

Szczecin

**Design and Analysis of the Secondary Circuit of the DEMO Fusion Power Plant for the HCPB BB Option without** the Energy Storage System and with the Auxiliary Boiler



**P3-119** 



Leszek Malinowski<sup>a</sup>, Monika Lewandowska<sup>a</sup>, Evaldas Bubelis<sup>b</sup>, Wolfgang Hering<sup>b</sup>

a: West Pomeranian University of Technology, Szczecin, Poland b: Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

The EU DEMO (European DEMOnstration Fusion Power Plant) is being designed to produce fusion electricity at a level of several hundred MW, by about 2060. The Primary Heat Transfer System (PHTS) transfers heat from the fusion reactor heat sources, namely: Breeding Blanket (BB), Divertor (DIV) and Vacuum Vessel (VV), to the Power Conversion System (PCS) responsible for the generation of electricity. Four cooling concepts for the EU DEMO BB and the related PHTS are considered, including the Helium Cooled Pebble Bed (HCPB) BB. In some variants the Intermediate Heat Transfer System (IHTS) with the Energy Storage System (ESS) filled with molten salt has been added between the PHTS and PCS, in order to mitigate transient effects resulting from the pulsed plasma operation. However, such a solution introduces complexity in the plant design, so in parallel other options with a direct coupling of the PHTS with the PCS (without the IHTS/ESS) are also being investigated. The primary solution is to use an auxiliary boiler (AUXB) powered by a natural gas burner for steam production: partly during the pulse time operation and fully during the dwell time operation of EU DEMO. In the present work the detailed convergent GateCycle model of the steam/water PCS, for the option HCPB BB without the IHTS/ESS and with the AUXB, was created and its operation at the nominal conditions (plasma pulse) and at thermal power reduced down to ~50% of the nominal value (dwell period) was simulated. It was demonstrated, that the proposed PCS circuit can operate in a stable manner with the gross electrical power of 1120 MW and 547 MW during the pulse and dwell phase, respectively. The gross electrical efficiency of the proposed PCS circuit is of about 42% during the both phases. However, the observed problems, namely: huge size of the AUXB and relatively large pressure pulsations  $\Delta p = |p_{pulse} - p_{dwell}|$ , require attention and further studies on feasibility of the proposed concept.

# GOALS

- Development of the GateCycle model of the steam/ water PCS circuit for the EU DEMO fusion power plant (option: HCPB BB without the IHTS/ESS with the auxiliary boiler [1]).
- Study possibility of stable operation of considered PCS circuit at the nominal conditions (plasma pulse) and at the reduced thermal power (dwell phase).

# **SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS**

- Convergent GateCycle model of the PCS configuration, for the option HCPB BB without IHTS/ESS with AUXB, of the EU DEMO plant was developed and its operation during the plasma burn and during the dwell phase (at power reduced down to 50%) was simulated.
- The model provided preliminary sizing of the circuit components, which could help in their cost assessment.
- The proposed PCS circuit can operate in a stable manner with the gross electrical power of 1120 MW and 547 MW during the pulse and dwell phase, respectively.



Temperature fluctuations  $|T_{pulse} - T_{dwell}|$  as well as pressure pulsations |p<sub>pulse</sub> -p<sub>dwell</sub> | in most of the circuit components seem moderate, nevertheless further studies on fatigue or burst failure risks caused by frequent transients are necessary to justify the feasibility of the proposed concept. There are also some doubts on the high amount of fuel needed to operate the postulated AUXB, as well as on its large size.

## **BASIC DEFINITIONS**

- Shaft power of the *i*-th turbine (i = 1,2):  $W_{t_i} = \eta_t \left[ \dot{m}_{in} h_{in} - \sum_{j=1}^{n_{se}} \dot{m}_{se_j} h_{se_j} - \dot{m}_{out} h_{out} \right]$ 
  - where  $n_{se} = 4$  or 3 is the number of steam extractions,  $\eta_t = 0.998$  [2] is the turbine mechanical efficiency.

