

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) Institute for Neutron Physics and Reactor Technology (INR) Fusion Neutronics Group Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1 D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen http://www.inr.kit.edu *Corresponding author e-mail: arkady.serikov@kit.edu

n of its low-energy (E<1 keV) part

Neutronic characteristics of the ITER Diagnostic Equatorial Port #8



Arkady Serikov^{a*}, Luciano Bertalot^b, Ulrich Fischer^a, Pavel Shigin^b

31st SYMPOSIUM ON FUSION TECHNOLOGY

^a Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Institute for Neutron Physics and Reactor Technology, Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany ^b ITER Organization, Route de Vinon-sur-Verdon, CS 90 046, 13067 St. Paul Lez Durance Cedex, France ocal modeling assumption for SDDR calculations in EP8 inside C-MODEL 181031 (R190110) and Neutron thermalization inside the NFM graphite with Fission Chamber (FC) of NFM. Scope of neutronics analysis **D1S-UNED** transport and activation code The neutronics analysis scope includes the following characteristics: 1) Neutron flux distributions in Equatorial Port #8 (EP8) Port Plug and ISS. • Local MCNP model of EP8 includes the following 10 Diagnostics: 3 point detectors Outside NFM Mid of graphite Center of NFM FC 2) Nuclear heating loads: - 55.B9 Lost Alpha Monitor (LAM) · EP8 Port Plug Mirrors of 5 Diagnostic systems in the following materials: - 55.BE Tangential Neutron Spectrometer (TNS) Molvbdenum: Aluminum: Steel: Rhodium. - 55.F9 High Field Side Reflectometer (HFSR) Probati - 1010/01-07/00107 Martin - 27 (L. Ammer, A. Martin, A. Ammer, G. Ammer, A. Martin, A. Ammer, Propert (106, 36, -02, 36, -46, 30) Propert (106, 36, -02, 36, -46, 30) 3) Neutron damage (DPA) in EP8 Port Plug mirrors and structural materials. - 55.FA Density Interferometer Polarimeter - (DIP) Radial 4) Neutron thermalization inside NFM graphite with Fission Chamber (FC). - 55.FA Density Interferometer Polarimeter - (DIP) Tangential 000 5) Shut-Down Dose Rate (SDDR) mesh-tally for dose mapping at the - 55.B4 Neutron Flux Monitor (NFM) following locations of maintenance at ~12 days after ITER shutdown: - 55.E6 Visible Spectroscope Reference System (VSRS) · 30 cm to the EP8 Closure Flange (CP): 9.5e-5 to 5.7e-2 (Sv/h); - 55.GE Flow Monitor Substantial neutron flux thermalization is important for • 30 cm to the EP8 Back Flange (BF): 7.2e-5 to 3.6e-2 (Sv/h); - 18.GC Glow Discharge Conditioning (GDC) eutron detection by fission reaction rate counting on U-235 deposited inside the thin (1.07e-4 cm) laver inside the void 30 cm to Disruption Mitigation System (DMS) Optical Unit: 7.8e-5 to 1.9e-4 (Sv/h). - 18.DM Disruption Mitigation System (DMS) of EC cylinders, U-235 mass in 1 EC is 1 g, there are 3 EC inside graphite moderator covered by stee · EP8 Inter-Space Structure (ISS) maintenance corridors: 102 microSv/h case of NEM · Assessment of the EP8 Diagnostics impact on SDDR inside of EP8 ISS. Stage Process SDDR (Sv/h) map sliced by plane thr ugh DMS with dose rate isolin State-of-the-art codes and data for ITER applications: SpaceClaim software for CAD processing: SuperMC (FDS, China) for CAD-to-MCNP conversion Shut-Down Dose Rate (SDDR) calculations: 1 > D1S-UNED v.3.1.2 for transport and activation 1 Radiation transport calculations (neutron and gamma flux > ITER C-MODEL R181031 (Rev.190110), MCNP6.20 code EPRDATA14 Electron-Photon-Relavation data (E<1keV > FENDL-3.1d neutron cross-sections FA DIF Void decomposing outer → mid graphite → inner void of FC Export MC input fil SDDR increment is observed for the EP model of EP8 with Completed by McCad convertor Diagnostic systems inside ISS due to additional decay gamma sources produced in steel materials of DMS and NFM. At the last stage of CAD-to-MCNP conversion, MC input geometry has been Neutron energy, Mel produced with 10 Diagnostics of EP8 is sufficient for the follo LC SDDR. RC SDDR. EP model neutron and photon fluxes; **Conclusions and future work** microSv/h nuclear heating (neutron and secondary photons) DGEPP with fully shielded dumm neutron damage (dpa). Electron flux in Cell Photon flux in Cell 60 > Presented scoping neutronics results have been obtained with newly developed EP8 local model integrated 60 Port Plug and empty ISS into the ITER tokamak C-MODEL R181031 (Revision 190110) and the results have a relative character. EP8 without Diagnostics inside ISS 77 86 Shielding performance of the EP8 Diagnostic systems has been estimated with nuclear heating and neutron damage loads on mirrors (ITER D 2NAEN8) and local SDDR inside ISS (ITER D 2NAFZ3). FP8 with Diagnostics inside ISS 100 102 Streaming analysis indicated suitability of the doglegs in most Diagnostic channels and sufficiency of their shielding performance, with a recommendation to increase the length of M1-M2 shift of B9 LAM. Among studied mirror materials (rhodium, molybdenum, steel, aluminum), rhodium demonstrated significant beta decay heating comparable with prompt (neutron + photon) heating. Maximum nuclear heating in rhodium is 11.3 W/cc for the m1-small front mirror of 55.GE Flow Monitor located close to DFW. Maximum neutron damage of 1.56 dpa/0.54 fpy in steel substrate of the m2-small mirror at front of 55.GE Flow Monitor DR (Sv/h) slice pz=68 cm – looking down IS LAM NFM VSRS FA DIP tangential Local SDDR in the EP8 ISS corridors is ~102 microSv/h with Diagnostics inside ISS. Designing work on EP8 Diagnostics systems is in progress. The updated designs of the systems will be assessed later with neutronics analyses in the course of preparations for Final Design Review. Following the ALARA, task of arranging additional shielding in ISS is ongoing to reduce the SDDR values. Acknowledgment & Disclaimer FAD GE Firm N-streaming assessment on maps of total neutron flux This work has been funded by the ITER Organization (IO) under the ITER service contract number IO/17/CT/4300001748. This work was carried out using an adaption of the C-model which was developed as a collaborative effort between: AMEC Co (International), CCFE (UK), ENEA Frascati (Italy), FDS Team of Analysis conclusion: 5 systems of EP8 INEST (PRC), ITER Organization (France), QST (Japan), KIT (Germany), UNED (Spain), University of contributing to SDDR inside the empty ISS: Photon and electron emission energy distribution DMS, LAM, GE, FA DIP Radial, and VSRS Wisconsin-Madison (USA), F4E (Europe). spectra in LAM void Cell calculated in energy range of 10 eV - 20 MeV, with particular CAOP tangantial The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the ITER Organization. This paper

Energy (Me)

KIT - The Research University in the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu

does not commit the IO as a nuclear operator