Bioinspired Strategy for Controlled Polymerization and Photopatterning of Plant Polyphenols


ABSTRACT: Plant derived polyphenols have been widely used to design new multifunctional materials for particle and surface modification. The lack of temporal and spatial control over the oxidation and deposition processes, however, limits possible applications of this diverse class of natural compounds. Autoxidation and deposition of phenolic compounds are uncontrollably triggered by basic pH and oxygen. In this project, inspired by the properties of natural antioxidants to scavenge free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS), we propose a method to effectively control the autoxidation and deposition of plant phenolic compounds under basic conditions. We demonstrate that natural antioxidants can inhibit autoxidation of plant polyphenols in basic pH in dark environment. However, UV irradiation of these solutions leads to on demand temporal and spatial polymerization and deposition of polyphenols. This bioinspired method was used to demonstrate the controlled polymerization, and micropatterning on flat surfaces and inside microfluidic channels opening the way to well defined 2D coatings based on natural polyphenols and introducing a new simple path to the fabrication of bioinspired functional materials, with potential applications in a wide range of fields.

INTRODUCTION

Plant derived polyphenols were recently introduced for particle and surface modification. Numerous applications in materials science have been already demonstrated including self healing materials, surface modification of membranes, designing novel antioxidants, anticancer drugs, and bio adhesives, as well as development of drug delivery systems, antibacterial coatings and surfaces with reduced biofouling, energy storage devices, thin film and bulk hybrid functional materials, and immobilization of enzymes.

Phenolic compounds, similar to polycatecholamines such as dopamine (DA), can undergo autoxidation process in the presence of oxidizing agents, high redox potential enzymes which are suitable for use in oxidative processes, or in alkaline pH condition. However, because of the rapid response of phenolic compounds to oxidation, there is a limited control over the kinetics of the oxidation process and spatiotemporal control over the deposition of polyphenols, which leads to the formation of weak and inhomogeneous coatings. Formation and deposition of colloidal particles and aggregates have been observed in coatings made of catechol and pyrogallol containing compounds. Such colloidal structures on the surface, which cannot be easily avoided in the solution, have been considered as a source of instability and weakness of the anchoring layer and adlayer. Several research groups tried to make more homogeneous nanocoatings from polyphenols and DA by using new cyclic catechols, norepinephrine (a small molecule catecholamine), controlling the self polymerization process of DA by using the CuSO₄/H₂O₂ system, and sonication of pDA coated substrate.

Uncontrolled autoxidation and subsequent cross linking, polymerization, and complexation of catechol and pyrogallol moieties of plant phenolic compounds are dependent on multiple factors, such as pH, buffer strength, concentration, and type of oxidant or enzymes. Many research groups tried to overcome uncontrolled autoxidation of pyrogallol and...
Such as phenolate ion, phenolic radicals, semiquinone, and moieties in plant derived phenolics to generate reactive species controlling polymerization and cross-linking of plant phenolic compounds.

Other groups used norepinephrine, a small molecule catecholamine, in combination with dopamine to achieve a well-controlled coating morphology. Formation of 3,4 dihydroxybenzaldehyde norepinephrine intermediates is considered as the main mechanism of the controlled oxidation process, leading to formation of a highly smooth nanocoating.

To form multifunctional coatings on the surface, we and other groups used UV light to induce oxidation of catechol and pyrogallol containing compounds which enabled the control of the kinetics of the polymerization and deposition of these compounds spatiotemporally.

Several research groups including ours showed that spontaneous oxidation of plant phenolic compounds can be inhibited by using acidic pH conditions. However, this method may not be applicable to all phenolic compounds because of low solubility of some phenolic compounds at low pH. Oxidation intermediates of phenolic compounds such as quinones are more stable at mild acidic condition (pH 5.7–6.7), decreasing the rate of cross-linking, polymerization, and metal ion complexation. Cross-linking of catechol or pyrogallol containing molecules by nucleophiles or their binding to tissues and proteins can be inhibited under acidic conditions.

Therefore, there is a clear need to develop a new strategy to control polymerization and cross-linking of plant phenolic compounds under basic pH. It is generally accepted that reactive oxygen species (ROS) can oxidize catechol and gallol moieties in plant derived phenolics to generate reactive species such as phenolate ion, phenolic radicals, semiquinones, and quinones. Active quinone groups undergo phenolic coupling or react with nucleophiles such as thiols and amines.

