

Repeatable Perovskite Solar Cells through Fully Automated Spin-Coating and Quenching


Daniel O. Baumann,* Felix Laufer, Julie Roger, Roja Singh, Mohammad Gholipoor, and Ulrich W. Paetzold*

ABSTRACT: Enhancing reproducibility, repeatability, as well as facilitating transferability between laboratories will accelerate the progress in many material domains, wherein perovskite-based optoelectronics are a prime use case. This study presents fully automated perovskite thin film processing using a commercial spin-coating robot in an inert atmosphere. We successfully apply this novel processing method to antisolvent quenching. This process is typically difficult to reproduce and transfer and is now enhanced to exceptional repeatability in comparison to manual processing. Champion perovskite solar cells demonstrate power conversion efficiencies as high as 19.9%, proving the transferability of established manual spin-coating processes to automatic setups. Comparison with human experts reveals that the performance is already on par, while automated processing yields improved homogeneity across the substrate surface. This work demonstrates that fully automated perovskite thin film processing improves repeatability. Such systems bear the potential to become a foundation for autonomous optimization and greatly improve transferability between laboratories.

KEYWORDS: automated, robotic, repeatable, reproducible, spin-coating, perovskite, solar cells, photovoltaic

INTRODUCTION

While the power conversion efficiency (PCE) of perovskite solar cells (PSC) has steadily increased over the past years, a major disparity between the performance of champion devices and the majority of solar cells persists (see SI: Figure S1). Spin-coating is the most widespread method of depositing these perovskite thin films, dominating with record PCEs above 26%. However, the process faces limited repeatability and reproducibility due to very narrow process windows, high dependency on precursor quality, and sensitivity to crystallization parameters which are crucially influenced by manual human processing.¹ Repeatability and reproducibility are often imprecisely used synonymously, but their meanings are slightly different.² In this work, we focus on repeatability, meaning obtaining the same or consistent results when an experiment is redone (repeated) under perfectly identical conditions. Reproducibility, in contrast, means obtaining consistent results under as similar as possible conditions as the original experiment (e.g., using the same methodology), but with different surroundings or operator.^{2,3} While these terms are well distinguished in scientific literature,^{2,3} they are not always consistently used. Reproducing the solvent quenching step in perovskite thin film processing presents a significant challenge, even within the same lab, especially when tools like pipettes or spin-coaters are not perfectly identical.⁴ The processing



environment, especially the solvent atmosphere, plays a crucial role in the crystallization process.^{5,6} While introducing an external solvent atmosphere during annealing can sometimes be beneficial,^{6,7} an uncontrolled atmosphere can lead to reduced repeatability and transferability of perovskite thin films.^{4,8} Therefore, precise control of processing conditions and the environment is essential to enable the transfer of recipes between research groups to build on each other's findings and advance the field. Recent advances demonstrate the promise of high throughput, automated experimentation and machine learning (ML) for accelerating materials discovery and optimizing material processing. Some acceleration platforms focus on discovering novel material combinations via screening methods and ML approaches,^{8–17} while others investigate stability using high throughput methods.^{18–23} Learning and optimization algorithms can reduce the required number of experiments^{24–28} and can also accelerate process optimizations.^{29–32} Other studies test




Figure 1. (a) Fully automated spin-coating robot used for fabricating perovskite thin films. It is fully integrated into a N_2 filled glovebox. (b) Architecture of the perovskite solar cell devices.




Figure 2. Performance of perovskite solar cells fabricated with our fully automated spin-coating process. (a) Power conversion efficiency statistics of nine batches with respective yields and standard deviations σ of individual batches, across all batches and of the medians. (b) Photoluminescence peak wavelength $\lambda_{PL_{peak}}$ and implied open-circuit voltage (iV_{oc}) obtained from PL quantum yield. (c) Ratio of X-ray diffraction peaks of PbI_2 and perovskite across the batches. Colors for the batches are the same as in the other subfigures, but batch 2 is not shown as the sample was damaged before the XRD measurement.

mobile autonomous robots to replace the human experimenter.^{33–35} All such approaches are based on the assumption that automated processing produces consistent and comparable experimental findings. However, no clear evidence for the high repeatability of automated perovskite thin film fabrication has been presented yet. In response, our research tests this assumption for perovskite thin film fabrication using a fully automated spin-coating setup. Expanding on established findings, we publish for the first time a detailed evaluation of repeatability regarding four aspects: First, performance is compared across nine batches of PSCs with automatically fabricated thin films. Furthermore, we compare the perovskite thin films with regard to their structural, compositional, and optoelectronic properties. Second, we present our champion PSC showing the transferability of an established triple cation 1.59 eV perovskite composition to the automated fabrication. Third, the device performances are contrasted with reference devices processed by experienced human experimenters. Finally, differences in homogeneity are similarly contrasted. All of this is the first publication to apply the complex one-step antisolvent quenching method using automated processing within an inert glovebox.

To automatically fabricate the perovskite thin films we used a fully automated spin-coating robot (shown in Figure 1a). It autonomously performs sample positioning, pipetting, timed antisolvent dispensing, and annealing processes. The robot gripper has four degrees of freedom and interacts with objects on the base platform of $150 \times 75 \times 50$ cm³. The gripper handles the glass substrates and places them in the spin-coater, on the hot plates, and in the substrate parking positions. The liquid handling system fills a pipet tip with the solution and dispenses it on the substrate positioned in the spin-coater. Precise timing and control of antisolvent dispensing is performed using a syringe pump. The fully automated robotic setup was acquired from Sciprios GmbH. The system operates in a controlled N₂ glovebox with constant circulation and filtering helping to mitigate variations in the solvent atmosphere. The glovebox is equipped with an active charcoal filter for nonpolar solvents and a regeneratable molecular sieve for polar solvents. Additional measures, such as continuous removal of solvent vapors via a small pump, and regular replacement of absorbing tissues, are applied to further control the local atmosphere during crystallization, reducing variability.

