



# **A Time-Dependent Fuel Cycle developed for Multi-Timescale Systems-Codes to study** technology integration in advanced Fusion Power Plants

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Neutron Physics and Reactor Technology (INR), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany

Systems-Codes (SCs) are fundamental tools in Fusion Energy research that allow for parameter space exploration during conceptual design phases of power plants. They may also be used to discover relevant dependencies through parametric studies, which are especially important to evaluate technology integration in reactors. However, current state-of-the-art SCs do not to address this concern on a power plant level, in part due to the inherent difference in timescale in which each plant system predominantly operates. Thus, a novel Multi-Timescale SC approach is currently under study. This work introduces the new methodology adopted, being developed at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT). As example, it shows the implementation of a power plant's Fuel Cycle (FC) in the form of a new SC module, considered essential to explore fuel scenarios in the power plant's lifetime timescale. Future coupling of this module to a SC will allow one to study system inter-dependencies that affect the fuel balance at this timescale. The simulator was designed using Simulink<sup>®</sup>, with a library of generic FC components developed using a Residence-Times mathematical model. This aimed at reducing the module's required computational power, to comply with SCs requirements. The module was verified by building and running a Demonstration Powerplant (DEMO) FC model was deemed versatile and sufficiently quick for future application in a SC framework. **Keywords:** DEMO, Fuel Cycle, Systems-Codes, Nuclear Fusion Technology \*Contact: t.pomella-lobo@kit.edu T<sub>2</sub> Results (scenarios simulated with TD model) Objective Develop time-dependent Fuel Cycle simulator for the MIRA Systems-Code. (1) steady-state: retrieves SS model inventories within 1% deviation (*not displayed*) Coupling of simulator with other MIRA modules allows for technology integration studies. (2) pulsed, dwell-time computed by Exhaust module, no maintenance: Current coupling: **D** 9000 Torus Exhaust module (to compute dwell-time, based on Battes-2015). MIS DEMO Fuel Cycle Simulink time-dependent model (TD) Start-Up Inven/ 6000 CTORAGE **Doubling Time** flows Deet, to my Sutflows 241.6 days 2000 N 1000 TD Model flection Time 17.3 days UeiCycleCo Outflows Zeros(1,15) 0.6 0.8 Time [year] g Fixed Flow 01> HBS s Ferrieron Sutflows zeros(1,15) IN EFF CON pulse length  $< \tau_{H}$ tory I a state of the state of  $Inv^{TD} < Inv^{SS}$ Outflows up by Poins Inflows 1 zeros(1,15) n-Flux Out Outflows up on Rols Inflows [Inv\_13] Inventory i> Outflows File I DCCS Inflows 1 2 2 Inv\_14 Inventory 2 TD Model F - - SS Model OUTER Time [day] **Conclusions & Outlook S**S Pulsed scenarios in TD result in lower inventories than in SS, due to ratios between the zeros(1,15) 1 <- zeros(1,15) pulse length and residence-times. Thus, SS simulations output conservative inventory estimates in comparison to TD. Simulates inventories dynamics for: T<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, He<sup>3</sup>, He<sup>4</sup>, N<sub>2</sub>, Xe, Ar. Future simulations foresee more complex pulsed scenarios with: Generic components with simplified approach: Residence-Times model. addition of maintenance periods; Residence-Times (τ) computed by a pre-run Steady-State model (SS), that only models flat-HCPB-Coolant flow variation during pulse; ... top and whose outputs were verified against literature.

KIT – The Research University in the Helmholtz Association

<u>T. Pomella Lobo<sup>1\*</sup>, F. Franza<sup>1</sup>, I. A. Maione<sup>1</sup>, L. V. Boccaccini<sup>1</sup></u>

## Abstract





FC Section	System		TD response (g or <mark>g/year</mark> )	SS response (g or g/year)	Deviation (%) (SS-TD)/TD
Storage	MIS	Matter Injection	5593.1	5616.5	0.4
	PMS	Purge Make-up	0	0	-
	CFS	Coolant Filling	0	0	-
Reactor	TOR	Torus	0.51	0.51	-2.38 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
	HBS	HCPB Breeding	24.96	25.85	3.56
	HPS	HCPB Purging	0.13	0.14	4.35
	HCS	HCPB Cooling	1.00 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.01 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>	5.66
	TES	Tritium Extraction	450.24	484.06	7.51
DIR	MFS	Metal Foil	15.04	15.04	-1.96 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	VPS	Vacuum Permeate	9.05	9.05	-4.40 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
	VRS	Vacuum Retentate	4.76	4.76	-5.65 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>
Inner	EPS	Exhaust Processing	20.00	20.00	-5.26 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
	PRS	Protium Removal	158.55	165.05	4.10
	IRS	Isotope Rebalancing	145.81	152.67	4.70
Outer	CPS	<b>Coolant Purification</b>	9.20	9.91	7.68
	GDS	General Detritiation	730.02	790.19	8.24
	ISS	Isotope Separation	771.77	833.33	7.98
	STK	Stack	7.2	8.5	19.1



