



Vectorial analogues of Cauchy’s surface area formula

DANIEL HUG  AND ROLF SCHNEIDER

Abstract. Cauchy’s surface area formula says that for a convex body K in n -dimensional Euclidean space, the mean value of the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional volumes of the orthogonal projections of K to hyperplanes is a constant multiple of the surface area of K . We prove an analogous formula, with the volumes of the projections replaced by their moment vectors. This requires to introduce a new vector-valued valuation on convex bodies.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 52A20; Secondary 53C65.

Keywords. Cauchy’s surface area formula, Moment vector, Tensor-valued valuation.

1. Introduction. Cauchy’s surface area formula is probably the first result in a branch later named integral geometry. The n -dimensional version of Cauchy’s formula says that

$$S(K) = \frac{1}{\kappa_{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} V_{n-1}(K|u^\perp) du$$

for each convex body K (a nonempty, compact, convex set) in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Here $K|u^\perp$ is the image of K under the orthogonal projection to the linear subspace u^\perp orthogonal to the unit vector u . By $S(K)$ we denote the surface area of K and by V_k the k -dimensional volume. Further, $\int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) du$ always denotes integration over the unit sphere S^{n-1} with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure, and κ_k is the volume of the k -dimensional unit ball ($\omega_k = k\kappa_k$ is its surface area). For proofs of more general projection formulas, we refer to [14, p. 301] and [16, p. 222] or [6, Chap. 5].

Classical integral geometry, as it can be found, e.g., in the books by Hadwiger [3, Chap. 6] and Santaló [11] (see also [14, Sect. 4.4]), exhibits, for example, intersection theorems such as the kinematic formulas and the Crofton

formulas, mainly for real-valued valuations on convex bodies. Integral geometric formulas for vector-valued functionals were investigated in [4, 10, 12]. An extensive theory of integral geometric intersection formulas for tensor valued valuations, also with values in spaces of measures, was developed in [2, 5, 7–9, 13, 15]. We also refer to the survey article [1].

While the classical integral geometry of real-valued functionals also considered projections, the mentioned investigations of tensor valuations are restricted to intersections. It seems that not even such a simple question as the following vector-valued analogue of Cauchy’s surface area formula has been answered. First we recall (e.g., from [14, Sect. 5.4.1]) that for a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and for $k \in \{\dim K, \dots, n\}$, the moment vector $z_{k+1}(K)$ is defined by

$$z_{k+1}(K) := \int_K x \mathcal{H}^k(dx),$$

where \mathcal{H}^k is the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure (the lower index indicates the degree of homogeneity). The question is then whether the integral

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} z_n(K|u^\perp) du$$

can be expressed by familiar functionals of K . It turns out that, compared to the cases dealing with intersections, additional tensor valuations are required. After introducing these, we shall give an answer to the question in Theorem 1.

2. Preliminaries, and a result. We work in n -dimensional Euclidean vector space \mathbb{R}^n (with origin o) and use its scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to identify the space with its dual space. Thus, we identify a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with the linear functional $\langle x, \cdot \rangle$. By \mathbb{T}^r we denote the real vector space (with its usual topology) of symmetric r -tensors (tensors of rank $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$) on \mathbb{R}^n . The elements of \mathbb{T}^r are symmetric r -linear functionals on \mathbb{R}^n . The symmetric tensor product of $a \in \mathbb{T}^r$ and $b \in \mathbb{T}^s$ is denoted by $a \cdot b = ab$ and is an element of \mathbb{T}^{r+s} . In particular, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we write $x^r := x \cdots x$ (r factors); we then have $x^r(a_1, \dots, a_r) = \langle x, a_1 \rangle \cdots \langle x, a_r \rangle$ for $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, where \mathcal{K}^n denotes the set of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Its volume can be written as

$$V_n(K) = \int_K dx, \tag{1}$$

where $\int f(x) dx$ indicates integration with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . This motivates one to define a symmetric r -tensor by

$$\Psi_r(K) := \frac{1}{r!} \int_K x^r dx, \quad K \in \mathcal{K}^n.$$

The factor before the integral is for convenience, and we have $\Psi_1(K) = z_{n+1}(K)$. For z_{n+1} , we get the polynomial expansion

$$z_{n+1}(K + \lambda B^n) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \lambda^j q_j(K)$$

