High-precision measurement of the W boson mass with the CDF II detector

The mass of the W boson, a mediator of the weak force between elementary particles, is tightly constrained by the symmetries of the standard model of particle physics. The Higgs boson was last missing component of the model. The observation of the Higgs boson as a bound state, a mediatrix of elementary particles, is presently constrained by SM global parameter estimation and the predictive power of the SM, offering a deeper explanation of the Higgs field, its mass of the weak nuclear force, this particle is a key component of the SM framework. Its mass, one of the most important parameters in particle physics, is presently constrained by SM global fits to a relative precision of 0.01%, providing a strong motivation to test the SM by measuring the W boson mass to the same level of precision.

All fundamental particle masses, including that of the W boson, are generated in the SM through interactions with the condensate of the Higgs field in the vacuum. The formation of the condensate and the quantum excitations of this field, the Higgs boson, are presently constrained by SM global parameter estimation and the predictive power of the SM, offering a deeper explanation of the Higgs field, its mass of the weak nuclear force, this particle is a key component of the SM framework. Its mass, one of the most important parameters in particle physics, is presently constrained by SM global fits to a relative precision of 0.01%, providing a strong motivation to test the SM by measuring the W boson mass to the same level of precision.

The observation of the Higgs boson (1–4) at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) (5, 6) has validated the last missing piece of the standard model (SM) (7–9) of elementary particle physics. This model, which incorporates quantum mechanics, special relativity, gauge symmetry, and group theory, currently describes most particle physics measurements with high accuracy. It postulates a number of experimentally established symmetries among particle properties, which tightly constrain the parameters of the model from experimental data (10). Given the current experimental precision and the predictive power of the SM, global fits of the model to the data render precise estimates of fundamental parameters, such as the mass of the W boson. As one of the mediators of the weak nuclear force, this particle is a key component of the SM framework. Its mass, one of the most important parameters in particle physics, is presently constrained by SM global fits to a relative precision of 0.01%, providing a strong motivation to test the SM by measuring the W boson mass to the same level of precision.

All fundamental particle masses, including that of the W boson, are generated in the SM through interactions with the condensate of the Higgs field in the vacuum. The formation of the condensate and the quantum excitations of this field, the Higgs boson, are presently constrained by SM global parameter estimation and the predictive power of the SM, offering a deeper explanation of the Higgs field, its mass of the weak nuclear force, this particle is a key component of the SM framework. Its mass, one of the most important parameters in particle physics, is presently constrained by SM global fits to a relative precision of 0.01%, providing a strong motivation to test the SM by measuring the W boson mass to the same level of precision.
references therein). Many of these hypotheses include a source of dark matter, which is currently believed to comprise ~84% of the matter in the universe (10) but cannot be accounted for in the SM. Evidence for dark matter is provided by the abnormally high speeds of revolutions of stars at large radii in galaxies, the velocities of galaxies in galaxy clusters, x-ray emissions sensing the temperature of hot gas in galaxy clusters, and the weak gravitational lensing of background galaxies by clusters ([13, 14] and references therein). The additional symmetries and fields in these extensions to the SM would modify (15–24) the estimated mass of the W boson (Fig. 1) relative to the SM expectation (10) of $M_W = 80.357 \pm 4_{\text{inputs}} \pm 4_{\text{theory}} \text{MeV} (25)$. The SM expectation is derived from a combination of analytical relations from perturbative expansions on the basis of the internal symmetries of the theory and a set of high-precision measurement of observables, including the Z and Higgs boson masses, the top-quark mass, the electromagnetic (EM) coupling, and the muon lifetime, which are used as inputs to the analytical relations. The uncertainties in the SM expectation arise from uncertainties in the data-constrained input parameters (10) and from missing higher-order terms in the perturbative SM calculation (26, 27). An example of a nonsupersymmetric SM extension is a modified Higgs sector that includes an additional scalar field with no SM gauge interactions, which predicts an $M_W$ shift of up to ~100 MeV (17), depending on the mass of the additional scalar particle and its interaction with the SM Higgs boson. A light (heavy) additional scalar particle would induce a positive (negative) $M_W$ shift. Similar but smaller shifts of 20 to 40 MeV have been calculated in an extension that contains a second Higgs-like field with the same gauge charges as the SM Higgs field (18). Implications of very weakly interacting new particles such as dark photons (19), restoration of parity conservation in the weak interaction (20), the possible composite nature of the Higgs boson (21), and model-independent modifications of the Higgs boson’s interactions (22–24) have also been evaluated.

