The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft DPG Institute of Physics

## **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

# Microscopic quantum point contact formation as the electromigration mechanism in granular superconductor nanowires

To cite this article: Tommy C Bartolo et al 2022 New J. Phys. 24 073008

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- Structure and local charging of electromigrated Au nanocontacts D Arnold, M Marz, S Schneider et al.
- <u>Strategies to Ensure Electromigration</u> <u>Reliability of Cu/Low-k Interconnects at 10</u> nm

Anthony S. Oates

- A novel electromigration characterization method based on low-frequency noise measurements Sofie Beyne, Olalla Varela Pedreira, Ingrid De Wolf et al.

PAPER

# **New Journal of Physics**

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft **OPG** 

**IOP** Institute of Physics

Published in partnership with: Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft and the Institute of Physics

# CrossMark

**OPEN ACCESS** 

**RECEIVED** 26 January 2022

REVISED 13 May 2022

**ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION** 20 June 2022

**PUBLISHED** 7 July 2022

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Microscopic quantum point contact formation as the electromigration mechanism in granular superconductor nanowires

Tommy C Bartolo <sup>1,*</sup> , Jackson S Smith <sup>1</sup> , Yannick Schön <sup>2</sup> , Jan Nicolas Voss <sup>2</sup> ,
Martin J Cyster <sup>1</sup> , Alexey V Ustinov <sup>2,3</sup> , Hannes Rotzinger <sup>2,3</sup> and Jared H Cole <sup>1,*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Chemical and Quantum Physics, School of Science, RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia

- $^2~$  Physikalisches Institut, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany
- Institute for Quantum Materials and Technologies, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany
- Authors to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: tommy.bartolo@gmail.com and jared.cole@rmit.edu.au

Keywords: granular superconductivity, electromigration, network model, superconducting nanowires

#### Abstract

Granular aluminium is a high kinetic inductance thin film superconductor which, when formed into nanowires can undergo an intrinsic electromigration process. We use a combination of experimental and computational approaches to investigate the role of grain morphology and distribution in granular aluminium thin films, when formed into nanowire constrictions. Treating the granular aluminium film as a network of randomly distributed resistors with parameters motivated by the film microstructure allows us to model the electrical characteristics of the nanowires. This model provides estimates of the dependence of sheet resistance on grain size and distribution, and the resulting device to device variation for superconducting nanowires. By fabricating a series of different length nanowires, we study the electromigration process as a function of applied current, and then compare directly to the results of our computational model. In doing so we show that the electromigration is driven by the formation of quantum point contacts between metallic aluminium grains.

#### 1. Introduction

Nanowires composed of granular aluminium have recently been the subject of active research due to their high kinetic inductance. This allows for their integration in applications such as microwave kinetic inductance detectors [1–5], sensing or switching devices, constructing SQUIDs [6], the scaling of superconducting resonators [1, 7, 8] and the formation of superinductors for use in qubits [9–11]. Their high kinetic inductance and relatively low geometric inductance also makes them an ideal candidate for the study of quantum phase slips (QPS) [12–17]. In particular, new fabrication techniques for producing uniform, reproducible granular aluminium materials which have kinetic inductance values greater than 2 nH per square have been demonstrated [18, 19]. Even more interesting, especially from a technological point of view, is that granular aluminium nanowires have been shown to undergo significant and irreversible resistance changes due to intrinsic electromigration (IEM) under applied currents [19].

Although the physics of granular superconductors has been studied for many years [20-23], relatively little is known quantitatively about the role of the material's morphology and how this depends on growth conditions. This is particularly true for granular aluminium which has a qualitatively different morphology [24] than other well studied 2D disordered superconductors such as MoGe [25] or InO<sub>x</sub> [13]. The surprisingly uniform microstructure of granular aluminium films gives us a unique opportunity to develop a meaningful model to explore the relationship between morphology and electrical response. This in turn provides a greater understanding of how the fabrication of granular aluminium nanowires impacts their performance as nanowire and QPS devices [13, 18, 26, 27].

an aluminium-oxide dielectric.



The nanowires studied here were fabricated from an aluminum-oxide thin film, which was deposited by a reactive sputter process on a sapphire substrate [18]. Subsequently the sample is patterned by a reactive ion etch process, using an electron beam defined resist mask. Details of the process can be found in references [19, 28]. An example of these nanowires can be seen in figure 1(a), which shows an scanning electron microscopy image of a granular aluminium nanowire before and after a current is applied to induce a change in the total resistance. There is no obvious change in the shape of the nanowire in this microscopy image, suggesting that the reduction in resistance is driven by modification of the internal microstructure.

Figure 1(b) shows a transmission electron microscope image of a granular aluminium film which is comprised of grains (regions of circular transverse cross-section in figure 1(b)) of crystalline aluminium metal, surrounded by a insulating aluminium-oxide dielectric. Analysis of the TEM data, including dark field TEM, provides an estimated grain diameter of approximately 4–5 nm [18] with a similar distribution to that seen in previous studies [29] of high resistance films. The grains form a disordered network and conduction is assumed to proceed via tunnelling from grain to grain through the oxide dielectric [18, 22]. Further, the data from transmission electron microscopy suggests that as well as having a circular cross-section, the grains are fairly mono-disperse with little variation in diameter.

