

Phase Field Simulation of Wetting Processes with OpenFOAM®

X. Cai¹, H. Marschall², <u>M. Wörner¹</u>, O. Deutschmann¹

¹ Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Catalysis Research and Technology
 ² TU Darmstadt, Center of Smart Interfaces



KIT – University of the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and National Research Center of the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu

Outline



Introduction

Phase Field Method

Validation for fundamental wetting phenomena

Application for multiphase chemical reactors

Conclusions and outlook



For numerical simulation of dynamic wetting processes accurate modeling of the moving contact line is necessary

3 27.10.2015

Difficulty of numerical modeling



=0



Paradox btw. motion of contact line & no-slip BC

Sharp interface method

- ➢ e.g. VOF, Level-set method
- via Navier-slip BC

$$u_W = L_s \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \bigg|_W$$

L_s is slip length (usually chosen empirically)

F= liquid volume fraction

Diffuse interface method

➢ e.g. Phase Field Method

 ∂F

VOF:

via diffusion term

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)C = \kappa \nabla^2 \phi$$

- > C is phase field order parameter
- $\succ \phi$ is chemical potential

$$\Phi = \beta (C^3 - C) - \alpha \nabla^2 C$$

Phase field method

Phase field (C) as phase indictor

Cahn-Hilliard eq. for phase field evolution

Non-dimensional form

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)C = \frac{1}{Pe_{\kappa}} \nabla^2 \Phi \qquad \Phi = C^3 - C - Cn^2 \nabla^2 C$$

Coupled with Navier-Stokes equation



$$\rho(\mathbf{C})Re\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}\right) = -\nabla p + \mu(\mathbf{C})\nabla^2 \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{Ca \cdot Cn}\mathbf{C}\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{C}) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{Eo}{Ca}(\mathbf{C}+1)\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{z}}$$

Boundary condition for equilibrium contact angle θ_e

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\rm s} \cdot \nabla C = -\frac{\sqrt{2}\cos\theta_e}{2Cn}(C^2 - 1)$$

 $\theta_{\rm e}$ is an input parameter for the simulation!

Implementation in OpenFOAM®



- Cooperation with Dr. Holger Marschall from TU Darmstadt
- Phase field Cahn-Hilliard equation
 - Diffusion term is a <u>4th order derivative</u> (for now treated in segregated manner with time-step sub-cycling)
- Relative density flux term in momentum eq. due to diffusion of components (Ding et al. 2007, Abels et al. 2012)
 - Consistent use of conservative volumetric fluxes
- Surface tension in energy formulation
 - As surface tension energy density



Pseudo code:

```
while (runTime.run())
{
```

1. Solve transport equation for phase field advection

2. Update chemical potential

3. Calculate surface tension, buoyancy & mixture ρ , μ

4. Solve N-S eqs. for velocity

}

Capillarity-driven droplet spreading / dewetting





Capillarity-driven Droplet Spreading / Dewetting





Further test cases for fundamental wetting





Gravitational effect on droplet shape

Comparison with analytical solutions



0

0.0002 0.0004 0.0006 0.0008 0.001 0.0012 0.0014 0.0016

t [s]

Rapid Wetting in Initial Stage

Comparison with experiments



Capillary rise on narrow tube



Sliding dynamics on inclined surface surface

Droplet Spreading on Flat Surface



Experiment by Zosel 1993 Droplet of PIB solution Smooth flat PTFE surface Static contact angle $\theta_e = 58^\circ$ **R**₀ = 1.2 ~ 1.5 mm Time: 0.00 **Quarter symmetry Adaptive Mesh Refinement** Two level refinement For each time-step

Droplet Spreading on Flat Surface







Zosel, A., Studies of the Wetting Kinetics of Liquid-Drops on Solid-Surfaces. Colloid Polym Sci, 1993. 271(7): p. 680-687.

