Flavorful leptoquarks at hadron colliders
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B-physics data and flavor symmetries suggest that leptoquarks can have masses as low as a few O(TeV), predominantly decay to third generation quarks, and highlight $pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}\mu\bar{\mu}$ signatures from single production and $pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}\mu\bar{\mu}$ from pair production. Abandoning flavor symmetries could allow for inverted quark hierarchies and cause sizable $pp \rightarrow jj\mu\bar{\mu}$ and $jj\mu\bar{\mu}$ cross sections, induced by second generation couplings. Final states with leptons other than muons including lepton flavor violation (LFV) ones can also arise. The corresponding couplings can also be probed by precision studies of the B → $(X_s, K^*, \phi)ee$ distribution and LFV searches in B-decays. We demonstrate sensitivity in single leptoquark production for the large hadron collider (LHC) and extrapolate to the high luminosity LHC. Exploration of the bulk of the parameter space requires a hadron collider beyond the reach of the LHC, with $b$-identification capabilities.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Leptoquarks generically couple differently to different generations of quarks and leptons. Present hints of non-universality between electrons and muons in the rare B-decay observables $R_K$, $R_{K^*}$ [1] by the LHCb collaboration [2,3] are indeed naturally explained by tree-level exchange of leptoquarks [4–16]. Combining $R_K$ with $R_{K^*}$ allows one to diagnose the chirality of the participating $|\Delta b| = |\Delta s| = 1$ currents [17]. Current data favor leptoquarks that couple to quark and lepton doublets, e.g., [18–23], implying couplings to both $b$- and $t$-quarks and charged leptons and neutrinos. The corresponding leptoquark representations are the scalar $SU(2)_L$-triplet $S_3$ and two vectors, a singlet $V_1$ and a triplet, $V_3$. Importantly, the mass scale of the leptoquarks is model-independently limited by multi-$O$(10) TeV and in viable flavor models in the TeV range, suggesting dedicated searches at the large hadron collider (LHC) and beyond [24].

Search results for leptoquarks are available for fixed branching fractions into a given lepton species. For instance, mass limits for leptoquarks decaying 50% to electrons (muons) plus jet and the other 50% to neutrinos and a jet are 900 GeV (850 GeV) [25], with similar results reported in [26,27], all obtained from pair production. The limits improve to 1100 GeV [26] (1080 GeV [27]) for 100% decay to electrons (muons) plus jet. Bounds from single production in jet $ee$ (1755 GeV) and jet $\mu\mu$ (660 GeV) [28] assume 100% decays to charged leptons and coupling equal to one. As we will show, rare B-decay data suggest to look for leptoquarks with dominant decays to $b\ell^\pm$, $\ell = e, \mu$. To date, no corresponding leptoquark search has been performed at the LHC. On the other hand, derived limits from other searches such as supersymmetry resulting in analogous signatures as the leptoquark ones are 1.5 TeV ($S_3$) and 1.8 TeV ($V_{1,3}$) for $be$ and 1.4 TeV ($S_3$) and 1.7 TeV ($V_{1,3}$) for $b\mu$ [29]. Limits on $b\nu$, $t\ell^\pm$, and $t\nu$ are not stronger.

The aim of this study is to work out collider signatures of leptoquark scenarios that take into account flavor structure and B-physics data. We focus on single production, which is directly driven by the leptoquark couplings to quarks and leptons and results in signatures with a quark and two leptons. Flavor physics provides directions to identify the final states with leading signatures. We work out explicit predictions for the scalar leptoquark $S_3$; the flavor aspects of the analysis are analogous to those of the vector ones. We estimate improvements in mass reach for possible future $pp$ machines operating at center-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 33$ TeV (HE-LHC) and 100 TeV (FCC-hh) [24,30]. For related recent works on leptoquark production and $R_{K,K^*}$, see [31–34].
The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we review the requirements and constraints from flavor physics on the leptoquark’s mass and couplings. Leptoquark branching ratios and single as well as pair production are discussed in Sec. III. Expectations for the flavor patterns of the leptoquark couplings are given in Sec. IV, together with corresponding branching ratios and signal strengths. In Sec. V, we conclude.

