

PoS

High precision theory predictions in the Higgs sector

Gudrun Heinrich^{*a*,*}

^aKarlsruhe Institute for Technology, Wolfgang-Gaede-Str. 1, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

E-mail: gudrun.heinrich@kit.edu

We discuss recent progress in Standard Model predictions related to Higgs boson physics at the LHC and comment on the combination of higher order corrections with potential effects of heavy New Physics parametrised by Effective Field Theories.

The Tenth Annual Conference on Large Hadron Collider Physics - LHCP2022 16-20 May 2022 online

*Speaker

[©] Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Introduction

Ten years after the discovery of the Higgs boson, there are impressive achievements concerning the investigation of its properties, for example the measurement of its mass with per-mille accuracy, or the measurement of its couplings to vector bosons and quarks of the third generation [1, 2]. Nonetheless, many open questions remain to be answered, for example whether the form of the Higgs potential is as assumed in the Standard Model (SM), why the masses of the fermions are so different, or where additional sources of CP-violation could come from. Questions ranging from the pattern of particle masses to cosmology are related to the Higgs sector, therefore the Higgs boson could serve as a guide towards possible answers. As a consequence, a primary task of LHC physics consists in exploring the many facets of the Higgs sector with highest possible precision, in a joint effort of both experimentalists and theorists.

These proceedings pick some topics illustrating the recent progress in high precision theory predictions in the Higgs sector. More detailed reviews can be found e.g. in Refs. [3–6].

2. Precision highlights in the Higgs sector

2.1 Higgs boson production in gluon fusion

For the gluon fusion channel, impressive calculations have been performed to decrease the theoretical uncertainties, both at fixed order and beyond. The uncertainty budget for the total cross section that has been put together a few years ago [6, 7] has shrunk considerably since: NNLO corrections with full top-quark mass dependence have become available [8–10], the uncertainties due to mixed QCD-electroweak corrections have been reduced to about 0.6% [11–15], the threshold approximation has been overcome since quite some time now [16], the QCD corrections in the heavy top limit (HTL) are available up to N3LO [17–19], even fully differentially [17], and N4LO results exist in the soft-virtual approximation [20]. Resummed N3LO+N3LL' results have been achieved for the Higgs- p_T spectrum and for the total cross section with fiducial cuts [18], in Ref. [21] also including transverse recoil effects. Publicly available programs such as n3loxs [19], HTurbo [22], iHixs2 [7], ggHiggs [23] or SusHi [24] allow us to make detailed studies of theory uncertainties, as performed for example in Ref. [19] for scale and PDF uncertainties. A lesson learnt from the availability of N3LO results for Higgs- and vector boson production is that 7-point scale variations at NNLO often do not capture the central value of the N3LO result, thus suggesting that global scale variations alone can be a too naive uncertainty estimate at the level of precision expected at such high perturbative orders. A related problem consists in the fact that there is a mismatch between the perturbative order of the matrix elements (N3LO) and the PDF sets, which are not available at N3LO. For progress towards approximate N3LO PDFs see Ref. [25].

2.2 Higgs boson production in association with a jet

The transverse momentum distribution of the Higgs boson is one of the most interesting observables to study at the LHC, for example due to its sensitivity to unknown particles circulating in the loop already at the leading order. Heavy new particles would influence the tail of the p_T^H distribution [26, 27], therefore it is important to include the quark mass dependence in the SM calculation for reliable predictions. NLO predictions with full top-quark mass dependence have

been calculated first in Refs. [28, 29], with mass renormalisation in the on-shell scheme. Recently, results with both top- and bottom-quark mass dependence have been presented in Ref. [30], see also Ref. [31] for earlier approximate results. In Fig. 1 (left), the approximations "HTL" (heavy top limit) and "FTapprox" (where only the 2-loop virtual corrections are in the heavy top limit) are compared to the full NLO calculation (NLOSM, orange). Fig. 1 (right) shows the influence of including massive *b*-quark loops and the on-shell versus the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme on the ratio NLO/LO for the Higgs boson transverse momentum distribution.



Figure 1: Left: Transverse momentum distribution of the Higgs boson in the full SM, in the heavy top limit (HTL) and in the "approximate full theory" (NLOFTapprox). The first ratio plot shows corrections relative to LO SM, while the second ratio plot shows NLO results normalised to the respective LO prediction. Shaded bands denote scale uncertainties, error bars indicate integration uncertainties. Figure from Ref. [29]. Right: NLO/LO ratio for the transverse momentum distribution of the Higgs boson, with and without massive bottom loops and comparing on-shell and MS schemes. Figure from Ref. [30].

