An integrative environmental pollen diversity assessment and its importance for the Sustainable Development Goals
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Pollen is an intimate part of plant reproduction, being the microscopic carrier of the sperm to the ovules of other, or sometimes the same, individual plants. Pollen represents the evolutionary solution that allows plants to sexually mix their genetic material with spatially distant members of their species because plants themselves are essentially stationary and cannot move. To do this requires a vector, to move the pollen, which can be the wind or animals or occasionally water. Because the chances of an individual pollen grain reaching a suitable partner are small, plants must produce a lot of pollen, and this quantity has consequences beyond plant reproduction (Figure 1). The sheer amount of pollen produced during the growing season has significant effects on the environment, transporting nutrients and micro-organisms (Dharampal et al., 2019; Filipiak, 2016; Keller et al., 2021). Pollen serves as an important food source for insects to meet their nutritional requirements providing carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, and micronutrients, thus impacting insect health directly (Filipiak et al., 2017; Moerman et al., 2017) or indirectly, for example, by increasing their tolerance to pesticides (Barascou et al., 2021).

Pollen triggers allergic immune responses in humans (Linneberg et al., 2016; Zuberbier et al., 2014) and can even influence the weather (Fröhlich-Nowoisky et al., 2016; Steiner et al., 2015). Information about pollen diversity is central for understanding prehistoric ecosystems and their climates (Matthias et al., 2015; McElwain, 2018). Pollen provides essential information for fields such as food safety and authenticity control (Bogdanov & Matin, 2002; European Commission, 2002), material science (Katifori et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2020), or forensics as crime scene telltale sign, for example, by analyzing pollen composition in a forensic sample in order to determine the time of the year or place when and where the pollen was deposited (Coyle, 2004).

In addition to these far-reaching indirect consequences of plants fulfilling their reproductive cycles are the many ways that pollen connects to the United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals (Figure 1). The Sustainable Development Goals are the blueprint to achieve a better and more sustainable future for all humans. They address the global challenges we face, including those related to poverty, inequality, climate change, environmental degradation, peace, and justice (https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/).
Here we begin by (i) briefly providing the background necessary to appreciate the many additional roles that pollen plays beyond its central role in plant reproduction (Figure 1). (ii) We outline how monitoring and measuring pollen diversity can contribute to implementing the agenda for sustainable development. Finally, (iii) we discuss aims in pollen research, which can leverage new integrative pollen monitoring networks with the future aim that better information on pollen diversity in our environment can contribute to improving human health and well-being, as well as the health and functioning of our natural and managed ecosystems.
2 | MONITORING AND MEASURING POLLEN DIVERSITY TO SUPPORT SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

Although biodiversity monitoring is an obligatory component of many international agreements (CBD, 2010; European Commission, 2020), its implementation is often challenging. In addition to estimating species abundances, diversity monitoring concepts need to embrace variation in composition, structure, and function at several levels of biological organization in order to address global biodiversity loss and to quantify the value of its manifold services (Walters & Scholes, 2017). A holistic view of pollen diversity, comprising monitoring of species diversity, phenotypic, genotypic, chemical, and physiological variability, as well as functional diversity across space and time, can lead to new insights of the impact pollen diversity has for ecosystems, people and climate.

2.1 | Pollen diversity and ecosystems: Relevance of pollen diversity monitoring in maintaining healthy ecosystems

Pollen diversity monitoring can effectively complement existing biodiversity monitoring of plants (e.g., forestry or plant inventories) by tracking plant phenology (time of flowering), establishing species absence/presence, censusing community composition, and capturing changes in trait variation and physiology. With adequate sampling strategies at a range of spatial and temporal scales and supplemented by monitoring of indicators for environmental pressures, pollen diversity monitoring can help to determine and understand causes for change in status and trends of plant populations and ecosystems. Assessment of genotypic pollen variability can foster our understanding on plant diversification and ploidy (Knight et al., 2010). Pollen morphological and chemical variability might leverage new insights into plant–pollinator co-evolution (Moreira-Hernández & Muchhala, 2019; Pyke, 2016; Roulston et al., 2000).

Pollen can help to build a detailed picture of plant–pollinator networks at various spatial scales (Bosch et al., 2009; Pornon et al., 2016). Plant–pollinator visitation networks can be expanded by adding pollen transfer, pollinator activity, and flower specializations in an ecosystem-level context to learn more about which insects pollinate which plants (Dunker et al., 2020). In this way, it would be possible to see how communities are influenced by warming, shifts in phenology, land-use change, invasive species, and accompanying biodiversity loss—all factors, which are commonly referred to as “global change” (Forrest, 2015; IPBES, 2019).

