

# **Scalable Li-Ion Battery with Metal/Metal Oxide Sulfur Cathode and Lithiated Silicon Oxide/Carbon Anode**

[Edoardo](http://orcid.org/0009-0009-1432-849X) Barcaro,<sup>[a]</sup> Vittorio [Marangon](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4722-8988),<sup>[b, c]</sup> [Dominic](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6429-6048) Bresser,<sup>[b, c]</sup> and Jusef Hassoun<sup>\*[a, d]</sup>

A Li-ion battery combines a cathode benefitting from Sn and MnO*<sup>2</sup>* with high sulfur content, and a lithiated anode including fumed silica, few layer graphene (FLG) and amorphous carbon. This battery is considered a scalable version of the system based on lithium-sulfur (Li-S) conversion, since it exploits at the anode the Li-ion electrochemistry instead of Li-metal stripping/ deposition. Sn and  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  are used as cathode additives to improve the electrochemical process, increase sulfur utilization, while mitigating the polysulfides loss typical of Li-S devices. The cathode demonstrates in half-cell a maximum capacity of  $\sim$ 1170 mAh $g_{\rm s}^{-1}$ , rate performance extended over 1 C, and

## **Introduction**

#### **The Sulfur Cathode**

The demand for Li-ion batteries (LIBs) is expected to rise relentlessly due to their applications as fundamental power sources in a vast range of technologies, ranging from portable electronics to electric vehicles, and as essential stationary energy storage systems. The latter application is foreseen considering that the installed grid-scale battery storage capacity, which stood to  $\sim$ 28 GW at the end of 2022, is expected to expand to 35-fold within 2030 to nearly 970 GW in the Net Zero Scenario.<sup>[1]</sup> On the other hand, materials availability and cost have been identified as key factors for triggering a sustainable development with controlled economic, environmental and geopolitical impact.<sup>[2]</sup> Therefore, suitable alternatives to common LIBs with increased energy density compared to the typical 150–300 Wh $kg^{-1}$  range, and with electrodes based on abundant, easily accessible, and highly performing materials are needed to actually promote a step

[d] *Graphene Labs, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Genova, Italy*

*Correspondence: Jusef Hassoun, Department of Chemical, Pharmaceutical and Agricultural Sciences, University of Ferrara, via Fossato di Mortara 17, 44121 Ferrara, Italy. Email: jusef.hassoun@unife.it and jusef.hassoun@iit.it*

- *Supporting Information for this article is available on the WWW under <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202400615>*
- *© 2024 The Authors. ChemSusChem published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

retention of 250 cycles. The anode undergoes Li-(de)alloying with silicon, Li-(de)insertion into amorphous carbon, and Li- (de)intercalation through FLG, with capacity of 500 mAh $q^{-1}$  in half-cell, completely retained over 400 cycles. The full-cells are assembled by combining a sulfur cathode with active material loading up to 3 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> and lithiated version of the anode, achieved either using an electrochemical pathway or a chemical one. The cells deliver at C/5 initial capacity higher than 1000 mAh $g_s^{-1}$ , retained for over  $\sim$ 40% upon 400 cycles. The battery is considered a promising energy storage system for possible scaling-up in pouch or cylindrical cells.

forward.<sup>[3–6]</sup> Elemental sulfur  $(S_8)$  can electrochemically react with lithium delivering a theoretical capacity of 1675 mAh $g_s^{-1}$ , according to the reversible redox reactions  $S_8 + 16Li^+ + 16e^- \leq$  $8Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>1</sub>$ <sup>[7]</sup> However, energy storage systems based on Li-S electrochemistry may be particularly entangled, since it involves the formation of various intermediate anions and radicals, depending on the nature and physical state (solid or liquid) of the electrolyte, salt concentration, electrode morphology, and active material content.<sup>[8–10]</sup> Indeed,  $S_8$  is almost an insulator and can undergo a series of compositional and structural rearrangements in the Li-S system leading to the formation of soluble polysulfides (Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>x</sub> with  $4 \le x \le 8$ ) with significant changes in the cathode morphology, thus posing great practical challenges and drawbacks such as modest rate capability, rapid capacity fading, low material utilization, and poor mechanical stability.<sup>[8,11-12]</sup> Furthermore, the full-discharge products of Li-S cell (i.e.,  $Li_2S_2$  or  $Li_2S$ ) can precipitate from the electrode as a solid limiting the reversibility of the electrochemical process and the delivered capacity.<sup>[13]</sup> Therefore, suitable current collectors, conductive matrixes, and additives in the electrodes and/or the electrolytes are requested to boost the cell performances, and ensure appropriate power and energy density.  $[14-17]$ Another limiting issue of the Li-S system is represented by the Li-metal anode which can degrade the electrolyte unless a stable solid-electrolyte-interphase (SEI) is formed, thus hampering the long-term cycling of the battery.<sup>[18-19]</sup> Soluble polysulfides can also diffuse from the cathode to the Li anode to directly react and precipitate, or can travel back to the cathode to be newly oxidized through a shuttle reaction without any charge accumulation.<sup>[13]</sup> This undesired process can typically lead to material loss, promote dendrite formation, and limit both material utilization and cell efficiency.<sup>[20]</sup>

<sup>[</sup>a] *Department of Chemical, Pharmaceutical and Agricultural Sciences, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy*

<sup>[</sup>b] *Helmholtz Institute Ulm (HIU), Ulm, Germany*

<sup>[</sup>c] *Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany*



### **The Silicon Oxide-Carbon Anode**

Despite the recent improvements, the use of a Li-metal anode may still represent a potential safety issue that could limit the actual use of Li-S high-energy storage devices, which are presently affected by short-to-medium term cycling issues. Further improvement of the SEI characteristics and safety content can be achieved by tuning the electrolyte nature and composition.<sup>[17, 21–24]</sup> A valid approach to fully exploit the Limetal capacity (i.e., 3860 mAh $g^{-1}$ ), while keeping a negative-topositive ratio (N/P)  $\leq$  3, has been represented by the use of solid-state electrolytes.<sup>[10]</sup> On the other hand, the replacement of this challenging metal with alternative Li-ion anodes to get a configuration similar to the *rocking-chair* battery based on insertion or intercalation materials appeared a suitable strategy to promote the cell cycle life, efficiency and safety.<sup>[25-26]</sup> Among the various anodes proposed for this matter, carbons with various morphologies, and Li-Si alloying composites have revealed the most suitable performance in terms of high delivered capacity sufficient to match the one originating from Li-S conversion process, and adequate cycle life.<sup>[27-28]</sup> However, the use of an alternative anode in a Li-S system requires a lithiated version of the electrode itself to ensure a *lithium reservoir* for allowing the reversible operation, and avoid the cell decay or even failure.<sup>[29]</sup> The lithiation process has been initially proposed by adopting an electrochemical route, according to which the material is cycled in a separated halfcell as the working electrode until achieving the discharged (lithiated) state, and subsequently retrieved for the use in fullcell,<sup>[30-31]</sup> while additional routes involved the implementation of sacrificial additives.<sup>[32]</sup> An alternative strategy foreseen the chemical pre-treatment by bringing the electrode in close contact with an electrolyte-wetted metallic lithium foil for a determined time (e.g., capillary contact), in order to favor the lithiation prior to full-cell assembly.<sup>[30-31]</sup> Despite the former pathway may ensure a more controlled lithiation of the anode for proper operation in Li-ion sulfur cell, the latter one is considered a more scalable process for achieving electrode films for direct application in pouch, cylindrical or prismatic cell. Therefore, the scaling-up of this battery may be actually achieved by setting up a suitable electrolyte-to-sulfur (E/S) ratio, and by tuning the sulfur cathode and the anode amounts into a Li-ion configuration with an adequate N/P ratio, defined as the ratio between the capacity delivered in half-cell by charging the anode (i.e., de-lithiation of the negative electrode in full-cell) and discharging the cathode (i.e., lithiation of the positive electrode in full-cell).<sup>[23]</sup>

