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Identifying Performance Descriptors in CO₂ Hydrogenation over Iron-based Catalysts Promoted with Alkali Metals

Qingxin Yang[a], Vita A. Kondratenko[a], Sergey A. Petrov[b], Dmitry E. Doronkin[c], Erisa Saraçi[d], Henrik Lund[a], Aleks Arinchtein[e], Ralph Kraehnert[d], Andrey S. Skrypnik[a, b, e, f], Alexander A. Matvienko[b, e], and Evgenii V. Kondratenko[a]

Abstract: Alkali metal promoters have been widely employed for preparation of heterogeneous catalysts used in many industrially important reactions. However, the fundamentals of their effects are usually difficult to access. Herein, we unravel mechanistic and kinetic aspects of the role of alkali metals in CO₂ hydrogenation over Fe-based catalysts through the state-of-the-art characterization techniques, spatially resolved steady-state and transient kinetic analyses. The promoters affect electronic properties of iron in iron carbides. These carbide characteristics determine catalyst ability to activate H₂, CO and CO₂. The Allen scale electronegativity of alkali metal promoter was successfully correlated with the rates of CO₂ hydrogenation to higher hydrocarbons and CH₄ as well as with the rate constants of individual steps of CO or CO₂ activation. The derived knowledge can be valuable for designing and preparation of catalysts applied in other reactions where such promoters are also used.

Introduction

Greenhouse gases released upon human activities are generally recognized to contribute to the global warming and climate changes. CO₂ emissions from burning fossil fuels are the main contributors to this situation. The utilization of this greenhouse gas as a feedstock in the chemical industry is a promising way to close the carbon cycle and provides a solution for the above-mentioned ecological problems.[1] One attractive approach is CO₂ conversion into chemicals or fuels with H₂ derived from H₂O using renewable electricity.[2] To produce hydrocarbons, CO₂ can be hydrogenated to methanol over one catalyst followed by the conversion of the latter into lower olefins over another catalyst.[3] Alternatively, one catalyst can convert CO₂ into CO via the reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction and subsequently hydrogenates CO to C₂₆-hydrocarbons through the classical Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (CO-FTS).[4] This approach is known as CO₂ Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (CO₂-FTS).

As in CO-FTS related studies, Co- or Fe-based catalysts have also been tested in CO₂-FTS.[1] The former materials, however, tend to produce mainly methane, an undesired product, because of their inefficiency to catalyze the RWGS reaction.[5] Fe-based catalysts can produce both CO and C₂₆-hydrocarbons but also suffer from CH₄ formation.[6] Therefore, it is important to understand fundamentals required for the purposeful development of catalysts with suppressed CH₄ selectivity at industrially relevant degrees of CO₂ conversion.[6] According to our previous statistical analysis of literature data,[8] product selectivity in CO₂-FTS over Fe-based catalysts depends on the kind of promoter for Fe₂O₃, the kind of support, the kind of preparation method of iron oxides and reaction conditions. The fundamentals behind these effects were, however, not clarified. Alkali metals, acting as electronic and/or structural promoters for improving product selectivity and/or activity, are widely used for preparation of catalysts for various hydrogenation processes, including CO-FTS[9], ammonia synthesis[10], selective hydrogenation of alkynes and alkenes[11], as well as CO₂ hydrogenation[12]. Thus, understanding of origin(s) of their promotonal effect is of universal relevance and great interest in the field of heterogeneous catalysts. Sodium or potassium promoters are often reported to reduce CH₄ production in CO₂-FTS over Fe-based catalysts.[13] These promoters are assumed (i) to enhance catalyst basicity required for CO/CO₂ adsorption, (ii) to promote the formation of iron carbides and/or (iii) to suppress H₂ activation. Such statements were, however, mainly made in studies dealing with one of the above-mentioned aspects. To the best of our knowledge, no systematic studies on the origins of such effects were carried out. There are also controversial conclusions about the effect (positive or negative) of alkali metal promoters on catalyst activity in CO- and CO₂-FTS.[9a, 14]
Moreover, some fundamental questions remain still unclear, because a major part of previous studies dealt with sodium or potassium promoters.\textsuperscript{14,15} For example, to what extent does the kind and the content of alkali metal promoter influence the formation of iron carbides? Does the presence of iron carbides with or without an alkali metal promoter guarantee low selectivity to CH\textsubscript{4} in favor of desired C\textsubscript{2}-hydrocarbons? Such gap in the fundamental knowledge of CO\textsubscript{2}-FTS exists since mechanistic and kinetic aspects of product formation have not been thoroughly elucidated. Rigorous kinetic analysis helps to establish activity-selectivity-property relationships.\textsuperscript{16} In particular, spatially resolved kinetic scrutiny allows to understand how reaction rates change along catalyst bed and thus how efficiently catalysts work.\textsuperscript{16} Transient techniques have the potential for providing kinetic information on a near to elementary level.\textsuperscript{17} Among them, temporal analysis of products (TAP) reactor is a unique technique for such purposes due analyzing heterogeneous reaction steps with sub-millisecond resolution under isothermal conditions.\textsuperscript{18} Although TAP studies are carried out in vacuum, they provide useful information about mechanistic and kinetic aspects of adsorption/dissociation of feed components and reaction products that are relevant for catalyst activity and product selectivity in various reactions including CO\textsubscript{2}-FTS.\textsuperscript{19}

