# Improvement of the Statutory Framework for Construction and Demolition Waste Management exemplified in Germany and Australia

Mei Li, Anna Kühlen, Jay Yang and Frank Schultmann

Abstract Construction and demolition (C&D) waste occupies the largest share of overall waste generation in many countries. However, waste management practices and outcomes may differ between countries. For instance, in Australia, C&D waste recovery is continuously improving during the last years but the amount of C&D waste increases every year, as there has been little improvement in waste avoidance and minimization. In contrast, in Germany, waste generation remains constant over many years despite the continuous economic growth. The waste recycling rate in Germany is one of the highest in the world. However, most waste recycled is from demolition work rather than from waste generated during new construction. In addition, specific laws need to be developed to further reduce landfill of nonrecycled waste. Despite of the differences, C&D waste generation and recovery in both countries depend on the effectiveness of the statutory framework, which regulates their waste management practices. This is an issue in other parts of the world as well. Therefore countries can learn from each other to improve their current statutory framework for C&D waste management. By taking Germany and Australia as an example, possible measures to improve current practices of C&D waste management through better statutory tools are identified in this paper. After providing an overview of the statutory framework of both countries and their status in waste generation and recovery, a SWOT analysis is conducted to identify strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats of the statutory tools. Recommendations to improve the current statutory frameworks, in order to achieve less waste generation and more waste recovery in the construction industry are provided for the German and Australian government and they can also be transferred to other countries.

M. Li (🖂) · J. Yang

Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia e-mail: m14.li@student.qut.edu.au

A. Kühlen · F. Schultmann

J. Yang e-mail: j.yang@qut.edu.au

F. Schultmann e-mail: frank.schultmann@kit.edu

S. Rauch et al. (eds.), *Urban Environment*, DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-7756-9\_2,

© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

Institute for Industrial Production (IIP)/French-German Institute for Environmental Research, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany e-mail: anna.kuehlen@kit.edu

## Introduction

Construction and demolition (C&D) waste is a worldwide issue due to the rapid growth of towns and cities, and a significant number of illegal dumps [10]. It occupies the largest share of overall waste generation in many countries with their economic growth. The need and importance of C&D waste management and minimization is being recognized around the world. However, the practices and outcomes related to this are different between countries. For example, in Australia, most of the C&D waste (58%) was recycled [5]. Despite the progress in waste recycling, there has been little improvement in waste avoidance, as the amount of waste continues growing every year [4, 5]. Compared to Australia, the total volume of C&D waste in Germany has remained constant over many years given the steadily growing economy [6]. The waste recovery rate in Germany is one of the highest in the world [3, 6] However, most waste recycled in Germany is from demolition work without much recovery from new construction waste. In addition, specific laws have yet to be developed to further reduce landfill of non-recycled waste.

The practices of waste management and minimization and situations of waste generation and recovery in Australia and Germany are regulated by their statutory framework of the government [13]. This is a common situation in other countries as well. There is the opportunity for different countries to learn from each other about experience and practice of the implementation of statutory tools for waste management.

The research presented in this paper intends to seek solutions to promote waste management and minimization practices through improved statutory framework. Take Germany and Australia as an example, it first provides an overview of the statutory frameworks in Germany and Australia and describes the status of waste generation and recovery in both countries. Then a SWOT analysis is performed to identify strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats of the statutory tools, before recommendations are proposed for both German and Australian governments to improve current statutory tools in order to result in more effective waste management and better outcomes of waste avoidance, minimization and recovery.

# Statistics and Statutory Framework for C&D Waste Management in Germany

#### C&D Waste Generation and Recovery in Germany

C&D waste in Germany mainly consists of excavated earth, construction and demolition debris, road construction waste, gypsum-based construction material and construction waste. Table 1 shows the composition of C&D waste and the status of recycling in 2004. It can be noted that most of the waste recycling took place in demolition waste and road scarification and only little in waste generated by new constructions and through excavation. Improvement of the Statutory Framework for Construction ...

Waste type	Total C&D waste production		Amount of waste recycled	
	Million tons	%	Million tons	
Demolition waste	50.5	25.2%	31.1	
Road scarification	19.7	9.8%	18.4	
Construction waste	1.9	0.9%	0.1	
Cement	0.3	0.2%	_	
Total (without excavation)	72.4	36.1%	49.6	
Waste from excavation	128.3	63.9%	9.1	
Total	200.7	100%	58.7	

 Table 1
 C&D Waste Composition and Recycling in Germany, 2004 [12]

