Supported gold- and silver-based catalysts for the selective aerobic oxidation of 5-(hydroxymethyl)furfural to 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid and 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furancarboxylic acid†
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The sustainable synthesis of two important intermediates relevant for the production of bio-based polymers, 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA) and 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furancarboxylic acid (HFCA), via oxidation of 5-(hydroxymethyl)furfural (HMF) was investigated using supported gold- and silver-based catalysts in water with air as the oxidant. High yields and selectivities for the production of FDCA (89%) and HFCA (≥98%) were achieved under the optimized reaction conditions with Au/ZrO2 and Ag/ZrO2 catalysts, respectively. While FDCA was mainly formed in the presence of gold catalysts at a maximum productivity of 67 molFDCA h\(^{-1}\) molAu\(^{-1}\), silver catalysts showed a remarkably high activity in aldehyde oxidation producing HFCA in almost quantitative yields with a maximum productivity of 400 molHFCA h\(^{-1}\) molAg\(^{-1}\). By variation of the reaction parameters, the Au/ZrO2 catalyst could be tuned to produce also HFCA, whereas the Ag/ZrO2 catalyst exclusively produced HFCA in a wide range of reaction parameters. The observed differences in catalyst selectivities can be taken as a starting point for further mechanistic investigation on the oxidation of HMF, contributing to a fundamental understanding of this reaction which is particularly important for establishing the production of bio-based polymers.

Introduction

With diminishing fossil resources, efforts have to be taken for the future synthesis of chemicals. In this context, biomass can serve as an attractive renewable feedstock for chemical syntheses. One of the most versatile molecules that can be synthesized from C\(_6\) carbohydrates, which are e.g. present in biomass feedstocks containing cellulose and hemicellulose, is 5-(hydroxymethyl)furfural (HMF). HMF can be produced from hexoses by acid-catalyzed dehydration and can subsequently be converted into various other products. In numerous studies, the conversion of HMF into valuable secondary products has been investigated including e.g. via hydrogenation, dehydrogenation or hydrodeoxygenation. Products originating from HMF oxidation are 2,5-diformylfuran (DFF), 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furancarboxylic acid (HFCA) and 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA). Among these, FDCA and HFCA are considered as very important secondary products from HMF, since they might substitute fossil monomers in bio-based polymers.7–10

Besides the use of stoichiometric oxidants like KMnO\(_4\),11 numerous pathways for the synthesis of FDCA have been reported to produce FDCA in a sustainable way. These methods include bio–12,13 or electrocatalytic14,15 reactions as well as homogeneous and heterogeneous metal catalysis. A method which is industrially applied is the homogeneously catalyzed AMOCO mid-century process, which uses Co(OAc)\(_2\) and Mn(OAc)\(_2\) as catalysts in acetic acid solvent at 125 °C and 70 bar air pressure.16,17 More recent studies focused on the heterogeneous catalyzed oxidation of HMF for a sustainable process. In these studies, mainly nanoparticles of noble metals like Pt,18–24 Pd,18,25–27 Ru28–31 or Au32–36 on various supports have been used for the oxidation of HMF to FDCA, often giving almost quantitative yields. For example, FDCA was produced with 96% yield after 5 h reaction time using a Au/CeO\(_2\) catalyst at 130 °C.32 If the reaction is carried out in basic aqueous solution, the oxidation proceeds via the oxidation of the aldehyde group with the oxidation of the alcohol functionality being the rate-limiting step.32,37

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Characterization results and further experiments. See DOI: 10.1039/c8gc01340c
Therefore, the partially oxidized HFCA is an intermediate in the oxidation reaction from HMF to FDCA. Although a number of active catalysts have been reported in the literature, stability and, consequently, recyclability are still challenging. Further strategies to increase the activity and stability of the catalysts utilize alloy formation of different noble metals, innovative support materials, such as carbonized MgO, carbon nanotubes or N-doped carbon or a combination of both approaches. In addition, the base-free conversion of HMF to FDCA has attracted attention, often using basic hydroxides (HT) as the catalyst support. For example, a Au/HT catalyst tested by Gupta et al. was able to produce FDCA in almost quantitative yield at 95 °C. However, when HT is used as the catalyst support, leaching of Mg remains a key issue.

Besides its use as a monomer, HFCA shows antitumor activity and can be used in the synthesis of an interleukin inhibitor. In contrast to the synthesis of FDCA, the targeted synthesis of HFCA has only rarely been pursued. For example, biocatalytic oxidation reactions using *Serratia liquefaciens* LF14 whole cells or xanthine oxidase were reported. Kang et al. used a Cannizzaro reaction in ionic liquids for the synthesis of HFCA with a yield of 46%. In this reaction, the dialcohol was produced in equimolar amounts limiting the maximum yield of HFCA to 50%. As mentioned above (cf. Scheme 1), HFCA can also be generated as an intermediate in the FDCA synthesis using noble metal catalysts, but in most cases reaction conditions are optimized for FDCA production. For example, HFCA was produced in almost quantitative yield over a Au/CeO₂ catalyst at 65 °C and 10 bar air pressure in less than one hour and subsequently oxidized to FDCA. One of the first methods for the targeted synthesis of HFCA was reported by Reichstein in the 1920s using stoichiometric amounts of silver oxide as the oxidant in basic solution. The pure product was separated with 84% yield. Also supported silver oxide on copper oxide was used for the oxidation of HMF to HFCA. Zhang et al. studied the synthesis of HFCA using a molybdenum acylacetone complex immobilized on montmorillonite K-10 clay as a heterogeneous catalyst in HMF oxidation. This catalyst was able to produce HFCA in 87% yield in toluene at 110 °C within three hours. However, for the sustainable production of HFCA, the oxidation reaction should preferably be performed in aqueous medium. In a recent review article, Ventura et al. pointed out that the search for highly active systems to produce HFCA as an alternative green monomer is still highly desired.