Herein, we elucidate the role of iron carbides in CO\textsubscript{2}-FTS and fundamentals affecting their formation/activity to provide basics for purposeful catalyst design. To minimize the contribution of support to the studied reaction, we prepared a series of bulk Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}-based catalysts without or with an alkali metal (Li, Na, K, Rb or Cs) promoter. XRD, Mössbauer spectroscopy and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) were used to analyze the influence of promoter on reaction-induced catalyst restructuring and the relevance of such changes for activity and product selectivity. The fundamentals behind the established differences were rigorously scrutinized through microkinetic analysis of CO\textsubscript{2}, CO, C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} and H\textsubscript{2} activation as well as segmental rate analysis of CO\textsubscript{2} consumption and formation of C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4} and C\textsubscript{2}-hydrocarbons in CO\textsubscript{2}-FTS. We show that the reactivity of iron carbides/Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} towards generation of surface species from CO, CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2} correlates with the Allen scale electronegativity of alkali metals thus proving their role as electronic promoters. This knowledge may be used for design of catalysts with multiple promoters used not only for CO\textsubscript{2}-FTS but also for other hydrogenation reactions.

Results and Discussion

Platform of catalysts and reaction-induced restructuring

To identify potential descriptors governing catalyst activity and product selectivity in CO\textsubscript{2}-FTS, we prepared a series of bulk Fe-based catalysts without or with an alkali metal promoter. They are abbreviated as xAM/Fe (AM: Li, Na, K, Rb or Cs), with x standing for the atomic ratio of the promoter to iron of 0.001, 0.005, 0.02 or 0.05 (Table S1). As-synthesized catalysts are stabilized in the hemaitte phase (α-Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) detected by XRD (Figure S1) and TEM (Figure S2). No obvious segregation of promoter species was observed on the surface of α-Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (Figure S2). Doping of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} even by small alkali metal amounts (M/Fe = 0.001) slows down the rates of all reduction steps from Fe(III) to Fe(0) as evidenced by a shift in the maxima of H\textsubscript{2} consumption to higher temperatures in temperature-programmed tests (Figure S3a, b). The shift becomes stronger with an increase in metal loading (Figure S3a, c).

To check if the different performance of 0.001AM/Fe catalysts in CO\textsubscript{2}-FTS at a certain contact time (Figure 1a) can be explained by their steady-state composition, we characterized spent samples (after 90 h on reaction stream at 300 °C). Their XRD patterns contain the reflexes characteristic for crystalline Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and Fe\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2} (Figure S4). These phases were also detected by Mössbauer spectroscopy (Figure 1b, c; Figure S5, S6) as well as TEM and SAED analyses (Figure S7). No metallic Fe could be identified by all techniques. This component is present in reduced catalysts but converted into Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and iron carbides under CO\textsubscript{2}-FTS conditions. As both crystalline and X-ray amorphous phases can be analyzed by Mössbauer spectroscopy, we used this technique to quantify the fraction of Fe\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2} in spent materials. This fraction in the 0AM/Fe and 0.001AM/Fe catalysts is between 5.3 and 8.7% (Figure 1c). No direct correlation with the kind of promoter could be established. As exemplarily proven for the K/Fe system, the content of Fe\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2} increases from 5.3 to 21.3, 24.7 and 25.2% with an increase in the K/Fe ratio from 0.001 to 0.005, 0.02 and 0.05, respectively. Thus, promoter concentration is the key factor affecting reaction induced transformation of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} to Fe\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2}.

