Tree allocation dynamics beyond heat and hot drought stress reveal changes in carbon storage, belowground translocation and growth
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Summary

- Heatwaves combined with drought affect tree functioning with as yet undetermined legacy effects on carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) allocation.
- We continuously monitored shoot and root gas exchange, δ13CO2 of respiration and stem growth in well-watered and drought-treated Pinus sylvestris (Scots pine) seedlings exposed to increasing daytime temperatures (max. 42°C) and evaporative demand. Following stress release, we used 13CO2 canopy pulse-labeling, supplemented by soil-applied 15N, to determine allocation to plant compartments, respiration and soil microbial biomass (SMB) over 2.5 wk.
- Previously heat-treated seedlings rapidly translocated 13C along the long-distance transport path, to root respiration (Rroot7.1 h) and SMB (3 d). Furthermore, 13C accumulated in branch cellulose, suggesting secondary growth enhancement. However, in recovering drought-heat seedlings, the mean residence time of 13C in needles increased, whereas C translocation to Rroot was delayed (13.8 h) and 13C incorporated into starch rather than cellulose. Concurrently, we observed stress-induced low N uptake and aboveground allocation.
- C and N allocation during early recovery were affected by stress type and impact. Although C uptake increased quickly in both treatments, drought-heat in combination reduced the above–belowground coupling and starch accumulated in leaves at the expense of growth. Accordingly, C allocation during recovery depends on phloem translocation capacity.

Introduction

The Earth’s forests play an important role in the global carbon (C) cycle by removing a large amount of CO2 from the atmosphere (Pan et al., 2011; Le Quéré et al., 2018). Worldwide, forests store about 653 billion tC, which approximates to anthropogenic CO2 emissions of approximately 75 yr (Klein & Schulz, 2011). However, the ability of forests to regulate C and nutrient cycling is tightly linked to climatic conditions (Rennenberg et al., 2006), which are projected to change rapidly within the 21st Century (Coumou et al., 2013; IPCC, 2018). Heatwaves under wet conditions, but also hot droughts associated with high vapor pressure deficit (VPD), have become more common (Hao et al., 2013; Stéfanon et al., 2014), and thus intensify climatic stress on forests globally (Grossiord et al., 2020; McDowell et al., 2020).

Heatwaves directly impact plant physiological processes by influencing enzymatic activity and membrane integrity (Schrader et al., 2004; Rennenberg et al., 2006; Sage et al., 2008), as well as mitochondrial and dark respiration (Teskey et al., 2015). The impacts of heatwaves depend on water availability (Ruehr et al., 2016) and heat combined with drought affect plants even more because evaporative demand intensifies (Kumarathunge et al., 2020). Trees are able to regulate water loss by closing stomata, therefore limiting tree internal pressure and dehydration (Tyree & Sperry, 1989). However, reduced stomatal conductance limits evaporative leaf cooling, leading to higher leaf temperatures (Birami et al., 2018; Drake et al., 2018). Furthermore, it decreases CO2 uptake via photosynthesis (Rennenberg et al., 2006; Ruehr et al., 2016; Birami et al., 2018), which can influence C and nitrogen (N) allocation dynamics (Ruehr et al., 2009; Blessing et al., 2015; Schönbeck et al., 2020) and growth rates (Bauweraerts et al., 2014; Teskey et al., 2015; Ruehr et al., 2016). A negative whole-plant C balance might arise if respiration costs for metabolic maintenance exceed C assimilation rates. Consequently, the metabolism needs to be sustained by nonstructural carbohydrates (NSC) and other C storage pools. A...
Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris), one of the most widespread conifers globally, recently has suffered from extreme climate events causing tree mortality (Buras et al., 2018; Jaime et al., 2019; Schulte et al., 2020). This has raised concerns about the resilience of this important timber species. Here, we exposed Scot pine seedlings to heat and hot drought scenarios late in the growing season after leaf elongation was completed, and constantly monitored above- and belowground gas exchange. We explicitly combined prolonged drought and heat stress as both have been observed to co-occur during summer extremes (De Boeck et al., 2010). Following stress release, we applied $^{13}$CO$_2$ and $^{15}$N labeling to closely follow C and N allocation patterns during a 2.5-wk recovery period. We were particularly interested in the different responses of above–belowground C and N cycling poststress, and addressed the following hypotheses: (1) translocation speed of recently assimilated C to belowground is enhanced post-heat, but delayed post-drought-heat; (2) recent C will be strongly invested into repair and growth, if stress impairment occurs; and (3) N uptake and allocation are strongly coupled with the C metabolism poststress.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

We obtained bare-rooted 3-yr-old Pinus sylvestris L. seedlings (Provenance 85 115, Franconia, Germany) from a tree nursery in March 2018. Seedlings were placed in separate pots (6.8 l) in C-free potting substrate, containing fine quartz sand (0.1–1.2 mm), medium-grained sand (1–2.5 mm), gravel (3–5.6 mm) and vermiculite (2 : 2 : 1 : 2). Additionally, 12 g long-term fertilizer (Osmocote® Exact Standard 5–6 M fertilizer 15-9-12 + 2MgO + TE; ICL Specialty Fertilizers, Geldermalsen, the Netherlands) was added per pot, and liquid fertilizer applied monthly for immediate nutrient supply (Compo®, Complete, 6 + 4 + 6(2) NPK(MgO); Hornbach, Bornheim, Germany). To mimic a typical forest soil microbial community, we applied a microbial wash by adding 8 l deionized water to 8 kg forest soil (Franconia, Germany), and soaked roots before potting. Finally, 100 ml of the liquid supernatant of the microbial wash was added to each pot after filtering (40-μm mesh). We also added 100 ml mycorrhiza inoculate (Laccaria laccata) per pot, inducing a mycorrhization rate of c. 50% after 6 months.

During plant acclimation and the whole experiment (see Fig. 1 (a) for timeline), seedlings were kept under controlled conditions in a glasshouse facility in Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany (708 m above sea level, lat. 47°28′32.9″N, long. 11°3′44.2″E). The glasshouse is equipped with highly UV-transmissive glass. Inside light was supplemented with sodium vapor lamps during daytime (T-agro 400W; Philips, Hamburg, Germany) and photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) recorded automatically (PQS1; Kipp&Zonen, Delft, the Netherlands).