Herein, we report on supported gold and silver catalysts, which are able to produce FDCA and HFCA, respectively, in high yields from HMF in basic aqueous solution (Scheme 1). The reactions have been performed in water as the solvent and using air as the oxidant. Different preparation methods for silver-based catalysts have been compared and the reaction conditions for Ag and Au catalysts have been optimized for the targeted synthesis of HFCA and FDCA, respectively. In addition, first studies towards the identification of the active sites of the silver catalysts have been carried out.

### Experimental

#### Materials

All chemicals were of analytical grade and have been used without further purification: HMF, FDCA, AgNO₃, ZrO(NO₃)₂ (Sigma-Aldrich), HFCA, NaOH, silver benzoate (Merck), 5-formyl-2-furoic acid, DFF (TCI Chemicals), zirconium(vi) 2-ethylhexanoate, HAuCl₄·3H₂O, ZrO₂ 1/8″ pellets, TiO₂ 1/8″ pellets, MgO (Alfa Aesar), CeO₂ (MEL chemicals) and synthetic air (Air Liquide).

#### Catalyst preparation

**Deposition–precipitation (denoted as “dp”).** The supported gold and silver catalysts were prepared by conventional deposition–precipitation. For this purpose, the support was suspended in water and stirred for 30 min. Then, an aqueous solution of the precursor was added and the suspension was stirred for another 60 min. The suspension was brought to a pH of 9 using 0.05 M NaOH and subsequently heated to 80 °C for 2 h. After 60 min at 80 °C, the pH was checked again and eventually more NaOH was added. After heating, the suspension was stirred at room temperature (rt) overnight, then filtered off, washed and dried at 110 °C. The catalysts were calcined (350 °C, 4 h) and finally reduced in a H₂ stream (350 °C, 2 h, 3 L min⁻¹, 10% H₂ in N₂).

In a variation of the dp method, the support was suspended in water, the pH was adjusted to a value of 9 and the metal precursor (HAuCl₄·3H₂O or AgNO₃) was added dropwise at constant pH. No differences in activity were observed for the catalysts obtained from the two different methods.

**Impregnation (denoted as “imp”).** In addition, impregnation was conducted by dissolution of AgNO₃ in ethanol and the subsequent addition to the ZrO₂ support. The suspension was stirred at rt for 60 min and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The catalyst was dried and treated in the same way as in the case of deposition–precipitation.

**Co-precipitation (denoted as “cp”).** For the preparation of a Ag/ZrO₂ catalyst, additionally co-precipitation was applied using a slightly modified method originally reported by Grabowska et al. AgNO₃ was dissolved in water and added to a solution of ZrO(NO₃)₂ in HNO₃. This solution was then added dropwise to an aqueous solution of NaOH at a pH of 10 while the pH was kept constant by controlled addition of 0.5 M NaOH. After the addition of the precursor solution, the sus-

---

†Due to the high basicity of MgO, the pH of the suspension was already at a value of over 10. Therefore, the deposition–precipitation method 2 was modified by addition of the noble metal precursor solution without additional NaOH.
pension was stirred overnight at room temperature. The resulting solid was filtered off, washed and dried at 110 °C. The catalyst was calcined at 350 °C for 4 h and 14 h ("cp_350"), respectively. Additionally, calcination at 500 °C for 4 h ("cp_500") was performed. After calcination, the catalyst was reduced under the previously described conditions.

**Flame-spray pyrolysis (denoted as "fsp")**. Finally, for the preparation of a Ag/ZrO₂ catalyst, flame-spray pyrolysis was used. First, a precursor solution was prepared by dissolving silver benzoate and zirconium(IV) 2-ethylhexanoate in xylene using an ultrasonic bath. The concentration was 3.7 mmol L⁻¹ for silver and 159.1 mmol L⁻¹ for zirconium. A syringe pump was used to feed the precursor solution through a capillary into the combustion flame (750 mL min⁻¹ CH₄, 1.6 L min⁻¹ O₂), where the solution was dispersed with O₂. The particles were collected on a filter placed in a cylindrical vessel above the flame that was connected to a vacuum pump. The preparation was performed using a setup that was built at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) and is described elsewhere.⁵⁴

After preparation, the catalyst was calcined and reduced as described above.