(see [14, (5.95)]), where B^n is the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n and $\lambda \geq 0$. The vector-valued coefficients q_j can be represented by

$$q_j(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial K} x C_{n-j}(K, dx)$$

for $j \geq 1$ (whereas $q_0 = z_{n+1}$). See [14, (5.98)], and note that the curvature measure $C_m(K, \cdot)$ is concentrated on the boundary of K . In particular (by [14, (4.31)]), if K has nonempty interior, then

$$q_1(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial K} x \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx). \tag{2}$$

Let \mathcal{K}_o^n denote the set of convex bodies $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ with $o \in \text{int } K$. Alternatively to (1), for $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, the volume of K can also be written as the integral of the cone-volume measure of K over the unit sphere S^{n-1} . The cone-volume measure of $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ is defined by

$$V_K(\omega) := \mathcal{H}^n \left(\bigcup_{x \in \tau(K, \omega)} [o, x] \right), \quad \omega \in \mathcal{B}(S^{n-1}).$$

Here $\tau(K, \omega)$ is the set of boundary points of K at which there exists an outer unit normal vector of K belonging to ω , $[o, x]$ is the closed segment with endpoints o and x , and $\mathcal{B}(S^{n-1})$ denotes the σ -algebra of Borel sets in S^{n-1} . It is known (see, e.g., [14, p. 501]) that

$$\begin{aligned} V_K(\omega) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\omega} h_K(u) S_{n-1}(K, du) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \omega} \langle x, u \rangle \Theta_{n-1}(K, d(x, u)), \end{aligned}$$

where h_K is the support function of K , $S_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$ is its surface area measure, and $\Theta_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$ is a support measure of K (see [14, Sect. 4.2]). For the last equality, we refer to [14, (4.11)] and the simple observation that $h_K(u) = \langle x, u \rangle$ for (x, u) in the support of the measure $\Theta_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$. From these representations, it is clear that V_K is a measure-valued valuation (see [14, Thm. 4.2.1]). Moreover, the integral representations are well defined for all convex bodies.

The preceding discussion suggests to define a symmetric r -tensor functional by

$$\Upsilon_r(K) := \int_{S^{n-1}} u^r V_K(du), \quad K \in \mathcal{K}_o^d.$$

For general convex bodies $K \in \mathcal{K}^d$, it is consistent to define $\Upsilon_r : \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_r(K) &:= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(u) u^r S_{n-1}(K, du) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times S^{n-1}} \langle x, u \rangle u^r \Theta_{n-1}(K, d(x, u)). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Just as the Ψ_r , also the Υ_r are rotation covariant, continuous tensor-valued valuations. But the translation behavior is different. We know (see [14, (5.104)]) that, for $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\Psi_r(K + t) = \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{1}{j!} \Psi_{r-j}(K) t^j,$$

where $\Psi_{r-j}(K) t^j$ is a symmetric tensor product. On the other hand, if we define a translation invariant r -tensor by

$$\Xi_r(K) := \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} u^r S_{n-1}(K, du)$$

for $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then

$$\Upsilon_r(K + t) = \Upsilon_r(K) + \Xi_{r+1}(K)(t),$$

where the symmetric $(r + 1)$ -tensor $\Xi_{r+1}(K)$ is applied to the vector t , which results in a tensor of rank r .

Considering that $\Upsilon_0(K)$ is the volume of K and that

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_{K_1}(u) S(K_2, \dots, K_n, du) = V(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n)$$

(where $S(K_2, \dots, K_n, \cdot)$ is the mixed area measure of K_2, \dots, K_n) is the mixed volume of K_1, \dots, K_n and hence is symmetric in its arguments, one may ask whether also

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} h_{K_1}(u) u^r S(K_2, \dots, K_n, du) \tag{4}$$

is symmetric in K_1, \dots, K_n . That this is in general not the case can be seen from the fact that, for $r = 1$, the vector

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(u) u S(B^n, \dots, B^n, du)$$

is proportional to the Steiner point of K (as follows from [14, (1.31)]), whereas

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} h_{B^n}(u) u S(K, B^n, \dots, B^n, du)$$

is always equal to o by [14, (5.30)].

Since (4) is not symmetric in K_1, \dots, K_n , we introduce a symmetric tensor functional $\Upsilon^{(r)} : (\mathcal{K}^n)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$ by

$$\Upsilon^{(r)}(K_1, \dots, K_n) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_{K_i}(u) u^r S(K_1, \dots, \check{K}_i, \dots, K_n, du),$$

where \check{K}_i means that K_i is omitted.