Figure 1d shows the X-ray absorption near edge structure spectra (XANES) of spent catalysts at Fe K-edge. They contain a pre-edge feature at 7114 eV that corresponds to the 1s→3d electronic transition.\textsuperscript{20} The position of this pre-edge peak and the overall shape of the spectra of 0.001AM/Fe coincide with the Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} reference spectrum. Thus, Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} prevails in the tested samples, that is in line with Mössbauer spectroscopic results (Figure 1c).

The spectrum of 0.05K/Fe shows a higher pre-edge (still at 7114 eV corresponding to both Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} and Fe\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2}) and lower white line intensities indicating a significant fraction of Fe\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2} in a mixture with Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}. Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra of spent catalysts are similar to that of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (Figure S8).

This result further proves the predominantly oxidic nature of iron in 0AM/Fe and 0.001AM/Fe samples. For 0.05K/Fe catalyst, Fe-
O contribution decreases in height and a shoulder at 1.84 Å appears, possibly due to Fe-Fe scattering indicating higher fraction of reduced iron, tentatively in a form of Fe carbide(s). In summary, although the unpromoted and 0.001 AM/Fe spent catalysts possess a similar concentration of Fe$_2$O$_3$, they differ strongly in the selectivity to CH$_4$ and C$_2$-hydrocarbons at a close degree of CO$_2$ conversion (Figure 1a). What are the origins behind the effect of alkali metal promoter on product selectivity? To answer this question, we carried out a series of kinetic and mechanistic tests described below.

**Effects of alkali metal promoters on activation of CO$_2$, CO, C$_2$H$_4$ and H$_2$**

Our working hypothesis is that promoters govern the ability of Fe$_2$O$_3$ to adsorb/dissociate CO$_2$, CO, C$_2$H$_4$ and H$_2$, with these steps being relevant for catalyst activity and product selectivity in CO$_2$-FTS. The olefin was selected as a probe molecule for investigating adsorption/desorption properties affecting the olefin/paraffin ratio. Pulse experiments were conducted in the TAP reactor at 300°C with reduced (Fe$_2$O$_4$ and metallic Fe coexist) or spent (Fe$_2$O$_4$ and Fe$_2$C$_2$ coexist, no metallic Fe is present) 0.001 AM/Fe catalysts using mixtures of Ar and one of the above-mentioned components with the component ratio of 1. The catalysts with the lowest promoter-loading were selected to minimize the contribution of the direct interaction of the promoter with the reactants.

No CO was observed in CO$_2$/Ar pulse experiments. To check if CO$_2$ interacts reversibly or irreversibly, we transformed the experimental responses of CO$_2$ and Ar into a dimensionless form as suggested in Ref. [21]. The dimensionless Ar response stands for pure diffusion process. The CO$_2$ response crosses the Ar response (Figure S9, S10) irrespective of the absence or the presence of alkali metal promoter as well as of the catalyst state (reduced or spent). This is a fingerprint of reversible adsorption of CO$_2$. On this basis we developed various microkinetic models (Table S2) and applied them for fitting the experimental CO$_2$ responses.

The model considering a reversible and dissociative CO$_2$ adsorption (Scheme 1a) describes the experimental CO$_2$ responses over reduced and spent catalysts with the smallest deviation (Figure S11–S13, Table S3). The reliability of the obtained kinetic parameters was proven by sensitivity and correlation analyses (Table S4, S5). The reduced and spent catalysts strongly differ in the obtained kinetic parameters of each step (Table S4). Which catalyst components, i.e., Fe (present in reduced catalysts only), Fe$_2$O$_4$ (present both in reduced and spent catalysts) or Fe$_2$C$_2$ (present in spent catalysts only), mainly contribute to CO$_2$ activation? Although both reduced and spent catalysts possess mainly Fe$_2$O$_4$, they significantly differ in CO$_2$ desorption profiles and the number of adsorption sites determined.

