

RECEIVED: November 3, 2021 ACCEPTED: December 22, 2021 PUBLISHED: January 18, 2022

The four-loop $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM Sudakov form factor

Roman N. Lee,^a Andreas von Manteuffel,^b Robert M. Schabinger,^b Alexander V. Smirnov,^{c,d} Vladimir A. Smirnov^{e,d} and Matthias Steinhauser^f ^aBudker Institute of Nuclear Physics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia ^bDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, U.S.A. ^cResearch Computing Center, Moscow State University, 119991, Moscow, Russia ^dMoscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics, 119992, Moscow, Russia ^eSkobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics of Moscow State University, 119991, Moscow, Russia ^fInstitut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany E-mail: roman.n.lee@gmail.com, vmante@msu.edu, schabing@msu.edu, asmirnov80@gmail.com, smirnov@theory.sinp.msu.ru, matthias.steinhauser@kit.edu

ABSTRACT: We present the Sudakov form factor in full color $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory to four loop order and provide uniformly transcendental results for the relevant master integrals through to weight eight.

KEYWORDS: Perturbative QCD, Scattering Amplitudes

ARXIV EPRINT: 2110.13166

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Reduced integrand	2
3	Master integrals to weight eight	4
4	Result for the Sudakov form factor	17
5	Conclusions	19

1 Introduction

Over the past few decades, enormous progress has been made towards understanding the perturbative properties of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory [1, 2] (referred to hereafter as $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM). The high symmetry of this theory allows one to gain structural insights and test computational approaches at higher orders in perturbation theory, possibly pioneering ideas with future applications to phenomenologically-important theories such as Quantum Chromodynamics; see [3] for a recent review. Particularly impressive perturbative results have been obtained in the leading planar-color limit of the theory. A celebrated example is the light-like cusp anomalous dimension [4], which can be obtained to arbitrary loop order [5] in this limit. At full color, analytical 4 loop results have been obtained for the cusp anomalous dimension [6-10], the collinear anomalous dimension [11, 12] and the universal anomalous dimension of twist-2 operators [13]. Planar on-shell scattering amplitudes are particularly well understood [14, 15] and have been bootstrapped up to 7 loops for 6 legs [16]. Form factors for local operators are more complex quantities, but have nevertheless been obtained up to 5 loops and 3 on-shell states [17] in the planar limit; see also [18–21] for further recent work on planar and non-planar color form factors and [22, 23] for reviews.

Sudakov form factors are among the most basic form factors, defined as the matrix elements of a length-two local operator between a two-particle state and the vacuum. They allow for a particularly transparent discussion of infrared poles in gauge theories [24–33]. In particular, the $1/\epsilon^2$ and $1/\epsilon$ poles of the form factor are determined by the cusp and collinear anomalous dimensions, respectively. In $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, the scalar Sudakov form factor was calculated to 2 loops in [34] and to 3 loops in [35]. The integrands have been obtained at 4 loops in [36] and at 5 loops in [37]. The poles of the 4-loop form factor have been presented in [10, 12]. In this paper, we present the calculation of the full-color 4-loop Sudakov form factor through to the finite part. Our calculation is based on techniques that we developed for the calculation of 4-loop form factors in Quantum Chromodynamics [8, 12, 38–45].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we define the Sudakov form factor that we consider and review the known reduced integrand at 4 loops. In section 3 we describe our calculation of the relevant master integrals to transcendental weight 8. In section 4 we give the result for the Sudakov form factor. In section 5 we conclude.

2 Reduced integrand

The Sudakov form factor in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM that we consider in this paper is defined as

$$F = \frac{1}{N} \int d^4x \, e^{-iq \cdot x} \, \langle \phi_{12}^a(p_1) \phi_{12}^b(p_2) | \, (\phi_{34}^c \phi_{34}^c)(x) \, |0\rangle, \tag{2.1}$$

where the expectation value of a local length-two operator is computed between the vacuum and a state with 2 on-shell scalar particles. The Lorentz scalars ϕ_{12}^a carry subscripts corresponding to the **6** representation of the *R*-symmetry group SU(4)_R and a superscript corresponding to the adjoint representation of the gauge group SU(N_c). The overall normalization N is chosen such that the tree level contribution is normalized to 1.

For the kinematics, we have $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = 0$ due to the massless on-shell states, such that the form factor depends only on the external scale $q^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2$. For the perturbative expansion of the form factor, we abbreviate

$$a = \frac{N_c g^2}{16\pi^2}, \qquad z = \frac{4\pi}{e^{\gamma_{\rm E}}} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-q^2 - i0}\right),$$
 (2.2)

where g is the original bare coupling of the model, $\gamma_{\rm E} \approx 0.577216$ is Euler's constant, $\epsilon = (4-d)/2$, d is the number of space-time dimensions used to regularize the theory, and μ^2 is the 't Hooft scale. We define

$$F = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} a^L z^{L\epsilon} F_L \tag{2.3}$$

with $F_0 = 1$ and set $q^2 = -1$ without loss of generality.

The form factor F has been calculated to two loops in [34] and to three loops in [35]. At four loops, a reduced expression for the form factor in terms of dimensionally regularized master integrals has been presented in [46], which we reproduce here:

$$\begin{split} F^{(4)} &= 2 \left[8I_{\rm p,1}^{(1)} + 2I_{\rm p,2}^{(2)} - 2I_{\rm p,3}^{(3)} + 2I_{\rm p,4}^{(4)} + \frac{1}{2}I_{\rm p,5}^{(5)} + 2I_{\rm p,6}^{(6)} + 4I_{\rm p,7}^{(7)} + 2I_{\rm p,8}^{(9)} - 2I_{\rm p,9}^{(10)} + I_{\rm p,10}^{(12)} \right. \\ &+ I_{\rm p,11}^{(12)} + 2I_{\rm p,12}^{(13)} + 2I_{\rm p,13}^{(14)} - 2I_{\rm p,14}^{(17)} + 2I_{\rm p,15}^{(17)} - 2I_{\rm p,16}^{(19)} + I_{\rm p,17}^{(19)} + I_{\rm p,18}^{(21)} + \frac{1}{2}I_{\rm p,19}^{(25)} \\ &+ 2I_{\rm p,20}^{(30)} + 2I_{\rm p,21}^{(13)} + 4I_{\rm p,22}^{(14)} - 2I_{\rm p,23}^{(14)} - I_{\rm p,24}^{(14)} + 4I_{\rm p,25}^{(17)} - I_{\rm p,26}^{(17)} - 2I_{\rm p,27}^{(17)} - 2I_{\rm p,28}^{(17)} \\ &- I_{\rm p,29}^{(19)} - I_{\rm p,30}^{(19)} + I_{\rm p,31}^{(19)} - \frac{1}{2}I_{\rm p,32}^{(30)} \right] \\ &+ \frac{48}{N_c^2} \left[\frac{1}{2}I_1^{(21)} + \frac{1}{2}I_2^{(22)} + \frac{1}{2}I_3^{(23)} - I_4^{(24)} + \frac{1}{4}I_5^{(25)} - \frac{1}{4}I_6^{(26)} - \frac{1}{4}I_7^{(26)} \\ &+ 2I_8^{(27)} + I_9^{(28)} + 4I_{10}^{(29)} + I_{11}^{(30)} + I_{12}^{(27)} - \frac{1}{2}I_{13}^{(28)} + I_{14}^{(29)} + I_{15}^{(30)} + I_{16}^{(30)} + I_{17}^{(30)} \\ &+ I_{18}^{(30)} + I_{19}^{(22)} - I_{21}^{(24)} + \frac{1}{4}I_{22}^{(24)} + \frac{1}{2}I_{23}^{(28)} \right]. \end{split}$$



Figure 1. Irreducible trivalent topologies for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Sudakov form factor at four loops.

Three integrals appear in both the planar-color and non-planar-color parts,

$$I_1^{(21)} = I_{p,18}^{(21)}, \qquad I_5^{(25)} = I_{p,19}^{(25)}, \qquad I_{11}^{(30)} = I_{p,20}^{(30)}.$$
 (2.5)

The definition of the integrals $I_i^{(n_i)}$ in eq. (2.4) in terms of propagators for the topology n_i can be found in [46]. Figure 1 shows a subset of the contributing topologies: the set of irreducible twelve-line topologies, whose integrals could not be expressed in terms of integrals with fewer lines. We note that the "planar-color" part of the form factor involves both planar and non-planar topologies. A remarkable feature of eq. (2.4) is that the coefficients of the master integrals are just rational numbers and all dependence on ϵ is encapsulated in the master integrals, which are conjectured to be of uniform transcendental weight.

The definitions of the master integrals in ref. [46] can be mapped to just the 10 integral families (complete sets of propagators) shown in table 1. The integrals are then linear combinations of four-loop Feynman integrals

$$I_f(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{18}) = (-q^2 e^{\gamma_{\rm E}})^{4\epsilon} \int \left(\prod_{L=1}^4 \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k_L}{i\pi^{d/2}}\right) \frac{1}{D_1^{\nu_1} \cdots D_{18}^{\nu_{18}}}$$
(2.6)

where $f=A,\ldots,J$ labels the family and $q^2 = -1$. Depending on the topology, up to 12 indices ν_i in eq. (2.6) are positive and correspond to actual denominators of the integrand; some of the remaining indices may be negative to denote irreducible numerators. We note that one family covers in general more than one trivalent graph, family A for example covers all planar graphs. The propagator denominators D_i follow Minkowskian conventions and depend implicitly on the integral family f. We provide expressions for the master integrals $I_i^{(n_i)}$ in terms of integrals in these families in the supplementary material of this paper. We note that integration-by-parts reductions allow to remove any reference to family I, which was used to map $I_{p,2}^{(2)}$. For some topologies, only a subset of the irreducible integrals enters

