Magnetic seeded filtration for the separation of fine polymer particles from dilute suspensions: Microplastics
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HIGHLIGHTS

- Efficient removal strategies for microplastics are lacking.
- Magnetic seeded filtration showed high separation efficiencies (~95%) for dilute suspensions.
- Electrostatic interactions between particles were shown to be process determining.
- A parameter study identified relevant process variables and yielded clear application guidelines.
- The measurement of agglomeration kinetics enables further optimization.

Abstract

Microplastics have become a highly discussed topic: Whether through the decomposition of larger plastic waste or direct usage on a small particle scale, microplastics find their way into the natural ecosystem with uncertain consequences: In particular, the ingestion and therefore the introduction of these particles into the food web (often carrying adsorbed chemicals) poses still not fully understood hazards for mankind. This work focuses on the separation of such particles from aquatic suspensions and evaluates the viability of magnetic seeded filtration in this field. In this study it could be shown that it is generally possible to separate fine polymeric particles from dilute suspensions in a highly effective manner. A broad range of process conditions were investigated with separation efficiencies reaching up to 95%. The pH and ultimately the surface charge of the particles were identified to be the crucial process relevant parameters. More exactly, the surface potentials should be either small or oppositely charged leading to a diminishing or even attractive electrostatic interaction. However, when both agglomeration partners exhibit an electrostatic repulsion, a thorough parameter optimization nevertheless yielded high separation efficiencies. In particular, the ionic strength and magnetic seed concentration proved to be determining factors in this case. Lastly, the process kinetics were investigated and a limited exponential growth character was observed which could be modeled with basic equations from agglomeration theory under simplifying assumptions. This knowledge further assists the selection of an optimal parameter set for a process engineering application.

1. Introduction

Plastic is a collective term for synthetic or semi synthetic polymer products and is deeply rooted in today’s language. LIFE magazine published an article called “Throwaway living” in the year...
1955, in which they euphorically welcomed a new age of the throwaway society that promised dramatic time savings and life improvements through the introduction of single use goods in the consumer market (Luene, 1955). And in fact, plastic as a material is all around us in current everyday life, although the general perception in society has seemingly changed. The yearly report of PlasticsEurope states that in the year 2018 nearly 350 million metric tons (MT) of plastic were produced worldwide (PlasticsEurope, 2018). Furthermore, 27.1 MT of plastic have been collected, while 19.4 MT of plastic were transported into the oceans worldwide, with primary emitters being China and Indonesia. Sadly, these numbers become vivid in the declining landfill. However, comparing these numbers suggests that a large proportion of the produced plastic is not recovered and ends as litter in our environment. Jambeck et al. (2015) state that in the year 2010 4.8 12.7 MT of plastic were transported into the oceans worldwide, with primary emitters being China and Indonesia. Sadly, these numbers become vivid in the growing number of pictures and media reports of whole plastic patches floating in the sea. This work is concerned with so called microplastics, a term that is often used in the context of aquatic plastic litter, but still not consistently defined in the literature. The categorization used in this work is given by Andraudy (2015) and defines plastic particles > 1 mm as mesoplastics, particles < 1 mm as microplastics and in accordance with (Klaine et al., 2012) particles < 100 nm as nanoplastics. It is important to emphasize the correct terminology since the size of plastic debris often regulates the extent of hazard posed by these particles. Microplastics (and nanoplastics alike) are further classified into primary and secondary microplastics depending on their origination (Cole et al., 2011; Browne, 2015): Primary microplastics are specifically manufactured and used in their small size. Typical examples are micro beads in facial cleaners and cosmetics or synthetic clothing fibers. In contrast, secondary microplastics are defined as small plastic fragments resulting from a breakdown or weathering process of larger plastic debris. Hereby, the main degradation principles are UV induced photodegradation in general and thermo oxidation on beaches (Andraudy, 2015). As a consequence of both pathways combined, the total amount of microplastics measured in the sea is constantly increasing, while it appears that the mean particle sizes are declining (Cole et al., 2011; Barnes et al., 2009; Galgani et al., 2015). Marine Litter poses various, more or less understood hazards upon wildlife: 557 species have been reportedly affected by physical obstruction like entanglement or smothering of plastics (Kühn et al., 2015). However, one of the main concerns and threats lies in the possible ingestion of micro- and nanoplastics by all different sizes of marine organisms resulting in an introduction into the food web. Microplastics are known to transport a conglomerate of various POPs (persistent organic pollutants), chemicals and heavy metals on their surface which are likely to be released upon ingestion with hard to estimate consequences (Rochman et al., 2013; Rochman, 2015). Although model calculations estimate that this pathway is unlikely to be of major importance (Gouin et al., 2011), it is undoubtedly a reason for major concern that needs addressing especially since many long term consequences remain unknown.