The p-i-n architecture for the devices consists of a Glass-ITO-2PACz-Perovskite-LiF-C60-BCP-Ag layer stack. The perovskite absorber layer is a Cs_{0.05}MA_{0.1}FA_{0.85}Pb(I_{0.9}Br_{0.1})₃ composition with a 1.59 eV bandgap energy. This perovskite has previously been used to realize two-terminal tandem solar cells in our research group,³⁶ and similar triple cation Cs-MA-FA perovskite compositions have been intensively studied in recent years.^{1,37,38} Experimental Materials and Methods provides a detailed description of the fabrication procedure and precursor composition.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Repeatability. To become a feasible alternative to manual spin-coating, automated spin-coating of perovskite thin films must first prove excellent repeatability. Repeatability means consistent performance as well as uniform structural, compositional, and optoelectronic properties across devices. We present evidence that automatic spin-coating produces highly repeatable results across nine batches (see Figure 2) in terms

of high device performance (a), optoelectronic properties (b), as well as structural and compositional properties (c):

(a) *Device performance* repeatability is demonstrated both by the median device PCE surpassing 17% as well as the champion device PCE surpassing 18% in all nine batches. Within each batch, PCE standard deviations (σ_i) are low, as is the median variation across batches ($\sigma_{\text{medians}} < 0.4\%$), and total variation across all PSCs ($\sigma_{\text{all}} < 1\%$). The coefficient of variation (CV) σ/μ for the device performance across all cells is 0.053. The average yield of functioning devices across all batches is 82%, where a functioning device is defined by fill factor (FF) $> 65\%$ and $V_{\text{oc}} > 0.9$ V (For all devices, ranges and other JV-Parameters see Figures S3 and S4). This yield appears lower than expected, but it was evaluated very conservatively and includes also losses induced from surrounding processes, mechanical handling problems of the spin-coater, and software fails requiring manual interruption and restart. Overall, directly comparing the PCE variability in this experiment with previous studies proves difficult. In the perovskite literature, discussions are typically centered around champion batches, disregarding the repeatability of results. The problem of repeatability and reproducibility has been previously identified in the field of perovskite photovoltaics.^{4,39,40} Even within champion batches, standard deviations in PCE are often around 1% (abs.) as seen in recent high-efficiency perovskite publications,^{41–44} indicating unwarranted variability. Taking (typically not reported) nonchampion batches into consideration, variability can be assumed to be much higher, implying that the variability achieved here is at least equal if not lower. A practical benefit of such low variability is its potential to be used as a benchmark reference for future experiments.

(b) *Optoelectronic properties* of the thin films are equally comparable across batches (see Figure 2b). The implied open circuit voltage iV_{oc} of 1.11 V—calculated from photoluminescence quantum yield (PLQY) measurements (see SI: Figure S5)—matches the V_{oc} of the devices. Furthermore, band gap determination from peak emission wavelengths of 780 ± 2 nm coincide with the inflection point of external quantum efficiency (EQE) at 1.59 eV. The peak wavelength CV of 0.25% (rel.) is close to other reported CVs in studies that optimize film quality using photoluminescence characterizations, ranging from 0.07 to 0.1%.^{31,45}

(c) *Structural and compositional properties* also suggest good repeatability (see Figure 2c). XRD peak positions and peak ratios of the main perovskite peak compared to the PbI₂ peak are consistent. Similarly, the uniformity in the different perovskite peak ratios indicates a consistent crystallographic structure and grain orientation. The peak ratios of different perovskite and PbI₂ peaks vary only slightly (CV < 0.15) over the different batches. The ratios are obtained by comparing integrated Gaussian fits of the following XRD peaks: 13.9° (110) Perovskite, 19.8° (112) Perovskite, and 28.1° (220) Perovskite to 12.6° (001) PbI₂. The slightly higher PbI₂ peak in the XRD pattern suggests an excess of PbI₂ in the perovskite film, which can generally enhance performance by passivating defects.⁴⁶ However, excessive PbI₂ can lead to detrimental effects on long-term stability due to photodegradation.^{47,48} Although long-term stability is not the focus of this study, previous studies with similar compositions have shown acceptable stability.³⁶ This study focuses on the repeatability of the perovskite thin film formation and compared the latter to manually processed thin films, shown later, the variation of peak ratios is small.




Figure 3. Champion PSC made using the fully automated perovskite spin-coating process: (a) current–voltage characteristics in backward and forward direction, (b) External quantum efficiency (EQE) of champion PSC. Inset in (b) shows maximum power point tracking of the champion device and a manually processed reference cell, normalized to their respective maximum power.




Figure 4. Comparison of automatically and manually coated perovskite thin films and device parameters (a) PCE with standard deviations σ_i and yields (*not buffered by backup samples—see SI for explanation), (b) XRD peak ratio of PbI₂ and perovskite (c)/(d) SEM images of the perovskite surface with a magnification of 20,000 show identical surface grain structure.

Champion PSCs and Transferability of Established Recipe. Beyond high repeatability, automated thin film fabrication can yield very high PCEs close to 20% and is capable of adapting recipes for high-performance perovskite processing. The recipe we used (detailed in SI) has been optimized for over a decade in thousands of manually processed devices. Successful adaptation to our automated process took less than five batches, with the cells exhibiting maximum power point (MPP) behavior comparable to manually processed references.

The highest PCE for a device with an automatically fabricated perovskite thin film exceeded 19.9%. In the current–voltage (IV) curve and characteristics (see Figure 3a), the device shows a high FF = 79% and a medium V_{oc} = 1.11 V and J_{sc} = 22.6 mA/cm², with a minor hysteresis index of HI = 0.02. A PCE exceeding 19% was achieved in four of the nine batches. Reflection losses, limiting the quantum efficiency, have been reduced by a 125 nm MgF₂ thick antireflection coating (ARC). The ARC increases the J_{sc} obtained from EQE from 21.1 to 21.9 mA/cm² (see Figure 3b). The MPP of automatically spin-coated devices stabilizes to 18.6% after 300 s, and behaves similarly to the manually processed reference

devices (see inset in Figure 3b). Other studies have reported champion device PCEs of 21.6³¹ and 23.1%⁴⁵ for perovskite thin films fully coated using automated processes. However, they used a different composition, a 2-step process under ambient conditions, and a n-i-p architecture (see SI: Table S1). In contrast, we implement the 1-step antisolvent process in N₂ glovebox conditions for a p-i-n architecture. Compared to devices with similar layer stacks and perovskite compositions, which typically achieve PCEs of around 20% (see SI: Table S2), our devices exhibit comparable performance.

Automated Fabrication Vs Human Experimenter. Compared to human experimenters, our automated setup produces perovskite films of equivalent quality. We show this by systematically comparing PSCs produced by four experienced human experimenters with PSCs produced by the automated setup. Structural, compositional, and device analyses confirm the equivalent quality of the films. The previously shown repeatability that the automated setup can achieve is especially apparent when contrasted to human experimenters, all of whom produced devices with more performance variation.




Figure 5. Improved homogeneity of automatically coated films compared to manually coated films. Representative samples show (a) spatial PL images illuminated using blue LEDs 457 nm (0.08 suns) and recorded with a camera through an optical 780 nm long-pass filter, (b) diffuse reflection images with the same illumination but recorded through a neutral density filter, (c)/(d) optical microscope images recorded with a magnification of $\times 5$ and $\times 20$ displaying the typical wrinkles observed in the manually processes films, (e) SEM images recorded under a 20 keV electron beam also show these wrinkles.

