 | 842 | CASP9 | BC006463 |
| Plate2 | C | 5 | 35 | gold_positive_fl | 387 | RHOA | BC005976 | 392 | ARHGAP1 | BC018118 |
| Plate2 | D | 5 | 36 | gold_negative | 4336 | MOBP | BC022471 | 64432 | MRPS25 | BC003590 |
| Plate2 | E | 5 | 37 | gold_positive_fl | 392 | ARHGAP1 | BC018118 | 663 | BNIP2 | BC002461 |
| Plate2 | F | 5 | 38 | gold_negative | 5711 | PSMD5 | BC014478 | 93426 | C10orf94 | BC034821 |
| Plate2 | G | 5 | 39 | gold_negative | 1209 | CLPTM1 | BC004865 | 83746 | L3MBTL2 | BC017191 |
| Plate2 | H | 5 | 40 | gold_positive_fl | 331 | BIRC4 | BC032729 | 840 | CASP7 | BC015799 |
| Plate2 | A | 6 | 41 | gold_negative | 355 | FAS | BC012479 | 27258 | LSM3 | BC007055 |
| Plate2 | B | 6 | 42 | gold_negative | 5367 | PMCH | BC018048 | 79608 | RIC3 | BC022455 |
| Plate2 | C | 6 | 43 | gold_positive_fl | 5824 | PEX19 | BC000496 | 9409 | PEX16 | BC004356 |
| Plate2 | D | 6 | 44 | gold_positive_fl | 7157 | TP53 | BC003596 | 7329 | UBE2I | BC000427 |

## Appendix

| PLATE | ROW | COL | POS | CLASS | GENE_ID_X | SYMBOL_BAIT | ACCESSION I | GENE_ID_Y | SYMBOL_PREY | ACCESSION II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plate2 | E | 6 | 45 | gold_negative | 947 | CD34 | BC039146 | 90203 | C20orf161 | BC019823 |
| Plate2 | F | 6 | 46 | gold_positive_fl | 207 | AKT1 | BC000479 | 5170 | PDPK1 | BC033494 |
| Plate2 | G | 6 | 47 | gold_positive_fl | 567 | B2M | BC032589 | 3105 | HLA-A | BC008611 |
| Plate2 | H | 6 | 48 | gold_positive_fl | 914 | CD2 | BC033583 | 965 | CD58 | BC005930 |
| Plate2 | A | 7 | 49 | gold_positive_fl | 567 | B2M | BC032589 | 3107 | HLA-C | BC004489 |
| Plate2 | B | 7 | 50 | gold_negative | 4693 | NDP | BC029901 | 11163 | NUDT4 | BC012069 |
| Plate2 | C | 7 | 51 | gold_positive_fl | 1398 | CRK | BC008506 | 5159 | PDGFRB | BC032224 |
| Plate2 | D | 7 | 52 | gold_negative | 3006 | HIST1H1C | BC002649 | 56654 | NPDC1 | BC004217 |
| Plate2 | E | 7 | 53 | gold_negative | 3146 | HMGB1 | BC003378 | 7004 | TEAD4 | BC015497 |
| Plate2 | F | 7 | 54 | gold_negative | 4600 | MX2 | BC035293 | 79023 | NUP37 | BC000861 |
| Plate2 | G | 7 | 55 | gold_negative | 5991 | RFX3 | BC022191 | 57715 | SEMA4G | BC020960 |
| Plate2 | H | 7 | 56 | gold_positive_fl | 6500 | SKP1A | BC009839 | 8945 | BTRC | BC027994 |
| Plate2 | A | 8 | 57 | gold_negative | 1482 | NKX2-5 | BC025711 | 55454 | GALNACT-2 | BC030268 |
| Plate2 | B | 8 | 58 | gold_negative | 4907 | NT5E | BC015940 | 257397 | TAB3 | BC032526 |
| Plate2 | C | 8 | 59 | gold_positive_fl | 5879 | RAC1 | BC004247 | 23647 | ARFIP2 | BC000392 |
| Plate2 | D | 8 | 60 | gold_negative | 653 | BMP5 | BC027958 | 79892 | C10orf119 | BC004183 |
| Plate2 | E | 8 | 61 | gold_negative | 1264 | CNN1 | BC022015 | 27315 | FRAG1 | BC009930 |
| Plate2 | F | 8 | 62 | gold_positive_fl | 2065 | ERBB3 | BC002706 | 3084 | NRG1 | BC007675 |
| Plate2 | G | 8 | 63 | gold_negative | 1315 | COPB | BC037280 | 51440 | HPCAL4 | BC030827 |
| Plate2 | H | 8 | 64 | gold_positive_fl | 2885 | GRB2 | BC000631 | 27040 | LAT | BC011563 |
| Plate2 | A | 9 | 65 | gold_negative | 4171 | MCM2 | BC007670 | 91584 | DKFZp434G0625 | BC028744 |
| Plate2 | B | 9 | 66 | gold_negative | 2841 | GPR18 | BC008569 | 92906 | HNRPLL | BC017480 |
| Plate2 | C | 9 | 67 | gold_positive_fl | 835 | CASP2 | BC002427 | 8738 | CRADD | BC017042 |
| Plate2 | D | 9 | 68 | gold_negative | 5202 | PFDN2 | BC012464 | 126070 | ZNF440 | BC035760 |
| Plate2 | E | 9 | 69 | gold_negative | 4129 | MAOB | BC022494 | 10664 | CTCF | BC014267 |
| Plate2 | F | 9 | 70 | gold_negative | 90 | ACVR1 | BC033867 | 55280 | CWF19L1 | BC008746 |
| Plate2 | G | 9 | 71 | gold_positive_fl | 8743 | TNFSF10 | BC032722 | 8795 | TNFRSF10B | BC001281 |
| Plate2 | H | 9 | 72 | gold_negative | 318 | NUDT2 | BC004926 | 60672 | FLJ12438 | BC008068 |
| Plate2 | A | 10 | 73 | gold_positive_fl | 1081 | CGA | BC010957 | 93659 | CGB5 | BC006290 |
| Plate2 | B | 10 | 74 | gold_positive_fl | 4088 | SMAD3 | BC000414 | 4089 | SMAD4 | BC002379 |
| Plate2 | C | 10 | 75 | gold_positive_fl | 5144 | PDE4D | BC036319 | 10399 | GNB2L1 | BC014788 |
| Plate2 | D | 10 | 76 | gold_negative | 5935 | RBM3 | BC006825 | 10291 | SF3A1 | BC007684 |
| Plate2 | E | 10 | 77 | gold_positive_fl | 4089 | SMAD4 | BC002379 | 55802 | DCP1A | BC007439 |
| Plate2 | F | 10 | 78 | gold_positive_fl | 5530 | PPP3CA | BC025714 | 5534 | PPP3R1 | BC027913 |


