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#### Abstract

Peral/Miyachi's celebrated theorem on fixed time $L^{p}$ estimates with loss of derivatives for the wave equation states that the operator $(I-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \exp (i \sqrt{-\Delta})$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq s_{p}:=(d-1)\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|$. We extend this result to operators of the form $L=-\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{j} \partial_{j} a_{j} \partial_{j}$, for functions $x \mapsto a_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ that are bounded above and below, but merely Lipschitz continuous. This is below the $C^{1,1}$ regularity that is known to be necessary in general for Strichartz estimates in dimension $d \geq 2$. Our proof is based on an approach to the boundedness of Fourier integral operators recently developed by Hassell, Rozendaal, and the second author. We construct a scale of adapted Hardy spaces on which $\exp (i \sqrt{L})$ is bounded by lifting $L^{p}$ functions to the tent space $T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, using a wave packet transform adapted to the Lipschitz metric induced by the coefficients $a_{j}$. The result then follows from Sobolev embedding properties of these spaces.
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## 1. Introduction

In 1980, Peral [21] and Miyachi [19] proved that the operator $(I-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \exp (i \sqrt{-\Delta})$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq s_{p}:=(d-1)\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|$. Their result was then extended to general Fourier integral operators (FIOs) in a celebrated theorem of Seeger, Sogge, and Stein [23], leading, in particular, to $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ well-posedness results for wave equations with smooth variable coefficients on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ or driven by the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a compact manifold. To establish well-posedness of wave equations in more complex geometric settings, many results have been obtained in the past 30 years, using extensions of Peral/Miyachi's fixed time estimates with loss of derivatives, Strichartz estimates, and/or local smoothing properties. This includes Smith's parametrix construction [25] and Tataru's Strichartz estimates [30] for wave equations on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $C^{1,1}$ coefficients, and Müller-Seeger's extension of Peral-Miyachi's result to the sublaplacian on Heisenberg type groups [20], as well as many other important results for specific operators, such as Laplace-Beltrami operators on symmetric spaces.
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In this paper, we consider operators of the form $L=-\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{j} \partial_{j} a_{j} \partial_{j}$, for functions $x \mapsto$ $a_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ that are bounded above and below, and Lipschitz continuous. For these operators, we extend Peral/Miyachi's result by proving that $(I+L)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \exp (i \sqrt{L})$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $\alpha \geq s_{p}:=(d-1)\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|$. This gives, in particular, $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ well-posedness of one dimensional wave equations $\partial_{t}^{2} u=a \frac{d}{d x} a \frac{d}{d x} u$ with Lipschitz coefficients $a$ (a natural general result that appears to be new). Divergence form operators $\frac{d}{d x} a \frac{d}{d x}$ can also be treated by perturbation. More generally, when $s_{p} \leq 2$, we show well-posedness for data in $W^{s_{p}, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. See Theorem 8.6 for a precise statement. While the algebraic structure of the coefficient matrix is a serious limitation in dimension $d>1$, the roughness of the coefficients is a satisfying and somewhat surprising feature of our result. Indeed, Strichartz estimates for wave equations are known to fail, in general, for coefficients rougher than $C^{1,1}$, see $\left.26 \mid 27\right]$.

Our proof is based on a new approach to Seeger-Sogge-Stein's $L^{p}$ boundedness theorem for FIOs, initiated by Hassell, Rozendaal, and the second author in [15], building on earlier work of Smith [24]. The approach consists in developing a scale of Hardy spaces $H_{F I O}^{p}$, that are invariant under the action of FIOs. One then shows that this scale relates to the Sobolev scale through the embedding $W^{\frac{s p}{2}, p} \subset H_{F I O}^{p} \subset W^{-\frac{s_{p}}{2}, p}$, for $p \in(1, \infty)$. This is similar, in spirit, to the theory of Hardy spaces associated with operators, which has been extensively developed over the past 15 years, starting with [5 10 14] (see also the memoir [13]). In this theory, one first constructs a scale of spaces $H_{L}^{p}$ by lifting functions from $\bar{L}^{p}$ to one of the tent spaces introduced by Coifman, Meyer, and Stein in [8], using the functional calculus of the operator $L$ (rather than convolutions). One then shows that the spaces are invariant under the action of the functional calculus of $L$. Finally, one relates these spaces to more classical ones. For instance $H_{\Delta}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for all $p \in(1, \infty)$. More generally, when one considers Hodge-Dirac operators $\Pi_{B}, H_{\Pi_{B}}^{p}=L^{p}$ precisely for those $p$ for which Hodge projections are $L^{p}$ bounded (a result proven by McIntosh and the authors in [11]).

In the present paper, we go one step further in connecting both theories, by developing a scale of Hardy-Sobolev spaces $H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}$ on which $\exp (i \sqrt{L})$ is bounded, and proving analogues of the embedding $W^{\frac{s_{p}}{2}, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset H_{F I O}^{p, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset W^{-\frac{s_{p}}{2}, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ such as, for $p \in(1,2)$, $H_{F I O, a}^{p, \frac{s_{p}}{2}} \subset L^{p}$ and $(I+\sqrt{L})^{-\frac{s_{p}}{2}} \in B\left(L^{p}, H_{F I O, a}^{p, 0}\right)$. This gives our $L^{p}$ boundedness with loss of derivatives result, and more. Indeed, one can apply the half wave group $\exp (i \sqrt{L})$ repeatedly on $H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}$, and only loose derivatives when one compares $H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}$ to classical Sobolev spaces. This allows for iterative arguments in constructing parametrices. One can also perturb the half wave group using abstract operator theory on the Banach space $H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}$.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 3 we study the transport group generated by the commuting tuple $\left(a_{1} \partial_{1}, \ldots, a_{d} \partial_{d}\right)=: i D_{a}$. It is a representation of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and a bounded group on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$. The Phillips functional calculus associated with this group replaces convolutions/Fourier multipliers in the context of our Lipschitz
metric. Using this calculus, we use the approach of [4] to construct an adapted scale of Hardy-Sobolev spaces in Section 4. For all integrability parameters $p \in(1, \infty)$ and regularity parameter $s \in[0,2]$, these spaces coincide with classical Sobolev spaces, thanks to the regularity properties of the heat kernel of $L$ arising from the Lipschitz continuity of its coefficients. To go from these spaces to $H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}$, one needs to directionally refine the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, as in the proof of Seeger-Sogge-Stein's theorem. This is done in [15] using a wave packet transform defined by Fourier multipliers. In Section 5 we construct a similar wave packet transform, replacing Fourier multipliers by the Phillips calculus of the transport group. This allows us to define $H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}$ in Section 6 , and to prove its embedding properties in Section 7. Finally, in Section 8, we prove that the half wave $\operatorname{group}(\exp (i t \sqrt{L}))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is bounded on $H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}$ for all $1<p<\infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. To do so, we first notice that the transport group is. We then realise that, in a given direction $\omega$, $\exp \left(i \sqrt{D_{a} \cdot D_{a}}\right)$ is close to $\exp \left(-i \omega \cdot D_{a}\right)$, when acting on an appropriate wave packet, in the sense that operators of the form $\left(\exp \left(i \sqrt{D_{a} \cdot D_{a}}\right)-\exp \left(-i \omega \cdot D_{a}\right)\right) \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right)$ are $L^{p}$ bounded.

Our approach relies heavily on algebraic properties: the wave group commutes with the wave packet localisation operators, and can be expressed in the Phillips functional calculus of a commutative group. Although our coefficients are merely Lipschitz continuous, these algebraic properties match those of the standard Euclidean wave group. In the same way as Peral-Miyachi's result for that group is a starting point for the well-posedness theory of wave equations with coefficients that are smooth enough perturbations of constant coefficients, we expect the results proven here to provide a basis for the development of a well-posedness theory of wave equations with coefficients that are smooth enough perturbations of structured Lipschitz continuous coefficients.

## 2. Preliminaries

We first recall (a special case of) the following Banach space valued Marcinkiewicz-Lizorkin Fourier multiplier's theorem (see [29, Theorem 4.5]).

Theorem 2.1. (Fernandez/ Štrkalj-Weis) Let $p \in(1, \infty)$. Let $m \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ be such that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}$ with $|\alpha|_{\infty} \leq 1$ there exists a constant $C=C(\alpha)>0$ such that

$$
\left|\zeta^{\alpha} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} m(\zeta)\right| \leq C \quad \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Let $T_{m}$ denote the Fourier multiplier with symbol $m$. Then $T_{m} \otimes I_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$ extends to $a$ bounded operator on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$.

This theorem will be combined with the following version of the Coifman-Weiss transference principle (see [17, Theorem 10.7.5]). Note that the extension of this theorem from a one parameter group to a $d$ parameter group generated by a tuple of commuting operators is straightforward.

