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Abstract

We demonstrate the use of the anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) method to determine the orientation of the principal tectonic strain directions developed during the formation of the West Spitsbergen Fold-and-Thrust Belt (WSFTB). The AMS measurements and extensive rock-magnetic studies of the Lower Triassic rocks reported here were focused on the recognition of the magnetic fabric, the identification of ferromagnetic minerals and an estimation of the influence of ferro- and paramagnetic minerals on magnetic susceptibility. At most sites, the paramagnetic minerals controlled the magnetic susceptibility, and at only one site the impact of ferromagnetic minerals was higher. The AMS technique documented the presence of different types of magnetic fabrics within the sampled sites. At two sites, a normal (Kmin perpendicular to the bedding) magnetic fabric of sedimentary origin was detected. This was associated with a good clustering of the maximum AMS axes imposed by tectonic strain. The Kmax magnetic lineation directions obtained here parallel the general NNW–SSE trend of the WSFTB fold axial traces and thrust fronts. The two other investigated sites possessed mixed and inverted fabrics, the latter of which appear to reflect the presence of iron-bearing carbonates.

The utility of AMS as a complementary method to establish features such as palaeocurrent directions or as proxies for determining tectonic strain orientations in rocks is now well established (e.g., Borradaile & Henry 1997; Parés et al. 1999). The AMS method, as has been demonstrated by Hrouda & Janak (1976) and Lee & Angelier (2000), is capable of detecting tectonic strains ranging from those only weakly through to those ductily imposed in deformed rocks. Magnetic fabric studies have also been applied to better understand a number of fold-and-thrust belts (Saint-Bezar et al. 2002; Louis et al. 2006; Robion et al. 2007; Vasiliev et al. 2009; Weil & Yonkee 2009; Maffione et al. 2015). In this study, the Hornsund–Sørkapp area in the southern part of the WSFTB was selected for the first time as a target for AMS investigation. Although it is generally agreed that the WSFTB has much in common with other foreland propagating fold-and-thrust belts, the timing and mechanics, including the strain trajectories, are generally controversial as can be gathered, for example, from a comparison of the works by Bergh et al. (1997) and Manby & Lyberis (2001).

In the following sections, the geological setting of the sample sites will be outlined, followed by a description of the methods employed and the results obtained. These studies are based on the analysis of oriented samples. The AMS investigations will focus firstly on the identification of magnetic minerals that govern the AMS of the rock. The obtained AMS ellipsoids will then be compared with the published tectonic strain directions in the target area.

Geological setting and sampling

The NNW–SSE-trending WSFTB (Fig. 1) extends over 300 km in length from Kongsfjorden in the north to...
Sørkapp (South Cape) in the south and is between 100 and 200 km wide in an east–west direction (e.g., Lepvrier 2000; Manby & Lyberis 2001). It is generally agreed that the WSFTB is of thick- to thin-skinned character when traced from west to east, with uplifted basement blocks along its western margin that give way eastwards to a Late Palaeozoic–Mesozoic cover recording east- and north-east-directed folding and thrust faulting (Birkenmajer 1981; Dallmann et al. 1993; Braathen & Bergh 1995; Manby & Lyberis 1996; Bergh et al. 1997; Harland 1997; Tessensohn 2001; Poblet & Lisle 2011). While it is beyond the scope of this paper to evaluate the competing models that have been proposed to account for the origin of the WSFTB, they are considered in some detail by the Case 1 Team (Tessensohn 2001). Suffice it to say here that there are two main models. The first model appeals to a dextral transpression mechanism in the CHRON 24 (56 Mya)–CHRON 13 (35 Mya) interval along Hornsund and DeGeer Fault zones during the opening of North Atlantic and Eurasian ocean basins (Dallmann 1988, 1992; Andresen et al. 1992; Braathen & Bergh 1995; Harland 1997) to account for the origin of the WSFTB. A subset of this model (Maher & Craddock 1988) invokes a decoupling of the post-CHRON 24 deformation so that the dextral strike–slip component was taken up by the major offshore faults (e.g., the Hornsund Fault), while onshore the deformation was imposed by compressional component orthogonal to the Svalbard Margin. With the exception of the SEDL (Maher et al. 1997), no other onshore margin-parallel strike–slip faults that might be expected in support of a transpression model have been identified. Indeed, the evidence for the proposal that the SEDL (Maher et al. 1997) lineament represents such an onshore fault zone is questionable in light of extensive field data presented by Manby & Lyberis (1996) and Tessensohn (2001). Leever et al. (2011), on the other hand, disregard the latter field-based studies and favour instead the SEDL as representing a major onshore strike–slip fault in their analogue modelling of the WSFTB.