We have mentioned tensor valuations of rank higher than necessary for the following since we hope to come back to them later.

We can now state the vectorial counterpart to Cauchy’s surface area formula.

Theorem 1. *For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$,*

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} z_n(K|u^\perp) du = \frac{n\kappa_{n-1}}{n+1} (nq_1(K) - \Upsilon_1(K)).$$

The functional q_1 is a special mixed moment vector, that is, we have

$$\frac{n}{n+1} q_1(K) = z(K[n], B^n).$$

The mixed moment vectors $z : (\mathcal{K}^n)^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are symmetric and multilinear in each of their $n + 1$ arguments (see [14, Section 5.4.1]). The choice $K = \lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_n K_n$ with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \geq 0$ in Theorem 1, polynomial expansion, and comparison of the coefficients of $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n$ yield the following consequence.

Corollary 1. *Let $K_1, \dots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}^n$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^{n-1}} z_n(K_1|u^\perp, \dots, K_n|u^\perp) du \\ &= n\kappa_{n-1} \left(z(K_1, \dots, K_n, B^n) - \frac{1}{n+1} \Upsilon^{(1)}(K_1, \dots, K_n) \right). \end{aligned}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Both sides of the asserted equation depend continuously on K . Hence we can assume that $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ has nonempty interior. Let $\partial'K$ denote the set of all $x \in \partial K$ at which the outer unit normal vector $\nu_K(x)$ of K at x is unique. Then $\partial'K$ is a Borel set, ν_K is continuous on $\partial'K$, and $\partial K \setminus \partial'K$ has \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -measure zero. Moreover, for $y \in \partial'K$ and $u \in S^{n-1}$, the projection map $p_u : \partial K \rightarrow u^\perp$, $y \mapsto y - \langle y, u \rangle u$, has the Jacobian $Jp_u(y) = |\langle \nu_K(y), u \rangle|$. For $x \in \text{relint}(K|u^\perp)$, we have $p_u^{-1}(\{x\}) = \{y_u^-(K, x), y_u^+(K, x)\}$ and $p_u(y_u^\pm(K, x)) = x$. Therefore, we can apply the coarea formula and then Fubini’s theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{S^{n-1}} z_n(K|u^\perp) du &= 2 \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{K|u^\perp} x \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx) du \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{K|u^\perp} \int_{p_u^{-1}(\{x\})} p_u(y) \mathcal{H}^0(dy) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx) du \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{\partial K} |\langle \nu_K(y), u \rangle| (y - \langle y, u \rangle u) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dy) du \\
 &= \int_{\partial K} y \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle \nu_K(y), u \rangle| du \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dy) \\
 &\quad - \int_{\partial K} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle \nu_K(y), u \rangle| \langle y, u \rangle u du \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dy). \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

For the first integral in (5), we can use that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle v, u \rangle| du = 2\kappa_{n-1}$$

for all $v \in S^{n-1}$ and obtain

$$\int_{\partial K} y \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle \nu_K(y), u \rangle| du \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dy) = 2n\kappa_{n-1}q_1(K)$$

by (2). For the second integral, we need a lemma. For this, we denote by Q the metric 2-tensor on \mathbb{R}^n , that is, $Q(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $v \in S^{n-1}$. Then*

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle v, u \rangle| u^2 du = \frac{2\kappa_{n-1}}{n+1}(v^2 + Q).$$

Proof. We use a decomposition of spherical Lebesgue measure to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle v, u \rangle| u^2 \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(du) \\
 &= \int_{-1}^1 \int_{S^{n-1} \cap v^\perp} (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \left| \langle v, \tau v + \sqrt{1 - \tau^2} w \rangle \right| \left(\tau v + \sqrt{1 - \tau^2} w \right)^2 \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(dw) d\tau \\
 &= \int_{-1}^1 \int_{S^{n-1} \cap v^\perp} (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} |\tau| \left(\tau^2 v^2 + 2\tau \sqrt{1 - \tau^2} v w + (1 - \tau^2) w^2 \right) \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(dw) d\tau \\
 &= \int_{-1}^1 |\tau| \tau^2 (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} d\tau \omega_{n-1} v^2 + \int_{-1}^1 |\tau| (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} d\tau \int_{S^{n-1} \cap v^\perp} w^2 \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(dw) \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\int_{S^{n-1} \cap v^\perp} w \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(dw) = o.$$