#### **Aim of the Work**

Herein, we propose a Li-ion sulfur cell with enhanced cathode and anode materials into a rational combination ensuring, at the same time, high-capacity, long cycle life and possible scalability. The sulfur cathode is characterized by a reinforced polysulfide control achieved by including MnO<sub>2</sub>, and a rate capability favored by nanometric Sn particles, whilst the electrode conductivity and thin configuration are ensured by including FLG in the cathodic slurry, and multiwalled carbonnanotubes (MWCNTs) in the current collector.<sup>[14-16]</sup> The Sn nanoparticles are introduced to act as the conductive framework in order to replace carbon additives, which should be employed by relevant amount for ensuring good conductivity. In addition, enhanced carbons usually require complex synthesis procedures, and present a high specific volume that may affect the overall energy density. Instead, metal nanoparticles can be tuned with a limited concentration thanks to their outstanding conducting character as previously demonstrated.<sup>[14, 33]</sup> In addition, both Sn and  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  are abundant and non-toxic materials, thus representing a rational choice for combination with the environmentally friendly sulfur to ensure remarkable conductivity and lithium polysulfide retention, respectively, even with concentration limited to 5 wt% each in the sulfur-based cathode composite. Thus, the scalability of the new S:Sn: $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  composite, indicated as S-SM in the text, resides in a simple synthesis which foresees mixing at mild temperature S, Sn and MnO<sub>2</sub>, thus avoiding chemical reactions or the use of solvents, and relies on mechanical grinding/milling to achieve the final powder. The above process is actually accepted as scalable step for large-scale production of lithium-ion battery electrodes.<sup>[34]</sup> Therefore, the S-SM composite is initially investigated in terms of structure, morphology, thermal behavior, and electrochemical proprieties in lithium half-cell. Remarkably, the increase of the sulfur loading from 2 to  $\sim$ 6 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> and the concomitant decrease of the E/S ratio from 10 to 5  $\mu$ Lmg<sup>-1</sup> only partially affect the half-cell performance. Instead, the composite anode has been synthesized in our previous work using amorphous carbon derived from sucrose, FLG, and fumed silica.<sup>[35]</sup> The anode composite material, indicated as  $SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM, has been previously characterized for application in a Li-ion battery using a conventional electrolyte and an intercalation cathode.[35] In this work, we provide chemical and electrochemical pre-lithiation of the material to achieve a  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM phase, suitable for application in full-cell in combination with the S-SM cathode. Prior to application, the pristine anode and its lithiated version are studied in half-cells using the electrolyte typically employed in the Li-S system formed by 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) solvents, lithium bis- (trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) conducting salt, and  $LiNO<sub>3</sub>$  passivating additive.<sup>[13]</sup> The full-cells are subsequently assembled with sulfur loading exceeding  $1 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$ , an E/S ratio of 15  $\mu$ L mg<sup>-1</sup>, and a N/P ratio below 1.2. The cells revealed remarkable cycle life, high efficiency, and moderate retention, even when tested in challenging conditions exploiting a sulfur loading approaching  $3 \text{ mgcm}^{-2}$  and E/S ratio limited to  $8 \mu$ Lmg<sup>-1</sup>. We believe that the results reported herein may boost the development of full-cells to achieve prototypes of practical interest, upon further optimization of sulfur loading as well as of E/S and N/P ratios.<sup>[23, 36]</sup>



## **Results and Discussion**

Structure, morphology and composition of the S-SM material are depicted in Figure 1. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern between 10° and 50° of 2*θ* in Figure 1a reveals the exclusive presence of orthorhombic sulfur  $(S_{8}$ , ICSD#27840), manganese dioxide ( $\beta$ -MnO<sub>2</sub>, ICSD#73716), and tin ( $\beta$ -Sn, ICSD#40038) without any additional reflection. This response likely excludes the formation of crystalline by-products or impurities, which is mainly due to the mild temperature of 125 °C used for the



Figure 1. Physical-chemical characterization of the S-SM powder. (a) X-ray diffractograms for the S-SM sample (orange). Reference data for sulfur (S<sub>8</sub>, ICSD#27840, dark yellow), manganese dioxide (β-MnO<sub>2</sub>, ICSD#73716, light yellow), and tin (β-Sn, ICSD#40038, green), are reported for comparison. (b) TGA performed under dry N<sub>2</sub> flow with heating rate of 5°Cmin<sup>-1</sup> from 25 to 400°C, and corresponding DTG. (c) UV-vis measurements performed on DOL:DME, 1 molkg<sup>-1</sup> LiTFSI, 1 molkg<sup>-1</sup> LiNO<sub>3</sub>, 0.5 wt% Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>8</sub> solutions displayed in Figure S1 (Supporting Information) in the 500-750 nm wavelength range, without any powder addition (reference, red), with addition of Sn (yellow) or Sn:MnO<sub>2</sub> 1:1 w/w (green). (d and e) TEM images of the S-SM powder at various magnifications. (**f**) SEM image of S-SM powder acquired in backscattered electrons mode, and corresponding EDS elemental maps of (**g**) sulfur (yellow), (**h**) tin (purple), (**i**) manganese (cyan), and (**j**) oxygen (green).



synthesis (see Experimental section for details).<sup>[37]</sup> The actual amount of sulfur in the S-SM material is detected through thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) under  $N_2$  and the resulting curve is reported in Figure 1b. The figure exhibits a sulfur content as high as 90% into the composite, which is expected to facilitate the tuning of the active material loading in the electrodes for increasing the energy density of the cells. Furthermore, this synthetic pathway may be reasonably scaledup to achieve practical production, since it involves mild heating and mechanical milling/grinding in a solvent-free environment. The differential curve (DTG, Figure 1b) associated with the TGA analysis evidences a weight loss related to sulfur evaporation evolving through a single peak centered at 315 $^{\circ}$ C.<sup>[38]</sup> It is worth noting that residual weight corresponds to Sn and  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  fractions which are inert under the experimental constrains. The ability of Sn and  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  in retaining lithium polysulfides (Li-PS) is qualitatively evaluated by UV-vis measurements in Figure 1c. Vials containing DOL and DME dissolving LITFSI, LINO<sub>3</sub>, and 0.5 wt% of Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>8</sub> polysulfide are added either with Sn or Sn:MnO<sub>2</sub> 1:1 *w/w* (see further details in Experimental section). All the solutions photographed in Figure S1 (Supporting Information) initially exhibit the same dark-red color of the reference one (left-hand side) due to the dissolved  $Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>8</sub>$ , in spite of the presence of Sn (central position) or Sn:MnO<sub>2</sub> 1:1 *w/w* (right-hand side). This intense color is strongly mitigated upon 60 minutes of contact with  $Sn:MnO<sub>2</sub>$  mixture (Figure S1b, righthand side), slightly changed in contact with Sn powder within the same time interval (Figure S1b, central position), whilst the reference solution remains obviously unaltered (Figure S1b, lefthand side). The color attenuation is mainly ascribed to the relevant Li-PS retention ability of the transition metal oxide rather than the metallic tin, which is instead included in the sulfur composite principally to enhance the conductivity. The better polysulfide retention ability of  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  is confirmed by UVvis measurements performed on reference  $Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>8</sub>$  solution, and on solutions held in contact with Sn and Sn:MnO<sub>2</sub> 1:1 *w/w* (Figure 1c). Indeed, the analysis shows the characteristic signal of Li-PS species in the visible region between 750 and 500 nm with a relevant intensity for the reference solution, while an attenuated signal is observed for the one held in contact with nanometric Sn, which almost vanishes for the solution aged with Sn:MnO<sub>2</sub> 1:1  $w/w$ . The Li-PS retention ability may be influenced by the intrinsic interactions between Sn and  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$ and  $Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>x</sub>$  species, as well as by the morphology of the sample. Hence, the electron microscopy in Figure 1d–j enables to investigate the morphological features of S-SM powder. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images reported in Figure 1d and e show substantial differences between primary micrometric and nanometric domains. The amorphous or defined flake-like particles with micron or sub-micron size can be attributed either to S or MnO<sub>2</sub>. Instead, the nanometric domains certainly identify regular Sn spherules smaller than 200 nm as observed in previous work (see Figure S2 in Supporting Information for further image).<sup>[14]</sup> It is worth mentioning that the nanometric size observed for the Sn particles is particularly suggested for possibly increasing the electron conductivity by shortening the ion-diffusion path, thus improving the kinetics of the Li-S electrochemical processes.<sup>[14]</sup> In addition, the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image (Figure 1f) indicates that the S-SM particles are aggregated in the composite to form clusters with size ranging from 1 μm or smaller to about few dozen μm. These macroscopic aggregates can avoid possible electrolyte degradation, which may be instead promoted by dispersed nanometric particles.<sup>[15]</sup> Furthermore, the X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) performed on the above SEM (Figure 1g–j) indicates a well uniform distribution of sulfur (Figure 1g) and  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  (Figure 1i and j), while Sn exhibits the dispersion of isolated nanometric particles alternated with micrometric clusters in the active material matrix.