In order to reduce the emissions of microplastics into the environment, effective processes for separating these fine polymeric particles from industrial and municipal wastewater streams are necessary. However, it seems that most research focuses on the characterization and quantification of microplastics and their potential hazards. While these investigations are of major importance, the search for feasible separation techniques from large water streams appears to be subordinate. An effective separation is particularly challenging due to two aspects: (1) Large water volumes with low solids concentrations and (2) small particle sizes in the lower to sub micron range. Fig. 1 shows the spectrum of well implemented filtration and sedimentation techniques dependent on their most common particle sizes as well as process scales. Note, that this graph is meant to give a qualitative categorization and a general overview of the field rather than exact boundaries for the presented separation processes. Fig. 1 displays the general trend that with decreasing particle size, the process scale and treated water volumes are also decreasing. Furthermore, it is important to note that sedimentation apparatuses generally struggle when the density difference between solid and liquid phase is not significant which further limits their possibilities for many low density plastics. Cake filtration is difficult in highly dilute suspensions as no filter cake can be generated and thus separation efficiencies suffer. Depth filtration constitutes an exception to the above
charged. Electrostatic interactions also presented themselves as efficiencies when seed and target particles were oppositely ing wastewater and achieved excellent removal mechanical polishing as well as backside grind this day, MSF has been implemented in the treatment of chemical not limiting this process to a certain minimum particles size. To tendency can be manipulated by the choice of magnetic seed particles, techniques. Another major benefit of MSF is that its size depen flow resistance and pressure drop compared to classical filtration are generally designed with a much lower Furthermore, due to the strong magnetic force separation matrices in magnetic separation can be an efficient separation process. ever by choosing the right magnetic seed particles as well as using permanent magnets MSF can be an efficient separation process. This work focuses on magnetic seeded filtration (MSF) and investigates its applicability as well as its obstacles in the separation of microplastics. Generally, the process can be divided into two steps: First, magnetic seed particles are dispersed into the suspension and agglomerate with the target particles (microplastics). Then, these newly formed hetero agglomerates can be removed by magnetic separation due to the magnetic properties of the seed particles. At first, this process may seem effortful and costly, how ever by choosing the right magnetic seed particles as well as using permanent magnets MSF can be an efficient separation process. Furthermore, due to the strong magnetic force separation matrices in magnetic separation are generally designed with a much lower flow resistance and pressure drop compared to classical filtration techniques. Another major benefit of MSF is that its size depen dency can be manipulated by the choice of magnetic seed particles, not limiting this process to a certain minimum particles size. To this day, MSF has been implemented in the treatment of chemical mechanical polishing (Chin et al., 2006) as well as backside grind ing (Wan et al., 2011) wastewater and achieved excellent removal efficiencies when seed and target particles were oppositely charged. Electrostatic interactions also presented themselves as the driving force in the separation of organic dyes in wastewater (Fang et al., 2010). Furthermore, multiple studies showed that the removal of heavy metals can be accomplished in an efficient manner by MSF (Terashima et al., 1986; Karapinar, 2003). Even the implementation for large scale treatment of highly turbid water was studied and showed promising results (Chin and Fan, 2010). Thus, MSF may indeed be a suitable process for the separa tion of microplastics, although certain concerns regarding separa tion efficiencies, process costs and scale up potential are valid and need to be overcome. This study explicitly aims to examine the viability of MSF in the separation of microplastics on a laboratory scale and may therefore yield valuable information about a possible, future large scale implementation.

