

Manifestly covariant polynomial M5-brane lagrangians

Sukruti Bansal

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology,
Wolfgang-Gaede-Straße 1, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany*

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Heidelberg University,
Philosophenweg 19, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany*

E-mail: sukruti.b@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: We present polynomial and manifestly covariant M5-brane Lagrangians along with their analyses involving their dynamics, gauge symmetries and their nonlinear self-duality condition. Such Lagrangians can be particularly useful for developments that are otherwise hindered by a non-polynomial structure and singularity of the Lagrangian such as its quantisation. Although on integrating out some of the auxiliary fields these polynomial Lagrangians reduce to the M5-brane Lagrangian given by the Pasti-Sorokin-Tonin (PST) formalism, in the analysis of the polynomial Lagrangians the only remnant of the non-polynomial structure of the PST type Lagrangian appears in the gauge transformation corresponding to an infinitesimal shift of a Stückelberg field. This transformation does not affect the dynamics or the on-shell self-duality condition of the polynomial M5-brane Lagrangians.

KEYWORDS: M-Theory, Differential and Algebraic Geometry, Duality in Gauge Field Theories

ARXIV EPRINT: [2307.13449v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.13449v2)

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 2 | A polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian with Field J | 3 |
| 2.1 | Gauge symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{J12} | 4 |
| 2.2 | Nonlinear Self-Duality Condition for \mathcal{L}_{J12} | 5 |
| 3 | A polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian with field H | 7 |
| 3.1 | Gauge symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{H12} | 7 |
| 3.2 | Nonlinear self-duality condition for \mathcal{L}_{H12} | 9 |
| 4 | A non-polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian | 10 |
| 5 | Equivalence with the PST M5-brane Lagrangian | 13 |
| 6 | Discussion | 14 |
| A | Alternate polynomial M5-brane Lagrangians | 17 |
| A.1 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{00} | 17 |
| A.1.1 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J00} | 17 |
| A.1.2 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{H00} | 18 |
| A.2 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{02} | 18 |
| A.2.1 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J02} | 18 |
| A.2.2 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{H02} | 19 |
| A.3 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{11} | 20 |
| A.3.1 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J11} | 20 |
| A.3.2 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{H11} | 21 |
| A.4 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{13} | 22 |
| A.4.1 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J13} | 22 |
| A.4.2 | Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{H13} | 23 |
| B | Notation and conventions | 24 |
| B.1 | Levi-Civita tensor and generalised Kronecker Delta function | 24 |
| B.2 | Differential forms | 24 |
| B.3 | Exterior algebra identities | 26 |
| C | Recasting certain 5-form expressions | 26 |
| D | Recasting a determinant | 28 |

1 Introduction

The solutions of the low-energy limit of M-theory, i.e. 11D supergravity, consist of two branes — the M2-brane and the M5-brane. An M2-brane is electrically charged under the supergravity field C_3 while its electromagnetic dual, an M5-brane, is charged magnetically. In the absence of compactification the only known supersymmetric excitations of M-theory are the M2-brane, the M5-brane and three fields — a 3-form gauge field C_3 , a graviton and a gravitino. An M5-brane carries a self-dual (or chiral) 2-form gauge field on its worldvolume.

The first description of the worldvolume theory of two coincident M2-branes was given by the maximally supersymmetric BLG model [1–5]. Soon after, it was generalised to an arbitrary number of M2-branes by the ABJM superconformal field theory [6]. The 3D worldvolume theory of a stack of M2-branes is well-understood by now. However, the 6D worldvolume theory of a stack of M5-branes, known as the (2,0) superconformal field theory, is yet to be fully comprehended. Its non-abelian symmetry structure and nonlinear self-duality conditions obscure its understanding. For many reasons (see for e.g. [7]) it is possible that a classical Lagrangian for this theory simply does not exist and this theory can be described only at the quantum level. Despite its evasiveness the (2,0) superconformal field theory is worth pursuing as it enables the deduction of a large number of properties and dualities of lower-dimensional effective quantum field theories.

In the context of developing and studying the action of a single M5-brane, initially the super-embedding formulation was used to determine the field equations [8, 9] which were later derived using the action principle [10–12]. These different formulations were shown to be equivalent in [13, 14]. M5-brane models based on the Nambu-Poisson bracket are given in [15, 16]. Although it is easier to approach a model of a single M5-brane than that of multiple M5-branes, construction of the Lagrangian of a single M5-brane has had its own share of problems — lack of manifest invariance under diffeomorphism [12, 17, 18], being non-polynomial [10, 11, 19], having an infinite number of auxiliary fields [20–22], requiring going to a higher dimension [23, 24], etc. These are more generally also the issues associated with most theories invariant under electromagnetic duality. Such hurdles impede further developments such as quantising the action and understanding its interactions.

In recent years there has been considerable interest in the study of M5-brane actions (e.g. [17, 25–46]). In light of string field theory, Ashoke Sen put forward a new formalism for duality-symmetric actions in [47, 48] which describes abelian self-dual $2n$ -form fields in $(2n+2)$ spacetime dimensions. The shortcomings of the prior approaches to construct duality-symmetric and M5-brane actions are avoided by Sen’s formalism. It realises self-duality off-shell and preserves Lorentz invariance by introducing an unphysical auxiliary field which decouples from the dynamics. Initially this formalism was shown on a flat background in the weak gravity limit but recently it was extended to a general background [49].

Among other developments, Sen’s formalism has been employed in the direction of constructing M5-brane actions [7, 32, 37–39, 42, 46]. In [7] Sen’s model was supersymmetrised to obtain a (2,0) action in Minkowski spacetime and showed its generalisation to an interacting non-abelian theory. It was further investigated in [37] which explored different geometrical aspects of the abelian (2,0) superconformal action. The results turned out to be consistent with the low-energy physics of an M5-brane action. In [38] Sen’s formalism was extended to

construct a complete M5-brane action using the Green-Schwarz formalism, where the only manifest supersymmetry exists in the target space. In one of the recent M5-brane models [32] the mathematical origin of Sen's action was provided by employing the language of homotopy Maurer-Cartan theory. [46] presented a rheonomic Lagrangian describing non-interacting tensor multiplets on coincident M5-branes in superspace. As exhibited by all of these works, Sen's formalism has proved to be very useful.

While Sen's formalism realises Lorentz invariance manifestly, the same is not true of diffeomorphism invariance, which is realised in an unconventional and involved way. In [49] Hull put forth an action that is a generalisation of Sen's duality-symmetric action [47, 48]. Hull's theory can be formulated geometrically on any manifold and is invariant under standard diffeomorphisms. The non-standard diffeomorphism in Sen's action turns out to be a consequence of restricting the auxiliary sector metric in Hull's action to be the Minkowski metric.

The PST formulation of a duality invariant action [50–52], which is an alternative to Sen's formulation, has most of the characteristics required of a duality-invariant action like manifest covariance, finite number of auxiliary fields, etc. but it is not polynomial. It has derivatives of a scalar field present in its denominator making the Lagrangian singular when the scalar field is constant in spacetime. In [53, 54], a polynomial formulation of the PST Lagrangian¹ was shown.

In this paper we present a class of M5-brane Lagrangians which circumvent all of the earlier mentioned problems associated with M5-brane Lagrangians, and serve as an alternative to the M5-brane Lagrangians based on Sen's formulation. The Lagrangians given here are manifestly covariant, polynomial and have a finite number of auxiliary fields. Although these Lagrangians can be shown to reduce to the PST type non-polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian [10, 11] by solving for and eliminating specific auxiliary fields, these polynomial Lagrangians remain regular throughout, unlike the earlier non-polynomial Lagrangian. We find that the only remnant of the non-polynomial structure of the earlier Lagrangian [10, 11] occurring in our model of polynomial Lagrangians, is in the gauge symmetry linked with an infinitesimal shift of the Stückelberg field a . But this does not affect the dynamics or the nonlinear self-duality condition of the polynomial Lagrangians.

The polynomial Lagrangians are analytic and devoid of issues like discontinuity and singularity. They can be useful for the construction of the Hamiltonian formulation of an M5-brane [55], quantisation [56–58] and extension to the non-abelian case [33, 42]. They can also be more amenable in performing the dimensional reduction of M5-brane actions to D-brane actions [39, 43, 59, 60] and in the search for the interactions of M5-branes [61].

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we introduce a polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian in which an auxiliary 2-form field appears via its field strength denoted by G . We discuss the dynamics, symmetries and the self-duality condition of that Lagrangian. In section 3 we show the analysis of the polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian expressed in terms of the gauge potentials of the auxiliary 2-form fields through a field redefinition. Section 4 shows the non-polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian obtained on eliminating the auxiliary 2-form fields from the Lagrangian in section 3. Then in section 5 we demonstrate the equivalence of the

¹Not to be confused with the PST M5-brane Lagrangian.

Lagrangians given in sections 2–4 with the PST M5-brane Lagrangian [10, 11]. Our results and possible future directions are discussed in section 6. In appendix A we show a few other polynomial M5-brane Lagrangians alternative to the Lagrangians presented in sections 2 and 3. Appendix B summarises our conventions and notation. Appendices C and D contain the proofs for certain identities used in obtaining some of the results in this paper.

2 A polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian with Field J

We begin with introducing the background spacetimes. The worldvolume of an M5-brane is six-dimensional with the local coordinates denoted by x^μ ($\mu = 0, 1, \dots, 5$). The coordinates of 11D supergravity background are given by $Z^M = (X^m, \theta^\alpha)$ where X^m are the bosonic coordinates with $m = 0, 1, \dots, 10$ and θ^α are the Majorana spinor coordinates with $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 32$. The supervielbein we use to switch between the curved spacetime and the tangent spacetime is $E_M^A(Z)$. Here M denotes the curved spacetime indices and A the tangent spacetime indices. The 11D metric is the Minkowski metric $\eta_{MN}(Z)$. The 6D worldvolume metric of the M5-brane is written as $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = E_\mu^A E_\nu^B \eta_{AB}$. Both $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ and $\eta_{MN}(Z)$ have the mostly-plus signature.

Now we look at the field content. The chiral gauge potential of the M5-brane is denoted by the 2-form field $A(x)$ and its field strength $F(x) = dA(x)$. The M5-brane is coupled to the 3-form field $C_3(Z)$ and the 6-form field $C_6(Z)$, which are the background fields in 11D supergravity. The field strength of C_6 is dual to that of C_3 as follows:

$$dC_6 = *dC_3 - \frac{1}{2} C_3 \wedge dC_3. \tag{2.1}$$

Apart from the three fields $A(x)$, $C_3(Z)$ and $C_6(Z)$ we have five auxiliary fields – three scalar fields $a(x)$, $b(x)$, $c(x)$ and two 2-form fields $B(x)$ and $K(x)$. The field strength of $B(x)$ is $G(x) = dB(x)$. A manifestly covariant M5-brane Lagrangian which is polynomial, is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{J12} = da \wedge K \wedge \left[*J - 2cJ + \frac{1}{2} cK \wedge (*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} *J) \right] + H \wedge (J - C_3) - C_6 + 2*(c - cb^2 - b). \tag{2.2}$$

Here $H = (F + C_3)$ is referred to as the generalised field-strength of A . The field $J = (H + aG)$ is introduced for conciseness of notation. In the above Lagrangian $\iota_{\partial_a} *J$ is the interior product of $*J$ with the vector field $\partial_a^2 = \partial^\mu a \partial_\mu$. $*$ denotes the Hodge dual (see appendix B.2). By identity (B.17) $\iota_{\partial_a} *J$ can also be written as $-(da \wedge J)$ where $da = \partial_\mu a dx^\mu = \partial a^b$ is a 1-form (for details see appendix B.3). The term $(H \wedge C_3 + C_6)$ in Lagrangian (2.2) is the Wess-Zumino term.

The two terms in Lagrangian (2.2) which contain the 2-form K as well as the scalar c , have the field J appearing in them once and twice respectively. Therefore the Lagrangian is labelled with the subscript ‘12’. By changing the number of the J fields in those two terms of the Lagrangian it is possible to get a total of eight different Lagrangians: \mathcal{L}_{J00} , \mathcal{L}_{J01} , \mathcal{L}_{J02} , \mathcal{L}_{J03} , \mathcal{L}_{J10} , \mathcal{L}_{J11} , \mathcal{L}_{J12} , \mathcal{L}_{J13} . Four of them are shown in appendix A. The subscript nomenclature is useful to distinguish between such Lagrangians.

²This notation is in accordance with the standard notation wherein a vector field X is given by $X = X^\mu \partial_\mu$.

The M5-brane action is expressed as

$$S_{J12} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_6} \mathcal{L}_{J12}, \quad (2.3)$$

where \mathcal{M}_6 is the 6D worldvolume manifold of the M5-brane.

The equations of motion of Lagrangian (2.2) are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_a &\equiv d[K \wedge \{ *J - 2cJ + cK \wedge *(*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} *J) \}] + G \wedge (J - \iota_{\partial_a} *K - 2c da \wedge K) \\ &\quad - c da \wedge K \wedge K \wedge *(\iota_{\partial_a} *G \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} *J) = 0, \\ E_b &\equiv 2bc + 1 = 0, \\ E_c &\equiv K \wedge \left[2 da \wedge J - \frac{1}{2} K \wedge *(\iota_{\partial_a} *J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} *J) \right] + 2*(b^2 - 1) = 0, \\ E_A &\equiv d[\iota_{\partial_a} *K - H + da \wedge [2cK + c*(*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} *J)]] + aG = 0, \\ E_B &\equiv d[a \{ \iota_{\partial_a} *K - H + da \wedge [2cK + c*(*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} *J)] \}] = 0, \\ E_K &\equiv da \wedge [*J - 2cJ + cK \wedge *(*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} *J)] = 0, \\ E_{C_3} &\equiv \iota_{\partial_a} *K + F + da \wedge [2cK + c*(*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} *J)] + aG = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

They describe the dynamics of Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J12} .