Prior to application in full-cell, the S-SM composite is electrochemically investigated in lithium half-cell and the results are reported in Figure 2. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) in Figure 2a displays during the first cycle a reversible conversion process, evolving by two reduction peaks at about 2.2 and 1.9 V vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li during the cathodic scan, and a double oxidation signal extending from 2.3 to 2.6 V vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li during the subsequent anodic scan. This response matches the multi-step electrochemical process between lithium and sulfur, which leads to the formation of polysulfides of various chain-length by the ongoing of discharge, and their conversion back upon charge.[39–40] In addition, the CV signature excludes additional contribution to the electrochemical process of the Li-(de) insertion reaction of MnO<sub>2</sub>, as indicated in literature.<sup>[15]</sup> The decrease of the peak intensity in the CV of Figure 2a can be attributed to possible changes of the lithium ion diffusion coefficient, $[41]$  or partial loss of active material during cycling, which is particularly relevant in the conventional liquid etherbased electrolyte that typically favors the dissolution and diffusion of the polysulfide intermediates. Nonetheless, the voltammograms present notable overlapping after the first cycles, thus indicating the stabilization of the interphase and remarkable reversibility of the Li-S conversion process after the initial stage. On the other hand, the kinetics of the electrochemical conversion may slow-down both by the decrease of  $Li<sup>+</sup>$  diffusion coefficient and by the limitation of the polysulfide anions mobility at the electrode/electrolyte interphase, due to electrolyte viscosity change promoted by Li-PS dissolution. Therefore, the electrolyte optimization may actually speed up the reaction and improve the cell performances in terms of rate capability and capacity. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is performed at the open circuit voltage condition upon cell assembly (OCV), and after 1, 5 and 10 CV runs, and the related Nyquist plots are displayed in Figure 2b. The plots are analyzed by non-linear least squares (NLLS) fitting method to obtain the corresponding equivalent circuits formed by resistive (R) and constant phase elements (CPE, Q), identified by the  $R_e(R_iQ_i)Q_w$  model in Table 1.<sup>[42-43]</sup> In detail,  $R_e$  is the electrolyte resistance, identified by the high-frequency intercept in the Nyquist plots,  $R_i$  and  $Q_i$  parallel elements ( $R_iQ_i$ ) represent the single medium-high frequency semicircles accounting for the electrode/electrolyte interphase, while  $Q_w$  indicates the semiinfinite Warburg-type  $Li^+$  ions diffusion which is observed as a tilted line at low frequency. Relevantly, the OCV plot in

Chemistry **Europe** European Chemical<br>Societies Publishing



Figure 2. Electrochemical characterization of the S-SM material in lithium half-cells using the DOL:DME, 1 mol kg<sup>-1</sup> LiTFSI, 1 mol kg<sup>-1</sup> LiNO<sub>3</sub> electrolyte. (a) CV profiles, (b) Nyquist plot recorded by EIS. CV potential range: 1.8–2.8 V *vs*. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li, scan rate 0.1 mVs<sup>-1</sup>. EIS performed at the OCV cell condition and upon CV after the 1st, 5th, and 10th cycle between 500 kHz and 100 mHz; voltage signal: 10 mV. All CV and EIS performed at 25°C. (**c** and **d**) Rate capability test at increasing current rate of C/10, C/8, C/3, C/2, 1 C, and 2 C, before lowering back to C/10 after 35 cycles (1 C  $=$  1675 mAg<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup>), in the 1.7–2.8 V (for 1 C and 2 C) and 1.8–2.8 V (for lower C-rates) voltage ranges, in terms of (**c**) discharge capacity trend *vs.* cycle number (additional left *y*-axis exhibits areal capacity), and (d) voltage profiles of the 3<sup>rd</sup> cycle for each current rate (top x-axis shows areal capacity). (e and f) Cycling trend of galvanostatic measurements performed at (**e**) C/3 with voltage range of 1.7–2.8 V, and (**f**) 1 C at voltage range of 1.6–2.8 V (right *y*-axes show CE, additional left *y*-axes exhibit areal capacity). See corresponding voltage profiles in Figure S3 (Supporting Information). All galvanostatic tests are performed at 30°C.





Figure 2b shows an almost vertical low-frequency line attributed to the cell geometric capacity into quasi-blocking electrode setup  $(Q<sub>a</sub>$  in the corresponding equivalent circuit of Table 1). This response modifies in the subsequent Nyquist plots to a line tilted at about 45°, which is typical of a Warburgtype semi-infinite  $Li^+$  diffusion. Furthermore, Table 1 reveals a general increase of total interphase resistance of the S-SM electrode ( $R_{\text{tot}}$ , given by the sum of the  $R_i$  elements) from 78.6  $\pm$ 3.6  $\Omega$  at the OCV to around 75.3  $\pm$  0.3  $\Omega$  after 1 CV run, and to 97.2  $\pm$  0.9  $\Omega$  after 10 cycles. The rise of the cell impedance can in part justify the slight peak-current decrease discussed above in the corresponding CV of Figure 2a. The electrochemical performances of the S-SM electrode are also investigated through galvanostatic tests in half-cell at current rates increasing from C/10 (1 C = 1675 mA  $g_5^{-1}$ ) to C/8, C/5, C/3, C/2, 1 C and 2 C (Figure 2c and d), and at the constant rates of C/3 (Figure 2e) or 1 C (Figure 2f) for 250 cycles. The cycling trend depicted in Figure 2c for the test at increasing current rates shows a steady-state discharge capacity taken at the  $3<sup>rd</sup>$  cycle for each C-rate of 769, 756, 715, 670, 627, and 585 mAh $\rm g_s^{-1}$  at C/10, C/8, C/5, C/3, C/2 and 1 C respectively. The delivered capacity drops below 200 mAh $g_{S}^{-1}$  at 2 C, thus suggesting this C-rate as the limiting value for the cell application within the thin-film configuration adopted in this work, in which a carbon coated Al collector with thickness of ~60 μm or lower is used. The cell recovers the relevant capacity value of 818 mAh $g_s^{-1}$ when the C-rate is lowered back to C/10, thus indicating an excellent stability of the electrode by switching from high to low currents. The decrease of the cell capacity by increasing the current from C/10 to 1 C may be attributed to the rise of the discharge/charge polarization observed in the corresponding voltage profiles of Figure 2d, mainly due to kinetic limits and ohmic-drops affecting the voltage plateaus evolving both at  $\sim$ 2.3 and  $\sim$ 2.1 V. On the other hand, a further increase of the current to 2 C turns into the deactivation of the electrochemical process related to the formation of short-chain polysulfide at low voltages due to excessive polarization, and into a concomitant drop of the delivered capacity to a value reflecting a partial Li-S conversion to long-chain polysulfide only (i.e.,  $Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>8</sub>$ ). Despite the rate-capability limit, the S-SM electrode exhibits at C/3 (Figure 2e) a cycle life extended to 250 cycles with initial capacity of ~1090 mAh $\rm g_{s}^{-1}$  retained for ~50% at the end of the test, and a Coulombic efficiency (CE) approaching 98% at the steady state. The fluctuations of the CE around 100 cycles may be attributed to changes and stabilizations of the passivation layers formed at the electrode/electrolyte inter-

phases, likely driven by slight modifications of the reaction kinetics or by minor temperature fluctuations which have only marginal effects on the delivered capacity of the cell. The same cycle life and CE are exhibited at 1 C (Figure 2f), however with a different trend which involves a low initial capacity of ~330 mAh $g_s^{-1}$ , increasing to ~500 mAh $g_s^{-1}$  over 20 cycles, and then fluctuating between these two values until the end of the test, with a retention of 72% calculated as the ratio between the value after 250 cycles and the highest one achieved. The long-term capacity reduction observed at C/3 could be attributed to a progressive loss of the soluble Li-PS which can diffuse to the lithium metal, triggered by potential or concentration gradient, and precipitate on its surface.<sup>[44]</sup> This decay can also be justified by the increase of the polarization with the ongoing of the cycles observed in the respective voltage profiles in Figure S3a (Supporting Information). Instead, the performance at 1 C may be rationalized by considering the relevant impact of the high C-rate on cell overvoltage (Figure S3b), in view of the modest conductivity of the pristine sulfur in the electrode, which leads to a low initial capacity, rising upon cycling as the sulfur reacts with lithium and the conductivity improves. The subsequent capacity fluctuation can be ascribed to the combination of the two above discussed phenomena, i. e., a decrease due to the polysulfide precipitation by long-term cycling and a rise as the electrode conductivity increases.<sup>[14-16]</sup> It is worth noting that the areal capacity normalized to the electrode geometric area (1.54  $cm<sup>2</sup>$  in our cells) represents a key parameter for evaluating electrochemical devices designated for energy storage.<sup>[36]</sup> The previously discussed half-cells retain a capacity  $>1.0$  mAhcm<sup>-2</sup> upon long term cycling as mainly due to the use of suitable cathode additives and current collector for compensating the negligible conductivity of sulfur, and simultaneously enabling a favorable rearrangement of the material upon cycles.<sup>[16, 37, 45]</sup> These results suggest the S-SM electrode as suitable candidate for Li- and Liion cell application. In particular, Li-ion configuration is considered the most desirable version of the cell since it can mitigate the issues ascribed to the reactivity of the lithium metal.