These observations of the film microstructure motivates us to develop a computational model for conduction in granular aluminium nanowires, an example of which is shown in figure 1(c). We model the connections between the metallic grains as tunnel junctions, i.e. metal–insulator–metal junctions. This allows for the network of grains to be approximated by a network of resistors, from which a normal resistance for the nanowire can be obtained. Having the means of computing a normal resistance for the nanowires also allows for the further calculation of their kinetic inductance and sheet resistance, properties which are key to their function in modern devices. That the normal resistance of the films is found to be similar at both room temperature and cryogenic temperatures suggests that such a semi-classical model is justified.

In section 2 we explain how granular aluminium thin films can be modelled as a network of randomly distributed resistors. The properties of the film depend on the distribution of resistors in the network, whose parameters are then chosen to reflect the microstructure of the experimental films. Further, we extract the total resistance for channels constructed from our model which we then discuss in relation to material properties in section 3. In sections 4 and 5 we discuss how the electronic properties in turn influence the performance of devices comprised of this material. Finally in section 6 we develop a minimum model for the electromigration process and we compare this to detailed experimental measurements of nanowires of various lengths.

# 2. Network model of conduction

We develop a resistor network model using a randomly distributed growth algorithm seeded with a single point, which can generate a nanowire of arbitrary size with quasi-randomly distributed grains. Motivated by the transmission electron microscopy data (figure 1(b)) we treat each grain as a volume of superconducting aluminium while the surrounding amorphous alumina is modelled as the barrier between grains, and assume that the grains are relatively mono-disperse with little to no agglomeration. Although previous work has shown that there is a measurable but narrow distribution of grain sizes [29] we choose to restrict our model to a mono-disperse distribution of grains as a first approximation. This provides a simpler model while still including the key attributes necessary to replicate the experimental results.

Differences in grain size can be introduced as an additional disorder parameter, however for the purposes of this work we only consider a mono-disperse set of grains, each of which is modelled as a sphere. Similarly, variation in film thickness can introduce localised variation in sheet resistance. However, experimentally the film thickness is found to be extremely uniform. Therefore unless otherwise stated each channel considered here has a film thickness of 200 Å, in line with those fabricated in reference [19].

To develop our network model, we generate a three-dimensional structure of grains with a known mean and variance in the grain separation. The problem of efficient random sphere placement is in general an unsolved problem in computer science [30]. However, several heuristic algorithms exist [31].

To construct our network of grains, we start with an origin (typically the centre of the nanowire). We then randomly assign a separation distance to the next grain from a predefined Gaussian distribution with mean  $D_{\text{mean}}$  and standard deviation  $D_{\text{std}}$ , where the angle around the origin grain is assigned from a similarly defined distribution (with mean and standard deviation set to  $\pi/4$ ). The third grain is then placed again some separation distance from the origin grain, but now randomly assigning an angle such that it does not overlap with the second grain. This process is repeated until no more grains can be placed around the first (origin) grain.

The entire process is then repeated with each of the newly placed grains as the origin, again placing grains such that the separation distance reflects the Gaussian distribution but no grains overlap. The process is continued, while respecting the condition that no grains are placed outside the boundaries which define the nanowire. Once the entire device region has been patterned, the final product is a network of grains in a two-dimensional nanowire geometry. The process is then repeated for additional layers on top of the first, such that the vertical separation is also approximately described by a Gaussian with appropriate mean and standard deviation. As a key step of the algorithm is to avoid placing a new grain if it overlaps in space with an existing grain, this has the effect of truncating the distribution of separations at the lower end while still describing the variation in separations at the higher end as  $D_{\rm std}$  is increased.

To confirm our algorithm produces a network that qualitatively reflects the input statistics, figure 2 shows the radial distribution function g(r) of the grains computed for several values of  $D_{mean}$  with a standard deviation of 0 Å (figure 2(a)) and 6 Å (figure 2(b)). For the case where both  $D_{mean}$  and  $D_{std}$  are zero, we find that the algorithm has inadvertently patterned the network in a hexagonal close packing structure. However, as the mean separation and standard deviation are increased, we regain an amorphous structure with appropriate first nearest neighbour distance and peak width. In all that follows we assume a grain radius of 25 Å, which is in keeping with previous estimates [32–34]. An example of a network of coupled grains patterned in a nanowire profile, with a typical distribution in separations, can be seen in figure 1(c).

The couplings between pairs of grains in a disordered superconductor are often treated as Josephson junctions [35–37]. These Josephson junctions form links between superconducting regions, with an insulating material between them forming a tunnelling barrier [38, 39], i.e. the well-studied S–I–S junction. Each junction formed in this way has a characteristic critical current which can be used to determine the corresponding normal state resistance for the links via the Ambegaokar–Baratoff (AB) equation [40]. This relationship between the superconducting properties of the junctions between grains and the normal state resistance allows us to develop an effective resistor network model.

The sheet resistance of such a model can be determined by using the a graph Laplacian which is discussed further at the end of this section. Experimentally, the sheet resistance as measured at room temperature is a good predictor of the sheet resistance in cryogenic conditions. Similarly the IEM process when performed at room temperature was found to effectively control the resistance and the resulting low temperature response. These observations are the motivation for developing a effective semi-classical model for the resistor network, describing the sheet resistance and resulting nanowire resistance at room temperature.



To estimate typical material parameters, we take advantage of the formalism laid out by Tinkham [38], for the critical current  $I_c$  of a S–I–S type Josephson junction,

$$I_{\rm c} = \frac{2e\hbar\sigma\psi_{\infty}^2}{m^*}\exp\left(\frac{-S_{ij}}{\delta}\right),\tag{1}$$

where  $m^*$  is the effective mass of the charge carriers. We use  $m^* = m_e$  with  $m_e$  being the mass of a free electron.  $S_{ij}$  is the separation between the grains which form the junction,  $\delta$  is a characteristic constant of the potential barrier between grains,  $\sigma$  is the interaction area between the grains and  $\psi_{\infty}$  is the Ginburg–Landau complex order parameter which is used as a measure of the density of superconducting electrons in the bulk limit.