2.1 Gauge symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{J12}

In this subsection we look at the gauge symmetries of Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J12} . As the fields A and B appear in (2.2) only through their field strengths F and G , the Lagrangian is invariant under the following abelian gauge transformations which are independent of each other:

$$\delta_d A = dY_1, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\delta_d B = dY_2, \quad (2.6)$$

with Y_1 and Y_2 being arbitrary 1-forms.

It can be seen that $J = (H + aG) = (dA + C_3 + a dB)$, as well as Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J12} , are invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\delta A = -a da \wedge Y_3, \quad \delta B = da \wedge Y_3, \quad (2.7)$$

where Y_3 is an arbitrary 1-form. Every term in \mathcal{L}_{J12} with the field K has an exterior product with da . We know that $da \wedge da = 0$. Therefore, shifting the field K by an exterior product with da :

$$\delta_a K = da \wedge Y_4 \text{ where } Y_4 \text{ is an arbitrary 1-form,} \quad (2.8)$$

does not alter the Lagrangian. The auxiliary field a is a Stückelberg field as it makes the Lagrangian diffeomorphism invariant. When the field a shifts by an infinitesimal scalar φ ,

the other fields transform in the following manner to give a gauge symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\varphi a &= \varphi, & \delta_\varphi b &= \frac{(2bc-1)}{2\iota_{\partial a}(da)} \iota_{\partial a} \iota_{\partial \varphi} *(K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J), & \delta_\varphi c &= -\frac{2c^2}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} \iota_{\partial a} \iota_{\partial \varphi} *(K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J), \\
\delta_\varphi A &= -a \delta_\varphi B, & \delta_\varphi K &= -\frac{\iota_{\partial a}}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} \left[\frac{\iota_{\partial \varphi} *(\iota_{\partial a} J)}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} - \varphi *G + d\varphi \wedge K \right], \\
\delta_\varphi B &= -\frac{\iota_{\partial a}}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} * \left[\frac{d\varphi \wedge \iota_{\partial a} \{ *J - 2cJ + cK \wedge *(*J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J) \}}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} + *(2d\varphi \wedge B + \varphi dB) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + cd(\varphi B) - 2cK \wedge * \{ *d(\varphi B) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J \} - cK \wedge *(*J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - c(\delta_\varphi K) \wedge *(*J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J) - \delta_\varphi c \{ 2J - K \wedge *(*J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J) \} \right]. \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the presence of $\iota_{\partial a}(da) = \partial^\mu a \partial_\mu a$ in the denominator, the above gauge symmetry does not hold when $\iota_{\partial a}(da) = 0$, which happens when the scalar field $a(x)$ is constant in spacetime. Transformation (2.9) is the only non-polynomial gauge transformation of Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J12} . The general form of the solution to polynomial Lagrangian (2.2) is represented by its nonlinear self-duality relation (2.17). As we will see in section 2.2, derivation of the nonlinear self-duality relation of the M5-brane Lagrangian makes use of gauge transformations (2.6) and (2.7). Hence transformation (2.9) does not have any bearing on the general solution or the polynomial nature of Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J12} . This transformation simply depicts the gauge freedom of the Stückelberg scalar field a .

The background gauge fields C_3 and C_6 can also be transformed in a way such that Lagrangian (2.2) remains invariant:

$$\delta_W A = -W, \quad \delta_W C_3 = dW, \quad \delta_W C_6 = dW \wedge C_3 \text{ where } W \text{ is an arbitrary 2-form.} \tag{2.10}$$

All of these gauge transformations form a closed algebra.

2.2 Nonlinear Self-Duality Condition for \mathcal{L}_{J12}

Except the equation of motion of the Stückelberg field a which lacks independent dynamics, the equations of motion and the Lagrangian are invariant under the gauge transformations given in section 2.1. So we have the freedom to apply the gauge transformations to the Lagrangian, the equations of motion of the fields other than a , and any combination of those equations of motion. Doing so we can arrive at the general form of the solution to Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J12} . As the gauge potential A is a chiral field, it obeys a nonlinear self-duality condition, which gives the general condition satisfied by the solution.

Here we show the derivation of the nonlinear self-duality condition using the equations of motion of the fields A and B but other equations of motion barring E_a can also be used if desired. For convenience we repeat the expressions of E_A and E_B below.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_A &\equiv d[\iota_{\partial a} *K - H + da \wedge [2cK + c* \{ *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J \}]] + aG = 0, \\
E_B &\equiv d[a \{ \iota_{\partial a} *K - H + da \wedge [2cK + c* \{ *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J \}]] = 0. \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

We consider a particular combination of these two equations, viz. $E_A - d[\iota_{\partial a}(E_B - aE_A)/\iota_{\partial a}(da)] = 0$, which gives

$$da \wedge d \left[\frac{\iota_{\partial a} J}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} + 2B - 2cK - c* \{ *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J \} \right] = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

The general solution to the equation $da \wedge dX = 0$ where X is a p -form, is $X = dY + da \wedge Z$ with Y and Z being arbitrary $(p-1)$ -forms (see [54]). So eq. (2.12) can be written as

$$\frac{\iota_{\partial a} J}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} + 2B - 2cK - c * \{ *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * J \} = dY + da \wedge Z, \quad (2.13)$$

where Y and Z are arbitrary 1-forms. On performing gauge transformation (2.6) with $\delta_d B = d(Y/2)$ and transformation (2.7) such that $\delta A = -a da \wedge (Z/2)$, $\delta B = da \wedge (Z/2)$, the above equation gives us the nonlinear self-duality condition:

$$\iota_{\partial a} J = \{ \iota_{\partial a}(da) \} [2(cK - B) + c * \{ *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * J \}]. \quad (2.14)$$

In view of gauge symmetry (2.8), the term $da \wedge \iota_{\partial a} K$ present in $*[da \wedge \iota_{\partial a}(E_B - a E_A)] = 0$, can be gauged away, effectively giving us

$$K = \frac{\iota_{\partial a} * J}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \quad (2.15)$$

The field B appears in Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J12} and its equations of motion only via the field strength G and not simply as the gauge potential B . So solving for B is not straightforward here. However, it can be checked that B can be expressed as:

$$B = \frac{\iota_{\partial a} J - 2c \iota_{\partial a} * J - c * [K \wedge * (\iota_{\partial a} * J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * J)]}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \quad (2.16)$$

This expression for B is consistent with all the equations of motion. Absorbing the above two expressions for K and B into eq. (2.14), the nonlinear self-duality condition for \mathcal{L}_{J12} gets written as:

$$\boxed{\iota_{\partial a} J = \iota_{\partial a} * \tilde{J} \Leftrightarrow da \wedge (*J - \tilde{J}) = 0}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{J} = 2cJ - \frac{c \iota_{\partial a} * J \wedge * (*J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * J)}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \quad (2.17)$$

This condition portrays the nonlinear chirality of the 2-form gauge potential constituting the M5-brane. The anti self-duality condition $\iota_{\partial a} J = -\iota_{\partial a} * \tilde{J}$ can be obtained by redefining b and c in \mathcal{L}_{J12} as $(-b)$ and $(-c)$ respectively. By virtue of the self-duality condition (2.17) it can be seen that the auxiliary field B (2.16) vanishes on-shell. This implies that in $J = H + a dB$ we can set $dB = 0$ which reduces self-duality condition (2.17) to

$$\boxed{\iota_{\partial a} H = \iota_{\partial a} * \tilde{H} \Leftrightarrow da \wedge (*H - \tilde{H}) = 0}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{H} = 2cH - \frac{c \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge * (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H)}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \quad (2.18)$$

Hence, we have seen the nonlinear duality symmetric structure of the polynomial Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J12} describing an M5-brane. In the next section we introduce Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{H12} , for which it is relatively easier to solve the equations of motion including the equation of motion of the field B . Consequently the simplification of the nonlinear self-duality condition is more tractable in the framework of \mathcal{L}_H than of \mathcal{L}_J . We elaborate on this condition in section 3.2.

3 A polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian with field H

Now we look at an alternate formalism of Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J12} , in which the auxiliary field B appears without an exterior derivative acting on it. For deriving this formalism we perform the field redefinition $A \rightarrow A - aB$ on Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J12} . It gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{H12} = da \wedge K \wedge \left[*H - 2cH - \iota_{\partial a} *B + \frac{1}{2} cK \wedge (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H) \right] - H \wedge (da \wedge B + C_3) - C_6 \\ + 2*(c - cb^2 - b). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

This Lagrangian appears with the generalised field strength H whereas the expression of \mathcal{L}_{J12} (2.2) was in terms of the field J . Rewriting the above Lagrangian as below,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{H12} = da \wedge K \wedge *H - H \wedge C_3 - C_6 + da \wedge B \wedge (H - \iota_{\partial a} *K) \\ + c \left[da \wedge K \wedge \left\{ -2H + \frac{1}{2} K \wedge (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H) \right\} + 2*(1 - b^2) \right] - 2*b, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

we can see that the auxiliary fields B and c are Lagrange multipliers. Solving the equation of motion of B gives us the solution for K and on solving the equation of motion c we get the solutions for b .

The dynamics of \mathcal{L}_{H12} is described by the following equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} E_a &\equiv d[K \wedge (*H - 2cH - \iota_{\partial a} *B) - cH \wedge \{*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H\} + B \wedge (H - \iota_{\partial a} *K)] = 0, \\ E_b &\equiv 2bc + 1 = 0, \\ E_c &\equiv da \wedge K \wedge \left[2H - \frac{1}{2} K \wedge (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H) \right] + 2*(b^2 - 1) = 0, \\ E_A &\equiv d[\iota_{\partial a} *K - H + da \wedge [2cK + c* \{*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H\} - B]] = 0, \\ E_B &\equiv da \wedge (\iota_{\partial a} *K - H) = 0, \\ E_K &\equiv da \wedge [*H - 2cH - \iota_{\partial a} *B + cK \wedge (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H)] = 0, \\ E_{C_3} &\equiv \iota_{\partial a} *K + F + da \wedge [2cK + c* \{*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H\} - B] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

In comparison with the equations of motion of \mathcal{L}_{J12} (2.4), equations (3.3), which are in terms of H instead of the field J , are shorter and some of them are easier to solve, such as $E_a = 0$ and $E_B = 0$.

3.1 Gauge symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{H12}

Here only the gauge potential A has the abelian gauge symmetry wherein for an arbitrary 1-form field Y_1 , \mathcal{L}_{H12} is invariant under

$$\delta_a A = dY_1. \quad (3.4)$$

If we transform gauge potential A by $da \wedge Y_2$, with Y_2 being an arbitrary 1-form, then B can be transformed to compensate for δA in order to keep \mathcal{L}_{H12} invariant as follows:

$$\delta A = da \wedge Y_2, \quad \delta B = -dY_2. \quad (3.5)$$

In Lagrangian (3.2) we can see that all the terms with the fields B and K in them have an exterior product with da . Hence, using arbitrary 1-forms Y_3 and Y_4 , we have the following two independent gauge symmetries:

$$\delta_a B = da \wedge Y_3; \tag{3.6}$$

$$\delta_a K = da \wedge Y_4. \tag{3.7}$$

On giving an arbitrary infinitesimal shift to the Stückelberg field a by another scalar field φ , we need to transform the other fields as shown below to keep the Lagrangian invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\varphi a &= \varphi, & \delta_\varphi b &= \frac{(2bc-1)}{2\iota_{\partial a}(da)} \iota_{\partial a} \iota_{\partial \varphi} (*K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H), & \delta_\varphi c &= -\frac{2c^2}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} \iota_{\partial a} \iota_{\partial \varphi} (*K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H), \\ \delta_\varphi A &= \varphi B, & \delta_\varphi K &= -\frac{\iota_{\partial a}}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} \left[\iota_{\partial \varphi} * \left\{ \frac{\iota_{\partial a} H}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} - B \right\} - \varphi *(dB) + d\varphi \wedge K \right], \\ \delta_\varphi B &= -\frac{\iota_{\partial a}}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} * \left[\frac{d\varphi \wedge \iota_{\partial a} \{ *H - 2cH + cK \wedge *(*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H) \}}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} + *(2d\varphi \wedge B + \varphi dB) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + cd(\varphi B) - 2cK \wedge * \{ *d(\varphi B) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H \} - cK \wedge *(*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - c(\delta_\varphi K) \wedge *(*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H) - \delta_\varphi c \{ 2H - K \wedge *(*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H) \} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

As in the case of \mathcal{L}_{J12} , here also this non-polynomial gauge symmetry stemming from an infinitesimal shift in the Stückelberg field a , does not affect the general form of the solution of \mathcal{L}_{H12} . If we transform every field f by an infinitesimal gauge transformation $\delta_g f$ while $E_f = \delta\mathcal{L}/(\delta f)$,³ then

$$\delta_g S = \int_{\mathcal{M}_6} \delta_g \mathcal{L} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_6} (\delta_g a E_a + \delta_g b E_b + \delta_g c E_c + \delta_g A \wedge E_A + \delta_g B \wedge E_B + \delta_g K \wedge E_K + \delta_g C_3 \wedge E_{C_3}) = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Considering gauge transformation (3.8) where $\delta_g a = \varphi$ with φ being infinitesimally small, we get

$$\delta_\varphi S = \int_{\mathcal{M}_6} \varphi \left[E_a + \frac{1}{\varphi} (\delta_\varphi b E_b + \delta_\varphi c E_c + \delta_\varphi A \wedge E_A + \delta_\varphi B \wedge E_B + \delta_\varphi K \wedge E_K) \right] = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

As φ is an arbitrary infinitesimal parameter, by the fundamental lemma of calculus of variations the expression inside the square brackets is identically zero, i.e.,

$$E_a = -\frac{1}{\varphi} (\delta_\varphi b E_b + \delta_\varphi c E_c + \delta_\varphi A \wedge E_A + \delta_\varphi B \wedge E_B + \delta_\varphi K \wedge E_K). \tag{3.11}$$

Thus gauge symmetry (3.8) helps us see that the dynamics of the Stückelberg field a is determined by the dynamics of the other fields in the 6D worldvolume of the M5-brane: a is a gauge field with no independent dynamics of its own. $E_a = 0$ is trivially satisfied by the solutions of the other equations of motion, and does not yield any new solution. It

³Neglecting the total derivative terms appearing in $(\delta f \wedge E_f)$ as they vanish on integration and do not contribute to δS .

effectively acts as a conditional identity, the conditions being the equations of motion of all the fields except the Stückelberg field a .