Air temperature and relative humidity were adjusted to predefined conditions within the glasshouse compartment (CC600; RAM Regel- und Messtechnische Apparate GmbH, Herrsching, Germany), and measured automatically at canopy height.
Scots pine seedlings were acclimated for 5 months after potting (light gray) before drought stress was initiated in randomly selected seedlings (orange). 6 wk later, six seedlings per treatment were randomly selected and transferred to the individual tree chambers where daytime heat stress was applied in the heat (middle bar) and the drought-heat (top bar) treatment. In order to keep the numbers of replicates sufficient, we were not able to investigate a drought-only treatment. Timing of labeling and manual sampling campaigns are depicted. (a) An exemplar individual tree chamber where arrows indicate the direction of air and water flow. Absolute CO₂ and H₂O concentrations were measured by a Li-840, connected to a Li-7000 for differential measurement of Airsupply and Airsample. The associated ¹³CO₂ signature was measured by an isotope ratio infrared spectrometer (Delta Ray). Photosynthetic active radiation (PAR), air and soil temperature and soil moisture were continuously recorded and each seedling was equipped with an automatic drip irrigation system. Because seedlings were potted in C-free substrate and we corrected for potentially occurring microbial respiration (see Supporting Information Methods S2.2), the belowground flux was considered as root respiration (Rroot).
long-term drought after needle elongation was completed, irrigation was reduced in 25 seedlings on 2 August 2018, reaching a SWC ≈ 10% (Fig. S1). At the end of the 6-wk drought (before placing seedlings in the tree gas exchange chambers), needle water potential ($\Psi_{\text{Needle}}$) was $-1.4 \pm 0.05$ MPa in drought compared to $-0.6 \pm 0.03$ MPa in well-watered seedlings.

**Experimental conditions** On 18 September 2018, 18 seedlings ($n = 6$ drought, $n = 12$ well-watered) were transferred to individual gas exchange chambers placed in a glasshouse compartment and assigned to three treatments ($n = 6$ each): control (well-watered), heat (well-watered) and drought-heat (drought pre-stressed; see Table 1 for tree growth parameters).

We adjusted daytime temperature and vapor pressure deficit (VPD) based on a natural hot drought in 2003 and control conditions on 30-yr-averages in Franconia, Germany (Supporting Information Methods S1; Fig. S2). Following 5 d of acclimation, air temperature in the stress treatments was steadily increased by 2–3°C during daytime within 20 d to finally reach maximum temperatures of 41.5°C. Pre-defined maximum temperatures per period (Fig. 2; Table S1) were maintained for > 6 h daily (see Rehschuh & Ruehr, 2021), resulting in 14 d > 35°C. Daytime VPD increased alongside air temperature (Fig. 2b), but less in the heat compared to the drought-heat treatment as a consequence of increasing transpiration rates, affecting chamber humidity (Fig. S3). Note that night-time air temperatures and VPD did not differ between treatments owing to technical constraints. The importance of night-time temperatures has been analyzed for heatwaves in Europe and the increase in minimum temperatures reported to be much lower (°C) than daytime temperatures (°C; De Boeck et al., 2010).

Soil compartments were passively cooled, hence mean soil temperature increased in all treatments, but remained < 30°C. An automated drip irrigation system allowed SWC to be maintained at field capacity in the control and heat treatment by watering four-times daily (300 ml in total; Fig. 2). Drought-heat treated seedlings were irrigated daily at 05:00 h (70 ml), whereas the amount was reduced steadily until SWC was 5%. On 13 October 2018, stress was released, and drought-heat seedlings re-watered manually to field capacity (Fig. 2d). During the recovery phase, environmental parameters were adjusted to control conditions.

**Individual tree gas exchange chambers**

**Chamber design and measurements** We closely followed shoot and root gas exchange ($\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}_2$) and $\delta^{13}\text{CO}_2$ during stress and recovery using a custom-built tree chamber system (Birami et al., 2020; Gattmann et al., 2020; Fig. 1b). Briefly, each individual tree chamber ($n = 18$) consisted of a temperature-controlled (see Table S1) transparent shoot and opaque root compartment including either the shoot or the potted root part of one seedling. The compartments were gas-tight sealed from each other to prevent air flow from one compartment to the other (for details see Methods S2.1). Additionally, point dendrometers (DD-S; Ecomatik, Dachau, Germany) at 5–10 cm stem height were used to measure stem diameter half-hourly. Hourly-averaged stem diameter width above the initial measurements were interpreted as growth, values below as tree water deficit or rehydration.

The supply air ($\text{Air}_{\text{supply}}$) was constantly delivered to the chamber system at c. 430 ppm $\text{CO}_2$ and 8 mmol $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ during acclimation and recovery (see also Methods S2.2). During heat stress, $[\text{H}_2\text{O}]$ was reduced to 4 mmol to avoid high humidity and condensation. $\delta^{13}\text{CO}_2$ of $\text{Air}_{\text{supply}}$ was kept constant at 0.28 ± 0.006‰ $\delta^{13}$C (except during pulse-labeling). The isotopic ratios are expressed in δ notation (‰) relative to the international standard Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) for $\delta^{13}$C and atmospheric $\text{N}_2$ for $\delta^{15}$N (for solid samples only):

$$\delta^{13}\text{C} \text{ or } \delta^{15}\text{N} = \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \right) \cdot 1000\%e \tag{Eqn 1}$$

($R$, isotope ratio). Shoot compartments were supplied with c. 13 l min$^{-1}$ and root compartments with c. 3 l min$^{-1}$. Sample air ($\text{Air}_{\text{sample}}$) was drawn back at 500 ml min$^{-1}$, and each compartment was measured once every 120 min. We organized the measurement sequence in order to avoid frequent switching between shoot and root compartments. Absolute $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}_2$ concentrations were measured by a gas analyzer (Li-840; Li-cor, Lincoln, NE, USA), connected to a differential gas analyzer (Li-7000; Li-cor), allowing for determining the concentration differences in $\text{Air}_{\text{supply}}$ and $\text{Air}_{\text{sample}}$ in 10-s intervals over 80 s. The associated $\delta^{13}\text{CO}_2$ of $\text{Air}_{\text{supply}}$ and $\text{Air}_{\text{sample}}$ was determined by an isotope ratio infrared spectrometer (IRIS; Delta Ray; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) at 80 ml min$^{-1}$.