	A	В	С	D	Е		
D_1	k_{1}^{2}	$(k_1\!+\!k_2\!-\!k_3\!-\!k_4\!-\!p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1+k_2-k_3-k_4 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4 + p_1)^2$		
D_2	k_{2}^{2}	$(k_2 - k_4 - p_1)^2$	$(k_3 - k_4 + p_1)^2$	$(k_2 - k_3 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 + k_3 + p_1)^2$		
D_3	k_{3}^{2}	$(k_4+p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3 + p_2)^2$	$(k_2 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 + p_1)^2$		
D_4	k_{4}^{2}	$(k_1+k_2-k_3-k_4+p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 + p_2)^2$	$(k_1+k_2-k_3-k_4+p_2)^2$	$(k_1+p_1)^2$		
D_5	$(k_1 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_4 + p_2)^2$	k_{1}^{2}	$(k_1 - k_4 + p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4 - p_2)^2$		
D_6	$(k_1 - k_2 - p_1)^2$	$(k_4 - p_2)^2$	k_{2}^{2}	$(k_1+p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - p_2)^2$		
D_7	$(k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - p_1)^2$	k_{1}^{2}	k_{3}^{2}	k_1^2	$(k_2 - k_3 + p_2)^2$		
D_8	$(k_1-k_2+k_3-k_4-p_1)^2$	k_{2}^{2}	k_4^2	k_{2}^{2}	k_{1}^{2}		
D_9	$(k_1+p_2)^2$	k_{3}^{2}	$(k_2 - k_3)^2$	k_{3}^{2}	k_{2}^{2}		
D_{10}	$(k_1 - k_2 + p_2)^2$	k_{4}^{2}	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$	k_4^2	k_{3}^{2}		
D_{11}	$(k_1 - k_2 + k_3 + p_2)^2$	$(k_2 - k_3)^2$	$(k_3 - k_4)^2$	$(k_2 - k_3)^2$	k_4^2		
D_{12}	$(k_1-k_2+k_3-k_4+p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3)^2$	$(k_1 - k_4)^2$	$(k_1 - k_4)^2$	$(k_2 - k_3)^2$		
D_{13}	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_4 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 - p_1)^2$	$(k_2\!-\!k_4\!-\!p_1)^2$	$(k_3 - p_2)^2$		
D_{14}	$(k_2 - k_3)^2$	$(k_2 - k_4 + p_2)^2$	$(k_3 - k_4 - p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3 + p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$		
D_{15}	$(k_3 - k_4)^2$	$(k_2 - k_4)^2$	$(k_1 - p_1)^2$	$(k_2 - k_4)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3)^2$		
D_{16}	$(k_1 - k_2 + k_3)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$	$(k_1+p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3)^2$	$(k_1 - k_4)^2$		
D ₁₇	$(k_2 - k_3 + k_4)^2$	$(k_1 - k_4)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3)^2$	$(k_3 - k_4)^2$	$(k_2 - k_4)^2$		
D_{18}	$(k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4)^2$	$(k_1+k_2 - k_3 - k_4)^2$	$(k_2 - k_4)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$	$(k_3 - k_4)^2$		
	F	G	Н	I	J		
D_1	$(k_1+k_2-k_3-k_4-p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 - k_3 + k_4 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1+k_3-k_4-p_1)^2$	k_{1}^{2}		
D_2	$(k_1+k_2-k_4-p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 + k_4 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1\!+\!k_2\!-\!p_1)^2$	$(k_3 - k_4 - p_1)^2$	k_{2}^{2}		
D_3	$(k_2 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 - p_1)^2$	$(k_1 + k_2 - k_3 - p_1)^2$	$(k_4 + p_1)^2$	k_{3}^{2}		
D_4	$(k_1+k_2-k_3-k_4+p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2 - k_3 + k_4 + p_2)^2$	$(k_1+k_2-k_3-k_4-p_1)^2$	$(k_2 - k_4 - p_1)^2$	k_4^2		
D_5	$(k_1 - k_3 + p_2)^2$	$(k_2 - k_4 - p_2)^2$	$(k_2 + p_2)^2$	$(k_1+k_3-k_4+p_2)^2$	$(k_1+p_1)^2$		
D_6	$(k_1+p_2)^2$	k_{3}^{2}	$(k_1 + k_2 + p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_4 + p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3 + p_1)^2$		
D_7	k_{1}^{2}	k_4^2	$(k_1+k_2-k_3+p_2)^2$	$(k_4 - p_2)^2$	$(k_1+k_2-k_3+p_1)^2$		
D_8	k_{2}^{2}	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$	$(k_1+k_2-k_3 -k_4+p_2)^2$	k_{1}^{2}	$(k_1+k_2-k_3-k_4+p_1)^2$		
D_9	k_{3}^{2}	$(k_2 - k_3)^2$	k_{1}^{2}	k_{2}^{2}	$(k_3 + p_2)^2$		
D_{10}	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$	$(k_2 - k_4)^2$	k_{2}^{2}	k_{3}^{2}	$(k_1 - k_3 - p_2)^2$		
D_{11}	$(k_2 - k_4)^2$	$(k_3 - k_4)^2$	k_{3}^{2}	k_4^2	$(k_1 - k_3 - k_4 - p_2)^2$		
D_{12}	$(k_1 - k_4)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3)^2$	k_{4}^{2}	$(k_2 - k_4)^2$	$(k_1+k_2-k_3-k_4-p_2)^2$		
D_{13}	$(k_2 - k_4 - p_1)^2$	$(k_2 + p_1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$	$(k_2 - k_4 + p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$		
D_{14}	(* * * \)9	$(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3)^2$		
	$(k_1+k_2-k_3+p_2)^2$	$(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 + \kappa_4 + p_2)^{-1}$	$(n_1 - n_3)$	(12)	(
D_{15}	$(k_1+k_2-k_3+p_2)^2$ k_4^2	$(k_1 - k_2 + k_4 + p_2)^2$ $(k_2 - p_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_3)^2$ $(k_1 - k_4)^2$	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$	$(k_1 - k_4)^2$		
D_{15} D_{16}	$\frac{(k_1+k_2-k_3+p_2)^2}{k_4^2}$ $\frac{(k_3-k_4)^2}{(k_3-k_4)^2}$	$\frac{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 + \kappa_4 + p_2)^2}{(\kappa_2 - p_2)^2} \\ \frac{k_1^2}{\kappa_1^2}$	$ \begin{array}{c} (k_1 - k_3) \\ (k_1 - k_4)^2 \\ (k_2 - k_3)^2 \end{array} $	$(k_1 - k_2)^2$ $(k_1 - k_3)^2$ $(k_1 - k_4)^2$	$(k_1 - k_4)^2$ $(k_2 - k_3)^2$		
D_{15} D_{16} D_{17}	$ \begin{array}{c} (k_1+k_2-k_3+p_2)^2 \\ k_4^2 \\ (k_3-k_4)^2 \\ (k_1-k_3)^2 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} (k_1 - k_2 + k_4 + p_2)^2 \\ (k_2 - p_2)^2 \\ k_1^2 \\ k_2^2 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} (k_1 - k_3) \\ (k_1 - k_4)^2 \\ (k_2 - k_3)^2 \\ (k_2 - k_4)^2 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} (k_1 - k_2)^2 \\ (k_1 - k_3)^2 \\ (k_2 - k_3)^2 \end{array} $	$(k_1 - k_3)^2 (k_2 - k_3)^2 (k_2 - k_4)^2$		

Table 1. A complete set of integral families for massless three-point functions with one off-shell leg at four loops.

the amplitude: topology (26), for example, contains four irreducible twelve-line integrals but only two linear combinations of them, $I_6^{(26)}$ and $I_7^{(26)}$, appear in eq. (2.4). Analytical results for the master integrals in eq. (2.4) have been given through to weight 6 in [10]. Here, we present their analytical calculation through to weight 8 as required for the finite part of the Sudakov form factor.

3 Master integrals to weight eight

We employ two different methods to evaluate the master integrals: the direct integration of finite integrals and the method of differential equations with an auxiliary scale.

In principle, all topologies but two have been shown to be linearly reducible [47, 48] and are thus accessible to direct integrations based on the Feynman parametric representation.

Moreover, the only two topologies which have not yet been proven to be linearly reducible after a change of variables in the Feynman parametric representation were dealt with in ref. [45] using the method of differential equations. In order to perform parametric integrations, we select a basis of finite integrals [49–53] with Reduze 2 [54]. Here, the finite integrals are typically defined in $6 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions and involve higher powers of the propagators ("dots"). The basis change is computed with the private code Finred based on [55–60]. For some topologies, it is necessary to perform variable changes in the Feynman parametric representation to find a linearly reducible integral around $\epsilon = 0$ and integrate the expansion coefficients. In this way, we solved a subset of the master integrals through to weight 8 with HyperInt. Depending on the integral, however, we found that the computing resources required to compute the relevant ϵ orders can be prohibitive, such that we resorted to the method of differential equations in many cases.

The method of differential equations [62-64] is a powerful technique to solve Feynman integrals with non-trivial dependence on the kinematics, see e.g. ref. [65, section E.8] for a recent review. While our integrals have only a trivial dependence on the kinematics, the method becomes applicable by considering vertex integrals with two off-shell and one massless leg [66] instead. The differential equations in the auxiliary parameter

$$x = \frac{p_2^2}{(p_1 + p_2)^2} \tag{3.1}$$

then connect the sought after vertex integrals with two massless legs (x = 0) with propagator type integrals (x = 1) known from refs. [67, 68], see ref. [8] for more details. We employ Fire 6 [69] and LiteRed [59, 70] to find the differential equations in x for some initial choice of basis. Subsequently, we apply the method of refs. [71, 72] as implemented in Libra [73] to bring the system in ϵ form [74]. At this point, we are forced to introduce algebraic extensions $x_1 = \sqrt{x}$, $x_2 = \sqrt{x - 1/4}$, and $x_3 = \sqrt{1/x - 1/4}$ in order to secure an ϵ -form of the differential system. The complete alphabet sufficient for all families consists of the letters

$$\frac{1}{x}, \ \frac{1}{x+1}, \ \frac{1}{x-1}, \ \frac{1}{x-4}, \ \frac{1}{x-1/4}, \ \frac{1}{(1-x)x_1}, \ \frac{1}{xx_2}, \ \frac{1}{xx_3}$$
(3.2)

appearing in the derivatives with respect to x. In particular, the letters involving x_1 , x_2 , x_3 are required for topology (26) in figure 1, while the topologies (12) and (25) contain those involving x_1 , x_3 . It turns out that each iterated integral in the results for master integrals contains at most one of x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , so it is always possible to rationalize the weights by passing to the corresponding letter.