2. Materials & methods

2.1. Magnetic seeded filtration theory

As mentioned above, the MSF process can be divided in a hetero agglomeration step followed by a magnetic separation. In the following section, the basic theory concerning both of these steps is given which will allow for a thorough discussion of the experimental results.

The reduced agglomeration kinetic given in Eq. (1) is a good starting point to discuss the relevant parameters for the hetero agglomeration step. Consider a suspension containing particles of type \( i \) and particles of type \( j \) that agglomerate to form \( ij \). Under the assumption that only two body collisions occur, the rate of formation of \( ij \) can be written as in Eq. (1). Note that higher order col lisions are usually ignored as they only become relevant at very high particle concentrations (Elimelech, 1998).

\[
\frac{dN_{ij}}{dt} = N_i N_j \beta_{ij} \alpha_{ij}
\]  

(1)

\( N_i \) and \( N_j \) are the number concentrations of agglomerating particles, \( N_{ij} \) is the number concentration of a resulting agglomerate, \( \beta_{ij} \) is the collision frequency and \( \alpha_{ij} \) is the collision efficiency between \( i \) and \( j \). Both \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \) have a rather graphic meaning for the process: The col lision frequency describes the probability that two agglomeration partners \( i \) and \( j \) collide and is thus mainly dependent on the flow conditions in the system. The collision efficiency on the other hand specifis the probability that both partners agglomerate upon
collision and can be estimated from the interface potential between them. In the scope of this work the so called DLVO (van der Waals and electrostatic) interactions are of utmost relevance. Eq. (2) further defines the electrostatic potential for the case of two inter acting spheres (Elimelech, 1998).

\[ \Psi_{\text{el},ij} = \frac{A_{ij}r_i r_j}{4 \pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r (r_i + r_j)} \]  

(2)

\[ \Psi_{\text{el},ij} = \frac{128 \pi r_i r_j N_e k T}{(r_i + r_j)^2} \gamma_i \gamma_j \exp \left( \frac{C_1}{r_i r_j} \right) \]  

(3a)

\[ \kappa = \sqrt{\frac{2 e^2 \ln_{e}}{e k T}} \gamma_i \tan \left( \frac{e \varphi}{4 k T} \right) \]  

(3b)

Here, \( A_{ij} \) is the \text{Hamaker} constant, \( r_i \) the radius of particle \( i \) and \( r_j \) represents the absolute surface to surface distance. Further, \( I \) is the electric strength, \( N_e \) the \text{Avogadro} number, \( e \) the electron charge, \( \kappa \) the reciprocal DEBYE length which can be calculated by Eq. (3b) and \( \varphi \) is the surface potential of the particles. However, the surface potential is not measurable directly and thus the zeta potential \( \zeta \) is mainly used as an approximation. Besides giving a general understanding for the governing process parameters, Eq. (1) (in its full form) can be used in combination with population balances to model agglomeration processes. This was performed by many authors (also of this work) (Tsouris et al., 1995; Chin et al., 1998; Taboada Serrano et al., 2005; Rhein et al., 2019) and will not be discussed further here.