**Selective oxidation of HMF**

The catalytic tests were performed in home-built autoclaves (max. temperature 350 °C at a max. pressure of 200 bar, 52 mL with Teflon® inlets). In a typical reaction, 1 mmol (126 mg) HMF was dissolved in water and the desired amount of NaOH was added using a 2.5 M solution resulting in a total volume of 10 mL. Then, the catalyst was added, the reactor was closed, purged three times with synthetic air and the desired pressure was adjusted. The autoclaves were heated with heating sleeves and heating plates and stirred magnetically. The time when the desired reaction temperature was first reached was defined as the starting point (t = 0). After the reaction, the reactors were cooled to room temperature using an ice bath, depressurized and the solutions were separated from the catalysts by decantation. The samples were taken, filtered with a 0.45 µm Pall Teflon® filter and diluted for HPLC analysis (Hitachi Primaide, Bio-Rad Aminex HPX-87H column, solvent 5 mM H₂SO₄, temperature 50 °C, 50 bar). After the reactions, HFCA and FDCA could be separated from the reaction mixtures, in case the reactions gave the desired products in high yields. FDCA was separated by acidification with HCl, whereas HFCA was separated by acidification with HCl and subsequent extraction using EtOAc and evaporation of the solvent. The amount of catalyst needed per reaction was calculated using the nominal metal loading of 2 wt%.

**Characterization**

XRD measurements of the powder catalysts were performed on a PANalytical XPert Pro instrument using Cu Kα radiation (wavelength = 1.54060 Å) at diffraction angles 2θ from 20° to 80° and a step size of 0.017° (0.53 s acquisition time). Support materials were measured in the calcined state, and catalysts in the calcined and reduced state. Measurements were performed on rotating sample holders.

N₂ physisorption was used to determine the specific surface areas using the Brunauer-Emmet-Teller method on a Rubotherm BELSORP-mini II instrument. Catalysts were heated to 300 °C for 2 h under reduced pressure prior to the experiment.

The metal loading of the catalysts and the concentrations of metals in the reaction solutions were determined by ICP-OES measurements (Agilent 720/725-ES instrument) and XRF of powder catalysts (Bruker S4 Pioneer spectrometer). For the analysis of the solid catalysts via ICP-OES, the samples were digested in a mixture of sulfuric and nitric acid.

Electron microscopy was performed on carbon covered copper grids using reduced catalyst samples. For TEM measurements, the catalyst powders were mounted onto a carbon coated Cu TEM grid covered with a holey carbon film in the dry state. Subsequently, the samples were examined under an FEI Titan 80-300 aberration corrected electron microscope operated at 300 kV. High angle annular dark field (HAADF) scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images were acquired with a Fischione model 3000 HAADF-STEM detector.

The separated products, HFCA and FDCA, were characterized using NMR spectroscopy. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained in DMSO-d₆ at room temperature with a Bruker Avance 250 and a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer, respectively.

**Results and discussion**

**Catalyst preparation and characterization**

The metal loading and the specific surface area of the catalysts and the corresponding support materials are listed in Table 1. No change in the specific surface area of the supports was observed upon deposition–precipitation or impregnation of metals in the reaction solutions were determined by ICP-OES measurements (Agilent 720/725-ES instrument) and XRF of powder catalysts (Bruker S4 Pioneer spectrometer). For the analysis of the solid catalysts via ICP-OES, the samples were digested in a mixture of sulfuric and nitric acid.

Electron microscopy was performed on carbon covered copper grids using reduced catalyst samples. For TEM measurements, the catalyst powders were mounted onto a carbon coated Cu TEM grid covered with a holey carbon film in the dry state. Subsequently, the samples were examined under an FEI Titan 80-300 aberration corrected electron microscope operated at 300 kV. High angle annular dark field (HAADF) scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images were acquired with a Fischione model 3000 HAADF-STEM detector.

The separated products, HFCA and FDCA, were characterized using NMR spectroscopy. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained in DMSO-d₆ at room temperature with a Bruker Avance 250 and a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer, respectively.

**Table 1** Specific surface areas of catalysts and the corresponding support materials and noble metal content of supported gold and silver catalysts prepared by different methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Support/ catalyst</th>
<th>Metal loading/wt%</th>
<th>Specific surface area/m² g⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ZrO₂</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CeO₂</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Au/ZrO₂ dp</td>
<td>1.6 a</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ag/ZrO₂ dp</td>
<td>1.0 b</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Au/TiO₂ dp</td>
<td>1.1 b</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ag/TiO₂ dp</td>
<td>1.7 b</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Au/CoO₂ dp</td>
<td>1.7 b</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ag/CoO₂ dp</td>
<td>1.7 b</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Au/MgO dp</td>
<td>2.0 b</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ag/MgO dp</td>
<td>2.0 b</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ag/ZrO₂ imp</td>
<td>2.0 b</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ag/ZrO₂ cp_350</td>
<td>1.9 b</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ag/ZrO₂ cp_500</td>
<td>1.9 b</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ag/ZrO₂ fsp</td>
<td>1.7 b</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Determination of metal loading by ICP-OES. b Determination of metal loading by XRF.
the noble metals (Table 1, entries 5 to 13). The ZrO$_2$ support used for the preparation of catalysts via deposition–precipitation and impregnation had a specific surface area of ca. 90 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. For the silver catalysts prepared by co-precipitation and flame-spray pyrolysis (Table 1, entries 14–16), higher specific surface areas were obtained. A maximum specific surface area of 147 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ was observed for the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_\text{cp}$ catalyst calcined at 350 °C (Table 1, entry 14), and the specific surface area decreased upon calcination at 500 °C (Table 1, entry 15).