To allow for such a direct comparison (see Figure 4a), all experiments were conducted on the same day with the same precursor solution and instruments. The only varied parameter was the experimenter responsible for the spin-coating of the perovskite thin films. All human experimenters are very experienced researchers who have fabricated more than a thousand perovskite thin films and devices. An analysis of variance with a posthoc Tukey group test (see SI) indicates no significant differences in PCE between the automatic processing and the best human experimenter. While there was no significant difference between the human experimenters, the automated setup produced significantly better thin films than two of the four experimenters. Overall, the reduced variation of the automatically processed devices compared to the manually produced devices underlines again the high process repeatability of the setup.

The grain structure exhibits consistent surface morphology between automatically and manually produced films, as

revealed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) at a magnification of 20,000 (see Figure 4c,d). The underlying grain structure consists of grains ranging from 300 to 700 nm overlaid by smaller agglomerates of only about 100 nm in diameter. These smaller agglomerates are attributed to unreacted PbI_2 , of which there is a 10% excess in the recipe by design, as they help passivate surface and grain boundary defects.⁴⁶ The comparability of the automatically and manually coated perovskite films is further supported by aligning structural and compositional properties (see Figure 4b). The XRD peak positions are consistent across the different operators. However, variations arise in peak intensities and ratios. This suggests that crystal formation and orientation are influenced by operational differences in antisolvent dispensing. The automated process achieves more consistent peak ratios across different batches (see Figure 2c).

The main advantage of automatic fabrication in comparison to manual fabrication is that all parameters for spin-coating

antisolvent dispensing, and annealing can be precisely controlled, reducing unexplained variance caused by human involvement. These parameters include the position, height, angle, and movement of the pipet,³¹ solvent dispense speed^{49,50} and consistency, as well as the timing of the solvent quenching process.^{51,52} This control over experimental conditions enables transfer to other systems or laboratories to collaborate, reproduce findings, and build upon them. Especially with the assistance of electronic lab notebooks^{53,54} or database projects,⁵⁵ this enables efficient experimentation. In the future, this could be controlled by advanced algorithms such as Bayesian Optimizations, allowing established recipes to be transferred to the automated process and then fine-tuned. The next steps toward accelerating optimization are utilizing *in situ* characterization and algorithmic decision-making for autonomous experimental planning, as recently demonstrated by Zhang et al.³¹

Comparison of Homogeneity. One key asset of automated perovskite thin film processing beyond PCE, repeatability, and transferability is the enhanced homogeneity of the perovskite thin films. SEM and microscopy images show that in the center of the automatically coated films, no annular structure is present, which is commonly observed and attributed to local wrinkles in manually coated films (see Figure 5).^{56,57}

The emergence of rough annular surface structures is not uncommon in manual perovskite thin film fabrication.^{56,57} These micrometer-sized wrinkles (see Figure 5) are formed due to stress during the crystallization of the film.^{58,59} While all manually coated films show these wrinkles, no automatically coated samples develop them. Likely, minor differences in the way the antisolvent is dispensed onto the rotating sample cause these inhomogeneities. These differences might be due to the height and angle of holding the pipet, or due to the shape of the tip, as the robot dispenses through a syringe pump and nozzle. Neither the grain structure nor the device performance is affected by the wrinkling. It should be noted that in our layout, the active area only minimally intersects with the annular structure (see Figure S2). While it might be possible that this structure improves light in coupling, it could also be detrimental for devices with an active area in the center, by creating interfacial defects or inhomogeneous covering of subsequent layers. Other studies and reviews have shown that a homogeneous absorber is essential for realizing efficient modules in large-area devices.^{60–62}

Spatial photoluminescence images reveal that homogeneity is slightly improved in automatically coated films compared to manually coated ones (see Figure 5a). While the standard deviation within the evaluated region is lower than the manual reference for half of the automatically coated films, inhomogeneities persist in the other half, yielding similar variations as the manually coated films. The evaluated region in the sample center corresponds to two-thirds of the sample width. This exclusion of the sample edges serves the purpose of excluding edge effects such as coffee ring formation.

CONCLUSIONS

This article reports on the fully automated fabrication of high-quality perovskite thin films for application in solar cells, highlighting their excellent repeatability, transferability, and homogeneity achieved through automated processing. Demonstrating consistent repeatability for nine consecutive batches, we observed minimal variations in solar cell performance,

structure, composition, and optoelectronic characteristics. Notably, the champion devices achieved a PCE of 19.9%, confirming that established perovskite recipes can be transferred to the automated process without loss of PCE. Compared to human experimenters, the automated fabrication consistently matched the performance of manually coated perovskite films, confirming the reliability and efficacy of the automated process. The thin films produced by the automatic setup exhibited improved homogeneity, eliminating annular structures that commonly arise from manual spin-coating. Importantly, this study demonstrates the antisolvent quenching method within an N₂ glovebox using automated systems. This is particularly relevant as a majority of current high-performance perovskite PV devices reported are fabricated using quenching methods. This automatic fabrication technique has the potential to significantly accelerate research in perovskite-based optoelectronics by providing a highly repeatable baseline process, which drives the development of standard operating procedures that can be adopted by different institutions, promoting collaboration, comparability of results, and FAIR data practices. Furthermore, the integration of this automated system with machine learning or algorithmic optimization, as demonstrated by other research groups, could lead to continuous process improvement and rapid material innovation, with applicability extending to other material science domains beyond perovskites. In conclusion, fully automated robotic spin-coating emerges as a transformative technology in perovskite solar cell research, providing a robust foundation for further experimentation and algorithmic optimization.

EXPERIMENTAL MATERIALS AND METHODS

Solution Precursors and Solvents. 2PACz (TCI, CAS: 20999-38-6), Lead iodide (PbI₂, TCI, CAS: 10101-63-0) and Lead Bromide (PbBr₂, TCI, CAS: 10031-22-8), Formamidinium iodide (FAI, Dyenamo, CAS: 879643-71-7), Methylammonium Bromide (MABr, Greatcell Solar, CAS: 6876-37-5), Cesium Iodide (CsI, TCI, CAS: 7789-17-5), Lithium Fluoride (LiF, ChemPur, CAS: 7789-24-4) Fullerene-C60 (C60, Sigma-Aldrich, CAS: 99685-96-8), Bathocuproine (BCP, Lumescence Technology, CAS: 4733-39-5), and (MgF₂, Sigma-Aldrich, CAS: 7783-40-6). Solvents including *N,N*-dimethylformamide ≥99.9% (DMF, CAS: 68-12-2), Dimethyl sulfoxide anhydrous ≥99.9% (DMSO, CAS: 67-68-5), and Chloro Benzene anhydrous 99.8% (CB, CAS: 108-90-7) were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich. Ethanol absolute 99.8% was ordered from VWR Chemicals.

