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Motivation

For numerical simulation of dynamic wetting processes accurate modeling of the **moving contact line** is necessary.
Difficulty of numerical modeling

Paradox btw. motion of contact line & no-slip BC

This paradox can be resolved by

- **Sharp interface method**
  - e.g. VOF, Level-set method
  - via Navier-slip BC
  - \( u_w = L_s \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \bigg|_w \)
  - \( L_s \) is slip length (usually chosen empirically)

- **Diffuse interface method**
  - e.g. Phase Field Method
  - via diffusion term
  - \( \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) C = \kappa \nabla^2 \phi \)
  - \( C \) is phase field order parameter
  - \( \Phi \) is chemical potential
  - \( \phi = \beta (C^3 - C) - \alpha \nabla^2 C \)

VOF:
\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) F = 0
\]

\( F = \) liquid volume fraction
Phase field method

- Phase field ($C$) as phase indicator

- Cahn-Hilliard eq. for phase field evolution
  - Non-dimensional form
  $$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) C = \frac{1}{Pe_{x}} \nabla^{2} \Phi \quad \Phi = C^{3} - C - Cn^{2} \nabla^{2} C$$

- Coupled with Navier-Stokes equation
  $$\rho(C)Re \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \right) = -\nabla p + \mu(C)\nabla^{2} \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{Ca \cdot Cn} C \nabla \Phi(C) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{Eo}{Ca} (C + 1) \mathbf{e}_{z}$$

- Boundary condition for equilibrium contact angle $\theta_{e}$
  $$\hat{n}_{s} \cdot \nabla C = -\frac{\sqrt{2} \cos \theta_{e}}{2Cn} (C^{2} - 1) \quad \theta_{e} \text{ is an input parameter for the simulation!}$$
Implementation in OpenFOAM®

- Top-level solver **phaseFieldFoam**
  - Cooperation with Dr. Holger Marschall from TU Darmstadt

- Phase field Cahn-Hilliard equation
  - Diffusion term is a $4^{\text{th}}$ order derivative
    (for now treated in segregated manner with time-step sub-cycling)

- Relative density flux term in momentum eq. due to diffusion of components
  (Ding et al. 2007, Abels et al. 2012)
  - Consistent use of conservative volumetric fluxes

- Surface tension in energy formulation
  - As surface tension energy density

Pseudo code:

```plaintext
while (runTime.run()) {

  1. Solve transport equation for phase field advection

  2. Update chemical potential

  3. Calculate surface tension, buoyancy & mixture $\rho$, $\mu$

  4. Solve N-S eqs. for velocity

}
```
Capillarity-driven droplet spreading / dewetting

- Wettability of surface

  - Equilibrium contact angle $\theta_e$
    - $\theta_e \leq 45^\circ$: hydrophilic
    - $\theta_e = 135^\circ$: hydrophobic

$\theta_e = 45^\circ$

hydrophilic surface

$\theta_e = 135^\circ$

hydrophobic surface
Capillarity-driven Droplet Spreading / Dewetting

Initial shape

Initial shape

Final shape

$L^* = L / R_0$
$H^* = H / R_0$

$\theta_e = 45^\circ$
$\theta_e = 90^\circ$
$\theta_e = 135^\circ$

hydrophilic
hydrophobic

(*) Chen et al. 2009
Further test cases for fundamental wetting

Gravitational effect on droplet shape

Rapid Wetting in Initial Stage

Capillary rise on narrow tube

Sliding dynamics on inclined surface

Comparison with analytical solutions

Comparison with experiments
Droplet Spreading on Flat Surface

- **Experiment by Zosel 1993**
  - Droplet of PIB solution
  - Smooth flat PTFE surface
  - Static contact angle $\theta_e = 58^\circ$
  - $R_0 = 1.2 \sim 1.5$ mm

- **Quarter symmetry**

- **Adaptive Mesh Refinement**
  - Two level refinement
  - For each time-step
Droplet Spreading on Flat Surface

\[ P_{e_k} = \frac{2\sqrt{2LU\xi}}{3\kappa\sigma} \]

Spreading on chemically heterogeneous surface

- Spreading on a chemically patterned surface
  - Alternating stripes made of
    - SiO$_2$, hydrophilic $\theta_e = 40^\circ$
    - PFDTs, hydrophobic $\theta_e = 110^\circ$
- Anisotropic wetting
  - Droplet is elongated in direction parallel to stripes

![Graph showing stick-slip motion](image)

$\theta_e = 40^\circ$, $\theta_e = 110^\circ$
Bottom View

Experiment
Jansen et al. 2013

Lattice-Boltzmann simulation
Jansen et al. 2013

Present simulation
(four cells per stripe)

Solid sponges and POCS

Open-cell foams (sponges) and periodic open cell structures (POCS) combine advantageous properties:

- High porosity
  - Low pressure drop
  - Low weightiness
- High specific surface area
  - Advantageous for heterogeneous reactions and fluid-solid heat/mass transfer
- Continuous solid phase
  - Advantageous for heat transport
  - Possibility for utilizing heat of highly exothermic reactions in a separate process (→ energy efficiency)
Two-phase flow in sponge – approach

- **Problem:** realistic inlet conditions for phase distribution
- **Solution:** mirror domain and use periodic boundary conditions

- Sponge geometry reconstructed from micro-CT by KIT-TVT
- $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ sponge, 80% porosity, 20 ppi
Two-phase flow in solid sponge

- Specify initial phase distribution in domain and axial pressure drop which drives the flow (source term in N-S equation)
- Simulations for different parameters are under way
- **Goal:** derive closure relations for use in Euler-Euler model
  - Specific wetted surface area
  - Specific gas-liquid interfacial area
  - …
  - as function of superficial velocities
  - …
  - under variation of materials, porosity and pore size

(about one million mesh cells, $\theta_e = 90^\circ$)
Validation for single phase gas flow

- Apply the solver for gas flow through sponge structure
- Compare simulated pressure drop versus superficial velocity against:
  - Experimental results Dietrich et al. 2009 [1]
  - CFD results using “simpleFoam” Meinicke et al. 2014 [2]

- $U_0$: superficial gas velocity
- $\Delta p / \Delta z$: pressure drop per unit length

Bubble rise in POCS

POCS as internals in bubble column reactors can enhance gas-liquid mass transfer (by disturbing/renewing the liquid concentration boundary layer) while only slightly increasing the pressure drop (→ energy efficiency)

- POCS with window size 4 mm tilted by 45°
- Water and air are initially at rest
- Spherical bubble (diameter 4 mm) is placed so that it will hit the strut during its rise
- Structure is partially wetting (contact angle $\theta_e = 90^\circ$)

POCS from FAU Erlangen
Bubble rise in POCS

- Structure is hydrophilic (contact angle $\theta_e = 0^\circ$)
Bubble rise in POCS

- Structure is hydrophobic (contact angle $\theta_e = 135^\circ$)
- Though at this stage, our simulations are qualitative, they show that the bubble interaction with the structure depends on wettability
- Validation is ongoing
Summary and outlook

- Phase Field Method has been successfully implemented in OpenFOAM®
  - Method can handle real density and viscosity ratios
- Successful validation for various fundamental wetting phenomena
- Applications for innovative chemical multiphase reactors
  - Further validation by experimental data required
- In Future: release of phaseFieldFoam to OpenFOAM-extend under GNU General Public License
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