The gauge symmetry of \mathcal{L}_{H12} involving the background gauge fields C_3 and C_6 , is the same as the corresponding symmetry of \mathcal{L}_{J12} (2.10):

$$\delta_W A = -W, \quad \delta_W C_3 = dW, \quad \delta_W C_6 = dW \wedge C_3 \text{ where } W \text{ is an arbitrary 2-form.} \quad (3.12)$$

3.2 Nonlinear self-duality condition for \mathcal{L}_{H12}

As in section 2.2 we again use E_A and E_B to obtain the general condition obeyed by the solution to \mathcal{L}_{H12} .

$$\begin{aligned} E_A &\equiv d[\iota_{\partial a} * K - H + da \wedge [2cK + c* \{*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H\} - B]] = 0, \\ E_B &\equiv da \wedge (\iota_{\partial a} * K - H) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

The equation $E_A - d[\iota_{\partial a} E_B / \iota_{\partial a}(da)] = 0$ gives us

$$da \wedge d \left[\frac{\iota_{\partial a} H}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} - 2cK - c* \{*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H\} + B \right] = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

As discussed in section 2.2, such an equation can be re-expressed as

$$\frac{\iota_{\partial a} H}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} - 2cK - c* \{*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H\} + B = dX + da \wedge Y, \quad (3.15)$$

where X and Y are arbitrary 1-forms. We apply gauge transformation (3.5) with $\delta A = -da \wedge (X/2)$ and $\delta B = d(X/2)$, getting

$$\frac{\iota_{\partial a} H}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} - 2cK - c* \{*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H\} + B = da \wedge \left[Y + \frac{\iota_{\partial a} dX}{2 \iota_{\partial a}(da)} \right]. \quad (3.16)$$

Now if we transform the field B in the above equation using (3.6) such that $\delta_a B = da \wedge [Y + (\iota_{\partial a} dX) / 2 \iota_{\partial a}(da)]$, we get the following relation:

$$\iota_{\partial a} H = \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} [2cK - B + c* \{*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H\}]. \quad (3.17)$$

If one wishes to incorporate E_K in the above derivation, the expression for $da \wedge B$ in the equation $*\iota_{\partial a} E_K = 0$ can be substituted into eq. (3.14). Then gauge transformations (3.5) and (3.6) can be applied along similar lines as above. Alternatively the solution for B (which we get on solving $E_K = 0$) can be plugged into relation (3.17). Using either of these two ways, we arrive at

$$\iota_{\partial a} H = \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} \left[cK + \frac{1}{2} c* \{*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H\} \right] + c \iota_{\partial a} * H + \frac{1}{2} c* \{K \wedge *(\iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H)\}. \quad (3.18)$$

Now we solve $E_B = 0$. It can be seen that $*E_B = 0$ gives

$$K = \frac{\iota_{\partial a} * H}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} + \frac{da \wedge \iota_{\partial a} K}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \quad (3.19)$$

$K = [\iota_{da} * H / \iota_{\partial a}(da)]$ is a particular solution of the above equation. Let the general solution be $K = [\iota_{da} * H / \iota_{\partial a}(da)] + Z$ where Z is an as yet unknown 2-form. Plugging this solution into eq. (3.19) gives $Z = [da \wedge \iota_{\partial a} Z / \iota_{\partial a}(da)]$. So the general solution can be written as

$$K = \frac{\iota_{da} * H}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} + \frac{da \wedge \iota_{da} Z}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \quad (3.20)$$

Applying gauge transformation (3.7) $\delta_a K = da \wedge Y_4$ in the above equation, with the transformation parameter Y_4 gauge fixed to $\iota_{\partial a} Z / \iota_{\partial a}(da)$, we get the solution for K as:

$$K = \frac{\iota_{da} * H}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \quad (3.21)$$

Similarly, $E_K = 0$ can be solved to get:

$$B = \frac{\iota_{\partial a} H - 2c \iota_{\partial a} * H - c * [K \wedge * (\iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H)]}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \quad (3.22)$$

Although we have shown the derivations of relation (3.17) and the solution for K (3.21) within the self-contained framework of \mathcal{L}_{H12} , these expressions can also be obtained by redefining $A \rightarrow A - a B$ in the corresponding expressions of \mathcal{L}_{J12} , viz. (2.14)–(2.15). Inserting solutions (3.21)–(3.22) for K and B into (3.17) gives the same relation as the one obtained on substituting the solution of K into condition (3.18). The relation is the nonlinear self-duality condition in terms of the fields A, C_3, a and c :

$$\boxed{\iota_{\partial a} H = \iota_{\partial a} * \tilde{H} \Leftrightarrow da \wedge (*H - \tilde{H}) = 0} \quad \text{where } \tilde{H} = 2cH - \frac{c \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge * (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H)}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \quad (3.23)$$

This self-duality relation is the same as that seen earlier in eq. (2.18). This condition characterises the chiral nature of the field strength H comprising the M5-brane. Here again, as in the case of \mathcal{L}_{J12} in section (2.2), the solution for the auxiliary field B (3.22) vanishes on-shell in view of the self-duality condition. If we consider Lagrangian (3.1) with $b \rightarrow -b$ and $c \rightarrow -c$, then we get the anti self-duality condition $\iota_{\partial a} H = -\iota_{\partial a} * \tilde{H}$. Hence we have seen the gauge symmetries and the (anti) self-duality of the polynomial M5-brane Lagrangians.

4 A non-polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian

In this section we introduce a non-polynomial expression of the M5-brane Lagrangian. We get this Lagrangian by simply plugging solutions (3.21)–(3.22) for the fields B and K present in the previous section, into Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{H12} (3.1).

$$\mathcal{L}_{np} = \iota_v * H \wedge \left[*(\iota_v H) - 2c *(\iota_v * H) + \frac{1}{2} c \iota_v * H \wedge *(\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \right] - H \wedge C_3 - C_6 + 2*(c - cb^2 - b) \quad (4.1)$$

where $v = v^\mu \partial_\mu = (\partial^\mu a / \sqrt{\partial^\nu a \partial_\nu a}) \partial_\mu$ is a normalised vector-field.

The dynamics of \mathcal{L}_{np} can be seen via the following equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_a &\equiv d \left[\frac{v^\flat \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H + v^\flat \wedge \iota_v H \wedge [\iota_v H - 4c \iota_v * H - 2c * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \}]}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \right] = 0, \\
 E_b &\equiv 2bc + 1 = 0, \\
 E_c &\equiv \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H) - \frac{1}{4} \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) + *(b^2 - 1) = 0, \\
 E_A &\equiv d[v^\flat \wedge [\iota_v H - 2c \iota_v * H - c * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \}]] = 0, \\
 E_{C_3} &\equiv F - v^\flat \wedge [\iota_v H - 2c \iota_v * H - c * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \}] = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

For the definition of v^\flat see appendix B.3.

\mathcal{L}_{np} is invariant under the gauge transformations $\delta_d A = dY_1$ and $\delta_a A = da \wedge Y_2$. The gauge symmetry associated with an infinitesimal shift of the Stückelberg field a is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_\varphi a &= \varphi, & \delta_\varphi b &= \frac{(2bc - 1)}{2\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \iota_v \iota_{\partial \varphi} * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H), \\
 \delta_\varphi c &= -\frac{2c^2}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \iota_v \iota_{\partial \varphi} * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H), & \delta_\varphi A &= \frac{\varphi \iota_v \mathcal{H}}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where φ is an arbitrary scalar field and $\mathcal{H} = H - *\tilde{H} = H - c * [2H - \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \}]$. By virtue of this gauge symmetry we can express the equation of motion of the Stückelberg field a in terms of the equations of motion of the other fields in the $6D$ worldvolume of the M5-brane – E_A, E_b and E_c :

$$E_a = \frac{2E_A \wedge \iota_v \mathcal{H}}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} + d \left[\frac{(2E_b - E_b^2 - 4c^2 * E_c) v^\flat \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \right]. \tag{4.4}$$

The above relation can be verified by observing the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{2E_A \wedge \iota_v \mathcal{H}}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \\
 &= d \left[\frac{v^\flat}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \wedge \iota_v \mathcal{H} \wedge \iota_v \mathcal{H} \right] \\
 &= d \left[\frac{v^\flat}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \wedge \left[\iota_v H \wedge \iota_v H - 4c \iota_v H \wedge \iota_v * H + 4c^2 \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 2c \iota_v H \wedge * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} + 4c^2 \iota_v * H \wedge * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + c^2 * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} \wedge * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} \right] \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d \left[\frac{(2E_b - E_b^2 - 4c^2 * E_c) v^\flat \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \right] \\
 &= d \left[\frac{v^\flat}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \wedge \left[c^2 * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} \right]^{(0)} + (1 - 4c^2) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 4c^2 * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H) \} \right]^{(0)} \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H.
 \end{aligned}$$

The superscript (0) serves as a reminder indicating that the expression inside its bracket is a scalar. Using identities (C.7) and (C.10) from appendix C the above expression becomes

$$d \left[- \frac{c^2 v^b}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \wedge [* \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} + 4 \iota_v * H] \wedge * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} + \frac{(1 - 4c^2)}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} v^b \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

On adding eqs. (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$d \left[\frac{v^b}{\sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \wedge [\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H + \iota_v H \wedge \{ \iota_v H - 4c \iota_v * H - 2c * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} \}] \right], \quad (4.7)$$

which is the expression for E_a in (4.2). Hence, relation (4.4) is verified.

From relation (4.4) we can see that whenever E_A, E_b and E_c are satisfied, E_a is trivially satisfied. So it is evident that the Stückelberg field a does not have independent dynamics of its own and is a gauge field.

The gauge symmetry linked with the fields C_3 and C_6 remains the same for \mathcal{L}_{np} as for all the other Lagrangians in this paper:

$$\delta_W A = -W, \quad \delta_W C_3 = dW, \quad \delta_W C_6 = dW \wedge C_3 \text{ where } W \text{ is an arbitrary 2-form.} \quad (4.8)$$

This transformation commutes with the rest of the gauge transformations. All of the above gauge transformations collectively constitute a closed group.

E_A in (4.2) can be written as $d(v^b \wedge \iota_v \mathcal{H}) = 0$ or equivalently $da \wedge d[(\iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H}) / \iota_{\partial a}(da)] = 0$. We know that the general solution of the equation $da \wedge dU = 0$, with U being an arbitrary p -form, is $U = dX + da \wedge Y$ where X and Y are arbitrary $(p-1)$ -forms. So the general solution to $da \wedge d[(\iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H}) / \iota_{\partial a}(da)] = 0$ is

$$\frac{\iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H}}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} = dX + da \wedge Y, \quad (4.9)$$

where X and Y are arbitrary 1-forms. Due to this equation we know that

$$da \wedge \iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H} = \iota_{\partial a}(da) da \wedge dX. \quad (4.10)$$

It can be noticed that in $\iota_{\partial a} * \tilde{H}$, H always appears as $\iota_{\partial a} * H = -*(da \wedge H)$ which is invariant under the gauge transformation $\delta A = -da \wedge X$. So applying this gauge transformation, i.e. $\delta A = -da \wedge X$ to eq. (4.10), we get

$$da \wedge \iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H} = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

The general solution to the above equation is $\iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H} = da \wedge Z$, with Z being an arbitrary 1-form. Taking the interior product of this equation with ∂a , we get $Z = (da \wedge \iota_{\partial a} Z) / \iota_{\partial a}(da)$ which we plug into the relation $\iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H} = da \wedge Z$, finally arriving at the general form of the solution for H :

$$\iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \iota_{\partial a} H = \iota_{\partial a} * \tilde{H} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad da \wedge (*H - \tilde{H}) = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

It shows the nonlinear self duality of the chiral field H , the generalised field strength of A . The anti self-duality condition is obtained by redefining $b \rightarrow -b$ and $c \rightarrow -c$ in Lagrangian (4.1).