The actual role of Sn and  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  in the electrochemical performance of the S-SM composite has been investigated by performing a galvanostatic cycling test on a Li-S half-cell exploiting the bulk sulfur control electrode without any additive (see Experimental section). Figure S4 in the Supporting Information shows the cycling data recorded at C/3 rate in terms of selected voltage profiles (Figure S4a), and corresponding ca-



pacity and CE trends (Figure S4b). The first voltage profile in Figure S4a reveals a single discharge plateau centered at 2.2 V reversed into a corresponding charge process approaching 2.5 V, indicating the incomplete conversion reaction of S to Li-PS likely due to the relevant insulant character of the electrode that leads to a delivered discharge capacity limited to 250 mAh $g_{\rm s}^{-1}$ . The subsequent profiles display the development of a highly polarized low voltage plateau during discharge below 1.8 V, and the evolution of merged charge processes between 2.4 and 2.5 V, as expected by the gradual lithiation of the sulfur electrode with concomitant increase of conductivity. However, the 100<sup>th</sup> cycle shows the almost total disappearance of the low voltage discharge plateau and a final capacity limited to 230 mAh $\mathrm{g_s}^{-1}$ . In this regard, the capacity trend displayed in Figure S4b shows an activation of the delivered capacity in line with the gradual evolution of the low voltage discharge plateau which, on the other hand, allows a maximum capacity approaching 360 mAh $\rm\,g_{s}^{-1}$  after 60 cycles that rapidly decreases to the final value of 230 mAh $\mathrm{g}_\mathrm{s}^{-1}$  (i.e., a capacity retention of 64% after just 40 cycles). Despite the CE exceeds 99% upon activation, the limited performance of the S control electrode in Li half-cell evidences the advantages deriving by the addition of conducting Sn and the polysulfide-retaining  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  as indeed demonstrated by the S-SM composite which delivers higher capacity and longer cycling life also at the relevant rate of 1 C, exploiting in addition a slightly higher sulfur loading (compare with Figure 2). The areal capacity and energy density can be further improved by using S-SM electrodes with increased sulfur-loading and decreased E/S ratio as displayed in Figure 3. The figure shows the galvanostatic cycling tests performed in half-cell using an electrode with sulfur loading of 5.7 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> and E/S ratio of 6  $\mu$ Lmg<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup> at C/10 (Figure 3a and b), and into a more challenging E/S condition with sulfur loading of 5.8 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> and E/S ratio of 5  $\mu$ Lmg<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup> at C/20 (Figure 3c and d). The related voltage profiles (Figure 3a and c) reveal at the first cycle (black curves) a discharge plateau between 2.4 and 1.9 V with associated capacity of 150-200 mAh $g_s^{-1}$ . The cell cycled at C/10 (Figure 3a) shows a limited capacity during the subsequent plateau below 1.9 V, with an overall value of  $\sim$ 300 mAh $g_s^{-1}$  for the whole discharge. Instead, the cell cycled at C/20 evolves according to the typical voltage shape with a total capacity of  $\sim$ 1000 mAh $g_s^{-1}$  (Figure 3c). This difference can be mostly attributed to kinetic limits, which are more relevant at higher C-rates due to excessive cell polarization. Hence, the



Figure 3. Galvanostatic cycling performances of the S-SM electrode with high S-loading in Li half-cells with low E/S ratio, using the DOL:DME, 1 molkg<sup>-1</sup> LiTFSI, 1 molkg<sup>–1</sup> LiNO<sub>3</sub> electrolyte. (**a**) Selected voltage profiles of a cell cycled at C/10 with sulfur loading of 5.7 mgcm<sup>–2</sup>, E/S ratio of 6 μLmg<sup>–1</sup> (additional top *x*-axis displays the areal capacity), and (**b**) corresponding cycling trend (right *y*-axis shows CE, additional left *y*-axis displays the areal capacity). (**c**) Selected voltage profiles of a cell cycled at C/20 with sulfur loading of 5.8 mgcm<sup>-2</sup>, E/S ratio of 5 μLmg<sup>-1</sup> (top *x*-axis display the areal capacity), and (**d**) corresponding cycling trend (right *y*-axis shows CE, additional left *y*-axis exhibits the areal capacity). Electrode geometric area: 1.54 cm<sup>-2</sup>. Voltage range: 1.7–2.8 V. Tests at 30°C.



cell cycled at C/10 progressively activates improving its voltage signature, where the capacity concomitantly rises to a maximum value of  $\sim$ 550 mAh $\mathrm{g_{s}^{-1}}$ , and then stabilizes with slight fluctuations until the end of the test (Figure 3b).<sup>[7]</sup> The initial capacity increase during cycling of Lij S-SM cells is attributed to the gradual lithiation of the active material with formation of Li-PS that increases both ionic and electronic conductivity of the interphase, in concomitance with a cathode rearrangement that allows a better wetting and contact between the active material and the carbon-based substrate of the current collector.<sup>[14]</sup> This behavior is particularly evident in Figures 2f and 3b, due to the relatively high current rate of 1 C (Figure 2f) and the high sulfur loading (Figure 3b) that severely affect the kinetics of the Li-S conversion process. On the other hand, the cell cycled at C/20 undergoes partial deactivation of the electrochemical process, with increasing polarization in the related voltage profiles (Figure 3c) likely due to progressive precipitation of the dissolved polysulfide at the Li surface, which is promoted by the longer time requested for charge/discharge evolution at the lower current. At the end of the tests, the cells cycled at C/20 and C/10 deliver capacities of 528 and 313 mAh $g_{\rm s}^{-1}$ , retaining respectively 55% and 59% of the maximum achieved values, with a CE approaching 99%. The CE is calculated in this work according to the equation  $\mathsf{CE} = \frac{\mathsf{CD}}{\mathsf{CC}} \times 100$ , i.e., the one typically used for Li-ion battery, where *CD* is the discharge capacity and *CC* is the charge one. It is worth mentioning that the irreversible processes in Li-ion battery typically occur during charge which has indeed higher capacity than discharge, thus leading to CE lower than 100%. Instead, Li-S battery can occasionally reveal irreversible processes during discharge rather than charge, with a resulting CE over 100%. Hence, the first cycle of the cell in Figure 3b shows partial reduction of the electrolyte during discharge, involving the formation of passivation layers on the electrodes surface, and incomplete oxidation of Li-PS to sulfur during the charge which evolves with lower capacity and leads to a CE of ~120%. Instead, Figure 3d indicates that the initial CE is limited to 103% by lowering the current rate to C/20 and the E/S ratio to 5  $\mu$ Lmg<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, the difference observed in the capacity trends reported in Figure 3b and d can be likely attributed to the different cycling rates, that is, C/10 corresponding to  $\sim$ 1.0 mAcm<sup>-2</sup> (Figure 3b) and C/20 corresponding to  $\sim$ 0.5 mAcm<sup>-2</sup> (Figure 3d) for the adopted sulfur loading. Thus, the application of C/10 rate and the concomitant use of an areal sulfur loading as high as 6 mg<sub>s</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup> leads to kinetic limits extending over the initial 20 cycles requested to reach the maximum capacity of 550 mAh $\mathrm{g_{s}^{-1}}$  (Figure 3b), while the use of the relatively lower current of C/20 allows an efficient exploitation of the Li-S conversion process already at the first cycle with maximum discharge capacity of  $\sim$ 1000 mAh $\mathrm{g_{s}^{-1}}$ (Figure 3d). It is worth mentioning that the voltage profile during the  $1<sup>st</sup>$  cycle of the cell with high S-loading and low E/S ratio at C/10 (i.e., 5.7 mg<sub>s</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup> and 6  $\mu$ Lmg<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup> in Figure 3a) differs from the one observed for the cell with lower S-loading and higher E/S ratio at 1 C (i.e., 2.9 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> and 10  $\mu$ Lmg<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup> in Figure S3b). The reason for this discrepancy may be found in the different nature of the kinetic limits hampering the two measurements, i. e., elevated S content and low electrolyte ratio in the former and high C-rate in the latter. Instead, the related cycling trends appear comparable (compare Figure 3b and Figure 2f) due to the analogue effects of the kinetic limits, which hinder the Li-S conversion process and depress the delivered capacity.[16] The top *x*-axes in Figure 3a and c and the additional left *y*-axes in Figure 3b and d suggest for the halfcells using the S-SM electrode a maximum areal capacity of 3.0 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup> and a stabilized value of  $\sim$ 2.5 mAh cm<sup>-2</sup> at C/10, while values ranging from 6.0 to 3.0 mAh  $cm^{-2}$  are observed at  $C/20$ .<sup>[23]</sup> These features, in addition to the limited overall thickness of the S-SM electrode  $(\sim 100 \mu m)$ , may certainly favor the achievement of practical cells. However, further researches on suitable electrolytes and anodes are requested to possibly achieve a large-scale diffusion of energy storage systems using sulfur as the active material. $^{[10, 21]}$ 

