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## Future Circular Collider Study

- New 80-100 km storage ring
- FCC-hh (=long-term goal):
$\rightarrow$ High-energy hadron collider
$\rightarrow$ Push the energy frontier to 100 TeV
- FCC-ee (TLEP):
$\rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} / \mathrm{e}^{-}$-collider as intermediate step
- FCC-he
$\rightarrow$ Hadron-lepton collider option
$\rightarrow$ Deep inelastic scattering
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## What is our goal?



- ATLAS event display:

$$
\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}+\mu^{+}+\mu^{-}
$$
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## Physics goals of FCC-ee

Provide highest possible luminosity for a wide physics program ranging from the $Z$ pole to the tt production threshold.
> Beam energy range from 45 GeV to 175 GeV

Main physics programs / energies (+ scan around central values):
$>Z(45.5 \mathrm{GeV}): \quad \mathrm{Z}$ pole, high precision of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Z}}$,
> W $(80 \mathrm{GeV})$ : W pair production threshold,
$>\mathrm{H}(120 \mathrm{GeV})$ : H production,
$>\mathrm{T}(175 \mathrm{GeV})$ : tt threshold.

## All energies quoted refer to BEAM energies

## Main challenge: the parameter list

|  | Z | W | H | tt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beam energy [GeV] | 45.5 | 80 | 120 | 175 |
| Beam current [mA] | 1450 | 152 | 30 | 6.6 |
| Bunches / beam | 16700 | 4490 | 1330 | 160 |
| Bunch population [10 ${ }^{11}$ ] | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.46 | 0.83 |
| Transverse emittance $\varepsilon$ <br> - Horizontal [nm] <br> - Vertical [nm] | $\begin{aligned} & 29.2 \\ & \hline 0.06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.3 \\ 0.007 \end{array}$ | $0.007$ |  |
| Momentum comp. [10-5] | 18 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Betatron function at IP $\beta^{*}$ <br> - Horizontal [mm] <br> - Vertical [mm] | $\begin{gathered} 500 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $500$ | $500$ | $100$ |
| Energy loss / turn [GeV] | 0.03 | 0.33 | 1.67 |  |
| Total RF voltage [GV] | 2.5 | 4 | 5.5 |  |

- Design \& optimize a lattice for 4 different energies
- Interaction region layout for a large number of bunches
- Horizontal emittance is increasing with reduced energy
- Extremely small vert. beta* $^{( } \beta_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{*}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
$\rightarrow$ High chromaticity
$\rightarrow$ Challenging dynamic aperture
- High synchrotron radiation losses include sophisticated absorber design in the lattice
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## The FCC-ee Layout

- 100 km circumference
- $12 \operatorname{arcs}(2 \times 3.4 \mathrm{~km})$
- 12 long straight sections ( 1.5 km )
$\rightarrow$ RF installations
- 4 mini-beta insertions
- 2 rings side-by-side



## FODO cell for 175 GeV

Layout already considers max. dipole length, drift spaces for absorbers, flanges etc.


$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{N}_{\text {dipoles }}=6528(384 \text { half bend }) & (\text { LHC: 1232) } & \rho \approx 10.6 \mathrm{~km} \\
\mathrm{~N}_{\text {quadrupoles }}=4704 & (\text { LHC: 478) } & \theta=0.99 \mathrm{mrad}  \tag{LHC:478}\\
& & B=55 \mathrm{mT}
\end{array}
$$

## FODO cell for 175 GeV



- $L=50 \mathrm{~m}$
- $\Psi=90^{\circ} / 60^{\circ}$
- $\beta_{\mathrm{x}, \max }=76.9 \mathrm{~m}$
- $\beta_{y, \max }=96.6 \mathrm{~m}$
- $D_{x, \max }=12.7 \mathrm{~cm}$

MADX Emit:

- $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=1.00 \mathrm{~nm}$
- $\mathrm{U}_{0}=7.72 \mathrm{GeV}$


## Emittance in <br> electron storage rings

## 邶 remilab

Minimizing the Emittance in Designing the Lattice of an Electron Storage Ring

L.C. Teng

June 1984

TM-1269
0102.000


$$
\varepsilon_{x}=\frac{C_{g}}{J_{x}} \gamma^{2} \theta^{3} F
$$

$$
F_{\text {FODO }}=\frac{1}{2 \sin \psi} \frac{5+3 \cos \psi}{1-\cos \psi} \frac{L}{l_{B}}
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{g}}=3.832 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ damping partition number, $\gamma=$ Lorentz factor,
$\theta=$ bending angle, $F=$ numerical factor controlled by the lattice design

# Emittance in <br> <br> electron storage rings 

 <br> <br> electron storage rings}

Fermilab

TM-1269
0102.000

## Minimizing the Emittance in Designing the Lattice of an Electron Storage Ring

L.C. Teng

June 1984

$$
\varepsilon_{x}=\frac{C_{x}}{J_{x}} \gamma^{2} \theta^{3} F
$$

$$
F_{F O D O}=\frac{1}{2 \sin \psi} \frac{5+3 \cos \psi}{1-\cos \psi} \frac{L}{l}
$$