Let $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the Beta function. Then

$$\int_{-1}^1 |\tau| \tau^2 (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} d\tau = 2 \int_0^1 \tau^3 (1 - \tau^2)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} d\tau = \int_0^1 s(1 - s)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} ds$$

$$= B\left(2, \frac{n-1}{2}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+3}{2})} = \frac{4}{n^2-1} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^1 |\tau|(1-\tau^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} d\tau = \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} ds = \frac{2}{n+1}.$$

It is known from [15, (24)] that

$$\int_{S^{n-1} \cap v^\perp} w^2 \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(dw) = 2 \frac{\omega_{n+1}}{\omega_3} Q_{v^\perp} = \kappa_{n-1}(Q - v^2), \tag{8}$$

where $Q_{v^\perp} = Q - v^2$ is the metric tensor of v^\perp . Combination of (6)–(8) yields the assertion. \square

Using Lemma 1, we can write the second integral in (5) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial K} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, \nu_K(y) \rangle| \langle y, u \rangle u \, du \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dy) \\ &= \int_{\partial K} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle u, \nu_K(y) \rangle| u^2(y) \, du \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dy) \\ &= \frac{2\kappa_{n-1}}{n+1} \int_{\partial K} (\nu_K(y)^2 + Q)(y) \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dy) \\ &= \frac{2\kappa_{n-1}}{n+1} \int_{\partial K} \langle \nu_K(y), y \rangle \nu_K(y) \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dy) + \frac{2\kappa_{n-1}}{n+1} \int_{\partial K} y \, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dy) \\ &= \frac{2\kappa_{n-1}}{n+1} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(u) u \, S_{n-1}(K, du) + \frac{2n\kappa_{n-1}}{n+1} q_1(K) \\ &= \frac{2n\kappa_{n-1}}{n+1} [\Upsilon_1(K) + q_1(K)]. \end{aligned}$$

We have used that the surface area measure $S_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$ is the image measure of \mathcal{H}^{n-1} under the Gauss map, together with the transformation theorem for integrals, and we have again used (2), as well as (3). Taking both integrals of (5) together, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Another analogue of Cauchy’s formula. We mention briefly another way of obtaining an analogue of Cauchy’s surface area formula. For this, we consider a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and a measurable, bounded function $f : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^r$. For $x \in K|u^\perp$, the intersection $K \cap (x + \mathbb{R}u)$ is a segment $[y_u^-(K, x), y_u^+(K, x)]$ with boundary points $y_u^-(K, x), y_u^+(K, x) \in \partial K$ satisfying, say, $\langle y_u^-(K, x), u \rangle \leq \langle y_u^+(K, x), u \rangle$. Defining the r -tensor

$$F_f(K, u) := \int_{K|u^\perp} f(y_u^+(K, x)) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx),$$

we state that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} F_f(K, u) \, du = \kappa_{n-1} \int_{\partial K} f(x) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx). \tag{9}$$

For $r = 0$ and $f = 1$, this is Cauchy’s surface area formula. While (9) involves integration over projections, the relation is rather a statement about real-valued than tensor-valued functions.

For the proof of (9), we write

$$\partial_u^\pm K := \{y_u^\pm(K, x) : x \in K|u^\perp\}.$$

By the coarea formula, we then have

$$\int_{\partial_u^\pm K} f(x) |\langle \nu_K(x), u \rangle| \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx) = \int_{K|u^\perp} f(y_u^\pm(K, x)) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx). \tag{10}$$

Since $\langle \nu_K(x), u \rangle = 0$ for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -almost all $x \in K \cap (\text{relbd}(K|u^\perp) + \mathbb{R}u)$ (here relbd refers to the boundary relative to u^\perp), we have

$$\int_{K \cap (\text{relbd}(K|u^\perp) + \mathbb{R}u)} f(x) |\langle \nu_K(x), u \rangle| \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx) = 0. \tag{11}$$

It follows from $y_{-u}^+(K, x) = y_u^-(K, x)$, (10), and (11) that

$$F_f(K, u) + F_f(K, -u) = \int_{\partial K} f(x) |\langle \nu_K(x), u \rangle| \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx).$$

Fubini’s theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{n-1}} F_f(K, u) \, du &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{\partial K} f(x) |\langle \nu_K(x), u \rangle| \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx) \, du \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial K} f(x) \int_{S^{n-1}} |\langle \nu_K(x), u \rangle| \, du \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx) \\ &= \kappa_{n-1} \int_{\partial K} f(x) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (9).