II. THE SCALAR LEPTOQUARK $S_3$

We denote by $S_3$ the scalar leptoquark that resides in the $(\bar{3}, 3, 1/3)$ representation of the standard model (SM) gauge group. Its couplings to the SM fermions are given by the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}} = \lambda \bar{Q}_L^a (\sigma^2)^{\alpha\beta}(S_3)^{\beta\rho}L_L^\alpha + Y_e \bar{Q}_L^a (\sigma^2)^{\alpha\beta}(S_3)^{\beta\rho}Q_R^\alpha + \text{H.c.},$$

(1)

where $\sigma^2$ is the second Pauli matrix and $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ are $SU(2)_L$ indices, whereas $\gamma^\epsilon$ denotes the charge-conjugated spinor. We concentrate in this work on the first term that involves the coupling to leptons and quarks and assume the existence of a mechanism that forbids the second term that carries a quark (lepton) flavor index that we suppress for simplicity. We expand the Lagrangian (1) in terms of the isospin components as

$$S_3 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} S_3^{1/3} & \sqrt{2}S_3^{1/3} \\ \sqrt{2}S_3^{-2/3} & -S_3^{1/3} \end{array} \right),$$

(2)

where the superscripts denote the electric charge in units of $e$. The normalization is fixed to yield canonically normalized kinetic terms for the complex scalar components.

Expanding the Lagrangian (1) in terms of the isospin components, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{QL} = -\sqrt{2}\lambda d_L^a \nu_L S_3^{1/3} - \lambda d_L^a \nu_L S_3^{1/3} + \sqrt{2}\lambda \bar{u}_L^c \nu_L S_3^{2/3} - \lambda c_L^a \bar{u}_L S_3^{1/3} + \text{H.c.},$$

(3)

The kinetic term for the leptoquark multiplet is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[(D_\mu S_3)^\dagger D^\mu S_3].$$

(4)

We assume the approximate mass degeneracy of the components within the multiplet. For the collider study in Sec. III, we implement the models (3) and (4) in Feynrules [35] to obtain the corresponding universal Feynrules output (UFO) [36]. The latter is used as input to the MadGraph event generator code [37].

To successfully accommodate present $R_K^{(e)}$ data with the $S_3$, one requires [21]

$$\lambda_{b\mu} \lambda_{\mu t} - \lambda_{b\mu} \lambda_{\mu e} \approx 1.1 \left( \frac{M_{S_3}^2}{35 \text{ TeV}} \right)^2.$$  

(5)

Here, we label the element of the leptoquark Yukawa matrix $\lambda = \lambda_{q\ell}$ by the quark and lepton flavors to which it couples. By $SU(2)_L$, $\lambda_{U, \ell} = V_{ij}^\dagger \lambda_{D, \ell}$, where $V$ denotes the Cabibbo Kobayashi Maskawa (CKM) matrix. To electrons as corresponding contributions are consistent [39, 40], a viable "simplified" benchmark $\lambda_\varepsilon$ is obtained as

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon \ell} \approx (e^3 \cdots e^4) \lambda_{\mu \ell}, \quad \lambda_{\varepsilon \ell} \approx e^2 \lambda_{\mu \ell}, \quad \ell = e, \mu, \tau.$$  

(6)

This can, for instance, be realized with a Froggatt-Nielsen-Mechanism [39], where $e \approx 0.2$ denotes a flavor parameter of the size of the sine of the Cabibbo angle. The $\sim$ symbol indicates that a relation holds up to factors on the order of one. Charged lepton mass hierarchies are taken care of by the $SU(2)_L$ singlet leptons, i.e., the lepton doublets are neutral under the Froggatt-Nielsen symmetry, and no further suppressions in $\lambda_{\mu \ell}$ appear. Taking in addition into account that (ii) the beyond the standard model (BSM) effects in $R_K$, $K^+$ are predominantly from muons as opposed to electrons as corresponding contributions are consistent with those from global fits to the $b \to s\mu^+\mu^-$ observables [40], a viable "simplified" benchmark $\lambda_\varepsilon$ is obtained as

$$\lambda_\varepsilon \approx \lambda_0 \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & e^2 & * \\ * & 1 & * \end{array} \right).$$  