The second process step is concerned with the separation of the newly formed hetero agglomerates. Thereby, high gradient mag netic separation (HGMS) offers an effective and (with implementation of permanent magnets) energy efficient solution. The magnetic force on agglomerates as the driving separation mecha nism can be described by Eq. (4) (Svoboda, 2004)

\[ F_{\text{mag}} = \mu_0 V_{\text{eff}} M \nabla H \]  

(4)

where \( \mu_0 \) is the permeability constant of the vacuum, \( \nabla H \) the gradient of magnetic field strength, \( V_{\text{eff}} \) the total volume of magnetic particles in an agglomerate and \( M \) represents their magnetization. Eq. (4) assumes strongly magnetic particles (negligible magnetization of the fluid), that only magnetic particles contribute to the separation and further, in a sufficiently strong field, the magnetization \( M \) can be considered a material constant, namely the saturation magnetization \( M_{\text{sat}} \). Both of these assumptions are generally applicable in MSF which leaves the main dependencies to be the agglom erate volume \( V_{\text{eff}} \) and the field strength gradient. In order to achieve a high field strength gradient, a strong magnetic flux den sity in the typical range of \( B \approx 0.2 \text{T} \) \( 0.5 \text{T} \) is necessary (Menzel et al., 2013), which can be generated by an electromagnet or perma nent magnet. Since electromagnets require large amounts of energy and cooling, an openable Halbach permanent magnet that yields field strengths suitable for HGMS applications (up to \( 0.37 \text{T} \)) and does not demand further energy input was constructed in previous form (Menzel et al., 2013) and is used in present work. However, a strong magnetic field alone is not sufficient: In order to generate gradients in the process volume, a separation matrix consisting of fine ferro magnetic wires needs to be introduced that attracts and collects magnetic particles and agglomerates. Here, (Svoboda, 2004) states that the gradient of the field is inversely proportional to the wire diameter \( (\nabla H \propto 1/a_{\text{wire}}) \) and therefore the separation efficiency is maximized by using as fine a matrix as possible.

### 2.2. Experimental studies

As mentioned above, the term microplastic encompasses basically every type of polymer particle with a mean diameter of \(<1 \text{ mm}\). However, especially particles in the lower to sub micron range are of interest in this paper since they are challenging to remove by classical methods and further exhibit special hazards due to possible ingestion. As representatives of this class, polyvinylchloride (PVC) as well as polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) were selected and used in the experiments, while mag netite (Fe₂O₃) particles were used as magnetic seeds. PVC has been shown to carry a disproportionate number of hazardous chemical products and is one of the most used industrial polymers (PlasticsEurope, 2018; Lithner et al., 2011). While the market share of PMMA is rather small, it is known to be used in cosmetics and can thus be seen as relevant in the field of primary microplastics. Furthermore, mineral magnetite is used as magnetic seed particles, primarily because it is cheap and non toxic, which will allow for a later use in larger process scales. Table 1 summarizes the main properties of the material systems used. It is important to note, that all particle systems are polydisperse in order to further represent real world separation problems. Another crucial material property for agglomeration processes is the zeta potential of these particle systems, which is plotted over the pH in Fig. 2. These measured values are in good agreement with known zeta potential values from the literature (Sun et al., 1998; Kirby and Hasselbrink, 2004).

The entire experiment can be split into four process steps which are described in the following section. Before conducting the actual agglomeration, the suspension is prepared by means of ultrasonic dispersion. First, the given amount of non magnetic particles is added to 0.5 L of ultrapure water with a residual ionic strength of \( I \approx 10^{-6} \text{ mol/L} \). Then, the ionic strength is adjusted by adding \( 2 \text{ mol/L} \) analytic grade sodium chloride (NaCl) solution, while the pH is regulated with 0.5 mol/L hydrochloric acid (HCl) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH). The suspension is subsequently dispersed with the Digital Sonifier 450 (Branson) for 20 min in order to break up existing non magnetic agglomerates. After the first dispersion step a sample \( (S_0) \) of this suspension is taken and stabilized with 0.5 g/L tetrasodium pyrophosphate in order to hinder agglomeration before the measurement of this sample. Next, the magnetic parti cles are added and the suspension is further dispersed for 10 min. After the dispersion step, the prepared suspension is added to the agglomeration cell and agitated at the respective rotary frequency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>Particle systems used in experimental studies.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Material</strong></td>
<td><strong>Magnetic (M)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Kremser Pigments, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean diameter ( x_{\text{50,3}} )</td>
<td>2.75 ( \mu \text{m} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density ( \rho )</td>
<td>5200 ( \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation magnetization ( M_{\text{sat}} )</td>
<td>4.94 ( 10^4 \text{ A/m} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact angle air,water ( \vartheta )</td>
<td>0°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Particle size distributions and therefore mean diameters were measured with laser diffraction (HELOS/QUIXEL, SympaTec GmbH, Germany).