Theorem 2.2. (Coifman-Weiss) Let $p \in(1, \infty)$. Let $i D_{1}, \ldots, i D_{d}$ generate bounded commuting groups $\left(\exp \left(i t D_{j}\right)\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and consider the d parameter group defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp (i \xi D)=\prod_{j=1}^{d} \exp \left(i \xi_{j} D_{j}\right) \text { for } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \text {. Then, for all } \psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \text {, we have that } \\
& \quad\left\|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \widehat{\psi}(\xi) \exp (i \xi D) f d \xi\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|T_{\psi} \otimes I_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right\|_{B\left(L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} ; L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)\right)}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \quad \forall f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To define our Hardy-Sobolev spaces, we use the tent spaces introduced by Coifman, Meyer, and Stein in [8], and used extensively in the theory of Hardy spaces associated with operators (see e.g. the memoir $[13]$ and the references therein). These tent spaces $T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ are defined as follows. For $F: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ measurable and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, set

$$
\mathcal{A} F(x):=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{B(x, \sigma)}|F(y, \sigma)|^{2} d y \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma}\right)^{1 / 2} \in[0, \infty]
$$

Definition 2.3. Let $p \in[1, \infty)$. The tent space $T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is defined as the space of all $F \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times(0, \infty), d x \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{A} F \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, endowed with the norm

$$
\|F\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}:=\|\mathcal{A} F\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

Recall that the tent space $T^{1,2}$ admits an atomic decomposition (see $|8|$ ) in terms of atoms $A$ supported in sets of the form $B\left(c_{B}, r\right) \times[0, r]$, and satisfying

$$
r^{d} \int_{0}^{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|A(y, \sigma)|^{2} \frac{d y d \sigma}{\sigma} \leq 1 .
$$

Recall also that the classical Hardy space $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ norm can be obtained as

$$
\|f\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}:=\left\|(t, x) \mapsto \psi\left(t^{2} \Delta\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},
$$

where $\psi\left(t^{2} \Delta\right)$ denotes the Fourier multiplier with symbol $\xi \mapsto t^{2}|\xi|^{2} \exp \left(-t^{2}|\xi|^{2}\right)$. This is the starting point of the theory of Hardy spaces associated with operators (or equations): one replaces the Fourier multiplier by an appropriately adapted operator. To do so, one often uses the holomorphic functional calculus of a (bi)sectorial operator. The relevant theory is presented in [17]. We use it here with the following notation.

Definition 2.4. Let $0<\theta<\frac{\pi}{2}$. Define the open sector in the complex plane by

$$
S_{\theta+}^{o}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}:|\arg (z)|<\theta\}
$$

as well as the bisector $S_{\theta}^{o}=S_{\theta+}^{o} \cup S_{\theta-}^{o}$, where $S_{\theta-}^{o}=-S_{\theta+}^{o}$. We denote by $H\left(S_{\theta}^{o}\right)$ the space of holomorphic functions on $S_{\theta}^{o}$, and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\infty}\left(S_{\theta}^{o}\right) & :=\left\{g \in H\left(S_{\theta}^{o}\right):\|g\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S_{\theta}^{o}\right)}<\infty\right\} \\
\Psi_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left(S_{\theta}^{0}\right) & :=\left\{\psi \in H^{\infty}\left(S_{\theta}^{o}\right): \exists C>0:|\psi(z)| \leq C|z|^{\alpha}\left(1+|z|^{\alpha+\beta}\right)^{-1} \forall z \in S_{\theta}^{o}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\alpha, \beta>0$. We say that $\psi \in H^{\infty}\left(S_{\theta}^{o}\right)$ is non-degenerate if neither of its restrictions to $S_{\theta+}^{o}$ or $S_{\theta-}^{o}$ vanishes identically.

For bisectorial operators $D$ such that $i D$ generates a bounded group on $L^{p}$, we also use the Phillips calculus defined by

$$
\psi(D) f:=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\psi}(\xi) \exp (i \xi D) f d \xi
$$

for $f \in L^{p}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. See [4]18] for more information on how these two functional calculi interact in the theory of Hardy spaces associated with operators. The results in Section 4 are fundamentally inspired by these papers.

## 3. The transport group

For $j \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, let $a_{j} \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\frac{d}{d x} a_{j} \in L^{\infty}$, and assume that there exist $0<\lambda \leq \Lambda$ such that $\lambda \leq a_{j}(x) \leq \Lambda$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\widetilde{a_{j}} \in C^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the map defined by $\widetilde{a_{j}}: x \mapsto a_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and $j \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, the ordinary differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\chi}_{j}(t)=a_{j}\left(\chi_{j}(t)\right) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\chi_{j}(0)=x_{j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution implicitly given by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\int_{\chi_{j}(0)}^{\chi_{j}(t)} \frac{1}{a_{j}(y)} d y \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the corresponding flow by $\chi:\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \mapsto\left(\chi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, \chi_{d}\left(t_{d}\right)\right)$, and the associated transport group by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) f\right](x):=f\left(\chi\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right)\right) \quad \forall x,\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $p \in[1, \infty)$. $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}$ is a bounded $C_{0}$-group on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and a bounded group on $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. It has a finite speed of propagation $\kappa>0$ in the following sense: for all compactly supported $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and all $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have that

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(T\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) f\right) \subset\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} ; \operatorname{dist}(y, \operatorname{supp}(f)) \leq \kappa\left|\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right)\right|\right\}
$$

Moreover, for all $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
T\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) f=\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} t_{j} \widetilde{a_{j}} \partial_{j}\right) f \quad \forall\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

where $\widetilde{a_{j}} \partial_{j}$ is given with domain $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
Proof. Let $j=1, \ldots, d$. The implicit equation (3.1) gives that

$$
\partial_{x_{j}} \chi(x, t)=\frac{a_{j}\left(\chi(x, t) \cdot e_{j}\right)}{a_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)} \cdot e_{j} \quad \forall x, t \in \mathbb{R}^{d} .
$$

Therefore $x \mapsto \partial_{x_{j}} \chi(x, t) \cdot e_{k}=0$ for $j \neq k$, and $x \mapsto \partial_{x_{j}} \chi(x, t) \cdot e_{j}$ is bounded above and below, uniformly in $t$, and $\chi$ is a thus a bi-Lipschitz flow. This implies that $(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a bounded group on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for all $p \in[1, \infty]$, with finite speed of propagation. Strong continuity $\|T(t) f-f\|_{p} \underset{t \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} 0$ for $p<\infty$ follows by dominated convergence for $f$ continuous,
and then density for general $f$. To identify the generator, let $f \in W^{1, p}$, and note that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial_{t_{j}}} T(t) f(x)\right|_{t_{j}=0} & =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial_{t_{j}}} f(\chi(x, t))\right|_{t_{j}=0}=\left.\nabla f(x) \cdot \partial_{t_{j}} \chi(x, t)\right|_{t_{j}=0} \\
& =a_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \partial_{x_{j}} f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

The result then follows from the fact that the operators $\left\{\widetilde{a}_{j} \partial_{j} ; j=1, \ldots, d\right\}$ commute.
For $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ Borel sets and $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, we set $\omega \cdot d(E, F):=\inf _{x \in E, y \in F}|\langle\omega, x-y\rangle|$.
Remark 3.2. The specific form of the flow $\chi:\left(x, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \mapsto\left(\chi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, \chi_{d}\left(t_{d}\right)\right)$ with $\partial_{t_{j}} \chi(x, t) . e_{k}=0$ for $j \neq k$ implies the stronger form of finite speed of propagation: There exists $\kappa>0$ such that for all $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, all Borel sets $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and all $\omega \in S^{d-1}$ we have

$$
1_{E} \exp \left(i \xi D_{a}\right)\left(1_{F} f\right)=0,
$$

whenever $\kappa|\langle\omega, \xi\rangle|<\omega \cdot d(E, F)$. See also [18, Remark 3.6], where such a stronger statement is proven in more generality.

We set $D_{j}=-i \partial_{j}, D=\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{d}\right)$, and denote by $i D_{a}=i\left(\widetilde{a_{1}} D_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{a_{d}} D_{d}\right)$ the $d$-tuple of commuting unbounded operators with domain $W^{1, p}$ that generates the $d$-parameter $C_{0}$ $\operatorname{group}(T(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}$ on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $p \in[1, \infty)$. For $p=2$, the following lemma shows that this transport group is similar to the standard translation group.
Lemma 3.3. There exists $S \in B\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\exp \left(i \xi D_{a}\right)=S^{-1} \exp (i \xi D) S \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

Proof. Define $b \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by $b\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right):=\prod_{j=1}^{d} a_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)^{-1}$. Let $H$ be the Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ endowed with the inner product defined by

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{a}:=\langle b u, v\rangle \quad \forall u, v \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right),
$$

and $T$ be the identity map from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to $H$. Let $j \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$. Note that $P_{j}:=$ $T e_{j} \cdot D_{a} T^{-1}$ is self-adjoint in $H$, since $\partial_{k} \tilde{a_{j}}=0$ for all $j \neq k$. Define $Q_{j}: u \mapsto \tilde{b_{j}} u$ for $b_{j} \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $b_{j}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{a_{j}(x)} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\tilde{b}_{j}: x \mapsto b_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)$. Then $Q_{j}$ is also self-adjoint in $H$, and $\left(\exp \left(i s Q_{j}\right)\right)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a bounded multiplication group Moreover, since $b_{j}\left(\chi_{j}(t)\right)=b_{j}\left(\chi_{j}(0)\right)+t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by (3.1), we have the commutation relation

$$
\exp \left(i s Q_{k}\right) \exp \left(i t P_{j}\right)=\exp \left(-i s t \delta_{j k}\right) \exp \left(i t P_{j}\right) \exp \left(i s Q_{k}\right)
$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, by the Stone-von Neumann theorem, there exists a unitary map $U \in B\left(H, L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ such that, for all $j=1, \ldots, d$ :

$$
\exp \left(i \xi P_{j}\right)=U^{-1} \exp \left(i \xi \partial_{j}\right) U \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The result follows by taking $S=U T$.
Remark 3.4. Lemma 3.3 shows that the transport group $\left\{\exp \left(i \xi D_{a}\right) ; \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}$ is, algebraically, a representation of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. This is a fundamental consequence of the specific structure of the coefficients of $D_{a}$. Such a representation is rough in the sense that it is generated by non-smooth differential operators. In future work, we plan to extend the methods developed in this paper in two directions: replacing $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ by other Lie groups (for
which an appropriate Fourier multiplier theory exists), and allowing the transport group to be a sufficiently smooth perturbation of a rough representation.