We add a final remark. Tsukerman and Veomett [17], in their Section 3, aim at an extension of Cauchy’s formula to moment vectors, but in an irritating way. Their notion $z_n(K_u)$ has different meanings in the formulation of Theorem 3 and in its proof. According to the definitions given on page 927, $z_n(K_u) = \int_{K_u} x \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx)$, where K_u is a part of the boundary of K . But this contradicts the third displayed formula on page 928, which should read

$$D_{[o,u]}(z_{n+1})(K) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{z_{n+1}((K + \epsilon[o, u]) \setminus K)}{\epsilon} = (n + 1)z(K, \dots, K, [o, u]),$$

and this is different from $z_n(K_u)$. With the notations used above, we have

$$(n+1)z(K, \dots, K, [o, u]) = \int_{K|u^\perp} y_u^+(K, x) \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(dx).$$

Therefore, with corrections, the argument on p. 928 of [17] can be interpreted to yield the special case $f(x) = x$ of formula (9).

Acknowledgements. D. Hug was supported by DFG research Grant HU 1874/5-1 (SPP 2265).

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Bernig, A., Hug, D.: Integral geometry and algebraic structures for tensor valuations. In: Kiderlen, M., Vedel Jensen, E.B. (eds.) *Tensor Valuations and their Applications in Stochastic Geometry and Imaging*, pp. 79–109. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 2177. Springer, Cham (2017)
- [2] Bernig, A., Hug, D.: Kinematic formulas for tensor valuations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **736**, 141–191 (2018)
- [3] Hadwiger, H.: *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie*. Springer, Berlin (1957)
- [4] Hadwiger, H., Schneider, R.: Vektorielle Integralgeometrie. *Elem. Math.* **26**, 49–57 (1971)
- [5] Hug, D., Schneider, R., Schuster, R.: Integral geometry of tensor valuations. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **41**, 482–509 (2008)
- [6] Hug, D., Weil, W.: *Lectures on Convex Geometry*. Springer, Cham (2020)
- [7] Hug, D., Weis, J.A.: Crofton formulae for tensor-valued curvature measures. In: Kiderlen, M., Vedel Jensen, E.B. (eds.) *Tensor Valuations and their Applications in Stochastic Geometry and Imaging*, pp. 111–156. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 2177. Springer, Cham (2017)

- [8] Hug, D., Weis, J.A.: Crofton formulae for tensorial curvature measures: the general case. In: Bianchi, G., Colesanti, A., Gronchi, P. (eds.) *Analytic Aspects of Convexity*, pp. 39–60. Springer INdAM Ser., 25. Springer, Cham (2018)
- [9] Hug, D., Weis, J.A.: Kinematic formulae for tensorial curvature measures. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **197**, 1349–1384 (2018)
- [10] Müller, H.R.: Über Momente ersten und zweiten Grades in der Integralgeometrie. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **2**, 119–140 (1953)
- [11] Santaló, L.A.: *Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability*. Addison-Wesley, Reading (1976)
- [12] Schneider, R.: Krümmungsschwerpunkte konvexer Körper II. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **37**, 204–217 (1972)
- [13] Schneider, R.: Tensor valuations on convex bodies and integral geometry. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo.* **65**, 295–316 (2000)
- [14] Schneider, R.: *Convex Bodies: The Brunn–Minkowski Theory*. Second Edition. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol. 151. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014)
- [15] Schneider, R., Schuster, R.: Tensor valuations on convex bodies and integral geometry, II. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.* **70**, 295–314 (2002)
- [16] Schneider, R., Weil, W.: *Stochastic and Integral Geometry*. Springer, Berlin (2008)
- [17] Tsukerman, E., Veomett, E.: Brunn-Minkowski theory and Cauchy’s surface area formula. *Amer. Math. Mon.* **124**, 922–929 (2017)

DANIEL HUG
Department of Mathematics
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)
76128 Karlsruhe
Germany
e-mail: daniel.hug@kit.edu

ROLF SCHNEIDER
Mathematisches Institut
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität
79104 Freiburg im Breisgau
Germany
e-mail: rolf.schneider@math.uni-freiburg.de

Received: 23 July 2023

Accepted: 18 December 2023