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CAVEATS
This argument map:
1. is preliminary and incomplete (i.e., represents work in progress);
2. aggregates and simplifies results of a detailed argumentation-theoretic analysis;
3. is an interpretation of the controversy;
4. is neutral with respect to the assessment of the debate and does not say which theses are true or false;
5. provides templates for assessing climate engineering: specific CE methods must be substituted for the generic placeholder T.
Authors given in italics don't necessarily maintain the corresponding argument.

ELEMENTS
Boxes with no filling contain central claims; arguments are represented by filled boxes (blue: pro CE, yellow: contra CE).
Arrows indicate support (green) and attack relationships (red) between arguments and theses.
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