In our previous reports, we investigated the role of reactive oxygen species [ROS, singlet oxygen (1O2), superoxide radical (O2−) and hydroxyl radical (*OH)] in UV induced oxidation and polymerization of dopamine and plant phenolic compounds using spectroscopic and electrochemical methods. In human cells many antioxidants, such as sodium ascorbate (SA), uric acid (UA), and glutathione (GSH) can directly react with ROS or free radical intermediates induced by ROS (Scheme S1) and terminate the ROS induced damage. Recently, inspired by the ROS scavenging ability of sodium ascorbate (vitamin C) in the human body, we demonstrated that dopamine polymerization in basic solution can be inhibited by sodium ascorbate that reduces reactive dopamine quinone and delays dopamine polymerization. Several research groups discussed the mechanism of action of the natural antioxidant compounds including SA, UA, and GSH. SA changes to the ascorbate radical by donating an electron to lipid radicals to terminate the lipid peroxidation chain reaction. The pairs of ascorbate radicals react rapidly to produce one molecule of ascorbate and one molecule of dehydroascorbate. Uric acid and glutathione are physiological natural antioxidants. Uric acid is the most abundant aqueous antioxidant found in humans. It contributes to as much as two thirds of all free radical scavenging activities in the plasma. The oxygen radical scavenging activity of glutathione directly expedites the ROS neutralization and repair of ROS induced damage.

In this work, inspired by the intrinsic function of natural antioxidants to scavenge ROS in plants, animals, and human cells, we developed a method to control the polymerization and surface functionalization by plant derived phenolic compounds in alkaline conditions (pH 8.0). The method combines the effect of polymerization inhibition by antioxidants with the ability of UV light to trigger the polymerization of phenolic compounds, enabling spatiotemporal control of the polymerization and deposition of various plant derived phenolic compounds. It should be noted that phenolic compounds are antioxidants by themselves, which require a stronger reducing agent to inhibit their oxidation at basic pH. Interestingly, this method leads to more homogeneous polyphenolic films deposited on the surface. UV induced oxidation and polymerization of pyrogallol (PG), gallic acid (GA), pyrocatechol (Ct), epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), tannic acid (TA), catechin (Ct), hydroxyhydroquinone (HHQ), caffeic acid (CA), and morin, some of the most common plant derived phenolic compounds (Figure 1), in the presence of sodium ascorbate (SA), glutathione (GSH), and uric acid (UA) as natural antioxidants were studied.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials.** Pyrogallol (PG), gallic acid (GA), pyrocatechol (Ct), epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), tannic acid (TA), catechin (Ct), hydroxyhydroquinone (HHQ), caffeic acid (CA), and morin were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Ascorbic acid sodium salt and uric acid were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Glutathione (reduced) was purchased from Amresco (Germany). Silver nitrate, Rhodamine 110 chloride, and all the other chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used without further purification. 2-Hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA), ethylene dimethacrylate (EDMA) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Nexterion B glass slides obtained from Schott AG and silicon wafers (CZ Si wafer 4 in.) from MicroChem GmbH were used. Glass slides and silicon wafer substrates were cleaned by sonication in deionized (DI) water, 2-propanol, and 0.1 M HCl for 5 min, washed with DI water, and dried with nitrogen gas. Flexible UV transparent fused silica capillary tubing (TSU100375 model) was purchased from Polymicro Technologies. High purity DI water with a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm was obtained from an in-line Millipore water purification system. Acetone and the other solvents were obtained from Merck KGaA. Phosphate buffers were made at 5 mmol/L concentration at pH 8.0. The final pH value adjusted by using a METTLER TOLEDO digital pH meter.

**UV Irradiation of Phenolic Solutions.** An OAI model 30 deep UV collimated light source (San Jose, CA) fitted with a 500 W HgXe lamp was used for UV irradiation. The lamp was calibrated to 6 mW/cm² at 260 nm with the OAI 306 UV power meter. Reaction solutions were transferred into a quartz cuvette and irradiated under UV lamp for 2 h (unless stated otherwise) at room temperature, ambient atmosphere.