## 4. Hardy spaces associated with the transport group

Lemma 4.1. There exists $C>0$ such that, for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, all $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ Borel sets and all $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, we have that

$$
\left\|1_{E} \Psi\left(D_{a}\right)\left(1_{F} f\right)\right\|_{2} \leq C\left\|1_{F} f\right\|_{2} \int_{\left\{|\xi| \geq \frac{d(E, F)}{\kappa}\right\} \cap\left\{|\langle\omega, \xi\rangle| \geq \frac{\omega, d(E, F)}{\kappa}\right\}}|\widehat{\Psi}(\xi)| d \xi \quad \forall f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) .
$$

Consequently, for every $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and every $M \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{M}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|1_{E} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)\left(1_{F} f\right)\right\|_{2} \leq C_{M}\left(1+\frac{d(E, F)}{\kappa \sigma}\right)^{-M}\left\|1_{F} f\right\|_{2} \quad \forall f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

for all Borel sets $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and all $\sigma>0$.
Proof. Let $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Since the group $\left(\exp \left(i t D_{a}\right)\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}$ has finite speed of propagation $\kappa$ according to Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2, we have that

$$
1_{E} \exp \left(i \xi D_{a}\right)\left(1_{F} f\right)=0,
$$

whenever $\kappa|\xi|<d(E, F)$ or $\kappa|\langle\omega, \xi\rangle|<\omega \cdot d(E, F)$. Therefore, using Phillips functional calculus, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|1_{E} \Psi\left(D_{a}\right)\left(1_{F} f\right)\right\|_{2} & \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}|\widehat{\Psi}(\xi)|\left\|1_{E} \exp \left(i \xi D_{a}\right)\left(1_{F} f\right)\right\|_{2} d \xi \\
& \leq C\left\|1_{F} f\right\|_{2} \int_{\left\{|\xi| \geq \frac{d(E, F)}{\kappa}\right\} \cap\left\{|\langle\omega, \xi\rangle| \geq \frac{\omega \cdot d(E, F)}{\kappa}\right\}}|\widehat{\Psi}(\xi)| d \xi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C:=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \sup \left\{\left\|\exp \left(i t D_{a}\right)\right\|_{B\left(L^{2}\right)} ; t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right\}$. The last statement then follows from a change of variables and $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

We recall the following fact, which is a corollary of the results in [6], using that the coefficients $a_{j}$ are Lipschitz continuous.

Theorem 4.2. (Auscher, McIntosh, Tchamitchian) Let $p \in(1, \infty)$. On $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, the operator $-L=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \widetilde{a_{j}} \partial_{j} \widetilde{a}_{j} \partial_{j}$, with domain $W^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, generates an analytic semigroup, and has a bounded $H^{\infty}$ calculus of angle 0 . Moreover, $\{\exp (-t L) ; t>0\}$ satisfies Gaussian estimates.

Corollary 4.3. Let $p \in(1, \infty), \theta>0, g \in H^{\infty}\left(S_{\theta+}^{o}\right)$, and let $\Psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be supported away from 0. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ independent of $g$ such that, for all $F \in T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) g(L) F(\sigma, .)(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S_{\theta+}^{\circ}\right)}\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto F(\sigma, .)(x)\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

Proof. For $M \in \mathbb{N}$, set $q_{M}(z):=z^{M}(1+z)^{-2 M}, z \in S_{\theta+}^{o}$. Note that then $q_{M} \in \Psi_{M}^{M}\left(S_{\theta+}^{o}\right)$. The statement for $\Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)$ replaced by $q_{M}(\sqrt{\sigma} L)$ for $M$ large enough then follows from a combination of [16, Theorem 5.2] and [16, Lemma 7.3], using Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 to check the assumptions.

On the other hand, we have by assumption $\zeta \mapsto \Psi(\zeta) q_{M}^{-1}\left(|\zeta|^{2}\right) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, so that an application of [16, Theorem 5.2] together with Lemma 4.1 yields the assertion.
Lemma 4.4. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and non-degenerate $\Psi, \widetilde{\Psi} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be supported away from 0 . Let $p \in[1, \infty)$. Then

$$
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \sigma^{\alpha} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \sim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \sigma^{\alpha} \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},
$$

for all $f$ such that the above quantities are finite. Moreover, for $L=-\sum_{j=1}^{d} \widetilde{a}_{j} \partial_{j} \widetilde{a_{j}} \partial_{j}$, we have that

$$
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \sim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \sigma^{2} L \exp \left(-\sigma^{2} L\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \sigma^{\alpha} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \sim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma^{\alpha} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)(\widetilde{\Psi})^{2}\left(\tau D_{a}\right) f(x) \frac{d \tau}{\tau}\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

by 16. Corollary 5.1], it suffices to show that, for all $\sigma, \tau>0,\left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right)^{\alpha} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\tau D_{a}\right)=$ $\min \left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}, \frac{\tau}{\sigma}\right)^{N} S_{\sigma, \tau}$ for some $N>\frac{d}{2}$ and a family of operators $S_{\sigma, \tau} \in B\left(L^{2}\right)$ such that for every $M \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{M}>0$ such that

$$
\left\|1_{E} S_{\sigma, \tau}\left(1_{F} f\right)\right\|_{2} \leq C_{M}\left(1+\frac{d(E, F)}{\kappa \max (\sigma, \tau)}\right)^{-M}\left\|1_{F} f\right\|_{2} \quad \forall f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

for all Borel sets $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and all $\sigma>0$. This follows from Lemma 4.1 using that, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right)^{\alpha} \Psi(\sigma \xi) \widetilde{\Psi}(\tau \xi)=\left(\frac{\sigma}{\tau}\right)^{N^{\prime}-\alpha} \bar{\Psi}(\sigma \xi) \underline{\Psi}(\tau \xi)=\left(\frac{\tau}{\sigma}\right)^{N^{\prime}+\alpha} \underline{\Psi}(\sigma \xi) \overline{\widetilde{\Psi}}(\tau \xi),
$$

for $\bar{\Psi}: \xi \mapsto \frac{\Psi(\xi)}{\xi^{\beta}}$ and $\underline{\Psi}: \xi \mapsto \xi^{\beta} \Psi(\xi)$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d},|\beta|_{1}=N^{\prime}$, for $N^{\prime}>|\alpha|+N$. For the second statement, we first show the comparison of $\Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)$ with $\left(\sigma^{2} L\right)^{M} \exp \left(-\sigma^{2} L\right)$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}, M>\frac{d}{4}$ in the exact same way as above. For the comparison of $\left(\sigma^{2} L\right)^{M} \exp \left(-\sigma^{2} L\right)$ with $\sigma^{2} L \exp \left(-\sigma^{2} L\right)$, we use [11, Proposition 10.1] instead of [16, Corollary 5.1], together with the Gaussian estimates for $\exp (-t L)$ as stated in Theorem 4.2 .
Theorem 4.5. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let $p \in(1, \infty)$. For all non-degenerate $\Psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ supported away from 0 , and all $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1)}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \sim\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{s} f\right\|_{p} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in D\left((I+\sqrt{L})^{s}\right)$. Moreover, for $s \in[0,2]$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1)}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \sim\|f\|_{W^{s, p}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in W^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Proof. We use the Hardy space $H_{L}^{p}$ associated with $L$, as defined in [9]. For all $f \in L^{p} \cap L^{2}$, we have, by Lemma 4.4 .

$$
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \sim\|f\|_{H_{L}^{p}} .
$$

It is a folklore fact that $H_{L}^{p}=L^{p}$ for $p \in(1, \infty)$, thanks to the heat kernel bounds of $\left(e^{t L}\right)_{t \geq 0}$. This result appeared in draft form in an unpublished manuscript of Auscher, Duong, McIntosh, and inspired the proofs of many similar results. For our particular $L$, an appropriate version of the result does not seem to have appeared in the literature. It can however be proven as follows. By [6, Theorem 4.19], the operators $t L \exp (-t L)$ have standard kernels satisfying the assumptions of [12, Theorem 4.4]. Therefore, for all $f \in L^{p} \cap L^{2}, f \in H_{L}^{p}$ and

$$
\|f\|_{H_{L}^{p}} \lesssim\|f\|_{p} .
$$

The reverse inequality is proven in [9, Proposition 4.2] for $p \leq 2$. Given that the above reasoning also applies to $L^{*}$, we obtain the full result by duality. Combined with Lemma 4.4, this gives the result for $s=0$. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, using Lemma 4.4 with an appropriate $\Psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we then have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1)}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} & \lesssim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1)}(\sigma) \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) L^{\frac{s}{2}} f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|L^{\frac{s}{2}} f\right\|_{p} \lesssim\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{s} f\right\|_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have that

$$
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{p} \lesssim\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{s} f\right\|_{p}
$$