We then follow Chudinov [41] in deriving an expression for the critical current. However in contrast with their work, we integrate over the full hemispheric surface of the neighbouring spheres to compute the Josephson energy between two spheres,

$$I_{\rm c} = \frac{2e\hbar n_{\rm s}}{m_{\rm e}} \int_0^{\mathcal{R}} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp\left(\frac{-S_{ij}}{\delta}\right) \mathrm{d}\theta \,\mathrm{d}r \tag{2}$$

giving

$$I_{\rm c} = \left(\frac{\pi e\hbar n_{\rm s}}{m_{\rm e}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-S_{ij}}{\delta}\right) \left[ (2\mathcal{R} - \delta) + \delta \exp\left(\frac{-2\mathcal{R}}{\delta}\right) \right],\tag{3}$$

where  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck's constant,  $n_s = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$  is the condensate fraction of aluminium metal [42] and  $\mathcal{R}$  is the radius of the grain. The result of equation (3) is an exponential decay in the Josephson energy as a function of the separation between two grains as can be seen in figure 3.

For the purposes of linking critical current and normal resistance, we take the low temperature limit. The observed critical temperature varies depending on sheet resistance, but for the wires studied here is in the range  $T_c = 1.6-2.0$  K. However it is important to note that the IEM process discussed in section 6 was found to be effective at both cryogenic and room temperatures [19]. This suggests that our semi-classical resistance model is able to describe the electromigration process even though it does not describe the movement of Cooper-pairs or magnetic flux quanta in the superconducting network below the critical temperature.



We define  $\delta$  as the characteristic constant of the dielectric material which forms the barrier between the grains, in this case, aluminium oxide [41] which is calculated from the potential barrier and is given by  $\delta = \hbar/\sqrt{2m^*U_0}$ . In this work we assume that potential barrier of a aluminium-oxide junction is  $U_0 = 2.3$  eV which lies within previous experimental estimates of approximately 1.8–3 eV depending on density and stoichiometry of the aluminium-oxide [43–45].

We then convert between the Josephson energy of a junction and its corresponding normal state resistance by way of the AB equation [32, 40, 41],

$$R_{\rm N} = \frac{\pi \Delta_0}{2eI_{\rm c}},\tag{4}$$

where  $R_N$  is the normal state resistance of a junction, and  $\Delta_0$  is the BCS superconducting gap at zero temperature.

This model is equivalent to computing the conductance due to normal electrons tunnelling through the dielectric barrier between two metal hemispheres. We simply express this in terms of  $I_c$  to make a connection to existing models in the literature for conduction in granular superconducting films and the parameters of Al/AlO<sub>x</sub>/Al junctions.

#### 2.1. Computing network resistance via the graph Laplacian

To compute the electrical response of a disordered network of resistors, we follow Wu [46] in constructing graph Laplacian and extracting resistance calculations from them. The Laplacian matrix consists of two components, a degree matrix which can be thought of as on-site energy terms, and an adjacency matrix which represents the connectivity of the grains. These elements are constructed from the edge set *E*, which contains two-tuples built from the vertices of the graph *V*, such that for each pair of grains *i* and *j* we have,  $(i, j) \in E$ . These edges represent the magnitude of the coupling between any two grains, which are represented by the vertices of the graph. The conversion between the indexed set of edges and a matrix is a completely natural one, where the indices of each element map to rows and columns in matrix form. In this way we can build an adjacency matrix,

$$A_{ij} = (r_{ij})^{-1} (5)$$

with

$$c_{ij} = r_{ij}^{-1} = c_{ji},\tag{6}$$

where  $c_{ij}$  are the elements of the edge set and are equivalent to the conductance between the *i*th and *j*th grains in this formalism. The adjacency matrix is built such that none of the grains are capable of coupling to themselves and therefore the two-tuple  $(i, i) \notin E, \forall i \in V$ .

The degree matrix is a matrix where each element corresponding to a certain grain contains the sum of the magnitudes of all coupling matrix elements associated with that grain. When using an adjacency matrix whose elements are either 0 or 1, the degree matrix will simply have the number of connections to each grain as its main diagonal elements. The elements of the degree matrix are obtained by summing the



weighting of each edge attached to each grain individually,

$$D_{i\alpha} = \delta_{i\alpha} \sum_{j}^{N} A_{ij},\tag{7}$$

where  $\delta_{i\alpha}$  is the Kronecker delta.

Considering our adjacency matrix to be the kinetic terms which couple our grains and the degree matrix to be an on-site energies, we may write the graph Laplacian as,

$$\mathcal{L} = D - A \tag{8}$$

such that we have

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & -c_{12} & -c_{13} & \dots & -c_{1n} \\ -c_{21} & c_{22} & -c_{23} & \dots & -c_{2n} \\ -c_{31} & -c_{32} & c_{33} & \dots & -c_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -c_{m1} & -c_{m2} & -c_{m3} & \dots & c_{NN} \end{bmatrix}$$

Diagonalizing this Laplacian allows us to determine the resistance between any two points of the channel, which we label  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} |\psi_{i\alpha} - \psi_{i\beta}|^2, \tag{9}$$

where  $\lambda_i$  and  $\psi_{ix}$  are the *i*th eigenvalue and *x*th element of the *i*th eigenvector of the Laplacian respectively. The symmetry of the Laplacian [47] ensures the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 0$ .