**UV–Vis absorption experiments.** A 2 mL phenolic compound solution (0.2 mg/mL, unless stated otherwise) in the phosphate buffers at pH 8.0 (5 mmol/L) was stored in dark environment (dark samples) and irradiated under UV (UV samples) in quartz cuvettes for the desired time. The UV–vis absorbance (230–700 nm) of the dark
and UV samples was measured at different time points (0, 5, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, and 120 min; buffer as the reference) using a Lambda 35 UV−vis spectrometer (PerkinElmer). For sample stored for a longer time, 2 mL of the solution was added into a quartz cuvette and sealed for each time point. UV−vis spectra were measured after 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h. Morin solution was prepared at 0.05 mg/mL in the phosphate buffer at pH 8.0 (5 mmol/L). For samples contacting antioxidants, the desired amount of the antioxidant was added to the buffer solution, and then the phenol was added to this solution. Because of the solubility issue of UA, PG solutions containing UA were prepared at 0.1 mg/mL in a 2.5 mmol/L phosphate buffer at pH 8.0.

For on demand polymerization experiments, PG solution (0.2 mg/mL, phosphate buffer pH 8.0, 5 mmol/L, with GSH/PG molar ratio of 0.8:1 or without antioxidant) was kept in the dark for 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 min before recording the solution’s UV−vis absorption spectra. After UV irradiation for 5 min at 30, 60, 90, and 120 min the solution was placed in the dark, and the solution’s UV−vis spectrum was recorded every 30 min for 3 h. Similar experiments were done on PG solutions with 5 min of UV irradiation at different time points (30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 min), and the UV−vis spectra of the solutions were recorded every 30 min. In another set of experiments the solution was stored in dark and continuously irradiated with UV light at different time points, and the UV−vis spectra were recorded every 30 min for 3 h.

Electrochemistry. All electrochemical measurements were performed with a Reference 600 potentiostat (Gamry Instruments, Warminster, PA) in a three electrode cell with a coiled Pt wire as the counter electrode, and a Ag/AgCl (3 mol/L KCl) reference electrode. The working electrodes were a specifically activated glassy carbon disk electrode (3 mm in diameter) prepared as described in the literature.62 The electrodes were polished with 0.3 μm alumina slurry and activated before each measurement. The phenolic compounds (1.58 mmol/L) were dissolved in phosphate buffer (5 mmol/L) at pH 8.0 (vide supra). A neoLab UV inspection lamp Type 6 with 14 mW/cm² was used for UV irradiation and positioned at a distance of 0.5 cm from a quartz cuvette containing the solution of the phenolic compound. The differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) was measured from −0.2 to 0.8 V with 25 mV pulse size, and the frequency is 5 Hz. The low buffer concentration does not provide sufficient ionic strength for the DPV measurements. Therefore, 0.1 mol/L KCl was added to the solution before measurement.

Deposition of the Polyphenolic Layer on the Silicon Surface. Sodium chloride (60 mmol/L) was added to the phosphate buffers (5 mmol/L) in the deposition and micropatterning process to increase the ionic strength of the solution. Clean silicon substrates were immersed in buffered solutions of 0.2 mg/mL PG with SA (SA/PG molar ratio 0.4:1) or without SA for 30 min in dark room or under UV irradiation at room temperature. Modified samples were then rinsed thoroughly with DI water and ethanol and dried with nitrogen gas followed by a gentle scratch with one tip of a pair of tweezers. Similarly, a phenolic layer was deposited on the surface of silicon by immersing the silicon surface in a 0.2 mg/mL (0.05 mg/mL for morin) phenolic solution of Ctd, EGCG, TA, Ctn, HHQ, and morin containing SA at SA/phenol molar ratios of 0.15, 0.70, 0.85, 0.4, and 1.50, respectively, and the samples were stored overnight in dark. The same conditions were used to make a phenolic layer on the silicon surface under UV irradiation for 30 min.