The efficiency of noble metal deposition was strongly dependent on the support material and the respective metal. For gold catalysts, a precipitation at lower pH values led to higher loadings, however larger gold particles were obtained.$^{55}$ An optimal pH value for deposition–precipitation of gold seemed to be in the range of pH = 8–9.$^{55-57}$ A low silver content of 1 wt% was observed for the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_\text{dp}$ catalyst (Table 1, entry 6), whereas higher silver loadings were achieved on the other support materials. Changing the preparation method allowed to receive an increased silver content on ZrO$_2$ (Table 1, entries 13–16).

Most catalysts did not show reflections of noble metals or noble metal oxides in the XRD patterns besides the reflections of the corresponding support materials. This can be explained by the low noble metal loadings and the desired presence of small particles with a size below the detection limit of XRD ($<$5 nm).$^{58}$ The presence of small particles with a mean size of 3.7 nm was confirmed for the Au/ZrO$_2$$_\text{dp}$ catalyst by TEM (Fig. S1†). No distinct Ag particles were found in the TEM images of the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_\text{dp}$ catalyst probably due to the low metal loading and the low contrast between silver and the support material. However, HRTEM showed the presence of some elliptical silver particles with a size of about 5 nm (Fig. S2b†). In addition, there were no detectable changes in the XRD patterns of commercial support materials before and after the deposition–precipitation or impregnation of noble metals. The only differences were those observed for the catalysts supported on MgO. For the Au/MgO$_\text{dp}$ catalyst, reflections of Mg(OH)$_2$ were observed after calcination, which disappeared after reduction (Fig. S4†). The calcined Ag/MgO$_\text{dp}$ catalyst showed reflections of reduced silver and Ag$_2$O (Fig. S5†). However, the reflections of Ag$_2$O disappeared upon reduction. Due to the high basicity of MgO, the deposition–precipitation method was modified for MgO-supported catalysts (cf. catalyst preparation). This high pH value might have led to a rapid precipitation of large metal particles. Also note the low surface area of the MgO support (Table 1), which most likely also led to the formation of larger particles, as indicated by reflections from metallic phases for the Ag/MgO$_\text{dp}$ catalyst. Although no reflections of Au were observed in the calcined or in the reduced Au/MgO$_\text{dp}$ catalyst, large particle sizes are expected for this catalyst$^{56}$ as stated above.

Different XRD patterns were observed for the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_\text{cp}$ and Ag/ZrO$_2$$_\text{fsp}$ catalysts, where the ZrO$_2$ support was prepared together with the silver particles (Fig. 1). The Ag/ZrO$_2$$_\text{cp}$ catalyst was X-ray amorphous after the standard calcination procedure at 350 °C for 4 h. This phase was also obtained after increasing the calcination time; however, a cubic ZrO$_2$ phase was formed when the calcination temperature was increased to 500 °C for 4 h. The cubic ZrO$_2$ phase was also present in the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_\text{fsp}$ catalyst (Fig. 1). In contrast to the other catalysts, a phase transition occurred upon the reduction of the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_\text{fsp}$ catalyst. After reduction at 350 °C in hydrogen (10% in N$_2$), reflections of monoclinic ZrO$_2$ were observed for the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_\text{fsp}$ catalyst and the XRD pattern became similar to that of the commercial monoclinic ZrO$_2$ support, which was used for the preparation of the other catalysts.

### Oxidation of HMF over gold- and silver-based catalysts

First, a catalyst screening was performed in basic aqueous solution using air as the oxidant in the presence of Au- and Ag-based catalysts prepared by deposition–precipitation. It is known from the literature that HMF is unstable in basic solution, as it undergoes a polymerization to dark polymeric products (humins).$^{14}$ These products were not characterized and quantified but their presence explains the difference between product yields and HMF conversion in Table 2 (i.e. a carbon
Table 2  Catalyst screening of different Au- and Ag-based catalysts in the oxidation of HMF. Reaction conditions: 100 °C, 10 bar air pressure, 4 equivalents of NaOH, 5 h reaction time, 1 mmol HMF in 10 mL H2O, 98 mg catalyst mass for Au-based catalysts, 54 mg catalyst mass for Ag-based catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>HMF conversion/%</th>
<th>Yield/%</th>
<th>Productivity[a]/(mol product h⁻¹ mol metal⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Au/ZrO₂_dp</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ag/ZrO₂_dp</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Au/TiO₂_dp</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ag/TiO₂_dp</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Au/CeO₂_dp</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ag/CeO₂_dp</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Au/MgO_dp</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ag/MgO_dp</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Productivity is given as moles of product formed per mole of noble metal and time. For gold- and silver-based catalysts, the productivity is given for FDCA and HFCa production, respectively.

Optimization of reaction parameters. Since the best performance towards HFCa and FDCA was observed using Ag/ZrO₂_dp and Au/ZrO₂_dp, respectively (Table 2), further optimization was conducted using ZrO₂ as the support. The influence of the reaction temperature, the amount of NaOH added, and the air pressure was investigated. First, the dependency on the reaction temperature was determined by keeping the other reaction parameters constant (Fig. 2).

The yield reached a maximum value for the different oxidation products for both catalysts and decreased due to the thermal degradation of HMF at higher temperatures. However, different selectivities of Au/ZrO₂_dp and Ag/ZrO₂_dp towards oxidation products are visible. Using the Au/ZrO₂_dp catalyst in basic solution (Fig. 2a), the oxidation of the hydroxymethyl group became the rate-limiting step. While mainly HFCa was produced at 50 °C, HMF was further oxidized to an additional base giving higher overall yields of oxidation products, however a higher substrate-to-metal molar ratio was used in that study.