Note that the differential equations approach allows one to construct uniform transcendentality (UT) bases of one-scale integrals. Indeed, the column of asymptotic coefficients c_0 at x = 0 is expressed via the column of coefficients c_1 at x = 1 as (see eq. (28) of ref. [8])

$$c_0 = L_0^{-1} U_{01} L_1 c_1 \,. \tag{3.3}$$

Here the associator U_{01} is UT by construction. The column of boundary constants $C_1 = L_1c_1$ can also be made UT by a judicious choice of an overall normalization of L_1 . It suffices



Figure 2. Master integrals j_1 and j_2 of eq. (3.4). For x = 0 or x = 1 the number of master integrals in this sector reduces to one.

to pull from L_1 an overall, rational in ϵ , factor which can be determined by examining the simplest non-zero entry of column c_1 (this simplest entry is always known exactly in terms of a product of Γ functions). So, the column of boundary constants at x = 0, i.e. $C_0 = U_{01}C_1$ = is also UT. On the other hand, from eq. (3.3) we have $C_0 = L_0c_0$. However, there is one obstacle here. The column c_0 contains not only naive limits (obtained by setting x = 0 under the integral sign), which correspond to one-scale integrals, but also the asymptotic coefficients in front of non-integer powers of x. Thus, in general, each entry of C_0 is expressed not only via one-scale integrals, but also via some asymptotic coefficients in front of non-integer powers of x. This can be fixed by quasi-diagonalizing (reducing to Jordan normal form) the residue, A_0 , at x = 0 of the matrix on the right-hand side of the differential system in ϵ -form. Since the fractional powers of x in the asymptotics are in one-to-one correspondence with eigenspaces of A_0 , the Jordan normal form of A_0 necessarily has a block-diagonal structure with blocks corresponding to different fractional powers of x. The matrix L_0 also acquires the same block-diagonal structure. Then, those entries of C_0 which correspond to a block with integer powers of x are expressed solely via one-scale integrals. Since the matrix L_0 is invertible by construction, it is easy to establish, that the number of such entries is sufficient to furnish a basis.

Let us demonstrate this approach on the example of the two integrals presented in figure 2, where we use a dot to indicate a squared propagator. The differential system for those two integrals has the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \begin{pmatrix} j_1\\ j_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2(2\epsilon-1)}{x} & \frac{\epsilon(3\epsilon-1)}{x(2\epsilon-1)(5\epsilon-3)}\\ \frac{2(2\epsilon-1)^2(5\epsilon-3)}{(x-1)x(3\epsilon-1)} & -\frac{(x+1)\epsilon}{(x-1)x} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1\\ j_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.4)

Note that this sector has no non-zero subsectors. We construct the transformation j = TJ with $j = (j_1, j_2), J = (J_1, J_2)$, and

$$T = f(\epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1-3\epsilon)(1+x^2)+2\epsilon x}{2(3-5\epsilon)(1-2\epsilon)^2} & \frac{(1-3\epsilon)(1-x^2)}{2(3-5\epsilon)(1-2\epsilon)^2} \\ \frac{1-3\epsilon+x\epsilon}{(1-3\epsilon)\epsilon} & \frac{1-3\epsilon-x\epsilon}{(1-3\epsilon)\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.5)

which reduces the system to an ϵ -form. The factor $f(\epsilon)$ will be fixed later to secure uniform transcendentality of C_0 and C_1 . We have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \begin{pmatrix} J_1 \\ J_2 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon S(x) \begin{pmatrix} J_1 \\ J_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.6)$$

where

$$S(x) = \frac{S_0}{x} + \frac{S_1}{x - 1}, \qquad S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1\\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.7)

Using Libra, we find the following relation between asymptotic coefficients at x = 0 and x = 1:

$$\begin{pmatrix} [j_1]_{x^0} \\ [j_1]_{x^{2-3\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix} = L_0^{-1} U_{01} L_1 \begin{pmatrix} [j_1]_{(1-x)^0} \\ [j_2]_{(1-x)^{-2\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix} ,$$
(3.8)

where $[j_k]_{y^{\alpha}}$ denotes the coefficient in front of y^{α} in $y \to 0$ asymptotics of j_k . In principle, also different choices are possible (e.g. $[j_2]_{x^{2-3\epsilon}}$ instead of $[j_1]_{x^{2-3\epsilon}}$) with appropriate modifications of L_0 and L_1 . For our choice the matrices L_0 and L_1 have the form

$$L_0 = f(\epsilon)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2(3-5\epsilon)(1-2\epsilon)^2}{3(1-3\epsilon)} & \frac{(3-5\epsilon)(1-3\epsilon)(2-3\epsilon)}{2(1-4\epsilon)} \\ \frac{4(3-5\epsilon)(1-2\epsilon)^2}{3(1-3\epsilon)} & -\frac{(3-5\epsilon)(1-3\epsilon)(2-3\epsilon)}{2(1-4\epsilon)} \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.9)

$$L_1 = f(\epsilon)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (3-5\epsilon)(1-2\epsilon) & 0\\ 0 & \frac{(1-3\epsilon)\epsilon}{1-4\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.10)

The associator reads

$$U_{01} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 8\zeta_3\epsilon^3 - \frac{\pi^4\epsilon^4}{9} + \dots & -\frac{\pi^2\epsilon^2}{3} - 2\zeta_3\epsilon^3 - \frac{5\pi^4\epsilon^4}{18} + \dots \\ \frac{2\pi^2\epsilon^2}{3} - 4\zeta_3\epsilon^3 + \frac{5\pi^4\epsilon^4}{9} + \dots & 1 - 8\zeta_3\epsilon^3 - \frac{\pi^4\epsilon^4}{9} + \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.11)

At the point x = 1 we have only "naive" limits, so $[j_2]_{(1-x)^{-2\epsilon}} = 0$. The constant $[j_1]_{(1-x)^0}$ reads

$$[j_1]_{(1-x)^0} = e^{4\epsilon\gamma_E} \frac{\Gamma(2-3\epsilon)\Gamma(1-\epsilon)^6\Gamma(\epsilon)^2\Gamma(4\epsilon-2)}{\Gamma(4-5\epsilon)\Gamma(2-2\epsilon)^2\Gamma(2\epsilon)}.$$
(3.12)

It is easy to see that for $f(\epsilon) = \frac{1-3\epsilon}{(1-5\epsilon)(2-5\epsilon)(1-4\epsilon)(1-2\epsilon)^2\epsilon^2}$ the quantity

$$C_1 = L_1 \begin{pmatrix} [j_1]_{(1-x)^0} \\ [j_2]_{(1-x)^{-2\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.13)

is uniformly transcendental. Then C_0 can be computed from $C_0 = U_{01}C_1$ and is also uniformly transcendental. On the other hand, we have

$$C_{0} = L_{0}c_{0} = f(\epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2[j_{1}]_{x^{0}}(5\epsilon-3)(2\epsilon-1)^{2}}{3(3\epsilon-1)} + \frac{[j_{1}]_{x^{2}-3\epsilon}(3\epsilon-2)(3\epsilon-1)(5\epsilon-3)}{2(4\epsilon-1)}\\ \frac{4[j_{1}]_{x^{0}}(2\epsilon-1)^{2}(5\epsilon-3)}{3(3\epsilon-1)} - \frac{[j_{1}]_{x^{2}-3\epsilon}(3\epsilon-2)(3\epsilon-1)(5\epsilon-3)}{2(4\epsilon-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3.14)

We see that each entry of C_0 is a linear combination of "naive" limit constant $[j_1]_{x^0}$, which corresponds to a specific on-shell vertex integral, and of the constant $[j_1]_{x^{2-3\epsilon}}$, which corresponds to a contribution of some non-trivial region in $x \to 0$ asymptotics. Thus the comparison to $C_0 = U_{01}C_1$ does not allow for the extraction of $[j_1]_{x^0}$. However, if we consider $\tilde{C}_0 = Q^{-1}C_0$, where $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a transformation diagonalizing S_0 in eq. (3.7), we obtain from eq. (3.14)

$$\tilde{C}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2(2\epsilon-1)^{2}(5\epsilon-3)}{3(3\epsilon-1)} [j_{1}]_{x^{0}} \\ -\frac{(3\epsilon-2)(3\epsilon-1)(5\epsilon-3)}{2(4\epsilon-1)} [j_{1}]_{x^{2}-3\epsilon} \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.15)

and the comparison to $\tilde{C}_0 = Q^{-1}U_{01}C_1$ immediately provides us a result for $[j_1]_{x^0}$. The first entry of \tilde{C}_0 is expressed via on-shell vertex integral. Since Q is rational numeric matrix, \tilde{C}_0 remains UT and we have achieved our goal.

In this way, we obtain UT bases for the vertex integrals with two massless legs through to weight 9, written in terms of multiple polylogarithms G with argument 1 and indices $\{0, \pm 1, \pm i\sqrt{3}, e^{\pm i\pi/3}, e^{\pm 2i\pi/3}, e^{\pm i\pi/3}/2\}$. Employing the PSLQ algorithm [75], these results can be expressed in terms of regular multiple zeta values.

We computed many integral coefficients in both approaches (direct integrations and differential equations), which allowed us to cross-check a substantial fraction of our results analytically. To facilitate the checks of our results, we expressed *all* master integrals in terms of finite integrals, which we define allowing also for higher dimensions and/or additional dots. We determined all finite integrals to the required order in ϵ needed for complete weight 8 information, which occasionally involved also weight 9 contributions. We also employed Fiesta [76] for numerical checks of many integrals. By performing these checks directly for finite integrals defined in $6 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions, we were able to achieve a typical relative agreement of 10^{-4} or better with modest run times.