Photopatterning of Phenolics. For patterning on the substrate, a photomask was fixed on top of the poly(HEMA EDMA) substrate using a setup described in our previous report.53 Details of nanoporous poly(HEMA EDMA) preparation could be found in our report.53 A 0.2 mg/mL phenolic solution of PG, GA, Ctd, EGCG, TA, Ctn, and HHQ containing SA at SA/phenol molar ratios of 0.4, 1.5:1, and 0.85:1, respectively, was filled in between the photomask and the substrate, and the sample was UV irradiated for 10 min. Then, the photomask was removed, and the sample was rinsed with DI water and ethanol and dried with N2. For the secondary modification by AgNO3, the patterned substrates were immersed into a 10 mmol/L AgNO3 aqueous solution overnight, followed by washing with water and drying with N2. For the secondary modification by Rhodamine 110, the patterned substrates were immersed in an ethanol/phosphate buffer (pH 8.0, 5 mmol/L) 1:1 mixture containing 0.1 g/mL of dye overnight; then, the substrate was carefully washed with deionized water and ethanol and dried with N2.

Sequential Patterning of Polyphenols. For the preparation of the “KIT” pattern of three different polyphenols, first, the left part of the KIT logo was deposited on the surface of poly(HEMA EDMA) by irradiating the PG solution (0.2 mg/mL, phosphate buffer 5 mmol/L, pH 8.0) containing SA/PG 0.4:1 molar ratio through a photomask, followed by washing the substrate with water and ethanol and secondary modification with Rhodamine 110 by incubation in dye solution overnight as explained before. Then, the “K” and “T” letters, the middle and right part of the logo, were deposited on the surface by UV irradiation of Ctd and HHQ solutions (0.2 mg/mL, phosphate buffer 5 mmol/L, pH 8.0) containing SA/phenol 0.4:1, 1:5:1 molar ratio through the photomask for 10 min, respectively, followed by washing after each step. We used three different filter sets [filter set 1 (D565), excitation filter 520−560 nm, emission filter 590−650 nm; filter set 2 (C580), excitation filter 450−490 nm, emission filter 500−550 nm; filter set 3 (C580), excitation filter 426−446 nm, emission filter 460−500 nm] to visualize each phenolic pattern. The “KIT” pattern was modified with silver particles by immersing it in a silver nitrate 10 mmol/L aqueous solution overnight.

An overlaid pattern of polyphenols was made on the poly(HEMA EDMA) surface first by irradiation of PG solution (0.2 mg/mL, phosphate buffer 5 mmol/L, pH 8.0) containing SA with SA/PG 0.4:1 molar ratio and subsequent secondary modification with Rhodamine
Figure 1. Effect of natural antioxidants on PG oxidation in dark and under UV irradiation. The graphs show UV absorbance of PG solutions (0.2 mg/mL of PG in phosphate buffer, 5 mmol/L, pH 8.0) at 320 nm. (A) UV absorbance of PG solution over 72 h stored in the dark or after 2 h of UV irradiation. (B) UV absorbance at 320 nm of PG solution with SA (SA/PG 1:2:1 molar ratio) and without SA in dark and under UV irradiation. (C) Corresponding photographs of each sample. (D) ESI MS spectra (positive mode) of the PG solution (0.2 mg/mL of PG in phosphate buffer, 5 mmol/L, pH 8.0; 0.6:1, SA/Ctl molar ratio) with KCl (0.1 mol/L) at activated glassy carbon disk electrodes stored in dark (left) or under UV irradiation (middle) for 2 h. Peak of 105.96 Da. (E) Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) of Ctl solution (0.2 mg/mL of Ctl in phosphate buffer, 5 mmol/L, pH 8.0; 0.6:1, SA/Ctl molar ratio) with KCl (0.1 mol/L) at activated glassy carbon disk electrodes stored in dark (left) or under UV irradiation (middle) for 2 h. Peak currents for SA oxidation (at 0 V vs Ag/AgCl KCl 3 mol/L) vs time in dark and under UV irradiation (right).

Gradient Pattern. For a gradient of the polyphenolic pattern, poly(HEMA EDMA) modified substrate was fed into the patterning setup described before, and filled with PG solution (0.2 mg/mL, phosphate buffer 5 mmol/L, pH 8.0) containing SA with SA/PG 0.4:1 molar ratio followed by washing with water and ethanol after each step. We used two different filter sets (filter set 4 (TexasRed), excitation filter S22–602 nm, emission filter 584–664 nm; filter set 5 (DAPI BP), excitation filter 327–427 nm, emission filter 387–507 nm) to visualize each phenolic pattern.

