

AI & Data Literacy for Non-Technical Students: A Hybrid-Augmented Learning Factory

SHI LIU, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany

THIMO SCHULZ, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany

PEYMAN TOREINI, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany

CHRISTIAN PEUKERT, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany

ALEXANDER MAEDCHE, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany

CHRISTOF WEINHARDT, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has made a strong impact on business and private life. Nonetheless, understanding how AI works and which role data specifically plays in this context remain unclear for many people. We argue that especially students with non-technical backgrounds should build up AI & data literacy to understand the key concepts and leverage their potential in their field of study and research. For this purpose, we present the concept of a hybrid-augmented learning factory, where students can explore AI & data concepts with interactive and immersive technologies in a physical and virtual environment. In this workshop paper, we demonstrate our overarching idea of the hybrid-augmented learning factory as well as our current progress on implementing learning applications for the learning factory.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → **Mixed / augmented reality**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: ai literacy, mixed reality, learning factory

ACM Reference Format:

Shi Liu, Thimo Schulz, Peyman Toreini, Christian Peukert, Alexander Maedche, and Christof Weinhardt. . AI & Data Literacy for Non-Technical Students: A Hybrid-Augmented Learning Factory. In . ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages.

1 INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) plays an increasingly important role in our society but making sense of AI and the underlying data remains challenging [8]. This often leads to misconception and mistrust in AI for the public, as concerns on ethical issues have increased over the past decade [5]. Therefore, people need to be educated about AI and underlying concepts to overcome such challenges. Currently, In higher education, the advantages of AI & data have not been fully explored [15]. In particular, research has identified challenges of students with non-technical backgrounds in learning the underlying concepts. Yet, AI & data literacy is known to be of specific importance for non-technical audiences [11, 14].

Therefore, in this study we specifically focus on supporting students from non-technical backgrounds (e.g., business students) in improving their AI & data literacy. To reach this goal, we propose our concept of a hybrid-augmented learning factory. Learning factories by definition typically involve two aspects, the *learning* and *factory context* [13]. We aim to create a constructivist and action-oriented learning environment, in contrast to regular teaching. With regard

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© Association for Computing Machinery.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

53 to the factory context, we adopt a broader definition by Abele et al. [1]: learning factories create additional value by
54 augmenting the competences of users. Instead of targeting concrete on-site factory settings and products, our concept
55 focus on enabling hybrid learning experiences and enhancing competences of users with regard to data and AI literacy.
56 In our hybrid learning factory, we provide preliminary learning content, structured according to the competences
57 proposed by Long and Magerko [5], and used immersive technologies such as Augmented Reality (AR) and Mixed
58 Reality (MR) to create engaging learning experiences.

60 Here we aim to contribute to the field of HCI by suggesting a novel user experience in learning AI concepts and
61 testing it with university students with non-technical backgrounds. Later, we aim to provide design guidelines to
62 support researchers and practitioners teaching AI with AR and MR.
63
64

65 2 A FRAMEWORK FOR A HYBRID-AUGMENTED LEARNING FACTORY

66 Aiming to create a hybrid-augmented learning factory for improving AI and data literacy, we follow the conceptual
67 framework and design considerations of [5] and determined initial design goals. During the design process, we considered
68 existing studies on teaching machine learning to non-majors and prioritized two design guidelines for our artifacts [11]:
69 a) explainability; b) embodied interactions. Apart from AI-literacy, we also emphasize the importance of understanding
70 the underlying data in AI-related contexts. Thus, we also adopt the data literacy framework proposed by Gummer and
71 Mandinach [4] and integrated existing evaluation tools such as [12] in our concept.
72
73