The catalysts with the lowest promoter loading were selected to minimize the contribution of the direct interaction of the promoter with the reactants.

**Figure 2.** Rate constants of (a, b) CO$_2$ adsorption ($k_{ads}^{0.001AM/Fe}$ (CO$_2$)) and dissociation of adsorbed CO$_2$ ($k_{diss}^{0.001AM/Fe}$ (CO$_2$)) (see Scheme 1a), (c, d) CO adsorption ($k_{ads}^{0.001AM/Fe}$ (CO)) and dissociation of adsorbed CO ($k_{diss}^{0.001AM/Fe}$ (CO)) (Scheme 1b) as well as (e, f) fraction of HD determined in H/D exchange experiments at 300°C. Panels (a, c, e) and (b, d, f) distinguish reduced and spent catalysts, respectively.
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from temperature-programmed tests (Figure S14, S15). Thus, Fe$_2$O$_3$ does not seem to be the main catalyst component participating in CO$_2$ activation. The alkali metal promoters are also not exclusively involved in this process; otherwise, no differences in the amount of CO$_2$ desorbed from reduced and spent catalysts could be observed. On this basis, it is proposed that the contribution of Fe$_2$C$_2$ (present in spent catalysts) in CO$_2$ adsorption/activation is higher than that of Fe$_2$O$_3$ (present both in reduced and spent catalysts).

As seen in Figure 2, the kind of alkali promoter seems to affect the ability of Fe and Fe$_2$C$_2$ to adsorb/desorb CO$_2$ and most importantly to dissociate adsorbed CO$_2$ species to surface CO and O. Both the $k_{\text{ads}}$(CO$_2$) (an efficient adsorption constant) and $k_{\text{diss}}$(CO$_2$) (an efficient constant of dissociation of adsorbed CO$_2$) values increase in the order 0.001Li/Fe < 0.001Na/Fe < 0.001K/Fe (Figure 2a, b). In the case of reduced catalysts, these parameters for 0AM/Fe are higher than those for 0.001Li/Fe (Figure 2a). While 0AM/Fe has the lowest values among the spent catalysts (Figure 2b).

Scheme 1. Microkinetic models of reversible and dissociative adsorption of (a) CO$_2$ and (b) CO.

Reduced 0.001Li/Fe interacts very weakly with CO because no obvious difference between the dimensionless CO and Ar response could be identified (Figure S16b). Contrarily, CO interacts stronger and reversibly with spent 0.001Li/Fe and with reduced or spent 0AM/Fe, 0.001Na/Fe and 0.001K/Fe (Figure S16, S17). Simple diffusion model describes satisfactorily the experimental CO response of reduced 0.001Li/Fe but fails for all other reduced or spent catalysts. The model considering a reversible and dissociative CO adsorption (Scheme 1b, Table S6) provides the best fit of the CO responses obtained over these materials (Figure S18, S19; Table S7). The constant of CO adsorption ($k_{\text{ads}}$(CO)) of the reduced 0AM/Fe, 0.001Na/Fe and 0.001K/Fe materials increases in this order (Figure 2c, Table S8).

However, an opposite effect of alkali metal promoter on the constant of CO adsorption on the corresponding spent catalysts was established (Figure 2d). If Fe$_2$O$_3$, the main component in the reduced and spent materials, contributed to CO adsorption, there would be no difference in the $k_{\text{ads}}$(CO) values between these two kinds of catalysts. Thus, metallic Fe and Fe$_2$C$_2$ are responsible for CO adsorption but differ in their reactivity. Their ability towards dissociation of adsorbed CO species increases in the presence of alkali metal promoter (Figure 2c, d).

The kind of alkali metal promoter is also relevant for ethylene adsorption. Based on the analysis of dimensionless responses of Ar and C$_2$H$_4$, in Figure S20, the kind (Li, Na or K) of alkali metal promoter affects the strength of ethylene adsorption, which decreases in the order Li > Na > K. The weaker the adsorption, the higher the olefin to paraffin ratio among C$_2$-C$_9$ hydrocarbons is (Figure S21).

