

Article **Development of Flexible and Partly Water-Soluble Binder Systems for Metal Fused Filament Fabrication (MF³) of Ti-6Al-4V Parts**

Ralf Eickhoff 1,*, Steffen Antusch ¹ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2254-4511) Dorit Nötzel ¹ , Marcel Probst ¹ and Thomas Hanemann 1,[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8641-2094)

- 1 Institute for Applied Materials, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany; steffen.antusch@kit.edu (S.A.); dorit.noetzel@kit.edu (D.N.); marcel.probst@student.kit.edu (M.P.); thomas.hanemann@kit.edu or thomas.hanemann@imtek.uni-freiburg.de (T.H.)
- ² Department of Microsystems Engineering, University Freiburg, Georges-Koehler-Allee 102, 79110 Freiburg, Germany
- ***** Correspondence: ralf.eickhoff@kit.edu; Tel.: +49-721-608-22586

Abstract: Metal Fused Filament Fabrication provides a simple and cost-efficient way to produce dense metal parts with a homogenous microstructure. However, current limitations include the use of hazardous and expensive organic solvents during debinding for flexible filaments the stiffness of filaments made from partly water-soluble binder systems. In this study, the influence of various additives on different partly water-soluble binder systems, with regard to the flexibility and properties of the final parts, was investigated. Furthermore, a method using dynamic mechanical analysis to quantify the flexibility of filaments was introduced and successfully applied. For the first time, it was possible to produce flexible, partly water-soluble filaments with 60 vol.% solid content, which allowed the 3D printing of complex small and large parts with a high level of detail. After sintering, density values of up to 98.9% of theoretical density were achieved, which is significantly higher than those obtained with existing binder systems.

Keywords: 3D printing; additive manufacturing; FFF; FDM; MF³ ; MEX; titanium alloys; Ti6Al4V; water-soluble binder; flexible filaments

1. Introduction

Additive manufacturing of metals is dominated by Selective Laser Melting (SLM) and Electron Beam Melting (EBM) [\[1](#page-18-0)[–4\]](#page-18-1). In recent years, Metal Fused Filament Fabrication $(MF³)$, also known as material extrusion (MEX) or Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF), has established itself as a potential substitute, mainly due to its accessibility and low overall costs. Especially for small production volumes, $MF³$ offers considerable advantages due to its low investment costs and, consequently, low costs per part [\[5\]](#page-18-2). Additive manufacturing of medical implants is a growing field [\[6\]](#page-18-3). The fabrication of implants adapted to the patient at low costs can improve their medical treatment and therefore, improve the patient's quality of life. Nevertheless, there are still obstacles that need to be addressed. For instance, very large and thin parts, in combination with support structures, can present challenges [\[7\]](#page-18-4). Another advantage of MEX is the wide range of materials that can be processed. Apart from the metals mentioned, the production of ceramic parts using FFF has also come into focus in recent years. There are several reports of printed structural ceramics [\[8–](#page-18-5)[11\]](#page-18-6). There are also reports of printed functional ceramics for cathodes of lithium-ion batteries [\[12,](#page-18-7)[13\]](#page-18-8) and for entire solid-state batteries [\[14\]](#page-18-9). Another field of interest is the combined printing of metals and ceramics to enhance the properties of the final parts [\[15\]](#page-18-10). However, co-sintering poses a challenge due to differing coefficients of thermal expansion of each material therefore, it requires further investigation [\[15\]](#page-18-10).

Citation: Eickhoff, R.; Antusch, S.; Nötzel, D.; Probst, M.; Hanemann, T. Development of Flexible and Partly Water-Soluble Binder Systems for Metal Fused Filament Fabrication (MF³) of Ti-6Al-4V Parts. *Polymers* **2024**, *16*, 2548. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16172548) [10.3390/polym16172548](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym16172548)

Academic Editor: H. Jerry Qi

Received: 8 August 2024 Revised: 23 August 2024 Accepted: 4 September 2024 Published: 9 September 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

To obtain dense and defect-free parts, production must follow a process chain derived from powder injection molding $[16–18]$ $[16–18]$. It can be divided into five steps: (1) raw material characterization, selection, and compounding; (2) extrusion of filaments; (3) printing of components using MEX; (4) debinding; and (5) heat treatment. First, the metal powders and polymers are characterized, including powder particle size distribution, density, particle morphology, specific surface area, differential scanning calorimetry, and thermogravimetric analysis. Then, suitable combinations of metallic powders and polymeric binders must be selected. The binder usually consists of a high-viscosity backbone polymer, a soluble and low molecular weight base polymer, and various additives. The proportion of each component depends on the desired rheological properties of the later-produced filaments. The metal powder and the polymeric binder are compounded into a homogeneous feedstock, which generally contains a solid content of around 60 vol.%. The produced feedstock is then pelletized and extruded into a filament, which is then wound onto a spool. 3D printing can be conducted on cost-effective, commercial 3D MEX printers. Some modifications are made to improve print quality, for instance, a new extruder for a better grip of the filament [\[11](#page-18-6)[,19,](#page-19-1)[20\]](#page-19-2), or an alternative heating bed surface to prevent warping [\[21\]](#page-19-3). After shaping, the binder must be removed. This can be accomplished in three ways: thermal debinding, combined solvent and thermal debinding, and catalytic debinding. Thermal debinding can cause defects and take more time [\[22](#page-19-4)[,23\]](#page-19-5), catalytic debinding is limited to select filler materials and binders [\[23\]](#page-19-5). Therefore, the combined solvent and thermal debinding process have been established as an alternative. For the first step, the use of organic solvents is common due to the frequent use of non-polar base polymers [\[24](#page-19-6)[–27\]](#page-19-7). Partly water-soluble binder systems, which represent a more economical, more sustainable, and less hazardous approach by using water as a solvent, are almost exclusively found in powder injection molding [\[28](#page-19-8)[–30\]](#page-19-9). Only one study was found where partially water-soluble binder systems were used for FFF. However, the filaments did not show a flexible behavior [\[31\]](#page-19-10). To the best of our knowledge, the fabrication of metal or ceramic parts via MEX with flexible, partly water-soluble filaments, has not been published. A final sintering step in a vacuum or argon atmosphere densifies the metal and controls its structure and mechanical properties. Titanium shows a high affinity for oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon, which leads to the formation of oxides, nitrides, and carbides and in return, increased tensile strength but decreased elongation [\[32\]](#page-19-11). Binder systems with a high oxygen content in particular can cause problems [\[31\]](#page-19-10). However, contamination during the process chain is generally low and remains below the standards for medical implants [\[31](#page-19-10)[,33\]](#page-19-12).

This study aims to develop flexible and partly water-soluble binder systems that enable good usability and allow the manufacturing of defect-free titanium parts with a high level of detail.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Material Selection

For potential healthcare applications such as implants, the present work uses a Ti-6Al-4V alloy powder (Grade 23, Tekna Advanced Materials Inc., Sherbrooke, QC, Canada). Due to the demanding mechanical properties of surgical implants, this grade was selected because of its low carbon and oxygen content, which is important to meet the standard for Ti-6Al-4V surgical implants (ASTM F2885-17 [\[34\]](#page-19-13)). The specifications were derived from surgical implants produced by metal injection molding (MIM). To predict and control the rheological properties of the feedstocks, the particle density via Helium pycnometry (AccuPyc II 1340, Micromeritics Instr. Corp., Norcross, GA, USA), the specific surface area via the BET method (Gemini VII 2390, Micromeritics Instr. Corp., Norcross, GA, USA), and the particle size distribution via laser diffraction (LA-950 Horiba Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) were measured.