For $-s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(\sigma, x) & \mapsto 1_{[0,1)}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x) \|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{|s|}\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1)}(\sigma) \sigma^{|s|} L^{\frac{k}{2}} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)(I+\sqrt{L})^{-|s|} f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{|s|}\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1)}(\sigma) \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)(I+\sqrt{L})^{-|s|} f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{s} f\right\|_{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(\sigma, x) & \mapsto 1_{[1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x) \|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{|s|}\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[1, \infty)}(\sigma) \sigma^{k} L^{\frac{k}{2}} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)(I+\sqrt{L})^{-|s|} f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{|s|}\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1)}(\sigma) \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)(I+\sqrt{L})^{-|s|} f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{s} f\right\|_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Reverse inequalities are proven similarly, using that, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{s} f\right\|_{p} \sim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto(I+\sqrt{L})^{s} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

This gives (4.1) for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the result for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ then follows by complex interpolation of weighted tent spaces as in [1, Theorem 2.1].
To obtain (4.2) one first remarks that, for $s \in\{0,1,2\}$, the above reasoning also gives

$$
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1)}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \sim \sum_{m=0}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left\|\left(\widetilde{a}_{j} \partial_{j}\right)^{m} f\right\|_{p}
$$

for all $f \in \bigcap_{m=0}^{s} \bigcap_{j=1}^{d} D\left(\left(\widetilde{a_{j}} \partial_{j}\right)^{m}\right)$. We then notice that, for all $j=1, \ldots, d$, we have that $\left\|\partial_{j} f\right\|_{p} \sim\left\|\widetilde{a_{j}} \partial_{j} f\right\|_{p}$ for all $f \in W^{1, p}$. Moreover,

$$
\left(\widetilde{a_{j}} \partial_{j}\right)^{2} f={\widetilde{a_{j}}}^{2} \partial_{j}^{2} f+\widetilde{a_{j}}\left(\partial_{j} \widetilde{a_{j}}\right) \partial_{j} f \quad \forall f \in W^{2, p}
$$

and thus

$$
\|f\|_{W^{2, p}} \sim\|f\|_{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left\|\widetilde{a_{j}} \partial_{j} f\right\|_{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left\|\left(\widetilde{a_{j}} \partial_{j}\right)^{2} f\right\|_{p} \quad \forall f \in W^{2, p} .
$$

Corollary 4.6. Let $\alpha \geq 0, p \in(1, \infty)$, and $q \in[p, \infty)$ be such that

$$
\alpha=\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right) .
$$

Then there exists $C>0$ such that, for all $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $L^{\alpha} f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we have that

$$
\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C\left\|L^{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

Proof. For $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $L^{\alpha} f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, Theorem 4.5 gives that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto L^{-\alpha} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) L^{\alpha} f(x)\right\|_{T^{q, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \quad \lesssim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \sigma^{2 \alpha} \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) L^{\alpha} f(x)\right\|_{T^{q, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\widetilde{\Psi}: \xi \mapsto|\xi|^{-\alpha} \Psi(\xi)$. Using the embedding properties of weighted tent spaces proven in [1. Theorem 2.19], we have that

$$
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \sigma^{2 \alpha} \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) L^{\alpha} f\right\|_{T^{q, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) L^{\alpha} f\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

and thus

$$
\|f\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|L^{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

by Theorem 4.5 .

## 5. Wave packet transform

We use a wave packet transform which is similar to the ones used in 15 .22.
Let $\Psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be a non-negative radial function with $\Psi(\zeta)=0$ for $|\zeta| \notin\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \Psi(\sigma \zeta)^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma}=1 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\zeta \neq 0$. Let $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be a radial, non-negative function with $\varphi(\zeta)=1$ for $|\zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\varphi(\zeta)=0$ for $|\zeta|>1$. These functions $\Psi, \varphi$ are now fixed for the remainder of the paper.
For $\omega \in S^{d-1}, \sigma>0$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$, set $\varphi_{\omega, \sigma}(\zeta):=c_{\sigma} \varphi\left(\frac{\hat{\zeta}-\omega}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)$, where $c_{\sigma}:=$ $\left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{e_{1}-\nu}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)^{2} d \nu\right)^{-1 / 2}$. Set $\varphi_{\omega, \sigma}(0):=0$. Set furthermore $\Psi_{\sigma}(\zeta):=\Psi(\sigma \zeta)$ and $\psi_{\omega, \sigma}(\zeta):=\Psi_{\sigma}(\zeta) \varphi_{\omega, \sigma}(\zeta)$ for $\omega \in S^{d-1}, \sigma>0$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. By construction, we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{S^{d-1}} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}(\zeta)^{2} d \omega \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma}=1 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$, see 15 , Lemma 4.1]. For $\omega \in S^{d-1}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we moreover set

$$
\varphi_{\omega}(\zeta):=\int_{0}^{4} \psi_{\omega, \tau}(\zeta) \frac{d \tau}{\tau}
$$

For the convenience of the reader, we recall the following properties of $\psi_{\omega, \sigma}$ stated in [22. Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 5.1. Let $\omega \in S^{d-1}$ and $\sigma \in(0,1)$. Each $\zeta \in \operatorname{supp}\left(\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \sigma} \leq|\zeta| \leq \frac{2}{\sigma}, \quad|\hat{\zeta}-\omega| \leq 2 \sqrt{\sigma} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ there exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, \beta)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\omega, \nabla_{\zeta}\right\rangle^{\beta} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}(\zeta)\right| \leq C \sigma^{-\frac{d-1}{4}+\frac{|\alpha|_{1}}{2}+\beta} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(\zeta, \omega, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times S^{d-1} \times(0, \infty)$. For every $N \geq 0$ there exists a constant $C_{N}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\right)(x)\right| \leq C_{N} \sigma^{-\frac{3 d+1}{4}}\left(1+\sigma^{-1}|x|^{2}+\sigma^{-2}\langle\omega, x\rangle^{2}\right)^{-N} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, \omega, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times S^{d-1} \times(0, \infty)$.
In particular, $\left\{\left.\sigma^{\frac{d-1}{4}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\right) \right\rvert\, \omega \in S^{d-1}, \sigma>0\right\} \subseteq L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is uniformly bounded.
We also recall important properties of the family $\left(\varphi_{\omega}\right)_{\omega \in S^{d-1}}$ from [22, Remark 3.3].
Lemma 5.2. Let $\omega \in S^{d-1}$. By construction, $\varphi_{\omega} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and for $\zeta \neq 0, \varphi_{\omega}(\zeta)=0$ for $|\zeta|<\frac{1}{8}$ or $|\hat{\zeta}-\omega|>2|\zeta|^{-1 / 2}$. Moreover, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, there exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, \beta)>0$ such that

$$
\left|\left\langle\omega, \nabla_{\zeta}\right\rangle^{\beta} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} \varphi_{\omega}(\zeta)\right| \leq C|\zeta|^{\frac{d-1}{4}-\frac{\mid \alpha 1_{1}}{2}-\beta}
$$

for all $\omega \in S^{d-1}$ and $\zeta \neq 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\hat{\zeta}, \nabla_{\zeta}\right\rangle^{\beta} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha}\left(\int_{S^{d-1}} \varphi_{\nu}(\zeta)^{2} d \nu\right)\right| \leq C|\zeta|^{-\frac{|\alpha|_{1}}{2}-\beta} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$.