Table 2         C&D         Waste Balance 2008 in Germany	[7	1
---	----	---

		C&D waste [million tons]	Hazardous waste [million tons]	Non-hazardous waste [million tons]
Total		200.52	8.49	192.03
Disposal		24.02	3.71	20.31
Of which	Landfill	22.58	2.67	19.91
	Incineration	0.15	0.05	0.1
	Treatment for disposal	1.29	0.99	0.3
Recovery		176.49	4.78	171.72
Of which	Energy recovery	0.82	0.2	0.62
	Treatment for recovery	175.67	4.58	171.09
Recovery rate %		88	56	89

Regarding the general situation of C&D waste treatment in Germany in 2008 as shown in Table 2, most of the recovered waste was dealt with by "treatment for recovery", which largely avoided high energy consumption in energy recovery. For disposal, most of the waste went to landfill, followed by the "treatment for disposal". Only a small part of the waste was incinerated.

# **Statutory Tools**

In Germany, the statutory tools for waste management are reviewed and the key information of them is presented in Table 3.

# Statistics and Statutory Framework for C&D Waste Management in Australia

#### **C&D** Waste Generation and Recovery in Australia

In Australia, the largest components of the C&D waste stream and the most commonly recycled materials in Australia are concrete, bricks, asphalt, soil, timber and

	Statutory tool	Key information
Legislation and	EU Waste Strategy and Waste	Waste prevention
policies	Framework Directive	Waste handling and hazardous waste management
	Act for Promoting Closed	Closed loop recycling
	Substance Cycle Waste Management and Ensuring Environmentally Compatible Waste Disposal	Responsibilities of waste producers
	The Law for the Prevention and Disposal of Waste	Transition from disposal to waste management
		Improvement of waste composition to permit reuse and recycling
	Commercial Wastes Ordinance	Safe and high quality waste recovery
		Residual waste container for waste disposal
Strategy and	Strategy for the future of dis-	Municipal waste recovery
guidelines	posal of waste from human settlements	Minimization of landfill
	The Certification of the German	Sustainable building and construction
	Sustainable Building Council	Waste minimization
	Guideline for Sustainable	Sustainable construction throughout the
	Construction	Minimization of the use of energy and
		Use of renewable and recoverable materials
Technical instructions	Technical Instruction for Munici- pal Waste	Treatment and disposal of waste streams Recycling of unavoidable waste Reduction of toxic waste
		Environmental-friendly waste treatment
	DIN Standards	Regulation of construction and deconstruc- tion work
		Construction work standards
		General and contracting construction issues
		Handling of deconstruction materials
	European Waste Catalogue and	A hierarchical list of waste descriptions
<b>T</b> 7 1 .	Hazardous Waste List	A consistent waste classification system
commitment	Consortium of recycling manage- ment in construction	Until 2011 there had been a consortium of construction industry stakehold- ers to reduce landfilled construction waste within 10 years by 50%. But at the moment there is no voluntary commitment of construction industry stakeholders

 Table 3
 Statutory Tools for C&D Waste Management in Germany

Table 4   C&D Waste	Waste Materials	Landfill by weight	
Landfilled in NSW Australia,	Paper and cardboard	2%	
2000-07[8]	Plastic	2%	
	Ferrous metals	3%	
	Garden organics	4%	
	Timber	13%	
	Soil and rubble	25%	
	Concrete, asphalt, brick and sand	31%	
	Other	20%	
	Total	100%	
Table 5. Recycling Rates for	Jurisdiction	Recycling Rate	
C&D waste by Jurisdiction,	NSW	67%	
2000-07[5]	VIC	72%	
	QLD	30%	
	WA	17%	
	SA	79%	
	ACT	91%	
	TAS	Unknown	
	NT	Unknown	

ferrous metals, because they are usually demolished in large quantities and have an existing market for reuse and recycling (e.g. concrete, bricks and asphalt), or they have a relatively high commercial value (e.g. metals) [5]. There is no consolidated data available at the national level about the specific compositions of C&D waste, which is land filled or recovered in Australia, because there are different waste categories used in each jurisdiction. Take New South Wales for example, the largest C&D waste components by weight land filled from 2006 to 2007 were concrete, asphalt, bricks and sand (31%), soil and rubble (25%), and timber (13%), as shown in Table 4.

Although the recovery of C&D waste in Australia has improved significantly in recent years, it varies in different jurisdictions because of the different waste management laws and enforcement. Data in some jurisdictions is even unavailable. The waste recycling rates from 2006 to 2007 achieved by each jurisdiction are shown in Table 5.

#### Statutory Tools

Information related to the Australian statutory tools for C&D waste management is summarized in Table 6.