Keyence BZ 9000 microscope. ImageJ software was used to measure gray value. Mass analysis was performed using an ESI MS (Bruker ESI TOF in INT, KIT) instrument in positive mode. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was performed on a Dimension Icon AFM Bruker) in standard tapping mode in air, INT, KIT. Cantilevers used were of type HQ:NSC15/Al BS (MikroMasch) with a nominal force constant of 40 N/m and a resonance frequency of 325 kHz. The distributions of phenolic mass fragments on the surface were functionalized with 20 vol % 3 (trimethoxysilyl)propyl meth acrylate in ethanol for 30 min followed by washing with ethanol. The polymerization mixture (HEMA 24 wt %, EDMA 16 wt %, 1 decanol 45.5 wt %, cyclohexanol 14.5 wt %, 2,2 dimethoxy 2 phenyl acetoophenone 1 wt % with respect to monomers) was injected into the modified capillary using a syringe. The capillary filled with the polymerization mixture was placed under the UV lamp and irradiated with UV light for 15 min (the lamp was calibrated to 6 mW/cm² at 260 nm with the OAI 306 UV power meter) followed by washing with ethanol. A porous polymer was formed inside the capillary. For a polyphenolic pattern inside the capillary, PG solution was injected into the capillary and reacted overnight, followed by washing with DI water and acetone. For secondary modification with silver particles and fluorescent dye, an aqueous solution of silver nitrate or Rhodamine 110 chloride solution described before was injected into the capillaries and reacted overnight, followed by washing with DI water and acetone and drying with air.

Characterization. UV–vis spectroscopy was performed with a Lambda 35 UV–vis spectrometer (PerkinElmer). The bright field and fluorescence images were taken using a Leica DFC360 microscope and Keyence BZ 9000 microscope. Imaging software was used to measure gray value. Mass analysis was performed using an ESI MS (Bruker ESI TOF in INT, KIT) instrument in positive mode. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was performed on a Dimension Icon AFM (Bruker) in standard tapping mode in air, INT, KIT. Cantilevers used were of type HQ:NSC15/Al BS (MikroMasch) with a nominal force constant of 40 N/m and a resonance frequency of 325 kHz. The distributions of phenolic mass fragments on the surface were...
investigated with time of flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF SIMS) (ION TOF Inc.), IFG, KIT. XPS measurements were performed using a K Alpha+ XPS spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, East Grinstead, UK), IAM, KIT. Data acquisition and processing using the Thermo Advantage software are described elsewhere.54 All coatings were analyzed using a microfocused, monochromated Al Kα X ray source (400 μm spot size). The K Alpha charge compensation system was employed during analysis, using electrons of 8 eV energy, and low energy argon ions to prevent any localized charge build up. The spectra were fitted with one or more Voigt profiles (BE uncertainty: + 0.2 eV), and Scofield sensitivity factors were applied for quantification.55 All spectra were referenced to the C 1s peak (C−C, C−H) at 285.0 eV binding energy controlled by means of the well known photoelectron peaks of metallic Cu, Ag, and Au, respectively. The K alpha+ snapmap option was used to image an area of 2 × 2 mm with an X ray spot of 200 μm (5 iterations were run to reach a better statistic).

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To investigate our hypothesis that natural antioxidants can inhibit polymerization and deposition of natural phenolic compounds under basic conditions we, first, performed UV−vis spectroscopic and differential pulse voltammetric analysis of plant phenolic solutions at pH 8.0 in the presence or absence of antioxidants both in dark and under UV irradiation. UV−vis spectra of PG solution (0.2 mg/mL) with different SA/PG molar ratios from 0:1 up to 1.2:1 were measured in the dark and under UV irradiation (Figure S1). UV absorbance at 320 nm (at 380 nm for TA) was selected as an indication of quinone formation in the solution to monitor oxidation and polymerization of the phenolic compounds. The results demonstrate that oxidation of PG begins immediately at pH 8.0 either in the dark or under UV irradiation (Figure 2). By increasing the amount of SA present in the solution, however, the rate of oxidation of PG decreases for both samples (Figure S2). At the molar ratio as high as 1.2:1 (SA/PG), the oxidation of PG in the dark environment is completely ceased for at least 72 h (Figure 2A), while UV irradiation of the sample at 260 nm (6 mW/cm²) triggered the oxidation and polymerization process, resulting in a 15 fold increase of UV absorbance at 320 nm (from 0.11 to 1.73) already after 2 h (Figure 2B). The color of the PG solution stored in dark did not change in the presence of SA/PG 1.2:1 molar ratio. However, color of the same PG solution irradiated with UV light for 2 h and color of the PG solution without SA changed over time (Figure 2C). Electrospray ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (ESI TOF) of the pyrogallol solution (pH 8.0, 5 mmol/L, SA/PG molar ratio of 1.2:1) indicated the generation of higher molecular weight species after UV irradiation of the solution for 2 h (Figure 2D, and Figure S3). A repeating unit with the mass of 105.96 Da was observed in the mass spectra which corresponds to a structure shown in Figure 2D.35