5 Equivalence with the PST M5-brane Lagrangian

In this section we show the equivalence of the M5-brane Lagrangians given in sections 2–4, with the PST M5-brane Lagrangian [10, 11]. Having eliminated the auxiliary fields B and K in the last section, we now eliminate two more auxiliary fields $-b$ and c such that finally we are left with only the fields A, a, C_3 and C_6 in the Lagrangian. On solving $E_c = 0$ in (4.2) we get the following two solutions for b :

$$b_{\pm} = \mp \left[1 + * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H) \} - \frac{1}{4} * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} \right]^{(1/2)}. \quad (5.1)$$

Plugging these solutions for b into $E_b = 0$ gives us

$$c_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{2b_{\pm}} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H) \} - \frac{1}{4} * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} \right]^{(-1/2)}. \quad (5.2)$$

So we have two sets of solutions for b and c : (b_+, c_+) and (b_-, c_-) . We can eliminate fields b and c from Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{np} by inserting either of the two solution sets into (4.1). On using the solution set (b_+, c_+) we get the below Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{e+} = & \iota_v * H \wedge * \iota_v H + [4 + 4 * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H) \} - * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \}]^{(1/2)} * 1 \\ & - H \wedge C_3 - C_6, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

while adopting (b_-, c_-) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{e-} = & \iota_v * H \wedge * \iota_v H - [4 + 4 * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H) \} - * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \}]^{(1/2)} * 1 \\ & - H \wedge C_3 - C_6. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

The term under the square-root in the above Lagrangians is the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) term which describes the dynamics of the electromagnetic fields living on the worldvolumes of D-branes and M-branes. Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{e+} differs from \mathcal{L}_{e-} by the sign of the DBI term. \mathcal{L}_{e+} obeys the nonlinear on-shell self-duality condition $\iota_v H = \iota_v * \tilde{H}_e$ where

$$\tilde{H}_e = \tilde{H}|_{c=c_+} = \frac{2H - \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H) \}}{\sqrt{4 + 4 * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H) \} - * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \}}} \quad (5.5)$$

while \mathcal{L}_{e-} obeys the nonlinear on-shell anti self-duality condition $\iota_v H = -\iota_v * \tilde{H}_e$. \mathcal{L}_{e+} is the same as the PST M5-brane Lagrangian presented earlier in [10, 11]. This can be seen by noting that

$$\det(g - i \iota_v * H) = g \left[1 + * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H) \} - \frac{1}{4} * \{ \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \wedge * (\iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H) \} \right]. \quad (5.6)$$

For details see appendix D.

The M5-brane Lagrangians (5.3) and (5.4) can be reduced to the linear-duality symmetric PST Lagrangians [50–52]. For this we first decouple the M5-brane from the background supergravity fields C_3 and C_6 , doing which eliminates the terms containing C_3 and C_6 from \mathcal{L}_{e+} and \mathcal{L}_{e-} . Then on replacing the DBI term in \mathcal{L}_{e+} by $\iota_v * F \wedge * (\iota_v * F)$ we get the

self-dual PST Lagrangian whereas implementing this replacement in \mathcal{L}_{e-} gives the anti self-dual PST Lagrangian.

From here onwards we discuss the dynamics and symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{e+} . This discussion can be extended to \mathcal{L}_{e-} by changing the signs corresponding to the sign of the DBI term. The equations of motion of \mathcal{L}_{e+} are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_a &\equiv d \left[\frac{v^b \wedge \{ \iota_v H \wedge \iota_v (H - 2 * \tilde{H}_e) + \iota_v * H \wedge \iota_v * H \}}{2 \sqrt{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}} \right] = 0, \\ E_A &\equiv d(v^b \wedge \iota_v \mathcal{H}_e) = 0, \\ E_{C_3} &\equiv F - v^b \wedge \iota_v \mathcal{H}_e = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_e = H - * \tilde{H}_e$. \mathcal{L}_{e+} has the usual gauge symmetries $\delta_d A = dY_1$ and $\delta_a A = da \wedge Y_2$ with arbitrary 1-forms Y_1 and Y_2 . The infinitesimal shift symmetry of the Stückelberg field a is now given as

$$\delta_\varphi a = \varphi, \quad \delta_\varphi A = \frac{\varphi \iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H}_e}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \tag{5.8}$$

Here again, the above infinitesimal shift symmetry of the Stückelberg field a enables us to see that, as expected of a gauge field, the dynamics of a is determined by that of A :

$$E_a = \frac{E_A \wedge \iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H}_e}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \tag{5.9}$$

The fourth gauge transformation of \mathcal{L}_{e+} involves the 11D supergravity fields C_3 and C_6 . This transformation is the same as for all the other Lagrangians in this paper; see (4.8). It can be seen from the commutators of the above transformations that they form a closed algebra.

Following a derivation similar to that shown in section 4, we arrive the general condition obeyed by all the solutions of \mathcal{L}_{e+} , the nonlinear self-duality condition:

$$\iota_{\partial a} \mathcal{H}_e = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \iota_{\partial a} H = \iota_{\partial a} * \tilde{H}_e \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad da \wedge (*H - \tilde{H}_e) = 0. \tag{5.10}$$

Hence we have seen the duality and gauge symmetric structure of the M5-brane Lagrangian.

6 Discussion

In the '90s Schwarz and Sen put forth an electromagnetic duality symmetric action [62] which is the low-energy effective action of toroidally compactified heterotic string theory. It is not manifestly invariant under Lorentz transformations and diffeomorphism. Shortly afterwards, covariant versions of this action were presented by Pasti, Sorokin and Tonin [50–52] via the introduction of an auxiliary vector field which was initially introduced as a constant vector field in [63]. Subsequently the PST formalism was employed in [10, 11] to formulate a covariant M5-brane action. So the lack of manifest covariance in the earlier duality symmetric action [62] was tackled by the insertion of an auxiliary field which is a Stückelberg field. However, though the PST action has most of the properties sought in a duality symmetric Lagrangian, it is not polynomial and becomes singular when the denominator containing the

Stückelberg field vanishes. More than two decades after the PST action came out, it was expressed in a polynomial form in [53, 54], again by introducing another auxiliary field into the Lagrangian. Correspondingly now, we have shown in this paper that the non-polynomial PST M5-brane Lagrangian [10, 11], which is covariant by virtue of the Stückelberg field a in it, can also be made polynomial by the introduction of a few more auxiliary fields. Hence in all of these developments the desired characteristics of the duality symmetric action such as manifest covariance and polynomiality have been achieved by including new auxiliary fields into the action.

We have shown that the long-standing problem of the non-polynomial nature of the PST M5-brane action in [10, 11] can be transcended by the presence of a few additional auxiliary fields in the action. The M5-brane Lagrangian in [10, 11] is non-polynomial on two accounts — the presence of the derivative of a scalar field in the denominator and the presence of the DBI term which comes with a square-root. In this paper we have presented Lagrangians in sections 2 and 3, which overcome both of these non-polynomial features of the earlier Lagrangian. Owing to the structure of the Lagrangian, there are eight such polynomial Lagrangians (see appendix A). We have discussed the dynamics, the gauge symmetries and the (anti) self-duality conditions of our polynomial M5-brane Lagrangians.

While in the case of the PST Lagrangian [50–52], removing the non-polynomiality due to the derivative of the Stückelberg field a present in the denominator requires the introduction of only one auxiliary field [53, 54], removing that non-polynomiality from Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{np} (4.1), as shown in this paper, necessitates including at least two auxiliary fields. On account of the linear self-duality condition obeyed by the PST Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{PST} = F \wedge *F + \iota_v \mathcal{F} \wedge * \iota_v (*\mathcal{F})$, where $\mathcal{F} = (F - *F)$, the non-polynomial term, i.e. $\iota_v \mathcal{F} \wedge * \iota_v (*\mathcal{F})$, can be written as a square term (a term of the kind $T \wedge *T$) which is $-\iota_v \mathcal{F} \wedge * \iota_v \mathcal{F}$. Consequently, when making \mathcal{L}_{PST} polynomial as in [53, 54], the Lagrange multiplier is allowed to be identical with the auxiliary field whose solution we get by solving the equation of motion of the Lagrange multiplier. However, the same is not true of the non-polynomial M5-brane Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{np} in eq. (4.1), which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{np} = & 2c H \wedge *H + \iota_v H \wedge * \iota_v (*H - 2cH) + \frac{1}{2} c \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge *(\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) - H \wedge C_3 - C_6 \\ & + *(c - cb^2 - b). \end{aligned}$$

Here the non-polynomial part $\iota_v H \wedge * \iota_v (*H - 2cH) + \frac{1}{2} c \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge *(\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H)$ cannot be expressed as a square term due to nonlinear self-duality. So when making \mathcal{L}_{np} polynomial, the Lagrange multiplier cannot be the same as the auxiliary field solved for by integrating out the Lagrange multiplier. Therefore, whereas the PST Lagrangian can be made polynomial by the introduction of only one auxiliary field [53, 54], making \mathcal{L}_{np} polynomial requires incorporating at least two auxiliary fields — B and K .

If one tries to look at the construction of the polynomial M5-brane Lagrangians presented in this paper from the perspective of starting from the PST M5-brane Lagrangian [10, 11], then the following detail is worth noting. Although modifying a Lagrangian by adding a Lagrange multiplier to it and thus making it polynomial, is generally straightforward, what makes it non-trivial in the context of this work, is the specific manner of adding it which ensures that the equations of motion of the polynomial Lagrangian, when subjected to its

gauge transformations, give the self-duality condition independently, i.e. without resorting to the earlier non-polynomial Lagrangian. To this end, the Lagrange multiplier along with the other auxiliary fields is required to be introduced into the non-polynomial Lagrangian in a way such that each of the following two criteria is met:

1. The equations of motion of those fields in the polynomial Lagrangian which have independent dynamics, are gauge invariant.
2. The polynomial Lagrangian is invariant under the kind of gauge transformations which can gauge away certain parts of the general solutions of the equations of motion and their combinations (e.g. transformations (3.5) and (3.6) in the case of \mathcal{L}_{H12}), leading to the self-duality relation.

As the polynomial M5-brane Lagrangians presented in this paper meet both the above criteria, they form self-sufficient systems. They have all the essential symmetries and dynamics which characterise an M5-brane Lagrangian.

We have focused on the bosonic degrees of freedom coupled to bosonic background gauge fields. The local symmetry of the fermionic sector, known as the kappa-symmetry [11, 64], can be shown in the future. It might be worthwhile to look for the nonlinear self-interactions of M5-branes using the polynomial Lagrangians presented here. Eventually one can also venture in the direction of describing the interactions of non-abelian (2,0) superconformal field theory using this formalism.

Now that we have analytic M5-brane Lagrangians, it could be useful to construct their Hamiltonian formalism, e.g. [55], which allows us to identify the first-class and second-class constraints of the theory. We can then count the number of degrees of freedom and show explicitly their correspondence with the physical fields in the theory, thus distinguishing them from the auxiliary gauge fields. The gauge system of the theory can be seen more distinctly in the Hamiltonian formalism. The polynomial form of the Lagrangians also opens up new avenues for the quantisation of the theory. Some earlier works in this direction are given in [56, 57]. A quantum treatment of Sen's duality invariant formulation is presented in [58]. We will explore the quantum formulation of the polynomial M5-brane Lagrangians in the future.

So far two chiral 4-form models are known in 10 dimensions — quadratic 4-form chiral theory along with its type IIB supergravity generalization, and a generalisation of Bialynicki-Birula electrodynamics [65, 66]. However, an M5-brane-like action has not been generalised to 10 dimensions as it requires solving the condition imposed by the PST gauge invariance. It has proven difficult to solve that condition in 10 and higher dimensions, even perturbatively. Our new polynomial formalism seems favourable for addressing this problem.

As the origin of many D-branes in string theory is the M5-brane in M-theory, dimensionally reducing an M5-brane action gives us D-brane actions. For e.g. performing double dimensional reduction of an M5-brane on a circle gives the world volume dual of the D4-brane of type IIA string theory [67] while doing that on a torus gives the D3-brane of type IIB string theory directly reduced on a circle [59]. A D3-brane action was also obtained in [60] by dimensionally reducing the PST M5-brane action. Now the polynomial Lagrangians given in this paper can also be used to implement dimensional reductions giving D-brane actions. Thus, in a variety

of ways the polynomial structure of these M5-brane Lagrangians may prove to be relatively more tractable for carrying out further developments with M5-brane actions.

Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to Pichet Vanichchaponjaroen for his useful input during the early stages of this project. In addition, the author is grateful to Karapet Mkrtchyan for suggesting this research topic. A special thanks goes to Oleg Evnin, Carlo Iazeolla, Akash Jain, Silvia Nagy, Valdo Tatitscheff and Ivonne Zavala for their useful discussions and feedback.

A Alternate polynomial M5-brane Lagrangians

The polynomial Lagrangians presented in sections 2 and 3 have two terms containing both c and K . By changing the number of J (or H) fields in those terms, we can get 8 different Lagrangians: $\mathcal{L}_{00}, \mathcal{L}_{01}, \mathcal{L}_{02}, \mathcal{L}_{03}, \mathcal{L}_{10}, \mathcal{L}_{11}, \mathcal{L}_{12}, \mathcal{L}_{13}$. Here we show four of them: $\mathcal{L}_{00}, \mathcal{L}_{02}, \mathcal{L}_{11}, \mathcal{L}_{13}$. The remaining Lagrangians can be similarly constructed and analysed.