**Table 1** Tree properties and biomass of 3-yr-old *Pinus sylvestris* seedlings in the tree chambers, as well as soil microbial biomass (SMB) C and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Tree height (cm)</th>
<th>Stem diam. (mm)</th>
<th>Total biomass (g)</th>
<th>Branches (g)</th>
<th>Stem (g)</th>
<th>Root (g)</th>
<th>Needle (g)</th>
<th>Needle area (m²)</th>
<th>SMB C (μg g$^{-1}$ sdw)</th>
<th>TDN (mg kg$^{-1}$ sdw)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>57.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>113.6 ± 4.5</td>
<td>21.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>16.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>36.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>39.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
<td>65.0 ± 6.8</td>
<td>159.7 ± 93.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>57.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>15.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>126.3 ± 12.8</td>
<td>23.4 ± 2.6</td>
<td>17.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>35.8 ± 3.5</td>
<td>47.6 ± 4.6</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td>61.2 ± 3.6</td>
<td>83.8 ± 33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>58.1 ± 3.6</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>107.6 ± 7.6</td>
<td>18.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>14.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>28.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>46.1 ± 6.7</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.03</td>
<td>26.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>172.9 ± 76.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tree height, stem diameter, dry weight of total tree biomass, branches, stems, roots and needles, as well as needle area ($n = 6$ per treatment) were determined at the end of the experiment. SMB-C and TDN in the soil ($n = 3$ per treatment) are given for the end of the stress period. Data are given as treatment averages ± SE. A significant difference between treatments was observed for soil microbial biomass C in drought-heat only ($P < 0.01$; Kruskal–Wallis and Bonferroni post hoc test). sdw, soil dry weight.
and measurements averaged over 60 s. Air supply was measured alternately between shoots and roots every 40 min, and remained relatively constant. Referencing was performed hourly using a commercially available reference gas with $\delta^{13}C = -9.86 \pm 0.3\%$ (160 402; Thermo Fisher Scientific). The gas analyzers and the infrared spectrometer were calibrated before the experiment according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

In order to correct for offsets between Air supply and Air sample not caused by plant gas exchange, two chambers were operated without trees but containing pots with potting substrate. For calculations of gas exchange and isotopic signatures, see Methods S2.3 and Table S2.

### $^{13}$CO$_2$ pulse-labeling and $^{15}$N labeling

$^{13}$CO$_2$ pulse-labeling was performed on 15 October 2018, 2 d after stress release when C uptake of drought-heat seedlings was within 70% of control seedlings. Shoot compartments were pulse-labeled for 4 h during the period of highest photosynthetic activity, starting at 09:15 h. For this, we mixed 99% enriched $^{13}$CO$_2$ (364592-10L-EU; Sigma-Aldrich) directly to Air supply of shoot compartments (Fig. 1b), resulting in a [CO$_2$] of c. 400 ppm at 45 atom% $^{13}$CO$_2$ (equals $^{13}$CO$_2$ of 75 000‰). The root compartments received nonenriched air (see Fig. S4). To avoid memory effects on infrared spectroscopy measurements from highly enriched $^{13}$CO$_2$, we applied longer flushing (10 min) when switching between shoot and root compartments during and 24 h after labeling. We derived $^{13}$C uptake per treatment, which compared well between a flux- and a biomass-based approach (Table 2).

C translocation rates were estimated from the time difference between the beginning of pulse-labeling and label appearance in root respiration ($R_{root}$), while considering stem length. Label appearance was defined individually per chamber based on a

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Photosynthetic $^{13}$C uptake (mg $^{13}$C)</th>
<th>Needle biomass (mg $^{13}$C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>72.5 ± 5.1</td>
<td>61.6 ± 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>74.1 ± 5.1</td>
<td>68.4 ± 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>50.0 ± 7.9</td>
<td>60.7 ± 11.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shown are data determined from gas exchange measurements for the 4-h labeling pulse and from excess $^{13}$C of needle biomass sampled directly after labeling (for details see Supporting Information Methods S2.3). Data are treatment averages ± SE ($n = 6$). No significant differences between treatments were observed.
threshold calculated from pre-labeling variability of δ13C (SDpre-labeling) as follows: 2 · 1.65 · SDpre-labeling (Salomón et al., 2021).

To further derive information on the nutrient uptake capacity of roots and within-tree N allocation poststress, we applied double-labeled 15NH415NO3 (98% enriched; 366528-1G; Sigma-Aldrich) as follows. After seedlings were re-watered close to field capacity on 13 October 2018 at 05:00 h, we applied 170 ml of a 0.37 mM 15N solution (5.1 mg 15N) to each pot, evenly distributed around the stem.

Sample collection and preparation

In order to quantify NSC dynamics and the isotopic signatures of biomass (13C and 15N), water-soluble compounds (WSC), starch and cellulose (13C), we subsampled needles, fine roots (diameter ≤ 2 mm) and branches of each seedling per individual tree chamber (n = 18). To minimize disturbance of continuous measurements, each seedling was sampled directly after gas exchange was quantified. Further, to assess pre-labeling variability, we took samples 1 d before stress release. Following 13CO2 pulse-labeling, we sampled immediately after labeling (needles), 8 h, 15 h (needles), 27 h, 78 h, 7 d, 11 d and 16 d after the start of labeling, typically between 15:00 h and 16:00 h. Branches were separated immediately into xylem and phloem (including the cambium), except for branch cellulose determination. Root samples were rinsed with distilled and filtered water (dH2O), and excess water removed. Plant biomass samples were immediately frozen in liquid N2 and stored at −80°C until freeze-drying (Alpha 24 LSC; Martin Christ Gefriertrocknungsanlagen GmbH, Osterode am Harz, Germany), and ground to fine powder (MM200; Retisch, Haan, Germany).

At the end of the experiment, each seedling was harvested and separated into needles, roots, branches and stems before oven-drying at 70°C for 48 h. DW (Table 1) was used to calculate 13C and 15N excess. Stem xylem DW was approximated for the outer tree ring based on stem xylem weight. Total leaf area per tree was tree chamber (n = 18). To extract SMB, we applied a chloroform fumigation extraction method (Vance et al., 1987; see Methods S5). δ13C of fumigated and nonfumigated samples was determined by isotope ratio mass spectrometry, and total organic C by an infrared TOC analyzer (Dimatec, Essen, Germany). The δ13C of SMB (δ13CMB) was then calculated as follows:

δ13CMB(‰) = \frac{\delta^{13}C_F \cdot C_F - \delta^{13}C_{NF} \cdot C_{NF}}{C_F - C_{NF}}, \quad \text{Eqn 2}

(values from F, fumigated and NF, nonfumigated extracts). Total soil microbial C was calculated as (total C F – total C NF)/0.45 (Vance et al., 1987).