Analytic calculation:
MADX Emit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=1.04 \mathrm{~nm} \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=1.00 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

120 GeV

$$
\varepsilon_{x}=\frac{C_{g}}{J_{x}} \gamma^{2} \theta^{3} F
$$

If the beam energy will be decreased from 175 GeV to 120 GeV the beam emittance is expected to shrink!
$F\left(\Psi=90^{\circ}\right)=2.976, \quad \gamma(175 \mathrm{GeV})=342466, \quad \gamma(120 \mathrm{GeV})=234834$

- Analytic calculation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=0.491 \mathrm{~nm} \\
& \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=0.488 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

- MADX Emit:
- Baseline parameter:
$0.5 \times \varepsilon_{x}=0.47 \mathrm{~nm}$
$\left(\varepsilon_{x}=0.94 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$

We can keep the 175 GeV optics for 120 GeV beam energy!

### 45.5 GeV and 80.0 GeV

80.0 GeV: $\quad \gamma=156556$

- Baseline parameter: $0.5 \times \varepsilon_{x}=1.65 \mathrm{~nm} \quad\left(\varepsilon_{x}=3.30 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$
- Analytic calculation:

$$
\varepsilon_{x}=0.218 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

$45.5 \mathrm{GeV}: \quad \gamma=89041$

- Baseline parameter: $0.5 \times \varepsilon_{x}=14.60 \mathrm{~nm} \quad\left(\varepsilon_{x}=29.20 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$
- Analytic calculation:

$$
\varepsilon_{x}=0.071 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

How can the beam emittance be increased?

$$
\varepsilon_{x}=\frac{C_{g}}{J_{x}} \gamma^{2} \theta^{3} F
$$

## Changing the emittance for lower energies

$\varepsilon=\left(\frac{\delta p}{p}\right)^{2}\left(\gamma D^{2}+2 \alpha D D^{\prime}+\beta D^{\prime 2}\right)$
$\hat{D}=\frac{L_{\text {cell }}^{2}}{\rho} \cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\psi_{\text {cell }}}{2}\right)\right) / \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\psi_{\text {cell }}}{2}\right)$
There are two different possibilities:

1) Changing of the cell length
$\rightarrow$ Larger emittance: increase cell length
$\rightarrow 2 \times \mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}, 3 \times \mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}, 4 \times \mathrm{L}_{\text {cell, }}, \ldots$

- Recabeling of quadrupoles necessary
- Dispersion suppressors need to be adjusted


## 1) Changing of the cell length



## Changing the emittance for lower energies

$\varepsilon=\left(\frac{\delta p}{p}\right)^{2}\left(\gamma D^{2}+2 \alpha D D^{\prime}+\beta D^{\prime 2}\right)$
$\hat{D}=\frac{L_{\text {cell }}^{2}}{\rho} \cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \sin \left(\frac{\psi_{\text {cell }}}{2}\right)\right) / \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\psi_{\text {cell }}}{2}\right)$


There are two different possibilities:
Court. B. Holzer
2) Change the phase advance $\Psi$ of the FODO cell

+ No recabeling of hardware necessary
- Dispersion suppressors need to be adjusted
- Sextupole scheme? $\quad \rightarrow 45^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 72^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, \ldots$
- Only within certain limits possible: $40^{\circ}<\Psi<135^{\circ}$


## Feasible Lattice Changes

## 80 GeV beam energy:

| Cell length $\mathbf{L}$ | Phase advance $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ | Emittance $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{x}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Baseline parameter: |  | $2 \times$ |
| 50 m | $45^{\circ}$ | 1.65 nm |
| 100 m | $90^{\circ}$ | 1.50 nm (Teng) |

45.5 GeV beam energy:

| Cell length L | Phase advance $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ | Emittance $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{x}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Baseline parameter: |  | $2 \times$ |
| 200 m | $60^{\circ}$ | 14.60 nm |
| 250 m | $72^{\circ}$ | 13.56 nm (Teng) |
| 300 m | $90^{\circ}$ | 15.91 nm (Teng) |

## Objectives

- Introduce dispersion suppressors based on quadrupoles
$\rightarrow$ Geometry must stay the same
- Beta functions in dispersion suppressor and matching sections should stay in the same order of magnitude as in the arcs
- Keep straight sections the same
$\rightarrow$ Space is limited
$\rightarrow$ No change of optics for injection, IR, etc. necessary


## Lattices for 80 GeV

175 GeV and $120 \mathrm{GeV}: \mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}=50 \mathrm{~m}, \Psi=90^{\circ} / 60^{\circ}$


## Half-bend dispersion suppressor

$80 \mathrm{GeV}: \mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}=50 \mathrm{~m}, \Psi=45^{\circ} / 45^{\circ}$


Dispersion suppressor based on quadrupoles
$80 \mathrm{GeV}: \mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}=100 \mathrm{~m}, \Psi=90^{\circ} / 60^{\circ}$


- Arc cells
- Dispersion Suppressor
$\square$ Straight matching section (with RF)
$\square$ Straight cells (with RF)