74 The focus of our hybrid-augmented learning factory is to create an immersive learning experience with AR and
75 MR technology, supporting students from non-technical backgrounds in improving their AI and data literacy. Aiming
76 to support students in achieving their learning goals, we investigated the significant learning taxonomy proposed by
77 Fink [3], which provides guidelines for creating the structure of learning content. In the process of creating significant
78 learning experiences, we focused on two dimensions of the taxonomy. First, we contribute in fostering "foundational
79 knowledge", which addresses the significance of understanding basic concepts and promotes the explainability of AI
80 artifacts. Secondly, we integrate embodied learning in the "application" dimension, allowing students to develop their
81 understanding of the topics with active engagement with the learning content and artifacts we provide. Thereby, based
82 on our design guidelines derived from [5] and the significant learning taxonomy [3], we propose a framework for a
83 hybrid-augmented learning factory.
84
85
86

87 The term *hybrid-augmented* covers two perspectives: a) creating highly immersive on-site learning experiences using
88 AR head-mounted displays such as Microsoft HoloLens; b) offering hybrid learning experiences in a remote-setting
89 with mobile applications on smartphones and tablets. As prior research reveals, the potential of AR and VR technology
90 in educational contexts have been explored in various areas, such as medical education [2], K-12 education [6], pair
91 programming [10], etc. Such research indicates the potential of AR in promoting students' motivation, improving
92 learning outcome by making abstract concepts more tangible, and potentially reducing cognitive workload [2, 9].
93 For the on-site experience, we are currently working with AR/MR devices including HoloLens 2 and Varjo XR-3 to
94 create immersive experiences. For the hybrid experience, we are designing mobile AR experiences to offer students the
95 flexibility of learning AI-related content without visiting the learning factory.
96
97

98 Regarding the learning content, we currently focus on the following competences defined in [5] and implemented
99 AR/MR based applications for the hybrid-augmented learning factory: a) AI's strengths & weaknesses; b) decision-
100 making. We plan to deliver learning content covering more competences after conducting field studies with students.
101

102 The learning journey of students is also considered in the framework. Currently, we plan to offer three types of
103 learning experience: a) exploratory; b) guided; c) lecture-based. In the exploratory experiences, students can select any
104

105 learning content as their starting point and explore the content at their own pace, following the instructions provided
106 in each learning application. This approach allows maximum flexibility and freedom in the learning process. However,
107 existing studies have pointed out the limitations of a solely exploratory learning approach [7]. Therefore, we propose
108 also a guided learning approach, aiming to provide necessary guidance and promote self-regulated learning for students.
109 In a guided learning journey, students first assess their AI & data literacy with the help of a chatbot. By answering the
110 questions asked by the chatbot, students are encouraged to reflect on their previous knowledge and experience. Upon
111 finishing the self-assessment, the chatbot presents a personalized AI & data literacy report to students and recommend
112 content to start with. Lastly, we designed lecture-based learning experiences to integrate our learning factory into the
113 existing teaching activities of the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT).
114
115

116 3 CURRENT PROGRESS AND OUTLOOK

117 The hybrid-augmented learning factory has been established in a co-learning space at KIT. Figure 1 shows the current
118 status of the space design. The learning factory currently includes the following hardware and infrastructure:
119

- 120 • Structured wall shelves with learning content arranged in boxes
- 121 • Two tables used as working areas for AR and MR applications
- 122 • TV and tablets for displaying relevant information (e.g., instructions) for students
- 123 • AR and MR devices such as HoloLens
- 124
- 125



126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137 Fig. 1. Current status of the learning factory



138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149 Fig. 2. A student completing a learning module

150 We have implemented two applications in our learning factory so far, covering two basic AI algorithms (ID3, K-means).
151 Figure 2 shows one learning application we implemented on HoloLens, teaching decision tree and the ID3 algorithm on
152 HoloLens. The other application is a mobile AR application, allowing students to explore clustering and the k-means
153 algorithm in an embodied learning experience. For the guided learning experience, we implemented a self-assessment
154 chatbot. Currently, we are evaluating the first applications in a field study to explore further research directions of the
155 concept, as well as seeking inspirations for the upcoming learning applications.
156

157 4 CONCLUSION

158 In this paper, we present our concept of a hybrid-augmented learning factory, focusing on creating engaging learning
159 experience of AI-related topics and improve AI & data literacy of students with non-technical backgrounds. In the
160 workshop, we would like to share our knowledge in creating hybrid learning experience and systems. We would also
161 like to discuss integrating new pedagogic methods, learning tools and curricula in our existing concept with fellow
162 researchers.

