

# A model for the identification and optimal planning of emission reduction measures in urban energy systems

Kai Mainzer, Russell McKenna, Wolf Fichtner

## Introduction

- Cities declare emission reduction targets & climate protection plans
  - Renewable energy & efficiency potentials exist, but their exact extent, optimal combinations and contribution towards reaching overarching goals are unknown
  - Investment decisions are long term and capital intensive
  - High complexity due to interdependencies and uncertainties
- Mathematical models can provide decision support for urban planning.**

## Related work

- Many models for decision support in urban energy systems have been developed
  - Mostly tailored to a specific use case (city)
  - Usually not possible to apply these models in other cities as the required data is not available
  - Data collection is very time-consuming and in many cases limited by privacy protection issues
  - A transferable model should exploit freely available data sources and calculate missing data automatically
- A model that should be universally applicable has to provide methods for the automated acquisition of the required input data.**

### Requirements for model development:

- Analysis & Optimization of urban energy systems
- Unit commitment and investment planning
- Determination of potentials for renewable energies and energy efficiency
- Technologies on supply and demand side
- Transferability of the method

x	-	-	-	-	Several models, for a review see e.g. [Keirstead 2012]
x	x	-	-	-	deeco [Bruckner 1996]; URBS [Richter 2004]
x	x	-	x	-	iPlan [Winkelmüller 2006]; EnyCity [Gerbracht 2009]
x	(x)	-	x	-	KomMod [Eggers 2015]
(x)	-	x	x	-	Regionenmodell [Steinert 2015]
-	-	x	-	-	Many potential studies, for a review see [Angelis-Dimakis 2011]

## Transferrable methods for data acquisition in urban energy systems

### Demand structure

**Infrastructure:** Availability of power-, gas- and district heating grids

**Buildings:** Creation of typology, based on sizes and age distribution

**Electricity demand simulation** based on appliance ownership and user activity profiles

**Heat demand mapping** based on building types and technology configurations

### Cost and potentials for renewable energies

**PV:** Building roof detection using image recognition and neural networks; area calculation & module placement; simulation of irradiation

**Biomass:** Determination of suitable areas; minimization of transport distances

**Wind:** Choice of best turbines based on wind frequency distribution and technical characteristics; placement considering minimum distances

**Technology-Database**  
efficiencies, costs, ...

**Climate data**  
irradiation, wind, ...

**Market data**  
price development

**Statistical data**  
no. & type of buildings, population, ...

**Geodata**  
OpenStreetMap: buildings, land use, ...

**Scientific studies**  
Consistent future development scenarios

## Techno-economical optimization of the urban energy system

2015 → 2020 → ... → 2050 (8 model years, 72 time slices)

energy & resource import

coal, gas, biomass, ...

electricity

system boundary: municipality

infrastructure: power distribution network, district heating network

building type 1: energy conversion technologies (e.g. heat pump, halogen lighting), energy service demand (room comfort, lighting, appliances)

Local renewable energy potential: area availability, climate, global irradiance, ambient heat

Techno-economical parameters: Technological availability, Investment, import, fix & variable costs, ...

- Minimization of total system costs, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, or net energy import, while taking technical as well as user-defined constraints into account
- Methodology: Mixed-integer linear programming (MILP)

### Detailed scenario results

investment decisions, unit commitment, costs, emissions, ...

Scenario comparison

Required investments in order to reach the optimal system design

Optimal degree of renewable energy utilization

Reasonable technology combinations

Development of costs, emissions, energy import and primary energy consumption under different objectives for emission reduction and costs

**Cost**

**Emissions**

**Primary Energy**

**Import**

Trade-offs: e.g. significant emission reduction can be achieved with only minor additional costs

Scenarios:

- min cost
- min emissions
- min import
- min emissions w. 110% cost
- min import w. 110% cost
- min import w. 120% cost
- min emissions w. 120% cost

## Literature

- Keirstead, J.; Jennings, M.; et al. (2012): A review of urban energy system models: Approaches, challenges and opportunities. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 16 (6), pp. 3847–3866. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.02.047.
- Bruckner, T. (1996): Dynamische Energie- und Emissionsoptimierung regionaler Energiesysteme. Dissertation, Universität Würzburg.
- Richter, S. (2004): Entwicklung einer Methode zur integralen Beschreibung und Optimierung urbaner Energiesysteme. Dissertation, Universität Augsburg.
- Winkelmüller, S. (2006): Optimierung der Nachfrage- und Erzeugungsstruktur kommunaler Energiesysteme am Beispiel von Wien. Dissertation, Universität Augsburg.
- Gerbracht, H.; Kunze, R. et al. (2009). In Möst et al.: Energiesystemanalyse. Tagungsband des Workshops "Energiesystemanalyse" vom 27. November 2008 am KIT-Zentrum Energie, Karlsruhe.
- Eggers, J.; Striy-Hipp, G. (2013): KomMod as a tool to support municipalities on their way to becoming smart energy cities. In: Proceedings of the International Sustainable Building Conference Graz 2013. Graz.
- Steinert, C. (2015): Das Regionenmodell (FREM) – Basis detaillierter Analysen kommunaler Energiekonzepte. Workshop "Urbane Energiesystemmodelle". FIE - Forschungsstelle für Energiewirtschaft e.V. Karlsruhe, 12.11.2015.
- Angelis-Dimakis, A.; Biberacher, M. et al. (2011): Methods and tools to evaluate the availability of renewable energy sources. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 15 (2), pp. 1182–1200. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2010.09.049.
- Mainzer, K.; Fath, K. et al. (2014): A high-resolution determination of the technical potential for residential-roof-mounted photovoltaic systems in Germany. In *Solar Energy* 105, pp. 715–731. DOI: 10.1016/j.solener.2014.04.015.
- Killinger, S.; Mainzer, K.; et al. (2015): A regional optimisation of renewable energy supply from wind and photovoltaics with respect to three key energy-political objectives. In *Energy*. DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2015.03.050.
- Mainzer, K.; McKenna, R. et al. Integrating residential energy efficiency measures into optimizing urban energy system models. In: Proceedings of eceee 2015 Summer Study on energy efficiency. Presqu'île de Giens, 05.06.2015.
- Mainzer, K.; McKenna, R. et al. (2015): Rolling Horizon Planning Methods in Long-Term Energy System Analysis MILP Models. CORS/INFORMS International Meeting 2015. Montréal, 17.06.2015.

Contact: kai.mainzer@kit.edu

SPONSORED BY THE



Federal Ministry  
of Education  
and Research

Reference number 03SF0415B