Isotope ratio mass spectrometry

In order to determine isotopic compositions and C and N contents of solid samples, c. 1 mg homogenized bulk material and cellulose, and c. 10 mg freeze-dried soil extracts were weighed into tin capsules. Liquid solutions of WSC and starch samples were pipetted into tin capsules and subsequently oven-dried at 70°C for 12 h, resulting in c. 0.5 mg DW. The samples were then combusted to CO2 and N2 in an elemental analyzer (EA1110 CHN; Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy) coupled to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Delta XL; Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany). For calibration, laboratory and international standards with known δ13C and δ15N were used, resulting in a precision of 0.2‰ for δ13C and 0.3‰ for δ15N. Additionally, δ13C and δ15N of solid material and δ13C of gas flux data were converted to atom%. For calculations of atom%, excess 13C/15N (amount of label in pools and biomass) and a 13C mass balance see Methods S6.

Needle water potential and needle temperature

In order to assess drought severity, we measured ΨNeedle of mature needles (n = 6 per treatment) before dawn and at noon-time using a pressure chamber (Model 1000; PMS Instruments, Albany, OR, USA). Measurements were performed at the end of stress and during initial and final recovery. We report the daily minimum ΨNeedle here because irrigation took place in the morning, wherefore predawn ΨNeedle was occasionally lower than midday ΨNeedle in drought-treated seedlings.

Needle temperature was measured during the most severe stress and final recovery (n = 6 per treatment) around 13:00 h using an infrared camera (PI 450; Optris, Berlin, Germany). Images were analyzed using the manufacturer’s software by

heat-stable α-amylase. For δ13C analysis of cellulose (n = 5–6), c. 15 mg cut plant material (branches, stems, fine roots) was step-wise extracted in Teflon filters using 5% NaOH and 7% acidified NaClO2 at 60°C (Galiano et al., 2017). Then, cellulose was homogenized by an Ultrasonic transducer (Laumer et al., 2009).

Soil microbial biomass extraction

δ13C of soil microbial biomass (SMB) was determined from soil samples taken close to roots (n = 3) during plant biomass sampling. Soil samples were immediately frozen at −20°C. To extract SMB, we applied a chloroform fumigation extraction method (Vance et al., 1987; see Methods S5). δ13C of fumigated and nonfumigated samples was determined by isotope ratio mass spectrometry, and total organic C by an infrared TOC analyzer (Dimatec, Essen, Germany). The δ13C of SMB (δ13CMB) was then calculated as follows:

δ13CMB(‰) = \frac{\delta^{13}C_F \cdot C_F - \delta^{13}C_{NF} \cdot C_{NF}}{C_F - C_{NF}}, \quad \text{Eqn 2}

(values from F, fumigated and NF, nonfumigated extracts). Total soil microbial C was calculated as (total C F – total C NF)/0.45 (Vance et al., 1987).

Isotope ratio mass spectrometry

In order to determine isotopic compositions and C and N contents of solid samples, c. 1 mg homogenized bulk material and cellulose, and c. 10 mg freeze-dried soil extracts were weighed into tin capsules. Liquid solutions of WSC and starch samples were pipetted into tin capsules and subsequently oven-dried at 70°C for 12 h, resulting in c. 0.5 mg DW. The samples were then combusted to CO2 and N2 in an elemental analyzer (EA1110 CHN; Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy) coupled to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Delta XL; Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany). For calibration, laboratory and international standards with known δ13C and δ15N were used, resulting in a precision of 0.2‰ for δ13C and 0.3‰ for δ15N. Additionally, δ13C and δ15N of solid material and δ13C of gas flux data were converted to atom%. For calculations of atom%, excess 13C/15N (amount of label in pools and biomass) and a 13C mass balance see Methods S6.

Needle water potential and needle temperature

In order to assess drought severity, we measured ΨNeedle of mature needles (n = 6 per treatment) before dawn and at noon-time using a pressure chamber (Model 1000; PMS Instruments, Albany, OR, USA). Measurements were performed at the end of stress and during initial and final recovery. We report the daily minimum ΨNeedle here because irrigation took place in the morning, wherefore predawn ΨNeedle was occasionally lower than midday ΨNeedle in drought-treated seedlings.

Needle temperature was measured during the most severe stress and final recovery (n = 6 per treatment) around 13:00 h using an infrared camera (PI 450; Optris, Berlin, Germany). Images were analyzed using the manufacturer’s software by
setting needle emissivity to 0.97 and adjusting background radiation according to air temperature.

Statistical data analysis

All data analyses and statistics were conducted in R v.3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2019). Stem increment rates were determined by fitting linear regression models to dendrometer data. Considering drought-heat seedlings, the model was fitted from 7 d after stress release until the end of the recovery period – when seedlings grew poststress and passed the pre-stress baseline. Significant differences between slopes of fitted lines per treatments were analyzed using least-squares means (package LMEANS: LSTRENDS; Lenth, 2017). To test for significant differences between treatments at discrete time points (used for noncontinuous data and ¹³C/¹⁵N in plant tissues and compounds), we used the Kruskal–Wallis followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test for small sample sizes.

In order to analyze overall treatment effects per time period for continuous measurements (e.g. gas exchange, δ¹³C of respiration, stem increment), linear-mixed effects models were applied (lme package: LMERTEST package; Kuznetsova et al., 2017). We assigned treatment and time period (pre-drought, initial and final stress, initial recovery until ¹³CO₂ labeling, recovery (labeling peak), recovery and final recovery) as fixed effect and chamber as random factor. The lme model with the lowest Akaike’s information criterion corrected for small samples (AICc) was chosen (Burnham & Anderson, 2002), and the post hoc Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) applied for multiple comparisons of means to determine differences between treatments (package EMMEANS; Lenth et al., 2020).

We estimated the mean residence time (MRT) and half-life time (HLT) of the ¹³C label in respiration, tissues and compounds by using the following exponential decay function:

\[ N(t) = N_0 e^{-\lambda t} \]  
Eqn 3

\( N(0), \delta^{13}C \text{ at time } t; N_0, \text{ initial } \delta^{13}C \text{ at } 0 \text{ days}; \text{ and } \lambda, \text{ decay constant}. \) The MRT and HLT \( (t_{1/2}) \) were determined as follows:

\[ \text{MRT} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \text{ and } \ t_{1/2} = \frac{\ln(2)}{\lambda} \]  
Eqn 4

We additionally fitted curves to ¹³C dynamics of tissues and compounds to improve the visualization of ¹³C trajectories over time. Models were selected based on the lowest AICc. We calculated the deviance to compare the fitted model to the null model, and determined the percentage explained by the best-fit model (reported here, Fuentes et al., 2018). All statistical tests were considered significant if \( P < 0.05. \)