Previous analyses (28–44) yield a value of $M_W = 80.385 \pm 0.05 \text{MeV} (45)$ from the combination of Large Electron-Positron (LEP) collider and Fermilab Tevatron collider measurements. The ATLAS Collaboration has recently reported a measurement, $M_W = 80.370 \pm 0.019 \text{MeV} (46, 47)$, that is compatible in precision to the Tevatron results. The LEP, Tevatron, and ATLAS measurements have not yet been combined, pending evaluation of uncertainty correlations.

**CDF experiment at Tevatron**

The Fermilab Tevatron produced high yields of W bosons from 2002 to 2011 through quark-antiquark annihilation in collisions of protons ($p$) and antiprotons ($\bar{p}$) at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. The Tevatron has completed the precise experimental measurement of the W boson mass through the combination of the CDF Collaboration’s (46) measurement and the D0 Collaboration’s (47) measurement at the Tevatron. The data collectively yield $M_W = 80.385 \pm 0.019 \text{MeV} (48, 49).$

![Experimental measurements and theoretical predictions for the W boson mass.](https://example.com/fig1.png)

**Fig. 1. Experimental measurements and theoretical predictions for the W boson mass.**

The red continuous ellipse shows the $M_W$ measurement reported in this paper and the global combination of top-quark mass measurements, $m_t = 172.89 \pm 0.59 \text{GeV} (10)$. The correlation between the $M_W$ and $m_t$ measurements is negligible. The gray dashed ellipse, updated (16) from (15), shows the 68% confidence level (CL) region allowed by the previous LEP-Tevatron combination $M_W = 80.385 \pm 0.019 \text{MeV} (45)$ and $m_t (10)$. That combination includes the $M_W$ measurement published by CDF in 2012 (41, 43), which this paper both updates (increasing $M_W$ by 13.5 MeV) and subsumes. As an illustration, the green shaded region (15) shows the predicted mass of the W boson as a function of the top-quark mass $m_t$ in the minimal supersymmetric extension (one of many possible extensions) of the standard model (SM), for a range of supersymmetry model parameters as described in (15). The thick purple line at the lower edge of the green region corresponds to the SM prediction with the Higgs boson mass measured at the LHC (10) used as input. The arrow indicates the variation of the predicted W boson mass as the scale mass of supersymmetric particles is lowered. The supersymmetry model parameter scan is for illustrative purposes and does not incorporate all exclusions from direct searches at the LHC, unc., uncertainty.
energy of 1.96 TeV. The (anti)quark momentum distributions in the (anti)proton are the best-measured among all constituent partons of the colliding particles. The use of proton-antiproton collisions reduces uncertainties on the momenta of the partons and the corresponding $M_W$ uncertainty relative to the LHC, where $W$ bosons are produced from quarks or antiquarks and gluons, the latter of which have less precisely known momentum distributions. The moderate collision energy at the Tevatron further restricts the parton momenta to a range in which their distributions are known more precisely, compared with the relevant range at the LHC. The LHC detectors partially compensate with larger lepton rapidity coverage. The improved lepton resolution at the LHC detectors has a minor impact on the $M_W$ uncertainty. Although the LHC dataset is much larger, the lower instantaneous luminosity at the Tevatron and in dedicated low-luminosity LHC runs helps to improve the resolution on certain kinematic quantities, compared with the typical LHC runs.

The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 8.8 inverse femtobarns ($fb^{-1})$ of $pp$ collisions collected by the CDF II detector (43) between 2002 and 2011 and supersedes the earlier result obtained from a quarter of these data (41, 43). In this cylindrical detector (figure 3 of (43)), trajectories of charged particles (tracks) produced in the collisions are measured by means of a wire drift chamber (a central outer tracking drift chamber, or COT) (48) immersed in a 1.4 T axial magnetic field. Energy and position measurements of particles are also provided by EM and hadronic calorimeters surrounding the COT. The calorimeter elements have a projective tower geometry, with each tower pointing back to the average beam collision point at the center of the detector. Additional drift chambers (49) surrounding the calorimeters identify muon candidates as penetrating particles. The momentum perpendicular to the beam axis (cylindrical z axis) is denoted as $p_T$ (if measured in the COT) or $E_T$ (if measured in the calorimeters). The measurement uses high-purity samples of electron and muon (together referred to as lepton) decays of the $W$ bosons, $W \to e\nu$ and $W \to \mu\nu$, respectively (e, electron; $\nu$, neutrino; $\mu$, muon).