An alternative two-stage model, based on a stress tensor analysis of the entire WSFTB was first proposed by Lyberis & Manby (1993a, b) and later by Manby & Lyberis (2001). These authors, on the basis of relative plate motion considerations (e.g., Srivastava & Tapscott 1986), suggest that as much as 200 km of Greenland–Svalbard orthogonal convergence was triggered by the opening of the Labrador Sea–Baffin Bay. This break-up, which has been modelled at 88 Mya, was followed by seafloor spreading at 70 or 63 Mya (Larsen et al. 2009; Hosseinpour et al. 2013). Much of the intra-continental deformation within the North Greenland–Canadian Arctic Eurekan and WSFTB regions can be attributed to the anti-clockwise rotation of the Greenland craton with respect to North America (e.g., Roest & Srivastava 1989; Jackson & Gunnarsson 1990; Srivastava & Roest 1999; Müller et al. 2008) in the above-mentioned time interval.

### Sampling location

The target area is situated in southern Hornsund–Sørkapp sector of the WSFTB which exhibits a typical west Spitsbergen pattern of deformation in the form of east–north-east vergent folds and thrusts incorporating the Late Palaeozoic–Mesozoic successions. The extent to which the western Proterozoic–Early Palaeozoic basement blocks exhibit the same degree and character of Eurekan deformation is still a matter of debate (e.g., Dallmann 1992; Tessensohn 2001; Thiedig et al. 2001; Michalski et al. 2012).

Samples for AMS studies were collected from the Lower Triassic Vardebukta Formation (lower part of Sassendalen Group). Architecturally, this formation is represented in the study area by non-siliceous, grey coloured, variably organic-rich shales, laminated siltstone, mudstones and fine-grained sandstones (Birkenmajer & Trammer 1975). The samples were retrieved from the more competent siltstones and mudstones with small amount of carbonates of the Hornsund Vardebukta Formation.

The AMS was measured for four sites located in different parts of the Hornsund–Sørkapp sector of the WSFTB (Fig. 2). Two of the sampled sites—sites 1 and 2 (also referred to as COND1 and COND2; Fig. 2a)—were located on the southern and south-western slopes of Condevin-toppen nunatak. The other two sites—site 3 (CYP) and site 4 (BAU)—were located, respectively, on the southernmost tip of Treskelen Peninsula and on the north-west slopes of Bautaen (Fig. 2b). Bedding orientations in those sites are as follows: COND1: 249/42 (dir/dip), COND2: 71/160 and 77/165, BAU: 53/153 and CYP: 79/30. The sampled tectonic structures were first identified by Birkenmajer (1990) and are located on the Norwegian Polar Institute 1:100 000 maps (Ohta & Dallmann 1994).

### Abbreviations

- **AMS**: anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility
- **IRM**: isothermal remanent magnetization
- **Mya**: millions of years ago
- **SEDL**: Svartfjella–Eidembukta–Daudmannsodden lineament
- **SI**: The International System of Units
- **WSFTB**: West Spitsbergen Fold-and-Thrust Belt

---
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Methods and results

At each of the sampling sites, six to seven hand samples of the common bedding orientation were collected. Samples were subsequently drilled in the laboratory to give specimens of 24 mm diameter. From all four sites, approximately 160 specimens were analysed. All the experiments presented here were conducted in the Palaeomagnetic Laboratory of the Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences.

IRM and Lowrie test

The initial identification of the ferromagnetic minerals was carried out by applying the procedures described by...
Lowrie (1990) and using a Squid cryogenic magnetometer (2G Enterprises, Mountain View, CA, USA), MMTD80 thermal demagnetizer (Magnetic Measurements, Aughton, UK) and MMPM10 pulse magnetizer (Magnetic Measurements, Aughton, UK). This experiment determines the magnetic carriers, based on coercivities of different minerals and their unblocking temperatures. IRM was applied at 0.12, 0.4 and 3 T along three orthogonal axes, \(x\), \(y\) and \(z\), respectively. The results from all four sites indicated the presence of magnetic iron sulphides, which was readily identified on all three coercivity curves and displayed maximum unblocking temperatures (max \(T_{ub}\)) of 350°C (Fig. 3a). The lack of characteristic (for greigite) decrease in the curves between 200°C and 300°C would suggest that the magnetic minerals present were of pyrrhotite affinity rather than greigite (e.g., Sagnotti & Winkler 1999; Roberts et al. 2011). All sites were also found to contain low-Ti magnetite, which is distinguished...
by the appearance of \( T_{ub} \) values above 525°C recorded on low and intermediate coercivity curves. At one site (COND1, Fig. 3b), haematite was also found to be present, being marked on the high coercivity curves at \( T_{ub} \) values in the 670°C–680°C range. The unblocking temperatures of 450°C and 620°C recorded on low coercivity curves and occasionally on the intermediate curves are attributed to the presence of accessory maghemite.