	Statutory tool	Key information
Legislation and policies	National Waste Policy: Less Waste, More Resources	Resource recovery and waste management Reduction of waste disposal
	National Environment Protection Measures	National objectives to protect the environment Several mandatory national regulations
	The Product Stewardship Bill 2011 (Product Stewardship Act 2011)	A national framework to manage the environmental, health and safety impacts of products
Strategy and guidelines	National Strategy for Ecologically Sustain-	An integrated approach for waste prevention and minimization
0	able Development	Improvement of resource usage and reduction of the impact of waste disposal Avoidance and handling of hazardous waste
	Carbon Pollution Reduc- tion Scheme (CPRS)	Main driver to reduce greenhouse gas emissions Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions through reduction of waste landfill and increased waste recvcling
Technical instructions	Green Star Certified Ratings	Reduction of the environmental impact of buildings Achievement of waste recycling, occupant health and productivity, and cost savings
Voluntary commitment	Australian Packaging Covenant	Innovation in sustainable building practices Reduction of the environmental impacts of con- sumer packaging Avoidance, minimization, reuse and recycling of packaging waste
	Sustainable Packaging Guidelines	Optimization of consumer packaging for effi- cient resource usage and environmental impact reduction
		Design, manufacture and end-of-life management of sustainable packaging

Table 6 Statutory Tools for C&D Waste Management in Australia

# **SWOT** Analysis

SWOT analysis is applied in this research to compare the statutory tools of waste management in Germany and Australia. SWOT is an acronym for strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats [11]. SWOT analysis was popularized by [1] It is used to develop four types of strategies, namely SO (strengths-opportunities) strategies, WO (weaknesses-opportunities) strategies, ST (strengths-threats) strategies, and WT (weaknesses-threats) strategies [2, 9].

Through SWOT analysis, the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats of the statutory tools of both Germany and Australia are identified, as shown in Table 7. Strategies indicated by SO, WO, ST, WT are also established and explained in the table.

Germany			
		Strengths (S)	Weaknesses (W)
		Waste prevention and minimization is top of the preference. Most statutory tools include C&D waste section or target on C&D waste. Sustainable construction in the national scope to strengthen understand- ing and standardise actions in achieving waste minimization. Standard waste classification according to EU waste catalogue. Technical instructions for real practice of waste	Lack of specific regulatory sup- port for waste minimization from suppliers' packaging on construction site. No voluntary commitments
Opportu- nities (O)	Increase recycling of (new) con- struction waste and excavation waste	SO Specific regulations and support on increasing con- struction waste recycling on new construction sites and of excavation waste	<ul> <li>WO</li> <li>Regulations on minimising packaging.</li> <li>Commitment of the stakeholders of the construction industry with set, high quality targets for waste recycling and reuse</li> </ul>
Threats (T)	Most of the disposed waste goes to landfill	ST Policies to reduce landfill New technologies of waste disposal to minimise the impact on the environment	<ul> <li>WT</li> <li>Encourage packaging reuse and new technologies on packaging</li> <li>New technologies of waste degradation</li> <li>Commitment of the stakeholders to prevent landfill and increase recycling of excavation waste</li> </ul>

 Table 7
 SWOT Analysis of the Statutory Framework for C&D Waste Management in Germany

#### Recommendations

Based on the findings from the SWOT analysis, recommendations are provided for both countries to improve their government regulations to encourage better waste management practices in the construction industry.

## **Recommendations for Germany**

Recommendations drawn from the SWOT analysis for the improvement of German statutory tools for C&D waste management are listed in Table 9.

Aust	ralia		
		S	W
		Waste prevention and minimiza- tion is top of the preference. Focus on collaborations of stakeholders in achieving waste management targets. Waste minimization and management is nested in broader concepts of resource efficiency, carbon pollution reduction and ecological sustainable development. Specific regulations and	Most statutory tools address gen- eral waste management rather than C&D waste specifically. No standard classification of waste categories at national level. Lack of technical instructions for C&D waste management in real practice
		guidelines for products and materials packaging from manufacturing industry to assist waste minimization on construction site	
0	Establish market of recycled materials	SO Guidelines for the establishment of recycled materials market Financial support from the government to encourage the use of recycled materials and components	<ul> <li>WO</li> <li>Technical instructions for construction waste handling, management, transportation, reuse, recycling and sale</li> <li>Develop standards for different recycled materials</li> </ul>
Т	No consolidated data at the national level Different develop- ment of construc- tion industry and waste recycling in different jurisdictions	ST Collaboration of different juris- dictions in waste minimiza- tion and recycling Develop national waste database to encourage comparison with other countries for improvement	<ul> <li>WT</li> <li>Develop standard and uniform waste classification system at national level</li> <li>Establish and improve the current statutory tools to address problems related to C&amp;D waste</li> </ul>

 Table 8
 SWOT Analysis of the Statutory Framework for C&D Waste Management in Australia

 Australia
 Australia

# **Recommendations for Australia**

Recommendations drawn from the SWOT analysis for the improvement of Australian statutory tools for C&D waste management are listed in Table 10.

