Low-cycle fatigue behavior and deformation mechanisms of a dual-phase Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi high-entropy alloy
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Abstract

We uncover the low-cycle fatigue response and deformation mechanisms of a dual-phase Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi high-entropy alloy (HEA) by comparing to CoCrFeMnNi HEA. Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi demonstrates higher cyclic stress resistance, meanwhile maintaining comparable cyclic strain resistance at low-to-medium strain amplitudes. Microstructural investigations revealed dislocation slip as the primary deformation mechanism, which changes from planar slip to wavy slip with increasing strain amplitude. The enhanced cyclic stress resistance is related to precipitation hardening and improved solid solution strengthening. Meanwhile, the comparable cyclic strain resistance is ascribed to their similar deformation mode. Lastly, comparison with Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeNi and CoCrNi alloys provide strategies for tailoring HEAs with enhanced fatigue resistance.
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1. Introduction

High-entropy alloys (HEAs) and their subsets (i.e., medium-entropy alloys, MEAs) have drawn tremendous scientific interest [1-8]. Among them, those with single-phase face-centered cubic (FCC) crystal structure, such as equiatomic CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrNi alloys, have been extensively investigated due to their promising mechanical properties including excellent ductility and fracture toughness [1, 9, 10]. However, their strength is often insufficient for engineering applications at room temperature [1, 5, 6, 10]. Consequently, a strategy based on secondary phase (or precipitates) hardening, such as by minor Al addition into FCC HEAs/MEAs (e.g., Al0.5CoCrFeMnNi), has been explored and proved to effectively enhance strength without losing much of the ductility [11-15].

Apart from these extensive studies focusing on monotonic mechanical properties for dual-phase (e.g., FCC matrix and body-centered cubic (BCC) precipitates) HEAs, others [16-21] have also shed light on their fatigue properties, which are critical for their potential safety-related structural applications. For example, a duplex microstructure in AlCoCrFeNi2.1 HEA (i.e., with hard BCC phase and soft FCC phase in lamellar morphology) was found to delay fatigue crack initiation [17]. Additionally, Liu et al. [19] reported on the Al0.3CoCrFeNi HEA (with FCC matrix and hard B2 and sigma phases), which exhibits excellent fatigue resistance due to the formation of deformation twins and matrix’s large dislocation accumulation capability. Furthermore, Zou et al. [15] showed that the Al addition into CoCrFeMnNi thin foils (with FCC matrix and BCC phases) effectively decreases the cyclic strain localization and improves the bending fatigue resistance. It should be noted that most of the previous studies [16-19] concentrated on the high-cycle fatigue (HCF) behavior of multiple/dual-phase HEAs, which is suggested to be better than FCC HEAs [21]. The better HCF resistance of dual-phase HEAs is as-expected, as it is generally determined more by their higher strength [22, 23].

Similar to these HCF studies, it is also vital to understand dual-phase HEAs' low-cycle fatigue (LCF) behavior. In contrast to the several recent efforts made for understanding the LCF behavior of single-phase HEAs/MEAs [24-32], investigations on the dual-phase HEAs (especially with FCC matrix and BCC intermetallic phases) and further
comparisons to FCC HEAs/MEAs are limited [20]. Such a comparison would also help identify potential features contributing to HEAs' unique fatigue properties; hence, tune them more efficiently.

To date, the LCF response of dual-phase Fe\textsubscript{50}Mn\textsubscript{30}Co\textsubscript{10}Cr\textsubscript{10} HEAs (with FCC and \(\epsilon\)-hexagonal closed packed phase) and deformation mechanisms have been reported [33, 34]. Recently, the only LCF study [20] on an Al\textsubscript{0.5}CoCrFeNi dual-phase HEA (with FCC-B2 structure) unveiled that in comparison to conventional HEAs (e.g., a coarse-grained FCC CoCrFeMnNi) [20], fine-grained Al\textsubscript{0.5}CoCrFeNi exhibits higher (or comparable) LCF properties at low (or high) plastic strain amplitudes. Despite this dedicated effort, the grain size effect on the comparison in the LCF properties of dual-phase HEAs and FCC HEAs should not be neglected. For instance, for CoCrFeMnNi, reducing grain size led to longer fatigue life [25, 30].