Detailed information on pollen chemical composition might lead to a better understanding of the roles of pollen quantity and quality on insect physiology (Danner et al., 2017; Di Pasquale et al., 2013; Moerman et al., 2017).

In this context, we might better understand how insects depend on the availability of pollen to meet their nutritional requirements and how the impact of agriculture and land-use intensification impact on insect nutrition and health, resilience of pollinators, and species richness. The chemical composition of pollen can help to understand how specialist (oligolectic) and generalist (polylectic) pollinators might respond to the spread of invasive plant species or how appropriate suits of plant species can benefit threatened pollinators while supporting stable or expanding ones (Moerman et al., 2016). Ritchie et al. (2016) raised the need for better chemically characterizing highly rewarding plant species for simplifying the compositional requirements for restoration to support native pollinator diversity in human-dominated landscapes. Rather than considering habitat plant diversity and pollen amount alone, the chemical composition of pollen (e.g., sterols, essential amino acid concentration, and toxic plant substances) as a key factor for pollinator development needs to be suggested with attention for future conservations strategies (Moerman et al., 2017).

2.2 | Pollen diversity and people: Relevance of pollen diversity monitoring to achieve food security, good health, sustainable cities, and innovations

Pollen analyses can help to determine how ecological threats like global wild pollinator declines may affect food security and economy (Woodcock et al., 2019). Monitoring of pollen genotypic and chemical variability gives us a tool to assess genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and to analyze honey-based products for food safety, food fraud (e.g., adulteration), and authenticity control (e.g., botanical source and geographical origin) (Bogdanov & Matin, 2002; Teufer, 2011).

Monitoring of allergenic pollen online or with high spatial and temporal coverage can foster our understanding on health relevant issues when linked to clinical data of allergy sufferers. In addition to leading to tailored recommendations with options for spatio-temporal risk mapping information to avoid contact with potential allergenic pollen, this also might help understanding how the diversity of pollen present in the environment relates to allergies and their cross-reactivities (e.g., food allergies) in the frame of global change, air pollution, adherents (e.g., fine dust and microflora), rural–urban gradients, and genetic as well as social-economic factors (Eisenman et al., 2019; Treudler et al., 2018; Ziska et al., 2019). Information on pollen genotypic, physiological, and chemical variation could leverage new specific therapies and understanding of immunological responses at plant species level (e.g., grass pollen) (Brennan et al., 2019). An effective monitoring and understanding of the sensitization and allergy to low abundant pollen of allergenic invasive but also native plants is crucial.

By 2050, two thirds of humanity will live in urban areas (https://www.id.undp.org/content/indonesia/en/home/sustainable-development-goals/goal-11-sustainable-cities-and-communities.html). Planting trees and deploying green infrastructure will be essential to cool urban areas, to reduce air pollution and to use urban space for food production (Grote, 2019). To achieve sustainable cities, we need to transform the way we manage urban biodiversity and species which emit allergenic pollen. Fine-scaled pollen monitoring and pollen distribution models could provide essential information for urban greenspace managers,
giving plant taxa recommendations to reduce allergenic pollen, developing allergy risk projections, and at the same time increase pollinator abundance and health for urban farming and food production.

The morphological, chemical, and physical characteristics of pollen offer a wide range of potential applications for applied science and industry, including the use of pollen as natural microparticles and microcapsules, for example, for drug delivery and oral immunization, micro-reaction vessels for enzymes and inorganic reactions, reinforcing filters, micromotors or for the engineering of sustainable, and eco-friendly and biocompatible pollen-inspired materials (e.g., microgels and self-actuating pollen-based paper) (Mackenzie et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2020). Better assessment, characterization, and screening of the astonishingly divergent morphological and chemical structures of pollen can accelerate the quest for more cost-effective materials from renewable natural sources. The geometrical and mechanical principles of pollen wall structure and folding pathways may provide quantitative structure–function relationships inspiring bionics (Katifori et al., 2010).

2.3 | Pollen diversity and climate: Relevance of pollen diversity monitoring to understand past climate trends and to improve atmospheric models

During this century, human activity will lead to an unprecedented increase of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration not observed during the past 50 million years according to plant fossil records (McElwain, 2018). Associated disruptions in global carbon cycling and ocean acidification threaten diversity and life on land and water (Reichstein et al., 2013; Riebesell & Gattuso, 2015). Paleobotanical records of pollen can offer insights into vegetation responses to past global warming events, predicting analogs for Earth’s climatic future (McElwain, 2018).