(7)

Here, the entries denoted by "$0$" are of higher order in $e$; they are constrained by $\mu-e$ conversion and rare kaon decays and of no concern to the present analysis. The entries labeled with an asterisk are not needed to explain $|\Delta b| = |\Delta s| = 1$ data. Equation (5) implies $\lambda_0 \approx M_{S_3}/6.7$ TeV. Allowing for order one factors in $\lambda_{b\mu}$, taken here to be between 1/3 and 3, one obtains the range

$$M_{S_3}/11.6 \text{ TeV} \lesssim \lambda_0 \lesssim M_{S_3}/3.9 \text{ TeV}.$$  

(8)

The parameter space (8) is well within the LHC limits on Drell-Yan production, to which $t$-channel leptoquarks contribute at tree level. Specifically, the Wilson coefficient $C_{b\mu L} = v^2 \lambda_{\mu \epsilon}/(2M_{S_3}^2)$ satisfies in our case $C_{b\mu L} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-3}$, where $v = 246$ GeV denotes the vacuum expectation value (vev) of the Higgs, whereas experimentally, it is constrained only at the level of $10^{-2}$ for both electrons and muons [41]. Note that the effective theory is constructed to hold for leptoquark masses greater than the dilepton invariant mass, presently up to a few TeV. However, also
for smaller masses, effective theory bounds provide a useful approximation [42].

III. COLLIDER SIGNATURES

We discuss leptoquark decays and single leptoquark production at proton-proton colliders in Secs. III A and III B, respectively. In Sec. III C, we consider signatures with tops and jets. We occasionally use the symbol $\phi$ for a generic leptoquark.

A. Decay and width

Neglecting the masses of the decay products, the partial decay width of a scalar leptoquark $S_3$ with mass $M$ decaying to a lepton $\ell$ and a quark $q$ reads

$$\Gamma(S_3 \rightarrow q\ell) = c \frac{|\lambda_{q\ell}|^2}{16\pi} M, \quad (9)$$

where $c = 2$ for $S_3^1/3$, $S_3^{-2}/3$ and $c = 1$ for $S_3^{1/3}$, see Eq. (3). $\Gamma$ approximates the total width if the coupling $\lambda_{q\ell}$ is the dominant one. Note that the multibody decays induced by intermultiplet cascades such as $S_3^{-4/3} \rightarrow S_3^{1/3}W^- \rightarrow bqW^-$ can become sizable for large masses. With couplings to the first and second quark generations being flavor suppressed, as, for instance, made explicit in (7) and the following text, the leptoquark predominantly decays to third generation quarks. The relevant parameter space in mass and leading coupling $\lambda_0 \equiv \lambda_{b\mu}$ is illustrated in Fig. 1 for the $S_3^4/3$. The yellow (shaded) region corresponds to a narrow width, $\Gamma/M_{S_3} \lesssim 5\%$, which translates to $\lambda_0 \lesssim 1.1$. [Note that $\Gamma/M_{S_3} \lesssim 1\%(10\%)$ corresponds to $\lambda_0 \lesssim 0.5(1.6)$.] The red band denotes the region that explains lepton non-universality (LNU) data, Eq. (8). In the hatched region above the black curve, the leptoquark decays too rapid to form bound states, $\Gamma > \Lambda_{QCD}$. Predictions from viable flavor models $\lambda_{b\mu} \sim c_\epsilon$, where $c_\epsilon$ is on the order of $\epsilon$ [16] (green horizontal band) are also shown. $B_s$-mixing data together with $R_K, R_{K^*}$ provide a data-driven upper limit on the mass of the $S_3$ leptoquark of 40 TeV. For such large masses, the coupling required by $B$-physics data becomes order one and approaches the perturbativity limit. In addition, the region of narrow width is left. Upper mass limits on the (charge-like) vector leptoquarks are 45 TeV and 20 TeV for $V_1$ and $V_3$, respectively [21].

