# Quantification of the uncertainties in the determination of the final dose of the High Flux Test Module (HFTM) samples in IFMIF-DONES



UNIVERSIDAD

DE GRANADA

<u>I. Álvarez <sup>1</sup>, M. Anguiano <sup>1</sup>, F. Mota <sup>2</sup>, F. Arbeiter <sup>3</sup>, S. Becerril <sup>4</sup>, M. A. Carvajal <sup>1</sup>, </u> R. Hernández<sup>2</sup>, J. A. Moreno<sup>1</sup>, C. Oliver<sup>2</sup>, A. J. Palma<sup>1</sup>, Y. Qiu<sup>3</sup>, M. Ternero<sup>1</sup>, R. Vila<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Granada, UGR, Granada, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Centro de investigaciones energéticas, medioambientales y tecnológicas, CIEMAT,

Madrid, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, KIT, Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>4</sup> IFMIF-DONES España, Granada, Spain

iac@ugr.es



High Flux Test Module (HFTM)



#### **IFMIF-DONES Specimens**

- The neutron damage will depend on different factors such as the irradiated material, the

### **Self-powered neutron detectors (SPNDs)**

- Online detector which measures neutron and photon flux
- Gives an electrical signal in proportion to the incident fluxes of neutron and/or photon
- Multi-layered design: emitter, insulator and collector
- Main nuclear processes to create current: (n,  $\beta$ -), (n,  $\gamma$ , e-), ( $\gamma$ , e-)

## Variation of neutron fluence rate [n/cm<sup>2</sup>s] in specimens and SPNDs

For both sample models, neutron fluence rate have been obtained using two different IFMIF-EVEDA beam sizes, 20x5 cm<sup>2</sup> and 10x5 cm<sup>2</sup>. Two rigs with a high gradient have been selected to compare the different cases: 43 and 26. For each rig, the maximum, minimum and average neutron fluence rate values received by the specimens inside the rig, as well as the value reaching the SPND, have been obtained. As can be seen, the values vary significantly from one rig to another and also with changing beam size. Relative differences have been calculated with respect to the SPND value, and substantial fluctuations in flux values are observed within the same rig, where a single SPND per capsule is employed as a reference point.

The first estimation of the current in the SPND has been obtained taking only into account the process (n,  $\beta$ -), that means the 95% of the signal for a Rh SPND.

For the calculation, the material are <sup>103</sup>Rh, Ele alumina and Inconel600. The spectrum data are for the SPND in CLC.v1.0 model. As observed in the table, the electrical current values are higher when the SPND is farther from the neutron source.

Electrical current estimation (µA)										
RIG	45	26	11							
20x5 cm <sup>2</sup>	1.79	2.97	9.95							
10x5 cm <sup>2</sup>	1.61	3.66	11.8							

The graphic shows the neutron spectrums in different SPND with different beam sizes (left axis) and the neutronic capture for the <sup>103</sup>Rh cross-section (right axis).



SPND spectrums and <sup>103</sup>Rh cross-section



#### **Conclusions and next steps**

- The estimated electric current in the SPND is lower when the SPND is closer to the neutron source. This is because there are more fast neutrons than thermal neutrons, and  $\beta$ - generation is more likely in this case.
- This information needs experimental validation in order to enhance the accuracy of the simulation model and the response of different emitter SPND materials will be studied for the IFMIF-DONES neutron spectrum.
- The spread of the fluences within a specimen set is relatively high and the difference vs. the single SPND value of the same capsule can be up to +75%. Additional assumptions or measurements (i.e. activation) are necessary for a meaningful dose assessment of individual specimens. However, the SPND value reflects the average dose in the rig quite well.

10x5 cm <sup>2</sup>	Layout									
	Min	1.03	-36.4%	0.62	-23.9%	1.16	-31.4%	0.63	-27.2%	
	Max	2.83	74.7%	1.16	42.2%	2.95	74.6%	1.23	41.2%	
	Average	1.72	6.2%	0.88	8.6%	1.81	7.1%	0.89	2.3%	
	SPND	1.62		0.81		1.69		0.87		
Neutron fluence rate $[n/cm^2s]$ 1·10 <sup>13</sup> 2·10 <sup>13</sup> 5·10 <sup>13</sup> 1·10 <sup>14</sup> 2·10 <sup>14</sup> 5·10 <sup>14</sup>										



This project has been financed by the European Union's FEDER program, IFMIF-DONES Junta de Andalucia's program at the Universidad de Granada.

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.