

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

SIMULATION OF GAS INJECTION INTO LIQUID WITH SIMMER

X.-N. Chen, B. Kedzierska, A. Rineiski

KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (KIT), INST. FOR NEUTRON PHYSICS AND REACTOR TECHNOLOGY (INR)

Technical Meeting on Compatibility Between Coolants and Materials for Fusion Facilities and Advanced Fission reactors M3, IAEA, Vienna, Austria 30 Oct.—3 Nov. 2023

KIT – University of the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and National Research Center of the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu





SAM SAFER

- Euratom Project: SAMOSAFER since 2018
- Molten Salt Reactor (MSR)
- Severe Accident Modeling and Safety Assessment
- Gas Bubbling in Molten Salt Reactor
- SIMMER Application and Validation by Experiment of Gas Injection into Water

□ Introduction



- Castillejos experiment
 - One of SIMMER validation experiments
 - References: Pigny (2010, 2011)
- Air injected in a water-filled cylindrical tank
 - Water depth 40 cm
 - Tank diameter 50 cm
 - Injection diameter 0.635 cm
- Inlet gas flow rate:

Q	=	371,	876 cm^3/s
Vav	=	11.71,	27.66 m/s



□ Introduction



- According to experimental observation
 - The gas plume spreads out
 - The bubbles break up and splitt into smaller ones







- Experimental void fraction (gas volume fraction) distributions have been summarized by correlations
- The radial distribution: Gaus Bell Function
- The half-value radius and the maximal void fraction as function of z (the height over the injection point)
- Radial distribution for different z positions



α_{max}







Void Fraction Correlation of Experimental Results



□ SIMMER Gas Injection Modeling



- SIMMER Code: 2-D and 3-D advanced fluid-dynamics of multiphase-flow and neutronics models
- SIMMER-III v3F version (2-D) and meshes
 - Mesh refinement: 25x50=>50x100
 - One injection cell => two injection cells
 - Radial mesh adjustment, finer in the central plume region
- SIMMER other options
 - Turbulence-diffusion effect on the viscuous drag term taken into account
 - Modification of bubble drag coefficient, interpolated between ellipsoidal bubbles and cap bubble's (Suzuki 2003)
 - Large interface simulation (LIS) model (Coste 2013, Pigny 2011)

References:

- T. Suzuki, et al. Nuclear Engineering and Design 220 (2003) 207–223
- P. Coste, NED 255 (2013) 38-50
- S. L. Pigny, NED 241 (2011) 874-887

SIMMER Gas Injection Modeling and Results



Original Meshes 25x50; New Meshes 50X100

Q = 371	cm ³ / S ,	Vin =	11.71	m/s
chen@inr-lvm-14:~/1-	Castillejos/3-V14	3-NEW-DHINP/	3-V143-MXF95	-DH50-CCD4

 $Q = 876 \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}$, Vin = 27.66 m/s

chen@inr-lvm-14:~/1-Castillejos/6-Short10s-EvenFineMesh-R3cm/5-V2722-R3-LIS/2-INJ-BND-LIS-MXF95





Two-cell injection, radial and axial modified meshes FM (50x100) Q371 Two gas velocities: 14.30 and 7.12 m/s, average 11.71 m/s



Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

10



in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

Two-cell injection, radial and axial modified meshes FM (50x100) Q876 Two gas velocities: 34.66 and 25.41 m/s, average 27.66 m/s





FM (50x100) Q371, Cross-sectional area averaged void fraction vs z







Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft



Total volume averaged void fraction

Case	Q371	Q876
Experiment, %	3.90	6.33
SIMMER Original	2.24	4.69
SIMMER Improved	3.17	4.56

-

Conclusions



- The fine meshes lead to better results, but even finer meshes cause the numerical instablility.
- The LIS option does not have significant improvement effects.
- Momentum exchange option (Suzuki, MXF95) has effects in improvement.
- In general SIMMER gives narrower plume as the experiment.
- A good volume averaged void fraction can be achieved by SIMMER, although its radial and axial distributions are not so good in agreement with the experiment.

Acknowledgement:

This study has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014–2018 under grant agreement number 847527 (SAMOSAFER project).



