

Source Term Dispersion Analysis and Construction of the Risk Map around the Peach Bottom Unit-2 Plant Using the ASTEC and JRODOS codes

Onur Murat, Fabrizio Gabrielli, Victor Hugo Sanchez Espinoza

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute for Neutron Physics and Reactor Technology, Hermann-von-Helmholtz Platz 1, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen

Institute for Neutron Physics and Reactor Technology



KIT - The Research University in the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Content

- Peach Bottom Unit-2 NPP
- CASMO Model of the Fuel Assembly
- ASTEC Model of the Plant
- Selected Transient : ST-SBO and Results
- ST-SBO Radiological Impact with JRODOS
- Extension of the Statistical Analysis to Risk Map
- Conclusion and Outlook

Peach Bottom Unit-2 Nuclear Power Plant





- The Plant is located on East Coast of the U.S.
- General Electric BWR4 Mark-1 Design.
- Operational licence received in 1973.
 - First Authorized Power level 3293 MWth
 - Power upgrade (1994) 3458 MWth
 - Power upgrade (2014) 3951 MWth
 - Power upgrade (2017) 4016 MWth
- Reference study** by U.S.NRC states GE14 10x10 was used for the analysis of the Peach Bottom (in 2013).
- In portfolio of Global Nuclear Company GE14 10x10 is still most recent 10x10 assembly for BWRs.

**Bixler, N., Gauntt, R., Jones, J., Leonard, M., State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analysis Project, Volume 1 Peach Bottom Integrated Analysis, NUREG/CR-7110, 2013





CASMO Model of the GE14 10x10 Fuel Assembly

Computational Tool: CASMO

- Advanced lattice physics code for light water reactors.
- Multigroup, two dimensional transport code for the burnup analysis.
- Symmetric and anti-symmetric layout of the light water reactor fuel assemblies can be handled.
- The code has flexibility for storage pool and transportation cask criticality analysis as well.





Studsvik



Height (cm)

-368.0

338.6

-220.8

— 15.2 — 0.0 bottom core ENRESA-8

ENRESA-2 ENRESA-1

25

20

15

10

Vanishing part

Dominant part

CASMO Model of the GE14 10x10

- *Between July 2000 28 May 2005 one GE14 10x10 fuel assembly was burned in Forsmark 3 NPP.
 - 1792 Days (from 1st of July)
 - 5 Cycles (Beginning of Cycle $16 \rightarrow$ end of cycle 20)
 - Rod avg. Burnup 41 MWd/kgU
 - Peak Burnup 56 MWd/kgU
- Extracted one fuel rod from the assembly and samples from the rod investigated (ENRESA samples)
- Based on the known informations of the ENRESA samples, and GE14 10x10 design CASMO input deck was constructed.
 - Assumptions have been made on the void fraction profile, specific power of the assembly and burning period.









ASTEC Model of Peach Bottom Unit-2 with GE14 10x10 BWR Fuel Assembly and Jet Pump

Computational Tool: ASTEC

- Accident Source Term Evaluation Code (ASTEC)
- European reference severe accident analysis tool.
- Mechanistic approach of the code allows modular structure of different zones of the plant.
- Starting from the reactor scram up to fission product release to the environment can be handled with the code.





ASTEC Model of the Peach Bottom Unit-2 Updated Core



ASTEC Model of the Peach Bottom Unit-2 Updated RPV



ASTEC Model of the Peach Bottom Unit-2 Containment





Selected Transient : Short Term Station Blackout and Results



Sequence of Events PB2 ASTEC Model ST-SBO Scenario





Elements Inventory (kg) Primary Containment Environment Kr 68.60 0.105 0.499 0.264 Xe 1025.79 0.105 0.499 0.264 0.172 0.025 36.66 0.664 Sb 2.81 0.115 0.572 5.38E-4 Te 93.41 0.141 0.449 3.95E-4 7.30 0.206 0.657 5.53E-4 Ag 6.77E-4 Cs 556.56 0.185 0.698 Rh 79.54 9.85E-5 2.02E-4 1.66E-8 Mo 644.51 0.096 0.402 2.78E-4 Ba 290.09 0.230 0.323 8.08E-5 Sr 0.152 0.029 166.72 6.59E-6 Y 87.65 9.72E-5 1.98E-5 6.21E-8 Nb 3.80 0.0 0.0 0.0 Ru 479.17 0.088 0.160 1.98E-5 Ce 502.68 0.128 0.115 2.71E-5 La 236.88 0.067 0.031 7.09E-6 Eu 27.98 0.049 0.021 4.24E-6

Fission Product Inventory and Retention Fractions

At the end of the simulation released activity to the environment = 2.190E+18 Bq

Fukushima = $\sim 6.3E+17$ Bq Chernobyl = $\sim 14E+18$ Bq

Source term for JRODOS







Computational Tool: JRODOS

- Java Based Realtime Online Decision Support (JRODOS) System.
- System provides the necessary information to take action to protect society and the environment.
- Starting from the fission product release and exposing the public in possible transport paths for short term and longer term effects can be assessed.
- Regional, national and international emergency management decision can be build by joint efforts.