Recently, Li-ion sulfur battery arose a great interest since it can suppress issues ascribed to the metallic lithium, such as dendrite formation, short-circuit hazards, and shuttle process.<sup>[29,</sup>  $46-47$ ] We propose subsequently a SiO<sub>x</sub>- composite material (CM) anode developed according to our previous work,[35] and *ad hoc* lithiated herein to achieve a  $Li<sub>y</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM version suitable to act as the lithium source into a Li-ion configuration using the S-SM cathode. Since  $SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM is newly synthesized in this work with a lower silica content than the previous composite, the principal physical-chemical and electrochemical characteristics of the material are double-checked in Figure 4 prior to use in full-cell. The TGA curve under air of the  $SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM reported in Figure 4a displays amounts of amorphous carbon and FLG of 52.5% and 16.5% respectively, while the silica content can be calculated as the residual weight of 31%.<sup>[35]</sup> The oxidative loss of amorphous carbon is indicated in the corresponding DTG curve by the peak centered at 615°C, while the crystalline FLG loss is detected by the wide peak centered at  $780^{\circ}$ C.<sup>[35]</sup> Figure 4b reports the Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectrum of  $SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM (black curve), in comparison with the spectra of amorphous carbon (red curve) and  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  (yellow curve) blanks, while FLG is neglected due to relatively limited IR activity.<sup>[48]</sup> The figure principally reveals for the anode composite the presence of a broad band between 1300  $cm^{-1}$  and 1000  $cm^{-1}$  accounting for the Si-O-Si stretching vibration, also observed in the  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ blank spectrum, $[49]$  whereas the contribution of carbon appears very modest. The FTIR spectrum of  $SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM thus confirms the actual presence of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  and its partial retention during synthesis of the material, despite the use of a chemically reducing environment  $(Ar/H<sub>2</sub>)$  during the process (see Experimental section). The  $SiO_x$ -CM electrode is cycled in lithium half-cell at 120 mA  $g^{-1}$ , and the related voltage profiles and cycling trends are depicted in Figure 4c and d, respectively. The signature of Figure 4c indicates an irreversible multi-step discharge during the first cycle (black curve) evolving between 1.7 and 0.4 V, due to partial reduction of the electrolyte with SEI film formation, $[22, 2]$  $50$ ] and partial conversion of SiO<sub>x</sub> to Li<sub>2</sub>O and Si.<sup>[35]</sup> This side process is followed by a voltage slope below 0.4 V, accounting for the insertion/intercalation of lithium into the carbons as well as partial Li-Si alloying.<sup>[51]</sup> The subsequent cycles reveal a reversible electrochemical feature between 0.1 and 0.4 V with notable overlapping and low polarization, where the various

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202400615



Figure 4. (a and b) Brief physical-chemical characterization of the SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM powder and (c-f) electrochemical features of the electrode in Li half-cell using the DOL:DME, 1 mol kg<sup>-1</sup> LiTFSI, 1 mol kg<sup>-1</sup> LiNO<sub>3</sub> electrolyte, applying a current of 120 mAg<sup>-1</sup>. (**a**) TGA (yellow) performed under dry air flow with heating rate of 5°C min<sup>-1</sup> from 25 to 1000°C and corresponding DTG (black). (b) FTIR spectra of SiO<sub>2</sub> (grey), amorphous carbon (red), and SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM (yellow). (c) Selected voltage profiles of the galvanostatic cycling test of the SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM electrode (top x-axis shows areal capacity), and (**d**) corresponding cycling trend (right *y*-axis shows CE, additional left *y*-axis displays areal capacity). (e) Selected voltage profiles of the cycling tests of the chemically lithiated Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM electrode (top *x*axis shows areal capacity), and (**f**) corresponding cycling trend (right *y*-axis shows CE, additional left *y*-axis exhibits areal capacity). Tests performed at 30 °C. Voltage range: 0.01-2.0 V. Electrodes geometric area: 1.54 cm<sup>2</sup>.

merged plateaus both during charge and discharge are ascribed to the Li-Si (de)alloying, Li-(de)insertion with amorphous carbon, and Li-(de)intercalation within FLG.<sup>[35]</sup> The related cycling trend in Figure 4d shows an initial capacity of 380 mAh $g^{-1}$ , which remarkably improves after 15 cycles to a steady-state value of 500 mAh  $g^{-1}$  held for over 400 cycles with impressive stability. The increase of the delivered capacity during the initial cycling stages of the  $Li|SiO_x$ -CM half-cell observed in Figure 4d can be ascribed to the progressive rearrangement of the electrode structure including lithiation and alloying of the  $Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>$  within the electrode, as well as to the formation and subsequent stabilization of the SEI at the electrode/electrolyte interphase, as indeed observed by CV and EIS in the previous study.<sup>[35]</sup> On the other hand, the use of the  $SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM anode in a Li-ion cell in combination with the S-SM cathode mandatorily requests a pre-lithiation process, since the latter electrode is Li-free in its pristine state. The lithiated  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM phase may be achieved electrochemically by cycling the SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM electrode in half-cell for few runs (e.g., the initial stages of Figure 4c and d), holding the voltage at the lowest value, and then retrieving the electrode for the subsequent use in the full-cell. As an alternative, the lithiation of the anode can



be also obtained chemically through direct reduction upon mechanical contact under pressure of the electrode with a lithium foil soaked in the electrolyte, and its removal after a time sufficient to reach the lithium content necessary for allowing adequate conversion process at the sulfur cathode.<sup>[46]</sup> This would satisfy the above mentioned need for a Li reservoir in the full-cell, since both the  $SiO_x$ -CM and S-SM pristine composites do not contain any lithium necessary to trigger the Li-S conversion process, which is instead easily developed in Sbased cells using a Li-metal anode. Figure 4e reports the voltage profiles at 120  $mAg^{-1}$  of a Li<sub>y</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM electrode previously chemically lithiated, while the corresponding cycling trend is shown in Figure 4f. The first charge profile in Figure 4e (de-lithiation, black curve) evidences that the chemical treatment leads to a capacity exceeding the one of the subsequent cycles, mostly due to an excessive lithium-uptake in the electrode promoted by treatment. This excess rapidly vanishes, and the subsequent cycles evolve with a steady-state volage centered at 0.4 V and an excellent stability over 250 cycles, with CE exceeding 99% after the initial cycles (Figure 4f). However, the chemically lithiated  $Li<sub>y</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM reveals a lower steady-state capacity than the pristine electrode (compare Figure 4f and d), likely due to minor material loss by detachment during the lithiation process which foresees some mechanical stress (see Experimental section for further details). These results suggest the suitability of both electrochemical and chemical lithiation herein exploited for achieving efficient anodes for full-cell application with sulfur cathodes, and indicate that further efforts on the chemical lithiation process can lead to even better results and more relevant scalability of the full-cell.<sup>[52-53]</sup>