The oxidation of the hydroxymethyl group usually proceeds via an aldehyde intermediate, 5-formyl-2-furancarboxylic acid (FFCA). However, FFCA has not been observed throughout the catalytic tests presented in this study. This indicates that the oxidation of the aldehyde group proceeds very rapidly over all reported catalysts active in FDCA synthesis.

Fig. 2 Influence of the reaction temperature on product distributions using (a) Au/ZrO₂_dp and (b) Ag/ZrO₂_dp. Reaction conditions: 10 bar air pressure, 4 equivalents of NaOH, 5 h reaction time, 1 mmol HMF in 10 mL H₂O, 98 mg Au/ZrO₂_dp catalyst, 54 mg Ag/ZrO₂_dp catalyst.
FDCA by increasing the reaction temperature to 125 °C. In addition, the productivity reached a maximum value of 20 mol$_{\text{FDCA}}$ h$^{-1}$ mol$_{\text{Au}}$$. Regarding the FDCA yield and productivity, 125 °C seemed to be optimal for the production of FDCA, while being a compromise between a short reaction time and sufficient FDCA yields. This trend is similar to the one observed by Casanova et al. who described 130 °C as the upper limit of the reaction. In general, increasing the temperature accelerated the reaction, however, HMF suffered from thermal degradation in basic aqueous solution, which was confirmed in blank experiments (Table S1†). This is also represented in the carbon balance with the degradation of HMF leading to a worse carbon balance with increasing temperature. Since HMF was quantitatively converted in almost all reactions, highly active catalysts are needed to convert HMF before its thermal polymerization in basic solution, as stated above.

Comparing these results with the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_{\text{dp}}$ catalyst at varying reaction temperatures (Fig. 2b), it was observed that the synthesis of HFCA with Ag/ZrO$_2$$_{\text{dp}}$ proceeded at significantly lower temperatures. The HFCA yield passed through a maximum at 50 °C, where HFCA was produced in an almost quantitative yield of 98% with a productivity of 39 mol$_{\text{HFCA}}$ h$^{-1}$ mol$_{\text{Ag}}$$. It seems that medium temperatures are beneficial for the production of HFCA, in line with other catalysts. Interestingly, at room temperature the HFCA yield was lower although HMF was fully converted. This might be due to the polymerization of HMF under basic conditions at room temperature where the catalyst was not as active as it was at slightly elevated temperatures. A further increase of the temperature lowered the HFCA yield while the FDCA yield remained at about 2%. This together with the fact that FDCA production was in the range of blank experiments in all cases showed that silver-based catalysts are not capable of oxidizing the hydroxymethyl group under the applied conditions, although silver catalysts have generally been reported to oxidize alcohols in organic solvents. Consequently, the selective synthesis of HFCA was possible in the presence of silver-based catalysts leaving the alcohol group untouched, which is the main difference from other catalyst systems where also the alcohol was converted to some extent.

To prevent a possible degradation of HMF under highly basic conditions, the amount of NaOH added to the solution was decreased (Fig. 3). Both catalysts required the presence of a base for the oxidation of HMF. However, the influence of the added base and the observed selectivities differed when the Au/ZrO$_2$$_{\text{dp}}$ and the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_{\text{dp}}$ catalysts are compared.

HFCA was produced in 11% yield (26% selectivity) over Au/ZrO$_2$$_{\text{dp}}$ in the absence of a base (Fig. 3a). A control experiment using pure ZrO$_2$ revealed that 39% of HMF was converted without the addition of a base at 125 °C (not shown). However, only degradation products of HMF were formed. This showed that Au/ZrO$_2$ was active to a certain extent in HMF oxidation in the absence of a base. An increasing NaOH addition led to a more selective conversion of HMF. The HFCA yield increased to 74% at one equivalent of NaOH related to HMF. By increasing the amount of base to two equivalents, the selectivity shifted to FDCA, which indicates that at least one hydroxide ion per side-chain is necessary for the oxidation of HMF. With an excess of base, the FDCA yield increased further, probably by accelerating the reaction, and then reached a plateau. Fig. 3b shows the influence of the amount of added base on the yield of HFCA using the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_{\text{dp}}$ catalyst. FDCA remained unreacted in the absence of a base contrary to the results of the Au/ZrO$_2$$_{\text{dp}}$ catalyst. However, no conversion of HMF was observed in a control experiment at 50 °C in the presence of pure ZrO$_2$ within one hour (not shown). When no or only one equivalent of base was applied using Ag/ZrO$_2$$_{\text{dp}}$, the HFCA yield increased linearly with HMF conversion. A pH of 7 after those reactions indicated a total consumption of the added OH$^-$ ions during the reaction. In the previous mechanistic studies on HMF oxidation over Pt and Au catalysts, oxidation of HMF via germain diols formed by the addition of hydroxide ions to carbonyl groups has been proposed. Their formation seems to be crucial for the oxidation of HMF over both catalysts used in this study. In contrast to the Au/ZrO$_2$$_{\text{dp}}$ catalyst, no shift in the selectivity was observed using the Ag/ZrO$_2$$_{\text{dp}}$ catalyst, which exclusively produced HFCA.