A.1 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{00}

A.1.1 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J00}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{J00} = da \wedge K \wedge \left[*J - 2c \iota_{\partial a} *K + \frac{1}{2} c \iota_{\partial a} (da) K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K) \right] + H \wedge (J - C_3) \\ + 2*(c - cb^2 - b). \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} E_a &\equiv d[K \wedge [*J - 4c \iota_{\partial a} *K + \{da + \iota_{\partial a}(da)\} c K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K)]] + G \wedge J = 0, \\ E_b &\equiv 2bc + 1 = 0, \\ E_c &\equiv da \wedge K \wedge \left[2 \iota_{\partial a} *K - \frac{1}{2} \iota_{\partial a} (da) K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K) \right] + 2*(b^2 - 1) = 0, \\ E_A &\equiv d(\iota_{\partial a} *K - H + aG) = 0, \\ E_B &\equiv d[a(\iota_{\partial a} *K - H)] = 0, \\ E_K &\equiv da \wedge [*J - 4c \iota_{\partial a} *K + 2c \iota_{\partial a} (da) K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K)] = 0, \\ E_{C_3} &\equiv \iota_{\partial a} *K + F + aG = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

On subjecting the general solution to the equation $E_A - d[\iota_{\partial a}(E_B - aE_A)/\iota_{\partial a}(da)] = 0$, to the gauge transformations $\delta_d B = dY_1$ and $\delta A = -a da \wedge Y_2, \delta B = da \wedge Y_2$ with appropriate choices for Y_1 and Y_2 , we get the nonlinear self-duality condition as follows:

$$\iota_{\partial a} J = -2 \{ \iota_{\partial a} (da) \} B. \tag{A.3}$$

A.1.2 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{H00}

Redefining $A \rightarrow (A - aB)$ in \mathcal{L}_{J00} we arrive at \mathcal{L}_{H00} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{H00} = da \wedge K \wedge \left[*H - \iota_{\partial a} *(2cK + B) + \frac{1}{2} c \iota_{\partial a} (da) K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K) \right] - H \wedge (da \wedge B + C_3) \\ - C_6 + 2*(c - cb^2 - b). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} E_a \equiv d \left[K \wedge (*H - \iota_{\partial a} *(4c da \wedge K + B) + 2c \iota_{\partial a} (da) K \wedge K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K) \right. \\ \left. - c da \wedge \iota_{\partial a} (K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K) + B \wedge (H - \iota_{\partial a} *K) \right] = 0, \\ E_b \equiv 2bc + 1 = 0, \\ E_c \equiv da \wedge K \wedge \left[2 \iota_{\partial a} *K - \frac{1}{2} \iota_{\partial a} (da) K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K) \right] + 2*(b^2 - 1) = 0, \\ E_A \equiv d(\iota_{\partial a} *K - H - da \wedge B) = 0, \\ E_B \equiv da \wedge (\iota_{\partial a} *K - H) = 0, \\ E_K \equiv da \wedge [*H - \iota_{\partial a} *(4cK + B) + 2c \iota_{\partial a} (da) K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K)] = 0, \\ E_{C_3} \equiv \iota_{\partial a} *K + F - da \wedge B = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Applying the gauge transformations $\delta A = da \wedge Z_1$, $\delta B = -dZ_1$ and $\delta_a B = da \wedge Z_2$ with suitable choices for Z_1 and Z_2 , on the general solution to the equation $E_A - d[\iota_{\partial a} E_B / \iota_{\partial a} (da)] = 0$, gives

$$\iota_{\partial a} H = -\{\iota_{\partial a} (da)\} B. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$E_B = 0$ is satisfied by the following solution for K :

$$K = \frac{\iota_{\partial a} *H}{\iota_{\partial a} (da)}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and $E_K = 0$ admits the solution:

$$B = \frac{\iota_{\partial a} H}{\iota_{\partial a} (da)} - 4cK + 2c \iota_{\partial a} *[K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K)]. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Drawing on solutions (A.7) and (A.8), we can see that eq. (A.6) gives the same nonlinear self-duality condition as in eq. (3.23), i.e.

$$\iota_{\partial a} H = \iota_{\partial a} *\tilde{H}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{H} = 2cH - \frac{c \iota_{\partial a} *H \wedge (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H)}{\iota_{\partial a} (da)}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

A.2 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{02}

A.2.1 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J02}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{J02} = da \wedge K \wedge \left[*J - 2c \iota_{\partial a} *K + \frac{1}{2} c K \wedge (*J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J) \right] + H \wedge (J - C_3) - C_6 \\ + 2*(c - cb^2 - b). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_a &\equiv d[K \wedge \{ *J - 2cJ + cK \wedge *(*J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J) \}] + G \wedge (J - \iota_{\partial a} *K - 2c da \wedge K) \\
 &\quad - c da \wedge K \wedge K \wedge *(\iota_{\partial a} *G \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J) = 0, \\
 E_b &\equiv 2bc + 1 = 0 \\
 E_c &\equiv da \wedge K \wedge \left[2 \iota_{\partial a} *K - \frac{1}{2} K \wedge *(*J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J) \right] + 2*(b^2 - 1) = 0, \\
 E_A &\equiv d[\iota_{\partial a} *K - H - c*\{ \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J \} + aG] = 0, \\
 E_B &\equiv d[a[\iota_{\partial a} *K - H - c*\{ \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J \}]] = 0, \\
 E_K &\equiv da \wedge [*J - 4c \iota_{\partial a} *K + cK \wedge *(*J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J)] = 0, \\
 E_{C_3} &\equiv \iota_{\partial a} *K + F - c*\{ \iota_{\partial a} *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J \} + aG = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

In view of two gauge symmetries of \mathcal{L}_{J02} viz. $\delta_d B = dY_1$ and $\delta A = -a da \wedge Y_2$ alongside $\delta B = da \wedge Y_2$, the equation $E_A - d[\iota_{\partial a} (E_B - a E_A) / \iota_{\partial a} (da)] = 0$ leads to the following:

$$\iota_{\partial a} J = \{ \iota_{\partial a} (da) \} [-2B + c*\{ *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J \}]. \tag{A.12}$$

$*[da \wedge \iota_{\partial a} (E_B - a E_A)] = 0$ effectively gives

$$K = \frac{\iota_{\partial a} *J}{\iota_{\partial a} (da)}, \tag{A.13}$$

Plugging this expression for K into eq. (A.12) gives us the nonlinear self-duality condition as below:

$$\iota_{\partial a} J = \frac{c*\{ (\iota_{\partial a} *J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *J \}}{\iota_{\partial a} (da)} - 2\{ \iota_{\partial a} (da) \} B. \tag{A.14}$$

A.2.2 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{H02}

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_{H02} &= da \wedge K \wedge \left[*H - \iota_{\partial a} *(2cK + B) + \frac{1}{2} cK \wedge *(*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H) \right] - H \wedge (da \wedge B + C_3) \\
 &\quad - C_6 + 2*(c - cb^2 - b).
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_a &\equiv d[K \wedge \{ *H - \iota_{\partial a} *(4cK + B) \}] - cH \wedge *(*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H) + B \wedge (H - \iota_{\partial a} *K) = 0, \\
 E_b &\equiv 2bc + 1 = 0, \\
 E_c &\equiv da \wedge K \wedge \left[2 \iota_{\partial a} *K - \frac{1}{2} K \wedge *(*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H) \right] + 2*(b^2 - 1) = 0, \\
 E_A &\equiv d[\iota_{\partial a} *K - H + da \wedge [c*\{ *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H \} - B]] = 0, \\
 E_B &\equiv da \wedge (\iota_{\partial a} *K - H) = 0, \\
 E_K &\equiv da \wedge [*H - \iota_{\partial a} *(4cK + B) + cK \wedge *(*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H)] = 0, \\
 E_{C_3} &\equiv \iota_{\partial a} *K + F + da \wedge [c*\{ *(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} *H \} - B] = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

The equation $E_A - d[\iota_{\partial_a} E_B / \iota_{\partial_a}(da)] = 0$ modulo the terms that can be gauged away via the gauge transformation $\delta A = da \wedge Z_1$ with $\delta B = -dZ_1$, and $\delta_a B = da \wedge Z_2$, gives

$$\iota_{\partial_a} H = \{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\}[-B + c * \{*(K \wedge K) \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * H\}]. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Neglecting the terms that can be gauged away, the solutions for K and B are

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{\iota_{\partial_a} * H}{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)}, \\ B &= \frac{\iota_{\partial_a} H}{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)} - 4cK - \frac{c}{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)} * [K \wedge * (\iota_{\partial_a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * H)]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

On inserting these solutions for B and K into relation (A.17), we get the usual non-linear self-duality condition (3.23), i.e. $\iota_{\partial_a} H = \iota_{\partial_a} * \tilde{H}$ where $\tilde{H} = 2cH - [c \iota_{\partial_a} * H \wedge * (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * H) / \iota_{\partial_a}(da)]$.

A.3 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{11}

A.3.1 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J11}

$$\mathcal{L}_{J11} = da \wedge K \wedge \left[*J - 2cJ + \frac{1}{2}cK \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * J) \right] + H \wedge (J - C_3) - C_6 + 2*(c - cb^2 - b). \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} E_a &\equiv d \left[K \wedge (*J - 2cJ) + \frac{1}{2}cK \wedge \{K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * H) + \iota_{\partial_a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge K)\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}cH \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * \{K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge K)\} \right] + G \wedge (J - \iota_{\partial_a} * K - 2cda \wedge K) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}cda \wedge K \wedge K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * G) = 0, \\ E_b &\equiv 2bc + 1 = 0, \\ E_c &\equiv K \wedge \left[2da \wedge J - \frac{1}{2}da \wedge K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * J) \right] + 2*(b^2 - 1) = 0, \\ E_A &\equiv d[\iota_{\partial_a} * K - H + da \wedge \left[2cK - \frac{1}{2}c \iota_{\partial_a} * \{K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge K)\} \right] + aG] = 0, \\ E_B &\equiv d[a \{ \iota_{\partial_a} * K - H + da \wedge \left[2cK - \frac{1}{2}c \iota_{\partial_a} * \{K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge K)\} \right] \}] = 0, \\ E_K &\equiv da \wedge \left[*J - 2cJ + cda \wedge \{K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * J) + \frac{1}{2}\iota_{\partial_a} * J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge K)\} \right] = 0, \\ E_{C_3} &\equiv \iota_{\partial_a} * K + F + da \wedge \left[2cK - \frac{1}{2}c \iota_{\partial_a} * \{K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge K)\} \right] + aG = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

By removing the terms allowable to be gauged away, the equation $E_A - d[\iota_{\partial_a}(E_B - aE_A) / \iota_{\partial_a}(da)] = 0$ gives us the following relation:

$$\iota_{\partial_a} J = \{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\} \left[2(cK - B) - \frac{1}{2}c \iota_{\partial_a} * \{K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a} * (K \wedge K)\} \right]. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

Also, from $*[da \wedge \iota_{\partial a}(E_B - a E_A)] = 0$ we effectively get

$$K = \frac{\iota_{\partial a} * J}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

So eq. (A.21) becomes

$$\iota_{\partial a} J = 2 \iota_{\partial a} * J + \frac{c * [\iota_{\partial a} * J \wedge * (\iota_{\partial a} * J) \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * J]}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} - 2 \{ \iota_{\partial a}(da) \} B, \quad (\text{A.23})$$

the nonlinear self-duality condition of \mathcal{L}_{J11} .

A.3.2 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{H11}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{H11} = & da \wedge K \wedge \left[*H - 2cH - \iota_{\partial a} * B + \frac{1}{2} c K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \right] - H \wedge (da \wedge B + C_3) - C_6 \\ & + 2 * (c - cb^2 - b). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} E_a \equiv & d \left[K \wedge (*H - 2cH - \iota_{\partial a} * B) + \frac{1}{2} c K \wedge \{ K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) + \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge K) \} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} c H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * \{ K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge K) \} \right] + B \wedge (H - \iota_{\partial a} * K) = 0, \\ E_b \equiv & 2bc + 1 = 0, \\ E_c \equiv & da \wedge K \wedge \left[2H - \frac{1}{2} K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \right] + 2 * (b^2 - 1) = 0, \\ E_A \equiv & d [\iota_{\partial a} * K - H + da \wedge \left[2cK - \frac{1}{2} c \iota_{\partial a} * \{ K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge K) \} - B \right]] = 0, \\ E_B \equiv & da \wedge (\iota_{\partial a} * K - H) = 0, \\ E_K \equiv & da \wedge \left[*H - 2cH - \iota_{\partial a} * B + c da \wedge \left\{ K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) + \frac{1}{2} \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge K) \right\} \right] = 0, \\ E_{C_3} \equiv & \iota_{\partial a} * K + F + da \wedge [2cK - \frac{1}{2} c \iota_{\partial a} * \{ K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge K) \} - B] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.25})$$

The particular combination of E_A and E_B , $E_A - d[\iota_{\partial a} E_B / \iota_{\partial a}(da)] = 0$, when subjected to two of the gauge transformations of \mathcal{L}_{H11} , enables us to see that

$$\iota_{\partial a} H = \{ \iota_{\partial a}(da) \} \left[2cK - B - \frac{1}{2} c \iota_{\partial a} * \{ K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge K) \} \right]. \quad (\text{A.26})$$

The fields K and B have the following solutions (shown without the terms which are redundant on account of gauge symmetries):

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{\iota_{\partial a} * H}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}, \\ B &= \frac{\iota_{\partial a} H - 2c \iota_{\partial a} * H + c \iota_{\partial a} * \{ K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) + \frac{1}{2} \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * (K \wedge K) \}}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Again, it can be seen that substituting solutions (A.27) into eq. (A.26) gives us the usual nonlinear self-duality condition (3.23) in terms of the fields a and H : $\iota_{\partial a} H = \iota_{\partial a} * \tilde{H}$.

