

FROM GUIDELINES TO PRACTICE: INTEGRATING TECHNIQUES IN DEVELOPMENT PLATFORMS TO ACHIEVE TRUSTWORTHY AI

Philip Singer, Kathrin Brecker, Ali Sunyaev

philip.singer@student.kit.edu, {brecker, sunyaev}@kit.edu

Research Motivation

- Growing calls for guidelines to ensure the development of trustworthy AI (TAI) systems [1-2]
- Current guidelines [3], principles [4-5], and best practices [6] remain abstract and difficult to apply [2-3]
- Tools are only of limited use for developers as they co-exist in isolation, focus on only one or few TAI qualities, and lack alignment to guide the entire AI development lifecycle [4, 7-10]
- Cloud-based AI development platforms can foster TAI because these platforms provide developers with best practices and tools to enable and guide the AI development [11]
- Extant TAI research is spread across various disciplines (e.g., information systems, computer science, or medicine [12])

Research Question:

What are the key techniques for fostering TAI that can be integrated into AI development platforms?
(Descriptive Literature Review [13])

	Technique Category Description	AI Dev. Lifecycle Phase [14-15]	Exemplary Techniques	TAI Quality Addressed
1	Trustworthy Training Data Techniques for monitoring and preprocessing the training data.	Data Preprocessing (1)	Issue Detection [16], Debiasing [17], Data augmentation [18], Preserving Privacy [19]	Privacy [16], Fairness [35], Security [36], Robustness [37], Performance [38]
2	Trustworthy Model Training Techniques to build and train robust, fair, and privacy-preserving models.	Model Development (2)	Robust Training [20], Model Debiasing [21], Differential Privacy [22]	Privacy [16], Fairness [35], Robustness [37]
3	Trustworthy Model Evaluation Techniques to evaluate model's fairness, performance, and robustness; and ensure explainability.	Model Evaluation (3)	Fairness Evaluation [23], Robustness Evaluation [24], Ensuring Explainability [25]	Fairness [35], Accountability [40], Robustness [37], Performance [38], Transparency [39]
4	Trustworthy Inferencing Techniques to monitor and actively control inferencing.	Inferencing (4)	Input Monitoring [26], Input transformation [27], Inferencing control [28], Output Monitoring [29]	Robustness [37], Security [36], Transparency [39]
5	Internal and External Transparency Techniques to enable transparency of AI development decisions and process, incl. internal / external communication.	Applicable in all lifecycle phases	Documentation [30], Collaboration and Communication [31], Process control [32]	Accountability [40], Security [36], Transparency [39]
6	Data Protection Techniques to transmit, store and process sensitive data securely.	Applicable in all lifecycle phases	Access Control [33], Homomorphic Encryption [19], Trusted Execution Environment [34]	Privacy [16], Security [36]

Implications for Research

- Synthesized overview how to address various TAI qualities by these techniques in parallel
- Paves the way for future research to further investigate the consequences of combining TAI qualities and techniques (e.g., synergies or adverse effects [41-42])

Implications for Practice

- Starting point for AI developers and platform providers to construct TAI development platforms by providing concrete techniques
- Organizations can harness extant techniques to provide TAI development guidance for developers