To show that antioxidant controlled oxidation of plant phenolic compounds is a general concept, we used glutathione (GSH) and uric acid (UA) to study the kinetics of PG oxidation at pH 8.0 both in dark and under UV irradiation by UV−vis spectroscopy (Figures S4−S7). Presence of glutathione at GSH/PG 0.8:1 molar ratio could inhibit the oxidation of PG for 2 h (Figure S4). However, 2 h of UV irradiation of the same sample caused a 13 fold increase in UV absorbance at 320 nm (from 0.09 to 1.22) (Figure S5). A similar effect was observed by using uric acid (UA) as a reducing agent (Figure S6). At UA/PG 1.40:1 molar ratio, oxidation of PG was significantly slowed down in dark, while UV irradiation triggered the oxidation of PG, and the UV absorbance of the PG solution increased 6 fold (from 0.55 to 3.45) after UV irradiation (Figure S7).
To show that natural antioxidants can be used to effectively control the rate of oxidation of other natural plant derived phenolic compounds, we investigated a library of plant phenolic compounds including gallic acid (GA), pyrocatechol (Ctl), epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG), tannic acid (TA), catechin (Ctn), hydroxyhydroquinone (HHQ), caffeic acid (CA), and morin. Basic solutions of the phenolic compounds (pH 8.0, 5 mmol/L phosphate buffer) containing different concentrations of SA were analyzed by UV–vis spectroscopy after UV irradiation for 2 h or storage in the dark. Addition of SA to the solutions at pH 8.0 decreased the rate of oxidation both in dark and under UV irradiation (Figures S8–S15). At SA/phenol molar ratios listed in Table S1, oxidation of phenolic compounds is completely stopped for at least 2 h in the dark. However, UV irradiation at these molar ratios could still trigger the oxidation followed by an increase in UV absorbance (Figures S8–S15). The pH value of the solutions after addition of antioxidants did not change confirming that the inhibition ability of the antioxidants was a result of their ROS scavenging and reducing properties.

To confirm the inhibition effect of SA and the UV acceleration effect on the polymerization of plant phenolic compounds at pH 8.0, we used electroanalytical methods to monitor the change in the SA oxidation peak response as a measure of the SA concentration. We used differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) with specifically activated glassy carbon electrodes to discriminate the respective signals of SA, Ctl, and CA in the SA–phenol mixtures. The SA oxidation in the SA–phenol mixtures appears as a small prepeak or shoulder (at 0 V versus Ag/AgCl) of the larger Ctl or CA oxidation peak at 0.2 and 0.25 V versus Ag/AgCl, respectively (Figure 2E, Figures S16 and S17). Monitoring of SA by means of DPV demonstrates that the oxidation rates of SA increased after UV irradiation of the solution for 2 h (Figure 2E). This phenomenon can be attributed to the formation of ROS from dissolved oxygen under UV irradiation, such as singlet oxygen (‘O₂), superoxide radicals (O₂⁻²⁻), or hydroxyl radicals (‘OH). Under UV irradiation, the DPV results of CA display a new peak around 0.35 V versus Ag/AgCl assigned to intermediates of the polymerization processes. In absence of SA, the peak for CA almost completely disappears within only 30 min of irradiation. In the presence of SA, while polymerization intermediates are still detected, the CA peak only decreases moderately within 2 h (Figure S17). Overall, the consumption of SA in the SA–phenol mixture and the fast consumption of phenols in the SA free solutions confirm that the SA functions as a redundant decreasing the rate of phenolic compound oxidation both in dark and under UV irradiation. Thus, phenolic compounds being natural antioxidants by themselves can be kept in their reduced form in the presence of stronger reducing agents such as SA.