A.4 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{13}

A.4.1 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{J13}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{J13} = da \wedge K \wedge \left[\{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\}(*J - 2cJ) + \frac{1}{2}c\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge (*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J) \right] + H \wedge (J - C_3) - C_6 \\ + 2*(c - cb^2 - b). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.28})$$

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} E_a \equiv d \left[\{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\}K \wedge \left\{ *J - 2cJ + \frac{1}{2}c\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge (*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J) \right\} + \iota_{\partial_a}\{K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}(J - 2c*J)\} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2}cJ \wedge \{K \wedge (\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J) - \frac{1}{2}cJ \wedge \{ *J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}(K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J) \} \right] \\ + G \wedge \{J - \{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\}(\iota_{\partial_a}*K - 2cda \wedge K)\} - cK \wedge \left[\frac{1}{2}\{\iota_{\partial_a}*G \wedge (\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J) \} \right. \\ \left. + \iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge (\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*G) \right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$E_b \equiv 2bc + 1 = 0,$$

$$E_c \equiv da \wedge K \wedge \left[2\{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\}J - \frac{1}{2}\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge (*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J) \right] + 2*(b^2 - 1) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_A \equiv d \left[\{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\}(\iota_{\partial_a}*K + 2cda \wedge K) - H - \frac{1}{2}c*\iota_{\partial_a}\{K \wedge (\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J)\} \right. \\ \left. - c*\{\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}(K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J)\} + aG \right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_B \equiv d \left[a \left[\{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\}(\iota_{\partial_a}*K + 2cda \wedge K) - H - \frac{1}{2}c*\iota_{\partial_a}\{K \wedge (\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J)\} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - c*\{\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}(K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J)\} \right] \right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$E_K \equiv da \wedge \left[\{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\}(*J - 2cJ) + \frac{1}{2}c\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge (*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J) \right] = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{C_3} \equiv \{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\}(\iota_{\partial_a}*K + 2cda \wedge K) + F - \frac{1}{2}c*\iota_{\partial_a}\{K \wedge (\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J)\} \\ - c*\{\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}(K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J)\} + aG = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.29})$$

We consider the equation $E_A - d[\iota_{\partial_a}(E_B - aE_A)/\iota_{\partial_a}(da)] = 0$, which when subjected to the gauge transformations $\delta_d B = dY_1$ and $\delta A = -a da \wedge Y_2$ alongside $\delta B = da \wedge Y_2$, provides us with the following relation:

$$\iota_{\partial_a}J = \{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\} \left[2[\{\iota_{\partial_a}(da)\}cK - B] + \frac{1}{2}c*\{K \wedge (\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J)\} + c*\{\iota_{\partial_a}*J \wedge (K \wedge \iota_{\partial_a}*J)\} \right]. \quad (\text{A.30})$$

$*[da \wedge \iota_{\partial a}(E_B - a E_A)] = 0$ effectively gives

$$K = \frac{\iota_{\partial a} * J}{\{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\}^2}. \quad (\text{A.31})$$

Inserting the above expression for K into eq. (A.30), the nonlinear self-duality relation of \mathcal{L}_{J13} gets expressed as

$$\iota_{\partial a} J = 2c \iota_{\partial a} * J + \frac{3c \iota_{\partial a} * J \wedge *(\iota_{\partial a} * J \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * J)}{2 \iota_{\partial a}(da)} - 2 \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} B. \quad (\text{A.32})$$

A.4.2 Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_{H13}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{H13} = da \wedge K \wedge & \left[\{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} (*H - 2cH - \iota_{\partial a} * B) + \frac{1}{2} c \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge * \{ *H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H \} \right] \\ & - H \wedge (da \wedge B + C_3) - C_6 + 2 * (c - cb^2 - b). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.33})$$

Equations of motion:

$$\begin{aligned} E_a \equiv d & \left[3 \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} K \wedge (*H - 2cH - \iota_{\partial a} * B) - 2 da \wedge \iota_{\partial a} \{ K \wedge (*H - 2cH - \iota_{\partial a} * B) \} \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2} c H \wedge * \{ K \wedge *(\iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \} - c H \wedge * \{ \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge *(K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \} \\ & \left. + B \wedge \{ H - \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} \iota_{\partial a} * K \} \right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$E_b \equiv 2bc + 1 = 0,$$

$$E_c \equiv da \wedge K \wedge \left[2 \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} H - \frac{1}{2} \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge * (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \right] + 2 * (b^2 - 1) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_A \equiv d & \left[\{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} \iota_{\partial a} * K - H + da \wedge \left[2 \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} c K + \frac{1}{2} c * \{ K \wedge *(\iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + c * \{ \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge *(K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \} - B \right] \right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$E_B \equiv da \wedge \{ \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} \iota_{\partial a} * K - H \} = 0,$$

$$E_K \equiv da \wedge \left[\{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} (*H - 2cH - \iota_{\partial a} * B) + \frac{1}{2} c \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge * (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \right] = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} EC_3 \equiv & \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} \iota_{\partial a} * K + F + da \wedge \left[2cK + \frac{1}{2} c * \{ K \wedge *(\iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \} \right. \\ & \left. + c * \{ \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge *(K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \} - B \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.34})$$

We apply the gauge transformations $\delta A = da \wedge Z_1$, $\delta B = -dZ_1$ and $\delta_a B = da \wedge Z_2$ to the general solution of the equation $E_A - d[\iota_{\partial a} E_B / \iota_{\partial a}(da)] = 0$, and get

$$\iota_{\partial a} H = \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} \left[2c \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\} K - B \right] + \frac{c}{2} * \{ K \wedge *(\iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \} + c * \{ \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge *(K \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H) \}. \quad (\text{A.35})$$

On solving $E_B = 0$ and $E_K = 0$ we find,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{\iota_{\partial a} * H}{\{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\}^2}, \\ B &= \frac{\iota_{\partial a}(H - 2c * H)}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)} - \frac{c * \{\iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge * (\iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H)\}}{2 \{\iota_{\partial a}(da)\}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.36})$$

Here the solutions for K and B are independent of each other. B and K can be eliminated from eq. (A.35) using the above solutions, arriving at the nonlinear self-duality condition:

$$\iota_{\partial a} H = \iota_{\partial a} * \tilde{H}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{H} = 2cH - \frac{c \iota_{\partial a} * H \wedge * (*H \wedge \iota_{\partial a} * H)}{\iota_{\partial a}(da)}.$$

B Notation and conventions

B.1 Levi-Civita tensor and generalised Kronecker Delta function

The Levi-Civita symbol, denoted by $\hat{\varepsilon}_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_d}$, is defined as follows:

$$\hat{\varepsilon}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_d} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_d) \text{ is an even permutation of } (1, 2, \dots, d), \\ -1 & \text{if } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_d) \text{ is an odd permutation of } (1, 2, \dots, d), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

and the Levi-Civita tensor as follows:

$$\epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_d} = \frac{\text{sgn}(g)}{\sqrt{|g|}} \hat{\varepsilon}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_d}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where g is the determinant of the metric and $\text{sgn}(g)$ is its signature.

The generalized Kronecker delta function (gKd) is defined as

$$\delta_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_p}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_p} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} & \dots & \delta_{\nu_p}^{\mu_p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_p} & \dots & \delta_{\nu_p}^{\mu_1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where $\delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1}$ is the Kronecker Delta function. The gKd is related to the Levi-Civita tensor as follows:

$$\epsilon^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p \lambda_{p+1} \dots \lambda_d} \epsilon_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p \lambda_{p+1} \dots \lambda_d} = \text{sgn}(g) (d-p)! \delta_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_p}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_p}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

B.2 Differential forms

In the following, the expressions shown inside the big round brackets are the expressions used in index notation.

p-form

$$A^{(p)} = \frac{1}{p!} \left(A_{[\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_p]} \right) dx^{\mu_1} \wedge dx^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Exterior Product

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A^{(p)} \wedge B^{(q)} \wedge \dots \wedge C^{(r)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(p+q+\dots+r)!} \left(\frac{(p+q+\dots+r)!}{p!q!\dots r!} A_{[\mu_1\dots\mu_p} B_{\nu_1\dots\nu_q} \dots C_{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_r]} \right) dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p} \wedge dx^{\nu_1} \wedge \\
 & \quad \dots \wedge dx^{\nu_q} \dots \wedge dx^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\lambda_r}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

Interior Product. For a vector field $v = v^{\mu_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu_1}}$,

$$\iota_v(A^{(p)}) = \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \left(v^{\mu_1} A_{[\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_p]} \right) dx^{\mu_2} \wedge dx^{\mu_3} \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p}. \tag{B.7}$$

Hodge Dual

$$*(A^{(p)}) = (*A)^{(d-p)} = \frac{1}{(d-p)!} \left(\frac{1}{p!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_d} A^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_p} \right) dx^{\mu_{(p+1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_d}. \tag{B.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & *(A^{(p)} \wedge B^{(q)} \wedge \dots \wedge C^{(r)}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{1}{p!q!\dots r!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\dots\mu_p\nu_1\dots\nu_q\dots\lambda_1\dots\lambda_r\sigma_1\dots\sigma_n} A^{\mu_1\dots\mu_p} B^{\nu_1\dots\nu_q} \dots C^{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_r} \right) dx^{\sigma_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\sigma_n}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

where $n = d - p - q - \dots - r$.

Exterior Product of p -form with Hodge Dual of p -form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A^{(p)} \wedge *(B^{(p)}) = A^{(p)} \wedge (*B)^{(d-p)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{d!} \left(\frac{d!}{(p!)^2 (d-p)!} B^{\nu_1\dots\nu_p} \epsilon_{\nu_1\dots\nu_p[\nu_{(p+1)}\dots\nu_d} A_{\mu_1\dots\mu_p]} \right) dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p} \wedge dx^{\nu_{(p+1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\nu_d}
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d!} \left(\frac{1}{p!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\dots\mu_p\nu_{(p+1)}\dots\nu_d} A_{\nu_1\dots\nu_p} B^{\nu_1\dots\nu_p} \right) dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_p} \wedge dx^{\nu_{(p+1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\nu_d}. \tag{B.11}$$

Exterior Derivative

$$d(A^{(p)}) = \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \left((p+1) \partial_{[\mu_1} A_{\mu_2\dots\mu_{(p+1)}]} \right) dx^{\mu_1} \wedge dx^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_{(p+1)}}. \tag{B.12}$$

Integral. The volume element is

$$\epsilon^{(d)} = \frac{1}{d!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_d} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge dx^{\mu_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_d} = \sqrt{|g|} dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{(d-1)} \equiv \left(\sqrt{|g|} d^d x \right). \tag{B.13}$$

On a d -dimensional manifold, the integral of a d -form is as follows:

$$\int C^{(d)} \equiv \int \epsilon^{(d)} (*C)^{(0)} \equiv \int d^d x \frac{\sqrt{|g|}}{d!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_d} C^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_d}. \tag{B.14}$$

B.3 Exterior algebra identities

We take a 0-form a , two normalised vector fields u and v , and two p -forms A and B . The number of dimensions is d . For a vector field $v = v^\mu \partial_\mu$, the corresponding 1-form $v_\mu dx^\mu$ is denoted by v^\flat .⁴

$$**A = \text{sgn}(g)(-1)^{p(d-p)}A, \quad (\text{B.15})$$

$$A \wedge *B = B \wedge *A, \quad (\text{B.16})$$

$$\iota_v *A = *(A \wedge v^\flat), \quad (\text{B.17})$$

$$*(\iota_v A) = (-1)^{(p-1)} v^\flat \wedge *A = (-1)^{(d-1)} (*A) \wedge v^\flat, \quad (\text{B.18})$$

$$\iota_u(v^\flat \wedge A) + v^\flat \wedge \iota_u A = (u^\mu v_\mu) A, \quad (\text{B.19})$$

$$Q^{(d)} = \frac{v^\flat \wedge \iota_u Q}{(u^\mu v_\mu)}, \quad (\text{B.20})$$

$$\iota_v(u^\flat \wedge *A) = *(v^\flat \wedge \iota_u A), \quad (\text{B.21})$$

$$v^\flat \wedge \iota_u *A = *\iota_v(u^\flat \wedge A). \quad (\text{B.22})$$

C Recasting certain 5-form expressions

Let v be a normalised vector field such that $\iota_v v^\flat = v^\mu v_\mu = 1$. Let P be a 2-form such that $\iota_v P = 0$. So $P = \iota_v(v^\flat \wedge P)$. Define $u^\flat \equiv *(v^\flat \wedge P \wedge P)$. Note that $\iota_v u^\flat = 0$. To show this, consider

$$\iota_v u^\flat = \iota_v *(v^\flat \wedge P \wedge P) = -*(v^\flat \wedge v^\flat \wedge P \wedge P) = 0. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Since in six dimensions antisymmetrisation over seven indices is trivially zero, we have

$$7v_{[\mu_0} P_{\mu_1 \mu_2} P_{\mu_3 \mu_4} P_{\mu_5 \mu_6]} = v_{\mu_0} P_{[\mu_1 \mu_2} P_{\mu_3 \mu_4} P_{\mu_5 \mu_6]} + 6v_{[\mu_1} P_{\mu_2 \mu_3} P_{\mu_4 \mu_5} P_{\mu_6] \mu_0} = 0. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Multiplying by $\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5 \mu_6} dx^{\mu_0}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_{\mu_0} dx^{\mu_0}) \left(\frac{1}{8} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5 \mu_6} P_{\mu_1 \mu_2} P_{\mu_3 \mu_4} P_{\mu_5 \mu_6} \right) + 3 \left(\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5 \mu_6} v_{\mu_1} P_{\mu_2 \mu_3} P_{\mu_4 \mu_5} \right) P_{\mu_6 \mu_0} dx_0^\mu = 0 \\ & \Rightarrow *(P \wedge P \wedge P) v^\flat + 3u^{\mu_6} P_{\mu_6 \mu_0} dx^{\mu_0} = 0 \\ & \Rightarrow *(P \wedge P \wedge P) v^\flat + 3\iota_u P = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Furthermore, using (B.19) and the fact that $P \wedge P \wedge P$ is a full-rank form, we have $P \wedge P \wedge P = v^\flat \wedge \iota_v(P \wedge P \wedge P) = 3v^\flat \wedge (\iota_v P) \wedge P \wedge P = 0$. It implies that

$$\iota_u P = 0. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

⁴Referred to as “ v -flat” adopting a musical isomorphism.