Thermoplastic binder systems consist of at least three components, including one or two high-viscosity backbone polymers, a low molecular weight base polymer, and an additive that serves as a plasticizer and a surfactant. In this work, three different polar binder systems, consisting of water-soluble polyethylene glycol (PEG 8000 and PEG 20,000, C. Roth GmbH Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany), and the backbone polymers poly(vinyl butyral) (PVB Mowital 30H, Kuraray Europe GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany) and poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA Degalan G7E, Roehm GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany), were investigated. In addition, the influence of three plasticizers on each composition was researched. Stearic acid (SA, C. Roth GmbH Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany) is a common additive in powder injection molding, while the two shorter fatty acids, capric acid (CA, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and lauric acid (LA, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), showed potential for improving the flexibility of the feedstocks in preliminary tests. The plasticizer PAT-77/P (E. & P. Wuertz GmbH & Co. KG, Bingen, Germany), which is commercially available for metal feedstocks, was recommended by the vendor. The plasticizer concentration was calculated as a percentage by weight of the total binder and subtracted from the base polymer PEG. According to previous studies [\[31\]](#page-19-10), the mixture of PMMA and stearic acid as well as PVB and PAT-77/P yielded less convincing results due to binder separation. These combinations have therefore been omitted. All investigated binder compositions are described in Table [1.](#page-2-0) The exact compositions of the feedstocks are shown in the respective sections. In addition to the manufacturer's specifications, the thermal behavior of the polymers and additives was characterized by TGA-DSC measurements (Netzsch STA 449 F3 Jupiter, Netzsch GmbH & Co. KG, Selb, Germany). The density was measured using the same device as the metal powder.

Table 1. Overview of the investigated binder compositions.

2.2. Compounding and Extrusion

Compounding the feedstocks was performed on a torque recording mixer-kneader (W50-EHT, Brabender GmbH, Duisburg, Germany) for one hour at a blade rotating speed of 30 rpm. This corresponds to a shear rate between 2 and 36 s⁻¹ [\[35\]](#page-19-14). Through the different glass transition temperatures of the backbone polymers, the compounding temperature varied between 110 ◦C (PVB/PEG), 160 ◦C (PMMA/PVB/PEG), and 170 ◦C (PMMA/PEG). Due to inhomogeneities observed in previous studies [\[36\]](#page-19-15), smaller PMMA granules and a higher rotational speed of 60 rpm were used for some feedstocks. The smaller PMMA granules were produced by extrusion with a capillary rheometer (Rheograph 25, Goettfert Werkstoff-Pruefmaschinen GmbH, Buchen, Germany) and subsequent pelletizing with an impact mill (Granulator 1514, Rapid Germany, Kleinostheim, Germany). The use of the smaller PMMA granules is detailed in the respective section. The feedstocks were then extruded into filaments with a diameter of 2.85 mm by a single screw filament extruder (Noztek pro HT, Noztek, Shoreham, UK). The corresponding extrusion temperature for each feedstock is outlined found in the respective section. If the feedstocks showed sufficient flexible behavior, the filaments were wound onto a spool with a diameter of 20 cm using a winder (Noztek Filament Winder 1.0, Noztek, Shoreham, UK). Otherwise, the filaments were extruded onto a metal cooling track and cut off at 50 cm.

2.3. Rheological Characterization

The rheological properties of the feedstocks were investigated using two methods. On the one hand, the shear rate-dependent flow behavior of the feedstocks was characterized using a high-pressure capillary rheometer (Rheograph 25, Goettfert Werkstoff-Pruefmaschinen GmbH, Buchen, Germany). The following parameters were applied:

- Temperature: 160 °C,
- Capillary length and diameter: 30 and 1 mm,
- Shear rate range: 1 to 3000 s^{-1} .

On the other hand, to characterize the flexibility of the filaments, the temperaturedependent viscoelastic behavior was measured by oscillatory measurements in the temperature sweep (TS) mode by a dynamic mechanical analyzer (DMA 242 E Artemis, Netzsch GmbH & Co. KG, Selb, Germany) equipped with a dual cantilever sample holder $(2 \times 16$ mm free bending length). The following parameters were utilized:

- Temperature: 20–50 ◦C,
- Frequency: 0.5 Hz,
- Amplitude: 50 µm,
- Pre-force: 0.1 N.

The temperature range and a low frequency of 0.5 Hz were selected to simulate the handling of the filament by a human operator.

2.4. Fused Filament Fabrication

A commercial, but modified MEX printer (x350pro, German RepRap, Feldkirchen, Germany) was used for printing. Instead of the original extruder, an E3D Titan Extruder and E3D V6 Hotend 2.85 mm filaments were installed (E3D-Online Ltd., Oxfordshire, UK). In addition, the print bed was covered with PE-coated spring steel to ensure enhanced adhesion of the parts during printing. For a higher level of detail in the printed parts, a more powerful surface ventilation was installed. Slicing was performed with an Ultimaker Cura (V 5.4.0). Ten cubic samples of each feedstock were produced for subsequent characterization. The dimensions are described in [\[21\]](#page-19-3). All samples were printed with a layer height of 0.1 mm, a rectilinear pattern, and an infill angle offset of 90° per layer. As different researchers have assessed, gaps between the extruded round lines can decrease the density of the printed parts [\[37](#page-19-16)[–40\]](#page-19-17). Therefore, the infill density was increased to 105% and the infill overlap was set to 0.2 mm. Additional parameters including temperature or print speed are detailed in their respective sections.

2.5. Debinding and Sintering

A two-step debinding procedure was conducted to reduce thermal debinding time and debinding-related defects. First, the PEG was removed in water for 24 h and at 20 $^{\circ}$ C (PVB/PEG), 30 °C (PMMA/PVB/PEG), or 40 °C (PMMA/PEG) to create open pores. As warm water can induce cracks within the printed parts, water temperature was lowered for PVB-based feedstocks [\[41\]](#page-19-18). Then, the parts were dried overnight in a vacuum drying oven at 40 ◦C to remove the residual water. Thermal debinding was conducted before sintering in a metal sinter furnace (MUT Advanced Heating GmbH, Jena, Germany) using a heating rate of 1.5 C/min and a maximum debinding temperature of 550 C . Sintering was subsequently conducted with a heating rate of $5\degree C/\text{min}$ and a maximum temperature of 1350 ◦C. Both heat treatments were performed under an argon atmosphere to avoid contamination with oxygen and nitrogen.

2.6. Characterization of Sintered Samples

The printed and sintered samples were characterized using the Archimedes principle (Secura 225D-1S equipped with YDK 01, Sartorius Lab Instruments GmbH & Co., KG, Göttingen, Germany). For relative density calculations, the pycnometer density of the Ti-6Al-4V powder was used as a basis. CT images for pore distribution and defect analysis were conducted on a GE phoenix v $|\text{tom}| \times s240$ (General Electric, Boston, MA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Materials Characterization

To achieve high densities of the printed parts during sintering, a high solid loading of the metal powder in the feedstocks is necessary. However, this leads to increased viscosity, which can prevent the filling of the voids between the extruded round lines during printing. Therefore, a solid load of 60 vol.% was selected for all feedstock systems to reconcile both objectives. Table [2](#page-4-0) provides an overview of the measured particle properties. The powder showed a narrow monomodal particle size distribution with an average particle size of approximately 28.6 μ m. In addition, a density of 4.4 g/cm³ and a specific surface area of $0.15 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ (SSA) was measured. Furthermore, a spherical morphology of the particle was observed, which results in favorable flow properties.

Table 2. Particle properties.

Knowledge of the density and thermal properties of the polymers used is essential to correctly establish the composition of the feedstocks and the parameters of the compounding process. Furthermore, these characteristics play an important role during printing and thermal debinding. Therefore, all used components were characterized by TGA-DSC measurements. Table [3](#page-4-1) lists the measured values for density, softening temperature, and decomposition temperature. The latter were derived from the TGA-DSC measurements. The list also contains the average molecular weight of the polymers as it is an important parameter for the rheological properties and thus for the subsequent feedstocks.

Table 3. Properties of the used binder components $(T_S:$ softening temperature, $T_D:$ decomposition temperature).

^V: Vendor's data sheet.

3.2. PVB/PEG

As mentioned in previous studies [\[31\]](#page-19-10), PVB/PEG-binder systems are used in injection molding and FFF, especially in combination with ceramic fillers. To date, there are no reports on flexible filaments with this binder system. Therefore, three different additives with varied contents were used to achieve flexible filaments with high usability and strong properties of the sintered parts. The ratio of 50 vol.% of the backbone polymer PVB to 50 vol.% of the base polymer PEG 20,000 remained the same for all feedstocks.