# Conclusions

C&D waste minimization is an important contributor to the goal of achieving sustainability of the construction industry. In order to regulate C&D waste management practices, governments around the world have established statutory tools to

Statutory tool	Specific issue	Issue description
Financial support for	Newly-construction waste recycling	The government needs to provide financial support to the client and waste management companies to increase waste recovery from newly construction processes
	The development of innovations on waste reduction	The government needs to provide financial support to the construction industry, con- struction material manufactures and research associations for technological innovations on waste reduction, for instance innovation in material packaging and waste recovery, and alternative options for waste disposal
Legislations for	Reducing waste landfill and reduction of down-cycling	The German government needs to establish relevant legislations for the reduction of waste landfill and material down-cycling, for instance by checking construction waste on site and respective payments
	Waste minimization by manufacturers	It is necessary for the German government to establish material design standard and product stewardship, and develop regulations and policies for manufacturers to recover packaging
Voluntary commit- ment for	The high quality construction waste recycling and reuse and prevention of landfill	In the past the consortium "Kreislaufwirtschaft- sträger Bau (KWTB)" of construction industry stakeholders existed, which made a voluntary commitment with the govern- ment to reduce landfilled construction waste (excluding excavation waste) within 10 years by 50%. A similar voluntary commitment of the industry stakeholders including excava- tion waste and preventing landfill should be established again

 Table 9 Recommendations for the improvement of statutory tools for C&D waste management in Germany

reduce waste generation and encourage waste recovery. The effectiveness of these statutory frameworks has resulted in different waste management status in different countries. Therefore countries can learn from each other about good experience in developing waste management regulations and practices in waste minimization and recovery.

This paper discusses the comparison of different statutory frameworks for C&D waste management by taking Germany and Australia as an example. It firstly outlines the current statutory tools for C&D waste management in both countries and presents their situations of C&D waste generation and recovery. A SWOT analysis is conducted to identify their strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats with relevant strategies for improvement. Finally the recommendations are provided for Germany and Australia to improve their statutory frameworks and promote their industry practices of waste minimization and recovery. The recommendations for Germany include financial support for newly-construction waste recycling, legislations

Statutory tool	Specific issue	Issue description
Financial support for	Waste recovery	Government needs to set up financial incentive programs to encourage waste recovery, and to maintain and develop the recycled material market to drive more waste recovery in the industry
Legislations for	C&D waste specific issues	Legislations for C&D waste need to be established for waste minimization throughout the project life cycle by the coordination and collaboration among all the project stakeholders
Technical instruc- tions for	Overall C&D waste management	Technical instructions and guidance on C&D waste handling and hazardous waste management need to be established and reviewed and revised regularly
National system for	Waste classification	Standard and uniform waste classification system needs to be developed at the national level, in order to facilitate data exchange, comparison and benchmarking
	Waste data tracking	A national waste data tracking system should also be established to collect and store a comprehensive range of data on waste generation, disposal to landfill and resource recovery
National guide- lines for	Sustainable construction	National guidelines to promote nationally waste minimization and recovery throughout the whole building life cycle

 Table 10
 Recommendations for the improvement of statutory tools for C&D waste management in Australia

for reducing waste landfill, legislations for waste minimization by manufacturers, and incentive measures for technological innovation of packaging minimization and recovery. For Australia, recommendations are provided including waste legislations specific to C&D waste, technical instructions for C&D waste management, national waste classification system, national waste data tracking system, guidelines for sustainable construction, and financial incentives for waste recovery.

The result of this research will provide a valuable reference for both German and Australian government to improve their current statutory frameworks for C&D waste management. It is also applicable to other countries to review and update their regulatory tools for C&D waste management.

#### References

- 1. Andrews KR (1965) The concept of corporate strategy. Dow Jones-Irwin, Homewood
- Babaesmailli M, Arbabshirani B, Golmah V (2012) Integrating analytical network process and fuzzy logic to prioritize the strategies-A case study for tile manufacturing firm. Expert Systems with Applications
- 3. European Commission (2010) Being wise with waste: the EU's approach to waste management
- 4. EPHC (2009) National Waste Overview In: E. P. A. H. Council (ed)



http://www.springer.com/978-94-007-7755-2

Urban Environment Proceedings of the 11th Urban Environment Symposium (UES), held in Karlsruhe, Germany, 16–19 September 2012 Rauch, S.; Morrison, G.; Norra, S.; Schleicher, N. (Eds.) 2013, XXI, 526 p. 164 illus., 90 illus. in color., Hardcover ISBN: 978-94-007-7755-2