*b* Contact angles were measured by sessile drop method. Samples were prepared in a hydraulic press with constant force (15 kN).
speed $n$ for the agglomeration time $t_A$ in order to induce an agglomeration of the particles. Following the agglomeration process, the separation of the non agglomerated magnetic primary particles as well as hetero agglomerates is initiated. Therefore, an iron matrix is lowered into the suspension and the whole tank is brought into the magnetic field produced by the openable Halbach permanent magnet described above ($B = 0.37T$). Due to the high gradients produced by the fine ferromagnetic mesh, the magnetic particles as well as hetero agglomerates are collected. The separation is performed at constant rotary speed $n_{sep} = 100 \text{ min}^{-1}$. In preliminary tests, a nearly instant and complete separation of the magnetic particles could be detected, however in order to also guarantee total separation of hetero agglomerates the separation time was increased to $t_{sep} = 5 \text{ min}$. Fig. 3 shows the schematics of the agglomeration cell and the two states for agglomeration and separation. The main difference between the two states lies in the position of both agglomeration cell and separation matrix: For separation the entire cell is placed in a magnetic field and the separation matrix is lowered into the suspension by hand in order to induce magnetic separation. A four bladed 45° blade turbine is used as agitator that yields an approximate shear rate of $G \approx 300 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at mean rotary speed $n = 200 \text{ min}^{-1}$ (Chin et al., 2013).
Subsequently to the HGMS, the suspension, which now only contains the unseparated particles and agglomerates, is collected and must be treated before measurement. Therefore, the suspension is again dispersed with the sonifier for 10 min and stabilized with tetraboric acid. Then, a sample of the dispersed residual suspension is taken \( S_0 \) and analyzed together with the \( S_0 \) sample by means of absorbance spectroscopy at \( \lambda = 600 \) nm. The validity of the Beer-Lambert law was ensured in previous studies, so that the absorbance value can be transferred into a concentration value. Under the assumption that all magnetic particles as well as all hetero agglomerates (agglomerates containing magnetic particles) are separated in the HGMS step, a separation efficiency for the non magnetic particles \( T_{\text{NM}} \) can be defined according to Eq. (5).

\[
T_{\text{NM}}(t) = \left( \frac{A_{600}(t)}{A_{600}(0)} \right) \cdot 100\% \\
\left( \frac{c_{v,\text{NM}}(t)}{c_{v,\text{NM}}(0)} \right) \cdot 100\%
\]

(5)

Here, \( A_{600}(t) \) represents the absorbance values at wavelength \( \lambda = 600 \) nm and \( c_{v,\text{NM}}(t) \) represents the volume concentration of nonmagnetic particles in the suspension at process time \( t \).

### 3. Results & discussion

Before conducting any experiments, a proof of principle has to be established. For this purpose, hetero agglomerates produced in the agglomeration cell were collected and investigated under a SEM microscope. An example for such a recording is given in Fig. 4. It becomes apparent, that a hetero agglomeration process has indeed taken place and the principle can thus be regarded as proven.