These results are consistent with studies of the IRM acquisition curves carried out using a Squid and MMPM10 pulse magnetizer. The IRM results (Fig. 4) were similar at three sites (BAU, CYP, COND2) where specimens reached saturation in the low fields, indicating the presence of low and intermediate coercivity minerals such as pyrrhotite, magnetite and maghemite (Fig. 4a). Only one specimen from site BAU and all specimens from COND1 site displayed the additional presence of a mineral with the highest coercivity interpreted to be haematite (Fig. 4b). The high unblocking temperatures of haematite were also marked to some degree on the low-coercivity curves which could explain the magnetic anisotropy of the studied rocks. This anisotropy can cause the acquired magnetization to not exactly parallel the applied 3T field and consequently influence the results of the experiment.

**Magnetic susceptibility versus temperature**

Subsequent measurements of the low-field bulk magnetic susceptibility were performed during continuous heating to 700°C and cooling to room temperature using a KLY3S/CS3/CS-L bridge made by Agico (Czech Republic). This experiment indicates the characteristic values of the

---

**Fig. 3** Results of thermal demagnetization of a composite three-axis IRM (Lowrie 1990). Applied fields: 0.12T (black curve); 0.4 T (red curve) and 3T (green curve) for (a) CYP03 and (b) CON06.

**Fig. 4** Results of stepwise acquisition of IRM for (a) BAU and (b) COND 1.
Curie temperatures for the ferromagnetic minerals present in the sample. Moreover, the specific shape of the initial part of the curves allows an estimation of the percentage contribution of ferro- and paramagnetic minerals ratio to the magnetic susceptibility of the sample to be made (Hrouda et al. 1997; García-Lasanta et al. 2015). The interpretation of the results (Fig. 5) from most of the samples was hampered by thermochemical changes above 400°C, which led to the formation of new magnetite. However, at temperatures below 350–400°C the initial segments of the curves were undisturbed and characterized by both linear and hyperbolic shapes. The use of separation methods for distinguishing the ferromagnetic from the paramagnetic susceptibility components described by Hrouda et al. (1997) indicates that the ferro/para ratio in the investigated material usually falls in the 30–60% range.

In a few samples from the COND1 site (Fig. 5b), a relatively small amount of thermochemical alteration of the phases prompted the investigation of the undisturbed heating curves at higher temperatures. At this site, the observed Curie/Néel temperatures revealed the presence of magnetite and some of haematite.

**Hysteresis parameters**

The outcomes of the magnetic susceptibility versus temperature experiments were complementary to the studies of the hysteresis loops derived from the Micro-Mag AGM Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (Lake Shore Cryotronics, Westerville, OH, USA), which was formerly manufactured by Princeton Measurements Corp. The hysteresis loops from all of the samples tested showed a generally paramagnetic behaviour (Fig. 6a), with a minor influence of the ferromagnetic minerals. At only one site (COND1), the ferromagnetic minerals prevailed (Fig. 6b). Magnetization induced in a 0.5 T field achieved the highest values in sites COND2 and CYP, reflecting a higher influence of paramagnetic minerals (Ms1, Table 1). Considering the site mean values of the Ms (saturation magnetization after a paramagnetic slope correction, related to ferromagnetic minerals), it can be concluded that the ferromagnetic contribution did not show any significant variation amongst the sites, with the exception of site BAU, where it was the lowest. The analytical results of the ferro-/paramagnetic contribution to the...
magnetic susceptibility were similar for most of the sites, typically falling in the 20–50% range but reaching a somewhat higher value at site COND1 (Table 1).

The results of the rock-magnetic studies can be summarized as follows. Rock magnetic studies allowed identification of magnetite, magnetic iron sulphides (most probably pyrrhotite) as well as some maghemite at all sites and additionally haematite at site COND1. The ferro- and paramagnetic ratio at three sites was defined at the level 30–60%. Magnetic hysteresis parameters analysis

---

**Fig. 6** Example of hysteresis loops where (a) the paramagnetic fraction is dominant and (b) the ferromagnetic fraction is dominant. Numbers 1 (large graphs) and 2 (small graphs) indicate loops before and after paramagnetic correction, respectively.
suggested a slightly higher content of ferromagnetic minerals at COND1. These analyses were consistent with those of temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility.

### Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility

The AMS was measured with an MFK1-FA susceptibility bridge (Agico). The AMS results (Fig. 7) display significant diversification between sites. Firstly, the magnetic susceptibility values are differentiated—from ca. 25 to $300 \times 10^{-6}$ SI (Fig. 7). The shapes of the AMS ellipsoids and orientation of their axes were also found to vary.

At site COND2, the AMS ellipsoid had a predominantly oblate shape with a magnetic foliation parallel to the bedding plane. The shape of AMS ellipsoid at this site had a generally planar outline, and the maximum axes were relatively well clustered with a general NNW–SSE orientation approximately matching the north-west–south-east regional fold axial traces of the WSFTB. This can be interpreted to represent a composite fabric of mainly sedimentary origin but with a discernible tectonic overprint (e.g., Parés & Van der Pluijm 2002). Similar results were observed at site COND1; however, in this case the AMS ellipsoid was more of a combined oblate and triaxial shape and maximum axes were distributed rather around a great-circle girdle with a tendency to grouping in an NNW–SSE orientation. Both the mentioned sites revealed the highest degree of mean anisotropy: 1.054 and 1.018, respectively (Pj, Fig. 7).

Samples from site BAU revealed completely different AMS properties, corresponding to the so-called inverted magnetic fabrics (e.g., Rochette 1988; Rochette et al. 1992; Rochette et al. 1999; Chadima et al. 2006). At this site, the degree of anisotropy was relatively low (Fig. 7) and the AMS ellipsoid varied from prolate to triaxial and oblate shapes. The maximum axes were reasonably well clustered and oriented perpendicular to the bedding plane, whereas the minimum axes lay in the bedding plane. The site CYP samples, like those from site BAU, exhibited a variety of AMS ellipsoid shapes. The maximum axes displayed, however, a bimodal distribution, suggesting composite magnetic fabrics. One cluster was oriented perpendicular to the bedding plane, matching the inverted magnetic fabrics, while the other was parallel to the fold axis and regional tectonic trend, suggesting a tectonic origin.

### Discussion

The in-depth petro-magnetic investigations described here elucidate the magnetic properties of the rocks under investigation, which is a precondition to any tectonic

---

**Table 1** Selected hysteresis parameters of investigated samples. The ferro-/paramagnetic minerals ratio was evaluated on the basis of the initial slope values before and after correction for paramagnetic minerals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Ms1a (mAm²/kg)</th>
<th>Ms2b (µAm²/kg)</th>
<th>Mr (µm³/kg)</th>
<th>Hc (mT)</th>
<th>Ferro/para content (%)</th>
<th>Mean for site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bau1</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>471.40</td>
<td>55.26</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>Ms1a: 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bau3</td>
<td>13.46</td>
<td>481.90</td>
<td>60.96</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Ms2b: 481.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bau5</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>695.80</td>
<td>75.46</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>Mr: 695.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bau6</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>1773.00</td>
<td>184.70</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>Hc: 8.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bau8</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>541.40</td>
<td>69.92</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Ferro/para content: 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>con1</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>1978.00</td>
<td>412.20</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>Ms1a: 3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>con3</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>528.70</td>
<td>62.89</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>Ms2b: 528.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>con4</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>2010.00</td>
<td>417.50</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>Mr: 417.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>con5</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>2066.00</td>
<td>212.10</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>Hc: 7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>con6</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>1843.00</td>
<td>387.50</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>Ferro/para content: 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>con11</td>
<td>23.86</td>
<td>947.80</td>
<td>100.60</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Ms1a: 23.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>con12</td>
<td>18.62</td>
<td>2958.00</td>
<td>268.60</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>Ms2b: 2958.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>con13</td>
<td>30.72</td>
<td>1083.00</td>
<td>95.21</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>Mr: 95.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>con16</td>
<td>35.94</td>
<td>2329.00</td>
<td>420.60</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>Hc: 8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>con18</td>
<td>23.39</td>
<td>1175.00</td>
<td>117.80</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>Ferro/para content: 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyp1</td>
<td>33.60</td>
<td>3100.00</td>
<td>379.30</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>Ms1a: 33.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyp5</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>520.60</td>
<td>51.84</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Ms2b: 520.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyp6</td>
<td>41.25</td>
<td>1121.00</td>
<td>66.63</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Mr: 66.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyp7</td>
<td>52.38</td>
<td>3235.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Hc: 7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyp12</td>
<td>59.81</td>
<td>3578.00</td>
<td>451.40</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Ferro/para content: 0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The saturation magnetization before correction for paramagnetic minerals. bThe saturation magnetization after correction. cMagnetic remanence. dCoercivity.
interpretation based on AMS methods. The ferromagnetic minerals present in the siltstones, mudstones and small number of carbonates from the Vardebekta Formation were iron oxides (mainly magnetite with subordinate contribution of maghemite and haematite) and iron sulphides (pyrrhotite). The results received from the hysteresis parameters and temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility investigations demonstrate that at all sites the para-/ferromagnetic ratio was approximately uniform (Figs. 5, 6, Table 1). With the exception of site COND1, where the ferromagnetic minerals prevail slightly, in the remaining sites the paramagnetic contribution into the susceptibility was dominant—in the ca. 50 to ca. 80% range. Considering the lithology of studied rocks, we conclude that the paramagnetic minerals were mostly phyllosilicates.