Consequently, this work is designed to identify the LCF behavior of a fine-grained Al\textsubscript{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi dual-phase HEA, and to further uncover the origins of the peculiarity of dual-phase HEAs (by comparing to fine-grained CoCrFeMnNi model HEA). Furthermore, by comparing it to another Al\textsubscript{0.5}CoCrFeNi dual-phase HEA and a CoCrNi FCC MEA, this work also discusses potential strategies to tailor HEAs with enhanced fatigue resistance.

2. Methods

The investigated Al\textsubscript{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi alloy was synthesized from pure metals with a nominal atomic composition (with purity > 99.99 wt.%) by vacuum arc melting. The alloy melt was cast in a quadrangle-shaped cold copper crucible. Then the as-cast ingots were homogenized at 1373 K for 6 h furnace-cooled in the Argon atmosphere. Thereafter, the homogenized ingot was subjected to hot rolling at 693 K to a thickness reduction of 50% (from 20 mm to 10 mm). Finally, the LCF cylinder specimens (with gauge length and diameter of 7.6 mm and 2 mm, respectively) were machined out from the hot-rolled plates along the rolling direction. Before tests, the specimens were annealed at 1373 K for 20 mins to obtain a recrystallized microstructure with fine-grained FCC matrix and a small amount of embedded BCC phase.
The strain-controlled LCF tests were performed at room temperature on an MTS servo-hydraulic testing machine equipped with an extensometer (gauge length: 7 mm). The fatigue tests were carried out under total strain amplitudes ($\Delta \varepsilon /2$) of 0.3%, 0.5%, and 0.7%. These strain amplitudes were chosen to ensure fatigue lifetime lies within the LCF regime. The symmetrical triangular waveform (strain ratio $R=-1$) was applied at a nominal strain rate of $3 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. For the same testing condition, the experiments were repeated twice to ensure the reliability of the data. The lifetime ($N_f$) was defined by using the ASTM Standard E2714-13 [35]. Additionally, the inelastic strain amplitude ($\Delta \varepsilon_{in}/2$) and stress amplitude ($\Delta \sigma/2$) at each cycle were determined from the hysteresis loop.

To reveal the microstructural evolution, as-recrystallized and/or post-fatigued microstructures were characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

A Zeiss SEM equipped with EDS and EBSD detectors was employed for SEM investigations at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. The step size of $\sim 100$ nm was employed for EBSD scanning. For preparing EBSD samples, thin foils were extracted out from the gauge section of the tested specimens along the loading direction. These foils were then mechanically ground and polished, followed by vibratory polishing. The acquired EBSD data were analyzed using OIM analysis software.

To prepare TEM samples, the acquired EBSD foils were further mechanically ground to a thickness of $\sim 100$ μm. Thereafter, 3 mm diameter discs were punched out from the foils and finally thinned by twin-jet electro-polishing at $\sim (-10)$ °C, and a voltage of $\sim 13$ V. The electrolyte consisted of perchloric acid, glycerin, and methanol with a volume fraction of 1:2:7. TEM investigations were performed on an FEI Tecnai F20 microscope operating at 200 kV.
3. Results

3.1 Initial microstructure

Fig. 1a-c display representative BSE micrograph, grain orientation map and phase map of the as-recrystallized Al₀.₅CoCrFeMnNi alloy. Evidently, the Al₀.₅CoCrFeMnNi manifests FCC matrix and BCC precipitates (with area fraction of ~ 12.5%), see Fig. 1a, c. The FCC phase exhibits an average grain size of ~ 5 µm (Fig. 1b), annealing twins (Σ3 boundaries fraction of ~ 20% [12]) and no obvious texture. The BCC precipitates exhibit two different morphologies, including fine BCC-phase embedded in the FCC grain and coarse BCC-phase located along the FCC grain boundaries (Fig. 1a-c).

![Microstructures of the recrystallized Al₀.₅CoCrFeMnNi](image)

Furthermore, a typical bright-field TEM micrograph shows low initial dislocation density in FCC matrix, confirming the recrystallized microstructure (Fig. 1d). Fig. 1e-f provide the chemical composition of each phase. As evident, the BCC phase is comparatively more...
enriched in Ni and Al, suggesting a NiAl-type phase. Besides, via X-ray diffraction technique, the lattice parameter for FCC phase is determined to be 3.611 Å and for BCC phase to be 2.892 Å, which are consistent with Ref. [12].