We list the dominant decays modes for the three leptoquark representations that can explain current LNU data [21] for the scalar isospin triplet:

$$S_3^{2/3} \rightarrow t\nu, \quad (10)$$

where the vector isospin singlet decays as

$$V_1^{2/3} \rightarrow b\mu^+, t\nu, \quad (11)$$

and the vector isospin decays as

$$V_3^{1/3} \rightarrow b\nu, \quad V_3^{2/3} \rightarrow b\mu^+, t\nu, \quad V_3^{5/3} \rightarrow t\nu^+. \quad (12)$$

As, for instance, $V_1^{2/3} \rightarrow b\mu^-$ and $S_3^{-4/3} \rightarrow b\mu^-$ lead both to a negatively charged lepton, tagging of the $b$-charge would be useful to identify the leptoquark type and its electric charge.

Note that some leptoquarks can undergo more than one decay into the third generation quarks, such as $S_3^{1/3}$, Eq. (10), and in this case, by $SU(2)_L$,

$$B(\phi \rightarrow b\nu_\ell) \sim B(\phi \rightarrow t\ell) \approx 1/2.$$

Similarly, for $V_1$ (11) and $V_3$ (12),

$$B(\phi \rightarrow b\ell) \sim B(\phi \rightarrow t\ell) \approx 1/2.$$

B. Single leptoquark production

In Fig. 2, we show the leading order diagrams inducing single leptoquark production, followed by its decay. The production is in association with a lepton. The cross section is sensitive to the flavor coupling $\lambda_{q\ell}$. With the couplings to the first and second quark generations being flavor suppressed, the parton level production of the leptoquark is dominated by the third generation coupling. This continues to be the case at the hadron level, which can be inferred from Fig. 3. Therein, the triplet of (thin) curves corresponds

---

$^1$Recent analysis of $B_s$ mixing [43] suggests lower upper limits on the leptoquark masses.
to single production with a Yukawa coupling to only one down-type flavor switched on at a time (from top to bottom: dashed pink, dashed-dotted orange, and dotted blue for $\lambda_d \mu$, $\lambda_s \mu$, $\lambda_b \mu$ set to one, respectively). The ratio of $\lambda_b \mu$-induced to $\lambda_x \mu$-induced cross sections, $r_x = d, s$, gives the parton distribution function (PDF) suppression of $b$-production versus light flavor production. We find that $r_s$ is about a factor of a (few)$^{-1}$, and $r_d$ is on the order of $10^{-1}$ to $10^{-2}$, which are weaker than the respective flavor suppressions, Eq. (6), so indeed, beauty wins. In Fig. 3, we added the cross sections of $CP$-conjugated final states; in the absence of $CP$ violation, which is the limit we are working in, this amounts to a factor 2 in the single production cross section from valence quark-gluon fusion. Also shown in the two
are described in the text.

additional plots are predictions for future proton-proton machines, a 33 TeV HE-LHC and a 100 TeV collider.

The corresponding numerical calculations are performed using MADGRAPH, version 2.6 [37] at leading order in quantum chromodynamics (QCD). We find that the largest uncertainties originate from the PDFs (we use LHAPDF [44]). For the single production (red band) linked to $R_{K^{(*)}}$ data (8), they grow from an order of 10% for $M \sim 1$ TeV to $\sim 35-40\%$ for smaller cross sections of a few $\times 10^{-7}$ pb. The scale uncertainty—in our estimate, both the factorization and the renormalization scale are equal to half of the sum of the transverse masses of the final state particles—reaches $\sim 25\%$.

In Fig. 3, the cross section for pair production $\sigma(pp \to S^4_{3}/S^3_{3} \mu^- b)$ is shown by the solid green curve. We find, using MADGRAPH at leading order, that both PDF and scale uncertainties can reach $O(40)$ percent towards $\sigma \sim$ a few $\times 10^{-7}$ pb. While the scale uncertainty is essentially flat, the PDF uncertainty drops to an order of 10% for lighter leptoquarks near a TeV. In the simplified benchmark (7), the $S^4_{3}/3$ decays into $b\mu$, see (10), producing a $pp \to b\mu\mu$ signature. Pair production of another component of the $S_3$ can give $t\bar{t}\mu$, $bbE_{miss}$, $b\mu E_{miss}$, or $ttE_{miss}$ final states.