### W and Z boson event selection

Events with a candidate muon with $p_T > 18$ GeV or electron with $E_T > 18$ GeV (50) are selected online by the trigger system for offline analysis. The following offline criteria select fairly pure samples of $W \to \mu\nu$ and $W \to e\nu$ decays. Muon candidates must have $p_T > 30$ GeV, with requirements on COT-track quality, calorimeter-energy deposition, and muon-chamber signals. Cosmic-ray muons are rejected with a targeted tracking algorithm (57). Electron candidates must have a COT track with $p_T > 18$ GeV and an EM calorimeter-energy deposition with $E_T > 30$ GeV and must meet requirements for COT track quality, matching of position and energy measured in the COT and in the calorimeter ($E_T/p_T < 1.6$), and spatial distributions of energy depositions in the calorimeters (43). Leptons are required to be central in pseudorapidity ($|\eta| < 1$) and within the fiducial region where the relevant detector systems have high efficiency and uniform response. When selecting the $W$ boson candidate sample, we suppress the $Z$ boson background by rejecting events with a second lepton of the same flavor. Events that contain two oppositely charged leptons of the same flavor with invariant mass in the range of 66 to 116 GeV and with dilepton $p_T < 30$ GeV provide $Z$ boson control samples ($Z \to e\nu$ and $Z \to \mu\nu$) to measure the detector response, resolution, and efficiency, as well as the boson $p_T$ distributions. Details of the event selection criteria are described in (49).

The $W$ boson mass is inferred from the kinematic distributions of the decay leptons ($l$). Because the neutrino from the $W$ boson decay is not directly detectable, its transverse momentum $p_T$ is deduced by imposing transverse momentum conservation. Longitudinal momentum balance cannot be imposed because most of the beam momenta are carried away by collision products that remain close to the beam axis, outside the instrumented regions of the detector. By design of the detector, such products have small transverse momentum. The transverse momentum vector sum of all detectable collision products accompanying the $W$ or $Z$ boson is defined as the hadronic recoil $\vec{u} = \Sigma_{E_T} \sin(\theta_T) \vec{v}_T$, where the sum is performed over calorimeter towers (52) with energy $E_T$, polar angle $\theta_T$, and transverse directions specified by unit vectors $\hat{v}_T$. Calorimeter towers containing energy deposition from the charged lepton(s) are excluded from this sum. The transverse momentum vector of the neutrino $\vec{p}_T$ is inferred as $\vec{p}_T = -\vec{p}_T - \vec{u}$ from $p_T$ conservation, where $\vec{p}_T$ is the vector $p_T (E_T)$ of the electron. In analogy to a two-body mass, the $W$ boson transverse mass is defined using only the transverse momentum vectors as $m_T = \sqrt{2 \left[ p_T^2 + p_T^2 - p_T^2 \right]}$ (53).

High-purity samples of $W$ bosons are obtained with the requirements $30 < p_T < 55$ GeV, $30 < p_T < 55$ GeV, and $60 < m_T < 100$ GeV. This selection retains samples containing precise $M_W$ information and low backgrounds. The final samples of $W$ and $Z$ bosons consist of 1,811,700 (66,180) $W \to e\nu (Z \to ee)$ candidates and 2,424,486 (238,534) $W \to \mu\nu (Z \to \mu\nu)$ candidates.