Remark 5.3. For $\omega=e_{1}$ and $\zeta, \sigma$ chosen as in (5.3) with $\sigma \in\left(0,2^{-8}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4 \sigma}<\zeta_{1} \leq \frac{2}{\sigma}, \quad\left|\zeta_{j}\right| \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}}, \quad j \in\{2, \ldots, d\} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from

$$
\left|\hat{\zeta}-e_{1}\right|^{2}=\left|e_{1} \cdot\left(\hat{\zeta}-e_{1}\right)\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{d}\left|e_{j} \cdot\left(\hat{\zeta}-e_{1}\right)\right|^{2}=\left|\frac{\zeta_{1}}{|\zeta|}-1\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{d}\left|\frac{\zeta_{j}}{|\zeta|}\right|^{2},
$$

thus

$$
\left|\zeta_{1}-|\zeta|\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{d}\left|\zeta_{j}\right|^{2} \leq 4 \sigma|\zeta|^{2} \leq \frac{16}{\sigma}
$$

which directly yields (5.7) for $j \geq 2$. The case $j=1$ then follows from

$$
\zeta_{1}>|\zeta|-\frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} \geq \frac{1}{2 \sigma}-\frac{4}{\sqrt{\sigma}} .
$$

Lemma 5.4. For all $\sigma \in(0,1)$, we have that

$$
\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|\varphi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{2}^{2} d \omega \lesssim\|f\|_{2}^{2} \quad \forall f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{2}^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega \lesssim\|f\|_{2}^{2} \quad \forall f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 and Plancherel's theorem, there exists $S \in B\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|\varphi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{2}^{2} d \omega \lesssim \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\varphi_{\omega, \sigma}(\xi) \widehat{S(f)}(\xi)\right|_{2}^{2} d \xi d \omega \lesssim \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\varphi_{\omega, \sigma}(\xi) \widehat{S(f)}(\xi)\right|_{2}^{2} d \xi d \omega,
$$

for all $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $\sigma \in(0,1)$. Since $\int_{S^{d-1}}\left|\varphi_{\omega, \sigma}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \omega=1$ for all $\xi \neq 0$, we have that

$$
\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|\varphi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{2}^{2} d \omega \lesssim\|S(f)\|_{2}^{2} \lesssim\|f\|_{2}^{2}
$$

The same proof, combined with (5.2), gives the second inequality.
Definition 5.5. We define a wave packet transform adapted to $D_{a}$, $W_{a} \in B\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times S^{d-1} \times(0, \infty) ; d x d \omega \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma}\right)\right)$ by $W_{a} f(\omega, \sigma, x):=1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma)\left|S^{d-1}\right|^{-1 / 2} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x) \quad \forall f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. We define $\pi_{a} \in B\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times S^{d-1} \times(0, \infty) ; d x d \omega \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma}\right), L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{a} F(x):=\left|S^{d-1}\right|^{-1 / 2} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) F(\omega, \sigma, .)(x) \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega \\
&+\int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) F(\omega, \sigma, .)(x) \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $F \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times S^{d-1} \times(0, \infty) ; d x d \omega \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma}\right)$.
Note that $\pi_{a}$ is the adjoint of the operator $\bar{W}_{a}$, where $\bar{W}_{a}$ is defined as $W_{a}$ with $D_{a}$ replaced by $D_{a}^{*}$.

The following reproducing formulas follow from their analogues in 15 |22] using Lemma 3.3 .

Lemma 5.6. For all $\sigma \in(0,1)$, and all $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{a} W_{a} f=f  \tag{5.8}\\
\sigma^{-\frac{d-1}{4}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \varphi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) f d \omega=C_{\sigma} f \tag{5.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

with constant $C_{\sigma}$ such that $\sigma \mapsto C_{\sigma}$ is bounded above and below.
Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.3, and the identities (5.2) and [15, Formula (7.4)].
Definition 5.7. Given $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, we fix vectors $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{d-1}$ such that $\left\{\omega, \omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{d-1}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. We then define the parabolic (quasi) distance in the direction of $\omega$ by

$$
d_{\omega}(x, y):=\langle\omega, x-y\rangle+\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\left\langle\omega_{j}, x-y\right\rangle^{2} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} .
$$

We also define (anistropic) operators associated with this parabolic distance by

$$
\Delta_{\omega^{\perp}}:=\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\left\langle\omega_{j}, \nabla\right\rangle^{2}, \quad L_{\omega^{\perp}}:=-\sum_{j=1}^{d-1}\left\langle\omega_{j}, D_{a}\right\rangle^{2} .
$$

Lemma 5.8. (i) Let $N \in \mathbb{N}, N>\frac{d+1}{2}$. There exists $C>0$ such that for all $\sigma \in(0,1)$ and $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, we have

$$
\left\|\left(1+\sigma L_{\omega^{\perp}}+\sigma^{2}\left\langle\omega, D_{a}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{-N} f\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C \sigma^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

for all $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
(ii) For every $M \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{M}>0$ such that for all $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ Borel sets, $\sigma \in(0,1)$ and $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, we have

$$
\left\|1_{E} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right)\left(1_{F} f\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C_{M} \sigma^{-\frac{3 d+1}{4}}\left(1+\frac{d_{\omega}(E, F)}{\sigma}\right)^{-M}\left\|1_{F} f\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

for all $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
(iii) Let $p \in[1, \infty]$. There exists $C>0$ such that for all $\sigma \in(0,1)$ and $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, we have

$$
\left\|\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq C \sigma^{-\frac{d-1}{4}}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

for all $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Proof. Part (i) follows from [6, Proposition 4.3], tracking the scaling factor $\sigma$ in its proof. (ii) Let $\omega \in S^{d-1}$. For given Borel sets $E, F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $d(E, F)>0$, let $\chi_{\omega} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be a function with values in $[0,1], \chi_{\omega}(\zeta)=0$ for $|\zeta| \leq \frac{1}{2} \kappa^{-1} d_{\omega}(E, F)$ and $\chi_{\omega}(\zeta)=1$ for $|\zeta| \geq \kappa^{-1} d_{\omega}(E, F)$, and $\left\|\langle\omega, \nabla\rangle \chi_{\omega}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\Delta_{\omega \perp} \chi_{\omega}\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{d_{\omega}(E, F)}$. Lemma 4.1 implies

$$
c_{d} 1_{E} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) 1_{F} f=1_{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \chi(\zeta) \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\right)(\zeta) e^{i \zeta D_{a}} 1_{F} f d \zeta
$$

Now note that $\left(1-\sigma \Delta_{\omega^{\perp}}-\sigma^{2}\left\langle\omega, \nabla_{\zeta}\right\rangle^{2}\right) e^{i \zeta D_{a}}=\left(1+\sigma L_{\omega^{\perp}}+\sigma^{2}\left\langle\omega, D_{a}\right\rangle^{2}\right) e^{i \zeta D_{a}}$, thus for $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
e^{i \zeta D_{a}}=\left(1+\sigma L_{\omega^{\perp}}+\sigma^{2}\left\langle\omega, D_{a}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{-N}\left(1-\sigma \Delta_{\omega^{\perp}}-\sigma^{2}\left\langle\omega, \nabla_{\zeta}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{N} e^{i \zeta D_{a}} .
$$

From integration by parts we then get for $j \in\{0,1\}$
$c_{d} 1_{E} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) 1_{F} f=\left(1+\sigma L_{\omega^{\perp}}+\sigma^{2}\left\langle\omega, D_{a}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{-N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\circ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left(\left(1-\sigma \Delta_{\omega^{\perp}}-\sigma^{2}\left\langle\omega, \nabla_{\zeta}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{N}\right)^{*}\left(\chi^{j} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\right)\right)(\zeta) e^{i \zeta D_{a}}\left(1_{F} f\right) d \zeta . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider first the case $d_{\omega}(E, F) \leq \sigma$, for which we take $j=0$. According to Lemma 5.1, we have $\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim \sigma^{-\frac{d-1}{4}}$. Similarly, one can check that

$$
\left\|\zeta \mapsto\left(\sigma\left\langle\omega, \nabla_{\zeta}\right\rangle\right)^{\beta}\left(\sigma \Delta_{\omega^{\perp}}\right)^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\right)(\zeta)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim \sigma^{-\frac{d-1}{4}}
$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. We use this estimate together with Theorem 3.1 and Part (i) to obtain for $N>\frac{d+1}{2}$
$\left\|\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim \sigma^{-\frac{d-1}{4}}\left\|\left(1+\sigma L_{\omega \perp}+\sigma^{2}\left\langle\omega, D_{a}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{-N}\right\|_{1 \rightarrow \infty}\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim \sigma^{-\frac{3 d+1}{4}}\|f\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$.
In the case $d_{\omega}(E, F)>\sigma$, we choose $j=1$ in (5.10). Then note that according to the choice of $\chi_{\omega}$, we have for $\sigma \in(0,1)$ that $\left\|\zeta \mapsto\left(\sigma\left\langle\omega, \nabla_{\zeta}\right\rangle\right)^{\beta}\left(\sigma \Delta_{\omega}\right)^{\alpha} \chi(\zeta)\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim\left(\frac{\sigma}{d_{\omega}(E, F)}\right)^{|\alpha|+\beta} \lesssim 1$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Using the product rule, a version of (5.5) for derivatives of $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\right)$, Part (i), and an anisotropic change of variable, we obtain
$\left\|1_{E} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right)\left(1_{F} f\right)\right\|_{\infty}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lesssim \sigma^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}\left\|1_{F} f\right\|_{1} \sup _{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{d}^{d}, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \\
|\alpha|+2 \beta \leq N}} \int_{\left.\left.\left\{|\xi| \geq \frac{d(E, F)}{\kappa}\right\} \cap\{| | \omega, \xi\rangle \right\rvert\, \geq \frac{\omega \cdot d(E, F)}{\kappa}\right\}}\left|\left(\sigma\left\langle\omega, \nabla_{\zeta}\right\rangle\right)^{\beta}\left(\sqrt{\sigma} \partial_{\zeta}\right)^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\right)(\zeta)\right| d \zeta \\
& \lesssim \sigma^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \sigma^{-\frac{3 d+1}{4}\left\|1_{F} f\right\|_{1} \int_{\left\{|\xi| \geq \frac{d(E, F)}{\kappa}\right\} \cap\left\{|\langle\omega, \xi\rangle| \geq \frac{\omega \cdot d(E, F)}{\kappa}\right\}}\left(1+\sigma^{-1}|\zeta|^{2}+\sigma^{-2}\langle\omega, \zeta\rangle^{2}\right)^{-\tilde{N}} d \zeta} \\
& \lesssim \sigma^{-\frac{3 d+1}{4}}\left(1+\frac{d_{\omega}(E, F)}{\sigma}\right)^{-(2 \tilde{N}-d)}\left\|1_{F} f\right\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\tilde{N}$ large enough in (5.5) yields the result.
(iii) According to Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$
\left\|\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{p} \lesssim\|f\|_{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\right)(\zeta)\right| d \zeta \lesssim \sigma^{-\frac{d-1}{4}}\|f\|_{p}
$$