FROM GUIDELINES TO PRACTICE: INTEGRATING TECHNIQUES IN DEVELOPMENT PLATFORMS TO ACHIEVE TRUSTWORTHY AI

Philip Singer, Kathrin Brecker, Ali Sunyaev

philip.singer@student.kit.edu, {brecker, sunyaev}@kit.edu

References

- [1] Adadi, A., & Berrada, M. (2018). Peeking Inside the Black-Box: A Survey on Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI). *IEEE Access*, 6, 52138–52160. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2870052>
- [2] Schmagor, S., & Sousa, S. (2021). A Toolkit to Enable the Design of Trustworthy AI. 536–555. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-90963-5_41
- [3] Hagendorff, T. (2020). The Ethics of AI Ethics: An Evaluation of Guidelines. *Minds and Machines*, 30(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-020-09517-8>
- [4] Curto, G., & Comim, F. (2023). Fairness: From the ethical principle to the practice of Machine Learning development as an ongoing agreement with stakeholders. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4397259>
- [5] Diakopoulos, N., Friedler, Arenas, Barocas, Hay, Howe, Jagadish, Unsworth, Sahuguet, Venkatasubramanian, Wilson, Yu, & Zevenbergen. (2023). Principles for Accountable Algorithms and a Social Impact Statement for Algorithms: FAT ML. <https://www.fatml.org/resources/principles-for-accountable-algorithms>
- [6] Mazumder, S., Dhar, S., & Asthana, A. (2023). A Framework for Trustworthy AI in Credit Risk Management: Perspectives and Practices. *Computer*, 56(5), 28–40. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2023.3236564>
- [7] Carlini, N., & Wagner, D. (2017). Towards Evaluating the Robustness of Neural Networks. 2017 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP), 39–57. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SP.2017.49>
- [8] Madry, A., Makelov, A., Schmidt, L., Tsipras, D., & Vladu, A. (2018). Towards Deep Learning Models Resistant to Adversarial Attacks. *International Conference on Learning Representations*
- [9] Xiong, P., Buffett, S., Iqbal, S., Lamontagne, P., Mamun, M., & Molyneaux, H. (2022). Towards a robust and trustworthy machine learning system development: An engineering perspective. *Journal of Information Security & Applications*, 65, N.PAG-N.PAG. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2022.103121>
- [10] Li, B., Qi, P., Liu, B., Di Shuai, Liu, J., Pei, J., Yi, J., & Zhou, B. (2023). Trustworthy AI: From Principles to Practices. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(9), 1–46. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555803>
- [11] Lins, S., Pandl, K. D., Teigeler, H., Thiebes, S., Bayer, C., & Sunyaev, A. (2021). Artificial Intelligence as a Service. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 63(4), 441–456. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-021-00708-w>
- [12] Wang, D., Wang, L., Zhang, Z., Wang, D., Zhu, H., Gao, Y., Fan, X., & Tian, F. (2021). “Brilliant AI Doctor” in Rural Clinics: Challenges in AI-Powered Clinical Decision Support System Deployment. *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445432>
- [13] Paré, G., Trudel, M.-C., Jaana, M., & Kitsiou, S. (2015). Synthesizing information systems knowledge: A typology of literature reviews. *Information & Management*, 52(2), 183–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2014.08.008>
- [14] Amershi, S., Begel, A., Bird, C., DeLine, R., Gall, H., Kamar, E., Nagappan, N., Nushi, B., & Zimmermann, T. (2019). Software Engineering for Machine Learning: A Case Study. 2019 IEEE/ACM 41st International Conference on Software Engineering: Software Engineering in Practice (ICSE-SEIP), 291–300. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSE-SEIP.2019.00042>
- [15] Schlegel, M., & Sattler, K.-U. (2023). Management of Machine Learning Lifecycle Artifacts. *ACM SIGMOD Record*, 51(4), 18–35. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3582302.3582306>
- [16] Liu, H., WANG, Y., FAN, W., Liu, X., Li, Y., JAIN, S., LIU, Y., JAIN, A., & Tang, J. (2023). Trustworthy AI: A Computational Perspective. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems & Technology*, 14(1), 1–59. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3546872>
- [17] Chawla, N. V., Bowyer, K. W., Hall, L. O., & Kegelmeyer, W. P. (2002). SMOTE: Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 16, 321–357. <https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.953>
- [18] Xing, X., Wu, H., Wang, L., Stenson, I., Yong, M., Del Ser, J., Walsh, S., & Yang, G. (2023). Non-Imaging Medical Data Synthesis for Trustworthy AI: A Comprehensive Survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3614425>
- [19] Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Del Ser, J., Coeckelbergh, M., López de Prado, M., Herrera-Viedma, E., & Herrera, F. (2023). Connecting the dots in trustworthy Artificial Intelligence: From AI principles, ethics, and key requirements to responsible AI systems and regulation. *Information Fusion*, 99, N.PAG-N.PAG. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2023.101896>
- [20] Gu, S., & Rigazio, L. (2014). Towards Deep Neural Network Architectures Robust to Adversarial Examples. *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [21] Zhang, B. H., Lemoine, B., & Mitchell, M. (2018). Mitigating Unwanted Biases with Adversarial Learning. *AIES '18: Proceedings of the 2018 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society*, 350–340.
- [22] Abadi, M., Chu, A., Goodfellow, I., McMahan, H. B., Mironov, I., Talwar, K., & Zhang, L. (2016). Deep Learning with Differential Privacy. *Proceedings of The*, 308–318. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2976749.2978318>
- [23] Dwork, C., Hardt, M., Pitassi, T., Reingold, O., & Zemel, R. (2011). Fairness Through Awareness. *Information Technology Convergence and Services*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2090236.2090255>
- [24] Biggio, B., Fumera, G., & Roli, F. (2014). Security Evaluation of Pattern Classifiers under Attack. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 26(4), 984–996. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2013.57>
- [25] Wu, H., Wang, C., Yin, J., Lu, K., & Zhu, L. (2018). Sharing Deep Neural Network Models with Interpretation. *Proceedings of the 2018 World Wide Web Conference*, 177–186. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3178876.3185995>
- [26] Darvish Rouani, B., Samragh, M., Javidi, T., & Koushanfar, F. (2019). Safe Machine Learning and Defeating Adversarial Attacks. *IEEE Security & Privacy*, 17(2), 31–38. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MSEC.2018.2888779>
- [27] Wang, X., Li, J., Kuang, X., Tan, Y., & Li, J. (2019). The security of machine learning in an adversarial setting: A survey. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 130, 12–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpdc.2019.03.003>
- [28] Lu, Q., Zhu, L., Xu, X., Whittle, J., Zowghi, D., & Jacquet, A. (2023). Responsible AI Pattern Catalogue: A Collection of Best Practices for AI Governance and Engineering. *ACM Computing Surveys*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3626234>