Spreading on chemically heterogeneous surface

- Spreading on a chemically patterned surface
- Alternating stripes made of
 - SiO₂, hydrophilic $\theta_e = 40^\circ$
 - PFDTS, hydrophobic $\theta_e = 110^\circ$
- Anisotropic wetting
 - Droplet is elongated in direction parallel to stripes











H.P. Jansen et al., Phys. Rev. E 88 (2013) 013008–013017

Solid sponges and POCS



Open-cell foams (sponges) and periodic open cell structures (POCS) combine advantageous properties

- High porosity
 - Low pressure drop
 - Low weightiness
- High specific surface area
 - Advantageous for heterogeneous reactions and fluid-solid heat/mass transfer
- Continuous solid phase
 - Advantageous for heat transport
 - Possibility for utilizing heat of highly exothermic reactions in a separate process (→ *energy efficiency*)





Two-phase flow in sponge – approach



- Problem: realistic inlet conditions for phase distribution
- Solution: mirror domain and use periodic boundary conditions



- Sponge geometry reconstructed from micro-CT by KIT-TVT
- Al₂O₃ sponge, 80% porosity, 20 ppi



Two-phase flow in solid sponge



- Specify initial phase distribution in domain and axial pressure drop which drives the flow (source term in N-S equation)
- Simulations for different parameters are under way
- <u>Goal</u>: derive closure relations for use in Euler-Euler model
 - Specific wetted surface area
 - Specific gas-liquid interfacial area
 - ...
 - as function of superficial velocities
 - ..
 - under variation of materials, porosity and pore size



(about one million mesh cells, $\theta_{\rm e}$ = 90°)



Validation for single phase gas flow



- Apply the solver for gas flow through sponge structure
- Compare simulated pressure drop versus superficial velocity against:
 - Experimental results Dietrich et al. 2009 [1]
 - CFD results using "simpleFoam"
 Meinicke et al. 2014 [2]



- U_0 : superficial gas velocity
- $\Delta p / \Delta z$: pressure drop per unit length
- B. Dietrich, W. Schabel, M. Kind, H. Martin. Pressure Drop Measurements of Ceramic Sponges Determining the Hydraulic Diameter. Chem. Eng. Sci. 64 (16), 3633-3640. 2009
- [2] S. Meinicke, B. Dietrich, Th. Wetzel. CFD-Simulation der einphasigen Durchströmung fester Schwammstrukturen ProcessNet Fachausschuss CFD, Mischvorgänge u. Rheologie,Würzburg, 2014

Bubble rise in POCS



POCS as internals in bubble column reactors can **enhance gas-liquid mass transfer** (by disturbing/renewing the liquid concentration boundary layer) while only slightly increasing the pressure drop (\rightarrow *energy efficiency*)

- POCS with window size 4 mm tilted by 45°
- Water and air are initially at rest
- Spherical bubble (diameter 4 mm) is placed so that it will hit the strut during its rise
- Structure is partially wetting (contact angle $\theta_e = 90^\circ$)



POCS from FAU Erlangen

Bubble rise in POCS



Structure is hydrophilic (contact angle $\theta_e = 0^\circ$)



Bubble rise in POCS



- Structure is hydrophobic (contact angle $\theta_{e} = 135^{\circ}$)
- Though at this stage, our simulations are qualitative, they show that the bubble interaction with the structure depends on wettability
- Validation is ongoing



Summary and outlook



- Phase Field Method has been successfully implemented in OpenFOAM[®]
 - Method can handle real density and viscosity ratios
- Successful validation for various fundamental wetting phenomena
- Applications for innovative chemical multiphase reactors
 Further validation by experimental data required
- In Future: release of phaseFieldFoam to OpenFOAMextend under GNU General Public License

Acknowledgement



- PhD study of X. Cai is funded by Helmholtz Energy Alliance "Energy-efficient chemical multiphase processes" <u>https://www.hzdr.de/db/Cms?pNid=2972</u>
- Research stay at Virginia Tech is funded by Karlsruhe House of Young Scientists (KHYS)



Partners

- Dr. B. Dietrich, S. Meinicke (KIT-TVT)
- Dr. H. Marschall (TU Darmstadt)
- Prof. H. Alla (USTO, Oran, Algeria)
- Prof. P. Yue (Virginia Tech, USA)