Figure 5 exemplifies the features of the chemically and electrochemically lithiated Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM anodes in lithium halfcells and shows their subsequent combination in Li-ion full-cells using the S-SM cathode. The charge (de-lithiation) profiles in half-cell of Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>v</sub>-CM chemically lithiated (purple) and electrochemically lithiated (red) reported in Figure 5a reveal the expected shape evolving mainly below 1.2 V, and a delivered capacity exceeding 2.0 mAh which is suitable for allowing the full discharge (Li-S conversion) of a S-SM cathode with a sulfur loading of  $\sim$ 1.2 mg<sub>s</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup>, such as in the example reported in yellow in the same panel for comparison. Furthermore, the cycling trends in half-cell of the S-SM (Figure 5b) and of the electrochemically lithiated  $Li<sub>y</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM (Figure 5c) allow the rough estimation of the N/P ratio in a full-cell that combines the two electrodes. Indeed, Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM | S-SM full-cells using chemically and electrochemically lithiated anodes are assembled with an initial N/P ratio of  $\sim$ 1.05 and  $\sim$ 1.12, respectively, calculated by considering the half-cell capacity of S-SM discharge (i.e., 2.02 mAh) and  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM charge (i.e., 2.12 and 2.26 mAh) in Figure 5a. On the other hand, we can predict that these N/P ratios may rise-up by comparing with the examples of the half-cells exploiting S-SM (Figure 5b) and  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM$  (Figure 5c), as the anode undergoes progressive interphase improvement and its capacity increases.<sup>[46-47]</sup> The voltage profiles of the cycling test performed at  $C/5$  (1 C = 1675  $mAg<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup>$ ) on a full-cell combining S-SM and electrochemically lithiated  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM is reported in Figure 5d, while the corresponding capacity trend and respective CE are displayed in Figure 5e. The voltage profile of the first cycle (black curve in Figure 5d) appears as the combination between the doubleplateau associated with the multi-step conversion process of sulfur with lithium, and the sloped curve ascribed to the  $Li<sup>+</sup>$ (de)alloying/(de)insertion reaction of the  $SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM. Indeed, the cell exhibits two broad discharge plateaus centered around 2 V and 1.7 V, reversed into a merged charge profile evolving between 1.2 V and 2.4 V, with an initial reversible capacity of 1045 mAh $g_s^{-1}$ . The corresponding cycling trend (Figure 5e) shows an immediate drop of the capacity from 1050 to 980 mAh $g_s^{-1}$ , and subsequently a progressive decrease to 380 mAh $g_s^{-1}$  upon 400 cycles, with CE exceeding 95% after the first run. The observed capacity decay is likely ascribed both to the incomplete de-alloying of the Li-Si phases during discharge at the anode upon repeated cycles and to the loss of active material, i. e. sulfur, due to deposition of Li-PS at the anode side. A very similar voltage shape is observed for the full-cell using the chemically lithiated  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM (Figure 5f), however with a higher discharge capacity during the first cycle, i.e., 1170 mAh $g_s^{-1}$ . In addition, the corresponding cycling trend (Figure 5g) shows a more relevant loss during the initial cycles, while a slightly higher efficiency and stability upon prolonged test, with a final capacity of  $450 \text{ mA}$ hg<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup> after 400 cycles. Despite the final capacities delivered by the full Li-ion sulfur cells of 380 and 450 mAh $g_s^{-1}$ , respectively, correspond to a retention limited to about 40%, it is worth considering that they still represent considerable values if compared to those of commercial Li-ion cells using the common insertion/intercalation cathodes and the graphite anode. Therefore, the Li-ionsulfur cells we propose herein are a first-look to a proof-ofconcept system that might represent, upon extended optimization, a competitive energy storage strategy based on the challenging Li-S conversion mechanism while relying on sustainable materials and a non-reactive anode. These results are certainly encouraging, in particular by considering the long cycling evolution, the absence of relevant signs of dendrites, and the possible scalability of the cell using the chemically lithiated  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM. Furthermore, the practical energy density of the cell is estimated to initially exceed 500 Wh $kg^{-1}$ . However, the capacity decay observed by long-term cycling in Figure 5, and the corresponding limitation of the practical energy density, suggest the necessity of additional improvement for scaling-up the system, such as a better tuning of the N/P ratio and the increase of the active material loading to achieve more appealing areal capacity values.

Figure 6 shows the *ex-situ* SEM images of the S-SM and  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM electrodes after 400 cycles in the full-cells illustrated in Figure 5d–g, performed to evaluate the morphological changes in response to prolonged galvanostatic cycling. The SEM micrographs related to the S-SM cathode in Figure 6a and b show a still compact electrode morphology, where the micrometric FLG flakes are homogeneously dispersed in the MWCNTs network of the current collector substrate,<sup>16</sup> while  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  and Sn particles<sup>[14]</sup> can be hardly observed in line with their notably low contents adopted herein (i. e., 5 wt% each in the sulfur composite).<sup>[15]</sup> In this regard, the bright spots

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202400615



 $\frac{100}{98}$ 

a e a a<br>Efficiency

**BR** a<br>Coulombic<br>Coulombic

 $\ddot{\mathbf{0}}$ 

110

100

 $\frac{90}{80}$ 

 $\frac{1}{70}$ 

60

50

 $40^{\circ}$ 

30

 $\overline{20}$ 

 $10$  $(e)$ 

110

 $\frac{90}{80}$ Efficiency

 $70$ 

 $60$ 

50

40

30

 $\overline{20}$ 

 $10$ (g)

 $400$ 

Le 100

Coulombic

 $400$ 

se<br>Se

Coulombic Efficiency

 $\overline{9}$ 

 $(c)$ 



Figure 5. (a-c) Examples of chemical and electrochemical lithiation of a Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM anode for determining the N/P ratio of a full-cell with S-SM cathode. (a) Charge (de-lithiation) profiles in lithium half-cells until 2.0 V of Li,SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM chemically lithiated for 14 h (purple) and electrochemically lithiated (red), respectively at 120 mAg<sup>-1</sup> and 20 mAg<sup>-1</sup>, and discharge profile (Li–S conversion) of S-SM (yellow) in lithium half-cell at C/5 until 1.9 V. (**b**) Cycling trend of S-SM in lithium half-cell at C/5 between 1.9 and 2.8 V (right *y*-axis displays CE). (c) Cycling trend of the electrochemical lithiation of Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM in lithium half-cell at 20 mAg<sup>-1</sup> between 0.01 and 2.0 V (right *y*-axis displays CE). (d-g) Galvanostatic cycling performance of the Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM | S-SM full cell at C/5 (1 C = 1675 mAg<sub>S</sub><sup>-1</sup>) in the voltage range of 0.1–2.8 V. (**d**) Test performed using the electrochemically lithiated Li<sub>y</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM in terms of selected voltage profiles (top *x*-axis shows areal capacity), and (**e**) corresponding cycling trend (right *y*-axis shows CE, additional left *y*-axis displays areal capacity). (**f**) Test performed using the chemically lithiated LiySiOx-CM in terms of selected voltage profiles (top *x*-axis shows areal capacity), and (**g**) corresponding cycling trend (right *y*axis shows CE, additional left *y*-axis displays areal capacity). Dashed lines in panels e and g indicate areal and specific capacity at the end of the tests. All tests performed at 30 °C. Electrodes geometric area: 1.54 cm<sup>2</sup>. Electrolyte: DOL:DME, 1 molkg<sup>-1</sup> LiTFSI, 1 molkg<sup>-1</sup> LiNO<sub>3</sub>. See Experimental section for chemical and electrochemical lithiation of the pristine SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM electrode.

observed in the surface may indicate the deposition of poorly conducting products such as  $Li<sub>2</sub>S$  upon cycling.<sup>[14]</sup> Interestingly, the agglomerated sulfur already observed in the pristine composite (Figure 1f) almost vanishes from surface of the cycled electrode, which is in line with a massive dissolution and operation as a catholyte of the active material upon prolonged cycling, as demonstrated in previous work.[54] The *ex-situ* SEM images of  $Li_vSiO_x$ -CM anodes (Figure 6c-f) show at the lower magnifications a more compact and flat surface for the electrochemically lithiated electrode (Figure 6c) than the chemically lithiated one (Figure 6e), possibly due to the physical stress promoted by pressing the latter during the pre-treatment (see Experimental section). On the other hand, a higher magnifica-

tion of the image reveals the presence of submicrometric precipitates in the electrochemically lithiated anode (Figure 6d), while the chemically lithiated one presents an amorphous deposit (Figure 6f). This difference may suggest a characteristic behavior of the deposition of the Li-PS on the anode surface depending on the type of lithiation pathway adopted, which would also justify the different performance achieved by the cells in Figure 5. It is worth mentioning that the influence of the lithiation mechanism of  $SiO_x$ -CM on the performance of the Liion sulfur can be also investigated with *ad hoc* studies focusing on the actual chemistry of the anode/electrolyte interphase.