## 3. Contact and sheet resistance

In studying a finite channel there will be grain placement near the boundaries of the system which may perturb the resistance of the nanowire. One such region where edge effects become problematic is at the interface between the nanowire and the leads. To account for these boundary effects we broaden the nanowire at this interface, which increases the surface area between the nanowire and the contacts in our model. An example of this can be seen in figure 1(c). The increased cross-sectional area at the interface which this broadening creates will reduce the effect of resistance perturbations due to the placement of grains at the boundary of our model.

The additional resistance contribution due to these 'contact' regions can be subtracted from the total resistance, allowing an estimate of the resistance of the nanowire itself. For all nanowires considered in this work, we set the size of this broadening region to be 1000 Å × 1500 Å where the width of the device region is linearly reduced to the nanowire width at either end (see figure 1(*c*)). An example of how this contact resistance is calculated is shown in figure 4 where the length of the channel is extrapolated down to zero and the *y*-intercept equates to the resistance due to the broadening of the channel-lead interface (the contact resistance  $R_c$ ).

The sheet resistance of these channels is determined by calculation of the resistance as a function of channel length and width. There is a strong linear dependence between the length and width of the channel and the resistance, as is shown in figure 5, which surveys many computationally generated channels with the







same material properties. This trend breaks down when the channel is narrow, where one or both physical dimensions are small enough such that fluctuations in the distribution of the channels results in large changes in their resistance.

#### 4. Variation in material properties

Sheet resistance is a scale invariant property and therefore does not change with the physical dimension of a bulk material (i.e. length or width). However it is affected by variations in the composition and structure of the material itself. Here we vary certain material properties of the nanowires, such as distribution of the grains, in order to see their effect on their electrical characteristics.

If we consider a random network of metallic grains, there are three regimes depending on the variance in the separation of the grains. These are the low variation limit, where grains are distributed uniformly  $(D_{\text{std}} \ll D_{\text{mean}})$ , the sparse limit, where the variance in grain separation is so large it leads to open circuits  $(D_{\text{std}} \gg D_{\text{mean}})$ , and an intermediate regime  $(D_{\text{std}} \approx D_{\text{mean}})$ .

For channels which are created in the low variance regime we see an oscillatory behaviour in the resistance. This behaviour is due to low variance in the distribution causing a uniform spacing between the grains indicative of the hexagonal close packing seen in the g(r) functions in figure 2(a). This uniformity results in periodic fluctuations in the connectivity at the boundaries of the channel (and the resulting resistance), as the channel length is increased, which are non-physical.

Applying our grain placement algorithm to channels with a large variance in the mean separation will create large spaces between each pair of grains. Since the coupling strength between each grain pair is exponentially suppressed with increasing separation, we see that complete circuits cannot be formed. This causes a large increase in the resistance, tending towards open circuits, as the separation variance increases. It is therefore the intermediate regime that we focus on when comparing to the experimentally measured electrical response.





Figure 6 shows the sheet resistance for a bulk sample (where the length and width of the nanowire are much larger than the grain diameter) as a function of the mean and the standard deviation of the separation between grains. There is an exponential increase in sheet resistance as a function of the mean separation between grains. The exponential increase in sheet resistance follows from the exponential behaviour seen in the strength of the coupling between two grains as the separation is varied (figure 3). Further, we also see an increase in the sheet resistance as the standard deviation in the separation between grains is increased. The range of observed sheet resistances from fabricated nanowires is highlighted in grey [18] in figure 6. From this highlighted area we can see the range of parameters for which our model matches experimentally expected behaviour.

#### 5. Nanowire variance and its implication for QPS devices

A key problem with using high kinetic inductance nanowires for superconducting circuits is one of reliability and the resulting device yield [13, 28, 48–52]. The ideal device for observing coherent QPS has very narrow wires, made from a material with very high kinetic inductance. However as these materials are disordered superconductors this is exactly the limit where device to device variation is large, making design and fabrication of working devices very difficult. This effect is pronounced in the situation where the nanowire becomes increasingly narrow and edge effects start to dominate over the contributions from the bulk of the channel.

One of the advantages of the granular aluminium films considered here is that the high level of uniformity of the grains and the microstructure [24] suggests that the device to device variation could also be greatly reduced. It is therefore of great interest to determine what type of variation is possible, even in an idealised model.

Figure 7 shows many instances of a wire with the same input parameters and the variation in the distribution of resistances obtained. Here we have taken 1000 instances of nanowires with different lengths but otherwise possessing uniform physical characteristics (200 Å width as the length is varied from 200 Å to 1000 Å, with  $D_{\text{mean}} = 3$  Å and  $D_{\text{std}} = 3$  Å). From this we see that for a wire length of 1000 Å, we can still expect a device to device variation in the resistance of 24 k $\Omega \pm 3.3$  k $\Omega$  (1 standard deviation). For a longer wire (1  $\mu$ m) we obtain wire resistances of 486 k $\Omega \pm 66$  k $\Omega$  for the same nanowire width, but  $D_{\text{mean}} = 3.5$  Å and  $D_{\text{std}} = 3.5$  Å.

When modelling granular nanowires we observe examples in which current is unable to flow due to a large separation between the grains in any one path through the material. This is especially prevalent in very narrow nanowires where the width is of order the variance in grain separation. In such a situation, there is a high chance of creating a nanowire which no longer conducts, or does so with a much higher resistance than intended—which corresponds to the sparse regime discussed earlier.