For low masses, pair production has a cross section larger than that of single production (red band) linked to $R_{K^{(*)}}$ data (8), while the single production cross section is larger for higher masses [45]. Naively about a factor $\sim 2$ (5) in mass reach can be gained in pair production at a 33(100) TeV collider relative to 13 TeV and for comparable luminosity of 300 fb$^{-1}$. The potential gain for single production is somewhat larger: about a factor $\sim 2.5$ (7) in the target parameter space, the red band, for 33(100) TeV. While this gives an idea about the accessible ranges, dedicated simulations are needed to estimate the reach more reliably.

We simulate events for a 1.5 TeV leptoquark and different couplings at the $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV LHC. In Fig. 4, we present the corresponding distributions for signal and background as a function of $M_{inv}(\mu^–b)$, the invariant mass of the $\mu^-b$ system. To enhance the significance and to study a situation where the $b$-charge is not tagged, we add the $CP$-conjugate process $pp \to b\bar{\mu}^+\mu^-$ in both signal and background. The corresponding calculations are performed at leading order in QCD using MADGRAPH, version 2.6 [37]
for the event generation, PYTHIA [46] for the parton showering and hadronization and DELPHES 3 [47] for the fast detector simulation. For the muon isolation we, follow [28], whereas all the other criteria are taken from the default DELPHES card for the CMS detector. To account for the QCD corrections at NLO, we multiply signals and backgrounds with corresponding global k-factors. For the signal, we use $k \sim 1.5$ [48], whereas for the background, we use the value that we obtain by comparing the NLO and LO calculations at fixed order in MADGRAPH. For the analysis of the signal and background we use MADANALYSIS 5 [49].

For these evaluations, we adopt the following kinematic cuts: We accept events containing two opposite-charge muons and a $b$-jet and require for the transverse momenta and absolute pseudorapidities of each of these final states to exceed 45 GeV and to be smaller than 2.1, respectively. Furthermore, for the angular separation between muon and a $b$-jet, we have $\Delta R > 0.3$, and also we cut on the invariant mass of the opposite-charge muon-pair $M_{\mu \mu} > 110$ GeV. For the final event selection, we adopt the cut on the scalar sum of the transverse momenta of the muon pair and leading $b$-jet $S_T(\mu_1, \mu_2, b_1) > 250$ GeV [28]. We use $M_{\mu \mu}(\mu, b$-jet) as a discriminating variable between signal and background and adjust the corresponding cut to a given mass and coupling hypothesis in order to maximize the significance of the signal.

The approximate expected discovery significance for the first case (a) $\lambda_{b\mu} = 1$, which is an a priori unrelated to LNU data, is around $4\sigma$ for an integrated luminosity of 150 fb$^{-1}$. In the second case (b) where $\lambda_{b\mu}$ saturates the upper limit in (7) and (8), we find around $5\sigma$ at 3000 fb$^{-1}$. The significance for the third case (c) is smaller, somewhat below $3\sigma$ due to the twice smaller branching fraction into $b\mu$; see (15). To compute these significances, we used the approximate formula from [50] and took into account both $\mu^- b$ and $\mu^+ b$ signals in the data sample.

C. Tops and jets

We briefly discuss single production into top and jet plus dilepton final states, which complements searches into $b$-quarks.