3.2.1. Compounding and Extrusion

In general, the compounding curve can be divided into three phases: the filling phase, mixing phase, and equilibrium phase [\[42\]](#page-19-19). Figure [1a](#page-5-0) shows the torque curves for four different capric acid contents. The overall compounding behavior was the same for all additive proportions and this corresponded to the already described theory. A short mixing phase was followed by a long equilibrium phase of approximately 50 min with an almost

constant torque, which indicates a homogeneous feedstock [\[43\]](#page-19-20). As expected, the torque decreased with increasing additive content. This is due to the short chain length of the additive, which lowers entanglement between the polymer chains. It also acts as a lubricant between the polymer chains, reducing inner friction and allowing the chains to glide. Besides the additive content, the additive type also has an influence on the compounding curve (Figure [1b](#page-5-0)). While feedstocks with lauric acid and stearic acid exhibited nearly the same behavior, the addition of capric acid increased the torque slightly.

Figure 1. Compounding of PVB/PEG-based binder (110 °C) with different compositions: (**a**) **Figure 1.** Compounding of PVB/PEG-based binder (110 ◦C) with different compositions: (**a**) variation variation of capric acid content and (**b**) variation of the fatty acids with 10 wt.% additive. of capric acid content and (**b**) variation of the fatty acids with 10 wt.% additive.

After compounding, the feedstocks were extruded using the Noztek filament equipped with a 2.8 mm nozzle. The extrusion temperatures are listed in Table [4.](#page-5-1) All equipped with a 2.8 mm nozzle. The extractor temperatures are listed in Table 1. This filament diameters were close to 2.9 mm and thus, they were suitable for the modified x350pro printer. From the produced filaments, only the feedstocks with 10 and 20 wt.% $\frac{1}{2}$ models and $\frac{30}{1}$ with $\frac{9}{2}$ lawses and we feed the produced filaments, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2}$ lawses and we feed the product of the product of the product of t 20 wt.% capric acid and 20 wt.% lauric acid was flexible enough to be wound onto a capric acid and 20 wt.% lauric acid was flexible enough to be wound onto a spool. After compounding, the feedstocks were extruded using the Noztek filament extruder,

Table 4. Extrusion temperatures of the used feedstocks (in °C). The windable filaments are marked.

3.2.2. Rheological Characterization

Capillary Rheology

To assess and compare the feedstocks, knowledge about the rheological behavior as a function of the composition is crucial. Due to the shear-thinning behavior of the filled polymers, the viscosity is dependent on the shear rate. According to Beran et al. [\[44\]](#page-19-21), the shear rate in the nozzle can be calculated and is influenced by the layer height, the printing speed, and the inner diameter of the nozzle. The selected printing parameters (layer height 0.1 mm, printing speed 8 mm/s, and inner nozzle diameter 0.4 mm) resulted in a shear rate of 40 s⁻¹. In comparison to other shaping methods like injection molding, this value is comparatively small. Figure [2a](#page-6-0) shows the shear rate-dependent viscosities of the feedstocks with different capric acid contents. As observed during the compounding process, the viscosity dropped with increasing additive content due to less entanglement and the effect of a lubricant. Differences in viscosity between the three additives were small but can be seen in Figure [2b](#page-6-0). Furthermore, a slight separation of PEG and PVB could be observed in feedstocks with less than 10 wt.% capric acid and lauric acid as well as in all feedstocks with stearic acid.

Figure 2. Capillary rheology of PVB/PEG based binder at 160 ℃ with different compositions; Variation of capric acid content and (**b**) variation of the fatty acids with 10 wt.% plasticizers. (**a**) Variation of capric acid content and (**b**) variation of the fatty acids with 10 wt.% plasticizers.

DMA DMA

To determine the flexibility of the filaments at room temperature, temperature were carried out in a range from 20 to 50 ◦C. Figure [3a](#page-6-1) shows the storage modulus as a function of the capric acid content. As expected, the storage modulus decreased with increasing temperature due to the higher polymer chain movement. Furthermore, the influence of the additive can be seen. While the rigid filaments with 5 and 7.5 wt.% capric acid had high values of around 1400 MPa at 25 °C, the windable filaments with 10 wt.% and more additive content were below 500 MPa. At higher temperatures of around 50 °C, the softening of PVB and PEG dominated the viscoelastic behavior. As a result, the properties of the feedstocks converged. The influence of 10 wt.% lauric and stearic acid on the storage modulus compared to capric acid can be seen in Figure [3b](#page-6-1). At 25 °C, the filaments with 10 wt.% lauric and stearic acid content had slightly higher values of around 650 to 700 MPa than the filaments with capric acid. This behavior is likely a result of the smaller size of capric acid, which can act as a lubricant between the polymer chains even at low temperatures. Since these two filaments could not be wound onto a spool, it was apparent that a value below 500 MPa at 25 °C is required for sufficient flexibility. For even better handling, the storage modulus should have a value of around 400 MPa at 25 °C. To determine the flexibility of the filaments at room temperature, temperature sweeps

Figure 3. Temperature sweeps of PVB/PEG-based binder with different compositions: (**a**) variation **Figure 3.** Temperature sweeps of PVB/PEG-based binder with different compositions: (**a**) variation of capric acid content and (**b**) variation of the fatty acids with 10 wt.% plasticizers. of capric acid content and (**b**) variation of the fatty acids with 10 wt.% plasticizers.

3.2.3. Fused Filament Fabrication

As shown in the previous section, almost all filaments were too rigid to be wound onto a spool. Therefore, the filament rods were fed into the extruder one by one. An exception included the two feedstocks with 20 wt.% capric and lauric acid. Although these filaments presented good flexibility, they were too soft and consequently were ground by the gear of the extruder. For this reason, no samples could be printed from these two feedstocks. The same problem was observed to a lesser extent with the filaments containing $10 \text{ wt.}\%$ capric and lauric acid. Table 5 lists the investigated printing parameters for the used feedstocks. An advantage of the PVB-based feedstock is the rapid solidification during cooling, which makes the feedstocks suitable for complex geometries with overhangs (Figure [4a](#page-7-1)). Interestingly, the use of the part surface ventilation led to clogging of the nozzle and was therefore omitted. However, due to the stiffness of the filaments, printing larger parts (Figure [4b](#page-7-1)) by refilling the filament rods into the printer was not very user-friendly.

Table 5. Investigated printing parameters for feedstock systems containing 60 vol.% Ti-6Al-4V and a binder composition of 50 vol.% PVB/50 Vol.% PEG 20,000. a binder composition of 50 vol.% PVB/50 Vol.% PEG 20,000.

Figure 4. (a) Printed chess figures (7.5 wt.% lauric acid) and (b) printed hip endoprosthesis (5 wt.% lauric acid) with polymer inlay. lauric acid) with polymer inlay.

3.2.4. Debinding and Sintering

Debinding was performed in a two-step process: First, the PEG was removed in water at 20 ◦C. Figure [5a](#page-8-0) shows the PEG removal as a function of the type and quantity of additive. Approximately 80% of the PEG was eliminated after 24 h, with the proportion decreasing slightly as the amount of additive increased. However, this difference, together with a slight improvement in PEG removal, could be corrected by further extending the exposure time to 48 h. An exception was the feedstock with 20 wt.% stearic acid. In this system, only a small amount of PEG was removed, which is possibly due to the low PEG content in the feedstock itself. As a result, not enough pores were created to transport the PEG out of the sample. Due to the varying content of PEG in the feedstocks, the significance of the removed PEG content is limited. Therefore, the proportion of pores created in the samples is a more accurate indicator of whether a sample can be thermally debinded without defects. As expected, Figure [5b](#page-8-0) shows a decreasing proportion of pores with increased additive content. shows that a pore content of 14% by volume is a strong first indicator of defect-free thermal debinding with the chosen heating rate. However, the low green body strength during debinding in water was striking, which was due to the high water absorption of

PVB [\[45\]](#page-19-22). As a result, complex or large geometries such as the chess pieces or the hip implant depicted in Figure [4](#page-7-1) showed deformations.

Figure 5. (a) Relative PEG mass loss and (b) pore fraction in samples after 24 and 48 h debinding in water at 20 °C. 14 vol.%. Pore fraction is a strong first indicator of whether a sample can be thermally debinded and sintered without defects.