Fabrication of Perovskite Solar Cells. ITO substrates (sheet resistance 15 Ω/square, Luminescence Technology, CAS: 50926-11-9) were cut in 16 mm × 16 mm and cleaned with acetone and isopropanol in an ultrasonic bath for 10 min each. The substrates were further treated with oxygen plasma for 5 min. As HTL, a monolayer of 2PACz was deposited on the ITO substrates by spin-coating at 3000 rpm for 30 s and subsequently annealed at 100 °C for 10 min in a N₂ atmosphere. The 2PACz precursor solution was prepared by dissolving 2PACz in anhydrous ethanol with a concentration of 1.5 mM. The prepared solution was put in an ultrasonic bath for 20 min before it was used. The perovskite precursor solution was prepared by first dissolving PbI₂ (1.3 M, 602.5 mg) in a mixture of DMF:DMSO (4:1 v/v, 800 μL: 200 μL). The PbI₂ solution was kept on a hot plate at 130 °C for 15 min and added to a mixture of PbBr₂ (0.14 M, 51.75 mg), MABr (0.14 M, 15.8 mg) and FAI (1.2 M, 206 mg). The solution is then stirred until fully dissolved. From a CsI (1.5 M, 390 mg) in DMSO (1 mL) solution, the CsI (0.07 M, 46.7 μL) is added to the solution resulting in a composition described by Cs_{0.05}MA_{0.1}FA_{0.85}Pb(I_{0.9}Br_{0.1})₃. To spin-coat the perovskite films 100 μL of the precursor solution is dispensed on the substrates, which are then spun at 1000 rpm (500 rpm s⁻¹) for

10 s and 5000 rpm (2000 rpm s^{-1}) for 20 s. 25 s after the start, CB (150 μ L) was dispensed onto the center of the spinning substrate, at a rate of 150 μ L/s from 25 mm above the sample. The spin-coating is performed in a N_2 atmosphere. The samples were then annealed at 140 °C for 10 min in a nitrogen atmosphere. The passivation layer of 1 nm LiF was thermally evaporated at a rate of 0.2 $\text{\AA}/\text{s}$. The electron transport layer, 20 nm of C60 and 3.5 nm BCP, was thermally evaporated at a rate of 0.2 $\text{\AA}/\text{s}$ while maintaining a pressure not higher than 10^{-6} mbar. Finally, 100 nm of Ag was thermally evaporated using a shadow mask with an active area of 10.5 mm^2 to complete the perovskite solar cells with 4 pixels per substrate. A 125 nm thermally evaporated at 1 $\text{\AA}/\text{s}$ MgF_2 layer as ARC was added on the glass side for the champion devices.

Characterization of Perovskite Thin Films and Respective Solar Cell Devices. To measure the current voltage characteristics of the solar cell devices an Oriel Sol3A Class AAA Solar Simulator, calibrated to 1 sun ($\pm 1\%$) intensity using a certified MKS Instruments silicon reference cell with a KG5 filter. The solar cells are measured in N_2 atmosphere and are kept at 25 °C using a Peltier, thermocouple, and temperature controller. The IV curve of the PSCs was measured in backward and forward direction with a 10 mV step size using a Keithley 2400 source meter unit. MPP tracking was performed using a perturb and observe method.

The PLQY measurements were conducted using the LuQY Pro system of QYB. This system is equipped with an internal integrating sphere and an exciting laser with 532 nm (1 sun equivalent intensity). The iV_{oc} was calculated using the $iV_{oc} = k_B \cdot T \cdot \log(PLQY \cdot J_{sc}/J_{BB})$. The J_{sc} was derived from the EQE measurements and J_{BB} was obtained by integrating the multiplication of the EQE spectrum with the blackbody spectrum at $T = 300$ K. The photoluminescence spectra are shown in the SI (Figure S5).

The crystal structure of the perovskite layers was analyzed out utilizing XRD (Bruker D2Phaser system) with Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5405$ \AA) in Bragg–Brentano configuration using a LynxEye detector. The XRD was taken from the perovskite layer deposited on the ITO/2PACz substrate to obtain the same perovskite nucleation as well as crystallization as in the solar cells.

The EQE was measured using a PVE300 photovoltaic QE system (Bentham EQE system). A chopping frequency of 570 Hz with an integration time of 500 ms to acquire the spectra in a wavelength range from 300 to 900 nm and a spot size of 0.74 mm^2 ; was used.

Reflectance spectra of the PSCs were measured using a PerkinElmer Lambda1050 spectrophotometry setup equipped with a double-monochromator and a modulated source. A chopper frequency of 46 Hz was applied.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was measured out in an SEM (Zeiss LEO1530) with an aperture size of 20–30 μm . The acceleration voltages for the analysis ranged between 2 and 10 kV.

The optical microscope images were taken with a Zeiss Axioplan 2 imaging.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsami.4c13024>.

Layer stack and PCE of other automatically fabricated PSCs and of PSCs with a similar composition and layer stack, an evolution of PSC record PCE evolution compared to all PSCs listed in the perovskite database, active areas of our devices, IV Parameters for the nine consecutive batches and the unfiltered device PCE, PL spectra and XRD spectra for the nine batches and the XRD spectra for the robotic - human comparison, preliminary results of a Gas-quenched process, and detailed descriptions on the yield considerations and the results of the ANOVA (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Daniel O. Baumann – Institute of Microstructure Technology (IMT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany; orcid.org/0009-0007-8386-6019; Email: daniel.baumann4@kit.edu

Ulrich W. Paetzold – Institute of Microstructure Technology (IMT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany; Light Technology Institute (LTI), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0002-1557-8361; Email: ulrich.paetzold@kit.edu

Authors

Felix Laufer – Institute of Microstructure Technology (IMT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany; Light Technology Institute (LTI), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

Julie Roger – Institute of Microstructure Technology (IMT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

Roja Singh – Institute of Microstructure Technology (IMT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany; Light Technology Institute (LTI), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0002-2202-3612