UV–vis spectroscopy and electrochemistry results demonstrate the ability of natural antioxidants to effectively inhibit the oxidation of plant phenolic compounds under basic conditions, where UV irradiation can be used for the temporal control of the oxidation and polymerization of polyphenolic compounds. To exemplify this possibility, we used a PG solution with the GSH additive (GSH/PG molar ratio of 0.8:1; pH 8.0), which does not oxidize for 120 min (Figure 3A, Figure S18). However, irradiating the solution for 5 min at any moment triggered the oxidation of PG leading to an immediate increase in the UV absorbance at 320 nm from 0.08 to around 0.15 (Figure 3A). In this case, the polymerization of PG does not continue after the UV pulse. However, if the UV irradiation continues, oxidation, polymerization, and deposition of PG steadily continue further as indicated by a gradual increase in UV absorbance at 320 nm (Figure 3B). By repeating the UV irradiation for 5 min followed by storing in dark, it was possible to drive the oxidation process of PG to the desired stage at each particular time point for several times (Figure 3C), thereby making a stepwise control of the polymerization of PG possible in the presence of antioxidant. In a control experiment, the PG solution without GSH was irradiated with UV pulses for 5 min at 0, 2.5, and 5.5 min, and the UV absorbance at 320 nm was measured. In the absence of antioxidant, continuous autoxidation of PG was observed even after the UV pulses (Figure S19).

Precipitation or surface adsorption of insoluble higher molecular weight oligomers and adsorption of colloidal polymer particles, formed from self-assembled polyphenol oligomers in solution, are the main mechanisms for the formation of coatings based on polyphenolic compounds. We investigated the formation of polyphenolic layers and its homogeneity on a silicon wafer surface in the presence and absence of SA and with or without UV irradiation. AFM analysis demonstrated no coating on a surface treated with an SA/PG solution (0.2 mg/mL, 5 mmol/L phosphate buffer, pH 8.0; SA/PG molar ratio 0.4:1) without UV irradiation. The same procedure with 30 min of UV irradiation resulted in the formation of a 4 nm thin coating with 0.98 nm roughness (Rq) (Figure 3D, Figure S20D). The polyphenolic layer formed on a silicon wafer in a PG solution without SA and with no UV light was 4 nm thick with Rq roughness 2.33 nm (Figure 3D, Figure S20B). The same protocol but with 30 min of UV irradiation results in a 5 nm coating being also highly inhomogeneous with Rq of 3.51 nm (Figure S20C). Thus, addition of SA into the PG solution resulted in the deposition of a more homogeneous polyphenolic layer, deposition of which could be temporally controlled by UV irradiation.

Roughness (Rq) of the phenolic nanocoating on the silicon surface was measured for samples without antioxidants stored in dark environment, and for UV irradiated samples containing SA. A lower value of Rq was observed in Ctl, EGCG, TA, and HHQ samples compared to the samples without SA (Figure S21). However, Rq of Ctn and morin remained almost constant for the samples with and without SA (Figure S21). Different roughnesses obtained with or without SA could be due to the difference in the kinetics of oxidation and deposition of each phenolic compound in the presence or absence of SA.

Through a change in the oxidation condition from dark to UV exposed environment, kinetics of the oxidation of phenolic compounds was accelerated as it was seen in UV–vis spectroscopy and electrochemistry analysis (Figures S1–S17). However, the overall oxidation behavior of the phenolic compounds (such as peak shapes and peak positions in UV–vis and electrochemistry graphs) remained almost constant in dark and under UV. In our previous work, similar behavior was observed for different plant phenolic compounds at both acidic and basic solutions. Second, nanoparticle like aggregates were observed on the surface after coating deposition from phenolic compounds both in dark and under UV (Figures S20 and S21). Similar behavior of oxidation and deposition observed in UV–vis spectroscopy, electrochemistry, and AFM images suggests that similar radical intermediates formed during the oxidation process in dark and under UV irradiation.
UV–vis spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, electrochemical analysis, and AFM results indicate that UV irradiation could accelerate polymerization and deposition of plant phenolic compounds, whereas SA is able to inhibit the oxidation of phenols either through direct scavenging of ROS in the solution or via reducing of quinone moieties of phenols. On one hand, ROS can increase consumption of SA, and on the other hand, ROS accelerates oxidation rate of phenols as was reported before.34–36 The principle of antioxidant controlled oxidation of phenolic compounds in the presence of sodium ascorbate (SA) is depicted in Scheme 1.