After solvent debinding, the printed parts were thermally debinded followed by a contract of the printed parts were thermally debinded followed by a sintering step. Figure 6a shows the achieved relative density values depending on the additive content. For the samples containing 5 wt.% additive, a consistent theoretical density of around 93.5% can be observed. With 7.5 wt.% additive content, the density varies density of around 93.5 with 7.5 weak of the different additives. This is possibly due to two countervailing significantly between the different additives. This is possibly due to two countervailing effects: on the one hand, the additional additive content lowers the viscosity of the feedstock, which leads to a better filling of the voids between the extruded lines. On the other hand, a higher additive content results in lower porosity, which can prevent defect-free thermal debinding. With 10 wt.% and higher additive content, defects, in particular, blistering, were observed for every sample (Figure 6b). No density could be measured for samples of the feedstock with 20 wt.% stearic acid, as the samples stuck firmly to the sintering base, which would have falsified the density measurement significantly. sintering step. Figure [6a](#page-8-1) shows the achieved relative density values depending on the

Figure 6. (a) Relative density of the samples after sintering and (b) sintered samples (from left to right: 5 wt.% to 20 wt.% additive). right: 5 wt.% to 20 wt.% additive).

3.3. PMMA/PEG

PMMA/PEG systems are well-known as binders in powder injection molding of metals and ceramics. Therefore, we focused on the rheological properties at high shear rates and the debinding behavior in water [\[28–](#page-19-8)[30](#page-19-9)[,46](#page-20-0)[,47\]](#page-20-1). However, only a few cases are reported in the literature in which this binder is used for printing, where the stability and flexibility of the filaments were not the focus [\[31,](#page-19-10)[48\]](#page-20-2). As with the PVB-based feedstocks, the influence of three different additives with varied contents on the rheological behavior and the subsequent properties of the printed and sintered samples was investigated. Due to the long chain length of the PMMA molecules and the resulting high viscosity, the ratio for all feedstocks was 40 vol.% PMMA to 60 vol.% PEG 8000.

3.3.1. Compounding and Extrusion

In comparison to PVB-based feedstocks, compounding was carried out at a higher temperature (170 \degree C) due to the high softening temperature of PMMA. Figure [7a](#page-9-0) shows the influence of the capric acid content on the compounding behavior. An increase in the additive content of these feedstocks also led to a drop in the torque curves. However, the differences between the torque curves were quite small. Compared to the PVB-based feedstocks, the y-axis had to be enlarged fivefold to determine the differences between the curves. This is likely due to the high molecular weight of PMMA, which causes strong entanglement and high inner friction between the polymer chains. Therefore, it dominates the rheological properties of the feedstocks. Furthermore, the torque curves deviate from the ones described in the literature, especially in the equilibrium phase. After approximately 20 min, the torque increased drastically, which was also observed in [\[36\]](#page-19-15). This behavior can be attributed to the size of the PMMA pellets, which were approximately 3 mm, so they required some time to liquefy. In Figure [7b](#page-9-0) the influence of the various additives is they required some time to liquefy. In Figure 7b the influence of the various additives is depicted. No significant differences were found, but feedstocks with capric acid showed the lowest torque compared to PVB-based feedstocks.

Figure 7. Compounding of PMMA/PEG-based binder (170 °C) with different compositions: (**a**) **Figure 7.** Compounding of PMMA/PEG-based binder (170 ◦C) with different compositions: (**a**) varivariation of capric acid content, and (**b**) variation of the plasticizers with 10 wt.% plasticizer. ation of capric acid content, and (**b**) variation of the plasticizers with 10 wt.% plasticizer.

The produced feedstocks were then extruded into filaments. The parameters used listed in Table [6.](#page-10-0) Surprisingly, the extrusion temperatures were low and were below the glass temperatures of PMMA (109 °C) and PEG 8000 (65 °C). In these cases, the additives acted as a lubricant between the polymer chains and the extruder wall and thus reduced the softening point of the binder. PEG 8000 was presumably responsible for this behavior in the PAT-77/P-based feedstocks. Also striking was the smooth surface of the filament containing 20 wt.% PAT-77/P. This is likely due to the high content of PAT-77/P and the high extrusion temperature, which can lead to deposition of $PAT-77/P$ on the surface. Nevertheless, all filament diameters were close to 2.9 mm and thus suitable for printing. The produced feedstocks were then extruded into filaments. The parameters used are

Furthermore, the filaments with 7.5, 10, and 20 wt.% capric acid and 20 wt.% lauric acid could be wound onto a spool.

Table 6. Extrusion temperatures (in ◦C) and nozzle diameters (in mm) of the feedstocks. The windable filaments are marked.

Additive Content	Capric Acid	Lauric Acid	PAT-77/P
5.	$50 °C/2.8$ mm	55° C/2.7 mm	$72 °C/2.8$ mm
7.5	$50 °C/2.8$ mm	55° C/2.7 mm	$72 °C/2.8$ mm
10	47° C/2.8 mm	55° C/2.7 mm	$70 °C/2.8$ mm
20	55° C/2.8 mm	$82 °C/2.8$ mm	95 °C/2.8 mm

3.3.2. Rheological Characterization

Capillary Rheology

As previously indicated in the torque curves during compounding, the influence of the additive content and additive type is limited (Figure [8\)](#page-10-1). The long polymer chains of PMMA dominate the flow properties, with only high additive contents leading to a slight drop in viscosity. Despite the higher PEG content and the use of a lower molecular weight, the viscosity remains similar to that of the PVB-based feedstocks. All feedstocks again exhibited shear-thinning behavior.

Figure 8. Capillary rheology of PMMA/PEG-based binder at 160 °C with different compositions: variation of capric acid content, and (**b**) variation of the fatty acids with 10 wt.% plasticizer. (**a**) variation of capric acid content, and (**b**) variation of the fatty acids with 10 wt.% plasticizer.

DMA DMA

The storage modulus was again used to characterize the flexibility of the filaments. The storage modulus was again used to characterize the flexibility of the filaments. Figure [9](#page-11-0)a shows the influence of the capric acid content on the storage modulus. Unlike Figure 9a shows the influence of the capric acid content on the storage modulus. Unlike the PVB-based feedstocks, the filaments had lower overall storage modulus values. This the PVB-based feedstocks, the filaments had lower overall storage modulus values. This is likely due to the higher backbone polymer content and the strong hydrogen bridges in \mathbb{R}^n the PVB-based feedstocks. In addition, the additive content, in contrast to the shear in the PVB-based feedstocks. In addition, the additive content, in contrast to the shear viscosity, had a significant impact on the flexibility of the mainents. Even with 7.5 wt. % capric acid, the filaments showed a sufficient flexibility (storage modulus values below capric acid, the filaments showed a sufficient flexibility (storage modulus values below 500 MPa at 25 ◦C). However, a high usability could only be observed for the filament with 500 MPa at 25 °C). However, a high usability could only be observed for the filament with 20 wt.% capric acid. On the contrary, the filaments with 10 wt.% lauric acid and PAT-77/P show higher storage modulus values and therefore, lower flexibility (Figure [9b](#page-11-0)). Flexible filaments could be manufactured only with 20 wt.% lauric acid (Figure [9b](#page-11-0)). Moreover, the behavior of the feedstocks with PAT-77/P is notable; all filaments have approximately the same storage modulus values (between 535 and 565 MPa at 25 °C). An explanation for this cannot be provided due to the unknown composition of the additive. viscosity, had a significant impact on the flexibility of the filaments. Even with 7.5 wt.%

Figure 9. Temperature sweeps of PMMA/PEG-based binder with different compositions: (**a**) **Figure 9.** Temperature sweeps of PMMA/PEG-based binder with different compositions: (**a**) variation of capric acid content, and (**b**) variation of the fatty acids with 10 wt.% plasticizer.