HD was observed after pulsing of a H$_2$:D$_2$:Ar = 1:1:1 mixture over reduced or spent catalysts at 300 °C (Figure S22, S23). Its concentration represents the catalyst activity to break the H-H and D-D bonds and to form a new H-D bond. For the reduced catalysts, promoting of Fe$_2$O$_3$ with Li enhances H$_2$ activation, while 0.001Na/Fe and 0.001K/Fe do not differ from 0AM/Fe in this regard (Figure 2e). Spent 0AM/Fe and 0.001Li/Fe also catalyze the H/D exchange but with a lower activity than their reduced counterparts (Figure 2f). This result suggests that Fe$_2$C$_2$ is less active for hydrogen activation than metallic Fe. In comparison with Li, promoting of Fe$_2$O$_3$ with Na or K strongly suppresses the ability of the carbide to activate H$_2$.

Spatially resolved kinetic analysis of CO$_2$-FTS

We also investigated how the kind of promoter and its concentration affect the progress of CO$_2$-FTS along catalyst bed by analyzing segmental rates of overall CO$_2$ consumption ($r$(CO$_2$)) and CO$_2$ conversion into CH$_4$ ($r$(CH$_4$)) and C$_2$-hydrocarbons ($r$(C$_2$-)). Figure 3a shows how the segments are defined, while the corresponding formula is given in equation 1.

$$r_\text{a}(CO_2) = \frac{n_{\text{a}}^{\text{in}} - n_{\text{a}}^{\text{out}}}{m(m-m_{\text{in}})} \text{or } r_\text{a}(i) = \frac{n_\text{a}^{\text{in}} - n_\text{a}^{\text{out}}}{m(m-m_{\text{in}})}$$

where $n$ and $m$ stand for the molar outlet flows of CO$_2$, CH$_4$ or C$_2$-hydrocarbons and catalyst amount, respectively. The superscripts $i$-1 and $a$ are used to distinguish different segments. $r$(CO$_2$) over all catalysts declines downstream from segment to segment due to a decrease in CO$_2$ partial pressure and accordingly transition from differential to integral reactor (Figure 3b, S24a). The strength of this decrease is highly pronounced for 0AM/Fe, Li/Fe, and Na/Fe but is less noticeable for Rb/Fe, K/Fe and Cs/Fe. Thus, the usage of K, Rb or Cs promoters is advantageous for catalyst efficiency in terms of CO$_2$ consumption. However, these promoters lower the intrinsic catalyst activity determined in the first catalyst segment ($r$(CO$_2$)), i.e., under differential reactor operation. The following activity order is established: 0AM/Fe > Li/Fe > Na/Fe > Rb/Fe > K/Fe ~ 1Cs/Fe. For all catalysts, CH$_4$ formation mainly occurs within the first 17% upstream located catalyst layer (Figure 3c, S24b). In terms of CO$_2$ conversion rate into methane in the first segment ($r$(CH$_4$)), the catalysts can be ordered as follows: 0AM/Fe > Li/Fe > Na/Fe > Rb/Fe > K/Fe ~ Cs/Fe. This rate over 0AM/Fe and Li/Fe decreases strongly from segment to segment due to an integral reactor operation. The decrease for the catalysts with Na, K, Rb or Cs is less pronounced.

We also determined $r$(C$_2$) (Figure 3d, S24c). Similar to $r$(CO$_2$) and $r$(CH$_4$), the highest $r$(C$_2$) values for 0AM/Fe, Li/Fe and Na/Fe are achieved in the first (about 1.7%) upstream-located layer. This high activity decreases downstream the catalysts bed strongly due to an integral reactor operation and inhibiting effect of water. This product is known to oxidize iron carbides[23] and to inhibit both RWGS reaction[23] and CO-FTS activity[24].
r(C\textsubscript{2}) over K/Fe, Rb/Fe or Cs/Fe passes a maximum between the first 3.3 and 6.7% of catalyst layers. To compare all the catalysts in terms of their intrinsic activity for CO\textsubscript{2} conversion into C\textsubscript{2+}-hydrocarbons, we use the r(C\textsubscript{2}) values determined in the first catalyst layer, where differential reactor operation can be assumed. The following activity order is obtained: 0AM/Fe ~ Li/Fe ~ Na/Fe >> K/Fe ~ Rb/Fe ~ Cs/Fe. As iron carbides catalyze the formation of C\textsubscript{2+}-hydrocarbons\cite{7}, we can conclude that alkali metal promoters hinder the intrinsic activity of these active species but to a different extent.