## 6. The Hardy-Sobolev spaces $H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

In the following, we denote by $\Psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the function defining the wave packet transforms from Section 5. We denote by $H_{L}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the Hardy space associated with $L$ as defined in [9]. Recall that for all $f \in H_{L}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, we have by Lemma 4.4 .

$$
\|f\|_{H_{L}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \sim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

Definition 6.1. Define

$$
\mathcal{S}_{1}=\left\{f \in H_{L}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right): \exists g \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \exists \tau>0 \quad f=\Psi\left(\tau D_{a}\right) g\right\}
$$

and for $p \in(1, \infty)$

$$
\mathcal{S}_{p}=\left\{f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right): \exists g \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \exists \tau>0 \quad f=\Psi\left(\tau D_{a}\right) g\right\} .
$$

Lemma 6.2. Let $p \in[1, \infty)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$. Then, for all $\omega \in S^{d-1}, \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and, in the case $p=1, \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) f \in H_{L}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, each with norm independent of $\omega$.

Proof. We have that $\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) f=\psi_{\omega, \tau}\left(D_{a}\right) g$ for some $g \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, up to a change of constants in the support conditions of $\psi_{\omega, \tau}$. By Lemma 5.8, we have $\psi_{\omega, \tau}\left(D_{a}\right) \in B\left(L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$, and thus $\left\|\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{p} \lesssim_{\tau}\|g\|_{p}$. In the case $p=1$ we moreover have that $\psi_{\omega, \tau}\left(D_{a}\right) g \in R(L)$, since $\Psi$ is supported away from 0 , hence $\psi_{\omega, \tau}\left(D_{a}\right) g \in H_{L}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Corollary 6.3. Let $p \in[1, \infty), s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$. Then
$\omega \mapsto\left[(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right] \in L^{p}\left(S^{d-1} ; T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$.
Proof. This follows from Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 4.5
Lemma 6.4. Let $\widetilde{\Psi} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ be non-degenerate and supported away from 0 . Let $p \in$ $(1, \infty), s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$. Then, we have that
$\omega \mapsto\left[(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right] \in L^{p}\left(S^{d-1} ; T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$,
with an equivalent norm to the corresponding map in Corollary 6.3, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{-M} f\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& \quad \lesssim\left\|\omega \mapsto\left[(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right]\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S^{d-1} ; T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M \geq \frac{d-1}{4}-s$.
Proof. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $M \geq \frac{d-1}{4}-s$. Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 6.3 give the first part, and Corollary 4.3, Lemma 4.4 together with Theorem 4.5 give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{-M} f\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim & \left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)(I+\sqrt{L})^{-M} f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& +\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma)(\sigma \sqrt{L})^{M}(I+\sqrt{L})^{-M} \Psi^{2}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Corollary 4.3 again, we then have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{-M} f\right\|_{L^{p}} \lesssim & \left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& +\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) \sigma^{M} \Psi^{2}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We then use the reproducing formula (5.9) to obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(I+ & \sqrt{L})^{-M} f \|_{L^{p}} \\
& \lesssim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) \int_{S^{d-1}} \sigma^{M-\frac{d-1}{4}} \varphi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi^{2}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x) d \omega\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|\omega \mapsto\left[(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right]\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S^{d-1} ; T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right.},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $M \geq \frac{d-1}{4}-s$.
Definition 6.5. Let $p \in[1, \infty)$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We define the space $H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ as the completion of $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ for the norm defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{H_{F I, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \quad:=\left\|\omega \mapsto\left[(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right]\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S^{d-1} ; T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We write $H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right):=H_{F I O, a}^{p, 0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
Remark 6.6. By Lemma 6.4, we have that $H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is a subspace of the M-th extrapolation space associated with $L$, and is independent of the choice of $\Psi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ and supported away from 0 .

Remark 6.7. By Lemma 5.6, interpolation properties of $H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ follow from the interpolation properties of weighted tent spaces (see [1]) with the same proof as in [15, Proposition 6.7].

We also have the following version of [22, Theorem 4.1].
Proposition 6.8. Let $p \in(1, \infty)$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $q \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $q(\zeta) \equiv 1$ for $|\zeta| \leq \frac{1}{8}$. Then

$$
\|f\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \simeq\left\|q\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\left(\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right)(I+\sqrt{L})^{s} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} d \omega\right)^{1 / p} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$. By Lemma 4.4, we can choose $\Psi$ with an appropriate support, such that $\Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f=\Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) q\left(D_{a}\right) f$ for all $\sigma \geq 1, \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) q\left(D_{a}\right)=0$ for all $\sigma \leq \frac{1}{8}$, and $\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)=0$ for all $\sigma \geq 1$ and $\omega \in S^{d-1}$.
Then, by Theorem 4.5, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|f\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim \|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) q\left(D_{a}\right) f(x) \|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
&+\left\|\omega \mapsto\left[(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right]\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S^{d-1} ; T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)} \\
& \lesssim\left\|q\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\left(\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{s} \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} d \omega\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the other direction, Theorem 4.5 and the support properties of $q$ and $\Psi$ give us that

$$
\left\|q\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{F_{F T O, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{\left[\frac{1}{8}, 1\right]}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) q\left(D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

With the same proof as in Lemma 4.4. we then have that, for all $M \geq \frac{d-1}{4}-s$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{\left[\frac{1}{8}, 1\right]}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) q\left(D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \quad \lesssim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{\left[\frac{1}{8}, 1\right]}(\sigma) \int_{0}^{\infty} \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) q\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\tau D_{a}\right)(I+\sqrt{L})^{M}(I+\sqrt{L})^{-M} f(x) \frac{d \tau}{\tau}\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \quad \lesssim\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{-M} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, using Lemma 6.4 , we have that $\left\|q\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$. For the second term, we use Theorem 4.5 and the support properties of $\Psi$ again to get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right)(I+\sqrt{L})^{s} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} d \omega\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \lesssim\left\|\omega \mapsto\left[(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1)}(\sigma) \sigma^{-s} \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right]\right\|_{L^{p}\left(S^{d-1 ;} T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)} \\
& \quad \lesssim\|f\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7. Sobolev embedding properties of $H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