REFERENCES

- 157 [1] Eberhard Abele, Joachim Metternich, Michael Tisch, George Chryssolouris, Wilfried Sihm, Hoda ElMaraghy, Vera Hummel, and Fabian Ranz. 2015.
158 Learning Factories for Research, Education, and Training. *Procedia CIRP* 32 (Jan. 2015), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2015.02.187>
- 159 [2] Isabela Bianchi, Alexandre Lazaretti Zanatta, and Rafael Rieder. 2020. Augmented Reality in Medical Teaching-Learning Process Content: A
160 Systematic Review. In *2020 22nd Symposium on Virtual and Augmented Reality (SVR)*. 129–133. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SVR51698.2020.00032>
- 161 [3] L. Dee Fink. 2013. *Creating Significant Learning Experiences: An Integrated Approach to Designing College Courses*. John Wiley & Sons. Google-
162 Books-ID: cehvAAAAQBAJ.
- 163 [4] Edith S. Gummer and Ellen B. Mandinach. 2015. Building a Conceptual Framework for Data Literacy. *Teachers College Record* 117, 4 (April 2015),
164 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016146811511700401> Publisher: SAGE Publications.
- 165 [5] Duri Long and Brian Magerko. 2020. What is AI Literacy? Competencies and Design Considerations. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on*
166 *Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '20)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–16. [https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.](https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376727)
167 3376727
- 168 [6] Melanie J. Maas and Janette M. Hughes. 2020. Virtual, augmented and mixed reality in K–12 education: a review of the literature. *Technology,*
169 *Pedagogy and Education* 29, 2 (March 2020), 231–249. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2020.1737210>
- 170 [7] Richard E. Mayer. 2004. Should there be a three-strikes rule against pure discovery learning? The case for guided methods of instruction. *The*
171 *American Psychologist* 59, 1 (Jan. 2004), 14–19. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.59.1.14>
- 172 [8] Melanie Mitchell. 2021. Why AI is harder than we think. In *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO '21)*.
173 Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3449639.3465421>
- 174 [9] Nastaran Mohammadhossein, Alexander Richter, and Stephan Lukosch. 2022. Benefits of Using Augmented Reality in Learning Settings: A
175 Systematic Literature Review. *ICIS 2022 Proceedings* (Dec. 2022). https://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2022/learning_iscurricula/learning_iscurricula/4
- 176 [10] Iulian Radu, Vivek Hv, and Bertrand Schneider. 2021. Unequal Impacts of Augmented Reality on Learning and Collaboration During Robot
177 Programming with Peers. *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.* 4, CSCW3 (Jan. 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3432944> Place: New York, NY, USA
178 Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery.
- 179 [11] Elisabeth Sulmont, Elizabeth Patitsas, and Jeremy R. Cooperstock. 2019. Can You Teach Me To Machine Learn?. In *Proceedings of the 50th*
180 *ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '19)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 948–954.
181 <https://doi.org/10.1145/3287324.3287392>
- 182 [12] Data to the People. 2022. myDataBilities | your personal data literacy assessment. <https://www.mydatabilities.com>
- 183 [13] U. Wagner, T. AlGeddawy, H. ElMaraghy, and E. Mýller. 2012. The State-of-the-Art and Prospects of Learning Factories. *Procedia CIRP* 3 (Jan. 2012),
184 109–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2012.07.020>
- 185 [14] Jennifer J. Xu and Tamara Babaian. 2021. Artificial intelligence in business curriculum: The pedagogy and learning outcomes. *The International*
186 *Journal of Management Education* 19, 3 (Nov. 2021), 100550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2021.100550>
- 187 [15] Olaf Zawacki-Richter, Victoria I. Marín, Melissa Bond, and Franziska Gouverneur. 2019. Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence
188 applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* 16, 1 (Oct. 2019), 39.
189 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>
- 190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208