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 *[P \wedge v^\flat \wedge * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] &= -*[P \wedge * \{ \iota_v (P \wedge *(P \wedge P)) \}] \quad (\text{using (B.18)}) \\
 &= -*[P \wedge * \{P \wedge \iota_v *(P \wedge P)\}] \\
 &= -*\{P \wedge *(P \wedge u^\flat)\} \\
 &= -(P \wedge \iota_u *P) \quad (\text{using (B.17)}) \\
 &= -*\iota_u (P \wedge *P) \\
 &= *(P \wedge *P) u^\flat \quad (\text{using (B.18)}). \tag{C.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

When $P = \iota_v *H$ the above identity gives

$$*[v^\flat \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge * (\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \}] = [* \{ \iota_v *H \wedge * (\iota_v *H) \}]^{(0)} *(v^\flat \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H), \tag{C.6}$$

where the superscript (0) reminds us that the term is a 0-form/scalar. Taking Hodge dual of both sides, we get

$$v^\flat \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge * (\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \} = [* \{ \iota_v *H \wedge * (\iota_v *H) \}]^{(0)} v^\flat \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H. \tag{C.7}$$

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &*[v^\flat \wedge * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\} \wedge * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] \\
 &= -*[* \{P \wedge \iota_v *(P \wedge P)\} \wedge * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] \quad (\text{using (B.18)}) \\
 &= -*[* \{P \wedge u^\flat\} \wedge * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] \\
 &= -*[\iota_u *P \wedge * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] \quad (\text{using (B.17)}) \\
 &= -*\iota_u [*P \wedge * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] + [*P \wedge \iota_u * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] \\
 &= [*P \wedge * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] u^\flat + [*P \wedge * \{P \wedge u^\flat \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] \quad (\text{using (B.18) and (B.17)}) \\
 &= [*P \wedge * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] u^\flat - [*P \wedge * \{P \wedge *(2 \iota_u P \wedge P)\}] \quad (\text{using (B.18)}) \\
 &= [*P \wedge * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\}] u^\flat \\
 &= * \{P \wedge *(P \wedge P) \wedge *(P)\} u^\flat \quad (\text{using (B.16)}) \\
 &= -* \{P \wedge P \wedge *(P \wedge P)\} u^\flat. \tag{C.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

When $P = \iota_v *H$ the above identity gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 &*[v^\flat \wedge * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge * (\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \} \wedge * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge * (\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \}] \\
 &= -[* \{ \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge * (\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \}]^{(0)} *(v^\flat \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H). \tag{C.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

The superscript (0) reminds us that the expression inside its bracket is a 0-form. Taking the Hodge dual of both the sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &v^\flat \wedge * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge * (\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \} \wedge * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge * (\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \} \\
 &= -[* \{ \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge * (\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \}]^{(0)} v^\flat \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H. \tag{C.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

D Recasting a determinant

Leibniz formula for determinants tells us that the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix M whose elements are denoted by $M_{\mu\nu}$, is given by

$$\det(M) = \frac{1}{n!} \hat{\varepsilon}^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} \hat{\varepsilon}^{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} M_{\mu_1 \nu_1} M_{\mu_2 \nu_2} \dots M_{\mu_n \nu_n}, \quad (\text{D.1})$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol with $\hat{\varepsilon}^{12 \dots n} = 1$.

So in $6D$ -spacetime where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric and $T_{\mu\nu}$ is a rank-2 tensor with indices $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, 5$, the determinant of $(g_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu})$ can be given by

$$\det(g_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{6!} \hat{\varepsilon}^{\mu_0 \dots \mu_5} \hat{\varepsilon}^{\nu_0 \dots \nu_5} (g_{\mu_0 \nu_0} + T_{\mu_0 \nu_0})(g_{\mu_1 \nu_1} + T_{\mu_1 \nu_1}) \dots (g_{\mu_5 \nu_5} + T_{\mu_5 \nu_5}). \quad (\text{D.2})$$

If $T_{\mu\nu}$ is antisymmetric then the above equation can also be written as

$$\det(g_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{6!} \hat{\varepsilon}^{\mu_0 \dots \mu_5} \hat{\varepsilon}^{\nu_0 \dots \nu_5} (g_{\mu_0 \nu_0} - T_{\nu_0 \mu_0})(g_{\mu_1 \nu_1} - T_{\nu_1 \mu_1}) \dots (g_{\mu_5 \nu_5} - T_{\nu_5 \mu_5}). \quad (\text{D.3})$$

As $g_{\mu\nu}$ is a symmetric tensor, we can exchange its indices without getting any change in its sign. Performing this exchange on the right hand side (r.h.s.) of the above equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det(g_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}) &= \frac{1}{6!} \hat{\varepsilon}^{\mu_0 \dots \mu_5} \hat{\varepsilon}^{\nu_0 \dots \nu_5} (g_{\nu_0 \mu_0} - T_{\nu_0 \mu_0})(g_{\nu_1 \mu_1} - T_{\nu_1 \mu_1}) \dots (g_{\nu_5 \mu_5} - T_{\nu_5 \mu_5}), \\ &= \det(g_{\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.4})$$

As $\det(g_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu})$ is even under $T_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow -T_{\mu\nu}$, the expansion of $\det(g_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu})$ consists of only the even powers of $T_{\mu\nu}$. We continue to work with $T_{\mu\nu}$ as an anti-symmetric tensor. Using binomial expansion, (D.2) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(T_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^6 \frac{6!}{n! (6-n)!} \frac{1}{6!} \hat{\varepsilon}^{\mu_0 \dots \mu_5} \hat{\varepsilon}^{\nu_0 \dots \nu_5} (T_{\mu_0 \nu_0} \dots T_{\mu_{(n-1)} \nu_{(n-1)}})(g_{\mu_n \nu_n} \dots g_{\mu_5 \nu_5}) \\ &= |g| \sum_{n=0}^6 \frac{6!}{n! (6-n)!} \frac{1}{6!} \epsilon^{\mu_0 \dots \mu_5} \epsilon^{\nu_0 \dots \nu_5} (T_{\mu_0 \nu_0} \dots T_{\mu_{(n-1)} \nu_{(n-1)}})(g_{\mu_n \nu_n} \dots g_{\mu_5 \nu_5}) \quad (\text{using (B.2)}) \\ &= |g| \sum_{n=0}^6 \frac{1}{n! (6-n)!} \epsilon^{\mu_0 \dots \mu_5} \epsilon_{\nu_0 \dots \nu_{(n-1)} \mu_n \dots \mu_5} T_{\mu_0}^{\nu_0} \dots T_{\mu_{(n-1)}}^{\nu_{(n-1)}} \\ &= |g| \sum_{n=0}^6 \frac{\text{sgn}(g)}{n!} \delta_{\nu_0 \dots \nu_{(n-1)}}^{\mu_0 \dots \mu_{(n-1)}} T_{\mu_0}^{\nu_0} \dots T_{\mu_{(n-1)}}^{\nu_{(n-1)}} \quad (\text{using (B.4)}) \\ &= g \left[1 + \frac{1}{2!} \delta_{\nu_0 \nu_1}^{\mu_0 \mu_1} T_{\mu_0}^{\nu_0} T_{\mu_1}^{\nu_1} + \frac{1}{4!} \delta_{\nu_0 \nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3}^{\mu_0 \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} T_{\mu_0}^{\nu_0} T_{\mu_1}^{\nu_1} T_{\mu_2}^{\nu_2} T_{\mu_3}^{\nu_3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6!} \delta_{\nu_0 \nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 \nu_4 \nu_5}^{\mu_0 \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5} T_{\mu_0}^{\nu_0} T_{\mu_1}^{\nu_1} T_{\mu_2}^{\nu_2} T_{\mu_3}^{\nu_3} T_{\mu_4}^{\nu_4} T_{\mu_5}^{\nu_5} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.5})$$

In the last line above we have used the fact that $|g| = -g$ and $\text{sgn}(g) = -1$ for our Minkowski metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ with mostly-plus signature. We simplify each term on the r.h.s. separately.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2!} \delta_{\nu_0\nu_1}^{\mu_0\mu_1} T_{\mu_0}{}^{\nu_0} T_{\mu_1}{}^{\nu_1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} - \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_1}) T_{\mu_0}{}^{\nu_0} T_{\mu_1}{}^{\nu_1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} T_{\mu_0\mu_1} T^{\mu_0\mu_1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\nu_0\nu_1}^{\mu_0\mu_1} T_{\mu_0\mu_1} T^{\nu_0\nu_1} \\
 &= \text{sgn}(g) \frac{1}{96} \epsilon^{\mu_0\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5} \{T_{\mu_0\mu_1} (\epsilon_{\nu_0\nu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5} T^{\nu_0\nu_1})\} \quad (\text{using (B.4)}) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{96} \epsilon^{\mu_0\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5} \left\{ \frac{(2!)^2 4!}{6!} (T \wedge *T) \right\} \quad (\text{using (B.10)}) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{96} \frac{6! (2!)^2 4!}{6!} *(T \wedge *T) \quad (\text{using (B.11)}) \\
 &= -* (T \wedge *T) \quad (\text{in differential form notation}). \tag{D.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (B.3) we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta_{\nu_0\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}^{\mu_0\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} \\
 &= \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_3} \\
 &\quad - \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3} \\
 &\quad + \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3} \\
 &\quad - \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3}. \tag{D.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Kronecker-delta δ_{μ}^{ν} is symmetric in μ, ν whereas $T_{\mu}{}^{\nu}$ is anti-symmetric in μ, ν . Therefore when the above twenty-four terms are contracted with $T_{\mu_0}{}^{\nu_0} T_{\mu_1}{}^{\nu_1} T_{\mu_2}{}^{\nu_2} T_{\mu_3}{}^{\nu_3}$, fifteen of them give 0. We are left with