In an experimental setting, the possibility of unique clustering arrangements for the aluminium grains in granular aluminium nanowires must also be considered and will have a similar effect. If grains display a tendency to cluster together as the aluminium content is increased in these films, this would drastically alter the electronic properties of the films, as electron transport is exponentially suppressed with grain separation.

## 6. Nanowire conditioning via electromigration

The movement of atoms within a material in response to an applied current, electromigration, has been well studied as a failure mechanism in electronic devices [53] and as a method of switching in memresistors [54]. In this context, much is known about how the movement of defects affects the electrical response, including in aluminium devices [55, 56]. There have also been extensive studies of percolation in metallic nanoparticle clusters [57, 58], where the electrical response can be modified by applying currents to induce preferred conduction pathways [59–61]. In addition, break down in granular metal films has previously been explained in terms of either blocking or increasing the conduction between grains [62]. The granular aluminium films considered here appear to undergo similar percolation effects driven by electromigration.

Recent work has demonstrated that the application of current pulses across a granular aluminium channel will induce an IEM process [19]. This technique works at room temperature or cryogenic temperatures and can be used to 'condition' the nanowires to achieve precise control over their resistance as part of the fabrication process. It was proposed that this process merges neighbouring grains or grain clusters together, which in turn reduces the normal state resistance of that device [19]—similar to what is observed in metal nanoparticle clusters [57, 58]. However, it is not clear how to reconcile this picture with the very uniform and monodisperse microstructure seen in TEM. Do the grains grow? If so, where does the extra metal come from? Do they move? If so, why does not clustering result in open circuits in thin wires? Using our computational model of grain microstructure we find that the formation of localised conducting channels between the grains due to the movement of oxygen atoms is a more realistic model.

Based on detailed studies of Josephson junction breakdown, Tolpygo et al found that the applied voltage across the barrier results in a movement of oxygen atoms in the oxide [63]. This in turn allows the formation of conducting channels which form quantum point contacts (QPCs). Here we assume a similar effect happens in the effective barriers formed between nanometre sized grains. We then show that this results in a response which correspond very closely to that seen in experimental measurements of granular aluminium nanowires.

To model this process we follow Tolpygo et al in simulating junction breakdown events as the creation of QPC links across junctions [63]. The number of QPC links N which are created depend on the separation and voltage  $V_{ij}$  across any particular junction, given by

$$N = Bt \sinh(V_{ij}/V_{ij}^c),\tag{10}$$

where

$$V_{ij}^c = \frac{k_{\rm B} T S_{ij}}{q a}.$$
 (11)

Here  $k_{\rm B}$  is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature,  $S_{ii}$  is the barrier thickness between a grain pair, q is the ion charge, a is the activation distance, B is a temperature dependent parameter and t is the stress duration. Once the conductance of a link is increased due to the addition of N QPC links, the voltage across that link will typically be reduced. The resulting effect is that equation (10) becomes a condition for forming a percolation link due to the formation of a metallic pathway between grains. The formation of these new links results in the overall reduction in the resistance of the nanowire.

To determine the voltage drop across a junction  $(V_{ii})$  which connects grains i and j, we use a combination of Ohm's law, Kirchoff's current law (KCL) and the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse [64].

We begin with a matrix formulation of Ohm's law,

$$\hat{V} = \hat{I}\mathcal{L}^{-1},\tag{12}$$

where the Laplacian matrix  $\mathcal{L}$  is obtained by taking the Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse of our resistance matrix. We can introduce a current across the device in the form of a current matrix which gives the net current flowing through each grain,

$$\hat{I} = [I_0, 0, \dots, 0, -I_0],$$
 (13)

where  $I_0$  is the magnitude of the current which is applied across the device. Here KCL ensures that for all grains except for the source or drain, the net current will be zero. We may now calculate the voltage drop across each junction as

$$V_{ij} = I_0 \left( (\mathcal{L}^{-1})_{i1} - (\mathcal{L}^{-1})_{iN} - (\mathcal{L}^{-1})_{j1} + (\mathcal{L}^{-1})_{jN} \right), \tag{14}$$

where the subscripts attached to the inverse Laplacian refer to the elements of the inverted matrix Laplacian.



**Figure 8.** Representation of a 3D nanowire device with individual grains represented as blue spheres with different applied currents. Here the wires have an applied current of (a) 46  $\mu$ A, (b) 212  $\mu$ A and (c) 1000  $\mu$ A, and new QPC links formed due to this current are represented as black connections between grains. This is a nanowire with dimensions of 1000 Å, 750 Å and 100 Å in the longitudinal and (two) transverse directions respectively. Note that this is a top down view of the device which contains several layers of grains, and hence connections may be directed into or out of the page.

To determine which junction undergoes the IEM process, we use the small angle approximation and arrive at a simplified form of equation (10),

$$N = \frac{Aq}{k_{\rm B}T} (V_{ij}/S_{ij}),\tag{15}$$

where A = Bta, which is used as a fitting parameter in what follows. Any junction with a value of N > 1 will have  $\lfloor N \rfloor$  (rounded down) QPCs created in parallel between the two grains which the junction connects. As the current is applied across the device QPC links are added until there exists no further junctions which fit the condition outlined by equation (10).