The combination of the electrochemically lithiated  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>v</sub>$ -CM anode with the S-SM cathode in full-cell is further tested by





Figure 6. Ex-situ SEM performed on the electrodes extracted from full Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM | S-SM cells after 400 galvanostatic cycles (see corresponding cells in Figure 5d–g). (**a** and **b**) S-SM cathode (from test in Figure 5d and e) and (**c**–**f**) LiySiOx-CM anode, either upon (**c** and **d**) electrochemical lithiation or (**e** and **f**) chemical lithiation.

exploiting more challenging conditions, namely, active material loadings of 6.30 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> for the pristine SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM and 2.90 mgcm<sup>-2</sup> for S-SM, as well as an E/S ratio limited to  $8 \mu$ Lmg<sup>-1</sup>, as reported in Figure S5 in Supporting Information. The selected voltage profiles and corresponding capacity trend in Figure S5a and b, respectively, recorded at decreasing rates of C/5, C/10 and C/20, display an initial capacity approaching 500 mAh $g_s^{-1}$  and final value of 340 mAh $g_s^{-1}$  after 280 cycles. The data indicate that the gradual lowering of the current rate upon cycling leads to an increase of capacity retention. Interestingly, the capacity decay experienced by the cell between 1st and 2<sup>nd</sup> cycle from 490 to 420 mAh $\mathrm{g_{s}^{-1}}$  is far less relevant than those observed for the previous full-cells (compare with Figure 5), which is likely due to the decreased E/

S ratio that hinders excessive dissolution of the Li-PS, despite it limits, at the same time, the delivered capacity. In addition, the relevant capacity retention is achieved even with a decrease of the CE, which shows values around 98% that drop to 93% at C/ 10 and, subsequently, to 84% at C/20. This behavior is ascribed to the low cycling rates which trigger both partial electrolyte degradation and excessive dissolution and consequent diffusion of the Li-PS from the relatively high loaded cathode resulting in the promotion of partial loss of active material. Nonetheless, the full  $Li<sub>x</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM|S-SM cell exploiting challenging conditions displays promising performance also promoted by proper electrochemical lithiation of the highly loaded anode, which was obtained through a limited number of cycles with respect to the tests displayed in Figure 5, i. e., 5 full charge/discharge



runs instead of 9, as represented in Figure S5c in terms of voltage profiles (top panel) and areal capacity trend (bottom panel). Indeed, the comparison in Figure S5d of the full-cells presented herein reveals a capacity retention for the highloaded full-cell as high as 70% after about 280 cycles, while the ones previously investigated exhibit values between 43 and 45% at the same cycle number. These latest results fulfill the purpose of this work by demonstrating the effectiveness of our simple approach in achieving a promising full Li-ion sulfur cell exploiting a sulfur loading approaching 3 mg cm $^{-2}$ , despite they also evidence the need of *ad hoc* improvements across the whole system, from the composition of electrodes and electrolyte for hindering the issues of Li-PS to the cycling conditions.

## **Conclusions**

Li-ion sulfur cells with a remarkable cycle life have been achieved by combining a composite sulfur cathode added with Sn and  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  (S-SM) with a pre-lithiated silicon oxide/carbon anode ( $Li<sub>y</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-C$ ). TGA and SEM-EDS of the S-SM cathode material evidenced that Sn and  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$ , with an overall weight ratio of 10% in the composite, have been effectively included into micrometric sulfur aggregates with active material loading as high as 90%. TEM showed the nanometric size of Sn used to promote the electrode conductivity, and the submicron shape of  $MnO<sub>2</sub>$  which acted as a trap for the dissolved polysulfides. CV and EIS tests performed in lithium half-cell suggested for the S-SM cathode moderately fast Li-S conversion, and adequate conductivity of the electrode/electrolyte interphase. Specifically, the CV profiles identified two reversible discharge peaks at 2.2 and 1.9 V vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li, and a merged charge process extending from 2.3 to 2.6 V vs.  $Li^{+}/Li$ , with interphase resistance measured by EIS of 78.6  $\Omega$  at the OCV increasing up to 97.2  $\Omega$  upon 10 voltammetry cycles. The S-SM electrode exhibited in half-cell a reversible electrochemical process with maximum capacity of  $\sim$ 1090 mAh $g_{5}^{-1}$ , CE approaching 99%, and a rate capability extending up to 1 C. Furthermore, the material showed a moderate capacity retention over 250 cycles, with a long-term decay mostly due to dissolved polysulfides precipitation on the reactive lithium surface. Therefore, we have proposed as alternative an electrochemically or chemically pre-lithiated  $Li<sub>y</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM anode, which can achieve in half-cell a maximum capacity of 500 mAh $g^{-1}$  for over 400 cycles without significant decay. The full Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>v</sub>-CM S-SM cells revealed at C/5 a voltage signature centered at about 1.8 V, reflecting the combination of the multi-step sulfur conversion and the (de)alloying/(de) insertion process of the anode, with initial capacity exceeding 1000 mAh $g_s^{-1}$ . The Li-ion batteries demonstrated a significant performance extended over 400 cycles, a CE higher than 95% after the initial stages, however with capacity decay leading to a final value of 380 mAh $g_s^{-1}$  for the cell using the electrochemically lithiated anode and of 450 mAh $g_{\rm s}^{-1}$  for the cell using the chemically lithiated one. These responses have been achieved by leveraging suitable anode and cathode, with a N/P ratio from 1.02 to 1.12 set to concomitantly allow long cycle life and remarkable efficiency. Furthermore, the cycling trends suggested that the stability of the cell can be improved by adequately adjusting the N/P ratio, and by setting up the active material loading. Thus, the use of relatively high active material loadings (i.e., 6.30 and 2.90 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> for anode and cathode, respectively) and the limitation of the E/S ratio to 8  $\mu$ Lmg<sup>-1</sup>, alongside with optimized cycling conditions, improved the capacity retention, despite *ex-situ* SEM of the electrodes upon cycling in full-cells revealed dissolution of sulfur from the cathode and partial deposition of Li-PS at the anode side. On the other hand, the use of thin-layer cathode and anode, in addition to the chemical lithiation process, may represent a step forward for facilitating the practical scaling-up of highenergy Li-ion batteries exploiting the sulfur chemistry.

## **Experimental**

#### **Synthesis of the S-SM Composite**

Elemental sulfur  $(≥99.5\%,$  Riedel-de Haën), Sn (nanopowder, *<*150 nm particle size, �99% trace metals basis, Sigma-Aldrich), and MnO<sub>2</sub> ( $>$ 99%, ReagentPlus) were mixed in the 90:5:5  $w/w$ ratio and heated at 125°C under magnetic stirring in a silicon oil bath until complete melting of sulfur and uniform mixing with Sn and MnO<sub>2</sub>. The value of 125 °C was chosen to allow sulfur melting, which begins slightly over 110°C in standard condition, and avoid at the same time its possible evaporation which may occur at higher temperatures and decrease the sulfur content in the composite.[37] The mixture was subsequently quenched at room temperature until the complete solidification, and ground in an agate mortar to obtain a fine grey powder. The S-SM amount produced by our simple physical mixing-based preparation pathway exceeded 5 g at laboratory scale.