2.3 ZH production

Higgs boson production in association with a Z boson is an interesting process as it probes both the Higgs boson coupling to Z bosons as well as to fermions. Theory predictions for this process have been advanced in several respects recently. The loop-induced gluon channel formally enters at NNLO with respect to the $pp \rightarrow ZH$ process, and at its leading order accounts for about 6% of the total cross section, thereby also introducing a relatively large scale uncertainty into the NNLO cross section. The NLO corrections to the gluon channel increase the gluon-fusion cross section by about a factor of two, and reduce the scale dependence [32–34]. In Refs. [33, 34], the dependence of the results on the top-quark mass renormalisation scheme at high energies has also been studied, as shown in Fig. 2 for the m_{ZH} invariant mass, finding scheme uncertainties that exceed the scale uncertainties in the tail of the distribution.

Recent updates for $pp \rightarrow ZH$ production also include NNLO+PS results with $H \rightarrow b\bar{b}$, in the SM [35] as well as including anomalous Yukawa couplings [36]. NNLO results with NNLO Higgs boson decays to massive *b*-quarks, including anomalous HVV couplings, have been calculated in Ref. [37]. Results for VH+jet production at order α_s^3 are also available [38]. The latter in addition represent a step towards a fully differential N3LO calculation of $pp \rightarrow VH$.



Figure 2: Left: Comparison of results at large values of the invariant mass m_{ZH} using the on-shell or MS scheme for the top quark mass at LO (dashed) and NLO (solid), figure from Ref. [33]. Right: Comparison in the range 200 GeV $\leq m_{ZH} \leq 800$ GeV, figure from Ref. [34].

3. Effective Field Theory and precision

The parametrisation of New Physics residing at higher energy scales in terms of Effective Field Theory (EFT) descriptions is a vast subject, treated in more detail elsewhere in these proceedings. The combination of higher order (mostly QCD) corrections with EFT expansions is important to extract reliable constraints on anomalous couplings from the data. However, as EFTs are also expansions that need to be truncated at a certain order, additional uncertainties have to be considered. For example, in Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT) [39–41], differences between the inclusion of linearised dimension-6 terms at cross section level ($\sigma_{SM} + \sigma_{SM \times dim6}$) or the square of amplitude-level dimension-6 terms ($\sigma_{(SM+dim6)\times(SM+dim6)}$) can be larger than the NLO QCD scale uncertainties. An example for the case of Higgs boson pair production is shown in Fig. 3. Results of global fits also show differing patterns depending on the choice of the "linearised" or "quadratic" inclusion of dimension-6 operators, see e.g. Ref. [42] and related discussions [27, 43–46]. Another issue is the change of K-factors as a function of the anomalous couplings, an example is shown in Fig. 3 (right). Furthermore, it has been shown that the correlations between different Wilson coefficients can change considerably with the energy scale if renormalisation group running effects are taken into account [27, 47].

4. Outlook

Great progress has been achieved with regards to the availability of theoretical predictions for important observables in the Higgs sector at high orders in perturbative QCD, on the fixed order as well as on the resummation and parton shower side. In view of the shrinking scale uncertainties,



Figure 3: Left: Invariant mass distribution of the Higgs-boson pair for different truncation options in the SMEFT expansion at cross section level, for a benchmark point with $C_{H,kin} = 0.56$, $C_H = 3.8$, $C_{uH} = 2.2$, $C_{HG} = 0.0387$ at $\Lambda = 1$ TeV. Figure from Ref. [48]. Right: K-factor NNLO'/LO relative to the SM K-factor in the $c_{tt} - c_{hhh}$ plane for Higgs-boson pair production, where c_{hhh} denotes the trilinear Higgs self-coupling and c_{tt} denotes an effective $t\bar{t}hh$ coupling. Figure from Ref. [49].

other uncertainties such as mass effects, renormalisation scheme differences, electroweak corrections, PDF+ α_s -uncertainties and other non-perturbative uncertainties gain in relative importance and are relevant for the LHC precision program. Within EFT parametrisations of New Physics, the quest for precise predictions poses additional challenges, starting already with the choice of the EFT framework (HEFT or SMEFT), and requiring an assessment of truncation options and the validity range. Nonetheless, making the step from "anomalies" in the data to established manifestations of physics beyond the Standard Model is in the cards of the LHC if we pursue the road of precision physics.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under grant 396021762 - TRR 257.

References

- [1] ATLAS collaboration, A detailed map of Higgs boson interactions by the ATLAS experiment ten years after the discovery, Nature **607** (2022) 52 [2207.00092].
- [2] CMS collaboration, A portrait of the Higgs boson by the CMS experiment ten years after the discovery, Nature 607 (2022) 60 [2207.00043].
- [3] S. Dawson et al., Report of the Topical Group on Higgs Physics for Snowmass 2021: The Case for Precision Higgs Physics, in 2022 Snowmass Summer Study, 9, 2022, 2209.07510.
- [4] A. Huss, J. Huston, S. Jones and M. Pellen, *Les Houches 2021: Physics at TeV Colliders: Report on the Standard Model Precision Wishlist*, 2207.02122.