### Simulation of physical processes

The data distributions of $m_T$, $p_T$, and $p_T$ are compared with corresponding simulated line shapes (“templates”) as functions of $m_T$ from a custom Monte Carlo simulation that has been designed and written for this analysis. A binned likelihood is maximized to obtain the mass and its statistical uncertainty. The kinematic properties of $W$ and $Z$ boson production and decay are simulated using the RIVET program (54, 55), which calculates the differential cross section with respect to boson mass, transverse momentum, and rapidity for boson production and decay. The calculation is performed at next-to-leading order in perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD), along with next-to-next-to-leading logarithm resummation of higher-order radiative quantum amplitudes. RIVET offers one of the most accurate theoretical calculations available for these processes. The nonperturbative model parameters in RIVET and the QCD interaction coupling strength $\alpha_s$ are external inputs needed to complete the description of the boson $p_T$ spectrum and are constrained from the high-resolution dilepton $p_T^2$ spectrum of the $Z$ boson data and the $p_T$ spectrum. EM radiation from the leptons is modeled with the PHOTOS program (57), which is calibrated to the more accurate HORACE program (58, 59). We use the NNPDF3.1 (60) parton distribution functions (PDFs) of the (anti)proton, as they incorporate the most complete relevant datasets of the available next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) PDFs. Using 25 symmetric eigenvectors of the NNPDF3.1 set, we estimate a PDF uncertainty of 3.9 MeV. We find that the CT18 (61), MMHT2014 (62), and NNPDF3.1 NNLO PDF sets produce consistent results for the $W$ boson mass, within ±2.1 MeV of the midpoint of the interval spanning the range of

### Table 1: Individual fit results and uncertainties for the $M_W$ measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>W boson mass (MeV)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/dof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m_{e, \nu}$</td>
<td>80,429.1 ± 10.3_{stat} ± 8.5_{syst}</td>
<td>39/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_T(e)$</td>
<td>80,411.4 ± 10.7_{stat} ± 11.8_{syst}</td>
<td>83/62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_T(\mu)$</td>
<td>80,426.3 ± 14.5_{stat} ± 11.7_{syst}</td>
<td>69/62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{e, \nu}$</td>
<td>80,446.1 ± 9.2_{stat} ± 7.3_{syst}</td>
<td>50/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_T(\mu)$</td>
<td>80,428.2 ± 9.6_{stat} ± 10.3_{syst}</td>
<td>82/62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination</td>
<td>80,433.5 ± 6.4_{stat} ± 6.9_{syst}</td>
<td>7.4/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
values. The model-dependent nature of the analysis implies that future improvements or corrections in any relevant theoretical modeling can be used to update our measurement quantifiably [see section IV of (63)].

The custom simulation includes a detailed calculation of the lepton and photon interactions in the detector (39, 43, 64), as well as models describing their individual position measurements within the COT. The COT position resolution as a function of radius is determined using muon tracks from $\Upsilon$ meson, $W$ boson, and $Z$ boson decays. All wire positions in the COT are measured with 1-$\mu$m precision using an in situ sample of cosmic ray muons (65), in addition to the electron tracks from $W$ boson decays. The difference between electron and positron track momenta relative to their measured energy in the calorimeter (which is independent of charge) strongly constrains certain modes of internal misalignment in the COT.

**Momentum and energy calibration**

The track momentum measurement in the COT is calibrated by measuring the masses of the $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon(1S)$ mesons reconstructed in their dimuon decays and comparing them with the known values (10). These meson mass measurements are performed with maximum-likelihood fits to the dimuon mass distributions from data, using templates obtained from the custom simulation. Measurements of these masses as functions of muon momenta are used to correct for small inaccuracies in the magnetic field map, the COT position measurements, and the modeling of the energy loss by particles traversing the detector. A mismodeling of the energy loss would lead to a bias linear in the mean inverse $p_T$ of the two muons. No such bias is observed after applying the magnetic field nonuniformity, COT, and energy-loss corrections (Fig. 2A).

The curvature $q/p_T$ measured by the COT, where $q$ is the particle charge, is an analytic function of the true curvature. The curvature response function analytically yields a linear dependence of the measured invariant mass on $(q/p_T)^2$, and higher-order terms in $(q/p_T)^2$ are negligible. The correction for the fractional deviation of the measured momentum from its correct value, $\Delta p/p = (p_{\text{measured}}/p_{\text{true}} - 1)$, is inferred from the comparison of the measured meson masses to their more-precise world-average masses. The $\Delta p/p$ corrections extracted from the individual $J/\psi$ and $\Upsilon(1S)$ invariant mass fits are consistent with each other, and the results
are combined to obtain \( \Delta \rho / \rho = (-1393 \pm 26) \) parts per million (ppm).