FROM GUIDELINES TO PRACTICE: INTEGRATING TECHNIQUES IN DEVELOPMENT PLATFORMS TO ACHIEVE TRUSTWORTHY AI

Philip Singer, Kathrin Brecker, Ali Sunyaev

philip.singer@student.kit.edu, {brecker, sunyaev}@kit.edu

References

- [29] Klinkenberg, R., & Joachims, T. (2000). Detecting Concept Drift with Support Vector Machines. Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Machine Learning, 487–494. <https://doi.org/10.5555/645529.657791>
- [30] Toreini, E., Aitken, M., Coopamootoo, K., Elliott, K., Zelaya, C. G., & van Moorsel, A. (2020). The Relationship between Trust in AI and Trustworthy Machine Learning Technologies. Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, 272–283. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372834>
- [31] Liao, Q. V., & Sundar, S. S. (2022). Designing for Responsible Trust in AI Systems: A Communication Perspective. Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, 1257–1268. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3531146.3533182>
- [32] Perez-Cerrolaza, J., Abella, J., Borg, M., Donzella, C., Cerquides, J., Cazoria, F. J., Englund, C., Tauber, M., Nikolakopoulos, G., & Flores, J. L. (2023). Artificial Intelligence for Safety-Critical Systems in Industrial and Transportation Domains: A Survey. ACM Computing Surveys. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3626314>
- [33] Alexander, C. S., Yarborough, M., & Smith, A. (2023). Who is responsible for ‘responsible AI’?: Navigating challenges to build trust in AI agriculture and food system technology. Precision Agriculture, 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-023-10063-3>
- [34] Hoekstra, M., Lal, R., Pappachan, P., Phegade, V., & Cuvillo, J. (2013). Using innovative instructions to create trustworthy software solutions. HASP '13: Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Hardware and Architectural Support for Security and Privacy. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2487726.2488370>
- [35] Gittens, A., Yener, B., & Yung, M. (2022). An Adversarial Perspective on Accuracy, Robustness, Fairness, and Privacy: Multilateral-Tradeoffs in Trustworthy ML. IEEE Access, 10, 120850–120865. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3218715>
- [36] Leslie, D. (2019). Understanding Artificial Intelligence Ethics and Safety: A Guide for the Responsible Design and Implementation of AI Systems in the Public Sector. The Alan Turing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3403301>
- [37] Fjeld, J., Achten, N., Hilligoss, H., Nagy, A., & Srikumar, M. (2020). Principled Artificial Intelligence: Mapping Consensus in Ethical and Rights-based Approaches to Principles for AI. Berkman Klein Center for Internet & Society. <https://dash.harvard.edu/handle/1/42160420>
- [38] Liao, Q. V., & Sundar, S. S. (2022). Designing for Responsible Trust in AI Systems: A Communication Perspective. Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, 1257–1268. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3531146.3533182>
- [39] Barredo Arrieta, A., Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Del Ser, J., Bennetot, A., Tabik, S., Barbado, A., Garcia, S., Gil-Lopez, S., Molina, D., Benjamins, R., Chatila, R., & Herrera, F. (2020). Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible AI. Information Fusion, 58, 82–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2019.12.012>
- [40] Wieringa, M. (2020). What to account for when accounting for algorithms: A systematic literature review on algorithmic accountability. Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372833>
- [41] Petkovic, D. (2023). It is Not “Accuracy vs. Explainability”—We Need Both for Trustworthy AI Systems. IEEE Transactions on Technology and Society, 4(1), 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TTS.2023.3239921>
- [42] Steimers, A., & Schneider, M. (2022). Sources of Risk of AI Systems. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(6), 3641. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19063641>