In atmospheric models, the processing of primary emitted bioaerosols and its impact on other compartments within the Earth system are very intricate. Models of varying complexity in combination with advanced monitoring systems are required for analyzing the related processes, their interactions, and feedback effects on weather, climate, or water and element cycles. However, global and regional model estimates for pollen production and emission, transport and atmospheric effects (e.g., aging, chemical, and physical processes) are still subject to considerable uncertainties (Fröhlich-Nowoisky et al., 2016). High spatial and temporal resolved pollen monitoring improves knowledge of pollen emission, dispersion, and transformation during transport, which will greatly benefit the model representation of primary emitted bioaerosols (PBAs). In addition, information on pollen phenotypic and chemical variation at species level can yield better parameter estimation of models, for example, to estimate how different pollen act as cloud condensation nuclei (Steiner et al., 2015).

3 | SAFEGUARDING POLLEN RESEARCH’S CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

The culture and distinctiveness of different fields of pollen research restricts the potential of total pollen monitoring and research in
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### TABLE 1  Components of environmental pollen diversity (ePD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ePD component</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Possible data types</th>
<th>Pollen research disciplines</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genotypic</strong></td>
<td>Diversity measure (e.g., richness, evenness, β-diversity, etc.), metagenome, genotyping of genetic markers</td>
<td>Next-generation sequencing/metabarcoding</td>
<td>Digital sequence information, operational taxonomic units (OTUs)</td>
<td>Aerobiology, agriculture, bioinformatics, ecology, forestry, medicine, paleopalynology</td>
<td>Bell et al. (2016), Brennan et al. (2019), Danner et al. (2017), and Keller et al. (2015, 2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genotyping of genetic markers</strong></td>
<td>Polymerase-chain-reaction (PCR), next-generation sequencing/metabarcoding</td>
<td>Presence/absence of genetic marker(s), digital sequence information</td>
<td>Agriculture, ecology, food safety control (GMO detection)</td>
<td>Hofmann et al. (2014) and Stewart et al. (2003)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genome size, DNA content, ploidy</strong></td>
<td>Microscopy, cytometry, next-generation sequencing</td>
<td>Chromosome number, DNA content, digital sequence information, number of alleles</td>
<td>Agriculture, ecology, forestry</td>
<td>Altmann et al. (1994) and Kron and Husband (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phenotypic</strong></td>
<td>Morphology feature, anatomic measure</td>
<td>Microscopy, electron microscopy, cytometry, imaging flow cytometry</td>
<td>Images, image features (e.g., length, diameter, area), thickness of, for example, exine</td>
<td>Aerobiology, bioinformatics, climate science, ecology, food safety control, paleopalynology</td>
<td>Dunker et al. (2020) and Erdtmann (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physiological</strong></td>
<td>Gene expression, transcriptome, proteome</td>
<td>Real-time (RT)-PCR, next-generation sequencing, microarray, western blot</td>
<td>Presence/absence of genetic markers/protein, digital sequence information</td>
<td>Aerobiology, ecology, medicine</td>
<td>Rutley and Twell (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viability, germination</strong></td>
<td>Viability tests (e.g., acetocarmine glycol jelly test or in vitro germination)</td>
<td>Abundance or concentration of viable or germinating pollen</td>
<td>Aerobiology, agriculture, ecology, forestry, medicine</td>
<td>Marks (1954) and Rodríguez-Riano and Dafni (2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phylogenetic</strong></td>
<td>Phylogeny-based diversity</td>
<td>Next-generation sequencing</td>
<td>Ecology, agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical</strong></td>
<td>Elemental concentration, stoichiometry, chemical fingerprint, isotope analysis; concentration of primary metabolites (e.g., macromolecule composition); concentration of secondary metabolites (e.g., sterols, flavonoids, alkaloids, terpenoids)</td>
<td>Standard chemical analytics, fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS)</td>
<td>Concentration, ratio, stoichiometry, emission- and absorption spectra</td>
<td>Aerobiology, agriculture, ecology, forensics, forestry, food safety control, climate science</td>
<td>Bogdanov and Matin (2002), Filipiak (2016), and Filipiak et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allergenicity</strong></td>
<td>Immunoassay (e.g., ELISA)</td>
<td>Antigen concentration</td>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>Sousa-Silva et al. (2020)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical</strong></td>
<td>Physical property (e.g., density, sinking velocity, ice nucleation efficiency)</td>
<td>Digital holography, ice nucleation array (e.g., LINA/INDA-assays)</td>
<td>Physical measure (e.g., of density, ice nucleation)</td>
<td>Aerobiology, climate science, ecology</td>
<td>Huang et al. (2021), Kanji et al. (2017), Knackstedt et al. (2018), and Van Hout and Katz (2004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Measures and methods of single cell and bulk measurements and possible data types of different pollen research disciplines beyond taxonomic count data revealed by standard microscopy. Ideally, several of these components will be combined to get a more holistic view on ePD in a certain temporal and spatial scale, however, being probably limited by financial and methodological constraints.
ecological, medical or paleoecological research fields (e.g., the European-wide approach of harmonized allergy-related pollen monitoring [EUMETNET–AutoPollen]; Clot et al., 2020) (Figure 2). Thus, the multiple implications of pollen, their interlinkages, and the importance for the UN global goals are often not recognized by the scientific community and society at large nor sufficiently acknowledged by decision makers. In order to achieve a holistic view on pollen-related impacts, we want to encourage cross-sectoral cooperation in monitoring, technological development, and implementation of workflows for data sharing (Box 1). To achieve a better interdisciplinary connection, we suggest defining the term “environmental pollen diversity” (ePD) expressing the total phenotypic, genotypic, chemical, physiological, and functional variability of pollen and dispersal types (anemophilous and zoophilous and occasionally hydrophilous) in a range of different social and scientific contexts. ePD monitoring and data sharing integrate all environmental pollen across space and time and inspire technologies and research fields. This would require new integrated monitoring and sampling strategies, connecting data from different research fields, for example, with locally connected device networks (Figure 2). By promoting various pollen diversity measures on an ecological scale, we want to highlight also the need for and diversity in scientific research related to pollen. Instead of using the concept of ePD as a strategy for unifying all scientific disciplines to standardized measures, the ePD framework rather aims to nurture cooperation across scientific disciplines promoting standardization and integration of data and metadata from different disciplines (Table 1). The data management should be interoperable, standardized, and accessible via machine-readable interfaces and repositories in order to meet current and future information technology and research-related requirements, for example, oriented on FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable) and open science principles (Gallagher et al., 2020; Wilkinson et al., 2016).