3.3.3. Fused Filament Fabrication

As previously mentioned for the PVB-based feedstocks, the stiff filament rods had to be fed into the extruder one by one. This circumstance reduces user-friendliness of the system and hampers printing larger components. Furthermore, a high content of 20 wt.% capric and lauric acid decreased the hardness of the filaments, causing the filaments to be ground by the gear in the extruder. As a result, it was not possible to print these two feedstocks Table [7](#page-11-1) gives an overview of the investigated printing parameters: no significant differences in the processing between the feedstocks could be observed. It is only noticeable that the printing temperature is slightly above the decomposition temperature of capric acid. However, this only affects a short period of time and has no negative impact on the printing result. In contrast to the PVB-based feedstocks, the samples showed a low green body stability above 40° C, which can influence the printing quality of complex structures. In particular, structures with large differences in dimensions and overhangs can cause problems. In this case, strong part cooling during printing is necessary to achieve a high level of detail. However, it was possible to use a nozzle of 0.15 mm in diameter with these feedstocks, despite the ratio between this nozzle size and the filler diameter being 5. For this low ratio, nozzle clogging could be observed in previous studies [\[37,](#page-19-16)[44\]](#page-19-21).

Table 7. Investigated printing parameters for feedstock systems containing 60 vol.% Ti-6Al-4V and a binder composition of 40 vol.% PMMA/60 vol.% PEG 8000.

Printing Parameter	Capric Acid	Lauric Acid	PAT77/P
Extrusion temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	190	180	180
Platform temperature $(^{\circ}C)$	40	40	40
Printing speed (mm/s)			
Used nozzle diameter (mm)			$0.15 - 0.4$

3.3.4. Debinding and Sintering

Due to the high content of PEG in the feedstocks, the samples showed a high pore proportion of 18 to 20 vol.% after solvent debinding (Figure [10a](#page-12-0)). As expected, this fraction decreased with increasing non-water-soluble additive content. For parts containing 20 wt.% PAT-77/P, this value decreased to 12 vol.%. This is the result of the low PEG content combined with the high amount of non-water-soluble additive PAT-77/P, which acts as a barrier and reduces the diffusion of the PEG molecules. However, in contrast to the PVB-based feedstocks, no deformation was observed, which is likely due to the high water resistance of PMMA. The consequences of the low debinding degree can be observed in Figure [10b](#page-12-0) and 10c; the low pore fraction led to the formation of pores in the samples,

which reduced the density significantly. Surprisingly, the samples containing 10 wt.% PAT-77/P also showed a decreased relative density, although no defects could be observed on the surface. However, as can be seen in Figure [10d](#page-12-0), a lot of pores can be observed in the sample. This is the result of the high decomposition temperature of PAT-77/P, which is in the same range as the PMMA used. In contrast to the fatty acids, which combust at lower temperatures and therefore create pores in the sample, too much gas was released which led to the formation of small blisters in the sample. The relative densities of the other feedstocks showed similar behaviors and were in the range of 95.5 and 96.1%. Nevertheless, the implant in Figure [10e](#page-12-0) validates the ability of the PMMA-based feedstocks to manufacture parts with a high level of detail.

after sintering, (c) sintered samples (from left to right: 5 wt.% to 20 wt.% additives), (**d**) CT images of sintered samples with a 10 wt.% additive, and (**e**) sintered dental implant (5 wt.% PAT-77/P). **Figure 10.** (a) Pore fraction in samples after 24 h debinding in water at 40 °C, (b) relative density

3.4. PMMA/PVB/PEG

As previously indicated, both binder combinations (PVB/PEG and PMMA/PEG) have and a properties. While good printing behavior while tapid solidined for was observed for
PVB-based feedstocks, PMMA-based feedstocks showed strong hardness of the filament even with a low proportion of the backbone polymer and a high green body strength during solvent debinding. Therefore, the two binder systems were combined to utilize their advantages. First, preliminary tests of a binder composition of 15 vol.% PMMA, 35 vol.% PVB, and 50 vol.% PEG 8000 showed a promising combination of both desired properties. Capric acid, lauric acid, and stearic acid were used as additives in proportions of 10 wt.%, 12.5 wt.%, and 15 wt.%, respectively. unique properties. While good printing behavior with rapid solidification was observed for

3.4.1. Compounding and Extrusion

Due to the lower PMMA content in the feedstocks, the compounding temperature influence of the capric acid content on the compounding behavior. It can be seen that no was decreased to 160 ◦C compared to the pure PMMA feedstocks. Figure [11a](#page-13-0) shows the

significant differences were found. Despite the low proportion of 15 vol.% of PMMA, it still dominated the compounding behavior. Liquefaction of the PMMA pellets could still be observed but with a lower increase in torque. Furthermore, significant differences between the three additives could not be observed. The liquefaction of PMMA began 10 min earlier with capric acid (Figure [11b](#page-13-0)). Due to the high additive content at the expense of PEG, solvent debinding and the subsequent thermal debinding can cause challenges. Therefore, two feedstocks with a higher PEG proportion were produced. To avoid compromising the hardness of the filaments, the proportion of PVB was reduced. Due to the high PEG content, the viscosity of the feedstock was too low at 60 vol.% PEG and in some cases at 55 vol.% PEG, so much so that the PMMA granules could not be liquefied. This is shown by the torque curve, where almost no increase could be observed (Figure [11c](#page-13-0)). In addition, non-liquified PMMA pellets were found in the feedstocks after compounding. As previously mentioned, smaller PMMA granules were used and the rotational speed of As previously intentioned, sinalier T with a granules were used and the foldfolial speed of the blades was doubled, which resulted in a shear rate twice as high [\[35\]](#page-19-14). Due to these modifications, liquefaction shifted to an earlier time and increased the torque for all three modifications, hiquefaction shifted to an earlier time and increased the torque for all three feedstocks (Figure [11d](#page-13-0)). In addition, no constant torque was observed after a one hour compounding time.

Figure 11. Compounding of PMMA/PVB/PEG-based binder (160 °C) with different compositions; **Figure 11.** Compounding of PMMA/PVB/PEG-based binder (160 ◦C) with different compositions; (a) capric acid, (b) comparison with 12.5 wt.% additive, (c) capric acid (12.5 wt.%) with different PEG contents, and (**d**) capric acid (12.5 wt.%) with different PEG contents at a rotational speed of 60 1/s and smaller PMMA granules.

After compounding, the feedstocks were extruded into filaments with the parameters specified in Table 8 The extrusion temperatures w[ere](#page-14-0) below the softening point of PMMA. While all filaments containing stearic acid were stiff, filaments with capric acid and lauric acid were flexible enough to be wound onto a spool. In particular, the filaments with 12.5×10^{6} 12.5 wt.% demonstrated a good compromise between flexibility and hardness.

After compounding, the feedstocks were extracted to filaments with the parameters with the parameters α

Table 8. Extrusion temperatures (in ◦C) and nozzle diameters used (in mm) for the feedstocks. The windable filaments are marked.

Additive and PEG Content	Capric Acid	Lauric Acid	Stearic Acid
10 wt.%/50 vol.% PEG	$63 °C/2.8$ mm	$65 °C/2.7$ mm	$70 °C/2.7$ mm
12.5 wt.%/50 vol.% PEG	$62 °C/2.7$ mm	$65 °C/2.7$ mm	90 °C/2.8 mm
12.5 wt.%/55 vol.% PEG	$52 °C/2.8$ mm		-
12.5 wt.%/60 vol.% PEG	65° C/2.7 mm	-	-
15 wt.%/50 vol.% PEG	90 °C/2.8 mm	$50 °C/2.8$ mm	65° C/2.8 mm

3.4.2. Rheological Characterization

windable filaments are marked. The marked of the marked

Capillary Rheology *Capillary Rheology*

The results of the rheological characterization correspond with the findings during compounding. Neither the additive content (Figure [12a](#page-14-1)) nor the additive type (Figure [12b](#page-14-1)) The results of the rheological characterization correspond to the findings during comempounding. Neither the additive content (Figure 12a) nor the additive type (Figure 12b) showed a significant influence on the flow properties of the feedstocks. However, a higher proportion of PEG at the expense of PVB led to a decrease in viscosity (Figure [12c](#page-14-1)). While proportion of the compense of PVB led to a decrease in viscosity (Figure 12c). While
the rheological behavior of the low molecular PEG 8000 and the small additives is almost the same, the replacement of PVB resulted in less entanglement and fewer hydrogen bonds the same, are separated of PVB resulted in less entanglement and fewer hydrogens same
between the polymer chains. Interestingly, the non-liquified PMMA pellets had no impact on the flow behavior. Only for feedstock with 50 vol.% PEG and 12.5 wt.% capric acid did the modifications lead to slightly higher viscosity.