To establish a truly sustainable process, air was used as the oxidant in all reactions, which is, due to the lower oxygen con-
centration, more demanding than using pure oxygen. Since the reactions were performed at the previously optimized temperature, high yields of HFCA and FDCA were already achieved at 10 bar air pressure. Therefore, the amount of catalyst was reduced compared to the standard amount of catalyst used in most reactions. As expected, using less catalyst at a pressure of 10 bar led to a lower amount of detected oxidation products for both catalysts (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the influence of air pressure on the product yields for Au/ZrO$_2$-dp differed from the Ag/ZrO$_2$-dp catalyst.

The total yield of oxidation products remained almost constant upon increasing the air pressure to 20 bar in the presence of the Au/ZrO$_2$-dp catalyst, where FDCA was produced with 15% yield (Fig. 4a). Further increase of the air pressure led to increased FDCA yields with a maximum yield of 89% and a maximum productivity of 67 mol$_{FDCA}$ h$^{-1}$ mol$_{Au}$ $^{-1}$ at 50 bar. This productivity is in the upper range compared to other monometallic Au catalysts described in the literature (Table S3†). In contrast to the gold catalyst and other reaction parameters mentioned above, air pressure seemed to have a significantly smaller influence on HFCA yield using the Ag/ZrO$_2$-dp catalyst (Fig. 4b). Already at ambient air pressure, HFCA was produced with 68% yield. Increasing the air pressure from 1 bar to 50 bar led to an increase in HFCA yield. HFCA was produced quantitatively at an air pressure of 50 bar with the maximum productivity as high as 400 mol$_{HFCA}$ h$^{-1}$ mol$_{Ag}$ $^{-1}$.

Recycling of both catalysts was tested by separating the catalysts after the reactions, drying them at 110 °C and using them in subsequent runs (Fig. 5). Since a slight loss of catalyst occurred during this process, the amount of HMF in the subsequent runs was adjusted to the recovered catalyst mass. Both catalysts remained active for a second cycle with a slight loss of activity in the third run. The FDCA yield decreased to 63% using the Au/ZrO$_2$-dp catalyst in a third reaction where also 2% of HFCA were produced (Fig. 5a). Further re-use led to an increase in HFCA yield (13%) and a further decrease in FDCA yield. Since the carbon balance did not reach 100%, degradation products of HMF might block the active sites during consecutive reactions. For the Ag/ZrO$_2$-dp catalyst, the loss in activity was less pronounced and HFCA was produced with a yield of 86% in a third reaction. The activity of both catalysts could not be restored by calcination and reduction of the catalysts, which has also been reported earlier. Further aspects that might be the reasons for a limited recyclability of the catalysts might be sintering of particles or leaching of the active.

![Fig. 4 Influence of the air pressure on product distribution using (a) Au/ZrO$_2$-dp and (b) Ag/ZrO$_2$-dp. Reaction conditions: (a) 125 °C, 4 equivalents of NaOH, 5 h reaction time, 1 mmol HMF in 10 mL H$_2$O, 33 mg Au/ZrO$_2$-dp catalyst. (b) 50 °C, 4 equivalents of NaOH, 1 h reaction time, 1 mmol HMF in 10 mL H$_2$O, 28 mg Ag/ZrO$_2$-dp catalyst.](image)

![Fig. 5 Stability tests of (a) Au/ZrO$_2$-dp and (b) Ag/ZrO$_2$-dp. Reaction conditions: (a) 100 °C, 10 bar air pressure, 4 equivalents of NaOH, 5 h reaction time, HMF and H$_2$O adjusted to recovered catalyst. (b) 50 °C, 10 bar air pressure, 4 equivalents of NaOH, 5 h reaction time, HMF and H$_2$O adjusted to recovered catalyst.](image)
metal. However, neither detectable amounts of gold nor of silver were found in the solutions after the reaction by means of ICP-oes performed under standard conditions (<0.5 ppm). In contrast, reflections of reduced metals appeared both for the Au/ZrO2_dp and the Ag/ZrO2_dp catalysts in XRD (Fig. S6†). This indicates a growth of the metal particles for both catalysts, which might be the reason for a decreased activity with an increasing number of runs. Especially for the Au/ZrO2_dp catalyst, this might play a significant role since it is known that particle size is a crucial parameter for Au-based catalysts.62

In none of the studies using silver catalysts, a significant amount of FDCA was produced, which is the main difference between the commonly used noble metal catalysts and the silver catalysts described in the present study. To investigate whether silver is capable of oxidizing the alcohol group of HMF, further reactions were performed. No FDCA was produced by extending the reaction time from 5 to 17 h. Since the catalyst showed reflections of metallic silver after the reaction, a possible deactivation by sintering of silver particles was investigated. Therefore, a fresh catalyst was added to the HFCA solution produced in the first catalytic run. However, HFCA was not further converted to other products. This shows that the silver catalysts were not able to oxidize the hydroxymethyl group of HMF under the applied conditions.