3.2 Cyclic stress response

Fig. 2a and b show the tensile peak stress and inelastic strain amplitude versus the normalized number of cycles (N/Nf) curves for Al0.5CoCrFeMnNi, respectively. For comparison, the LCF data of CoCrFeMnNi HEA (of fine grain size ~ 7 μm) tested at similar conditions [27] is also included. In general, similar to that of CoCrFeMnNi, the cyclic stress response of Al0.5CoCrFeMnNi manifests three distinct stages: a sharp increase (i.e., cyclic hardening stage during the first 20 to 30 cycles), followed by a noticeable decrease (i.e., cyclic softening stage for about ~ 10% to 20% of the lifetime), and a gradual insignificant decrease (i.e., prolonged near-steady state) until failure (Fig. 2a). This indicates that despite initial hardening and softening, most of the Al0.5CoCrFeMnNi's LCF life is spent in a near-steady state.

Fig. 2. (a) Tensile peak stress versus the normalized number of cycles (N/Nf), and (b) inelastic strain amplitude versus the normalized number of cycles (N/Nf), curves tested at different strain amplitudes, for Al0.5CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeMnNi [27]. The color legends in (a) are also valid for (b).
The inelastic strain response in Fig. 2b is consistent with the evolution of the peak stresses. For instance, it shows an initial abrupt decrease followed by a gradual increase and a near-steady state until failure. Similar curves showing these evolutions (i.e., tensile peak stress, inelastic strain amplitude and compression peak stress, all as a function of the number of cycles, \(N\)) can be found in the supplementary material (Fig. A1 and Fig. A2).

Upon comparison with the CoCrFeMnNi, Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi shows higher cyclic strength and lower inelastic strain at all investigated strain amplitudes (Fig. 2a-b). The lower inelastic strain amplitude in Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi is related to its higher elastic strain (\(\varepsilon_e\), see Fig. 3a) due to its higher cyclic/yield strength and lower elastic modulus (or shear modulus, i.e., 65.9 GPa for Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi [36] versus 81 GPa for CoCrFeMnNi [37]). The higher cyclic strength and elastic strain can also be supported by their stress-strain curves at the first and half-life cycles (Fig. 3a).

![Fig. 3. (a) Stress-strain curves of the first and half-life cycles at 0.5% strain amplitude, and (b) stress amplitude versus inelastic strain amplitude acquired from half-life hysteresis loops, for Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeMnNi [27].](image)

To reflect cyclic stress-strain relationship, the saturated stress amplitude and inelastic strain amplitude, acquired from half-life cycles at different strain amplitudes, were plotted in Fig. 3b for both Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeMnNi. This relationship can be approximated by a power-law equation (\(\Delta \sigma/2 = k'(\Delta \varepsilon_{in}/2)^n'\) [38], \(k'\) and \(n'\) are the
cyclic strength coefficient and work hardening exponent, respectively). The fitted curves and parameters ($k'$ and $n'$) are also noted in Fig. 3b.

Evidently, Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi shows higher cyclic strength than CoCrFeMnNi for a given strain amplitude. Besides, the slope of the curve (i.e., $n'$ value) of Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi (0.21) is comparable to that of CoCrFeMnNi (0.19), suggesting their similar cyclic hardening ability with respect to the strain amplitude. A previous study indicated that the $n'$ value of FCC alloys deforming with a planar-slip mode is about one-half to two-thirds of those deforming with a wavy-slip mode [39]. Accordingly, the comparable $n'$ values of Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeMnNi imply that they likely deform by similar dislocations slip-mode, which is indeed observed by TEM investigations (see discussion section).

3.3 Fatigue life

To compare the lifetime between Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeMnNi, Fig. 4a and b present the saturated $\Delta \sigma /2$ versus $N_i$ curves (i.e., Wöhler curves), and the saturated $\Delta \varepsilon /2$ (and/or $\Delta \varepsilon_{in}/2$) versus $2N_i$ curves, respectively. For a given saturated stress amplitude in Fig. 4a (i.e., in the range of ~320−450 MPa), the Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi exhibits longer lifetime than CoCrFeMnNi, indicating the former's higher cyclic stress resistance. For instance, at the saturated stress of ~425 MPa, the lifetime of Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi is two to three times that of CoCrFeMnNi (compare the data points in the dashed square in Fig. 4a). Meanwhile, for a given $\Delta \varepsilon/2$ and $\Delta \varepsilon_{in}/2$ in Fig. 4b, the data for Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeMnNi almost lie on top of each other at low and medium strain amplitudes (0.3% and 0.5%), indicating their comparable cyclic strain resistance. Nevertheless, at high strain amplitude (0.7%), Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi shows as-expect shorter life than CoCrFeMnNi (compare the data points in the dashed square in Fig. 4b).