The processes $gb \to \mu^+ \phi \to \mu^+ (\mu^-)$ are related to $gb \to \nu \phi \to \nu \mu^-$ by $SU(2)_L$ and arise at the same order of flavor counting. We recall the factor $1/2$ in the leading $S_3^{1/3}$ branching ratios as within our approximations, the leptoquark decays to both $b\nu$ and $t^\ell$ via $\lambda_{b\mu}$ at equal rate. However, the $t\mu$ final state has larger background because SM processes from $W \to t\ell$ cannot be removed equally well as $Z \to \ell\ell$. On the other hand, if the flavor suppression of the second generation quark coupling is not realized in nature, $t\mu\mu$ final states, induced by $\lambda_{c\mu} \sim \lambda_{\mu\mu}$, could potentially be interesting. For $\lambda_{b\mu} = \lambda_{b\mu}$, one finds for the branching ratio $B(\bar{b} \to t\mu) \approx 1/4$; however, the leptoquark coupling drops by an order of magnitude, $\lambda_0 = 0.03M_\text{TeV}$, as dictated by (5). Despite the PDF enhancement from charm relative to $b$, this democratic scenario results in about two orders of magnitude smaller cross sections relative to $p p \to b\mu\mu$.

Inverted hierarchies $\lambda_{b\mu} \gg \lambda_{b\mu}$ are in conflict with flavor symmetry (see Sec. IV) but not excluded experimentally in the simplified scenario with two entries only (7). This extreme scenario, however, does not improve the situation for the third case (c) is smaller, somewhat below $3\sigma$ due to the twice smaller branching fraction into $b\mu$; see (15). To compute these significances, we used the approximate formula from [50] and took into account both $\mu^- b$ and $\mu^+ b$ signals in the data sample.

IV. FLAVOR BENCHMARKS

We explain how the simplified pattern (7) can arise in models of flavor and give more general Yukawa patterns.

We are, in particular, interested in theoretical predictions for the entries with an asterisk that potentially induce leptoquark signals with electrons or taus and LFV, which affects the collider phenomenology.

The most general approach, treating all entries as free parameters only constrained by upper limits and (5), presently does not suffice to identify the dominant collider signatures. We therefore suggest to study benchmarks. They are motivated by viable flavor symmetries that successfully explain SM flavor and consistency with data.

A simultaneous evaluation of the LNU ratios $R_K$, $K^*_{\mu\mu}$, and $B \to K^*\mu\mu$ angular distributions is possible with BSM effects in couplings to muons alone. Hence, from this perspective, leptoquark couplings to electrons are not necessary. Lepton species’ isolation patterns can be engineered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$\tau$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^2$</td>
<td>$\delta^2$</td>
<td>$\delta^2$</td>
<td>$\delta^2$</td>
<td>$\delta^2$</td>
<td>$\delta^2$</td>
<td>$\delta^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\lambda$</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$\tau$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\mu}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{b\mu}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{e\mu}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with discrete, non-abelian flavor symmetries such as $A_4$ [7].

For second generation leptons, these read

$$\lambda_{\mu} \sim c_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^4 & 0 \\ e^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow c_{\ell} \begin{pmatrix} \delta e^4 & e^4 & \delta e^4 \\ \delta e^2 & e^2 & \delta e^2 \\ \delta & 1 & \delta \end{pmatrix},$$

(13)

or one that avoids the CKM suppression for the second generation quarks [16],

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\mu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{\ell} e^4 & 0 \\ c_{\ell} c_{\ell} & c_{\ell} & c_{\ell} \kappa \\ 0 & c_{\ell} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} c_{\ell} \kappa e^2 & c_{\ell} e^4 + c_{\ell} \kappa e^2 & c_{\ell} \kappa e^2 \\ c_{\ell} & c_{\ell} e^2 + c_{\ell} \kappa & c_{\ell} \kappa \\ c_{\ell} \delta + c_{\ell} \kappa e^2 & c_{\ell} \delta & c_{\ell} \delta + c_{\ell} \kappa \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(14)

In Eqs. (13) and (14), all vevs $c_{\ell}, c_{e},$ and $\kappa$ are on the order of $e^n, n \geq 1$. $\delta$ is a small parameter of second order in the vevs; see [16] for details.

Patterns (13) and (14) receive corrections from rotating flavor to mass basis and from higher order spurion insertions [16], both of which are incorporated in the matrices to the right of the arrow. As a result, in addition to those to muons, leptoquarks couple to all leptons and third generation quarks, and LFV arises.