The combined momentum calibration is used to measure the Z boson mass in the dimuon channel (Fig. 3A), which is blinded with a random offset in the range of -50 to 50 MeV until all analysis procedures are established. The unblinded measurement is \( M_Z = 91,192.0 \pm 6.4 \text{stat} \pm 4.0 \text{syst} \) MeV (stat, statistical uncertainty; syst, systematic uncertainty), which is consistent with the world average of 91,187.6 \pm 2.1 MeV (10, 44) and therefore provides a precise consistency check. Systematic uncertainties on \( M_Z \) result from uncertainties on the longitudinal coordinate measurements in the COT (1.0 MeV), the momentum calibration (2.3 MeV), and the QED radiative corrections (3.1 MeV). The latter two sources are correlated with the \( M_W \) measurement. The \( Z \rightarrow \mu \mu \) mass measurement is then included in the final momentum calibration.

After track momentum (\( p_T \)) calibration, the electron's calorimeter energy (\( E \)) is calibrated using the peak of the \( E/p \) distribution in \( W \rightarrow ev \) (Fig. 2B) and \( Z \rightarrow ee \) [Fig. S13 in (63)] data. Fits to this peak in bins of electron \( E_T \) determine the electron energy calibration and its dependence on \( E_T \). The radiative region of the \( E/p \) distribution (\( E/p > 1.12 \)) is fitted to measure a small correction (\( \approx 5\% \)) to the amount of radiative material traversed in the tracking volume. The EM calorimeter resolution is measured using the widths of the \( E/p \) peak in the \( W \rightarrow e\nu \) sample and of the mass peak of the \( Z \rightarrow ee \) sample.

We use the calibrated electron energies to measure the Z boson mass in the dielectron channel (Fig. 3B), which is also blinded with the same offset as used for the dimuon channel. The unblinded result, \( M_Z = 91,194.3 \pm 13.8 \text{stat} \pm 7.6 \text{syst} \) MeV, is consistent with the world average, providing a stringent consistency check of the electron energy calibration.

Systematic uncertainties on \( M_Z \) are caused by uncertainties on the calorimeter energy...
Fig. 5. Comparison of this CDF II measurement and past $M_W$ measurements with the SM expectation. The latter includes the published estimates of the uncertainty (4 MeV) due to missing higher-order quantum corrections, as well as the uncertainty (4 MeV) from other global measurements used as input to the calculation, such as $m_c$, c. speed of light in a vacuum.

(6.5 MeV) and track momentum (2.3 MeV), on the z coordinate measured in the COT (0.8 MeV), and on QED radiative corrections (3.1 MeV). Measurements of the Z boson mass using the dielectron track momenta, and comparisons of mass measurements using radiative and nonradiative electrons, provide consistent results. The final calibration of the electron energy is obtained by combining the $E/p$-based calibration with the $Z(\to ee)$ mass-based calibration, taking into account the correlated uncertainty on the radiative corrections.

The spectator partons in the proton and antiproton, as well as the additional (~3) $p\bar{p}$ interactions in the same collision bunch crossing, contribute visible energy that degrades the resolution of $\vec{u}$. These contributions are measured from events triggered on inelastic $p\bar{p}$ interactions and random bunch crossings, reproducing the collision environment of the $W$ and $Z$ boson data. Because there are no high-$p_T$ neutrinos in the $Z$ boson data, the $\vec{p}_T$ imbalance between the $p_{\vec{T},Z}$ and $\vec{u}$ in $Z \to \ell\ell$ events is used to measure the calorimeter response to, and resolution of, the initial-state QCD radiation accompanying boson production. The simulation of the recoil vector $\vec{u}$ also requires knowledge of the distribution of the energy flow into the calorimeter towers impacted by the leptons, because these towers are excluded from the computation of $\vec{u}$. This energy flow is measured from the $W$ boson data using the event-averaged response of towers separated in azimuth from the lepton direction.