**Box 1: Recommendations for future pollen research**

i. Defining commonalities in pollen research for coordinated monitoring programs, technological development and data sharing

Pollen research is inherently diverse and found in different scientific disciplines that are not well connected. Research communities, methods, and technological development are yet separated (Figures 1 and 2). The question arises, how these communities might better inform each other in order to implement an overarching strategy for long-term oriented pollen research, technological development, and data sharing that contribute to humanity pressing issues. Commonalities across research sectors need to address (a) common variables and metadata, (b) the linkage of data and metadata fulfilling requirements necessary for syntheses (e.g., taxonomy, temporal, and spatial resolution of metadata), and (c) sharing of infrastructure and technologies. The intention here is not to “equalize” the scientific disciplines but rather to achieve a meaningful cross-fertilization and use of existing beneficial methods and knowledge in order to positively support the progress of the respective disciplines.

ii. Current protocols of pollen monitoring need to overcome methodological limitations and assure comparability of results

For methodological advances the need and prospects for automatization and real-time monitoring in pollen research have been proposed several times. Although alternative methods have been developed, time-consuming and labor-intensive manual microscopy is still the gold standard (for reviews see (Buters et al., 2018; Holt & Bennett, 2014; Stillman & Flenley, 1996)). While ex-situ measurements are already performed in Core Facilities (Microscopy, Metabarcoding, and Cytometry), however, limited by sampling effort, in-situ measurements are mostly limited by high costs for precise quantitative data. To further increase sample throughput, more frequent combinations, exchange, and transfer of sampling strategies and technology developed in the respective fields are crucial. One suitable combination of methods could be to merge semiquantitative metabarcoding or chemical fingerprinting (Kendel & Zimmermann, 2020; Pornon et al., 2016) with quantitative, image-based single cell approaches (e.g., imaging cytometry; Dunker et al., 2020) as complementary tools to benefit from the huge effort of past sequence databases or development of chemical analytics and herewith providing more reliable outcomes for large datasets. Usage and merging of data of different methods and technologies should assure intercalibration of results. If suitable, standards, calibration laboratories, and intercalibration campaigns need to be established to ensure reproducibility of results and the comparability of measurement systems for the emerging field of pollen data acquisition.

iii. Coordinating a FAIR-home of data

Data integration and its interoperability with other data stores need harmonized data and standardized metadata and its mapping to other catalogs. Sequencing data are, for example, already standardized and required to fulfill FAIR principles including public repository deposition and standardizing metadata for almost any publication. Other data types, however, still need harmonization and standardization in order to link different data types and locations (e.g., imaging data). Many excellent databases for depositing
processed data already exist, which is on the one hand probably much more useful than raw data for further use and on the other hand may be the foundation for an integrating database for pollen-related measures.