For the third benchmark, we employ a more general parametrization and impose experimental constraints [7] “flavor data”:

$$\lambda_{\text{FD}} = \lambda_0 \begin{pmatrix} \rho d \kappa_e & \rho_d \kappa_e & \rho \kappa_e \\ \rho \kappa_e & \rho & \rho \kappa_e \\ \kappa_e & 1 & \kappa_e \end{pmatrix}, \quad \kappa_e \sim 1.$$  

(15)

Here, we allow for quark flavor suppressions $\rho_d = \lambda_{dd}/\lambda_{bd}$ and $\rho = \lambda_{\ell \ell}/\lambda_{b \ell}$, with larger couplings for higher generations, in concordance with the observed quark mass pattern. In addition, a suppression factor $\kappa_e$ for the electrons is accounted for. The phenomenologically viable range for $\lambda_{\text{FD}}$ parameters in Eq. (15) is [7]

$$\rho_d \lesssim 0.02, \quad \kappa_e \lesssim 0.5, \quad 10^{-4} \lesssim \rho \lesssim 1, \quad \kappa_e/\rho \lesssim 0.5, \quad \rho_d/\rho \lesssim 1.6.$$  

(16)

The MEG experiment [51] can put a limit on $\kappa_e/\rho$ at the level of 0.2 in the future [7].

Branching fractions of $S_{3}$ to $b\ell \ell$ and $j\ell \ell, \ell = e, \mu, \tau$ in the three benchmarks are presented in Table I. They are also useful to estimate signatures in leptoquark pair production. Predictions for the vector leptoquarks follow analogous flavor patterns: Modulo the slightly different vevs [16] in $\lambda_{\mu}$, one obtains in addition $B(V_1 \rightarrow b\ell \ell) \sim B(S_{3}^{1/3} \rightarrow b\ell \ell)/2$ for similar masses. In Table II, we give the parametric signal strength for single leptoquark production using the narrow-width approximation,

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow \phi(\rightarrow q\ell \ell)) = \sigma(pp \rightarrow \phi\ell) B(\phi \rightarrow q\ell \ell),$$  

(17)

in the benchmarks for different final state flavors. In both Tables I and II, we give the leading terms in the vev expansion, $c_{\ell}, c_{e}, \kappa < 1$, and the flavor factors $\rho, \rho_d, \kappa_e < 1$.

Hierarchies in $\lambda_{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mu}$ are identical for all $b$-final states, but the jet$^2$ signals are less suppressed in $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mu}$, bee and jee channels are strongly suppressed in both benchmarks (13) and (14). For $\lambda_{\text{FD}}$, the situation depends on how strong flavor suppressions are. A small $\rho$ implies a suppressed $\kappa_e$.

We identify two limits:

(A) $\rho, \kappa_e$ are order one, then either $\lambda_0$ has to be small, or, if $\lambda_0$ is order one, as well, then leptoquark masses are in the multi-10 TeV range. In either case, there is no leptoquark-induced $b\mu\mu$ signal at the LHC.

(B) $\rho, \kappa_e \ll 1$, then $\lambda_0$ is sizable, while leptoquark masses can be TeV-ish, and the jet and electron modes are suppressed.

Case (B) resembles the situation for benchmarks $\lambda_{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mu}$. Constraints on $\kappa_e$ are therefore important to control final states with electrons. For $\kappa_e \ll 1$, the $ee$ or $e\mu$ modes would be SM-like. $\kappa_e$ can be constrained from $b \rightarrow see$ or $b \rightarrow see$ processes together with $b \rightarrow s\mu\mu$. Due to reduced uncertainties, angular observables in $B \rightarrow K^+(-K^-)\pi\mu\mu$ decays are promising [17].

\footnote{We use “jet” for an object from gluons, $u, d, s$ and $c$-quarks and antiquarks, as opposed to a $b$-jet, made out of $b$ and $\bar{b}$.}
In the presence of sizable couplings to more than one lepton species, such as muons and taus, there are two main aspects to single production: First, the signal in $pp \to (\phi \to b\mu)\mu$ drops because the leptoquark also has a decay rate into $b\tau$. This happens in plot (c) of Fig. 4. Second, LFV arises, such as $pp \to (\phi \to b\tau)\mu$ and $pp \to (\phi \to b\mu)\tau$. This can be searched for in a complementary way in $B \to K^{(*)}\tau\mu$ decays.