## $80 \mathrm{GeV}:$ 1) $\Psi=45^{\circ}$



## Using 4 additional quadrupoles instead of 2 reduces the betafunction in the dispersion suppressor.

## $80 \mathrm{GeV}:$ 1) $\Psi=45^{\circ}$



FCC-ee baseline parameter:
Analytical calculation:
MADX Emit:

$0.5 \times \varepsilon_{x}=1.65 \mathrm{~nm}$
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=1.50 \mathrm{~nm}$
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=1.47 \mathrm{~nm}$

## $80 \mathrm{GeV}:$ 2) 100 m cell length



## $80 \mathrm{GeV}:$ 2) 100 m cell length



FCC-ee baseline parameter: Analytical calculation:

MADX Emit:

$0.5 \times \varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=1.65 \mathrm{~nm}$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=1.74 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=1.70 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

## Lattices for 45.5 Gev

175 GeV and $120 \mathrm{GeV}: \mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}=50 \mathrm{~m}, \Psi=90^{\circ} / 60^{\circ}$

45.5 GeV: $\mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}=200 \mathrm{~m}, \Psi=60^{\circ} / 60^{\circ}$

Dispersion suppressor based on quadrupoles
45.5 GeV: $\mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}=250 \mathrm{~m}, \Psi=72^{\circ} / 72^{\circ}$

45.5 GeV: $\mathrm{L}_{\text {cell }}=300 \mathrm{~m}, \Psi=90^{\circ} / 60^{\circ}$

### 45.5 GeV: 200 m cell length



FCC-ee baseline parameter: Analytical calculation:

MADX Emit:

$0.5 \times \varepsilon_{x}=14.6 \mathrm{~nm}$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=13.6 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=12.5 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

### 45.5 GeV: 250 m cell length



FCC-ee baseline parameter: Analytical calculation:
MADX Emit:

$0.5 \times \varepsilon_{x}=14.6 \mathrm{~nm}$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=15.9 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=14.5 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

### 45.5 GeV: 300 m cell length



FCC-ee baseline parameter: Analytical calculation:

MADX Emit:

$0.5 \times \varepsilon_{x}=14.6 \mathrm{~nm}$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=15.2 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}}=14.2 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

## Next steps

1. Introduction of misalignments and coupling
$\rightarrow$ Which lattice is most stable?
$\rightarrow$ How much does horizontal emittance increase?
$\rightarrow$ Calculation of the distorted orbit and vertical emittance
2. Which software is reliable for those calculations?
3. State-of-the-art chromaticity correction scheme
4. Investigate light source lattices for colliders

## Résumé I

- The baseline parameter provide a variety of challenges for the lattice design
$\rightarrow$ A lattice with highest flexibility is needed
- To achieve the emittance baseline parameters the lattice has to be modified
- Two possible alternatives:
$\rightarrow$ Changing of the cell length
$\rightarrow$ Changing of the phase advance


## Résumé II

- Different beam optics were determined to obtain the required emittances for all 4 energies.
- For 175 GeV and 120 GeV beam energy the same lattice can be used
- For 80 GeV and 45.5 GeV several lattices with different cell length and phase advance were implemented
- This is just the very first design!
$\rightarrow$ Misalignment studies, chromaticity correction scheme



## Thank you for your attention!
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## Energy sawtooth



12 RF sections


4 RF sections

Energy loss per turn: $\quad \mathrm{U}_{0}=7.72 \mathrm{GeV}$

## 80 GeV : important parameters

| Cell length in arc (m) | 50 | 100 | Baseline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase advance in arc cell | $45^{\circ} / 45^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ} / 60^{\circ}$ | parameter |
| Horizontal emittance ( nm ) | 1.47 | 1.70 | $2 \times 1.65$ |
| Energy loss per turn (MeV) | 337.03 | 337.03 | 330 |
| Momentum compaction (10-5) | 1.99 | 2.22 | 2 |
| Max. hor. beta function in arc (m) | 141.47 | 181.54 | - |
| Max. vert. beta function in arc (m) | 141.68 | 211.05 | - |
| Max. dispersion in arc (m) | 0.41 | 0.58 |  |

### 45.5 GeV: important parameters

| Cell length in arc (m) | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ <br> Phase advance in arc cell <br> $\mathbf{6 0} / 60^{\circ}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{7 2} / 72^{\circ}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{9 0} / 60^{\circ}$ | Baseline <br> parameter |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horizontal emittance (nm) | 12.5 | 14.5 | 14.2 | $2 \times 14.6$ |
| Energy loss per turn (MeV) | 35.3 | 35.3 | 35.3 | 30 |
| Momentum compaction (10-4) | 1.69 | 1.86 | 1.81 | 1.8 |
| Max. hor. beta function in arc (m) | 366.51 | 465.71 | 554.00 | - |
| Max. vert. beta function in arc (m) | 407.92 | 477.70 | 626.43 | - |
| Max. dispersion in arc (m) | 4.02 | 4.87 | 4.56 | - |
| RMS beam size $\sigma_{x}$ in arc* $^{*}(\mathrm{~mm})$ | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.8 | - |

* $\sigma_{x}=\sqrt{\varepsilon_{x} \beta_{x}}$