**Stability and active sites of silver catalysts**

During this study, it was observed that the Ag/ZrO2_dp catalyst slightly deactivated upon storage for some days in air. Besides a color change of the catalyst from dark grey to a lighter brownish color, the HFCA yield decreased from 98% to 84%, which nearly corresponds to the yield of HFCA achievable with a fully calcined catalyst (82%). Although a reductive pretreatment is not necessarily required for noble metal catalysts as they are normally reduced also at high temperatures or even under reaction conditions, the used calcination temperature of 350 °C might not have been sufficient for the reduction of oxidized silver species.61 This might indicate an oxidation of the reduced catalyst in air, which is not reversible under the applied reaction conditions. As already stated above, no silver species could be observed in the XRD patterns, probably due to the low metal loading and small particle sizes. The loss in activity of stored samples could be partially regained by calcination and reduction of the catalyst for a second time (88% HFCA yield). This finding raised the question of the true nature of the active species in the oxidation reaction in terms of oxidation state and nature of active sites.

As has been shown in the literature, homogeneous silver catalysts are able to oxidize furanic compounds like furfural.63 However, no silver dissolution was detected by means of ICP-OES (<0.5 ppm) in the reaction solutions. Nevertheless, control experiments were performed: first, AgNO3 was used as a model system for a soluble silver catalyst at 50 °C and 10 bar air pressure. AgNO3 was added at 1 mol%, which was the same amount as for a supported 2 wt% catalyst. Under these conditions, HFCA was produced with a yield of 59%. This shows that either soluble silver ions or in situ formed nanoparticles might be a catalytically active species in the oxidation of HMF. Directly after the addition of AgNO3 to the basic HMF solution, a black precipitate was formed, which indicates the reduction of the silver ions, most probably by the aldehyde functionality of HMF.64 In addition, a mechanism for HMF oxidation catalyzed by gold and platinum nanoparticles proposed by Davis et al.37 claims that the electrons of HMF oxidation are taken up by the metal particles, further keeping the metal in the reduced state. Next, AgNO3 was added to a basic suspension containing HMF and ZrO2. The reaction was performed analogously to the previous experiment at 10 bar air pressure and 50 °C. In this reaction, 61% of HFCA was produced and, as before, no silver was detected by ICP-OES in solution after the reaction. However, XRD and XRF confirmed the presence of metallic silver particles on the separated solid (see Fig. S8†).

This material was then used as a catalyst in a subsequent reaction, where HFCA was produced in a high yield of 92%, indicating that indeed reduced silver particles might be responsible for HMF oxidation. The generally lower HFCA yield using AgNO3 might be explained by a rather slow particle growth compared to the fast HMF polymerization. A comparable pathway of metallic nanoparticles forming upon reduction of a homogeneous catalyst by the substrate as the active species has been reported for the Au-catalyzed oxidation of dibenzylamine.65

Despite the obtained evidence for the formation of metallic nanoparticles, dissolved silver species might still be catalytically active in the oxidation of HMF, e.g. via leaching and subsequent redeposition onto the support. To gain information on the degree of silver leaching, the Ag/ZrO2_dp catalyst was stirred under reaction conditions without the addition of HMF as a reducing agent at different pH values and the silver content was analyzed via ICP-OES (Fig. 6a). The results showed that significant leaching took place below pH 12, while a slight leaching was recognized above pH 12. Only at pH 12, no silver was found in solution by means of ICP-OES. Oxidative dissolution of silver from silver nanoparticles is a subject of current research.66–68 In general, different mechanisms for silver dissolution have been proposed; however, a common feature is a strong effect of pH on silver dissolution. For example, Sotiriou et al.66 studied the oxidative dissolution for Ag/SiO2 prepared by impregnation and flame-spray pyrolysis. It was found that the degree of silver dissolution was the same for both preparation methods and that small silver particles were more prone to dissolution. Comparing the amount of silver with the particle size suggested silver dissolution from one to two monolayers of Ag2O on silver particles.66 Reduction of both samples in hydrogen led to a decrease in dissolution by minimizing the fraction of oxidized silver. In support of the assumptions made by Sotiriou et al.,66 a small fraction of oxidized silver after preparation was observed by X-ray absorption spectroscopy of flame-made Ag/SiO2 catalysts.69 The formation of a stabilizing layer of Ag2O which is poorly soluble and therefore stable at high pH might be an explanation for a decreasing silver content at high pH (Fig. 6a).68 After these initial leaching experiments, the reaction solutions of the experi-
Further studies have to be performed. Silver catalysts are often employed in selective oxidation reactions and therefore, the formation of different silver oxygen species and their appearance in gas phase oxidation mechanisms have been studied extensively. In general, their formation strongly depends on the pretreatment conditions especially at high temperatures. Three main silver oxygen species are generated upon exposure of silver to oxygen. At lower temperatures (below 330 °C), surface adsorbed oxygen (O\(_{\text{ad}}\)) is formed. Increasing temperatures first lead to bulk dissolved oxygen (O\(_{\text{b}}\)) before strongly bound surface oxygen species (O\(_{\text{s}}\), 630 °C) are generated. These species are crucial in some reactions. For example, a silver catalyst calcined at high temperature was more active in the selective oxidation of CO in hydrogen than a reduced catalyst, proving silver oxygen species to be active in this case. Given that a reduction in hydrogen atmosphere leads to more active catalysts in HMF oxidation (see above) and a possible formation of a thin layer of Ag\(_2\)O on silver particles at high pH, it can be speculated that a surface layer oxide is involved in the catalytic cycle. The formation of this surface oxide at high pH might also explain the HFCA yield only being moderately influenced by increasing air pressures (Fig. 4). In a recent publication, Durndell et al. studied the oxidation of cinnamaldehyde over platinum catalysts and found PtO\(_2\) to be an active species. Because of the lack of information on reactions in the liquid phase, further studies of silver catalysts under HMF oxidation conditions are very important. For this purpose, operando X-ray absorption spectroscopy is expected to be a powerful tool.