In Fig. 4b, the LCF data were fitted by the well-known Manson-Coffin law ($\Delta \varepsilon_{in}/2 = \varepsilon'_f (2N_i)^c$ [40, 41], $c$ and $\varepsilon'_f$ are fatigue ductility exponent and coefficient, respectively). The fitted curves and parameters are also noted in Fig. 4b. The fitted $c$ value for Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi, −0.35, is beyond the typical range ($-0.7 \leq c \leq -0.5$) observed for most metals [42], primarily due to the short life at high strain amplitude (0.7%). Since the parameter $\varepsilon'_f$ is related to the monotonic test's fracture strain [42], the fitted $\varepsilon'_f$ value for
Al_{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi (0.058) is as-expected lower than that of CoCrFeMnNi (0.47), due to Al_{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi’s lower monotonic fracture strain.

Fig. 4. Comparison of the LCF life behavior of Al_{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeMnNi [27] \(^1\). Plots of (a) stress amplitude (Δσ/2) versus the number of cycles to failure (N\(_f\)), (b) total or inelastic strain amplitude (Δε\(_t\)/2 or Δε\(_{in}\)/2) versus the number of reversals to failure (2N\(_f\)).

To further explore features contributing to the peculiar LCF properties of HEAs, the data of the Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi is compared to those of another dual-phase Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeNi HEA [20] and FCC CoCrNi MEA [27] (see Wöhler and Manson-Coffin curves in Fig. 5a and b, respectively). By comparing to the Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeNi alloy (blue), the Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi (red) exhibits a shorter life at a given stress amplitude (Fig. 5a). Nevertheless, both alloys show a comparable lifetime for a given inelastic strain amplitude (Fig. 5b). Lastly, by comparing to both Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi and Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeNi, the CoCrNi MEA (orange in Fig. 5a-b) exhibits the most extended lifetime at both given stress and strain amplitude conditions, indicating its highest cyclic stress and strain resistance among these alloys.

\(^1\) Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeMnNi samples exhibit similar fine grain size (of ~ 5-10 μm), insignificant texture, same specimen geometry and processing procedures, which ensure a relatively fair comparison.
Fig. 5. Comparison of (a) Wöhler curves, and (b) Manson-Coffin curves for Al_{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi and two other reference alloys (Al_{0.5}CoCrFeNi [20] and CoCrNi [27])\(^2\).

### 3.4 Microstructure after cyclic loading

To identify operating cyclic deformation mechanisms in Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi, the post-fractured microstructures tested at different strain amplitudes were characterized by TEM.

#### 3.4.1 Microstructure evolution upon cycling at 0.3% strain amplitude

Fig. 6 presents representative microstructures of post-fatigued Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi tested at low strain amplitude of 0.3%. Evidently, the dislocation density increased compared to that in the as-recrystallized state (Fig. 1d). Furthermore, in most investigated grains, slip bands (SBs) were recognized to be the main deformation-induced features (Fig. 6a-c). Some dislocations within SBs are found to have 1/2<110> full character (not shown), while others are 1/6<112> Shockley partials (with in-between stacking faults (SFs), see the fringe contrast in the inset of Fig. 6b). Among them, full dislocations are either found to have the same or opposite Burgers vectors (i.e., so-called dislocation dipoles, Fig. 6c).

---

\(^2\) These materials have similar fine FCC grain sizes (of ~5–10 μm) and no significant texture; the Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi and Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeNi have comparable Ni-Al enriched BCC-phase fraction (~12%). The CoCrNi and Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi samples have same geometry and processing procedures. These similarities enable a relative fair comparison of their fatigue life.
The dipoles were confirmed by performing TEM tilting experiments using \( \pm g \) diffraction conditions [43], e.g., see Fig. A3.