Results

Stress impacts and gas exchange recovery

Stress impacts on tree properties and biomass appeared small as drought was initiated after needle growth was completed, whereas SMB was reduced under drought-heat (Table 1). Gas exchange declined under drought as observed in lower net assimilation \( (A_{net}) \) and shoot dark respiration \( (R_{shoot-night}) \) compared to control seedlings (Tukey’s HSD, \( P > 0.1; \) Fig. 3a,b). With increasing daytime air temperatures and VPD, \( A_{net}, R_{shoot-night} \) and \( R_{root} \) declined strongly (Tukey’s HSD, \( P > 0.01; \) see Table S3 for \( P \) values per period). The larger stress impacts under drought-heat also were observed in low \( \Psi_{needle} (–2.70 \pm 0.13 \text{ MPa}; \ P < 0.01; \) Table 3), stem diameter shrinkage (Tukey’s HSD, \( P < 0.01; \) Fig. 3d), and 46°C warmer maximum needle temperatures compared to the heat treatment \( (P < 0.001). \) By contrast, in well-watered heat-treated seedlings the effects of high temperatures were small, resulting in \( A_{net} \) declining to c. 70% of control values and slowly decreasing stem growth. Although \( R_{root} \) initially increased and then acclimated at higher temperatures (Tukey’s HSD, \( P > 0.1; \) Table S3; Fig. 3), responses of \( R_{shoot-night} \) were small as night-time temperatures were kept at 20°C in all treatments.

Consequently, following stress release, \( A_{net}, R_{shoot-night} \) and \( R_{root} \) recovered fast in heat seedlings (Fig. 3), and did not differ from the control during the recovery period (Tukey’s HSD, \( P > 0.1). \) Additionally, stem growth rates increased above the control during the last week of recovery (heat: 0.043 mm d⁻¹ vs control: 0.035 mm d⁻¹; \( P < 0.001). \)

Post-drought-heat, \( A_{net} \) and \( R_{shoot-night} \) increased sharply, alongside increasing \( \Psi_{needle} \) (Table 3). \( A_{net} \) reached about 60% and \( R_{shoot-night} \) 75% of control values 2 d poststress (Tukey’s HSD, \( P < 0.001), \) with \( A_{root} \) recovering to c. 80% within the following 4 d (Tukey’s HSD, \( P < 0.05), \) whereas \( R_{shoot-night} \) recovered fully. \( R_{root} \) also increased and did not differ from the control during final recovery (Tukey’s HSD, \( P > 0.1). \) Stem diameter width remained below pre-stress values until 6 d after stress release, marking the onset of secondary growth on Day 7. In the remaining recovery period, stem growth rates (0.066 mm d⁻¹) accelerated above control rates (\( P < 0.001). \)

Dynamics of ¹³C in respiration, water-soluble compounds and soil microbial biomass

We closely monitored the dynamics of ¹³C progression poststress (Fig. 4). In \( R_{root}, \) the first signal of ¹³C arrived in the heat \( (7.06 \pm 0.8) \text{ h}, \) tightly followed by the control \( (8.3 \pm 0.3) \text{ h} \) and c. 6 h later in the drought-heat treatment \( (13.8 \pm 0.3) \text{ h}; \ P < 0.01). \) This resulted in a 40% lower C translocation velocity in seedlings recovering from drought-heat compared to control seedlings \( (P < 0.01); \) heat: 4.14 ± 0.38 cm h⁻¹, control: 3.40 ± 0.20 cm h⁻¹, drought-heat: 1.98 ± 0.13 cm h⁻¹), alongside increased MRTs and HLTs (Table 4). The \( \delta^{13}C \) peak in \( R_{root} \) was reached in both, the heat and control treatment 1 d after ¹³CO₂ labeling, that is, after 36 and 37 h (Fig. 4b). In drought-heat seedlings, the peak became apparent 24 h later and at a much lower intensity \( (866 \pm 104\% \text{ compared to } 2352 \pm 119\% \text{ in control}; \text{ Tukey’s HSD, } P < 0.001). \) Generally, we found re-occurring diurnal ¹³C peaks in \( R_{root} \) during night. By contrast, in \( R_{shoot-night} \) the label peak occurred simultaneously in all treatments (at 04:00 h; c. 18 h after ¹³CO₂ labeling), but at different intensities and was lowest post-drought-heat (Fig. 4a). Further, \( \delta^{13}C \) of \( R_{shoot-night} \) leveled-
off faster in the heat and control treatment, whereas the MRT was prolonged post-drought-heat (Table 4).

Respiration of recent assimilates is based on fast C pools such as WSC, including soluble sugars, sugar alcohols, organic acids and amino acids. Consistent with WSC supplying respiratory processes, WSC \( \delta^{13}C \) initially declined fast in aboveground tissues of control and heat seedlings (Fig. 4; for \( ^{13}C \) excess, see Fig. S5). In root WSC of these seedlings, highest \( \delta^{13}C \) occurred 2 d after labeling (Fig. 4f), corresponding with the \( R_{\text{root}} \) label-peak. In heat seedlings, \( \delta^{13}C \) in phloem and xylem WSC was larger compared to the other treatments within 1 d postlabeling (Fig. 4d,e), corresponding to a tendency of faster C translocation and lower MRT. In drought-heat seedlings, slower \( ^{13}C \) dynamics were reflected in longer MRTs of \( ^{13}C \) in WSC in most pools.
The δ¹³C dynamics of SMB showed a much slower trajectory that appeared uncoupled from the WSC dynamics (Fig. 4g; for ¹³C excess, see Fig. S6).

C allocation to starch and cellulose

The δ¹³C progression in starch and cellulose reflects the allocation of recent assimilates to storage and growth (Figs 5, S7, S8). Although drought reduced starch concentrations, starch increased in most tissues during recovery and exceeded the control at the end of the experiment (Fig. 5g–i). Contradictorily, we found little treatment-specific differences in soluble sugar concentrations (Fig. S9). Recovering drought-heat seedlings strongly allocated recent C to starch and the δ¹³C progression of needle starch revealed a longer MRT and HLT in drought-compared to heat and control seedlings (Fig. 5a; Table 4). By contrast, needle starch δ¹³C of recovering heat seedlings decayed rapidly and MRT was lowest, displaying rapid translocation to sink tissues. This was congruent with higher δ¹³C in branch cellulose, indicating fast allocation to growth (Fig. 5e). Control seedlings preferentially invested ¹³C into root cellulose, whereas drought-heat seedlings incorporated little ¹³C into cellulose. This indicates a delayed recovery of growth post-drought-heat, consistent with an apparent absence of stem increment during the initial 6 d of recovery (Fig. 3d).