For the master integrals entering the Sudakov form factor we obtain the following results through to weight 8:

$$\begin{split} I_{\mathrm{p},1}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{1}{576} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{17}{288} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(\frac{89}{432} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{677}{720} \zeta_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{5489}{720} \zeta_5 + \frac{487}{216} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{1571}{324} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{3919}{420} \zeta_3^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{77677}{2016} \zeta_7 + \frac{16543}{360} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{4957}{540} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{727}{10} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{15514}{135} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{4181}{162} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{232093}{126000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{split}$$
(3.16)
$$I_{\mathrm{p},2}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{1}{144} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{13}{144} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{577}{432} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{269}{80} \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(-\frac{4309}{720} \zeta_5 - \frac{236}{27} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{115529}{1296} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{13721}{1260} \zeta_3^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{958499}{1008} \zeta_7 + \frac{6442}{45} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{16141}{120} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{4490}{3} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{340829}{1080} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{358051}{324} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{39385301}{25200} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$
(3.17)
$$I_{\mathrm{p},3}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(-\frac{1}{288} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{17}{144} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{233}{216} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{173}{360} \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(-\frac{16529}{360} \zeta_5 + \frac{2033}{108} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-\frac{8717}{162} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{615}{14} \zeta_3^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{3335575}{1008} \zeta_7 + \frac{31937}{180} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 - \frac{30589}{270} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{13891}{\epsilon} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{26134}{135} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{83065}{81} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{231920251}{63000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$
(3.18)
$$I_{\mathrm{p},4}^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{1}{288} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{17}{144} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(\frac{89}{216} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{533}{360} \zeta_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{7469}{360} \zeta_5 + \frac{163}{108} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{1150}{81} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{218}{35} \zeta_3^3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{124771}{252} \zeta_7 - \frac{26657}{180} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 - \frac{8507}{270} \zeta_3 \zeta_3^2\right) \\ &+ \left(33\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{116333}{136} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{1022}{13} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{1180217}{3150} \zeta_2^4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{split} (3.19) \\ I_{p,5}^{(5)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{1}{72}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{13}{72} \zeta_2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{577}{216} \zeta_3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{887}{120} \zeta_2^2\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{239761}{504} \zeta_7 - \frac{30136}{45} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{184207}{180} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{86152}{15} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{2197469}{540} \zeta_5 \zeta_5 + \frac{48343}{162} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{113119649}{63000} \zeta_4^4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned} (3.20) \\ I_{p,6}^{(6)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{1}{576}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{7}{144} \zeta_2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(\frac{169}{864} \zeta_3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{713}{1440} \zeta_2^2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{3013}{1440} \zeta_5 + \frac{115}{216} \zeta_3 \zeta_2\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-\frac{13919}{2592} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{7150}{750} \zeta_3^2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{135691}{672} \zeta_7 - \frac{23921}{252000} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 - \frac{38863}{2160} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{3443}{180} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{1103603}{2160} \zeta_5 \zeta_5 + \frac{65419}{648} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{32463187}{252000} \zeta_2^4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned} (3.21) \\ I_{p,7}^{(7)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{11}{576}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{11}{4} \zeta_2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{1937}{864} \zeta_3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{402}{360} \zeta_2^2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{94313}{1440} \zeta_5 - \frac{1505}{48} \zeta_3 \zeta_2\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-\frac{13483}{322} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{35053}{31260} \zeta_3^2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{6002449}{4032} \zeta_7 - \frac{192539}{240} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 - \frac{1093}{1080} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{40379}{30} \zeta_5 a_3 - \frac{191423}{270} \zeta_5 \zeta_5 + \frac{20807}{54} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{5398817}{24000} \zeta_2^4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned} (3.22) \\ I_{p,9}^{(9)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{1}{576}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{5}{48} \zeta_2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(\frac{163}{864} \zeta_3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{161}{160} \zeta_2^2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{5803}{1440} \zeta_5 + \frac{253}{36} \zeta_3 \zeta_2\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{25345}{2592} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{119}{\epsilon^2} \zeta_3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{163}{46} \zeta_3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{161}{160} \zeta_2^2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{5803}{1440} \zeta_5 - \frac{179}{36} \zeta_3 \zeta_2\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{157}{15} \zeta_5 A_3 - \frac{13070}{210} \zeta_5 \zeta_5 A_3 - \frac{238143}{1864} \zeta_3 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{167}{120} \zeta_2 + \frac{$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \left(\frac{3205}{12}\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{6666179}{1080}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} - \frac{797531}{648}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{1336955}{2016}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.25) \\ I_{p,11}^{(12)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}}\left(\frac{1}{144}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}\left(-\frac{1}{144}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}}\left(-\frac{1}{432}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(\frac{57}{80}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(-\frac{38149}{720}\zeta_{5} + \frac{1061}{54}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(-\frac{237775}{1296}\zeta_{5}^{2} - \frac{37363}{2520}\zeta_{3}^{3}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(-\frac{2582855}{504}\zeta_{7} + \frac{99319}{45}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} - \frac{24527}{40}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{204143}{30}\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{694817}{1080}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} + \frac{316049}{162}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{387942419}{84000}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.26) \\ I_{p,12}^{(12)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(\frac{57}{56}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}\left(\frac{1}{24}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}}\left(\frac{181}{864}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(\frac{57}{80}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(\frac{5833}{483}\zeta_{5} + \frac{595}{144}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{2083}{162}\zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{32163}{2520}\zeta_{3}^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{1623313}{8064}\zeta_{7} + \frac{7001}{120}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{4063}{80}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1567}{120}\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{201041}{270}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} - \frac{1513}{216}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2} + \frac{3031177}{12000}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.27) \\ I_{p,13}^{(14)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}}\left(\frac{57}{673}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}\left(-\frac{47}{144}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}}\left(-\frac{1789}{864}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(-\frac{433}{288}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(-\frac{60601}{6140}\zeta_{5} + \frac{4765}{2160}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{20501}{2100}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{719}{2016}\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{212603}{2160}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} - \frac{60533}{216}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{14105297}{2200}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.28) \\ I_{p,14}^{(17)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}}\left(-\frac{3}{64}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}\left(\frac{31}{64}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(\frac{3}{4}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(-\frac{6541}{144}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{7591}{20}\zeta_{5,5} - \frac{781}{36}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{714}{4}\zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{129237}{2016}\zeta_{3}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1507}{20}\zeta_{5} - \frac{781}{36}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{322}{144}\zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{5558237}{4800}\zeta_{4}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.29) \\ I_{p,15}^{(17)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}}\left(-\frac{1}{370}\zeta_{5} + \frac{32841}{34}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{5}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(-\frac{328}{80}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(-\frac{27601}{80} \zeta_5 + \frac{1541}{216} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-\frac{4069}{288} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{524371}{10080} \zeta_2^3 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{1698595}{672} \zeta_7 + \frac{3355}{3355} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{8807}{648} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{1110914569}{1008000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.31) \\ &+ \left(\frac{4893}{10} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{83399}{80} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{924893}{648} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{1110914569}{1008000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.31) \\ &+ \left(\frac{4893}{10} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{83399}{80} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{924893}{648} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{1110914569}{1008000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.31) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(-\frac{56425}{288} \zeta_5 + \frac{10235}{432} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{80651}{1296} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{184073}{1008} \zeta_3^3 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{27831739}{4032} \zeta_7 + \frac{630593}{720} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{39206}{45} \zeta_5 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{50049}{20} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{11313389}{1080} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{474319}{162} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{1865882993}{504000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.32) \\ &+ \left(\frac{109}{20} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{11313389}{1080} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{474319}{162} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{1365882993}{504000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.32) \\ &+ \left(\frac{169}{2592} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{6317}{720} \zeta_3^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{169789}{4032} \zeta_7 + \frac{3419}{325} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{89593}{2160} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{407}{15} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{263897}{2160} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{41719}{162} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{43695623}{504000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.33) \\ I_{p,19}^{(19)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{1}{288} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{1}{144} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(\frac{2997077}{504} \zeta_7 - \frac{290821}{180} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{8023}{30} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) \\ &+ \left(- \frac{62426}{15} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{493027}{135} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{210472}{81} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{69281143}{15750} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.34) \\ I_{p,29}^{(30)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{1}{288} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{1}{32} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{1847}{864} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{403}{720} \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-\frac{13036}{1086} \zeta_5 + \frac{191}{216} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 - \frac{77089}{1080} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(- \frac{7253}{15} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{2593651}{2160} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{77089}{1080} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(- \frac{7253}{15} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{2593651}{2160} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{40867}{1086} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{7941559}{48000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad$$

$$+\left(-\frac{2621}{60}\zeta_{5,3}-\frac{45341}{180}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3}-\frac{9161}{72}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2}+\frac{228869}{5250}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon),\tag{3.36}$$
$$I_{\mathrm{p},22}^{(14)}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}\left(\frac{1}{48}\zeta_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}}\left(-\frac{7}{48}\zeta_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(-\frac{13}{240}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(-\frac{281}{48}\zeta_{5}+\frac{17}{9}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{439}{144}\zeta_{3}^{2}-\frac{8053}{2520}\zeta_{2}^{3}\right)+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(-\frac{16135}{96}\zeta_{7}-\frac{544}{15}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2}+\frac{8497}{180}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right)\\+\left(-\frac{15557}{60}\zeta_{5,3}-\frac{3373}{360}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3}-\frac{55691}{216}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2}+\frac{3474517}{126000}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$
(3.37)

$$I_{p,23}^{(14)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{7}{20} \zeta_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(-\frac{377}{24} \zeta_5 + \frac{97}{12} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{433}{24} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{8531}{840} \zeta_2^3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{92183}{64} \zeta_7 + \frac{1387}{3} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{5609}{60} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) + \left(\frac{30512}{15} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{168463}{36} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{17131}{36} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{32238699}{28000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$
(3.38)

$$\begin{split} I_{\rm p,24}^{(14)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{5}{48}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{65}{72} \zeta_2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{293}{48} \zeta_3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{2171}{480} \zeta_2^2\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(-\frac{4019}{48} \zeta_5 + \frac{11495}{216} \zeta_3 \zeta_2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{82361}{432} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{163871}{10080} \zeta_2^3\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{707127}{448} \zeta_7 + \frac{41611}{72} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{47639}{144} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2\right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{42559}{60} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{1714063}{360} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{967087}{648} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{102398431}{144000} \zeta_2^4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{split}$$
(3.39)