Mohammad Gholipoor – Institute of Microstructure Technology (IMT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support by the Initiating and Networking funding of the Helmholtz Association (the Solar Technology Acceleration Platform (Solar TAP)), the program-oriented funding IV of the Helmholtz Association (Materials and Technologies for the Energy Transition, Topic 1: Photovoltaics and Wind Energy, Code: 38.01.04). We acknowledge support by the Karlsruhe School of Optics and Photonics (KSOP) and the Ministry of Science, Research and Arts of Baden-Württemberg as part of the sustainability financing of the projects of the Excellence Initiative II. The authors also gratefully acknowledge support from KSOP through a PhD scholarship. A warm thank you also to the whole “perovskite task force” at KIT for fruitful discussions and assistance. We acknowledge the use of generative AI in assisting the refinement of sentence structure, grammar, and spelling, thereby contributing to the enhancement of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1) Saliba, M.; Correa-Baena, J.-P.; Wolff, C. M.; Stolterfoht, M.; Phung, N.; Albrecht, S.; Neher, D.; Abate, A. How to Make over 20% Efficient Perovskite Solar Cells in Regular (n–i–p) and Inverted (p–i–n) Architectures. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 4193–4201.
- 2) Plessner, H. E. Reproducibility vs. Replicability: A Brief History of a Confused Terminology. *Front. Neuroinform.* **2018**, *11*, 76.
- 3) Miller, J.; Miller, J. C. *Statistics and Chemometrics for Analytical Chemistry*; Pearson Education, 2018.

(4) Goetz, K. P.; Vaynzof, Y. The Challenge of Making the Same Device Twice in Perovskite Photovoltaics. *ACS Energy Letters* **2022**, *7*, 1750–1757.

(5) Xiao, Z.; Xiao, Z.; Dong, Q.; Dong, Q.; Bi, C.; Bi, C.; Shao, Y.; Shao, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Huang, J.; Huang, J. Solvent Annealing of Perovskite-Induced Crystal Growth for Photovoltaic-Device Efficiency Enhancement. *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 6503.

(6) Cao, X.; Zhi, L.; Jia, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhao, K.; Cui, X.; Ci, L.; Zhuang, D.; Wei, J. A Review of the Role of Solvents in Formation of High-Quality Solution-Processed Perovskite Films. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 7639–7654.

(7) Jeon, N. J.; Jeon, N. J.; Noh, J. H.; Noh, J. H.; Kim, Y. C.; Kim, Y. C.; Yang, W. S.; Yang, W. S.; Ryu, S.; Ryu, S.; Seok, S. I.; Seok, S. I. Solvent Engineering for High-Performance Inorganic–Organic Hybrid Perovskite Solar Cells. *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13*, 897.

(8) Cakan, D. N.; Kumar, R. E.; Oberholtz, E.; Kodur, M.; Palmer, J. R.; Gupta, A.; Kaushal, K.; Vossler, H. M.; Fenning, D. P. PASCAL: The Perovskite Automated Spin Coat Assembly Line Accelerates Composition Screening in Triple-Halide Perovskite Alloys. *Digital Discovery* **2024**, *3*, 1236–1246.

(9) Sun, S.; Hartono, N. T.; Ren, Z. D.; Oviedo, F.; Buscemi, A. M.; Layurova, M.; Chen, D. X.; Ogunfunmi, T.; Thapa, J.; Ramasamy, S.; Settens, C.; DeCost, B. L.; Kusne, A. G.; Liu, Z.; Tian, S. I.; Peters, I. M.; Correa-Baena, J.-P.; Buonassisi, T. Accelerated Development of Perovskite-Inspired Materials via High-Throughput Synthesis and Machine-Learning Diagnosis. *Joule* **2019**, *3*, 1437–1451.

(10) Kirman, J.; Johnston, A.; Kuntz, D. A.; Askerka, M.; Gao, Y.; Todorović, P.; Ma, D.; Privé, G. G.; Sargent, E. H. Machine-Learning-Accelerated Perovskite Crystallization. *Matter* **2020**, *2*, 938–947.

(11) Li, Z.; Najeeb, M. A.; Alves, L.; Sherman, A. Z.; Shekar, V.; Cruz Parrilla, P.; Pendleton, I. M.; Wang, W.; Nega, P. W.; Zeller, M.; Schrier, J.; Norquist, A. J.; Chan, E. M. Robot-Accelerated Perovskite Investigation and Discovery. *Chem. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, 5650–5663.

(12) Gu, E.; Tang, X.; Langner, S.; Duchstein, P.; Zhao, Y.; Levchuk, I.; Kalancha, V.; Stubhan, T.; Hauch, J.; Egelhaaf, H. J.; Zahn, D.; Osvet, A.; Brabec, C. J. Robot-Based High-Throughput Screening of Antisolvents for Lead Halide Perovskites. *Joule* **2020**, *4*, 1806–1822.

(13) Yang, J.; Lawrie, B. J.; Kalinin, S. V.; Ahmadi, M. High-Throughput Automated Exploration of Phase Growth Behaviors in Quasi-2D Formamidinium Metal Halide Perovskites. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2023**, *13*, No. 2302337.

(14) Wu, J.; Zhang, J.; Hu, M.; Reiser, P.; Torresi, L.; Friederich, P.; Lahn, L.; Kasian, O.; Guldi, D. M.; Pérez-Ojeda, M. E.; Barabash, A.; Rocha-Ortiz, J. S.; Zhao, Y.; Xie, Z.; Luo, J.; Wang, Y.; Seok, S. I.; Hauch, J. A.; Brabec, C. J. Integrated System Built for Small-Molecule Semiconductors via High-Throughput Approaches. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2023**, *145*, 16517–16525.

(15) Wang, T.; Li, R.; Ardekani, H.; Serrano-Luján, L.; Wang, J.; Ramezani, M.; Wilmington, R.; Chauhan, M.; Epps, R. W.; Darabi, K.; Guo, B.; Sun, D.; Abolhasani, M.; Gundogdu, K.; Amassian, A. Sustainable Materials Acceleration Platform Reveals Stable and Efficient Wide-Bandgap Metal Halide Perovskite Alloys. *Matter* **2023**, *6*, 2963–2986.

(16) Moradi, S.; Kundu, S.; Awais, M.; Haruta, Y.; Nguyen, H.; Zhang, D.; Tan, F.; Saidaminov, M. I. High-Throughput Exploration of Triple-Cation Perovskites via All-in-One Compositionally-Graded Films. *Small* **2023**, *19*, No. 2301037.

(17) Zhang, Y.; Zhou, Y. High-Throughput Multi-Scale Experiments to Probe the Stability of Metal Halide Perovskites. *ACS Energy Letters* **2024**, *9*, 186–190.

(18) Chen, S.; Hou, Y.; Chen, H.; Tang, X.; Langner, S.; Li, N.; Stubhan, T.; Levchuk, I.; Gu, E.; Osvet, A.; Brabec, C. J. Exploring the Stability of Novel Wide Bandgap Perovskites by a Robot Based High Throughput Approach. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, *8*, No. 1701543.