Generator output:

$$W_{gross} = \eta_{gen}(W_{t1} + W_{t2})$$

where  $\eta_{gen} = 0.98$  [2] is the generator efficiency.

Electrical power of the cycle:

$$W_{cycle} = W_{gross} - \sum_{i=1}^{5} W_{pum}$$

Stroom	Erom	То	PULSE				DWELL				450	(a) PULSE		<b>1</b> <sup>1,4,9</sup>
Stream	FIOIII	10	ṁ (kg/s)	p (MPa)	T (°C)	quality, x	ṁ (kg/s)	p (MPa)	) T (°C)	quality, x	450 -			
<b>S</b> 9	M1	ST1	1061.7	13.626	480.0	1	532.5	8.379	473.7	1	400 -			
S11	ST1	SR2 HX	34.0	9.100	417.3	1	12.4	4.632	388.7	1	350 -		,	/ 12
S12	ST1	SR1 HX	71.9	5.711	352.1	1	30.1	2.896	327.2	1	-	-		
<b>S13</b>	ST1	FW4 HX	34.1	3.202	280.7	1	18.1	1.565	255.5	1	300 -			
<b>S14</b>	ST1	FW3 HX	52.4	2.293	243.5	1	61.8	1.070	215.5	1	ی 250 –	0.7/		
<b>S10</b>	ST1	DRAIN	869.4	1.188	187.5	0.986	410.2	0.536	154.5	0.995	⊢	2,3,790	1	
S15	DRAIN	SR1 HX	857.2	1.188	187.5	1	408.1	0.536	154.5	1	200 -	43/48		10,15 22
S17	SR1 HX	SR2 HX	857.2	1.156	250.7	1	408.1	0.519	222.8	1	150 -	41,42,44,5		
<u>\$19</u>	SR2 HX	SI2	857.2	1.125	282.2	1	408.1	0.502	252.0	1	100 -	38		
<u>522</u>	ST2		34.6	0.426	1/4.9	1	29.9	0.193	155.0	1	-	29 31 37		23
523	512		8.3	0.067	88.7	0.946	4.1	0.030	69.4	0.951	50 -	25 01,07		
524 521	512 ST2		41.0	0.025	04.7 22 E	0.911	250.2	0.012	48.9	0.917	0 –	,' 25,28		
521 \$25		EW nump	877 5	0.005	32.5	0.801	278.2	0.003	20.0	0.879		0 1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8
525 \$28	FW numn	FW/1 HX	822.5	0.005	32.5	0	378.2	0.003	26.0	0	500 -		s (kJ/(kg K))	)
S20	FW1 HX	FW2 HX	822.5	0.452	58.7	0	378.2	0.195	47.4	0		(b) DWELL		1 <sub><b>1</b>9</sub>
S31	FW2 HX	SP3	822.5	0.447	64.0	0	378.2	0.192	53.4	0	450 -			
S37	SP3	DIV PFU	744.0	0.447	64.0	0	28.5	0.192	53.4	0	400 -			
<b>S38</b>	DIV PFU	M3	744.0	0.442	109.9	0	28.5	0.192	65.3	0	250			/ ('''
<b>S34</b>	M3	Deaerator	822.5	0.442	105.6	0	378.2	0.192	54.2	0	- 350		1	12
S40	Deaerator	Pump 1	1061.7	0.425	145.8	0	532.5	0.191	118.8	0	300 -		/	
S41	Pump 1	SP4	1061.7	5.778	147.0	0	532.5	5.715	120.0	0	ပ္ 250 –			13 19
S42	SP4	VV HX	466.6	5.778	147.0	0	3.9	5.715	120.0	0	⊢ ·	08/		14 17
S43	VV HX	M4	466.6	5.773	189.5	0	3.9	5.715	179.7	0	200 -	49/2,3	7	
S44	M4	SP5	1061.7	5.773	165.9	0	532.5	5.715	120.4	0	150 -	43,5	4	10,15 <sup>4</sup>
S50	SP5	DIV Cas	740.5	5.773	165.9	0	3.8	5.715	120.4	0	100 -	44,46,50	2	
S49	DIV2 Cas	M5	740.5	5.721	201.2	0	3.8	5.715	185.4	0	-	38		23
S48	M5	FW3 HX	1061./	5.721	190.7	0	532.5	5./15	120.9	0	50 -	29/31,37,34		
514		FW3 HX	52.4	2.293	243.5		61.8	1.070	215.5	1	0 -	<u>, 25,28</u>		<u>21 ``</u>
554 57			1061.7	5.715	214.4	0	532.5	5.714	1/9.2	0		0 1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8
52 \$2	Γ VV4 ΠΛ CD1	Dumn 2	1001.7 961 3	5.710	252.0	0	12.5	5.712	196.5	0			s (kJ/(kgK))	)
	Pump 2	SG (PH - SH)	961.3	13 695	232.0	0	12.2	8 400	199.2	0			Dulco	Dwell
	SG (PH - SH)	M1	961.3	13.627	480.0	1	12.2	8 400	298.4	0.930			ruise	Dweii
S7	SP1	Pump 3	100.4	5.710	232.6	0	520.3	5.712	198.5	0		$Q_{BB,cv}$	2167.27	22.01
SO	Pump 3	AUXB	100.4	13.696	234.7	0	520.3	8.900	199.2	0		0	115 34	1 07
<b>S1</b>	AUXB	M1	100.4	13.626	480.0	1	520.3	8.379	480.0	1		CDIV CAS, cy	110.04	1.07
												Q <sub>DIV PFU, cv</sub>	143.73	1.42
in	Pulse	Dwe	ll i	n %	Pulse	Dwel	l in		Pulse	Dwe		Q	85.99	0.86
MW							N	1W				$\mathbf{O}$	226 77	1297 13
147	1120	5 516	6	n	42 0	<u>41</u> 4			500 7	286	5	Boiler, cy	1720.22	1222 (2
<i>vv</i> <sub>gros</sub>	s TTZO.	5 540.0	0	'Igross	12.0			vv <sub>t1</sub>	500.7	200.		<i>Q<sub>cycle</sub></i>	2/39.32	1322.03
W <sub>cycle</sub>	<sub>e</sub> 1095.	1 535.	9	$\eta_{cycle}$	40.0	40.5		$W_{t2}$	642.6	271.	3	<b>Q</b> <sub>Reactor</sub>	2438.9	24.51