We use a variation of the arguments in [15, Section 7].
We let $m\left(D_{a}\right)=(I+\sqrt{L})^{-\frac{d-1}{4}}$.
Lemma 7.1. For every $0<\theta<\frac{\pi}{2}$ there exist $C_{\theta}, c_{\theta}>0$ such that for all atoms $A \in$ $T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) m(\sqrt{L})^{1+i s} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) A(\sigma, .)(x)\right\|_{T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} d \omega \leq C_{\theta} e^{|s| c_{\theta}} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $A$ be a $T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ atom associated with a ball $B=B\left(c_{B}, r\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $A(\sigma,)=$.0 for all $\sigma \geq 1$.
By renormalisation, we can replace $\psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right)$ in (7.1) by $\Psi_{\sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right)$. Noting that $\left\|m^{i s}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S_{\theta}^{\circ}\right)} \leq c e^{|s| c_{\theta}}$, for $c_{\theta}=\frac{\theta(d-1)}{4}$, we use Corollary 4.3 to obtain for every $\omega \in S^{d-1}$ and given $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) m\left(D_{a}\right)^{1+i s} \Psi_{\sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) A(\sigma, .)(x)\right\|_{T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \quad=\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) L^{\frac{d-1}{8}} m\left(D_{a}\right)^{1+i s} \Psi_{\sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) L^{-\frac{d-1}{8}} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) A(\sigma, .)(x)\right\|_{T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \quad \leq C_{\theta} e^{|s| c_{\theta}}\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) L^{-\frac{d-1}{8}} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) A(\sigma, .)(x)\right\|_{T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $C_{\theta}$ independent of $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
For $j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, and $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, define $C_{j, \omega}:=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} ; 2^{j-1} r<\left|\left\langle\omega, c_{B}-y\right\rangle\right|+\left|c_{B}-y\right|^{2} \leq 2^{j} r\right\}$ and $C_{0, \omega}:=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d} ;\left|\left\langle\omega, c_{B}-y\right\rangle\right|+\left|c_{B}-y\right|^{2} \leq r\right\}$. Remark that $\left|C_{j, \omega}\right| \sim\left(2^{j} r\right)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}$, and that $d_{\omega}\left(C_{j, \omega}, C_{0, \omega}\right)>2^{j-1} r$. Using Lemma 5.4 and Corollary 4.6 for $p=\frac{4 d}{3 d-1}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{C_{0, \omega}}(x) 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) L^{-\frac{d-1}{8}} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) A(\sigma, .)(x)\right\|_{T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} d \omega\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \lesssim r^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{0}^{\min (r, 1)}\left\|L^{-\frac{d-1}{8}} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) A(\sigma, .)(x)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega \\
& \quad \lesssim r^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{0}^{\min (r, 1)}\left\|L^{-\frac{d-1}{8}} A(\sigma, .)(x)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega \\
& \quad \lesssim r^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \int_{S^{d-1}}^{r} \int_{0}^{r}\|A(\sigma, .)(x)\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega \\
& \quad \lesssim r^{\frac{d+1}{2}} r^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{0}^{r}\|A(\sigma, .)(x)\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega \lesssim r^{d}\|A\|_{T^{2,2}}^{2} \lesssim 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $M>d+1$, and define $\widetilde{\Psi}: \xi \mapsto \frac{|\xi|^{-\frac{d-1}{4} \Psi(\xi)}}{\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}|\sigma \xi|^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}|\Psi(\sigma \xi)|^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{\omega, \sigma}: \xi \mapsto \varphi_{\omega, \sigma}(\xi) \widetilde{\Psi}(\sigma \xi)$. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we obtain from Lemma 5.8 for $\widetilde{\psi_{\omega, \sigma}}$ instead of $\psi_{\omega, \sigma}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{C_{j, \omega}}(x) 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) L^{-\frac{d-1}{8}} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) A(\sigma, .)(x)\right\|_{T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} d \omega\right)^{2} \\
& \lesssim\left(2^{j} r\right)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{0}^{\min (r, 1)} \sigma^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\left\|\widetilde{\psi_{\omega, \sigma}}\left(D_{a}\right) A(\sigma, .)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(C_{j, \omega}\right)}^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega \\
& \quad \lesssim\left(2^{j} r\right)^{d+1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{0}^{\min (r, 1)} \sigma^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\left\|\widetilde{\psi_{\omega, \sigma}}\left(D_{a}\right) A(\sigma, .)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(C_{j, \omega}\right)}^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega \\
& \quad \lesssim\left(2^{j} r\right)^{d+1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{0}^{\min (r, 1)} \sigma^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sigma^{-\frac{3 d+1}{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^{j} r}\right)^{M}\|A(\sigma, .)\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega \\
& \quad \lesssim r^{d}\left(2^{j} r\right)^{d+1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \int_{0}^{\min (r, 1)} \sigma^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sigma^{-\frac{3 d+1}{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma}{2^{j} r}\right)^{M}\|A(\sigma, .)\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} d \omega \\
& \quad \lesssim 2^{-j(M-d-1)} r^{d}\|A\|_{T^{2,2}}^{2} \lesssim 2^{-j(M-d-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing over $j$ yields the conclusion.
Lemma 7.2. For all $p \in[1,2]$, and $s_{p}=(d-1)\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$, we have the continuous inclusion $H_{F I O, a}^{p, \frac{s_{p}}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset H_{L}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, where $H_{L}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for $p>1$. For $p \in(1, \infty)$, and $b: \xi \mapsto$
$|\xi|^{\frac{d-1}{4}} m(\xi)$, we have that

$$
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto m\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(b\left(D_{a}\right)+m\left(D_{a}\right)\right) f\right\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$.
Proof. Let $f$ be an $H_{L}^{1}$ atom. We have, using the reproducing formula (5.9), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{H_{L}^{1}} & \sim\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \lesssim \int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) \sigma^{-\frac{d-1}{4}} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) f(x)+1_{[1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} d \omega \\
& \lesssim\|f\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{1, \frac{d-1}{4}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the comparability of $\psi_{\omega, \sigma}$ with $\varphi_{\omega} \Psi_{\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in(0,1)$. Since $H_{F I O, a}^{2}=L^{2}$, the continuous inclusion $H_{F I O, a}^{p, \frac{s_{p}}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset H_{L}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ follows by interpolation. In the same way,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) m\left(D_{a}\right) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \\
& \quad \lesssim \int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{[0,1]}(\sigma) b\left(D_{a}\right) \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \widetilde{\Psi}\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} d \omega,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\widetilde{\Psi}$ such that $\Psi(\xi)=|\xi|^{\frac{d-1}{4}} \widetilde{\Psi}(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Turning to the low frequency term, we note that, for $\sigma>1$, we have that $\Psi(\sigma \xi)=\Psi(\sigma \xi) q(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.5 and Proposition 6.8 we have that
$\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right) m\left(D_{a}\right) f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|m\left(D_{a}\right) q\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|m\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$.
To conclude the proof, we use Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, along with Theorem 3.1, to show that $b\left(D_{a}\right)$ and $m\left(D_{a}\right)$ are bounded operators on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, and thus also on $H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, thanks to Proposition 6.8.

Corollary 7.3. Let $p \in(1,2]$. Then

$$
\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{-\frac{s_{p}}{2}} f\right\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$.
Proof. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(z) \in[0,1]$, we consider the operators defined by

$$
T_{z} f(x, \omega, \sigma):=1_{[0,1]}(\sigma)(I+\sqrt{L})^{-\left(\frac{d-1}{4}\right) z} \psi_{\omega, \sigma}\left(D_{a}\right) f(x) \quad \forall f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

For $\operatorname{Re}(z)=0$, they are well defined as operators from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times S^{d-1} \times\right.$ $\left.(0, \infty) ; d x d \omega \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma}\right)$ by Lemma 5.4, with norm independent of $\operatorname{Im}(z)$. For $\operatorname{Re}(z)=1$, by Lemma 7.1, $T_{z}$ extends to a bounded operator from $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to $L^{1}\left(S^{d-1} ; T^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)$ with norm bounded by $C_{\theta} e^{I m(z) \mid c_{\theta}}$ for fixed $\theta>0$. Therefore, by Stein interpolation [28] with admissible growth, $T_{z} \in B\left(L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), L^{p}\left(S^{d-1} ; T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)\right.$ for $\operatorname{Re}(z)=\frac{2}{p}-1$. To conclude the proof, we thus only have to show the low frequency estimate

$$
\left\|(\sigma, x) \mapsto 1_{(1, \infty)}(\sigma) \Psi\left(\sigma D_{a}\right)(I+\sqrt{L})^{-\frac{s_{p}}{2}} f(x)\right\|_{T^{p, 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

This follows from Theorem 4.5 and the $L^{p}$ boundedness of $(I+\sqrt{L})^{-\frac{s_{p}}{2}}$.

## 8. The wave group

Theorem 8.1. Let $p \in(1, \infty)$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
e^{i t \sqrt{L}}: H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow H_{F I O, a}^{p, s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)
$$

is bounded for each $t>0$.
For simplicity, we set $t=1$ and $s=0$. All the proofs extend verbatim to other values of $t$. The case $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is an immediate consequence of the case $s=0$ by Proposition 6.8. For the transport group, the $L^{p}$ boundedness is clear.
Lemma 8.2. Let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\omega \in S^{d-1}$. Then $e^{i \omega \cdot D_{a}}: L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $e^{i \omega \cdot D_{a}}:$ $H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is bounded.
Proof. The $L^{p}$ boundedness is proven in Theorem 3.1. The boundedness on $H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is an immediate consequence of the $L^{p}$ boundedness, by Proposition 6.8.
For the low frequency estimate, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 8.3. Let $p \in(1, \infty)$, let $q \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then $q\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \sqrt{L}}: L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is bounded.

Proof. Because of the compact support of $q$, the symbol $\zeta \mapsto q(\zeta) e^{i|\zeta|}$ clearly satisfies the Marcinkiewicz-Lizorkin multiplier condition of Theorem 2.1. The result thus follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 using that $D_{a}$ generates a bounded $d$-parameter group, as shown in Theorem 3.1.
Proof of Theorem 8.1. For $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$, Proposition 6.8 yields

$$
\left\|e^{i \sqrt{L}} f\right\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|q\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \sqrt{L}} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}+\left(\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \sqrt{L}} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} d \omega\right)^{1 / p}
$$

For the low frequency part, recall that $q \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $q(\zeta) \equiv 1$ for $|\zeta| \leq \frac{1}{8}$. Choose $\tilde{q} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ with $\tilde{q}(\zeta) \equiv 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} q$. Then $q\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \sqrt{L}}=\tilde{q}\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \sqrt{L}} q\left(D_{a}\right)$, since $D_{a}$ and $\sqrt{L}$ are commuting, and $\tilde{q}\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \sqrt{L}}$ is $L^{p}$ bounded according to Lemma 8.3. Thus,

$$
\left\|q\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \sqrt{L}} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=\left\|\tilde{q}\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \sqrt{L}} q\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|q\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

Let us now consider the high frequency part. For fixed $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, we decompose

$$
\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \sqrt{L}}=\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \omega \cdot D_{a}}+\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right)\left(e^{i \sqrt{L}}-e^{i \omega \cdot D_{a}}\right) .
$$

The first part can be dealt with Lemma 8.2, which directly yields

$$
\left(\int_{S^{d-1}}\left\|\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \omega \cdot D_{a}} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{p} d \omega\right)^{1 / p} \lesssim\|f\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}
$$