(19) Higgins, K.; Valletti, S. M.; Ziatdinov, M.; Kalinin, S. V.; Ahmadi, M. Chemical Robotics Enabled Exploration of Stability in Multicomponent Lead Halide Perovskites via Machine Learning. *ACS Energy Letters* **2020**, *5*, 3426–3436.

(20) Higgins, K.; Ziatdinov, M.; Kalinin, S. V.; Ahmadi, M. High-Throughput Study of Antisolvents on the Stability of Multicomponent Metal Halide Perovskites through Robotics-Based Synthesis and Machine Learning Approaches. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 19945–19955.

(21) Zhao, Y.; Zhang, J.; Xu, Z.; Sun, S.; Langner, S.; Hartono, N. T. P.; Heumueller, T.; Hou, Y.; Elia, J.; Li, N.; Matt, G. J.; Du, X.; Meng, W.; Osvet, A.; Zhang, K.; Stubhan, T.; Feng, Y.; Hauch, J.; Sargent, E. H.; Buonassisi, T.; Brabec, C. J. Discovery of Temperature-Induced Stability Reversal in Perovskites Using High-Throughput Robotic Learning. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 2191.

(22) Zhang, J.; Langner, S.; Wu, J.; Kupfer, C.; Lüer, L.; Meng, W.; Zhao, B.; Liu, C.; Daum, M.; Osvet, A.; Li, N.; Halik, M.; Stubhan, T.; Zhao, Y.; Hauch, J. A.; Brabec, C. J. Intercalating-Organic-Cation-Induced Stability Bowing in Quasi-2D Metal-Halide Perovskites. *ACS Energy Letters* **2022**, *7*, 70–77.

(23) Zhang, J.; Wu, J.; Langner, S.; Zhao, B.; Xie, Z.; Hauch, J. A.; Afify, H. A.; Barabash, A.; Luo, J.; Sytnyk, M.; Meng, W.; Zhang, K.; Liu, C.; Osvet, A.; Li, N.; Halik, M.; Heiss, W.; Zhao, Y.; Brabec, C. J. Exploring the Steric Hindrance of Alkylammonium Cations in the Structural Reconfiguration of Quasi-2D Perovskite Materials Using a High-throughput Experimental Platform. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2022**, *32*, No. 2207101.

(24) Tang, Y.; Li, Z.; Nellikkal, M. A. N.; Eramian, H.; Chan, E. M.; Norquist, A. J.; Hsu, D. F.; Schrier, J. Improving Data and Prediction Quality of High-Throughput Perovskite Synthesis with Model Fusion. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2021**, *61*, 1593–1602. PMID: 33797887

(25) Shekar, V.; Nicholas, G.; Najeeb, M. A.; Zeile, M.; Yu, V.; Wang, X.; Slack, D.; Li, Z.; Nega, P. W.; Chan, E. M.; Norquist, A. J.; Schrier, J.; Friedler, S. A. Active Meta-Learning for Predicting and Selecting Perovskite Crystallization Experiments. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2022**, *156*, No. 064108.

(26) Lin, J.; Mo, F. Empowering Research in Chemistry and Materials Science through Intelligent Algorithms. *Artificial Intelligence Chemistry* **2024**, *2*, No. 100035.

(27) Amassian, A. Accelerating Hybrid Perovskite Research Through Robotic Micro-Experimentation. In *Organic and Hybrid Light Emitting Materials and Devices XXV*, 2021; p1180819.

(28) Du, X.; Lüer, L.; Heumueller, T.; Wagner, J.; Berger, C.; Osterrieder, T.; Wortmann, J.; Langner, S.; Vongsaysy, U.; Bertrand, M.; Li, N.; Stubhan, T.; Hauch, J.; Brabec, C. J. Elucidating the Full Potential of OPV Materials Utilizing a High-Throughput Robot-Based Platform and Machine Learning. *Joule* **2021**, *5*, 495–506.

(29) Liu, Z.; Rolston, N.; Flick, A. C.; Colburn, T. W.; Ren, Z.; Dauskardt, R. H.; Buonassisi, T. Machine Learning with Knowledge Constraints for Process Optimization of Open-Air Perovskite Solar Cell Manufacturing. *Joule* **2022**, *6*, 834–849.

(30) Osterrieder, T.; Schmitt, F.; Lüer, L.; Wagner, J.; Heumueller, T.; Hauch, J.; Brabec, C. J. Autonomous Optimization of an Organic Solar Cell in a 4-Dimensional Parameter Space. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2023**, *16*, 3984–3993.

(31) Zhang, J.; Liu, B.; Liu, Z.; Wu, J.; Arnold, S.; Shi, H.; Osterrieder, T.; Hauch, J. A.; Wu, Z.; Luo, J.; Wagner, J.; Berger, C. G.; Stubhan, T.; Schmitt, F.; Zhang, K.; Sytnyk, M.; Heumueller, T.; Sutter-Fella, C. M.; Peters, I. M.; Zhao, Y.; Brabec, C. J. Optimizing Perovskite Thin-Film Parameter Spaces with Machine Learning-Guided Robotic Platform for High-Performance Perovskite Solar Cells. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2023**, *13*, No. 2302594.

(32) Ahmadi, M.; Ziatdinov, M.; Zhou, Y.; Lass, E. A.; Kalinin, S. V. Machine Learning for High-Throughput Experimental Exploration of Metal Halide Perovskites. *Joule* **2021**, *5*, 2797–2822.

(33) MacLeod, B. P.; Parlane, F. G. L.; Morrissey, T. D.; Häse, F.; Roch, L. M.; Dettelbach, K. E.; Moreira, R.; Yunker, L. P. E.; Rooney, M. B.; Deeth, J. R.; Lai, V.; Ng, G. J.; Situ, H.; Zhang, R. H.; Elliott, M. S.; Haley, T. H.; Dvorak, D. J.; Aspuru-Guzik, A.; Hein, J. E.; Berlinguette, C. P. Self-Driving Laboratory for Accelerated Discovery of Thin-Film Materials. *Sci. Adv.* **2020**, *6*, No. eaaz8867.

(34) Li, J.; Lu, Y.; Xu, Y.; Liu, C.; Tu, Y.; Ye, S.; Liu, H.; Xie, Y.; Qian, H.; Zhu, X. AIR-Chem: Authentic Intelligent Robotics for Chemistry. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2018**, *122*, 9142–9148.