Up to cuts and detection efficiencies, $S_3$-induced $pp \to \tau\mu$, which arises from charm quarks (see Fig. 2), is suppressed by $e^4$, $(c_\tau/k_{c\tau})^2$, and $p^2$ in scenario $\lambda_\mu$, $\lambda_\tau$, and $\lambda_3$, respectively, with respect to $pp \to b\mu$. Using the same approximations, the $pp \to \tau\mu$ and $pp \to b\nu\nu$ signal strengths are the same as that for $pp \to b\mu\mu$.

Both $S_3^{1/3}$ and $S_3^{-1/3}$ produce $j\ell\ell'\rho$ final states (10); hence, the parametric signal strength of all jet modes in Table II is additionally enhanced by a factor $\sim 3/2$. Here, we used that the strange and charm PDFs are similar in size within our approximations.

V. CONCLUSION

The TeV mass leptoquarks can be singly produced at hadron colliders in association with a lepton. $B$-physics data, which hint at a BSM contribution in $b \to s\mu\mu$ processes, while one in $b \to see$ may be discarded by Occam’s razor, together with flavor model building identify $pp \to \phi\mu \to b\mu\mu$ and two modes with missing energy $pp \to \phi\nu \to b\nu\nu$ and $pp \to \phi\tau \to \tau\mu\nu$, as the channels with leading cross sections. While this highlights $b\mu\mu$ as a prime channel, signatures with further final states can also be sizable and should be explored. The reasons are, first, to advance our understanding of flavor by probing lepton and quark flavor-specific couplings in the leptoquarks’ Yukawa matrix, as opposed to rare decays (5), which constrain products of couplings. Second, exploration of further single production modes serves as a cross check with other measurements, such as leptoquark pair production, and indirect searches, notably Drell-Yan production and semileptonic rare $b$-decays.

Due to the higher cross section for lower masses (see Fig. 3), we encourage searches for leptoquarks from pair production decaying to a $b$-quark and a lepton, or a top quark and a lepton, as in (10)–(12).

Semileptonic $b$-decays can be probed at LHCb and Belle II and allow access to lepton-specific couplings of all three generations, which could improve benchmarks (15) and aid collider searches. Corresponding processes are $b \to see$ and LFV, $b \to se\mu$ and those into taus. Studies of the angular distribution in $B \to K^{*}ee$ similar to $B \to K^{*}\mu\mu$ [17,52] and searches for $B \to K^{(*)}e(\mu, \tau)$ and $B \to K^{(*)}\mu\tau$ at the level of $10^{-8}$ and lower are $\sim B_{s} \to e\mu$ at $\mathcal{O}(10^{-11})$ [7] should be pursued to obtain meaningful constraints on the leptoquark flavor matrix.

Discrimination between different quark flavors can be achieved by comparing signatures induced by the third generation quark coupling to the ones induced by first two generations, such as $b\ell\ell$ to $j\ell\ell'$. Flavor symmetries predict the latter to be suppressed or at least not enhanced relative to the former, as a result of the corresponding quark hierarchies, e.g., see patterns (13), (14), and (15). An experimental search could put this prediction to a test. Evidence for an inverted quark hierarchy $\lambda_{c\ell} \gg \lambda_{b\ell}$ would suggest an origin of flavor outside of symmetries, such as anarchy. Corresponding final states from pair production are $jj\ell\ell'$, where lepton species $\ell, \ell'$ can be the same or different.

Our analysis shows that the LHC, even with $3ab^{-1}$, is not able to cover the full targeted parameter space (see Fig. 3), where expectations for future $pp$ collisions with higher center of mass energy and comparable luminosity are also shown. For measurements to be useful for flavor, $b$-identification is required. Additionally, the ability to tag the flavor of the $b$ would allow one to measure the leptoquark’s electric charge and distinguish leptoquark representations.
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Note added.—During the finalization of this work a related study on leptoquark production at colliders appeared [53].