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{4!} \delta_{\nu_0\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}^{\mu_0\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} T_{\mu_0}{}^{\nu_0} T_{\mu_1}{}^{\nu_1} T_{\mu_2}{}^{\nu_2} T_{\mu_3}{}^{\nu_3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{24} (\delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3} \\
 &\quad - \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_3} - \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_3} + \delta_{\nu_3}^{\mu_0} \delta_{\nu_2}^{\mu_1} \delta_{\nu_1}^{\mu_2} \delta_{\nu_0}^{\mu_3}) T_{\mu_0}{}^{\nu_0} T_{\mu_1}{}^{\nu_1} T_{\mu_2}{}^{\nu_2} T_{\mu_3}{}^{\nu_3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{24} (3 T_{\mu_0\mu_1} T^{\mu_0\mu_1} T_{\nu_0\nu_1} T^{\nu_0\nu_1} - 6 T_{\mu_0\mu_1} T^{\mu_1\nu_0} T_{\nu_0\nu_1} T^{\nu_1\mu_0}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{8} T_{\mu_0\mu_1} T_{\nu_0\nu_1} (T^{\mu_0\mu_1} T^{\nu_0\nu_1} - 2 T^{\mu_1\nu_0} T^{\nu_1\mu_0}) \\
 &= \frac{3}{8} T_{\mu_0\mu_1} T_{\nu_0\nu_1} T^{[\mu_0\mu_1} T^{\nu_0\nu_1]} \\
 &= \frac{1}{64} T_{\mu_0\mu_1} T_{\nu_0\nu_1} \delta_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}^{\mu_0\mu_1\nu_0\nu_1} T^{\lambda_0\lambda_1} T^{\lambda_2\lambda_3} \\
 &= \text{sgn}(g) \frac{1}{128} \epsilon^{\mu_0\mu_1\nu_0\nu_1\lambda_4\lambda_5} \{T_{\mu_0\mu_1} T_{\nu_0\nu_1} (\epsilon_{\lambda_0\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4\lambda_5} T^{\lambda_0\lambda_1} T^{\lambda_2\lambda_3})\} \quad (\text{using (B.4)})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{128} \epsilon^{\mu_0 \mu_1 \nu_0 \nu_1 \lambda_4 \lambda_5} \left[\frac{(4!)^2 2!}{6!} \left\{ \frac{(2!)^2}{(2+2)!} \right\}^2 \{T \wedge T \wedge *(T \wedge T)\} \right] \quad (\text{using (B.6) \& (B.10)}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{128} \frac{6! (4!)^2 2!}{6!} \left\{ \frac{(2!)^2}{(2+2)!} \right\}^2 \{T \wedge T \wedge *(T \wedge T)\} \quad (\text{using (B.11)}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} * \{T \wedge T \wedge *(T \wedge T)\} \quad (\text{in differential form notation}). \tag{D.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{6!} \delta^{\mu_0 \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5} T_{\mu_0}{}^{\nu_0} T_{\mu_1}{}^{\nu_1} T_{\mu_2}{}^{\nu_2} T_{\mu_3}{}^{\nu_3} T_{\mu_4}{}^{\nu_4} T_{\mu_5}{}^{\nu_5} \\
&= \text{sgn}(g) \frac{1}{48^2} \epsilon^{\mu_0 \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5} \{T_{\mu_0 \mu_1} T_{\mu_2 \nu_3} T_{\mu_4 \nu_5} (\epsilon_{\nu_0 \nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 \nu_4 \nu_5} T^{\nu_0 \nu_1} T^{\nu_2 \nu_3} T^{\nu_4 \nu_5})\} \\
&= -\frac{1}{36} * \{T \wedge T \wedge T \wedge *(T \wedge T \wedge T)\} \quad (\text{in differential form notation}). \tag{D.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Incorporating expressions (D.6), (D.8) and (D.9) into eq. (D.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det(g_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu}) \\
&= g \left[1 - *(T \wedge *T) - \frac{1}{4} * \{T \wedge T \wedge *(T \wedge T)\} - \frac{1}{36} * \{T \wedge T \wedge T \wedge *(T \wedge T \wedge T)\} \right]. \tag{D.10}
\end{aligned}$$

On setting $T = -i \iota_v *H$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(g - i \iota_v *H) &= g \left[1 + * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge *(\iota_v *H) \} - \frac{1}{4} * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge *(\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{36} * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge *(\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \} \right]. \tag{D.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H)$ is a 6-form in the 6D worldvolume of the M5-brane, by identity (B.20) it can be written as

$$\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H = v^b \wedge \iota_v (\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) = 0. \tag{D.12}$$

Therefore, we find

$$\det(g - i \iota_v *H) = g \left[1 + * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge *(\iota_v *H) \} - \frac{1}{4} * \{ \iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H \wedge *(\iota_v *H \wedge \iota_v *H) \} \right]. \tag{D.13}$$

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *Comments on multiple M2-branes*, *JHEP* **02** (2008) 105 [[arXiv:0712.3738](https://arxiv.org/abs/0712.3738)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 065008 [[arXiv:0711.0955](https://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0955)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [3] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, *Modeling Multiple M2's*, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 045020 [[hep-th/0611108](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] A. Gustavsson, *Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **811** (2009) 66 [[arXiv:0709.1260](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] A. Gustavsson, *Selfdual strings and loop space Nahm equations*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 083 [[arXiv:0802.3456](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, *$N = 6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 091 [[arXiv:0806.1218](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] N. Lambert, *(2,0) Lagrangian Structures*, *Phys. Lett. B* **798** (2019) 134948 [[arXiv:1908.10752](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] P.S. Howe and E. Sezgin, *$D = 11$, $p = 5$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **394** (1997) 62 [[hep-th/9611008](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] P.S. Howe, E. Sezgin and P.C. West, *Covariant field equations of the M theory five-brane*, *Phys. Lett. B* **399** (1997) 49 [[hep-th/9702008](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] P. Pasti, D.P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, *Covariant action for a $D = 11$ five-brane with the chiral field*, *Phys. Lett. B* **398** (1997) 41 [[hep-th/9701037](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] I.A. Bandos et al., *Covariant action for the superfive-brane of M theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 4332 [[hep-th/9701149](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] M. Aganagic, J. Park, C. Popescu and J.H. Schwarz, *World volume action of the M theory five-brane*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **496** (1997) 191 [[hep-th/9701166](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] I.A. Bandos et al., *On the equivalence of different formulations of the M theory five-brane*, *Phys. Lett. B* **408** (1997) 135 [[hep-th/9703127](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] P.S. Howe, E. Sezgin and P.C. West, *The Six-dimensional selfdual tensor*, *Phys. Lett. B* **400** (1997) 255 [[hep-th/9702111](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] A. De Castro, M.P. Garcia del Moral, I. Martin and A. Restuccia, *M5-brane as a Nambu-Poisson geometry of a multiD1-brane theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **584** (2004) 171 [[hep-th/0306094](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] P.-M. Ho, Y. Imamura, Y. Matsuo and S. Shiba, *M5-brane in three-form flux and multiple M2-branes*, *JHEP* **08** (2008) 014 [[arXiv:0805.2898](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] S.-L. Ko and P. Vanichchajongjaroen, *The Dual Formulation of M5-brane Action*, *JHEP* **06** (2016) 022 [[arXiv:1605.04705](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] J.H. Schwarz, *Coupling a selfdual tensor to gravity in six-dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **395** (1997) 191 [[hep-th/9701008](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] S.-L. Ko, D. Sorokin and P. Vanichchajongjaroen, *The M5-brane action revisited*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 072 [[arXiv:1308.2231](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] N. Berkovits, *Local actions with electric and magnetic sources*, *Phys. Lett. B* **395** (1997) 28 [[hep-th/9610134](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] I. Bengtsson and A. Kleppe, *On chiral p forms*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **12** (1997) 3397 [[hep-th/9609102](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] F.P. Devecchi and M. Henneaux, *Covariant path integral for chiral p forms*, *Phys. Rev. D* **54** (1996) 1606 [[hep-th/9603031](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [23] E. Witten, *Five-brane effective action in M theory*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **22** (1997) 103 [[hep-th/9610234](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] E. Witten, *Duality relations among topological effects in string theory*, *JHEP* **05** (2000) 031 [[hep-th/9912086](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] N. Lambert, C. Papageorgakis and M. Schmidt-Sommerfeld, *M5-Branes, D4-Branes and Quantum 5D super-Yang-Mills*, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 083 [[arXiv:1012.2882](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] N. Lambert and P. Richmond, *(2,0) Supersymmetry and the Light-Cone Description of M5-branes*, *JHEP* **02** (2012) 013 [[arXiv:1109.6454](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] D. Fiorenza, H. Sati and U. Schreiber, *Multiple M5-branes, String 2-connections, and 7d nonabelian Chern-Simons theory*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **18** (2014) 229 [[arXiv:1201.5277](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] S.-L. Ko and P. Vanichchamongjaroen, *Towards 2+4 formulation of M5-brane*, *JHEP* **12** (2015) 170 [[arXiv:1511.05395](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] S.-L. Ko and P. Vanichchamongjaroen, *A covariantisation of M5-brane action in dual formulation*, *JHEP* **01** (2018) 072 [[arXiv:1712.06408](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] C. Sämann and L. Schmidt, *Towards an M5-Brane Model I: a 6d Superconformal Field Theory*, *J. Math. Phys.* **59** (2018) 043502 [[arXiv:1712.06623](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] C. Sämann and L. Schmidt, *Towards an M5-Brane Model II: Metric String Structures*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **68** (2020) 2000051 [[arXiv:1908.08086](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] D. Rist, C. Saemann and M. van der Worp, *Towards an M5-brane model. Part III. Self-duality from additional trivial fields*, *JHEP* **06** (2021) 036 [[arXiv:2012.09253](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] D. Bak and A. Gustavsson, *Nonabelian M5-brane on S_q^6* , *JHEP* **07** (2019) 130 [[arXiv:1906.07344](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] N. Lambert, *M-Branes: lessons from M2's and Hopes for M5's*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **67** (2019) 1910011 [[arXiv:1903.02825](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] N. Lambert, A. Lipstein and P. Richmond, *Non-Lorentzian M5-brane Theories from Holography*, *JHEP* **08** (2019) 060 [[arXiv:1904.07547](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] N. Lambert and T. Orchard, *Null reductions of the M5-brane*, *JHEP* **12** (2020) 037 [[arXiv:2005.14331](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] E. Andriolo, N. Lambert and C. Papageorgakis, *Geometrical Aspects of An Abelian (2,0) Action*, *JHEP* **04** (2020) 200 [[arXiv:2003.10567](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] P. Vanichchamongjaroen, *Covariant M5-brane action with self-dual 3-form*, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 039 [[arXiv:2011.14384](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] A. Phonchantuek and P. Vanichchamongjaroen, *Double dimensional reduction of M5-brane action in Sen formalism*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** (2023) 721 [[arXiv:2305.04861](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] A. Gustavsson, *Abelian M5-brane on S_q^6* , *J. Math. Phys.* **60** (2019) 083514 [[arXiv:1904.07799](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] A. Gustavsson, *Abelian M5-brane on S^6* , *JHEP* **04** (2019) 140 [[arXiv:1902.04201](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] A. Gustavsson, *A nonabelian M5 brane Lagrangian in a supergravity background*, *JHEP* **10** (2020) 001 [[arXiv:2006.07557](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [43] A. Gustavsson, *Dimensional reduction of M5 branes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 046010 [[arXiv:2109.02380](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [44] A. Gustavsson, *M5 branes on $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \text{Taub-NUT}$* , *JHEP* **09** (2022) 153 [[arXiv:2206.11440](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] A. Gustavsson, *Lightlike reduction of the M5 brane*, *JHEP* **05** (2023) 130 [[arXiv:2303.17846](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] L. Andrianopoli et al., *M5-brane in the superspace approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 026010 [[arXiv:2206.06388](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] A. Sen, *Covariant Action for Type IIB Supergravity*, *JHEP* **07** (2016) 017 [[arXiv:1511.08220](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] A. Sen, *Self-dual forms: Action, Hamiltonian and Compactification*, *J. Phys. A* **53** (2020) 084002 [[arXiv:1903.12196](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] C.M. Hull, *Covariant Action for Self-Dual p-Form Gauge Fields in General Spacetimes*, [arXiv:2307.04748](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] P. Pasti, D.P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, *Note on manifest Lorentz and general coordinate invariance in duality symmetric models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **352** (1995) 59 [[hep-th/9503182](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] P. Pasti, D.P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, *Duality symmetric actions with manifest space-time symmetries*, *Phys. Rev. D* **52** (1995) R4277 [[hep-th/9506109](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] P. Pasti, D.P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, *On Lorentz invariant actions for chiral p forms*, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 6292 [[hep-th/9611100](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] K. Mkrtchyan, *On Covariant Actions for Chiral p-Forms*, *JHEP* **12** (2019) 076 [[arXiv:1908.01789](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] S. Bansal, O. Evnin and K. Mkrtchyan, *Polynomial Duality-Symmetric Lagrangians for Free p-Forms*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 257 [[arXiv:2101.02350](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] E. Bergshoeff, D.P. Sorokin and P.K. Townsend, *The M5-brane Hamiltonian*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **533** (1998) 303 [[hep-th/9805065](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] I. Martin and A. Restuccia, *Duality symmetric actions and canonical quantization*, *Phys. Lett. B* **323** (1994) 311 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] R. Dijkgraaf, E.P. Verlinde and H.L. Verlinde, *BPS quantization of the five-brane*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **486** (1997) 89 [[hep-th/9604055](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] E. Andriolo, N. Lambert, T. Orchard and C. Papageorgakis, *A path integral for the chiral-form partition function*, *JHEP* **04** (2022) 115 [[arXiv:2112.00040](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] D. Berman, *M5 on a torus and the three-brane*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **533** (1998) 317 [[hep-th/9804115](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] A. Nurmagambetov, *Duality symmetric three-brane and its coupling to type IIB supergravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **436** (1998) 289 [[hep-th/9804157](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] M. Perry and J.H. Schwarz, *Interacting chiral gauge fields in six-dimensions and Born-Infeld theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **489** (1997) 47 [[hep-th/9611065](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] J.H. Schwarz and A. Sen, *Duality symmetric actions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **411** (1994) 35 [[hep-th/9304154](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] A. Khoudeir and N. Pantoja, *Covariant duality symmetric actions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996) 5974 [[hep-th/9411235](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] J. Simon, *Brane Effective Actions, Kappa-Symmetry and Applications*, *Living Rev. Rel.* **15** (2012) 3 [[arXiv:1110.2422](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [65] G. Buratti, K. Lechner and L. Melotti, *Self-interacting chiral p-forms in higher dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **798** (2019) 135018 [[arXiv:1909.10404](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] I. Bandos, K. Lechner, D. Sorokin and P.K. Townsend, *On p-form gauge theories and their conformal limits*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 022 [[arXiv:2012.09286](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] M. Aganagic, J. Park, C. Popescu and J.H. Schwarz, *Dual D-brane actions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **496** (1997) 215 [[hep-th/9702133](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].