(35) Burger, B.; Maffettone, P. M.; Gusev, V. V.; Aitchison, C. M.; Bai, Y.; Wang, X.; Li, X.; Alston, B. M.; Li, B.; Clowes, R.; Rankin, N.; Harris, B.; Sprick, R. S.; Cooper, A. I. A Mobile Robotic Chemist. *Nature* **2020**, *583*, 237–241.

(36) Ruiz-Preciado, M. A.; Gota, F.; Fassl, P.; Hossain, I. M.; Singh, R.; Laufer, F.; Schackmar, F.; Feeney, T.; Farag, A.; Allegro, I.; Hu, H.; Gharibzadeh, S.; Nejand, B. A.; Gevaerts, V. S.; Simor, M.; Bolt, P. J.; Paetzold, U. W. Monolithic Two-Terminal Perovskite/CIS Tandem Solar Cells with Efficiency Approaching 25%. *ACS Energy Letters* **2022**, *7*, 2273–2281.

(37) Stolterfoht, M.; Caprioglio, P.; Wolff, C. M.; Márquez, J. A.; Nordmann, J.; Zhang, S.; Rothhardt, D.; Hörmann, U.; Amir, Y.; Redinger, A.; Kegelmann, L.; Zu, F.; Albrecht, S.; Koch, N.; Kirchartz, T.; Saliba, M.; Unold, T.; Neher, D. The Impact of Energy Alignment and Interfacial Recombination on the Internal and External Open-Circuit Voltage of Perovskite Solar Cells. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2019**, *12*, 2778–2788.

(38) Hu, J.; You, J.; Peng, C.; Qiu, S.; He, W.; Li, C.; Liu, X.; Mai, Y.; Guo, F. Polyfluorene Copolymers as High-Performance Hole-Transport Materials for Inverted Perovskite Solar Cells. *Solar RRL* **2019**, *4*, No. 1900384.

(39) Correa-Baena, J.-P.; Saliba, M.; Buonassisi, T.; Grätzel, M.; Abate, A.; Tress, W.; Hagfeldt, A. Promises and Challenges of Perovskite Solar Cells. *Science* **2017**, *358*, 739–744.

(40) Mahapatra, A.; Kumar, S.; Kumar, P.; Pradhan, B. Recent Progress in Perovskite Solar Cells: Challenges from Efficiency to Stability. *Materials Today Chemistry* **2022**, *23*, No. 100686.

(41) Li, G.; Su, Z.; Canil, L.; Hughes, D.; Aldamasy, M. H.; Dagar, J.; Trofimov, S.; Wang, L.; Zuo, W.; Jerónimo-Rendon, J. J.; Byranvand, M. M.; Wang, C.; Zhu, R.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, F.; Nasti, G.; Naydenov, B.; Tsoi, W. C.; Li, Z.; Gao, X.; Wang, Z.; Jia, Y.; Unger, E.; Saliba, M.; Li, M.; Abate, A. Highly Efficient p-i-n Perovskite Solar Cells that Endure Temperature Variations. *Science* **2023**, *379*, 399–403.

(42) Yoo, J. J.; Seo, G.; Chua, M. R.; Park, T. G.; Lu, Y.; Rotermund, F.; Kim, Y.-K.; Moon, C. S.; Jeon, N. J.; Correa-Baena, J.-P.; Bulović, V.; Shin, S. S.; Bawendi, M. G.; Seo, J. Efficient Perovskite Solar Cells via Improved Carrier Management. *Nature* **2021**, *590*, 587–593.

(43) Li, Y.; Shi, J.; Zheng, J.; Bing, J.; Yuan, J.; Cho, Y.; Tang, S.; Zhang, M.; Yao, Y.; Lau, C. F. J.; Lee, D. S.; Liao, C.; Green, M. A.; Huang, S.; Ma, W.; Ho-Baillie, A. W. Y. Acetic Acid Assisted Crystallization Strategy for High Efficiency and Long-Term Stable Perovskite Solar Cell. *Adv. Sci.* **2020**, *7*, No. 1903368.

(44) Min, H.; Kim, M.; Lee, S.-U.; Kim, H.; Kim, G.; Choi, K.; Lee, J. H.; Seok, S. I. Efficient, Stable Solar Cells by using Inherent Bandgap of α -Phase Formamidinium Lead Iodide. *Science* **2019**, *366*, 749–753.

(45) Zhang, J.; Wu, J.; Barabash, A.; Du, T.; Qiu, S.; Le Corre, V. M.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, K.; Schmitt, F.; Peng, Z.; Tian, J.; Li, C.; Liu, C.; Heumueller, T.; Lüer, L.; Hauch, J. A.; Brabec, C. J. Precise Control of Process Parameters for > 23% Efficiency Perovskite Solar Cells in Ambient Air using an Automated Sevice Acceleration Platform. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2024**, *17*, 5490–5499.

(46) Shan, D.; Tong, G.; Cao, Y.; Tang, M.; Xu, J.; Yu, L.; Chen, K. The Effect of Decomposed PbI₂ on Microscopic Mechanisms of Scattering in CH₃NH₃PbI₃ Films. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2019**, *14*, 208.

(47) Gao, Y.; Raza, H.; Zhang, Z.; Chen, W.; Liu, Z. Rethinking the Role of Excess/Residual Lead Iodide in Perovskite Solar Cells. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2023**, *33*, No. 2215171.

(48) Tumen-Ulzui, G.; Qin, C.; Klotz, D.; Leyden, M. R.; Wang, P.; Auffray, M.; Fujihara, T.; Matsushima, T.; Lee, J.; Lee, S.; Yang, Y.; Adachi, C. Detrimental Effect of Unreacted PbI₂ on the Long-Term Stability of Perovskite Solar Cells. *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, No. 1905035.

(49) Taylor, A. D.; Sun, Q.; Goetz, K. P.; An, Q.; Schramm, T.; Hofstetter, Y.; Litterst, M.; Paulus, F.; Vaynzof, Y. A General Approach to High-Efficiency Perovskite Solar Cells by any Antisolvent. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 1878.

(50) Song, T.-B.; Yuan, Z.; Babbe, F.; Nenon, D. P.; Aydin, E.; De Wolf, S.; Sutter-Fella, C. M. Dynamics of Antisolvent Processed Hybrid Metal Halide Perovskites Studied by In Situ Photoluminescence and Its Influence on Optoelectronic Properties. *ACS Applied Energy Materials* **2020**, *3*, 2386–2393.

(51) Zhao, P.; Kim, B. J.; Ren, X.; Lee, D. G.; Bang, G. J.; Jeon, J. B.; Kim, W. B.; Jung, H. S. Antisolvent with an Ultrawide Processing Window for the One-Step Fabrication of Efficient and Large-Area Perovskite Solar Cells. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, No. 1802763.