¹³C allocation dynamics and overall patterns

Treatment-specific dynamics of ¹³C excess to tissue biomass were evident, with needle ¹³C in drought–heat seedlings remaining elevated throughout the entire recovery period (P < 0.05), yet declining quickly in heat and control seedlings (Figs S10, S11). To summarize the ¹³C allocation to respiration, plant tissues and compounds, we derived an isotopic mass balance. This included cumulative ¹³C release via respiration (Fig. S12) and transfer to the SMB, and remaining ¹³C in plant tissues/compounds 16 d after ¹³CO₂ pulse-labeling (i.e. 18 d after stress release; Fig. 6). A general pattern emerged, showing that in combination with drought, ¹³C retention increased (Tukey’s HSD, P < 0.05 drought–heat vs control) and ¹³C release via Rₚₜ decreased during recovery (Tukey’s HSD, P < 0.01 drought–heat). Although control seedlings favored ¹³C investment into Rₚₜ, and root growth, this was not apparent in seedlings recovering from heat or drought-heat stress. In the heat treatment, we found preferred ¹³C allocation to branch and stem cellulose, suggesting secondary woody growth. However, drought-heat seedlings hardly invested ¹³C into growth, but NSC storage. In addition, a larger fraction of ¹³C was respired aboveground (Figs 6, S12b), likely supplied by readily available ¹³C in WSC. The reduced translocation belowground in drought-heat seedlings was further apparent from the low transfer of ¹³C to SMB.

Tissue-specific dynamics of ¹⁵N

The stress-induced inhibition of the above–belowground coupling was further reflected in a reduced ¹⁵N uptake capacity of recovering drought-heat seedlings (Fig. 7d). Here, we report ¹⁵N excess to account for differences in total N between treatments (Fig. S13). Clearly, recovering drought-heat seedlings assimilated significantly less ¹⁵N than control seedlings (Fig. 7a–c). By contrast, ¹⁵N dynamics of heat seedlings closely followed the trajectories of control seedlings, albeit missing a distinct ¹⁵N peak in root tissues. The transient character of ¹⁵N in roots and xylem was underlined by bell-shaped temporal trajectories. 9 d poststress, ¹⁵N had been incorporated in needle and phloem tissues as curves leveled off.

Discussion

Stress impact and above–belowground coupling during recovery

Under well-watered conditions, the impacts of high daytime temperature (< 43°C) and vapor pressure (VPD) on Pinus sylvestris seedlings were small. Restricting the warming to daytime explains the missing temperature stimulation of Rₚₜ-night and the rather small initial increase in Rₚₜ. Daytime heat stress in combination with drought resulted in highest leaf temperatures (45.8°C) and VPD, whereby stress impacts became severe and C loss exceeded C uptake. Remarkably, throughout the heatwave, we found that respiration acclimated closely following assimilation (Fig. 3). Such acclimation processes have been reported previously in response to warming (Jarvi & Burton, 2013; Birami et al., 2020, 2021). For further information on temperature-related dynamics of gas exchange of the seedlings, see Reischuh & Ruehr (2021).

Table 3 Minimum needle water potential (Ψ<sub>Needle</sub>) and maximum and mean needle temperature of Scots pine seedlings at the end of stress, 1-d recovery and end of the recovery period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>End of stress</th>
<th>1-d recovery</th>
<th>End of recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ψ&lt;sub&gt;Needle&lt;/sub&gt; (MPa)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>−0.56 ± 0.07</td>
<td>−0.44 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−0.61 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>−2.70 ± 0.13</td>
<td>−0.98 ± 0.13</td>
<td>−0.66 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>−0.58 ± 0.08</td>
<td>−0.50 ± 0.07</td>
<td>−0.64 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum needle temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>31.83 ± 0.45</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>28.09 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>45.80 ± 0.43</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>28.23 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>41.16 ± 0.90</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>27.98 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean needle temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>29.56 ± 0.16</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>26.68 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>44.29 ± 0.48</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>26.58 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>39.66 ± 0.59</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>26.12 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are treatment averages ± SE (n = 6).
Following heat release, tree C exchange recovered quickly in well-watered seedlings as shown previously (Ameye et al., 2012; Ruehr et al., 2019). Despite this nearly instantaneous recovery of C uptake and respiration, we found C allocation patterns to differ from control seedlings. Within 1 d after $^{13}$CO$_2$ labeling, we observed a preferential allocation of recent assimilates to water-soluble compounds (WSC) in phloem and xylem, and a tendency for faster C translocation to $R_{\text{root}}$ in heat seedlings.
Table 4 Mean residence time (MRT) and half-life time (HLT) of $^{13}$C assimilated 2 d after stress release in respiration, different tissues and compounds of Scots pine seedlings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ/Tissue</th>
<th>Flux/compound</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>MRT (d)</th>
<th>HLT (d)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shoot</td>
<td>Respiration</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.3 (2.1, 2.5)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.4, 1.7)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>2.4 (2.3, 2.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.6, 1.8)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>4.0 (3.7, 4.4)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.5, 3.0)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roots</td>
<td>Respiration</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.6 (2.4, 2.7)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.7, 1.9)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>2.7 (2.5, 2.9)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.7, 2.0)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>4.9 (4.4, 5.4)</td>
<td>3.4 (3.1, 3.7)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needles</td>
<td>WSC</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.6 (1.4, 1.9)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9, 1.3)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2, 1.8)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.8, 1.2)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>4.0 (3.0, 5.8)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.1, 4.0)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phloem</td>
<td>WSC</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.8 (2.2, 3.9)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.5, 2.7)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>2.2 (1.5, 3.8)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.1, 2.6)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>6.9 (4.2, 18.8)</td>
<td>4.8 (2.9, 13.0)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylem</td>
<td>WSC</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.4 (3.5, 6.1)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.4, 4.3)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>3.4 (2.3, 6.1)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.6, 4.2)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>4.1 (3.1, 6.2)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.1, 4.3)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roots</td>
<td>WSC</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.9 (5.3, 15.5)</td>
<td>5.5 (3.6, 10.7)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>7.6 (4.5, 24.8)</td>
<td>5.3 (3.1, 17.2)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>10.5 (7.3, 18.8)</td>
<td>7.3 (5.0, 13.0)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needles</td>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.5 (3.5, 13.1)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.4, 9.1)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2, 1.6)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.2, 1.1)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>6.6 (4.8, 10.7)</td>
<td>4.6 (3.3, 7.4)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needles</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.5 (1.3, 1.8)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9, 1.2)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>1.8 (1.4, 2.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.9, 1.8)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drought-heat</td>
<td>9.8 (7.0, 15.8)</td>
<td>6.8 (4.8, 10.9)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phloem</td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6.1 (3.9, 13.3)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.7, 9.2)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>12.3 (7.4, 35.4)</td>
<td>8.5 (5.1, 24.5)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are derived following the $\delta^{13}$C peak during a 16 d period after $^{13}$CO$_2$ pulse-labeling. The 95% confidence intervals are given in brackets, and $R^2$ of the fitted exponential decay function (Eqn 3) is indicated ($n = 6$ per treatment and time step for respiration, bulk and WSC; $n = 3$ for starch). MRT and HLT are only provided in case the fitted model was significant.