Figure 12. Capillary rheology of the PMMA/PEG-based binder at 160 °C with different compositions; (a) variation of capric acid content, (b) variation of the fatty acids with 12.5 wt.% plasticizer, and (c) capric acid (12.5 wt.%) with different PEG proportions and the adapted feedstocks, which were produced with smaller PMMA granules and a higher shear rate.

DMA DMA

In contrast to the shear viscosity, the additive content (Figure [13a](#page-15-0)) as well as the additive type (Figure [13b](#page-15-0)) have a significant influence on the flexibility of the filaments. The higher the additive content, the lower is the storage modulus in the relevant temperature range of 20 to 25 \degree C. While filaments with 10 wt.% capric acid content have sufficient flexibility, filaments with 12.5 and 15 wt.% guarantee better handling. The same behavior can be observed for lauric acid. On the contrary, filaments with stearic acid show a brittle behavior, which is likely due to the longer stearic acid molecules and thus, less chain

movement. Two interesting characteristics could be observed for the adapted feedstock compositions with capric acid (Figure [13c](#page-15-0)). They showed considerably lower storage modulus values. This can be seen for the feedstock with 50 vol.% PEG, where the storage modulus for the adapted feedstock at 25 ◦C is 300 MPa lower than that of its counterpart. The two inhomogeneous feedstocks with the PMMA granulate residues were not measured. The reason for this behavior is due to the higher shear rate and the smaller PMMA granules, which results in more intensive and faster blending of the additive and the polymer chains. On the other hand, the increase of PEG has a negative impact on the flexibility of the filaments. This leads to the conclusion that only the backbone polymers interact with the additives.

Figure 13. Temperature sweeps of the PMMA/PEG-based binder with different compositions; (**a**) **Figure 13.** Temperature sweeps of the PMMA/PEG-based binder with different compositions; (a) variation of capric acid content, (b) variation of the fatty acids with 12.5 wt.% plasticizer, and (c) capric acid (12.5 wt.%) with different PEG proportions and the adapted feedstocks, which were produced with smaller PMMA granules and a higher shear rate. produced with smaller PMMA granules and a higher shear rate.

3.4.3. Fused Filament Fabrication 3.4.3. Fused Filament Fabrication

Apart from the feedstocks with stearic acid, where the stiff filament rods had to be Apart from the feedstocks with stearic acid, where the stiff filament rods had to be fed into extruder one by one, all filaments were printed from a spool. As expected, the fed into extruder one by one, all filaments were printed from a spool. As expected, the use of PMMA prevented the filaments from being grounded by the gears of the extruder, use of PMMA prevented the filaments from being grounded by the gears of the extruder, yet all materials could be printed. However, the materials with 15 wt.% capric acid and lauric acid showed slight signs of filament abrasion on the gears due to their low lauric acid showed slight signs of filament abrasion on the gears due to their low hardness. Table [9](#page-15-1) lists the investigated printing parameters. As with the PMMA/PEG feedstocks, a
Table 9 lists the investigated printing parameters. As with the PMMA/PEG feedstocks, a frozzle size of 0.15 could be used to print small parts with a high level of detail. Trowever, the materials also exhibited lower green body stability, though this was improved by the influence of the PVB. The use of the part surface ventilation was mandatory and did not lead to clogging in the nozzle despite the high PVB content. \sim 1 content. In the notation in the normal in the normal \sim nozzle size of 0.15 could be used to print small parts with a high level of detail. However,

binder composition of 15 vol.% PMMA/35 vol.% PVB/50 vol.% PEG 8000. **Table 9.** Investigated printing parameters for feedstock systems containing 60 vol.% Ti-6Al-4V and a

Used nozzle diameter (mm) 0.15–0.8 0.4 0.4 3.4.4. Debinding and Sintering

Through the high additive content in the feedstocks, the pore fraction in the samples debinding degree decreases with increasing additive content. In addition, a noteworthy \mathcal{L} is a set \mathcal{L} is also at \mathcal{L} remained below 13 vol.% after 24 h in water at 30 ◦C (Figure [14a](#page-16-0)). It is also apparent that

observation is the decreasing degree of debinding with larger additive molecules for higher additive contents. Possible reasons for this include an increased entanglement between the chains and the slightly higher solubility in water for shorter, more polar chains. Despite the expected diffusion rate being higher at elevated water temperatures, no significant differences in the PEG elimination could be observed after 24 h (Figure [14b](#page-16-0)). Only in the samples with lauric acid and stearic acid did the degree of debinding begin to decrease at temperatures around 20 ◦C below their melting point. Figure [14c](#page-16-0) shows the pore fraction for samples with higher PEG content. As expected, a higher PEG content leads to a higher degree of debinding. Interestingly, this value was reduced in the adapted feedstock compositions. This is likely due to the better homogenization of the feedstocks as a result of the smaller PMMA granules and the higher shear rate during compounding. This led to increased entanglement of the polymer chains which reduced the diffusion of the PEG molecules. With regard to green body strength during solvent debinding, a low

PMMA content in the feedstocks ensured sufficient green body strength and the successful

Figure 14. (a) Pore fraction in samples with varied fatty acid content after 24 h at 30 °C, (b) relative PEG mass loss of feedstocks with 12.5 wt.% additive at different temperatures after 0.5 h, 1 h, 2 h, h, 8 h, and 24 h, and (**c**) pore fraction in samples of feedstocks with varied PEG content and 12.5 4 h, 8 h, and 24 h, and (**c**) pore fraction in samples of feedstocks with varied PEG content and 12.5 wt.% capric acid and in comparison to the adapted (ad.) feedstocks after 24 h at 30 °C. The inhomogeneous feedstocks with the PMMA granulate residues were not characterized. two inhomogeneous feedstocks with the PMMA granulate residues were not characterized.

Due to the low pore fraction in the samples, the formation of blisters could be Due to the low pore fraction in the samples, the formation of blisters could be ob-served in all samples and thus, they each had relative densities below 90% (Figure [15a](#page-17-0)). The samples made of the adapted feedstocks with higher PEG content showed the same behavior (Figure 15b). Therefore, the heating rate for thermal debinding below 250 °C behavior (Figure [15b](#page-17-0)). Therefore, the heating rate for thermal debinding below 250 ◦C was set to 0.2 K/min. The temperature was held at 250 °C for 4 h. The standard program for the was likely the standard program for thermal debinding and sintering was continued afterwards. In this case, high relative densities above 97% could be achieved for almost all materials. Only the two feedstocks with 15 wt.% capric acid and lauric acid were found to have lower values, which is likely due to complications occurring during extrusion. The highest relative density of 98.9% was observed for the adapted feedstock with 60 vol.% PEG. This value stands out because there is no record of higher relative density for printed metals without additional hot isostatic pressing in literature [27,49,50]. It even competes with and surpasses metal injection molded parts $[18,51,52]$. for thermal debinding and sintering was continued afterwards. In this case, high relative

Figure 15. (**a**) Relative density of the samples after sintering without and with the adapted (ad.) **Figure 15.** (**a**) Relative density of the samples after sintering without and with the adapted (ad.) heating rate of 0.2 K/min until 250 °C is reached, (**b**) relative density of the samples made from the heating rate of 0.2 K/min until 250 ◦C is reached, (**b**) relative density of the samples made from the adapted feedstocks after sintering without and with the adapted heating rate of 0.2 K/min until °C, (**c**) difference of the sintered samples without (upper picture) and with (bottom picture) the 250 ◦C, (**c**) difference of the sintered samples without (upper picture) and with (bottom picture) the adapted heating rate, and (**d**) printed and sintered chess rook and dental implant. adapted heating rate, and (**d**) printed and sintered chess rook and dental implant.

4. Conclusions and Outlook 4. Conclusions and Outlook

The influence of various additives on the different partly water-soluble binder The influence of various additives on the different partly water-soluble binder systems regarding the flexibility and properties of the final parts was investigated. The results indicated that capric acid as well as lauric acid increased the flexibility of PVB-based and PMMA-based filaments. The combination of the two backbone polymers made it possible to produce filaments with high usability and superior properties, such as rapid solidification during cooling and sufficient green body strength during solvent debinding. The use of water instead of organic solvents led to a reduction in cost and potential hazards for both people and the environment, allowing for sustainable part fabrication. With some modifications including a higher PEG content and an adjusted heating rate during thermal debinding, components with relative densities up to 98.9% were manufactured, exceeding all reported density values for this production method.