**Reaction scheme of product formation in CO\textsubscript{2}-FTS**

Overall scheme of formation of CO, CH\textsubscript{4} and C\textsubscript{2+}-hydrocarbons in CO\textsubscript{2}-FTS was established by analyzing selectivity-conversion relationships for the corresponding products. The relationships were obtained from steady-state tests carried out at different CO\textsubscript{2} conversion degrees. The conversion was varied through changing catalyst amount at a constant total feed flow rate.

CO selectivity has a non-zero value at zero CO\textsubscript{2} conversion and decreases with an increase in the conversion over all catalysts (Figure 4a). This means that CO is directly formed from CO\textsubscript{2} and then consumed in other reactions. To check if CO is hydrogenated to CH\textsubscript{4} we analyze the selectivity-conversion relationship for this product (Figure 4b). This selectivity over 0AM/Fe, 0.001 or 0.05Li/Fe and 0.001 or 0.05Na/Fe does not increase with CO\textsubscript{2} conversion and has a positive value at zero conversion. Thus, CH\textsubscript{4} is not formed over these catalysts from CO but originates mainly through CO\textsubscript{2} hydrogenation. This undesired pathway becomes less important after promoting of α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} with Li or Na and is practically totally suppressed over the catalysts promoted with K, Rb or Cs. Moreover, the higher their loading, the stronger the inhibition (Figure 4b). CH\textsubscript{4} formation over K/Fe, Rb/Fe and Cs/Fe preferentially occurs with CO participation because the selectivity to CH\textsubscript{4} increases, while the selectivity to CO decreases with an increase in CO\textsubscript{2} conversion. The contribution of direct CO\textsubscript{2} hydrogenation to CH\textsubscript{4} was estimated from the ratio of CH\textsubscript{4} selectivity to CO selectivity at zero CO\textsubscript{2} conversion (Table S10). This ratio is 0.75 for 0AM/Fe and decreases to 0.43, 0.39, 0.18, 0.05 for 0.01Li/Fe, 0.05Li/Fe, 0.001Na/Fe and 0.05Na/Fe, respectively. Regardless of the concentration of K, Rb or Cs, this ratio is not higher than 0.05.

For all catalysts, CO is also hydrogenated to C\textsubscript{2+}-hydrocarbons as concluded from the positive effect of CO\textsubscript{2} conversion on the selectivity to these products (Figure 4c). The unpromoted and Li-containing catalysts show higher C\textsubscript{2+}-selectivity below 20% CO\textsubscript{2} conversion than the catalysts promoted with other alkali metals (Figure 4c). The selectivity order becomes, however, opposite at higher conversion degrees. The selectivity-conversion profiles for the 0.05AM/Fe catalysts do not principally differ from those of the 0.001AM/Fe catalysts.

When CO\textsubscript{2} conversion increases, the selectivity to light olefins (C\textsubscript{2}–C\textsubscript{4}) passes a maximum but at different CO\textsubscript{2} conversion degrees depending on the kind of promoter (Figure S25). The initial increase indicates that CO is primary hydrogenated to olefins. They are further hydrogenated to the corresponding alkanes as evidenced by a decrease in the selectivity to the olefins above a certain CO\textsubscript{2} conversion degree. This hydrogenation pathway is hindered by alkali metal promoters. The promoters are ordered according to their inhibition strength as follows Li ≤ Na < K ~ Rb ~ Cs. With exception for 0.05Li/Fe, no extremum in the selectivity to light olefins could be identified for other catalysts with the AM/Fe of 0.05 (Figure S25b), even in the case of CO\textsubscript{2} conversion beyond 30 % (Figure S26).