compared to the control (Fig. 4b,d,e). Based on the leakage-retrieval mechanism during phloem transport (van Bel, 2003; Thorpe et al., 2005), sugars and other carbohydrates are released from phloem sieve tubes to feed the lateral sinks along the pathway, supporting tissue maintenance and growth (De Schepper et al., 2013; Deslauriers et al., 2014). Thus, the large $^{13}$C signal in xylem WSC shortly post-heat could indicate support of secondary growth, consistent with $^{13}$C allocation to branch cellulose 1 d after pulse-labeling (Fig. 5e). Additionally, a fast above–belowground coupling became evident in soil microbial biomass (SMB), where $^{13}$C peaked 3 d postlabeling (Fig. 4g). C release via root exudates can support seedling growth indirectly via improved soil microbial functioning and nutrient cycling (Wagg et al., 2014; Rennenberg & Dannenmann, 2015; Gessler et al., 2017).

Heat combined with drought resulted in higher needle temperatures as a consequence of reduced evaporative cooling (Drake et al., 2018), but we cannot tease apart the physiological impacts of this additional heating as our experimental set-up did not allow for a drought-only treatment. Generally, we found that the drought–heat treatment had profound impacts on tree C and water relations (see also Rehschuh & Ruehr, 2021). This was indicated by a strong reduction of respiration and stem diameter shrinkage (Fig. 3), consistent with previous studies (Ruehr et al., 2016; Birami et al., 2018). Hydraulic measurements further showed that stem hydraulic conductivity decreased by 25% under drought-heat (Rehschuh & Ruehr, 2021). These larger stress impacts, relative to the heat seedlings, were reflected in a much slower recovery of C cycling, stem growth and needle water potential ($\Psi_{\text{Needle}}$) with evident consequences for C allocation. A substantially longer mean residence time (MRT) and half-life time (HLT) of $^{13}$C in needles and $R_{\text{shoot-night}}$ (Table 4) indicates a delayed translocation of recent C from source to sink organs. This likely originates from stress impacts on internal C transport via impaired phloem loading and/or reduced sink activity. Both could result in a passive overflow of NSC in aboveground organs, as observed here (Fig. 5). Impaired phloem functionality can reduce the source–sink coupling (Sevanto, 2014, 2018) under drought (Ruehr et al., 2009; Blessing et al., 2015; Hesse et al., 2019) and postdrought (Zang et al., 2014; Galiano et al., 2017). Damage to the hydraulic system in drought-heat seedlings (Rehschuh & Ruehr, 2021) and a close link between xylem and phloem functioning (Hölttä et al., 2009) supports impaired phloem functionality as underlying reason. This is further supported by shrinkage and slow expansion of stem diameter over 6 d, indicating rather slow hydration and repair of phloem sieve tubes.

Further, low sink activity might explain the reduced source–sink coupling. According to the pressure-flow hypothesis, phloem flow is driven by pressure differences between source and sink.
Fig. 5 Treatment-specific dynamics of δ¹³C in starch and cellulose in tissues of Scots pine seedlings following ¹³CO₂ pulse-labeling (a–f), as well as starch concentrations at the end of stress and during recovery (g–k). Shown are δ¹³C of starch in (a) needles, (b) branch phloem, (c) branch xylem and (d) roots (n = 3 per treatment), as well as of cellulose in (e) branches (phloem and xylem), stems (only 16 d after ¹³CO₂ labeling, see inserted panel) and (f) roots (n = 5–6 per treatment). Starch concentrations are depicted for (g) needles, (h) branch phloem, (i) branch xylem and (j) roots (n = 5–6 per treatment). Boxplots show the median, the 25th and 75th percentile, the most extreme data points (whiskers’ extension) and the outliers as filled circles. Seedlings were ¹³CO₂ pulse-labeled on Day 0. Note that data on Day 0 are from sampling 8 h after the start of labeling. Symbols indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments within one sampling campaign (Kruskal–Wallis and Bonferroni post hoc test; drought-heat vs control (#), heat vs control (x), and drought-heat vs heat (*)). The regression with the best fit is depicted per treatment (see Supporting Information Table S4).
Fig. 6 Overview of treatment-specific carbon allocation during poststress recovery in *Pinus sylvestris* seedlings. The isotopic mass balance is based on $^{13}$C uptake during $^{13}$CO$_2$ pulse-labeling, $^{13}$C release (respiration and soil microbial biomass) and $^{13}$C retention in tree biomass at the end of the recovery period, 18 d after stress release, that is, 16 d after pulse-labeling. Percentage of $^{13}$C released is derived from total $^{13}$C uptake relative to cumulative shoot and root respiration, and $^{13}$C ingested by soil microbes (see Supporting Information Methods S6). Shoot respiration includes day- and night-time, whereas shoot day respiration was interpolated from shoot night respiration. Percent of $^{13}$C retention in biomass was derived from $^{13}$C release assuming a closed C balance. Additionally, the partitioning of $^{13}$C between needles, xylem and phloem (including branches and stem), and roots is indicated ($^{13}$C partitioning – tissues), and the amount of label (in mg $^{13}$C) allocated to water-soluble compounds (WSC), starch and cellulose in these tissues is shown ($^{13}$C partitioning – compounds). Note that compounds for woody xylem/phloem are depicted in one bar showing the amount of $^{13}$C in WSC and starch separately (indicated as P, phloem and X, xylem), whereas the amount of $^{13}$C in cellulose is shown for the entire stem/branch biomass. Also note that cellulose was not measured in needles, as seasonal needle elongation was completed.
tissues (Münch, 1930). If C demand for growth and maintenance is low in sink tissues, phloem transport will decrease, supported here by initially low secondary growth likely caused by drought-induced meristem inactivation and lower $^{13}$C allocation to $R_{\text{root}}$ (Figs 4b, 5e–f). Although limited C allocation to SMB has been reported under drought stress (Ruehr et al., 2009; Hagedorn et al., 2016; Joseph et al., 2020), our findings are in contrast to Hagedorn et al. (2016) and Joseph et al. (2020), who reported enhanced C allocation to belowground sinks postdrought. Note that the timing of pulse-labeling after stress release might have an effect. In Hagedorn et al. (2016), $^{13}$C pulse-labeling was conducted 14 d after re-wetting in beech seedlings, when soil respiration exceeded photosynthesis and the above–belowground coupling was fast. In our study, pulse-labeling was conducted 2 d after stress release, when the tree metabolism still appeared to operate in stress mode and phloem functionality was impaired. In summary, we can confirm our first hypothesis. In heat-treated seedlings C sink allocation was fast, whereas drought-heat stress delayed phloem translocation to belowground storage during early recovery.