The applied current can then be increased and the process is repeated for the now partially shorted device. A visual representation of this process can be seen in figure 8, where a single nanowire device is shown for three different values of applied current. This shows a top–down look of the 3D device with the shorted junctions highlighted in black. As the applied current across the nanowire increases, shorted junctions begin to combine to form pathways through the device which significantly decreases the resistance of the nanowire, as is observed experimentally. Although the model introduced here is based on normal conduction, the formation of conduction pathways suggests that the superconducting transition seen in reference [19] appears once a continuous percolation pathway develops through the device, as can be seen in figure 8(c). In this limit, the QPC formation between superconducting grains allow for coherent tunnelling of charges from one end of the wire to the other. This would result in an effective coherence length whose value is set by the superconducting network as a whole, rather than the properties of the individual grains.

Determining how these wires will behave during the IEM process is critical to using this technique for device conditioning and obtaining nanowires with desired specifications. Figure 9 shows the exponential







decay in resistance due to increasing applied current, as the fitting parameter A is modified. As a current is applied to the device the creation of QPC links across junctions in the device leads to an overall lowering of the device resistance. Increasing the fitting parameter A in equation (15) has the effect of lowering the threshold current required to begin forming QPC links. This in turn induces an exponential shift in the threshold current required to condition the device and achieve a certain resistance.

To evaluate the accuracy of our model, we now compare experimental measurements of nanowire conditioning to our computational model. Figure 10 shows the nanowire resistance as a function of applied conditioning current, for four different length wires. The experimentally measured resistance change is compared directly to simulated wires of the same length. The material parameters used in the simulation are  $D_{\text{mean}} = 3.5$  Å and  $D_{\text{sd}} = 3.5$  Å, which correspond well to the range shown in figure 6 and the transmission electron microscopy. As the device to device variation is relatively large (see figure 7), to compare to experiment we generate many simulated devices and choose one example with a total (un-conditioned) resistance similar to that seen in experiment.

To model the experimentally observed IEM process, we use the parameter *A* as a fitting parameter, finding that a value of A = 20 Å provides good agreement for all four wires in figure 10. Experimentally, the rate of change of the resistance with applied current depends on the length of the wire. We see that this behaviour is reproduced in our model, especially for the longer wire lengths.

Figure 11 shows histograms of the resistance steps during the IEM process. These show that both experimentally and in the computational model, the shorter wire lengths undergo smaller steps. This suggests that in the longer wires once the IEM process starts there are more opportunities for links to form, resulting in larger resistance steps. It is worth noting that there is a very large number of small (<100  $\Omega$ ) steps in the computational model (the vertical axis is truncated in figure 11(a)). Such small changes in resistance are not directly resolvable in the experiment where a variance of >100  $\Omega$  is observed even in the 'flat' response at small applied currents.

The agreement between theory and experiment is noteworthy given the simplicity of the model and strongly supports the idea that IEM is driven by the formation of conduction channels between grains. There are observed differences, particularly for shorter wires and higher currents, but this is not surprising





given the idealised model of the contacts (as can be see in figure 8) which will have a non-negligible effect on the total resistance in the IEM calculations. The model presented can be considered the atomic limit of the 'thin channel becoming wider' model discussed by Yagil *et al* [62]. In this limit the transition is from conventional barrier tunnelling to weak link formation, as motivated by Tolpygo *et al* [63].

The idea that the IEM process is driven by QPC formation between grains provides us with hints on how to optimise the wire fabrication process. The dominant influence of the parameter *A* in our model was to move the IEM curves in figure 10 to lower applied currents as *A* is increased. The linear relationship to stress duration *t* implies increasing the duration of the current pulses will decrease the required current to achieve a given resistance reduction. Experimentally, we did not observe a dependence on the pulse length duration from 10 ms up to minutes, which suggests that the QPC links form on a relatively short timescale (i.e. less than 10 ms) after which the microstructure is stable for a given drive current. As the parameter *A* also depends on temperature, it may be possible to optimise the IEM process using current pulse magnitude, pulse duration and device temperature, however the details depend on the localised heating of the links and require more careful experimental study.

# 7. Conclusion

We have developed a network resistor model of the electronic properties of granular aluminium channels which is based on the material properties and microstructure of these films. Using this approach we can theoretically determine the sheet resistance and resulting nanowire resistance for a granular aluminium channel as a function of the device geometry and film characteristics. This model allows us to estimate the device to device variance and yield as a function of nanowire width and length, which is an important consideration when designing superconducting circuits based on such nanowires. Extending this model, we qualitatively explain the IEM within granular aluminium nanowires and suggest that it fundamentally results from current induced movement of oxygen atoms forming conduction channels between metallic grains within the film. Direct comparison to measurements of electromigration in nanowires of various lengths show good agreement for sensible choices of parameters.

The uniformity of granular aluminium allows a high precision comparison between our computational model and the experimental measurements. However the details of the IEM process are more generally applicable in understanding the conduction properties of cermet films [65] and granular superconductors

[66, 67]. Understanding the role microstructure and electromigration plays in the electrical response of superconducting nanowires provides a new approach to optimising fabrication and device design.

## Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge useful discussions with S Brown. This research was supported by the Australian Research Council through Grants CE170100039 and DP140100375. In addition, the work was supported by the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association, the German BMBF Project PtQUBE and the Helmholtz International Research School for Teratronics (YS and JNV). The computational modelling was undertaken with the assistance of resources from the National Computational Infrastructure, which is supported by the Australian Government.

#### Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Tommy C Bartolo https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3550-2169 Jackson S Smith https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7769-7438 Jared H Cole https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8943-6518

#### References

- [1] Santavicca D F, Adams J K, Grant L E, McCaughan A N and Berggren K K 2016 Microwave dynamics of high aspect ratio superconducting nanowires studied using self-resonance *J. Appl. Phys.* **119** 234302
- [2] Hussey N E, Abdel-Jawad M, Carrington A, Mackenzie A P and Balicas L 2003 A coherent three-dimensional Fermi surface in a high-transition-temperature superconductor *Nature* 425 814
- [3] Mauskopf P D 2018 Transition edge sensors and kinetic inductance detectors in astronomical instruments *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* 130 082001
- [4] O'Connor E, Shearer A and O'Brien K 2019 Energy-sensitive detectors for astronomy: past, present and future New Astron. Rev. 87 101526
- [5] Guo W et al 2017 Counting near infrared photons with microwave kinetic inductance detectors Appl. Phys. Lett. 110 212601
- [6] Deutscher G and Rosenbaum R 1975 Granular aluminum SQUID's Appl. Phys. Lett. 27 366
- [7] Müller C, Guan S, Vogt N, Cole J H and Stace T M 2018 Passive on-chip superconducting circulator using a ring of tunnel junctions Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 213602
- [8] Maleeva N et al 2018 Circuit quantum electrodynamics of granular aluminum resonators Nat. Commun. 9 3889
- [9] Wang J I-J and Oliver W D 2019 An aluminium superinductor *Nat. Mater.* **18** 775
- [10] Grünhaupt L et al 2019 Granular aluminium as a superconducting material for high-impedance quantum circuits Nat. Mater. 18 816
- [11] Kamenov P, Lu W-S, Kalashnikov K, DiNapoli T, Bell M T and Gershenson M E 2020 Granular aluminum meandered superinductors for quantum circuits *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **13** 054051
- [12] Zgirski M, Riikonen K-P, Touboltsev V and Arutyunov K Y 2008 Quantum fluctuations in ultranarrow superconducting aluminum nanowires Phys. Rev. B 77 054508
- [13] Astafiev O V, Ioffe L B, Kafanov S, Pashkin Y A, Arutyunov K Y, Shahar D, Cohen O and Tsai J S 2012 Coherent quantum phase slip *Nature* **484** 355
- [14] Lehtinen J S, Sajavaara T, Arutyunov K Y, Presnjakov M Y and Vasiliev A L 2012 Evidence of quantum phase slip effect in titanium nanowires Phys. Rev. B 85 094508
- [15] Lehtinen J S, Zakharov K and Arutyunov K Y 2012 Coulomb blockade and Bloch oscillations in superconducting Ti nanowires Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 187001
- [16] Singh M and Chan M H W 2013 Observation of individual macroscopic quantum tunneling events in superconducting nanowires *Phys. Rev.* B 88 064511
- [17] Mooij J E and Nazarov Y V 2006 Superconducting nanowires as quantum phase-slip junctions Nat. Phys. 2 169
- [18] Rotzinger H *et al* 2017 Aluminium-oxide wires for superconducting high kinetic inductance circuits *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **30** 025002
- [19] Voss J N, Schön Y, Wildermuth M, Dorer D, Cole J H, Rotzinger H and Ustinov A V 2021 Eliminating quantum phase slips in superconducting nanowires ACS Nano 15 4108
- [20] Parmenter R H 1967 Isospin formulation of the theory of a granular superconductor *Phys. Rev.* **154** 353
- [21] Deutscher G, Imry Y and Gunther L 1974 Superconducting phase transitions in granular systems *Phys. Rev.* B 10 4598
- [22] Abeles B, Sheng P, Coutts M D and Arie Y 1975 Structural and electrical properties of granular metal films Adv. Phys. 24 407
- [23] Chui T, Deutscher G, Lindenfeld P and McLean W L 1981 Conduction in granular aluminum near the metal-insulator transition Phys. Rev. B 23 6172
- [24] Pettit R B and Silcox J 1976 Film structure and enhanced superconductivity in evaporated aluminum films Phys. Rev. B 13 2865
- [25] Graybeal J M, Mankiewich P M, Dynes R C and Beasley M R 1987 Apparent destruction of superconductivity in the disordered one-dimensional limit Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 2697