Furthermore, a method using dynamic mechanical analysis to quantify the flexibility Furthermore, a method using dynamic mechanical analysis to quantify the flexibility of filaments was introduced and successfully applied for the first time. However, further of filaments was introduced and successfully applied for the first time. However, further tests with different fillers and binder systems are required to validate this method. In tests with different fillers and binder systems are required to validate this method. In addition, future research will focus on optimizing debinding and sintering to enable a addition, future research will focus on optimizing debinding and sintering to enable a faster and consequently more cost-effective process. This could be achieved through a higher PEG content in the feedstocks. Possible complications with a corresponding reduction in the hardness of the filaments could be solved by using a dual gear extruder. The use The use of different and more effective additives could also be an option. An application of different and more effective additives could also be an option. An application of the

knowledge gained from this study to other fillers such as ceramics and other metals is also planned for future studies.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.A., D.N. and T.H.; methodology, S.A., D.N. and T.H.; validation, R.E., S.A., D.N. and T.H.; formal analysis, R.E., S.A., D.N. and T.H.; investigation, R.E. and M.P.; resources, T.H.; data curation, R.E.; writing, R.E.; visualization, R.E.; supervision, S.A. and D.N.; project administration, S.A. and D.N.; funding acquisition, T.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The APC was funded by the publication fund of the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author due to legal issues.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank their colleagues A. Klein for heat treatment, C. Odemer for DSC/TG measurements, M. Offermann for powder characterization, G. Link and M. Engler for providing the DMA. The authors also thank E.& P. Wuertz GmbH, Bingen, Germany, for the kind donation of the PAT-77/P surfactant.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Tebianian, M.; Aghaie, S.; Razavi Jafari, N.S.; Elmi Hosseini, S.R.; Pereira, A.B.; Fernandes, F.A.O.; Farbakhti, M.; Chen, C.; Huo, Y. A Review of the Metal Additive Manufacturing Processes. *Materials* **2023**, *16*, 24. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16247514) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38138655)
- 2. Blakey-Milner, B.; Gradl, P.; Snedden, G.; Brooks, M.; Pitot, J.; Lopez, E.; Leary, M.; Berto, F.; Du Plessis, A. Metal additive manufacturing in aerospace: A review. *Mater. Des.* **2021**, *209*, 110008. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2021.110008)
- 3. Mohammed, M.T.; Semelov, V.G.; Sotov, A.V. SLM-built titanium materials: Great potential of developing microstructure and properties for biomedical applications: A review. *Mater. Res. Express* **2019**, *6*, 122006. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/ab624c)
- 4. Gunasekaran, J.; Sevvel, P.; Solomon, I.J. Metallic materials fabrication by selective laser melting: A review. *Mater. Today Proc.* **2021**, *37*, 252–256. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.05.162)
- 5. Parenti, P.; Puccio, D.; Semeraro, Q.; Colosimo, B.M. A techno-economic approach for decision-making in metal additive manufacturing: Metal extrusion versus single and multiple laser powder bed fusion. *Prog. Addit. Manuf.* **2023**, *9*, 185–210. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40964-023-00442-7)
- 6. Da˘g, I.E.; Avar, B. Additively Manufactured Medical Implants. In *Practical Implementations of Additive Manufacturing Technologies*; Rajendrachari, S., Ed.; Springer: Singapore, 2024; pp. 219–250.
- 7. Shaikh, M.Q.; Nath, S.D.; Akilan, A.A.; Khanjar, S.; Balla, V.K.; Grant, G.T.; Atre, S.V. Investigation of Patient-Specific Maxillofacial Implant Prototype Development by Metal Fused Filament Fabrication (MF3) of Ti-6Al-4V. *J. Dent.* **2021**, *9*, 109. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/dj9100109)
- 8. Nötzel, D.; Eickhoff, R.; Pfeifer, C.; Hanemann, T. Printing of Zirconia Parts via Fused Filament Fabrication. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 5467. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14195467)
- 9. Vozárová, M.; Neubauer, E.; Bača, Ľ.; Kitzmantel, M.; Feranc, J.; Trembošová, V.; Peciar, P.; Kritikos, M.; Orlovská, M.; Janek, M.; et al. Preparation of fully dense boron carbide ceramics by Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF). *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* **2023**, *43*, 1751–1761. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2022.12.018)
- 10. Truxova, V.; Safka, J.; Sobotka, J.; Machacek, J.; Ackermann, M. Alumina Manufactured by Fused Filament Fabrication: A Comprehensive Study of Mechanical Properties and Porosity. *J. Polym.* **2022**, *14*, 5. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14050991)
- 11. Nötzel, D.; Eickhoff, R.; Hanemann, T. Fused Filament Fabrication of Small Ceramic Components. *J. Mater.* **2018**, *11*, 8. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11081463)
- 12. de la Torre-Gamarra, C.; Garcia-Suelto, M.D.; Del Rio Santos, D.; Levenfeld, B.; Varez, A. 3D-printing of easily recyclable all-ceramic thick LiCoO(2) electrodes with enhanced areal capacity for Li-ion batteries using a highly filled thermoplastic filament. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2023**, *642*, 351–363. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2023.03.117) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37011453)
- 13. Valera-Jiménez, J.F.; Pérez-Flores, J.C.; Castro-García, M.; Canales-Vázquez, J. Development of full ceramic electrodes for lithium-ion batteries via desktop-fused filament fabrication and further sintering. *Appl. Mater. Today* **2021**, *25*, 101243. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2021.101243)
- 14. Kutlu, A.C.; Nötzel, D.; Ziebert, C.; Seifert, H.J.; Ul Mohsin, I. 3D Printing of $\rm Na_{1.3}Al_{0.3}Ti_{1.7}(PO_4)_3$ Solid Electrolyte via Fused Filament Fabrication for All-Solid-State Sodium-Ion Batteries. *Batter. Supercaps* **2023**, *7*, 1. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/batt.202300357)
- 15. Abel, J.; Scheithauer, U.; Janics, T.; Hampel, S.; Cano, S.; Müller-Köhn, A.; Günther, A.; Kukla, C.; Moritz, T. Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF) of Metal-Ceramic Components. *JoVE* **2019**, *143*, e57693.
- 16. Atre, S.; Weaver, T.; German, R. *Injection Molding of Metals and Ceramics*; SAE Technical Paper; SAE: Warrendale, PA, USA, 1998.
- 17. Shibo, G.; Xuanhui, Q.; Xinbo, H.; Ting, Z.; Bohua, D. Powder injection molding of Ti–6Al–4V alloy. *J. Mater. Process. Technol.* **2006**, *173*, 310–314. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2005.12.001)
- 18. Wen, G.; Cao, P.; Gabbitas, B.; Zhang, D.; Edmonds, N. Development and Design of Binder Systems for Titanium Metal Injection Molding: An Overview. *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* **2013**, *44*, 1530–1547. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11661-012-1485-x)
- 19. Kuznetsov, V.E.; Solonin, A.N.; Tavitov, A.; Urzhumtsev, O.; Vakulik, A. Increasing strength of FFF three-dimensional printed parts by influencing on temperature-related parameters of the process. *Rapid Prototyp. J.* **2020**, *26*, 107–121. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-01-2019-0017)
- 20. Kennedy, Z.C.; Christ, J.F.; Fenn, M.D.; Zhong, L.; Chouyyok, W.; Arnold, A.M.; Denny, A.C.; Albrecht, A.M.; Silverstein, J.A.; Erikson, R.L.; et al. Mica filled polyetherketoneketones for material extrusion 3D printing. *Addit. Manuf.* **2022**, *49*, 102492. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2021.102492)
- 21. Eickhoff, R.; Antusch, S.; Baumgartner, S.; Nötzel, D.; Hanemann, T. Feedstock Development for Material Extrusion-Based Printing of Ti6Al4V Parts. *Materials* **2022**, *15*, 18. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15186442)