However, SEM images only yield qualitative information and therefore, quantitative investigations are conducted and presented below. First, a system analysis has to be performed in order to identify all possibly relevant parameters. The factors that appear to be process determining then need to be assigned with realistic values. Table 2 shows the process parameters as well as their respective values. For now, only the default values are of interest, while the \( \ast \) and + values will be considered later. Since the electrostatic interactions are known to be of utmost importance, the first study was an investigation of the separation efficiency dependent on the pH value. Therefore, all parameters were set to their default value, while the pH was varied. The results are shown in Fig. 5. First of all, high separation efficiencies of up to \( T_{\text{NM}} \approx 95\% \) could be achieved for both particle systems although the concentration values of both magnetic and non magnetic particles are relatively low (\( \approx 10^{-3} \) vol%). It can be seen that a clear relationship between pH and \( T_{\text{NM}} \) exists for PVC particles: At low pH values, the separation efficiency is high and relatively constant. At around pH 6, the separation efficiency decreases until nearly no separation is achieved at pH 11. This effect can be explained through a look at Fig. 2: Magnetite exhibits a point of zero charge (pzc) at pH \( \approx 5 \) while being positively charged for lower and negatively charged for higher pH values. Concurrently, PVC is negatively charged throughout the whole pH range with increasing absolute values for larger pH. This results in low repulsive and even attractive electrostatic interactions between the magnetic seed particles and the non magnetic target particles for pH < 6 which ultimately results in high separation efficiencies. With increasing pH, the negative surface charge of magnetite increases which leads to a drop in agglomeration and thus separation efficiency. It should be high lighted however, that in the broader neutral pH range between 5 and 9 high efficiencies are easily realizable. Furthermore, the high separation efficiency at pH 6 indicates that it is sufficient to reduce the electrostatic repulsion and not necessary to evoke further attraction between the particle systems, as at this point magnetite is nearly uncharged and yet high separation efficiencies are achieved. This fact is especially interesting when it comes to estimating process results for other, non polar and thus non chargeable polymer systems as for example polyethylene (PE): Since they will not exhibit electrostatic repulsion, their separation result can be estimated as high. Regarding PMMA, a constantly high separation efficiency could be measured throughout the whole range of pH values. Considering Fig. 2, it is apparent that...

---

Fig. 4. SEM image of a collected hetero-agglomerate. The angular particles are magnetite (colored red as an example), while the spherical particles are PVC (colored green as an example). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
the PMMA particles exhibit a small negative zeta potential of \( \zeta = 10 \text{ mV} \) that is independent of the pH value. This results in a small electrostatic repulsion even for negatively charged magnetite particles at higher pH values and explains the constantly high separation efficiencies.

In a subsequent step, the range \( 6.4 < \text{pH} < 11 \) was examined more closely in order to determine whether it is possible to force heteroagglomeration between the particles despite them having the same charge. This area is highlighted in Fig. 5 by the shaded area. Since PMMA already exhibits high separation efficiencies, only PVC particles are studied. A design of experiment (DOE) was conducted to investigate the influence of the process parameters on the separation efficiency. Five parameters (factors) are varied on two levels, while the agglomeration time is held constant at \( t_A = 10 \text{ min} \). The lower ( ) and higher (+) level values of the respective factors are given in the two rightmost columns in Table 2. A full factorial DOE of given problem with \( l = 5 \) yields \( 2^5 \cdot 32 \) parameter combinations that have to be conducted by experiment. However, a fractional factorial DOE can be used to lower the amount of experiments to \( 2^5 \cdot 1 = 32 \). The 25 1 design still allows a clean resolution of all main and two way interactions of an order larger than two (Berger, 2018). The separation efficiencies for all 16 process parameter combinations were determined in triplicate and the mean separation efficiencies as well as the standard deviations were calculated. These values were then used in a multiple regression calculation in order to determine the effects of all factors and two way interactions. A linear model as shown in Eq. (6) was used. The \( X \) variables thereby represent the factors given in Table 2 normalized to their varied range. Due to the normalization, the coefficients \( E_i \) can be understood as the effects of the respective factors on the separation efficiency and can be compared directly.

\[
T_{\text{NM}} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} E_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} E_{ij} X_i X_j \quad (6)
\]