Extracting the W boson mass

Kinematic distributions of background events passing the event selection are included in the template fits with their estimated normalizations. The $W$ boson samples contain a small contamination of background events arising from QCD jet production with a hadron misidentified as a lepton, $Z \to \ell\ell$ decays with only one reconstructed lepton, $W \to \nu\tau \to v\bar{\nu}v\tau$, pion and kaon decays in flight to muons (DIF), and cosmic-ray muons ($\tau$, tau lepton; $\bar{\nu}$, anti-neutrino). The jet, DIF, and cosmic-ray backgrounds are estimated from control samples of data, whereas the $Z \to \ell\ell$ and $W \to \tau\nu$ backgrounds are estimated from simulation. Background fractions for the muon (electron) datasets are evaluated to be $7.37\% (0.14\%)$ from $Z \to \ell\ell$ decays, $0.88\% (0.94\%)$ from $W \to \tau\nu$ decays, $0.01\% (0.34\%)$ from jets, $0.20\%$ from DIF, and $0.01\%$ from cosmic rays.

The fit results (Fig. 4) are summarized in Table 1. The $M_W$ fit values are blinded during analysis with an unknown additive offset in the range of $-50$ to $50$ MeV, in the same manner as, but independent of, the value used for blinding the $Z$ boson mass fits. As the fits to the different kinematic variables have different sensitivities to systematic uncertainties, their consistency confirms that the sources of systematic uncertainties are well understood. Systematic uncertainties, propagated by varying the simulation parameters within their uncertainties and repeating the fits to these simulated data, are shown in Table 1. The correlated uncertainty in the $m_T (p_{T,1}^\gamma, p_{T,2}^\gamma)$ fit between the muon and electron channels is $5.8 (7.9, 7.4)$ MeV. The mass fits are stable with respect to variations of the fitting ranges.

Simulated experiments are used to evaluate the statistical correlations between fits, which are found to be $69\% (68\%)$ between $m_T$ and $p_{T,1}$ ($p_{T,1}^\gamma$) fit results and $28\%$ between $p_{T,1}$ and $p_{T,2}^\gamma$ fit results ($43\%$). The six individual $M_W$ results are combined (including correlations) by means of the best linear unbiased estimator (66) to obtain $M_W = 80.433.5 \pm 9.4$ MeV, with $\chi^2$/dof = 7.4/5 corresponding to a probability of $20\%$. The $m_T$, $p_{T,1}$, and $p_{T,2}^\gamma$ fits in the electron (muon) channel contribute weights of $30.0\% (34.2\%)$, $6.7\% (18.7\%)$, and $0.9\% (9.5\%)$, respectively. The combined result is shown in Fig. 1, and its associated systematic uncertainties are shown in Table 2.

Discussion

The dataset used in this analysis is about four times as large as the one used in the previous analysis (41, 43). Although the resolution of the hadronic recoil is somewhat degraded in the new data because of the higher instantaneous luminosity, the statistical precision of the measurement from the larger sample is still improved by almost a factor of 2. To achieve a commensurate reduction in systematic uncertainties, a number of analysis improvements have been incorporated, as described in Table 1. These improvements are based on using cosmic-ray and collider data in ways not employed previously to improve (i) the COT alignment and drift model and the uniformity of the EM calorimeter response, and (ii) the accuracy and robustness of the detector response and resolution model in the simulation. Additionally, theoretical inputs to the analysis have been updated. Upon incorporating the improved understanding of PDFs and track reconstruction, our previous measurement is increased by 13.5 MeV to 80.400.5 MeV; the consistency of the latter with the new measurement is at the percent probability level.

In conclusion, we report a new measurement of the $W$ boson mass with the complete dataset collected by the CDF II detector at the Fermilab Tevatron, corresponding to 8.8 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity. This measurement, $M_W = 80.433.5 \pm 9.4$ MeV, is more precise than all previous measurements of $M_W$ combined and subsumes all previous CDF measurements from 1.96-TeV data (38, 39, 41, 43). A comparison with the SM expectation of $M_W = 80.357 \pm 6$ MeV (40), treating the quoted uncertainties as independent, yields a difference with a significance of 7.0$\sigma$ and suggests the possibility of improvements to the SM calculation or of extensions to the SM. This comparison, along with past measurements, is shown in Fig. 5. Using the method described in (45), we obtain a combined Tevatron (CDF and DO) result of $M_W = 80.427.4 \pm 8.9$ MeV. Assuming no correlation between the Tevatron
and LEP measurements, their average becomes $M_W = 80.4242 \pm 8.7$ MeV.
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