For the second part, we use (5.8) to write

$$
\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right)\left(e^{i \sqrt{L}}-e^{i \omega \cdot D_{a}}\right)=\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) e^{i \omega \cdot D_{a}}\left(e^{-i \omega \cdot D_{a}} e^{i \sqrt{L}}-I\right) \pi_{a} W_{a} .
$$

Since $e^{i \omega \cdot D_{a}}$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ by Lemma 8.2, it suffices to show that

$$
\left\|\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right)\left(e^{-i \omega \cdot D_{a}} e^{i \sqrt{L}}-I\right) \pi_{a} W_{a} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

We can write

$$
\varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right)\left(e^{-i \omega \cdot D_{a}} e^{i \sqrt{L}}-I\right) \pi_{a} W_{a}=m_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right)+q_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right) \varphi_{\omega}\left(D_{a}\right)
$$

for the symbols

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\omega}(\zeta)=\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(\zeta) \tilde{m}_{\omega}(\zeta) \int_{0}^{1} \int_{S^{d-1}} \psi_{\nu, \sigma}(\zeta)^{2} d \nu \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
q_{\omega}(\zeta)=\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(\zeta) \tilde{m}_{\omega}(\zeta) r(\zeta)^{2}
$$

with $\tilde{m}_{\omega}(\zeta)=e^{-i \omega \cdot \zeta+i|\zeta|}-1, \tilde{\varphi}_{\omega} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ a function with $\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega} \equiv 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{\omega}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(\zeta)=0$ for $|\zeta|<\frac{1}{16}$ or $|\hat{\zeta}-\omega|>4|\zeta|^{-1 / 2}$, and

$$
r(\zeta):=\left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \Psi_{\sigma}(\zeta)^{2} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \zeta \neq 0
$$

and $r(0):=1$. As noted in [15, Section 4.1], we have $r \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
The proof will be concluded by applying Theorem 2.1, and Theorem 2.2, using Theorem [3.1. We only have to check that $m_{\omega}$ and $q_{\omega}$ satisfy the assumption of Theorem 2.1, For $q_{\omega}$, this directly follows from the fact that $r \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. For $m_{\omega}$, this is proven in Lemma 8.5 below.

Remark 8.4. Let $\omega \in S^{d-1}$. Let $\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ a function with $\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega} \equiv 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{\omega}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(\zeta)=0$ for $|\zeta|<\frac{1}{16}$ or $|\hat{\zeta}-\omega|>4|\zeta|^{-1 / 2}$. By the choice of the cut-off function $\tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}$ and the support properties of $\varphi_{\omega}$, we have the following: For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, there exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, \beta)>0$ such that

$$
\left|\left\langle\omega, \nabla_{\zeta}\right\rangle^{\beta} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} \tilde{\varphi}_{\omega}(\zeta)\right| \leq C|\zeta|^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}-\beta}
$$

for all $\omega \in S^{d-1}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$.
Lemma 8.5. Let $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, let $m_{\omega}$ be as defined in (8.1). For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}$ with $|\alpha|_{\infty} \leq 1$ there exists a constant $C=C(\alpha)>0$ such that

$$
\left|\zeta^{\alpha} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} m_{\omega}(\zeta)\right| \leq C
$$

for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$.
Proof. By rotational invariance it suffices to consider the case $\omega=e_{1}$. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$. The bound $\left|m_{e_{1}}(\zeta)\right| \leq C$ directly follows from (5.2) and the boundedness of $\tilde{m}_{e_{1}}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{e_{1}}$. Moreover, by the specific form of $\tilde{m}_{e_{1}}(\zeta)=e^{i b(\zeta)}-1$ with $b(\zeta)=-\zeta_{1}+|\zeta|$, it can easily be seen that the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta^{\alpha} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} b(\zeta)\right| \leq c \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\alpha|_{\infty} \leq 1$ immediately implies $\left|\zeta^{\alpha} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} \tilde{m}_{e_{1}}(\zeta)\right| \leq c$ for $|\alpha|_{\infty} \leq 1$. We check (8.2):

According to the support properties of $\tilde{\varphi}_{e_{1}}$ and $\psi_{\nu, \sigma}$, we have $\left|\nu-e_{1}\right| \lesssim \sqrt{\sigma}$. Thus a slight modification of (5.7) yields that there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that for $0<\sigma \ll 1$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}>\frac{c_{1}}{\sigma} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\zeta_{j}\right| \leq \frac{c_{2}}{\sqrt{\sigma}}, \quad j \in\{2, \ldots, d\} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the support of $m_{e_{1}}$. Thus, for such choice of $\zeta$,

$$
\left|\zeta_{1} \partial_{1} b(\zeta)\right| \lesssim\left|\zeta_{1}\right|\left(\sqrt{1+\frac{c}{\zeta_{1}}}-1\right)
$$

This expression remains bounded for $\zeta_{1} \rightarrow \infty$ or equivalently $|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty$, since replacing $h=\frac{1}{\zeta_{1}}$, we see that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{1+c h}-1}{h}=\frac{c}{2}
$$

Again using (8.3) and $|\zeta| \geq\left|\zeta_{1}\right|>\frac{c_{1}}{\sigma}$, we obtain for $j \in\{2, \ldots, d\}$ that

$$
\left|\zeta_{j} \partial_{j} b(\zeta)\right|=\left|\zeta_{j} \partial_{j}\left(-\zeta_{1}+|\zeta|\right)\right| \leq\left|\zeta_{j} \frac{\zeta_{j}}{|\zeta|}\right| \leq c
$$

Concerning the mixed derivatives, one can inductively show that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}$ with $|\alpha|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $\alpha_{1}=0,\left|\zeta^{\alpha} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} b(\zeta)\right|=\left|\frac{\zeta^{2 \alpha}}{|\zeta|^{2 \alpha \mid-1}}\right| \leq c$, for $\zeta$ as in (8.3). Finally, for $j \neq 1$,

$$
\left|\zeta_{1} \zeta_{j} \partial_{1} \partial_{j} b(\zeta)\right|=\left|\zeta_{1} \zeta_{j} \partial_{1} \partial_{j}\left(-\zeta_{1}+|\zeta|\right)\right|=\left|\zeta_{1} \zeta_{j}\right|\left|\frac{\zeta_{1} \zeta_{j}}{|\zeta|^{3}}\right| \leq c
$$

Putting all arguments together shows (8.2). The bound $\left|\zeta^{\alpha} \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} \tilde{\varphi}_{e_{1}}(\zeta)\right| \leq c$ follows from Remark 8.4 together with 8.3), whereas the analogous bound for the last factor in 8.1) concerning $\psi_{\nu, \sigma}$ is a consequence of (5.6) together with (8.3).

Combining Corollary 7.3 with Theorem 8.1 and Theorem 4.5 then gives our main result.
Theorem 8.6. Let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $s_{p}=(d-1)\left|\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right|$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator $(I+\sqrt{L})^{-s_{p}} \exp (i t \sqrt{L})$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Moreover, if $s_{p} \leq 2$, the operator $\exp (i t \sqrt{L})$ is bounded from $W^{s_{p}, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ to $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

Proof. By duality, it suffices to consider the case $p \in(1,2)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}$. By Lemma 7.2 and Theorem 8.1, we have that

$$
\|\exp (i t \sqrt{L}) f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\|\exp (i t \sqrt{L}) f\|_{H_{F I O}^{p}, a} \frac{s_{p},\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}{} \lesssim\|f\|_{H_{F I O}^{p}, a\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

Using Proposition 6.8, and Corollary 7.3, we then have that

$$
\|\exp (i t \sqrt{L}) f\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{\frac{s p}{2}} f\right\|_{H_{F I O, a}^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \lesssim\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{s_{p}} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} .
$$

For $s_{p} \leq 2$, Theorem 4.5 then gives $\|f\|_{W^{s_{p}, p}} \sim\left\|(I+\sqrt{L})^{s_{p}} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}$.
To obtain analogues of Theorem 8.1 for more general operators with Lipschitz coefficients, we plan to develop a perturbation theory in future work. Here we just give a prototype of the results that such a theory should give, in the case where $d=1$. This case is simple because $H_{F I O, a}^{p}=L^{p}$, and Riesz transforms associated with $L$ are $L^{p}$ bounded.
Corollary 8.7. Let $d=1$, and $a \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$ be bounded above and below, with $\frac{d}{d x} a \in L^{\infty}$. Let $p \in(1, \infty)$. The operator $\tilde{L}=-\frac{d}{d x} a^{2} \frac{d}{d x}$ (with domain $W^{2, p}$ ) generates a cosine family on $L^{p}$.

Proof. By Theorem 8.1. Lemma 7.2, and Corollary 7.3, the operator $L=\tilde{L}-\left(\frac{d}{d x} a\right) a \frac{d}{d x}$ generates a cosine family on $L^{p}$, with Kisyński space $D(\sqrt{L})$ (see [2] for the theory of cosine families). By [6, Theorem 2.36] and [3, Section 4], we have that $D(\sqrt{L})=W^{1, p}$. Since $\left(\frac{d}{d x} a\right) a \frac{d}{d x} \in B\left(W^{1, p}, L^{p}\right)$, the result thus follows by [2, Corollary 3.14.13].
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