Carbon allocation to storage, repair and growth

We found drought-heat but not heat seedlings to allocate significant amounts of C to starch during the entire recovery period (Figs 5, 6). This response most likely was triggered by drought impacts, as has been shown previously (Galiano et al., 2017; Rehschuh et al., 2020), but we cannot exclude an additional impact from high temperatures. Following excessive heating of coffee plants, accumulation of starch became visible alongside recovery of the damaged photosystem (Marias et al., 2017), indicating that investment into storage might occur when a given stress-level caused functional imbalance. C stored in starch is a source for growth and dark respiration (Smith & Stitt, 2007), the latter conforming with peaks in night $\delta^{13}$C of $R_{\text{root}}$ in drought-heat seedlings. We suggest that shortly after stress release, C passively overflowed to storage due to reduced phloem translocation (see previous section) at the expense of growth (Figs 5e,f; Sala et al., 2012; Dietze et al., 2014; Galiano et al., 2017). Later, c. 8 d after rewetting, when respiration rates had recovered, accumulating starch in branches and roots indicates a more active process.
and underlines the importance of starch in tree fitness and survival (Thalmann & Santelia, 2017).

The dominant allocation of $^{13}$C to starch in drought-heat seedlings resulted in a very low incorporation into root, branch and stem cellulose (Fig. 5e,f). In agreement, stem diameter remained below pre-stress values during the initial 6 d poststress, when a large fraction of $^{13}$C had already been allocated. This was in stark contrast to heat seedlings, which upregulated aboveground woody growth immediately. The reasons could involve increased cambial activity and cell division under elevated temperatures, thus enhancing stem growth (Gríčar et al., 2007; Gríčar, 2013; Balducci et al., 2016). Despite the rather late seasonal timing of the experiment, the growing period was extended due to the simulation of summer day length and temperature. Growth is initiated by cell division, involving cellulose accumulation during the formation of new cell walls (Drakakaki, 2015). This step is followed by cell enlargement, wall thickening and secondary wall formation, involving intensive cellulose synthesis (Cuny et al., 2014, 2019).

In our study, we suggest that heat enhanced cell division, yet once stress was released, cell enlargement continued, typically taking c. 9 d in Scots pine (Cuny et al., 2014).

In drought-heat seedlings, stem growth was accelerated in the second half of the recovery period. Hardly any $^{13}$C detected in stem cellulose at the end of the experiment suggests that C skeletons for growth were supplied by older storage compounds including lipids, or from C assimilated after pulse-labeling. The delayed growth recovery agrees with the observed repair of cambial activity taking 2–4 wk post-drought-heat in Picea mariana saplings (Balducci et al., 2013). Enhanced stem growth promotes a re-establishment of the plant hydraulic system via new functional xylem (Trugman et al., 2018), yet repairing root functionality also would be highly important (Gao et al., 2021). In drought-heat seedlings, an upregulation of root-rhizosphere activity became apparent when $R_{root}$ recovered at the end of the experiment. Based thereon, we suggest that during initial recovery, growth was limited by impeded phloem functioning, which repair enhanced the recovery of respiratory activity and growth later.

In summary, we partially refute our second hypothesis because short-term growth post-drought-heat was inhibited, whereas stem growth appeared to be a strong C sink only later on, concurrently with the recovery of above- and belowground respiration.

Coupling of C and N metabolism

Late season allocation preferences became apparent as control seedlings invested most $^{13}$C into roots (Figs 5f, 6), alongside largest N uptake. This is consistent with roots as dominant C sink during the late growing season (Endrulat et al., 2010; Blessing et al., 2015), and N being invested into storage proteins that can be remobilized for growth in spring (Palacio et al., 2018).

These phenological responses were altered by previous stress conditions. In recovering heat seedlings, large investments of C into branch and stem growth were consistent with enhanced allocation of N to aboveground tissues (Fig. 7; Rennenberg et al., 2006; Gessler et al., 2017). N uptake is operated at the whole-plant level by a cycling pool of N as a bidirectional exchange between the xylem and phloem within leaves, roots and the long-distance transport path (Gessler et al., 2004). This ensures the signaling of the actual N demand to regulate nutrient supply (Herschbach et al., 2012; Rennenberg & Dannenmann, 2015). Hence, the observed low N transport from below- to aboveground in drought-heat seedlings indicates a stress-induced inhibition of short-term growth (Fig. 7; Palacio et al., 2018). Moreover, low root N uptake post-drought-heat points towards stress-induced impairment of root functioning (Gaul et al., 2008).

The late seasonal timing of the experiment resulted in preferential belowground C allocation in control seedlings, which was not apparent in seedlings recovering from stress. A close coordination of C and N cycling poststress became apparent, confirming our third hypothesis. This was reflected in lower N uptake and aboveground allocation in recovering drought-heat seedlings as growth processes were not activated yet.

Conclusion

In well-watered Scots pine seedlings exposed to prolonged, but moderate daytime heat stress, C uptake and respiration recovered quickly. Accordingly, translocation of recent C to belowground was fast and woody growth – $^{13}$C allocation to branch cellulose – was upregulated quickly. By contrast, combined heat and drought resulted in higher daytime leaf temperatures and substantially altered tree physiology and late season phenology, reflected in a delayed and reduced allocation of recent C to belowground following stress release. The underlying causes may include impaired phloem functioning and/or reduced C sink strength. This is consistent with a delayed increase of respiration, low $^{13}$C allocation to cellulose and low N uptake during initial recovery. Later during recovery, stem diameter changes indicate enhanced secondary growth, not captured by the label.

The initially delayed translocation from source to sink organs following drought-heat release could have substantial consequences for tree functioning and nutrient uptake. If such a decoupling emerges relevant for forest regeneration, it should be considered in stress legacy modeling, while accounting for seasonality. To further elucidate the reversibility of stress damage and impairment of C and N cycling, we call for experiments addressing the impacts and timing of single and compound stressors during different recovery stages.
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