- 22. Cerejo, F.; Gatões, D.; Vieira, M.T. Optimization of metallic powder filaments for additive manufacturing extrusion (MEX). *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **2021**, *115*, 2449–2464. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-021-07043-0)
- 23. Lotfizarei, Z.; Mostafapour, A.; Barari, A.; Jalili, A.; Patterson, A.E. Overview of debinding methods for parts manufactured using powder material extrusion. *Addit. Manuf.* **2023**, *61*, 103335. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2022.103335)
- 24. Gonzalez-Gutierrez, J.; Cano, S.; Schuschnigg, S.; Kukla, C.; Sapkota, J.; Holzer, C. Additive Manufacturing of Metallic and Ceramic Components by the Material Extrusion of Highly-Filled Polymers: A Review and Future Perspectives. *J. Mater.* **2018**, *11*, 5. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11050840) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29783705)
- 25. Gloeckle, C.; Konkol, T.; Jacobs, O.; Limberg, W.; Ebel, T.; Handge, U.A. Processing of Highly Filled Polymer-Metal Feedstocks for Fused Filament Fabrication and the Production of Metallic Implants. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 19. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13194413) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33022989)
- 26. Kukla, C.; Cano, S.; Kaylani, D.; Schuschnigg, S.; Holzer, C.; Gonzalez-Gutierrez, J. Debinding behaviour of feedstock for material extrusion additive manufacturing of zirconia. *Powder Metall.* **2019**, *62*, 196–204. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/00325899.2019.1616139)
- 27. Thompson, Y.; Polzer, M.; Gonzalez-Gutierrez, J.; Kasian, O.; Heckl, J.P.; Dalbauer, V.; Kukla, C.; Felfer, P.J. Fused Filament Fabrication-Based Additive Manufacturing of Commercially Pure Titanium. *Adv. Eng. Mater.* **2021**, *3*, 2100380. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202100380)
- 28. Hayat, M.D.; Wen, G.; Zulkifli, M.F.; Cao, P. Effect of PEG molecular weight on rheological properties of Ti-MIM feedstocks and water debinding behaviour. *Powder Technol.* **2015**, *270*, 296–301. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2014.10.035)
- 29. Zhang, H.; Hayat, M.D.; Zhang, W.; Singh, H.; Hu, K.; Cao, P. Improving an easy-to-debind PEG/PPC/PMMA-based binder. *Polym. J.* **2022**, *262*, 125465. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2022.125465)
- 30. Chen, G.; Cao, P.; Wen, G.; Edmonds, N. Debinding behaviour of a water soluble PEG/PMMA binder for Ti metal injection moulding. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *139*, 557–565. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2013.01.057)
- 31. Eickhoff, R.; Antusch, S.; Nötzel, D.; Hanemann, T. New Partially Water-Soluble Feedstocks for Additive Manufacturing of Ti6Al4V Parts by Material Extrusion. *Materials* **2023**, *16*, 3162. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16083162)
- 32. Ebel, T.; Milagres Ferri, O.; Limberg, W.; Oehring, M.; Pyczak, F.; Schimansky, F.P. Metal Injection Moulding of Titanium and Titanium-Aluminides. *Key Eng. Mater.* **2012**, *520*, 153–160. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.520.153)
- 33. *F04 Committee*; Specification for Metal Injection Molded Titanium-6Aluminum-4Vanadium Components for Surgical Implant Applications. 5. ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2023.
- 34. *ASTM F2885-17*; Specification for Metal Injection Molded Titanium-6Aluminum-4Vanadium Components for Surgical Implant Applications. ASTM International: West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2017.
- 35. Heldele, R. Entwicklung und Charakterisierung von Formmassen für das Mikropulverspritzgießen. Ph.D. Dissertation, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany, 2008.
- 36. Zurn, M.; Schrage, A.; Antusch, S.; Bohn, N.; Holzer, P.; Hanemann, T. Development of a Polyethylene Glycol/Polymethyl Methacrylate-Based Binder System for a Borosilicate Glass Filler Suitable for Injection Molding. *Materials* **2024**, *17*, 6. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma17061396) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38541551)
- 37. Thompson, Y.; Gonzalez-Gutierrez, J.; Kukla, C.; Felfer, P. Fused filament fabrication, debinding and sintering as a low cost additive manufacturing method of 316L stainless steel. *Addit. Manuf.* **2019**, *30*, 100861. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.100861)
- 38. Rodríguez, J.F.; Thomas, J.P.; Renaud, J.E. Mechanical behavior of acrylonitrile butadiene styrene fused deposition materials modeling. *Rapid Prototyp. J.* **2003**, *9*, 219–230. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1108/13552540310489604)
- 39. Turner, B.N.; Gold, S.A. A review of melt extrusion additive manufacturing processes: II. Materials, dimensional accuracy, and surface roughness. *Rapid Prototyp. J.* **2015**, *21*, 250–261. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-02-2013-0017)
- 40. Nötzel, D. Herstellung Keramischer Bauteile Mittels Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF). Ph.D. Dissertation, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, 2023.
- 41. Weber, O. Wasserlösliche Bindersysteme zum Minimieren von Pulver-Binder-Segregationseffekten im Mikropulverspritzguss. Ph.D. Dissertation, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, 2015.
- 42. Hanemann, T.; Heldele, R.; Mueller, T.; Hausselt, J. Influence of Stearic Acid Concentration on the Processing of ZrO2-Containing Feedstocks Suitable for Micropowder Injection Molding. *Int. J. Appl. Ceram. Technol.* **2011**, *8*, 865–872. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7402.2010.02519.x)
- 43. Hanemann, T.; Heidele, R. Modern alchemy: Ceramic feedstock optimization by surfactant screening. In *CFI-Ceramic Forum International*; Goller Verlag GMBH: Baden-Baden, Germany, 2010.
- 44. Beran, T.; Mulholland, T.; Henning, F.; Rudolph, N.; Osswald, T.A. Nozzle clogging factors during fused filament fabrication of spherical particle filled polymers. *Addit. Manuf.* **2018**, *23*, 206–214. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.08.009)
- 45. Desloir, M.; Benoit, C.; Bendaoud, A.; Alcouffe, P.; Carrot, C. Plasticization of poly(vinyl butyral) by water: Glass transition temperature and mechanical properties. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2018**, *136*, 12. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/app.47230)
- 46. Hayat, M.D.; Goswami, A.; Matthews, S.; Li, T.; Yuan, X.; Cao, P. Modification of PEG/PMMA binder by PVP for titanium metal injection moulding. *Powder Technol.* **2017**, *315*, 243–249. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2017.04.004)
- 47. Chuankrerkkul, N.; Messer, P.F.; Davies, H.A. Flow and void formation in powder injection moulding feedstocks made with PEG/PMMA binders Part 1—Experimental observations. *Powder Metall.* **2013**, *51*, 66–71. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1179/174329008X271600)
- 48. Piérard-Smeets, A.; Dembinski, L.; Abboudi, S. Experimental study of water debinding on water soluble PEG behavior for SS 316L parts printed by pellet-based additive manufacturing process. In *Technological Systems, Sustainability and Safety*; HAL Science: Paris, France, 2024.
- 49. Singh, P.; Balla, V.K.; Gokce, A.; Atre, S.V.; Kate, K.H. Additive manufacturing of Ti-6Al-4V alloy by metal fused filament fabrication (MF³): Producing parts comparable to that of metal injection molding. *Prog. Addit. Manuf.* **2021**, *11*, 840. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40964-021-00167-5)
- 50. Burkhardt, C.; Freigassner, P.; Weber, O.; Imgrund, P.; Hampel, S. Fused Filament Fabrication (FFF) of 316L Green Parts for the MIM process. In *World PM2016*; Sokolowski, P., Ed.; Deposition Technology Inc.: Austin, TX, USA, 2016.
- 51. Herranz, G.; Levenfeld, B.; Várez, A.; Torralba, J.M. Development of new feedstock formulation based on high density polyethylene for MIM of M2 high speed steels. *Powder Metall.* **2005**, *48*, 134–138. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1179/003258905X37828)
- 52. German, R.M. Progress in Titanium Metal Powder Injection Molding. *Materials* **2013**, *6*, 3641–3662. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma6083641) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28811458)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.