Fig. 6. TEM micrographs of post-fatigued Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi tested at 0.3% strain amplitude. Here, the typical microstructural features include (a) slip bands (SBs), (b) stacking faults (SFs) and (c) dipoles. (a-c) are bright-field (BF) TEM micrographs and the inset in (b) is a weak-beam dark-field TEM micrograph.

3.4.2 Microstructure evolution upon cycling at 0.5% and 0.7% strain amplitudes

Fig. 7a-c and Fig. 7d-f present representative microstructures of post-fatigued Al\(_{0.5}\)CoCrFeMnNi tested at medium-to-high strain amplitudes (i.e., 0.5% and 0.7%, respectively). Evidently, with increasing strain amplitude from 0.3% (Fig. 6) to 0.5%/0.7% (Fig. 7), dislocation density also increases. Additionally, individual dislocations here are mostly proven to have 1/2\(<110> \) full character. In most investigated grains, the typical dislocation substructures (i.e., well-defined persistent slip bands (PSB), walls, cells, and veins, separated by channels) are visible (Fig. 7a-f). Besides, dislocation dipoles are also observed sporadically (not shown here).
Fig. 7. TEM-BF micrographs of post-fatigued Al\textsubscript{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi tested at (a-c) 0.5% and (d-f) 0.7% strain amplitudes. Here, representative microstructure features include dislocation substructures, such as (a) persistent slip bands (PSBs), (b, d, e) vein/cell/wall-like substructures. (a-c, f) Extensive dislocation pileups/entanglements at FCC-BCC phase boundaries are also visible.

In addition to the above-mentioned dislocation features in the FCC matrix, extensive dislocation pileups/entanglements were observed close to the FCC-BCC phase boundaries at all investigated strain amplitudes (0.3%, 0.5% and 0.7%, see Fig. 6a and Fig. 7a-c, f). It is also worth noting that no deformation twinning could be resolved at these strain amplitudes via TEM.

4. Discussion

4.1 Deformation mechanisms

At low strain amplitude (0.3%), slip bands are recognized as the main deformation-induced features (see Fig. 6). These slip bands are also known as persistent Lüder
bands (PLBs) and typically form in planar slip materials at low strain amplitudes [44, 45]. This suggests that Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi deforms mainly by planar slip at low strain amplitude (0.3%). The dominating planar slip herein can be ascribed to its low-to-medium stacking fault energy (SFE) and lower induced peak stresses at low strain amplitude (0.3%). The low-to-medium SFE of Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi can be supported by the presence of annealing twins (Fig. 1b).

At medium-to-high strain amplitudes (0.5% and 0.7%), the predominantly observed dislocation substructures (e.g., cells or walls separated by channels, see Fig. 7) indicate extensive wavy-slip behavior. This can be rationalized by the fact that, at medium and high strain amplitudes, higher induced peak stresses could enhance the probability of leading and trailing partials to constrict into full dislocations [25]. Specifically, the constriction of two partials into a full dislocation is aided by the so-called Escaig stress acting on the edge component of the two partials to push them together, which enhances the ability of the dislocations to cross slip [46]. Consequently, by extensive wavy/cross-slip, the simultaneous interaction (and annihilation) of dislocations with those of opposite sign Burgers vectors could lead to the formation of cells or walls (along with in-between channels) [25, 47, 48]. Together, it can be concluded that, with increasing strain amplitude from 0.3% to 0.5%/0.7%, the prevalent slip-mode changes from planar-slip to wavy-slip.

The strain-amplitude-dependent deformation mode of Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi is similar to that of CoCrFeMnNi [25], confirming the prediction from Fig. 3b. This deformation mode evolution is also in line with the observations made in monotonically loaded CoCrFeMnNi [6], where with increasing stress levels, the initial planar slip bands changed to dislocation cell structures, indicating massive activation of cross slip.

The similar deformation mode of the Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeMnNi might be related to two factors: the degree of short-range ordering (SRO) [49] and the SFE [25, 50], as they are considered to primarily dictate the deformation mode of FCC materials. For the effect of SRO, its presence could be indirectly linked to dislocations planar slip [49]. In this study, the extensive wavy slip (for example, in the form of cells and walls, in Fig. 7) at medium-to-high strain amplitudes (0.5% and 0.7%) indicates lower influence of
SRO (if existing). Thus, our observations do not support the significant role of SRO on the Al₀.₅CoCrFeMnNi’s deformation mode. Since the SRO is less likely to be present in CoCrFeMnNi [25], their comparable SFE could be responsible for their similar deformation mode. And therefore, the SFE for Al₀.₅CoCrFeMnNi is anticipated to be of a similar scale as of CoCrFeMnNi (30 ± 5 mJ/m² [51]).