RODOS Realtime Online DecisiOn Support system



JRODOS Dispersion Model (ST-SBO) 1/2



- Mesh construction around the plant for radius of 400 km.
- 24 hours calculation.
- No emergency countermeasures taken into account.
- Source term taken from ASTEC simulation environment release results.



- Most populated cities around:
 - New York City : meshes 5554, 5606, 5658, 5607, 5771
 - Philadelphia : meshes 3209, 3240, 3241
 - Baltimore : mesh 2594
 - Washington DC : mesh 2064, 2065

JRODOS Dispersion Model (ST-SBO) 2/2



WHEN is the correct time for a simulation?



- Same source term for the examples.
- Results are highly disperse.
- There is no correct time to consider among the interested meshes.
- How to proceed?





Statistic Sampling of Source Term with JRODOS 1/3



- Making large set of simulation instead of one.
- Multiple runs of 24 hours analysis carried out:
 - **3** years (01.01.2019 31.12.2021) = 1095 simulations
- Starting time for the analysis of each day is selected randomly.
- The highest dose rate was recorded:
 - Cell = 2594 (Baltimore)
 - Value = 67.89 mSv/h
 - Release Start Date = 13.12.2019_20:41





Statistic Sampling of Source Term with JRODOS 3/3



24h calculation each day for 3 Years (01.01.2019-31.12.2021) Highest dose rate was recorded: Cell = 2594 (Baltimore) Value = 67.89 mSv/h Release Start Date = 13.12.2019 20:41





Randomness over Statistical Results of JRODOS 1/2



- One day simulations for each 28 days of the month.
- Randomly selected the starting point of the release.
- Only one mesh is considered to keep the analysis simple.

- Depending on the random selection, maximum recorded dose rates can vary more than 100%.
- The one can not conclude a possible consequences without considering all possible scenarios.

Randomness over Statistical Results of JRODOS 2/2

WHAT DO WE HAVE?

- Statistical analysis over the 3 years.
- Random selected starting time for each day.
 - Statistical analysis needs to be enlarged.

HOW TO EXPAND THE DATABASE?

- Resolution of the JRODOS is a minute.
 - One day = 1440 minutes
 - There are 1440 possible starting points in a day.
- Implementing every possible starting point is highly expensive in terms of cpu time.
 - 3 years of statistical analysis run takes about 24 hours.
 - Each day 1 starting point.
 - Considering every possible starting point requires 1440 times of repeating one day simulations.
 - 24 hours x 1440
 [≅] 4 years of cpu time
 - Cases which considers longer duration of releases would take longer cpu time.

Central Limit Theorem Application

■ 24 hours x 1440 ≅ 4 years of cpu times is expensive in terms of resource and time and deals with very large amount of data.

How to estimate an information about a large dataset by using smaller sizes of samples?

Central Limit Theorem

- Collect samples from the population → combine the informations from samples to draw conclusion about the population.
- Sample mean distributions of any population (regardless of its shape) will approximate to normal distribution.
- Estimation of the average value of large dataset by using smaller samples.
- If the sampling is large enough:
 - Mean of the sampling distribution = population mean

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

- Year 2021 was selected.
- Each season seperately considered.
 - Winter, Spring, Summer and Autumn have distinctive weather conditions.
 - 500 groups each contains 30 sample simulation for each season.

- 15.000 simulation for each season \rightarrow 60.000 simulations for a year.
- Assumed to be perfect normal distribution which means:

Mean of the average sampling distribution

Mean of the population distribution

• For each mesh same assumption followed and mean value recorded to create the map.

Peach Bottom NPP Risk Map 1/2

- Winter season has largest span and branches of calculated average total gamma dose rates.
 - Having the largest spread will reduce the average value, but it will require a larger area to be covered in terms of evacuation.
- All major cities: NY, Washington DC, Baltimore and Philadelphia are in the range of the calculated average total gamma dose rates.
- Tendency of the dispersion mostly to West and South-West. Dispersion towards to East is quite limited compare to other directions.

Peach Bottom NPP Risk Map 2/2

- Summer season has largest area of exceeded dose limit due to weather conditions (Up to 38 km from the plant in North direction).
 - Based on the current results highest risk in terms of public safety having a dispersion in Summer season.
- All major cities NY, Washington DC, Baltimore and Philadelphia are in the range of the calculated average total gamma dose rates.
- There is a tendency of the dispersion to the West and South-West considering all seasons.
 - Geographic obstacles and based wind regime directs the overall trend.

- More information in one picture than simple dispersion map → Frequency, dose rate, regime, range etc.
- Considering sampling mean distribution and central limit theorem helps to achieve the results much faster.
 - 25 days of cpu time << 4 years of cpu time
- Counter measures and evacuation actions will be taken in action to extent the analysis.
 - Can be major pillar to support emergency planning.
- Smaller mesh grid and increased number of the simulation will be performed to achieve better normal distribution approximation over the all the meshes.
- Approach can be applied new smaller NPP design since the sitings might be closer to the settlements.
- Experince of KIT on performing AI implementation of weather forecasting can improve speed and analysis scope.

Thank You for Your Attention

Onur Murat onur.murat@kit.edu Dr. –Ing. Fabrizio Gabrielli fabrizio.gabrielli@kit.edu

Dr. –Ing. Victor Hugo Sanchez Espinoza victor.sanchez@kit.edu