$$I_{p,25}^{(11)} = \frac{-1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{-1}{96} \right) + \frac{-1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{-1}{96} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{-1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{-1}{9} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{-1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{-1}{960} \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(-\frac{2329}{80} \zeta_5 - \frac{11}{36} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{26141}{864} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{642007}{20160} \zeta_2^3 \right) \\ + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{1937119}{2688} \zeta_7 + \frac{1101}{20} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{169157}{1440} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ + \left(\frac{9449}{120} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{399373}{240} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{27517}{432} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{754079597}{2016000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$
(3.40)

$$I_{p,26}^{(17)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{5}{144}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{2}{9}\zeta_2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{331}{216}\zeta_3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{1171}{240}\zeta_2^2\right) \\ + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{3857}{36}\zeta_5 + \frac{2041}{216}\zeta_3\zeta_2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{72223}{1296}\zeta_3^2 + \frac{67171}{504}\zeta_2^3\right) \\ + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{14485195}{4032}\zeta_7 - \frac{21157}{45}\zeta_5\zeta_2 - \frac{39241}{180}\zeta_3\zeta_2^2\right) \\ + \left(\frac{1664}{5}\zeta_{5,3} - \frac{4344341}{1080}\zeta_5\zeta_3 - \frac{343849}{324}\zeta_3^2\zeta_2 + \frac{339481019}{252000}\zeta_2^4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.41)$$

$$I_{p,27}^{(17)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(\frac{1}{48} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{7}{160} \zeta_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{91}{48} \zeta_5 - \frac{113}{48} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{1063}{288} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{13751}{5040} \zeta_2^3 \right) \\ + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{46099}{192} \zeta_7 - \frac{9517}{48} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{3617}{80} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ + \left(\frac{6849}{20} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{999253}{720} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{943}{36} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{11407999}{72000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \tag{3.42}$$
$$I_{p,28}^{(17)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{1}{24} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{1}{8} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{1}{60} \zeta_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{20}{3} \zeta_5 - \frac{29}{36} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(\frac{703}{24} \zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{11281}{1260} \zeta_{2}^{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{25855}{48} \zeta_{7} - \frac{121}{5} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{2} + \frac{2807}{45} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \left(-\frac{12944}{15} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{37447}{180} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{3} - \frac{7802}{27} \zeta_{3}^{2} \zeta_{2} + \frac{103912481}{126000} \zeta_{2}^{4} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \qquad (3.43)$$

$$I_{p,29}^{(19)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}} \left(-\frac{1}{36} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}} \left(-\frac{1}{16} \zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}} \left(-\frac{107}{432} \zeta_{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}} \left(\frac{9}{2} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}} \left(\frac{18091}{720} \zeta_{5} + \frac{467}{12} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(\frac{155179}{1296} \zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{348347}{5040} \zeta_{2}^{3} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{920383}{1008} \zeta_{7} + \frac{25153}{60} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{2} + \frac{1225}{24} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1189}{6} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{3745369}{1080} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{3} - \frac{40175}{24} \zeta_{3}^{2} \zeta_{2} + \frac{12545753}{14400} \zeta_{2}^{4} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \qquad (3.44)$$

$$I_{p,30}^{(19)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{7}{24} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{5}{48} \zeta_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{69}{8} \zeta_5 + \frac{7}{4} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{1885}{72} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{8131}{1008} \zeta_2^3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{15071}{48} \zeta_7 - \frac{266}{3} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{16751}{360} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) + \left(\frac{3269}{30} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{11363}{20} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{641}{3} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{32252011}{168000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$
(3.45)

$$\begin{split} I_{\mathrm{p},31}^{(19)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(-\frac{11}{288} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{65}{288} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{2005}{864} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{63}{80} \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{115559}{1440} \zeta_5 - \frac{4519}{432} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{18203}{162} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{22915}{252} \zeta_2^3 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{21937549}{4032} \zeta_7 - \frac{125525}{144} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 - \frac{4009}{20} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{287479}{60} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{941249}{108} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{956701}{324} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{183065759}{36000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.46) \\ I_{\mathrm{p},32}^{(30)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(-\frac{1}{12} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{35}{48} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(\frac{445}{144} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{269}{240} \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{2767}{80} \zeta_5 - \frac{1433}{36} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-\frac{14051}{432} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{8363}{630} \zeta_3^3 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{89105}{336} \zeta_7 - \frac{3639}{4} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{162007}{360} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \end{split}$$

$$+ \left(899\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{25969}{8}\zeta_5\zeta_3 + \frac{31201}{27}\zeta_3^2\zeta_2 - \frac{2959007}{8400}\zeta_2^4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$
(3.47)

$$I_1^{(21)} = I_{\rm p,18}^{(21)},\tag{3.48}$$

$$I_{2}^{(22)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}} \left(\frac{1}{192}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}} \left(-\frac{19}{72}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}} \left(-\frac{61}{32}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}} \left(-\frac{5089}{1440}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}} \left(-\frac{41237}{480}\zeta_{5} + \frac{4111}{216}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(-\frac{2881}{864}\zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{8259}{112}\zeta_{2}^{3}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{819241}{224}\zeta_{7} + \frac{314971}{360}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{325133}{2160}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \left(\frac{27142}{15}\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{1433501}{240}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} + \frac{88663}{162}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{994787867}{504000}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.49) \\ I_{3}^{(23)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}}\left(\frac{1}{144}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}\left(-\frac{5}{18}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}}\left(-\frac{401}{216}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(\frac{19}{16}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(-\frac{16277}{360}\zeta_{5} + \frac{13151}{216}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{248513}{1296}\zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{751}{45}\zeta_{3}^{3}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(-\frac{2796859}{4032}\zeta_{7} + \frac{37751}{36}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} - \frac{653}{5}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{39277}{60}\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{5465129}{1080}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} - \frac{378593}{81}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} + \frac{53058307}{126000}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.50) \\ I_{4}^{(24)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}}\left(-\frac{5}{576}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}\left(\frac{65}{144}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}}\left(\frac{1645}{864}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(-\frac{109}{40}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(\frac{2093}{288}\zeta_{5} - \frac{9361}{216}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(-\frac{166229}{2592}\zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{289223}{10080}\zeta_{3}^{3}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{995315}{4032}\zeta_{7} - \frac{24133}{36}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{39527}{120}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{1533}{40}\zeta_{5,3} - \frac{339469}{432}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} + \frac{134365}{81}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} + \frac{29437571}{1008000}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.51) \\ I_{5}^{(25)} &= I_{p,19}^{(25)}, \quad (3.52) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} I_{6}^{(26)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}} \left(-\frac{25}{576} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}} \left(\frac{313}{288} \zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}} \left(\frac{1241}{216} \zeta_{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}} \left(-\frac{3671}{720} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}} \left(\frac{275}{9} \zeta_{5} - \frac{7033}{54} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(-\frac{210031}{648} \zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{9349}{105} \zeta_{3}^{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{3509717}{2016} \zeta_{7} - \frac{366929}{180} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{2} + \frac{284633}{540} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{10763}{6} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{3150517}{540} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{3} + \frac{1847833}{324} \zeta_{3}^{2} \zeta_{2} + \frac{2984111}{1575} \zeta_{2}^{4} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{split}$$
(3.53)
$$I_{7}^{(26)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}} \left(\frac{1}{288} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}} \left(\frac{1}{144} \zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}} \left(\frac{209}{216} \zeta_{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}} \left(\frac{43}{40} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}} \left(-\frac{5761}{360} \zeta_{5} + \frac{59}{27} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(\frac{27179}{648} \zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{17501}{2520} \zeta_{2}^{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{4704689}{2016} \zeta_{7} + \frac{22139}{180} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{2} + \frac{11471}{60} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{64211}{10} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{4526447}{540} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{3} - \frac{41461}{81} \zeta_{3}^{2} \zeta_{2} + \frac{1215668297}{252000} \zeta_{2}^{4} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$
(3.54)
$$I_{8}^{(27)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}} \left(-\frac{1}{64} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}} \left(\frac{5}{24} \zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}} \left(\frac{55}{48} \zeta_{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}} \left(\frac{49}{320} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}} \left(\frac{1183}{240} \zeta_{5} - \frac{3395}{288} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(-\frac{20561}{576} \zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{39377}{1680} \zeta_{3}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{8686441}{5376} \zeta_{7} + \frac{217}{6} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{2} + \frac{1617}{20} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{233423}{80} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{882581}{288} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{3} + \frac{136097}{108} \zeta_{3}^{2} \zeta_{2} - \frac{412195213}{168000} \zeta_{4}^{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$
(3.55)
$$I_{9}^{(28)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}} \left(-\frac{1}{96} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}} \left(\frac{97}{288} \zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}} \left(\frac{271}{144} \zeta_{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}} \left(-\frac{3793}{2880} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{4291}{120} \zeta_5 - \frac{21359}{432} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-\frac{19235}{144} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{1397}{576} \zeta_2^3 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{909513}{896} \zeta_7 - \frac{420149}{720} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{487043}{4320} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{23879}{40} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{1003741}{180} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{4318261}{1296} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{1298874221}{2016000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.56) \end{aligned}$$

$$I_{10}^{(29)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(-\frac{1}{1152} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{1}{576} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{13}{1728} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{169}{640} \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{26357}{2880} \zeta_5 + \frac{685}{1728} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{186637}{10368} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{57191}{5040} \zeta_2^3 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{4990045}{8064} \zeta_7 - \frac{504581}{2880} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{13379}{960} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{86383}{480} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{1612597}{8640} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{1324753}{2592} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{134849039}{504000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.57) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} I_{12}^{(27)} &= I_{p,20}^{(20)}, \end{split} \tag{3.58} \\ I_{12}^{(27)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{35}{1152} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{73}{192} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{1015}{432} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{4069}{1440} \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(-\frac{8693}{144} \zeta_5 + \frac{5809}{288} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{260783}{5184} \zeta_3^2 - \frac{36499}{2240} \zeta_2^3 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{57455}{288} \zeta_7 + \frac{255661}{480} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{85981}{432} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{10607}{5} \zeta_{5,3} + \frac{2369983}{864} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{23653}{48} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{4065832699}{2016000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{split} \tag{3.59} \\ I_{13}^{(28)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(-\frac{13}{1152} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{35}{192} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(\frac{305}{432} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{461}{1440} \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{4001}{180} \zeta_5 - \frac{461}{72} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{11243}{324} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{4295}{336} \zeta_3^3 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{1798807}{8064} \zeta_7 - \frac{3411}{16} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 + \frac{2686}{27} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \end{split}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{535}{8}\zeta_{5,3} - \frac{229363}{108}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} - \frac{34495}{144}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{12987991}{50400}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$
(3.60)