---

**Figure 3.** (a) Schematic representation how the segments (also see Scheme S1) are defined. The segmental rates of (b) overall CO\textsubscript{2} consumption (r(CO\textsubscript{2})), (c) CH\textsubscript{4} formation (r(CH\textsubscript{4})) and (d) C\textsubscript{2+}-hydrocarbons formation (r(C\textsubscript{2})) over 0AM/Fe and 0.001AM/Fe catalysts. Reaction conditions: H\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2} = 3/1/0.3, 15 bar and 300 °C.
In summary, the overall scheme of product formation in CO₂-FTS does not depend on the kind of alkali metal promoter and its loading. The RWGS reaction and CO₂ methanation are two primary reactions running in parallel (Figure 4d). CO is further hydrogenated to CH₄ and C₂+-hydrocarbons. The rates of all these pathways are affected by the promoter and its loading. The below analysis is aimed to provide the fundamentals of these effects.

**Factors affecting activity and product selectivity**

To rationalize the promoter-dependent changes in catalyst activity (Figure 3, S24) and product selectivity (Figure 4), we combine the spatially resolved and transient kinetics. No correlation could be established between the fraction of iron carbides in 0.001AM/Fe catalysts and product selectivity (Figure 1), while the promoters affect the kinetics of CO₂-CO₂ and H₂ activation (Figure 2). On this basis, we put forward that electronic interactions between the promoter and Fe₅C₂ are relevant for CO₂-FTS. This assumption is based on several studies stressing the importance of local electronic modifications of Fe through K promoter in NH₃ synthesis[25] or in CO-FTS[26]. Our X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic analysis of spent catalysts supports our hypothesis. The binding energy of Fe in Fe₅C₂ is affected by the kind of alkali metal promoter and its loading (Figure S27). Thus, we suggest using the Allen scale electronegativity of alkali metals as a descriptor representing the electronic promoter effects on activity and product selectivity in CO₂-FTS.

To support this suggestion, we correlated the rates of CO₂ conversion into CH₄ and C₂+-hydrocarbons in the first catalyst layer segment (differential reactor operation) with the difference (ΔEN) between the electronegativity of Fe and alkali metal for 0.001AM/Fe (Figure 5a, b) and 0.05AM/Fe (Figure S28a, b) catalysts. These rates decrease with an increase in ΔEN. This correlation can be explained by electronic promoter effects on individual steps of activation of CO₂ and CO. The rate constants of CO₂ adsorption (k_{ads}(CO₂), Figure 5c) and dissociation of...
adsorbed CO$_2$ ($k_{\text{eff}}$ (CO$_2$)). Figure S29a) increase with an increase in $\Delta$EN but to a different extent as evidenced by an increase in the ratio of $k_{\text{ads}}$(CO) to $k_{\text{ads}}$(CO$_2$) (Figure S29b). The equilibrium constant of CO$_2$ adsorption, that is expressed as $k_{\text{eq}}$ (CO$_2$)/$k_{\text{eq}}$(CO), increases, too.

A negative effect of $\Delta$EN was established for the rate constant of CO adsorption ($k_{\text{eff}}$(CO)) (Figure 5d). Although it was impossible to precisely determine the rate constant of dissociation of adsorbed CO ($k_{\text{eff}}$(CO)) for 0.001K/Fe, an increase in this constant from 0AM/Fe to 0.001Li/Fe and 0.001Na/Fe was established (Figure S30a). Thus, electronic effects seem to be important for breaking the CO bond as indirectly supported by the ratio of $k_{\text{eff}}$(CO) to $k_{\text{eff}}$(CO) (Figure S30b). They are also essential for the activation of H$_2$; the H/D exchange catalyst activity decreases with an increase in the alkali metal electronegativity (Figure S31).

**Figure 5.** The rates of (a) CH$_4$ (n(CH$_4$)) and (b) C$_2$-hydrocarbons (n(C$_2$-)) formation in the first segment (Figure 3a) or the rate constants of adsorption of (c) CO$_2$ ($k_{\text{ads}}$(CO$_2$)) and (d) CO ($k_{\text{ads}}$(CO)) determined for spent catalysts at 300°C versus the difference in the Allen scale electronegativity of iron and alkali metals.