Scheme 1. Schematic Illustrating Antioxidant Controlled Oxidation of Plant Phenolic Compounds

Light is uniquely suited for spatiotemporal control of reactions and surface functionalization. The ability to use UV light to trigger polymerization and deposition of structurally diverse natural phenolic compounds, under alkaline conditions where such polymerization and deposition is the fastest, opens endless opportunities for the formation of functional polyphenol patterning, copatterning of different phenolic compounds, and patterning of phenolics inside of closed systems nonaccessible to stamping methods.

For evaluation of the ability to pattern phenolic compounds, the poly(2 hydroxyethyl methacrylate) co (ethylene dimethacrylate) (poly(HEMA EDMA)) surface was coated with a solution of PG (0.2 mg/mL, phosphate buffer 5 mmol/L, pH 8.0, SA/PG molar ratio 0.4:1), which was covered with a quartz photomask, followed by 10 min of UV irradiation. The surface was then washed with deionized (DI) water and ethanol before.34–36 ROS accelerates oxidation rate of phenols as was reported before. The principle of antioxidant controlled oxidation of polyphenolic layers on the surface. (The KIT logo was used with permission from Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany.)

An interesting application of our method is to create complex overlaid patterns of different phenolic compounds (Figure 4B). Bright field microscopy, fluorescence, and X ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis (Figure S23) clearly indicate the ability to postmodify the polyphenolic micropatterns.

Last but not least, we demonstrated the ability to create patterns of phenolic compounds (PG 0.2 mg/mL, phosphate buffer 5 mmol/L, pH 8.0, SA/PG molar ratio 0.4:1, 10 min of UV irradiation through a quartz photomask) inside of microfluidic channels (Figure 5A) and formation of gradients of the polyphenolic coatings using a moving mask (Figure S5B,C). The phenolic pattern inside the capillary and the gradient patterns were postmodified with silver particles or Rhodamine dye (Figure 5). Such flexibility in the spatiotemporal control of the deposition of different phenolic compounds will be useful in a variety of applications in biotechnology, microfluidics, and surface functionalization.

**CONCLUSION**

We introduced a new strategy to efficiently control the polymerization and deposition of natural plant derived phenolic
compounds under alkaline conditions, where both polymerization and deposition are most efficient. Natural antioxidants such as sodium ascorbate (SA), glutathione (GSH), and uric acid (UA) were used to inhibit the uncontrolled oxidation of phenolic compounds, while UV light could trigger the oxidation, polymerization, and deposition of polyphenols in a spatiotemporally controlled manner. This general phenomenon was applied to nine plant derived phenols including pyrogallol, gallic acid, caffeic acid, tannic acid, pyrocatechol, catechin, morin, hydroxyhydroquinone, and epigallocatechin gallate and was demonstrated with three natural antioxidants SA, GSH, and UA. AFM analysis demonstrates that the produced nano coatings, formed under alkaline and UV antioxidant controlled conditions, are more homogeneous than those formed without antioxidants and UV light. We show the applicability of the method to create micropatterns or gradients of polyphenolic coatings, to control the polymerization temporally and stepwise, and to form patterns inside of microfluidic channels and capillaries.

![Figure 5. Polyphenolic pattern from PG solution (pH 8.0) containing SA (SA/PG molar ratio of 0.4:1) was made (A) inside the capillary by UV irradiation through a photomask for 10 min. (B) A gradient polyphenolic pattern was introduced on the flat surface and modified with silver nanoparticles. (C) Overlaid gradient polyphenolic patterns made on the surface from PG (triangle) and Ctn (hexagonal) solutions. Bright field and fluorescence microscopy images of the silver (left) and Rhodamine modified (right) patterns.](image67x591to272x753)
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