- [5] G. Heinrich, *Collider Physics at the Precision Frontier*, *Phys. Rept.* **922** (2021) 1 [2009.00516].
- [6] LHC HIGGS CROSS SECTION WORKING GROUP collaboration, D. de Florian et al., *Handbook* of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 4. Deciphering the Nature of the Higgs Sector, 1610.07922.
- [7] F. Dulat, A. Lazopoulos and B. Mistlberger, *iHixs 2 Inclusive Higgs cross sections*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **233** (2018) 243 [1802.00827].
- [8] M. Czakon, R. V. Harlander, J. Klappert and M. Niggetiedt, *Exact Top-Quark Mass Dependence in Hadronic Higgs Production*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 162002 [2105.04436].
- [9] M. L. Czakon and M. Niggetiedt, *Exact quark-mass dependence of the Higgs-gluon form factor at three loops in QCD*, *JHEP* **05** (2020) 149 [2001.03008].
- [10] J. Davies, R. Gröber, A. Maier, T. Rauh and M. Steinhauser, *Top quark mass dependence of the Higgs boson-gluon form factor at three loops*, *Phys. Rev. D* 100 (2019) 034017
 [1906.00982].
- [11] M. Bonetti, K. Melnikov and L. Tancredi, *Higher order corrections to mixed QCD-EW contributions to Higgs boson production in gluon fusion*, *Phys. Rev. D* 97 (2018) 056017 [1801.10403].
- [12] M. Bonetti, E. Panzer, V. A. Smirnov and L. Tancredi, *Two-loop mixed QCD-EW corrections* to $gg \rightarrow Hg$, *JHEP* **11** (2020) 045 [2007.09813].
- [13] M. Becchetti, R. Bonciani, V. Del Duca, V. Hirschi, F. Moriello and A. Schweitzer, *Next-to-leading order corrections to light-quark mixed QCD-EW contributions to Higgs boson production*, *Phys. Rev. D* 103 (2021) 054037 [2010.09451].
- [14] M. Becchetti, F. Moriello and A. Schweitzer, *Two-loop amplitude for mixed QCD-EW* corrections to $gg \rightarrow Hg$, *JHEP* **04** (2022) 139 [2112.07578].
- [15] M. Bonetti, E. Panzer and L. Tancredi, *Two-loop mixed QCD-EW corrections to* $q\overline{q} \rightarrow Hg$, $qg \rightarrow Hq$, $and \overline{q}g \rightarrow H\overline{q}$, *JHEP* **06** (2022) 115 [2203.17202].
- [16] B. Mistlberger, *Higgs boson production at hadron colliders at N³LO in QCD*, *JHEP* 05 (2018) 028 [1802.00833].
- [17] X. Chen, T. Gehrmann, E. W. N. Glover, A. Huss, B. Mistlberger and A. Pelloni, *Fully Differential Higgs Boson Production to Third Order in QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 072002 [2102.07607].
- [18] G. Billis, B. Dehnadi, M. A. Ebert, J. K. L. Michel and F. J. Tackmann, *Higgs pT Spectrum and Total Cross Section with Fiducial Cuts at Third Resummed and Fixed Order in QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 072001 [2102.08039].