The catalyst ability to activate CO$_2$ and CO can be correlated with the activity in CO$_2$-FTS. The rate of CH$_4$ formation depends on the ratio of $k_{\text{ads}}$(CO) / $k_{\text{ads}}$(CO$_2$), which determines the ability of catalysts to generate surface species from CO$_2$ (Figure S32a). In the case of C$_2$-hydrocarbons, their formation rate increases with $k_{\text{ads}}$(CO) (Figure S32b). Moreover, the overall rate of CO$_2$ consumption decreases with an increase in the $k_{\text{ads}}$(CO) / $k_{\text{ads}}$(CO$_2$) ratio (Figure S33). Too strong CO$_2$ adsorption seems to be detrimental for catalyst activity.

From a selectivity viewpoint, the promoters affect local electronic state of iron in iron carbides (Figure S34) that is important for CO$_2$ activation. Dissociation of adsorbed CO species into the individual surface components is also influenced. The stronger the electronic effect of the promoter, the higher the adsorption strength of CO$_2$ is. This results in an increase in the coverage by C-containing species. Contrarily, the catalyst ability to generate surface hydrogen species from gas-phase H$_2$ and to adsorb CO is inhibited. Olefin adsorption is also hindered. Such multi-effects have consequences for product selectivity because the surface C/H ratio depends on the kinetics of the above interactions. A suitable ratio is required for inhibiting CH$_4$ formation, for the selective production of C$_2$-hydrocarbons and for hindering consecutive hydrogenation of primarily formed olefins to paraffins.

**Conclusion**

Unlike traditionally applied one-contact time measurements for determining reaction rates or overall catalyst activity in CO$_2$-FTS, spatially resolved kinetic analysis enabled us to compare Fe-based catalysts in terms of their intrinsic activity and to determine how the rates change along catalyst bed. In comparison with iron carbides present in the unpromoted catalyst, Li or Na promoters do not practically affect the activity of iron carbides to produce C$_2$-hydrocarbons, while K, Rb or Cs promoters worsen this catalyst property significantly. The rate of CH$_4$ formation is hindered even stronger. Although the overall concentration of iron carbides does not depend on the kind of promoter, the K, Rb or Cs promoters are important for spatial distribution of these active species along the catalyst bed and thus for improving catalyst efficiency to produce C$_2$-hydrocarbons.

The effects of alkali metal promoters were explained by local electronic modifications of iron in iron carbides. The Allen scale electronegativity of the promoters can be used as a descriptor determining both activity and product selectivity. The effectiveness of this descriptor was also proved by the kinetic analysis of CO$_2$, CO, and H$_2$ activation. It suggests that promoters with lower energy of the valence electrons hinder catalyst activity to adsorb CO and H$_2$ but increase CO$_2$ adsorption and dissociation. Thus, we provide fundamentals for tailored design of Fe-based catalysts that can also be applicable beyond this reaction.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under the priority program SPP 2080 (grant no. KO 2261/10-1). Financial support from the Leibniz-Gemeinschaft e.V. is also acknowledged (SAW-2017-LIKAT-1). The authors thank Dr. Stephan Bartling for X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic analyses. The authors acknowledge DESY (Hamburg, Germany), a member of the Helmholtz Association HGF, for providing the experimental facilities. Parts of this research were carried out at PETRA III and we would like to thank Dr. Morgane Desmau and Dr. Edmund Welter for their assistance in using beamline P65.

**Keywords:** CO$_2$ utilization • heterogeneous catalysis • hydrogenation • iron • kinetics

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.
Iron carbides (FeC\textsubscript{x}) presence in Fe-based catalysts does not secure efficient CO\textsubscript{2} hydrogenation to C\textsubscript{2+}-hydrocarbons. The efficiency depends on the ability of FeC\textsubscript{x} to activate CO, CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}. The kinetics of these processes is affected by perturbation of electronic properties of Fe in FeC\textsubscript{x} by an alkali metal (Li, Na, K, Rb or Cs) promoter. This knowledge can be valued for design of catalysts for other reactions where such promoters are used.