The parameter study yielded separation efficiencies in the range of \( 3.95 \% < T_{\text{NM}} < 96.02 \% \). As mentioned above 16 process parameter combinations were measured in triplicate and the average standard deviation for each combination was \( \sigma = 2.78 \% \), which is considered reasonable. With the right set of process parameters, the separation efficiency for \( \text{pH} = 11 \) could be improved to \( T_{\text{NM}} = 51.74 \% \), which demonstrates that a thorough process optimization may lead to a further improvement of the already promising results in Fig. 5. The absolute effects of the factors on the separation efficiency are shown in Fig. 6. Again, it can be seen that the pH has a strong negative effect on \( T_{\text{NM}} \) meaning, that with decreasing pH value, the separation efficiency increases. This is due to the decreasing negative zeta potential of the magnetite particles and was discussed at length above. Further, the ionic strength \( I \) exhibits a large positive effect on \( T_{\text{NM}} \), meaning that the separation efficiency rises with increasing ion concentration. This effect can be explained by Eq. (3b): With increasing ionic strength, the reciprocal DEBYE length increases which results in a decreasing range of the electrostatic repulsion \( \exp (-\kappa x) \). Vividly described, this means that the loosely bound charges around a particle are com

---

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Factor level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>Rotary speed</td>
<td>[min(^{-1})]</td>
<td>Default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c_{v, M} )</td>
<td>Volume concentration magnetic particles</td>
<td>[vol%]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Phi_{v, \text{NM}} )</td>
<td>Volume concentration ratio non-magnetic particles ( \Phi_{v, \text{NM}} = \frac{c_{v, \text{NM}}}{c_{v, M}} )</td>
<td>[–]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l )</td>
<td>Ionic strength</td>
<td>[mol( L )]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{pH} )</td>
<td>pH value</td>
<td>[–]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( t_A )</td>
<td>Agglomeration time</td>
<td>[min]</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 5. Separation efficiency over pH for default process parameter combination (see Table 2).
pressed due to the high ion concentration resulting in a smaller ion shell and thus smaller interaction length scales. As third parameter, the volume concentration of magnetic particles $c_{v,M}$ exhibits a positive effect on $T_{NM}$ which is not surprising regarding the basic agglomeration kinetic in Eq. (1): Increasing the amount of magnetic seed particles increases the absolute amount of NM M collision events and ultimately also the hetero agglomeration rates. However, the concentration ratio $\Phi_{v,NM}$ should follow the same logic and thus exhibit a negative effect on $T_{NM}$. This could not be shown in the experiments, where $\Phi_{v,NM}$ did not exhibit any significant effect. The same can be said about the rotary speed $n$, where no significant effect could be shown although a positive effect would have been expected due to an increasing $\beta$ value in Eq. (1). To sum up, most effects revealed by the multiple regression analysis show good agreement with the basic agglomeration theory and highlight that especially the electrostatic interactions are governing the agglomeration process. However, such results are always dependent on the investigated range of the given factors, meaning that e.g. the rotary speed may indeed effect the system dramatically when investigated on a broader value range.

The results just discussed were all carried out for a constant agglomeration time of $t_A = 10$ min, which suggests the question of whether further system optimization is also possible in this regard. Therefore, the agglomeration kinetics were measured for $pH = 4$ and $pH = 9$ and the results are shown in Fig. 7. Again, these studies are conducted with PVC, since PMMA is expected to show similar behavior and already yields optimal separation results. In order to further describe the kinetics mathematically, an analysis under simplifying assumptions starting from the reduced agglomeration kinetic given in Eq. (1) was conducted and is shown in Eq. (7).