| PLATE | ROW | COL | POS | CLASS | GENE_ID_X | SYMBOL_BAIT | ACCESSION I | GENE_ID_Y | SYMBOL_PREY | ACCESSION II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plate2 | G | 10 | 79 | gold_positive_fl | 1027 | CDKN1B | BC001971 | 8900 | CCNA1 | BC036346 |
| Plate2 | H | 10 | 80 | gold_negative | 2811 | GP1BA | BC027955 | 5819 | PVRL2 | BC003091 |
| Plate2 | A | 11 | 81 | gold_positive_fl | 4086 | SMAD1 | BC001878 | 4089 | SMAD4 | BC002379 |
| Plate2 | B | 11 | 82 | gold_negative | 5089 | PBX2 | BC003111 | 50853 | VILL | BC000243 |
| Plate2 | C | 11 | 83 | gold_positive_fl | 2962 | GTF2F1 | BC013007 | 2963 | GTF2F2 | BC001771 |
| Plate2 | D | 11 | 84 | gold_positive_fl | 4171 | MCM2 | BC007670 | 4174 | MCM5 | BC000142 |
| Plate2 | E | 11 | 85 | gold_positive_fl | 1810 | DR1 | BC002809 | 10589 | DRAP1 | BC010025 |
| Plate2 | F | 11 | 86 | gold_negative | 539 | ATP50 | BC021233 | 29121 | CLEC2D | BC019883 |
| Plate2 | G | 11 | 87 | gold_negative | 705 | BYSL | BC007340 | 22889 | KIAA0907 | BC027182 |
| Plate2 | H | 11 | 88 | gold_positive_fl | 3040 | HBA2 | BC008572 | 3043 | HBB | BC007075 |
| Plate2 | A | 12 | 89 | gold_positive_fl | 4999 | ORC2L | BC014834 | 5000 | ORC4L | BC014847 |
| Plate2 | B | 12 | 90 | gold_negative | 1152 | CKB | BC010002 | 3050 | HBZ | BC027892 |
| Plate2 | C | 12 | 91 | gold_negative | 2065 | ERBB3 | BC002706 | 285237 | MGC26717 | BC024188 |
| Plate2 | D | 12 | 92 | gold_positive_fl | 567 | B2M | BC032589 | 3106 | HLA-B | BC013187 |

Supplementary Table S8: Insert and layout informations on hsPRS-v1 and hsRRS-v1.
Each line contains a protein pair tested in bait-X/prey-Y and bait-Y/prey-X direction.