(52) Farag, A.; Fassl, P.; Hu, H.; Feeney, T.; Quintilla, A.; Ruiz-Preciado, M. A.; Hempel, W.; Bagrowski, D.; Noack, P.; Wattenberg, B.; Dippell, T.; Paetzold, U. W. Mitigation of Open-Circuit Voltage Losses in Perovskite Solar Cells Processed over Micrometer-Sized-Textured Si Substrates. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2022**, *33*, No. 2210758.

(53) Scheidgen, M.; Himanen, L.; Ladines, A. N.; Sikter, D.; Nakhaee, M.; Fekete, A.; Chang, T.; Golparvar, A.; Márquez, J. A.; Brockhauser, S.; Brückner, S.; Ghiringhelli, L. M.; Dietrich, F.; Lehmburg, D.; Denell, T.; Albino, A.; Näsström, H.; Shabih, S.; Dobener, F.; Kühbach, M.; Mozumder, R.; Rudzinski, J. F.; Daelman, N.; Pizarro, J. M.; Kuban, M.; Salazar, C.; Ondračka, P.; Bungartz, H.-J.; Draxl, C. NOMAD: A Distributed Web-Based Platform for Managing Materials Science Research Data. *Journal of Open Source Software* **2023**, *8*, 5388.

(54) Draxl, C.; Scheffler, M. The NOMAD Laboratory: From Data Sharing to Artificial Intelligence. *Journal of Physics: Materials* **2019**, *2*, No. 036001.

(55) Jacobsson, T. J.; Hultqvist, A.; García-Fernández, A.; Anand, A.; Al-Ashouri, A.; Hagfeldt, A.; Crovetto, A.; Abate, A.; Ricciardulli, A. G.; Vijayan, A.; Kulkarni, A.; Anderson, A. Y.; Darwich, B. P.; Yang, B.; Coles, B. L.; Perini, C. A. R.; Rehermann, C.; Ramirez, D.; Fairen-Jimenez, D.; Di Girolamo, D.; Jia, D.; Avila, E.; Juarez-Perez, E. J.; Baumann, F.; Mathies, F.; González, G. S. A.; Boschloo, G.; Nasti, G.; Paramasivam, G.; Martínez-Denegri, G.; Näsström, H.; Michaels, H.; Köbler, H.; Wu, H.; Benesperi, I.; Dar, M. I.; Bayrak Pehlivan, I.; Gould, I. E.; Vagott, J. N.; Dagar, J.; Kettle, J.; Yang, J.; Li, J.; Smith, J. A.; Pascual, J.; Jerónimo-Rendón, J. J.; Montoya, J. F.; Correa-Baena, J.-P.; Qiu, J.; Wang, J.; Sveinbjörnsson, K.; Hirselandt, K.; Dey, K.; Frohma, K.; Mathies, L.; Castriotta, L. A.; Aldamasy, M. H.; Vasquez-Montoya, M.; Ruiz-Preciado, M. A.; Flatken, M. A.; Khenkin, M. V.; Grischek, M.; Kedia, M.; Saliba, M.; Anaya, M.; Veldhoen, M.; Arora, N.; Shargai, O.; Maus, O.; Game, O. S.; Yudilevich, O.; Fassl, P.; Zhou, Q.; Betancur, R.; Munir, R.; Patidar, R.; Stranks, S. D.; Alam, S.; Kar, S.; Unold, T.; Abzieher, T.; Edvinsson, T.; David, T. W.; Paetzold, U. W.; Zia, W.; Fu, W.; Zuo, W.; Schröder, V. R. F.; Tress, W.; Zhang, X.; Chiang, Y.-H.; Iqbal, Z.; Xie, Z.; Unger, E. An Open-Access Database and Analysis Tool for Perovskite Solar Cells Based on the FAIR Data Principles. *Nature Energy* **2022**, *7*, 107–115.

(56) Braunger, S.; Mundt, L. E.; Wolff, C. M.; Mews, M.; Rehermann, C.; Jošt, M.; Tejada, A.; Eisenhauer, D.; Becker, C.; Guerra, J. A.; Unger, E.; Korte, L.; Neher, D.; Schubert, M. C.; Rech, B.; Albrecht, S. Cs_xFA_{1-x}Pb(I_{1-y}Bry)3 Perovskite Compositions: the Appearance of Wrinkled Morphology and its Impact on Solar Cell Performance. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2018**, *122*, 17123–17135.

(57) Tejada, A.; Braunger, S.; Korte, L.; Albrecht, S.; Rech, B.; Guerra, J. A. Optical Characterization and Bandgap Engineering of Flat and Wrinkle-Textured FA_{0.83}Cs_{0.17}Pb(I_{1-x}Bry)3 Perovskite Thin Films. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2018**, *123*, 175302.

(58) Bush, K. A.; Rolston, N.; Gold-Parker, A.; Manzoor, S.; Hausele, J.; Yu, Z. J.; Raiford, J. A.; Cheacharoen, R.; Holman, Z. C.; Toney, M. F.; Dauskardt, R. H.; McGehee, M. D. Controlling Thin-Film Stress and Wrinkling during Perovskite Film Formation. *ACS Energy Letters* **2018**, *3*, 1225–1232.

(59) Guo, B.; Chauhan, M.; Woodward, N. R.; McAndrews, G. R.; Thapa, G. J.; Lefler, B. M.; Li, R.; Wang, T.; Darabi, K.; McGehee, M. D.; Amassian, A. In situ Stress Monitoring Reveals Tension and Wrinkling Evolutions during Halide Perovskite Film Formation. *ACS Energy Letters* **2024**, *9*, 75–84.

(60) Dasgupta, A.; Mahesh, S.; Caprioglio, P.; Lin, Y.-H.; Zaininger, K.-A.; Oliver, R. D.; Holzhey, P.; Zhou, S.; McCarthy, M. M.; Smith, J. A.; Frenzel, M.; Christoforo, M. G.; Ball, J. M.; Wenger, B.; Snaith, H. J. Visualizing Macroscopic Inhomogeneities in Perovskite Solar Cells. *ACS Energy Letters* **2022**, *7*, 2311–2322.

(61) Yang, C.; Zhi, R.; Rothmann, M. U.; Huang, F.; Cheng, Y.-B.; Li, W. Toward Commercialization of Efficient and Stable Perovskite Solar Modules. *Solar RRL* **2021**, *6*, No. 2100600.

(62) Lee, D.-K.; Park, N.-G. Materials and Methods for High-Efficiency Perovskite Solar Modules. *Solar RRL* **2021**, *6*, No. 2100455.