At two of the studied sites (COND1 and COND2), the maximum AMS axes were oriented perpendicular to the bedding plane and are very difficult to interpret (e.g., Rochette et al. 1992; Rochette et al. 1999; Chadima et al. 2006). Considering the local tectonic framework, it is difficult to explain this orientation as a result of local tectonic strain. Inverted magnetic fabrics can also be interpreted as an effect of a specific magnetic mineralogy. There are numerous orientation parallel to the regional tectonic trend. Similarly, at site CYP, where the maximum AMS axes display bimodal distribution, one of the distinct populations lay in the bedding plane and also displayed an NNW–SSE trend. At all three sites, such axial alignments are interpreted to be imposed by tectonic processes. It appears therefore that the NNW–SSE oriented maximum AMS axes coincide with the maximum axis of finite strain ellipsoid in the Hornsund–Sørkapp sector of the WSFTB.

The well-grouped maximum AMS axes from site BAU were oriented perpendicular to the bedding plane and are very difficult to interpret (e.g., Rochette et al. 1992; Rochette et al. 1999; Chadima et al. 2006). Considering the local tectonic framework, it is difficult to explain this orientation as a result of local tectonic strain. Inverted magnetic fabrics can also be interpreted as an effect of a specific magnetic mineralogy. There are numerous
minerals which could be inverted fabric carriers (e.g., Rochette et al. 1992); however, for sedimentary rocks, the most likely are considered to be either single-domain magnetite grains or iron-bearing carbonates, for example, siderite, ankerite and Fe-bearing dolomite (Rochette 1988; Ellwood et al. 1989). The petro-magnetic studies we carried out did not detect any sign of a high, single-domain, magnetite content in site BAU samples. On the contrary, the results from the BAU site were similar to most of the other sites and indicate that the magnetic susceptibility is mostly controlled by the paramagnetic minerals. We conclude, therefore, that the inverted fabrics observed at site BAU are most probably related to iron-bearing carbonates, the presence of which was detected using the method proposed by Ellwood et al. (1989). We identified siderite to be the carrier of the reverse fabric, but ankerite was also present.

It is evident, however, that the four sites investigated in this study represent an encouraging preliminary approach to establishing the AMS structure in the Hornsund–Sørkapp sector of WSFTB and its possible relations with the finite strain ellipsoids indicated by the structural data reported in the literature. The results described here complement the reported field data and how the onshore WSFTB structures parallel the Svalbard margin, suggesting the imposition of an orthogonal compression. Our data do not, however, lend support to either of the two models mentioned above nor can any comment be made at this preliminary stage of the study regarding the possible partitioning of the tectonic strain.

Conclusions

The results presented in this article allow the following conclusions concerning the magnetic mineralogy and the magnetic properties of the sampled rocks to be drawn.

Although magnetite and magnetic iron sulphides (probably pyrrhotite) are present at all investigated sites, AMS fabric is governed mainly by phyllosilicate minerals, resulting in the presence of normal magnetic fabric. Only at the BAU site with reverse fabric, AMS was related to iron-bearing carbonates, mainly to siderite.

The values of magnetic susceptibility are low and mostly controlled by paramagnetic fractions at most sites, whereas at COND1 the ferromagnetic minerals dominate.

The AMS results were correlated with published strain directions, and at three of the investigated sites we have found AMS axes fitting well to maximum strain directions for the studied sector of the WSFTB. Although the results are preliminary, they show that AMS methods can contribute to tectonic investigations and would support the need for further more in-depth studies of other sectors of the WSFTB.
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