A strategically designed pollen-specific registry of datasets is needed that encompasses, in addition to pollen counts and taxonomic identities, abundance, and variability of pollen traits including morphological, genetic, chemical, and medical traits (e.g., allergenicity) alongside geographical and temporal distribution (Table 1). In order to estimate taxonomic richness independent of evenness or turnover rates, pollen measures and metadata should also allow to take into account pollen accumulation rates, rarefaction measures, and sampling effort (e.g., Van der Knaap, 2009). We suggest to integrate these data in an existing database for plant measures, for example, using the TRY databases, which compiles plant trait data from the different aspects of plant functioning on a global scale to make the data available in a consistent format through one single portal (Kattge et al., 2011). Also appealing to researchers and journal editors for the necessity to make pollen data public (Gallagher et al., 2020), the resulting possibilities are then to integrate further spatial-temporal environmental, biodiversity, or health data from observations, remote sensing, and simulation runs of models in order to develop, for example, operational information systems. Such data integrations are also necessary to achieve standards for data collection in monitoring networks, to identify gaps in data acquisition processes, and to effectively relate biological variables to other data.

iv. Raising societal awareness of pollen research to increase the outreach of global goals

First assessments of pollen with the aid of volunteers have been established in some regions (e.g., pollen radar, CSI pollen; Brodschneider et al., 2019). We suggest standardized pollen collection programs that could be easily employed by citizens across large geographic gradients or at very fine scale cover (e.g., in a selected urban area) by equipping them with cheap personal samplers or passive pollen samplers. Involvement of citizen scientists can also help in gaining data, which may give more accurate measures of air quality by using personal monitors in daily life, gaining a better relationship of symptoms to pollen concentration, or accounting for higher spatially resolved sampling in biodiversity monitoring to support local as well as global monitoring initiatives and assessment mechanisms (e.g., IPBES).

Pollen interacts with humans in multiple ways, making ePD monitoring ideal for public participation in science. Citizen science has the added effect of raising awareness, for example, for the importance of stable plant-pollinator networks or good air quality in cities; “learning by doing” and thereby scientific literacy can help fostering civic participation and environmental stewardship (Bela et al., 2016; Turrini et al., 2018). Although airborne pollen and pollination monitoring are public services of general interest for society, in most countries, it is not financed by public funds (Baeker et al., 2019). Raising societal awareness can build support for state financed monitoring programs. Providing citizens with integrated knowledge products (e.g., biodiversity trends, species- and site-specific allergy forecasts, and pollen composition in honey) may support a social transformation towards greater sustainability and increase the outreach of global goals.

These challenges can only be adequately solved by interdisciplinary teams and a long-term orientation of research and funding. More frequent research practices across disciplines may consequently result in coordinated monitoring programs, databases, and conferences for scientific exchange addressing the pollen research community as a whole.

4 CONCLUDING REMARKS

Interdisciplinary collaboration across scientific disciplines dealing with pollen data should take place on a much larger scale, fostering communication across traditional professional and academic boundaries and strengthening the particular importance of a holistic view on pollen diversity and all related facets. Here we emphasize that not only species diversity should be an integral part of ePD monitoring, but other levels of diversity as phenotypic, genotypic, chemical, physiological, and functional diversity should be similarly considered to provide better mechanistic insights in processes. Research and society could more effectively benefit from recent technical advances and achievements in automation, high-speed image recognition by artificial intelligence, miniaturized and/or low-cost sensors, and instruments if there would be a better exchange and integrative collaboration of separated research fields. A shift towards more integrated research practices creates new avenues for ePD monitoring and research, forcing further technological advancements. This must be accompanied by approaches in data science assuring updated protocols for data quality-control and data-sharing. At the same time, it is important to create a societal awareness of pollen-related aspects, in order to ensure a long-term, broad-based implementation of measures. To achieve all this, we have compiled appropriate recommendations in Box 1.

Once these steps are more and more implemented, society and policy makers will gain a better understanding of ecosystem services related to pollen biodiversity ecosystem functioning
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