4.2 Reasons for cyclic stress response

The cyclic stress response of materials is closely associated with the observed microstructural evolution. Upon initial cycling, grain-to-grain misorientations and FCC-BCC lattice misfits lead to the nucleation of a large number of dislocations close to the grain/phase boundaries. As dislocations multiply on different slip systems and spread across grains, they interact with each other (as well as with solutes and grain/phase boundaries), resulting in the initial cyclic hardening (Fig. 2).

Upon further cycling, once dislocation density becomes sufficiently high, the screw segments start to annihilate with those of opposite sign and simultaneously rearrange into stable low-energy structures, i.e., dislocation high-density regions (such as SBs, PSBs, veins, and/or cells) separated by dislocation low-density regions (i.e., channels) [25, 47], see Fig. 7. Once formed, the channels’ presence enhances the free path of dislocation motion upon further loading; hence, causing cyclic softening [25, 31] (Fig. 2).

Afterwards, as dislocations multiply and annihilate simultaneously, a quasi-equilibrium state is reached. Therefore, a non-significant change in the dislocation densities and their structures leads to a minor change in the peak stresses (near-steady state) until failure (Fig. 2).

Apart from the above-mentioned dislocation-dislocation and dislocation-grain/phase boundaries interactions, SRO [52] and deformation twinning could also contribute to the stress response. In this study, the role of SRO and deformation twinning in the cyclic response of Al₀.₅CoCrFeMnNi is minor at all investigated strain amplitudes (0.3% to 0.7%), as they were not detected.
4.3 Reasons for different/comparable fatigue resistance

In this work, extensive dislocation pileups/entanglements were observed at FCC-BCC phase boundaries (see Fig. 6 and Fig. 7). This suggests that the BCC phase impedes glissile dislocations, primarily due to its different elastic properties (e.g., elastic modulus) and associated lattice misfit with the FCC matrix. Such dislocation interactions nevertheless provide precipitation hardening contribution in $\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CoCrFeMnNi}$. Thus, despite a lower shear modulus, $\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CoCrFeMnNi}$'s higher yield strength (Fig. 3a) mainly originates from precipitation strengthening and higher solid solution strengthening (due to Al addition) [12], leading to its higher cyclic stress resistance, as compared to CoCrFeMnNi HEA (Fig. 4a).

Furthermore, the dislocation pileups likely consist of geometrically necessary dislocations (GNDs). This is supported by the higher kernel average misorientation (KAM) value in and around phase/grain boundaries, as indicated by the KAM map in Fig. A4. These GNDs can accommodate incompatible plastic strain between FCC and BCC phases, providing beneficial ductility to the $\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CoCrFeMnNi}$. On the other hand, GNDs presence indicates stress concentration, which could also lead to the development of micro-cracks along the specimen’s surface connected with FCC-BCC phase boundaries. Indeed, the surface cracks were broadly observed in $\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CoCrFeMnNi}$ at all investigated strain amplitudes (Fig. A5a). This is distinct from CoCrFeMnNi, where no appreciable surface cracks were observed (Fig. A5b).

Nevertheless, compared to CoCrFeMnNi, the surface cracks didn’t reduce the lifetime of $\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CoCrFeMnNi}$ at low-to-medium strain amplitudes (0.3% and 0.5%, Fig. 4b). This can be rationalized by their similar deformation mode (see Section 4.1), which is generally linked with crack initiation and propagation (i.e., intrusions or extrusions by forming SBs and PSBs [53]). Therefore, their similar deformation mode most likely contributed to their comparable cyclic stress resistance at low-to-medium strain amplitudes (Fig. 4b).

However, though the two materials deform in a similar mode at high strain amplitude (i.e., by wavy slip at 0.7%), a shorter lifetime is observed for $\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CoCrFeMnNi}$ compared to CoCrFeMnNi (Fig. 4b). The shorter life of $\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CoCrFeMnNi}$ suggests that other factors
(such as cracking along FCC-BCC phase boundaries) may accelerate crack initiation and propagation at higher stress/strain levels. Nevertheless, further efforts are needed to verify the relation between the observed surface cracks and FCC-BCC phase boundaries cracking.