$$\frac{dN_{NM}}{dt} = \frac{N_{NM} \beta_{NM,M} \delta_{NM,M}}{c_i} N_{NM}C_1$$

(7a)
First, it is assumed that the change in non magnetic particles is only due to one agglomeration step with magnetic particles of known \( \beta \) and \( x \) value. Further, a magnetic particle is presumed to be still available for subsequent agglomeration events after capturing a non magnetic particle, which leads to the simplification \( N_{NM} \approx \text{const} \). Thus, the product \( N_{NM}(t) \) can be combined into the agglomeration rate constant \( C_1 \) as shown in Eq. (7a). After integration, the term for \( N_{NM}(t) \) can be inserted into Eq. (5) which yields the expression for the separation efficiency kinetic in Eq. (7b). However, the measured kinetics show a characteristic, limited growth which is why the factor 100\% is converted into a limit constant \( C_2 \) as shown in Eq. (7c). One explanation for this limitation lies in the fact that the average agglomerate size continues to grow steadily, while the absolute number of particles and agglomerates decreases. This leads to a reduction in the collision probability, which is not yet accounted for by the assumptions made above. Eq. (7c) was used to fit the measured values and the resulting kinetics are also plotted in Fig. 7 while the resulting constants and coefficients of determination \( (R^2) \) are given in Table 3. The apparent influence of the \( pH \) on the separation efficiency demon strated in Fig. 5 can also be seen in the kinetics, as both the agglomeration rate constant \( C_1 \) and the limit constant \( C_2 \) are higher for lower \( pH \) values. Further, it can be said that Eq. (7c) is able to represent the measured values well, as the \( R^2 \) values are close to 1. For the purpose of process optimization, the measurement of agglomeration kinetics offers valuable information: Due to the limited exponential growth character, it is apparent that the process is most efficient at low agglomeration times, while the change in separation efficiency decreases for increasing run time. It is not possible to recommend an overall perfect agglomeration time, however after measuring the process kinetics and calculating the coefficients, the balancing of total separation efficiency and process time can be conducted on a profound basis.

4. Conclusions

This work shows that it is generally possible to separate fine polymeric particles from dilute suspensions in a highly efficient manner by magnetic seeded filtration. Separation efficiencies of \( T_{NM} \approx 95\% \) were achieved over a broad range of \( pH \) values. Generally, the \( pH \) and ultimately the surface charge of the particles showed to be the governing factors of the investigated heteroagglomeration process. However, a DOE in the range of higher \( pH \) values, where both agglomeration partners exhibit an electrostatic repulsion, showed that high separation efficiencies could never be achieved by optimizing other process parameters such as the ionic strength or the magnetic seed concentration. From a process engineering standpoint, it is important to maximize the agglomeration rate between magnetic seed and nonmagnetic target particles, which may be achieved by the following general guidelines that can be conducted from the reduced kinetic in Eq. (1):

(i) Maximize attractive while minimizing repulsive interaction potentials between particle systems to increase \( z_{ij} \). Here, primarily the variation of the surface potential through adjustment of the \( pH \), but also high ion concentrations at repulsive potentials are purposeful.

(ii) Increase absolute particle number concentrations \( (N_{NM}N_{NM}) \). If the target concentration is given, increase the magnetic seed particle concentration.

(iii) Increase particle movement in order to increase \( \beta_j \). Orthokinetic (flow controlled): Rise in energy dissipation/Re number. Perikinetic (diffusion controlled): Rise in temperature.

In addition, it was shown that it is useful to take a closer look at the process kinetics, since a further, time dependent optimization can be achieved here. By simplifying the general agglomeration kinetics, a model equation was found that was able to describe measured kinetics in an accurate manner. The coefficients obtained can then be used to make substantiated decisions on the balancing of total separation efficiency and total process time. It is self evident that a number of fundamental questions remain unanswered regarding large scale implementation: Especially the recovery and regeneration of the magnetic seed particles will play an essential role. Further investigations are necessary, but this work shows that it is possible to counteract agglomeration by selecting suitable process parameters. Therefore, the agglomeration process should generally be reversible if the suspension conditions are specifically changed (e.g. by a \( pH \) shift).

In summary, this work demonstrates the basic viability of magnetic seeded filtration for the separation of microplastics. The here presented results and application notes may serve as a solid foundation for future research that should be concerned with scale up issues of this process.
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