- [19] J. Baglio, C. Duhr, B. Mistlberger and R. Szafron, *Inclusive Production Cross Sections at N3LO*, 2209.06138.
- [20] G. Das, S. Moch and A. Vogt, Approximate four-loop QCD corrections to the Higgs-boson production cross section, Phys. Lett. B 807 (2020) 135546 [2004.00563].
- [21] E. Re, L. Rottoli and P. Torrielli, *Fiducial Higgs and Drell-Yan distributions at* N³LL'+NNLO with RadISH, 2104.07509.
- [22] S. Camarda, L. Cieri, G. Ferrera and J. Urtasun-Elizari, *Higgs boson production at the LHC: fast and precise predictions in QCD at higher orders*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 82 (2022) 492 [2202.10343].
- [23] M. Bonvini, S. Marzani, C. Muselli and L. Rottoli, *On the Higgs cross section at* $N^{3}LO+N^{3}LL$ and its uncertainty, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 105 [1603.08000].
- [24] R. V. Harlander, S. Liebler and H. Mantler, SusHi Bento: Beyond NNLO and the heavy-top limit, Comput. Phys. Commun. 212 (2017) 239 [1605.03190].
- [25] J. McGowan, T. Cridge, L. A. Harland-Lang and R. S. Thorne, Approximate N³LO Parton Distribution Functions with Theoretical Uncertainties: MSHT20aN³LO PDFs, 2207.04739.
- [26] M. Grazzini, A. Ilnicka and M. Spira, *Higgs boson production at large transverse momentum within the SMEFT: analytical results, Eur. Phys. J. C* 78 (2018) 808 [1806.08832].
- [27] M. Battaglia, M. Grazzini, M. Spira and M. Wiesemann, Sensitivity to BSM effects in the Higgs p_T spectrum within SMEFT, JHEP 11 (2021) 173 [2109.02987].
- [28] S. P. Jones, M. Kerner and G. Luisoni, Next-to-Leading-Order QCD Corrections to Higgs Boson Plus Jet Production with Full Top-Quark Mass Dependence, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 162001 [1802.00349].
- [29] X. Chen, A. Huss, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, J. N. Lang, J. M. Lindert et al., *Top-quark mass effects in H+jet and H+2 jets production*, *JHEP* 03 (2022) 096 [2110.06953].
- [30] R. Bonciani, V. Del Duca, H. Frellesvig, M. Hidding, V. Hirschi, F. Moriello et al., *Next-to-leading-order QCD Corrections to Higgs Production in association with a Jet*, 2206.10490.
- [31] J. M. Lindert, K. Kudashkin, K. Melnikov and C. Wever, *Higgs bosons with large transverse momentum at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B* **782** (2018) 210 [1801.08226].
- [32] G. Wang, X. Xu, Y. Xu and L. L. Yang, Next-to-leading order corrections for gg → ZH with top quark mass dependence, Phys. Lett. B 829 (2022) 137087 [2107.08206].
- [33] L. Chen, J. Davies, G. Heinrich, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, G. Mishima et al., ZH production in gluon fusion at NLO in QCD, JHEP 08 (2022) 056 [2204.05225].

- [34] G. Degrassi, R. Gröber, M. Vitti and X. Zhao, On the NLO QCD corrections to gluon-initiated ZH production, JHEP 08 (2022) 009 [2205.02769].
- [35] S. Zanoli, M. Chiesa, E. Re, M. Wiesemann and G. Zanderighi, *Next-to-next-to-leading order* event generation for VH production with $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ decay, JHEP 07 (2022) 008 [2112.04168].
- [36] U. Haisch, D. J. Scott, M. Wiesemann, G. Zanderighi and S. Zanoli, *NNLO event generation* for $pp \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- b\overline{b}$ production in the SM effective field theory, JHEP **07** (2022) 054 [2204.00663].
- [37] W. Bizoń, F. Caola, K. Melnikov and R. Röntsch, Anomalous couplings in associated VH production with Higgs boson decay to massive b quarks at NNLO in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 014023 [2106.06328].
- [38] R. Gauld, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, E. W. N. Glover, A. Huss and I. Majer, VH + jet production in hadron-hadron collisions up to order α_s^3 in perturbative QCD, JHEP **03** (2022) 008 [2110.12992].
- [39] W. Buchmüller and D. Wyler, Effective Lagrangian Analysis of New Interactions and Flavor Conservation, Nucl. Phys. B 268 (1986) 621.
- [40] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak and J. Rosiek, *Dimension-Six Terms in the Standard Model Lagrangian*, *JHEP* 10 (2010) 085 [1008.4884].
- [41] I. Brivio and M. Trott, The Standard Model as an Effective Field Theory, Phys. Rept. 793 (2019) 1 [1706.08945].
- [42] SMEFIT collaboration, J. J. Ethier, G. Magni, F. Maltoni, L. Mantani, E. R. Nocera, J. Rojo et al., *Combined SMEFT interpretation of Higgs, diboson, and top quark data from the LHC*, *JHEP* 11 (2021) 089 [2105.00006].
- [43] I. Brivio et al., Truncation, validity, uncertainties, 2201.04974.
- [44] S. Dawson, S. Homiller and M. Sullivan, *Impact of dimension-eight SMEFT contributions:* A case study, Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021) 115013 [2110.06929].
- [45] M. Trott, Methodology for theory uncertainties in the standard model effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 095023 [2106.13794].
- [46] A. Martin and M. Trott, ggh variations, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 076004 [2109.05595].
- [47] G. Banelli, E. Salvioni, J. Serra, T. Theil and A. Weiler, *The Present and Future of Four Top Operators*, *JHEP* 02 (2021) 043 [2010.05915].
- [48] G. Heinrich, J. Lang and L. Scyboz, *SMEFT predictions for gg* \rightarrow *hh at full NLO QCD and truncation uncertainties*, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 079 [2204.13045].
- [49] D. de Florian, I. Fabre, G. Heinrich, J. Mazzitelli and L. Scyboz, Anomalous couplings in Higgs-boson pair production at approximate NNLO QCD, JHEP 09 (2021) 161 [2106.14050].