$$I_{14}^{(29)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}}\left(-\frac{1}{32}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(\frac{9}{320}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(-\frac{371}{96}\zeta_{5} + \frac{91}{48}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right)
+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(-\frac{223}{96}\zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{653}{576}\zeta_{2}^{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(-\frac{4871}{48}\zeta_{7} + \frac{789}{8}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{2287}{480}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right)
+ \left(\frac{7507}{60}\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{421943}{720}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} + \frac{2945}{144}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} + \frac{13457111}{672000}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$
(3.61)

$$I_{15}^{(29)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}}\left(-\frac{1}{18}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}\left(\frac{53}{48}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}}\left(\frac{2621}{432}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(-\frac{1423}{1440}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right)
+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(\frac{54437}{720}\zeta_{5} - \frac{7751}{72}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(-\frac{413683}{1296}\zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{410153}{10080}\zeta_{2}^{3}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{12394561}{8064} \zeta_7 - \frac{386357}{240} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 - \frac{221929}{2160} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{13907}{120} \zeta_{5,3} - \frac{1866583}{270} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 + \frac{664117}{144} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 - \frac{251120053}{1008000} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned} \tag{3.62} \\ I_{16}^{(30)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{7}{192} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(-\frac{35}{96} \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{271}{144} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(-\frac{49}{160} \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(-\frac{6037}{240} \zeta_5 + \frac{3343}{144} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{42271}{864} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{1711}{315} \zeta_3^3 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{32891}{96} \zeta_7 + \frac{22439}{48} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 - \frac{3241}{216} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(-256\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{280219}{720} \zeta_5 \zeta_3 - \frac{166087}{216} \zeta_3^2 \zeta_2 + \frac{2656651}{16800} \zeta_2^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned} \tag{3.63} \\ I_{17}^{(30)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(-\frac{1}{32} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{37}{960} \zeta_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{49}{96} \zeta_5 + \frac{9}{16} \zeta_3 \zeta_2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-\frac{625}{96} \zeta_3^2 + \frac{81401}{20160} \zeta_2^3 \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{307}{16} \zeta_7 + \frac{703}{6} \zeta_5 \zeta_2 - \frac{81341}{1440} \zeta_3 \zeta_2^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{3505}{96} \zeta_5 \zeta_5 - \frac{505507}{2} \zeta_5 \zeta_5 + \frac{3259}{2} \zeta_2^2 \zeta_5 + \frac{57616759}{6} \zeta_4^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

$$+ \left(-\frac{1}{24}\zeta_{5,3} - \frac{1}{720}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} + \frac{1}{48}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{1}{403200}\zeta_{2} \right) + O(\epsilon),$$

$$I_{18}^{(30)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}} \left(\frac{1}{288} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}} \left(\frac{11}{288}\zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}} \left(-\frac{1}{864}\zeta_{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}} \left(-\frac{241}{160}\zeta_{2}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}} \left(-\frac{18559}{1440}\zeta_{5} - \frac{9749}{864}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(-\frac{153467}{5184}\zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{763019}{20160}\zeta_{2}^{3} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{1102943}{1008}\zeta_{7} - \frac{249299}{1440}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{2177}{30}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2} \right)$$

$$(3.64)$$

$$+\left(\frac{6098}{15}\zeta_{5,3} - \frac{5763491}{4320}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} + \frac{1405787}{1296}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{2039554577}{2016000}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \qquad (3.65)$$

$$I_{19}^{(22)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^8} \left(\frac{1}{576}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \left(\frac{29}{288}\zeta_2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^5} \left(\frac{209}{432}\zeta_3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \left(\frac{553}{360}\zeta_2^2\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left(\frac{43109}{720}\zeta_5 - \frac{349}{27}\zeta_3\zeta_2\right) \\ + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{57485}{1296}\zeta_3^2 + \frac{142267}{2520}\zeta_2^3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{995699}{252}\zeta_7 - \frac{49408}{45}\zeta_5\zeta_2 + \frac{2279}{108}\zeta_3\zeta_2^2\right) \\ + \left(-\frac{17607}{5}\zeta_{5,3} - \frac{6097903}{1080}\zeta_5\zeta_3 - \frac{980735}{648}\zeta_3^2\zeta_2 + \frac{203235527}{63000}\zeta_2^4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.66)$$

$$I_{20}^{(22)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{1}{4}\zeta_3^2 + \frac{31}{140}\zeta_2^3\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{199}{\epsilon^4}\zeta_7 - \frac{39}{8}\zeta_5\zeta_2 + \frac{7}{\epsilon}\zeta_3\zeta_2^2\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(\frac{4}{400} + \frac{1}{140} \frac{1}{2} \right)^{2} \epsilon \left(-\frac{64}{64} + \frac{8}{8} + \frac{8}{500} + \frac{5}{5002} \right)^{2} + \left(\frac{78}{5} \zeta_{5,3} + -61 \zeta_{5} \zeta_{3} + \frac{13}{8} \zeta_{3}^{2} \zeta_{2} - \frac{515773}{28000} \zeta_{2}^{4} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad (3.67)$$

$$I_{21}^{(24)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}} \left(\frac{5}{576} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}} \left(\frac{37}{288} \zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}} \left(\frac{229}{432} \zeta_{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}} \left(-\frac{541}{360} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}} \left(\frac{1799}{144} \zeta_{5} - \frac{13385}{432} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \left(-\frac{259405}{2592} \zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{222371}{10080} \zeta_{2}^{3} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{858061}{4032} \zeta_{7} - \frac{397679}{720} \zeta_{5} \zeta_{2} - \frac{61453}{2160} \zeta_{3} \zeta_{2}^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{7949}{24}\zeta_{5,3} - \frac{5618761}{2160}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} + \frac{2322067}{1296}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{27047381}{67200}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$
(3.68)

$$I_{22}^{(24)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}}\left(\frac{1}{144}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}\left(-\frac{1}{18}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}}\left(-\frac{263}{216}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(-\frac{3127}{720}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(-\frac{27287}{360}\zeta_{5} + \frac{683}{108}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{35743}{648}\zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{71705}{1008}\zeta_{2}^{3}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(-\frac{9118279}{4032}\zeta_{7} + \frac{161671}{360}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{483137}{1080}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{2023}{12}\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{4183531}{540}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} + \frac{109561}{648}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{30490193}{100800}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$

$$(3.69)$$

$$I_{23}^{(28)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(\frac{1}{4}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(\frac{5}{4}\zeta_{5} + \frac{3}{4}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(-65\zeta_{7} - \frac{443}{4}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{421}{6}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \left(69\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{9349}{12}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} - \frac{111}{2}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{780079}{5600}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$

$$(3.70)$$

We also provide these analytical solutions in the supplementary material of this paper. Our results are expressed in terms of regular and multiple zeta values

$$\zeta_i = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^i} \quad (i = 2, \dots, 7), \qquad \zeta_{5,3} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{m^5 n^3} \approx 0.0377076729848.$$
(3.71)

We see from eqs. (3.16)–(3.70) that the integrals $I_i^{(n_i)}$ through to weight 8 are of uniform transcendental weight. Moreover, we reduced several of the master integrals $I_i^{(n_i)}$ to the UT basis integrals employed in our differential equations calculations and found the coefficients to be rational numbers, showing that their UT property extends to higher orders in ϵ as well.

4 Result for the Sudakov form factor

Inserting our results for the master integrals in the reduced expression (2.4) we obtain for the four-loop contribution to the Sudakov form factor

$$F_{4} = \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^{8}}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{6}}\left(\frac{2}{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5}}\left(-\frac{38}{9}\zeta_{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{4}}\left(\frac{5}{18}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3}}\left(\frac{1082}{15}\zeta_{5} + \frac{23}{3}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{10853}{54}\zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{95477}{945}\zeta_{3}^{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\frac{541619}{126}\zeta_{7} - \frac{15529}{45}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{39067}{135}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) + \left(-\frac{808}{45}\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{499927}{45}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} - \frac{35707}{27}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} + \frac{71888861}{31500}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(18\zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{372}{35}\zeta_{2}^{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(-\frac{2613}{4}\zeta_{7} - 192\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{138}{5}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) + \left(390\zeta_{5,3} - 7638\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} - 24\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{248383}{175}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\right].$$

$$(4.1)$$

While the poles in ϵ are known, the finite term of the form factor is new and represents the main result of this paper.