- [26] Vissers M R, Hubmayr J, Sandberg M, Chaudhuri S, Bockstiegel C and Gao J 2015 Frequency-tunable superconducting resonators via nonlinear kinetic inductance Appl. Phys. Lett. 107 062601
- [27] Kafanov S and Chtchelkatchev N M 2013 Single flux transistor: the controllable interplay of coherent quantum phase slip and flux quantization *J. Appl. Phys.* **114** 073907
- [28] Schön Y, Voss J N, Wildermuth M, Schneider A, Skacel S T, Weides M P, Cole J H, Rotzinger H and Ustinov A V 2020 Rabi oscillations in a superconducting nanowire circuit npj Quantum Mater. 5 18
- [29] Deutscher G, Fenichel H, Gershenson M, Grünbaum E and Ovadyahu Z 1973 Transition to zero dimensionality in granular aluminum superconducting films J. Low Temp. Phys. 10 231
- [30] Williams S R and Philipse A P 2003 Random packings of spheres and spherocylinders simulated by mechanical contraction Phys. Rev. E 67 051301
- [31] Hifi M and M'Hallah R 2009 A literature review on circle and sphere packing problems: models and methodologies *Adv. Oper. Res.* 2009 1
- [32] Abeles B 1977 Effect of charging energy on superconductivity in granular metal films *Phys. Rev.* B 15 2828
- [33] Abeles B, Cohen R W and Stowell W R 1967 Critical magnetic fields of granular superconductors Phys. Rev. Lett. 18 902
- [34] Cohen R W and Abeles B 1968 Superconductivity in granular aluminum films Phys. Rev. 168 444
- [35] John S and Lubensky T C 1986 Phase transitions in a disordered granular superconductor near percolation *Phys. Rev.* B 34 4815
  [36] Bradley R M, Kung D, Strenski P N and Doniach S 1988 Finite-voltage behavior of highly-disordered granular superconductors *Physica* B 152 282
- [37] Fisher M P A 1986 Quantum phase slips and superconductivity in granular films Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 885
- [38] Tinkham M 2017 Introduction to superconductivity SQUIDs, the Josephson Effects and Superconducting Electronics (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press) pp 1–21
- [39] Josephson B D 1962 Possible new effects in superconductive tunnelling Phys. Lett. 1 251
- [40] Ambegaokar V and Baratoff A 1963 Tunneling between superconductors Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 486 Ambegaokar V and Baratoff A 1963 Phys. Rev. Lett. 11 104
- [41] Chudinov S M, Mancini G, Minestrini M, Natali R, Stizza S and Bozhko A 2002 Critical current in granular superconductor C–Si–W with peak-type re-entrant superconductivity *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 14 193
- [42] Salasnich L 2011 Condensate fraction in metallic superconductor and ultracold atomic vapors Horizons in World Physics 271 ed A Reimer (New York: Nova Science Publishers (Verlag)) ISBN: 978-1-61122-226-5
- [43] Jeurgens L P H, Sloof W G, Tichelaar F D and Mittemeijer E J 2002 Structure and morphology of aluminium-oxide films formed by thermal oxidation of aluminium *Thin Solid Films* 418 89
- [44] Park B G, Bae J Y and Lee T D 2002 Growth characteristics of Al oxide formed by ozone in magnetic tunnel junctions *J. Appl. Phys.* **91** 8789
- [45] Cyster M J, Smith J S, Vaitkus J A, Vogt N, Russo S P and Cole J H 2020 Effect of atomic structure on the electrical response of aluminum oxide tunnel junctions Phys. Rev. Res. 2 013110
- [46] Wu F Y 2004 Theory of resistor networks: the two-point resistance J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 6653
- [47] Shafarevich I R and Remizov A O 2013 *Linear Algebra and Geometry* (Berlin: Springer)
- [48] Belkin A, Belkin M, Vakaryuk V, Khlebnikov S and Bezryadin A 2015 Formation of quantum phase slip pairs in superconducting nanowires *Phys. Rev.* X 5 021023
- [49] Aref T, Levchenko A, Vakaryuk V and Bezryadin A 2012 Quantitative analysis of quantum phase slips in superconducting Mo<sub>76</sub>Ge<sub>24</sub> nanowires revealed by switching-current statistics *Phys. Rev. B* 86 024507
- [50] Niepce D, Burnett J and Bylander J 2019 High kinetic inductance NbN nanowire superinductors Phys. Rev. Appl. 11 044014
- [51] Arutyunov K Y, Hongisto T T, Lehtinen J S, Leino L I and Vasiliev A L 2012 Quantum phase slip phenomenon in ultra-narrow superconducting nanorings *Sci. Rep.* **2** 293
- [52] Peltonen J T *et al* 2013 Coherent flux tunneling through NbN nanowires *Phys. Rev.* B 88 220506(R)
- [53] Lloyd J R 1997 Electromigration in thin film conductors Semicond. Sci. Technol. 12 1177
- [54] Strukov D B, Snider G S, Stewart D R and Williams R S 2008 The missing memristor found *Nature* 453 80
- [55] Lombardo J, Collienne S, Petrillo A, Fourneau E, Nguyen N D and Silhanek A V 2019 Electromigration-induced resistance switching in indented Al microstrips New J. Phys. 21 113015
- [56] Guo Y, Hu W, Zhang C, Peng Y and Guo Y 2020 An electronic synapse device based on aluminum nitride memristor for neuromorphic computing application J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 53 195101
- [57] Meiswes-Broer K-H 2000 Metal Clusters at Surfaces (Springer Series in Cluster Physics) (Berlin: Springer)
- [58] Milani P and Iannotta S 1999 Cluster Beam Synthesis of Nanostructured Materials (Springer Series in Cluster Physics) (Berlin: Springer)
- [59] Dunbar A D F, Partridge J G, Schulze M and Brown S A 2006 Morphological differences between Bi, Ag and Sb nano-particles and how they affect the percolation of current through nano-particle networks *Eur. Phys. J.* D 39 415
- [60] Schmelzer J, Brown S A, Wurl A, Hyslop M and Blaikie R J 2002 Finite-size effects in the conductivity of cluster assembled nanostructures Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 226802
- [61] Sattar A, Fostner S and Brown S A 2013 Quantized conductance and switching in percolating nanoparticle films *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111 136808
- [62] Yagil Y, Deutscher G and Bergman D J 1992 Electrical breakdown measurements of semicontinuous metal films *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 69 1423
- [63] Tolpygo S K and Amparo D 2008 Electrical stress effect on Josephson tunneling through ultrathin AlO<sub>x</sub> barrier in Nb/Al/AlO<sub>x</sub>/Nb junctions J. Appl. Phys. **104** 063904
- [64] Penrose R 1955 A generalized inverse for matrices Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 51 406
- [65] Deutscher G 2008 Nanostructured superconductors Superconductivity (Berlin: Springer) pp 259-77
- [66] Portis A M 1993 Electrodynamics of High-Temperature Superconductors vol 48 (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [67] Deutscher G 2006 New Superconductors: From Granular to High Tc (Singapore: World Scientific)