**Variation of the preparation method**

In order to minimize the silver leaching, different preparation methods were applied. Preferably catalysts with a stronger interaction of the silver particles with the support should be used. Catalysts were prepared by flame-spray pyrolysis, co-precipitation and impregnation. The catalysts were tested under the optimized reaction conditions for silver-based catalysts. As Fig. 6b shows, the catalysts differed in activity with the Ag/ZrO\(_2\)_fps catalyst giving the best results. Comparison of the Ag/ZrO\(_2\)_fps catalysts calcined at different temperatures revealed that the Ag/ZrO\(_2\)_fps_500 catalyst gave 86% yield of HFCA whereas the Ag/ZrO\(_2\)_fps_350 catalyst produced HFCA in 42% yield (not shown). Most Ag/ZrO\(_2\) catalysts prepared in this study produced HFCA in comparable yields, apart from Ag/ZrO\(_2\)_imp which was the only catalyst that produced HFCA with a lower yield of 61% (Fig. 6b). Since the catalysts differed in silver loadings (Table 1), the productivity toward HFCA is another reasonable parameter for catalyst comparison. Ag/ZrO\(_2\)_imp gave the lowest productivity of 61 molHFCA h\(^{-1}\) mol\(_{\text{Ag}}\)\(^{-1}\). The productivity was 103 molHFCA h\(^{-1}\) mol\(_{\text{Ag}}\)\(^{-1}\) for the Ag/ZrO\(_2\)_fps and 91 molHFCA h\(^{-1}\) mol\(_{\text{Ag}}\)\(^{-1}\) for the Ag/ZrO\(_2\)_cp_500 catalyst, which corresponds to their performance described in Fig. 6b. Since Ag/ZrO\(_2\)_dp was the most active catalyst (productivity of 164 molHFCA h\(^{-1}\) mol\(_{\text{Ag}}\)\(^{-1}\) at identical reaction conditions), but featured the lowest silver content, the preparation method strongly influenced the catalytic activity.
Deposition–precipitation seemed to be optimal for achieving a high activity per silver content.

In addition, the catalysts prepared by different methods differed in their leaching behavior (Fig. 6a). The general trend in leaching behavior as a function of pH remained the same. However, all catalysts were significantly less prone to leaching compared to the Ag/ZrO_2_dp catalyst. For Ag/ZrO_2_fsp (≤7%) and Ag/ZrO_2_imp (≤13%), less Ag leaching was observed. These preparation methods might have led to a stronger binding of silver particles or even an encapsulation of silver in ZrO_2, which might prevent the oxidation of silver and, therefore, promote a lower degree of leaching at low pH. As discussed above, an oxide layer on silver particles may be the reason for silver leaching at low pH. Since almost no leaching occurred using the Ag/ZrO_2_cp_500 catalyst (3% at pH 14), it was tested in several consecutive runs. However, a loss of activity was recognized after two runs leading to a yield of 58% of HFCA in the third reaction (Fig. 59).

Considering the limited number of studies focusing on the targeted synthesis of HFCA, the silver-based catalysts presented in this study show a superior performance compared to other routes, i.e. the Cannizzaro reaction or the synthesis of HFCA in toluene using pure oxygen. Moreover, the silver-based catalytic oxidation of HMF to HFCA reported herein opens up an attractive alternative to the more frequently applied noble metal-catalyzed production of FDCA. The silver-based catalysts did not only show an excellent performance in the production of HFCA, they also required a smaller amount of base for almost quantitative HFCA yields (1 eq., see Fig. 3). Ongoing work in our laboratories aims – among others – at obtaining a deeper mechanistic understanding and at using HFCA as a monomer for bio-based polymers.

Conclusions

In summary, highly active gold and silver catalysts have been successfully applied in the oxidation of HMF to FDCA and HFCA, respectively. Reaction conditions were optimized for the production of FDCA and HFCA, both of which could be produced in high yields. In particular, for the production of HFCA, the route reported here appears much easier than in other studies where biocatalytic oxidation or Cannizzaro reactions have been utilized. In addition, water was used as the solvent, air as the oxidant and supported metal nanoparticles as heterogeneous catalysts. This, together with the achieved high selectivities towards the desired products, drives the reaction more towards a green process.

In general, ZrO_2 supported catalysts gave higher yields compared to other support materials. While, e.g., the Au/ZrO_2_dp catalyst enabled the selective synthesis of FDCA, the Ag/ZrO_2_dp catalyst produced HFCA in almost quantitative yields under very mild conditions and with little amounts of added base. Further experiments with the Ag/ZrO_2_dp catalyst showed that silver leached off the support in the absence of HMF and that soluble silver species can be catalytically active for the synthesis of HFCA to a certain extent. However, control experiments evidenced that the reaction was mostly heterogeneously catalyzed. By variation of the preparation method for Ag/ZrO_2 catalysts, leaching could be significantly reduced demonstrating the potential for a future continuous process. With the investigated silver catalysts, the synthesis of HFCA in high yields with water as the solvent was possible, opening up an attractive route to produce a promising polymer precursor based on renewable resources.
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