It is useful to consider the logarithm of the form factor

$$\ln(F) = \ln\left(1 + az^{\epsilon}F_1 + a^2 z^{2\epsilon}F_2 + a^3 z^{3\epsilon}F_3 + a^4 z^{4\epsilon}F_4 + \dots\right)$$
(4.2)

$$= az^{\epsilon}F_{1} + a^{2}z^{2\epsilon}\left(F_{2} - \frac{1}{2}F_{1}^{2}\right) + a^{3}z^{3\epsilon}\left(F_{3} - F_{2}F_{1} + \frac{1}{3}F_{1}^{3}\right) + a^{4}z^{4\epsilon}\left(F_{4} - F_{3}F_{1} - \frac{1}{2}F_{2}^{2} + F_{2}F_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{4}F_{1}^{4}\right) + \dots,$$

$$(4.3)$$

which can be written in the form [30, 77]

$$\ln(F) = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} a^L z^{L\epsilon} \left(-\frac{\Gamma_L}{2(L\epsilon)^2} - \frac{\mathcal{G}_L}{2L\epsilon} + L_L^{\text{fin}} \right), \tag{4.4}$$

defining the finite remainders L_L^{fin} . The pole terms are fixed by the cusp anomalous dimension [9, 10]

$$\Gamma = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} a^L \Gamma_L \tag{4.5}$$

$$= a(4) + a^{2}(-8\zeta_{2}) + a^{3}(88\zeta_{4}) + a^{4}\left(-876\zeta_{6} - 32\zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}}\left[-1488\zeta_{6} - 576\zeta_{3}^{2}\right]\right) + \mathcal{O}(a^{5})$$

$$(4.6)$$

and the collinear anomalous dimension [12]

$$\mathcal{G} = \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} a^L \mathcal{G}_L \tag{4.7}$$

$$= a^{2}(-4\zeta_{3}) + a^{3}\left(32\zeta_{5} + \frac{80}{3}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right)$$

$$(4.8)$$

$$+a^{4}\left(-300\zeta_{7}-256\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2}-384\zeta_{4}\zeta_{3}+\frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}}\left[5226\zeta_{7}+1536\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2}-552\zeta_{4}\zeta_{3}\right]\right)+\mathcal{O}(a^{5}).$$

Using the one-, two- and three-loop results of [35] and our four-loop result (4.1), we find for the finite remainders of the logarithm of the form factor

$$\begin{split} L_{1}^{\text{fin}} &= (\zeta_{2}) + \epsilon \left(\frac{14}{3}\zeta_{3}\right) + \epsilon^{2} \left(\frac{47}{20}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) + \epsilon^{3} \left(\frac{62}{5}\zeta_{5} - \frac{7}{3}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + \epsilon^{4} \left(-\frac{49}{9}\zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{949}{280}\zeta_{2}^{3}\right) \quad (4.9) \\ &+ \epsilon^{5} \left(\frac{254}{7}\zeta_{7} - \frac{31}{5}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} - \frac{329}{60}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) + \epsilon^{6} \left(-\frac{434}{15}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} + \frac{49}{18}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} + \frac{55779}{11200}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{7}) \,, \\ L_{2}^{\text{fin}} &= \epsilon \left(39\zeta_{5} - \frac{5}{3}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}\right) + \epsilon^{2} \left(\frac{235}{3}\zeta_{3}^{2} + \frac{2623}{70}\zeta_{2}^{3}\right) + \epsilon^{3} \left(978\zeta_{7} - \frac{437}{5}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} + \frac{219}{2}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \epsilon^{4} \left(-264\zeta_{5,3} + \frac{2238}{5}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} - \frac{1351}{9}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} + 508\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{5}) \,, \\ L_{3}^{\text{fin}} &= \left(-\frac{104}{9}\zeta_{3}^{2} - \frac{12352}{945}\zeta_{2}^{3}\right) + \epsilon \left(-\frac{21181}{18}\zeta_{7} + \frac{748}{9}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{2} - \frac{856}{45}\zeta_{3}\zeta_{2}^{2}\right) \\ &+ \epsilon^{2} \left(-\frac{11368}{45}\zeta_{5,3} - \frac{15376}{3}\zeta_{5}\zeta_{3} + \frac{4228}{9}\zeta_{3}^{2}\zeta_{2} - \frac{1989614}{3375}\zeta_{2}^{4}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3}) \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$L_4^{\text{fin}} = \frac{24}{5}\zeta_{5,3} + 184\zeta_5\zeta_3 + 41\zeta_3^2\zeta_2 + \frac{1505381}{10500}\zeta_2^4 + \frac{1}{N_c^2} \left[390\zeta_{5,3} - 7638\zeta_5\zeta_3 - 24\zeta_3^2\zeta_2 - \frac{248383}{175}\zeta_2^4 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \,. \tag{4.12}$$

We observe that the subtraction of exponentiated terms leads to somewhat simpler rational prefactors in the finite remainder (4.12) compared to the weight 8 terms of (4.1).

5 Conclusions

We presented the analytical calculation of the Sudakov form factor in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory to four loop order. To solve the master integrals to weight 8, we employed direct parametric integrations and the method of differential equations with an auxiliary scale.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that a form factor has been computed to four-loop order in full-color Yang-Mills theory, and we hope that our explicit results are helpful in further studies of formal and phenomenological theories at high perturbative orders. The master integrals entering the present calculation form a subset of the most complicated integrals needed for general massless three-point functions with one off-shell leg. Our methods allow us to calculate also the remaining master integrals, providing the last missing building block for the calculation of the massless corrections to the quarkphoton vertex and the effective gluon-Higgs vertex in four-loop Quantum Chromodynamics.

Acknowledgments

AvM and RMS gratefully acknowledge Erik Panzer for related collaborations. This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Grant No. 396021762 — TRR 257 "Particle Physics Phenomenology after the Higgs Discovery" and by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant No. 2013859 "Multi-loop amplitudes and precise predictions for the LHC". The work of AVS and VAS was supported by the Russian Science Foundation under Agreement No. 21-71-30003 (IBP reduction) and by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation as part of the program of the Moscow Center for Fundamental and Applied Mathematics under Agreement No. 075-15-2019-1621 (numerical checks of results for the master integrals with FIESTA). We acknowledge the High Performance Computing Center at Michigan State University for computing resources. The Feynman diagrams were drawn with the help of Axodraw [78] and JaxoDraw [79].

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

 L. Brink, J.H. Schwarz and J. Scherk, Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories, Nucl. Phys. B 121 (1977) 77 [INSPIRE].

- [2] F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk and D.I. Olive, Supersymmetry, supergravity theories and the dual spinor model, Nucl. Phys. B 122 (1977) 253 [INSPIRE].
- [3] J.M. Henn, What can we learn about QCD and collider physics from N=4 super Yang-Mills?, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 71 (2021) 87 [arXiv:2006.00361] [INSPIRE].
- [4] G.P. Korchemsky and A.V. Radyushkin, Renormalization of the Wilson loops beyond the leading order, Nucl. Phys. B 283 (1987) 342 [INSPIRE].
- [5] N. Beisert, B. Eden and M. Staudacher, Transcendentality and crossing, J. Stat. Mech. 0701 (2007) P01021 [hep-th/0610251] [INSPIRE].
- [6] A. Grozin, J. Henn and M. Stahlhofen, On the Casimir scaling violation in the cusp anomalous dimension at small angle, JHEP 10 (2017) 052 [arXiv:1708.01221] [INSPIRE].
- [7] J.M. Henn, T. Peraro, M. Stahlhofen and P. Wasser, Matter dependence of the four-loop cusp anomalous dimension, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 201602 [arXiv:1901.03693] [INSPIRE].
- [8] R.N. Lee, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, *Four-loop quark form factor with quartic fundamental colour factor*, *JHEP* **02** (2019) 172 [arXiv:1901.02898] [INSPIRE].
- [9] J.M. Henn, G.P. Korchemsky and B. Mistlberger, The full four-loop cusp anomalous dimension in N = 4 super Yang-Mills and QCD, JHEP 04 (2020) 018 [arXiv:1911.10174] [INSPIRE].
- [10] T. Huber, A. von Manteuffel, E. Panzer, R.M. Schabinger and G. Yang, The four-loop cusp anomalous dimension from the N = 4 Sudakov form factor, Phys. Lett. B 807 (2020) 135543 [arXiv:1912.13459] [INSPIRE].
- [11] L.J. Dixon, The principle of maximal transcendentality and the four-loop collinear anomalous dimension, JHEP 01 (2018) 075 [arXiv:1712.07274] [INSPIRE].
- B. Agarwal, A. von Manteuffel, E. Panzer and R.M. Schabinger, Four-loop collinear anomalous dimensions in QCD and N = 4 super Yang-Mills, Phys. Lett. B 820 (2021) 136503 [arXiv:2102.09725] [INSPIRE].
- [13] B.A. Kniehl and V.N. Velizhanin, Non-planar universal anomalous dimension of twist-two operators with general Lorentz spin at four loops in N = 4 SYM theory, Nucl. Phys. B 968 (2021) 115429 [arXiv:2103.16420] [INSPIRE].
- Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon and V.A. Smirnov, Iteration of planar amplitudes in maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at three loops and beyond, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 085001
 [hep-th/0505205] [INSPIRE].
- [15] V. Del Duca, C. Duhr and V.A. Smirnov, The two-loop hexagon Wilson loop in N = 4 SYM, JHEP 05 (2010) 084 [arXiv:1003.1702] [INSPIRE].
- [16] S. Caron-Huot, L.J. Dixon, F. Dulat, M. von Hippel, A.J. McLeod and G. Papathanasiou, Six-gluon amplitudes in planar $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory at six and seven loops, JHEP 08 (2019) 016 [arXiv:1903.10890] [INSPIRE].
- [17] L.J. Dixon, A.J. McLeod and M. Wilhelm, A three-point form factor through five loops, JHEP 04 (2021) 147 [arXiv:2012.12286] [INSPIRE].
- [18] A. Brandhuber, M. Kostacinska, B. Penante and G. Travaglini, $Tr(F^3)$ supersymmetric form factors and maximal transcendentality Part I: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills, JHEP 12 (2018) 076 [arXiv:1804.05703] [INSPIRE].