- Rate of heat supplied to the cycle:
  - $Q_{cycle} = Q_{BB,cy} + Q_{DIV CAS,cy} + Q_{DIV PFU,cy} + Q_{VV,cy} + Q_{Boiler}$
- Rate of heat released from the reactor heat sources:

 $Q_{Reactor} = Q_{BB} + Q_{DIV CAS} + Q_{DIV PFU} + Q_{VV}$ 

Overall electrical efficiency of the cycle:

 $\eta_{gross} = W_{gross} / (Q_{Reactor} + Q_{Boiler}) \quad \eta_{cycle} = W_{cycle} / Q_{cycle}$ 

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] E. Bubelis, W. Hering, DEMO 16 sectors. HCPB BB with FW cooled in series with BZ & Plant configuration without IHTS+ESS – Conceptual designs and sizing of PHTS and PCS components. Final report for the task BOP-2.1-T022-D002 (2018) https://idm.euro-fusion.org/?uid=2MH3H6.
- [2] L. Malinowski, M. Lewandowska, F. Giannetti, Analysis of the secondary circuit of the DEMO fusion power plant using GateCycle. Fus. Eng. Des. 124 (2017) 1237–1240.



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This scientific work was partly supported by Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education within the framework of the scientific financial resources in the years 2018 - 019 allocated for the realization of the international co-financed project