Furthermore, in comparison to Al_{0.5}CoCrFeNi HEA [20] and FCC CoCrNi MEA [27], the CoCrNi MEA shows the highest cyclic stress and strain resistance (Fig. 5). The highest cyclic stress resistance of CoCrNi (Fig. 5a) is ascribed to its more severe lattice distortion due to a larger Cr percentage. This is because the higher concentration of Cr (with larger-sized atom) has the most impact on the strength of Co-Cr-Fe-Mn-Ni systems [54, 55]. Meanwhile, the highest cyclic strain resistance of CoCrNi (Fig. 5b) can be attributed to the fact that the CoCrNi mainly deforms by planar slip [27] due to its lower SFE (22 ± 4) mJ/m² [1]. The planar slip leads to more reversible dislocations motion upon forward and reverse loading, which typically promotes relatively uniform deformation; and therefore, delays micro-cracks formation in CoCrNi [27]. Together, these comparisons hint that increasing the Cr percentage (such as the Al-alloyed Co-Cr-Ni system) and reducing the SFE of the FCC phase are effective strategies to further improve the LCF performance of dual-phase HEAs.

5. Conclusions

This work identifies the peculiarity of the LCF response of a dual-phase Al_{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi HEA and advances the understanding of cyclic deformation mechanisms of dual-phase HEAs. Key findings are summarized below:

(1) Compared to FCC CoCrFeMnNi, the dual-phase Al_{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi manifests higher cyclic stress resistance, meanwhile preserving comparable cyclic strain resistance at low-to-medium strain amplitudes (0.3% and 0.5%). However, Al_{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi exhibits shorter lifetime at high strain amplitude (0.7%).

(2) Dislocations prevalent slip-mode in Al_{0.5}CoCrFeMnNi changes from planar-slip at low strain amplitude (0.3%) to wavy-slip at medium-to-high strain amplitudes (0.5% and 0.7%). This behavior is similar to CoCrFeMnNi, which rationalizes their comparable cyclic strain resistance at low-to-medium strain amplitudes. Meanwhile,
the enhanced cyclic stress resistance of Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi stems from precipitation hardening and increased solid solution strengthening.

(3) At high strain amplitudes (0.7%), the shorter fatigue life of Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi than CoCrFeMnNi suggests that other factors such as cracking along FCC-BCC phase boundaries may accelerate crack initiation and propagation at high-stress levels.

(4) Comparison with Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeNi and CoCrNi alloys provide strategies for tailoring HEAs with enhanced fatigue resistance, for example, by increasing Cr percentage and reducing SFE of the FCC matrix.

Appendix

Fig. A1. (a) Tensile peak stress and (b) inelastic strain amplitude versus number of cycles ($N$) curves for Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi and CoCrFeMnNi [27] under different strain amplitudes at room temperature. Note that, in (a), for Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi at 0.3% strain amplitude, the peak stress increases unexpectedly near the end of the lifetime. This increase in stress originates from a primary crack that nucleated outside the probed gauge length.
Fig. A2. Compression peak stress versus number of cycles ($N$) curves for Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi under different strain amplitudes at room temperature. The compression peak stress shows similar response as the tensile peak stress, i.e., initial hardening, followed by softening and near-steady state.

Fig. A3. (a-b) TEM micrographs from post-fatigued Al$_{0.5}$CoCrFeMnNi tested at 0.3% strain amplitude showing dislocation dipoles. The separating distances between the dislocation pairs (arrow-indicated) change by reversing the two-beam diffraction condition ($+g/-g$).
Fig. A4. (a) Kernel average misorientation (KAM) map and (b) phase map acquired from an \( \text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CoCrFeMnNi} \) specimen tested at 0.5% strain amplitude. Higher KAM values close to the grain boundaries and phase boundaries indicate larger geometrical necessary dislocations density herein.

Fig. A5. SEM micrographs acquired from the surfaces of (a) \( \text{Al}_{0.5}\text{CoCrFeMnNi} \) and (b) \( \text{CoCrFeMnNi} \) samples tested at 0.5% strain amplitude.
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