- [19] T. Ahmed, P. Banerjee, A. Chakraborty, P.K. Dhani and V. Ravindran, Form factors with two operator insertions and the principle of maximal transcendentality, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 061701 [arXiv:1911.11886] [INSPIRE].
- [20] A. Sever, A.G. Tumanov and M. Wilhelm, Operator product expansion for form factors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 031602 [arXiv:2009.11297] [INSPIRE].
- [21] G. Lin and G. Yang, Non-planar form factors of generic local operators via on-shell unitarity and color-kinematics duality, JHEP 04 (2021) 176 [arXiv:2011.06540] [INSPIRE].
- [22] B. Penante, On-shell methods for off-shell quantities in N = 4 super Yang-Mills: from scattering amplitudes to form factors and the dilatation operator, arXiv:1608.01634 [INSPIRE].
- [23] G. Yang, On-shell methods for form factors in N = 4 SYM and their applications, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. 63 (2020) 270001 [arXiv:1912.11454] [INSPIRE].
- [24] A.H. Mueller, On the Asymptotic Behavior of the Sudakov Form-factor, Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 2037 [INSPIRE].
- [25] J.C. Collins, Algorithm to compute corrections to the Sudakov form-factor, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 1478 [INSPIRE].
- [26] A. Sen, Asymptotic behavior of the Sudakov form-factor in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 24 (1981) 3281 [INSPIRE].
- [27] L. Magnea and G.F. Sterman, Analytic continuation of the Sudakov form-factor in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 4222 [INSPIRE].
- [28] G.F. Sterman and M.E. Tejeda-Yeomans, Multiloop amplitudes and resummation, Phys. Lett. B 552 (2003) 48 [hep-ph/0210130] [INSPIRE].
- [29] V. Ravindran, J. Smith and W.L. van Neerven, Two-loop corrections to Higgs boson production, Nucl. Phys. B 704 (2005) 332 [hep-ph/0408315] [INSPIRE].
- [30] S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, The quark form-factor at higher orders, JHEP 08 (2005) 049 [hep-ph/0507039] [INSPIRE].
- [31] S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, Three-loop results for quark and gluon form-factors, Phys. Lett. B 625 (2005) 245 [hep-ph/0508055] [INSPIRE].
- [32] L.J. Dixon, L. Magnea and G.F. Sterman, Universal structure of subleading infrared poles in gauge theory amplitudes, JHEP 08 (2008) 022 [arXiv:0805.3515] [INSPIRE].
- [33] G. Falcioni, E. Gardi and C. Milloy, Relating amplitude and PDF factorisation through Wilson-line geometries, JHEP 11 (2019) 100 [arXiv:1909.00697] [INSPIRE].
- [34] W.L. van Neerven, Infrared behavior of on-shell form-factors in a N = 4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills field theory, Z. Phys. C 30 (1986) 595 [INSPIRE].
- [35] T. Gehrmann, J.M. Henn and T. Huber, The three-loop form factor in N = 4 super Yang-Mills, JHEP 03 (2012) 101 [arXiv:1112.4524] [INSPIRE].
- [36] R.H. Boels, B.A. Kniehl, O.V. Tarasov and G. Yang, Color-kinematic duality for form factors, JHEP 02 (2013) 063 [arXiv:1211.7028] [INSPIRE].
- [37] G. Yang, Color-kinematics duality and Sudakov form factor at five loops for N = 4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 271602 [arXiv:1610.02394]
 [INSPIRE].

- [38] J.M. Henn, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, A planar four-loop form factor and cusp anomalous dimension in QCD, JHEP 05 (2016) 066 [arXiv:1604.03126] [INSPIRE].
- [39] J. Henn, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov, M. Steinhauser and R.N. Lee, Four-loop photon quark form factor and cusp anomalous dimension in the large-N_c limit of QCD, JHEP 03 (2017) 139 [arXiv:1612.04389] [INSPIRE].
- [40] R.N. Lee, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, The n²_f contributions to fermionic four-loop form factors, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 014008 [arXiv:1705.06862]
 [INSPIRE].
- [41] A. von Manteuffel and R.M. Schabinger, Quark and gluon form factors to four-loop order in QCD: the N³_f contributions, Phys. Rev. D 95 (2017) 034030 [arXiv:1611.00795] [INSPIRE].
- [42] A. von Manteuffel and R.M. Schabinger, Quark and gluon form factors in four loop QCD: The N_f^2 and $N_{q\gamma}N_f$ contributions, Phys. Rev. D **99** (2019) 094014 [arXiv:1902.08208] [INSPIRE].
- [43] A. von Manteuffel and R.M. Schabinger, Planar master integrals for four-loop form factors, JHEP 05 (2019) 073 [arXiv:1903.06171] [INSPIRE].
- [44] A. von Manteuffel, E. Panzer and R.M. Schabinger, Cusp and collinear anomalous dimensions in four-loop QCD from form factors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (2020) 162001
 [arXiv:2002.04617] [INSPIRE].
- [45] R.N. Lee, A. von Manteuffel, R.M. Schabinger, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, *Fermionic corrections to quark and gluon form factors in four-loop QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* 104 (2021) 074008 [arXiv:2105.11504] [INSPIRE].
- [46] R.H. Boels, T. Huber and G. Yang, The Sudakov form factor at four loops in maximal super Yang-Mills theory, JHEP 01 (2018) 153 [arXiv:1711.08449] [INSPIRE].
- [47] F. Brown, The massless higher-loop two-point function, Commun. Math. Phys. 287 (2009)
 925 [arXiv:0804.1660] [INSPIRE].
- [48] F.C.S. Brown, On the periods of some Feynman integrals, arXiv:0910.0114 [INSPIRE].
- [49] E. Panzer, On hyperlogarithms and Feynman integrals with divergences and many scales, JHEP 03 (2014) 071 [arXiv:1401.4361] [INSPIRE].
- [50] A. von Manteuffel, E. Panzer and R.M. Schabinger, A quasi-finite basis for multi-loop Feynman integrals, JHEP 02 (2015) 120 [arXiv:1411.7392] [INSPIRE].
- [51] A. von Manteuffel, E. Panzer and R.M. Schabinger, On the computation of form factors in massless QCD with finite master integrals, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 125014
 [arXiv:1510.06758] [INSPIRE].
- [52] R.M. Schabinger, Constructing multiloop scattering amplitudes with manifest singularity structure, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 105010 [arXiv:1806.05682] [INSPIRE].
- [53] B. Agarwal, S.P. Jones and A. von Manteuffel, Two-loop helicity amplitudes for $gg \rightarrow ZZ$ with full top-quark mass effects, JHEP 05 (2021) 256 [arXiv:2011.15113] [INSPIRE].
- [54] A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, *Reduze 2 Distributed Feynman Integral Reduction*, arXiv:1201.4330 [INSPIRE].
- [55] F.V. Tkachov, A theorem on analytical calculability of four loop renormalization group functions, Phys. Lett. B 100 (1981) 65 [INSPIRE].

- [56] K.G. Chetyrkin and F.V. Tkachov, Integration by parts: the algorithm to calculate β -functions in 4 loops, Nucl. Phys. B **192** (1981) 159 [INSPIRE].
- [57] S. Laporta, High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15 (2000) 5087 [hep-ph/0102033] [INSPIRE].
- [58] A. von Manteuffel and R.M. Schabinger, A novel approach to integration by parts reduction, Phys. Lett. B 744 (2015) 101 [arXiv:1406.4513] [INSPIRE].
- [59] R.N. Lee, LiteRed 1.4: a powerful tool for reduction of multiloop integrals, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 523 (2014) 012059 [arXiv:1310.1145] [INSPIRE].
- [60] T. Bitoun, C. Bogner, R.P. Klausen and E. Panzer, Feynman integral relations from parametric annihilators, Lett. Math. Phys. 109 (2019) 497 [arXiv:1712.09215] [INSPIRE].
- [61] E. Panzer, Algorithms for the symbolic integration of hyperlogarithms with applications to Feynman integrals, Comput. Phys. Commun. 188 (2015) 148 [arXiv:1403.3385] [INSPIRE].
- [62] A.V. Kotikov, Differential equations method: new technique for massive Feynman diagrams calculation, Phys. Lett. B 254 (1991) 158 [INSPIRE].
- [63] Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon and D.A. Kosower, Dimensionally regulated pentagon integrals, Nucl. Phys. B 412 (1994) 751 [hep-ph/9306240] [INSPIRE].
- [64] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, Differential equations for two loop four point functions, Nucl. Phys. B 580 (2000) 485 [hep-ph/9912329] [INSPIRE].
- [65] A. Blondel et al., Standard model theory for the FCC-ee Tera-Z stage, in Mini Workshop on Precision EW and QCD Calculations for the FCC Studies: Methods and Techniques, January 12–13, Geneva, Switzerland (2019) [arXiv:1809.01830] [INSPIRE].
- [66] J.M. Henn, A.V. Smirnov and V.A. Smirnov, Evaluating single-scale and/or non-planar diagrams by differential equations, JHEP 03 (2014) 088 [arXiv:1312.2588] [INSPIRE].
- [67] P.A. Baikov and K.G. Chetyrkin, Four loop massless propagators: an algebraic evaluation of all master integrals, Nucl. Phys. B 837 (2010) 186 [arXiv:1004.1153] [INSPIRE].
- [68] R.N. Lee, A.V. Smirnov and V.A. Smirnov, Master integrals for four-loop massless propagators up to transcendentality weight twelve, Nucl. Phys. B 856 (2012) 95 [arXiv:1108.0732] [INSPIRE].
- [69] A.V. Smirnov and F.S. Chuharev, FIRE6: Feynman Integral REduction with modular arithmetic, Comput. Phys. Commun. 247 (2020) 106877 [arXiv:1901.07808] [INSPIRE].
- [70] R.N. Lee, *Presenting LiteRed: a tool for the Loop InTEgrals REDuction*, arXiv:1212.2685 [INSPIRE].
- [71] R.N. Lee, Reducing differential equations for multiloop master integrals, JHEP 04 (2015) 108
 [arXiv:1411.0911] [INSPIRE].
- [72] R.N. Lee and A.A. Pomeransky, Normalized Fuchsian form on Riemann sphere and differential equations for multiloop integrals, arXiv:1707.07856 [INSPIRE].
- [73] R.N. Lee, Libra: A package for transformation of differential systems for multiloop integrals, Comput. Phys. Commun. 267 (2021) 108058 [arXiv:2012.00279] [INSPIRE].
- [74] J.M. Henn, Multiloop integrals in dimensional regularization made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 251601 [arXiv:1304.1806] [INSPIRE].

- [75] S. Arno, D.H. Bailey and H.R.P. Ferguson, Analysis of PSLQ, an integer relation finding algorithm, Math. Comp. 68 (1999) 351.
- [76] A.V. Smirnov, N.D. Shapurov and L.I. Vysotsky, *FIESTA5: numerical high-performance Feynman integral evaluation*, arXiv:2110.11660 [INSPIRE].
- [77] V. Ravindran, Higher-order threshold effects to inclusive processes in QCD, Nucl. Phys. B 752 (2006) 173 [hep-ph/0603041] [INSPIRE].
- [78] J.A.M. Vermaseren, Axodraw, Comput. Phys. Commun. 83 (1994) 45 [INSPIRE].
- [79] D. Binosi and L. Theussl, JaxoDraw: a graphical user interface for drawing Feynman diagrams, Comput. Phys. Commun. 161 (2004) 76 [hep-ph/0309015] [INSPIRE].