#### **Synthesis of SiOx-CM**

 $SiO_x$ -CM was synthetized as reported in our previous work.<sup>[35]</sup> In brief, the synthesis involved a hydrothermal step and a subsequent annealing in Ar/H<sub>2</sub> of a mixture of sucrose, FLG, and SiO<sub>2</sub>. The sucrose was used as the source of amorphous carbon in the final composite in combination with FLG to promote the contribute of the SiO<sub>x</sub> to the electrochemical process by partial Li-(de)alloying, as well as to act as active materials for the Li-(de)insertion and Li- (de)intercalation.[35]

#### **Materials Characterization**

The S-SM structure was investigated by XRD through a Bruker D8 Advance instrument equipped with a Cu K*α* radiation source (8.05 keV) scanning the 10° - 50° 2 $\theta$  range using a step size of 0.02° and a rate of 10 s step $^{-1}$ . TGA was performed in a Mettler-Toledo TGA 2 instrument (Mettler-Toledo, Columbus, OH, USA) under dry  $N_2$  (for S-SM) or dry air (for SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM) flow of 50 mlmin<sup>-1</sup>, heating the samples from 25 to 400 or 1000°C, respectively, with rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. The morphology of S-SM powder was detected through SEM using a Zeiss EVO 40 relying on a  $LaB<sub>6</sub>$  thermionic gun in backscattered electrons mode, and by TEM through a Zeiss EM910 equipped with a tungsten thermionic electron gun operating at 100 kV. The sample for TEM analyses consisted of a suspension of S-SM powder in ethanol which was drop-cast onto a formvar/ carbon supported copper grid (150 mesh). EDS elemental maps were collected on the SEM backscattered electrons images via a X-ACT system associated with the SEM equipment. FTIR spectra were

1864564x

nloaded from

recorded via a Bruker Vertex V70 instrument set up in transmittance mode.

## **Electrodes Preparation**

The electrodes tapes were prepared by casting process with a doctor blade tool (MTI Corp.) set at ~300 μm of slurries formed by 80 wt% active material powder, namely S-SM, S or SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM, 10 wt% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF 6020, Solef) polymer binder, and 10 wt% few layer graphene (FLG, produced via WJM method by BeDimensional S.p.A.) in the cathodic slurry,<sup>[55]</sup> or 10 wt% carbon black in the anodic slurry (super P carbon, SPC, Timcal) as electron conductor dispersed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, Sigma-Aldrich). The slurries were cast on MWCNTs-coated aluminum foil (thickness of 60  $\mu$ m, prepared according to our previous work)<sup>16</sup> for S-SM and S or copper foil (thickness of 20  $\mu$ m, MTI Corp.) for SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM.<sup>35</sup> The tapes were heated for 2 hours at 50 $^{\circ}$ C on a hot plate under a fume hood (air atmosphere) to remove the NMP solvent. The obtained foils were calendared at the 70% with respect to the initial thickness using an MSK-2150 Rolling Machine (MTI Corp.) to achieve a final thickness of  $\sim$ 100  $\mu$ m, and cut into discs with diameter of 14 mm  $(1.54 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ geometric area})$  with a Nogami handheld punch. The  $SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM electrodes were dried under vacuum for 3 h at 110°C, while the S-SM and S control electrodes were dried under vacuum overnight at 30°C inside a Büchi oven, and subsequently stored inside an Ar-filled glovebox (MBraun,  $O<sub>2</sub>$  and H<sub>2</sub>O contents lower than 1 ppm). The electrodes had an active material loading ranging from 1.2 to 5.8 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> for S-SM and S (control) and between 1.3 and 6.3 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> for SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM (note that all active material loadings are repeated in the figure panels where the electrodes are subjected to cycling tests).

## **Cell Assembly and Electrolyte Preparation**

CR2032 coin-type cells (MTI Corp.) were assembled inside an Arfilled glovebox by stacking a 14 mm-diameter lithium metal disc (0.25 mm thickness, MTI Corp.) as counter electrode, an 18 mmdiameter monolayer microporous membrane (Celgard 2500) as separator soaked with electrolyte (see composition below), and a S-SM, S (control) or  $SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM disc as working electrode. The electrolyte consisted of a solution formed by DOL (anhydrous, contains ca. 75 ppm BHT as inhibitor, 99.8%, Sigma-Aldrich) and DME (anhydrous, 99.5%, inhibitor-free, Sigma-Aldrich) mixed in the 1:1 weight ratio, and dissolving LiTFSI (LiN(SO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 99.95% trace metals basis, Sigma-Aldrich) as conducting salt and lithium nitrate (LiNO<sub>3</sub>, 99.99% trace metals basis, Sigma-Aldrich) as passivating agent in the solvents mixture with a 1 mol $kg_{solvent}^{-1}$  concentration for each salt. Before using, DOL and DME solvents were preserved under molecular sieves (rods, 3 Å, size 1/16 inch, Honeywell Fluka) until a H<sub>2</sub>O content lower than 10 ppm was achieved as determined by a Karl Fischer 899 Coulometer (Metrohm), while LiTFSI and  $LiNO<sub>3</sub>$ were dried for 2 days under vacuum at 110°C. A catholyte containing lithium polysulfide  $(Li_2S_8)$  for UV-vis analyses was prepared by adding 0.5 wt% of  $Li_2S_8$  to the above described electrolyte solution. The  $Li_2S_8$  addition procedure has been also described in a previous work.[56] UV-vis analyses were carried out on the above catholyte reference, and on catholyte solutions aged for 1 hour in contact with 20 mg of Sn or 20 mg of Sn:MnO<sub>2</sub> 1:1 *w*/*w* powders in the 500–750 nm wavelength region, in order to check the Li-PS retention ability of the metal and the metal/metal oxide mixture. The absorption spectra were collected with a V-570 UV-vis Spectrophotometer (Jasco Inc.) at room temperature against a DOL: DME 1:1 *w*/*w* reference solution.

## **Electrochemical Measurements and ex-situ Investigation**

The electrochemical process of S-SM was studied through CV and EIS employing a VersaSTAT MC Princeton Applied Research (PAR-AMETEK) multichannel potentiostat. CV was performed within the 1.8-2.8 V vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li potential range at a scan rate of 0.1 mVs<sup>-1</sup>, while EIS spectra were collected at the OCV condition before cycling and after 1, 5 and 10 CV runs using an alternate voltage signal of 10 mV in the frequency range between 500 kHz and 0.1 Hz. The Lij S-SM half-cells were galvanostatically cycled in the 1.7–2.8 V and 1.6– 2.8 V voltage ranges, respectively, at constant current rates of either C/3 or 1 C (1 C = 1675  $mAg_s^{-1}$ ), or by applying current rates increasing every 5 cycles from C/10 to C/8, C/5, C/3, C/2, 1 C, and 2 C, before lowering back to C/10 after 35 cycles, in the 1.7–2.8 V (1 C and 2 C) and 1.8–2.8 V (lower rates) voltage ranges, employing an E/S ratio of 10  $\mu$ Lmg<sup>-1</sup>. For comparison, a Li-S half-cell using the S control electrode (sulfur loading of 2.65 mg cm $^{-2}$ ) was galvanostatically cycled between 1.7 and 2.8 V at the constant current rate of C/3 by employing an E/S ratio of 10  $\mu$ Lmg<sup>-1</sup>. An additional Li | S-SM half-cell for N/P ratio study was assembled and cycled at a current rate of C/5 in the 1.9–2.8 V voltage range, using an E/S ratio of 15  $\mu$ Lmg<sup>-1</sup>. The Li|SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM half-cells were filled with 50  $\mu$ L of electrolyte using one 18 mm-diameter monolayer microporous membrane (Celgard 2500) as separator, and studied via galvanostatic cycling between 0.01 and 2.0 V at constant current of 120  $mAg^{-1}$ . Li-ion sulfur full-cells were assembled by coupling chemically or electrochemically lithiated Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>v</sub>-CM anodes and S-SM cathode, separated by an 18 mm-diameter monolayer microporous membrane (Celgard 2500) soaked with either 15  $\mu$ Lmg<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup> or  $8 \mu Lmg<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup>$  (the latter exclusively for high active material loading) of electrolyte. The chemical lithiation of  $SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM to achieve the Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>v</sub>-CM state was performed via direct contact of the pristine electrode surface with a lithium metal foil wet with the electrolyte for 14 or 24 hours under a pressure of 2 kg cm $^{-2}$ . Afterwards, the electrode was removed from the lithium foil, dried for 60 minutes under vacuum, and studied in lithium half-cell and full-cell using the S-SM cathode. The electrochemical lithiation to achieve Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>v</sub>-CM was performed in lithium half-cell (CR2032 coin-type cell, MTI Corp.) using the SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM electrode and 50  $\mu$ L of electrolyte soaking an 18 mm-diameter monolayer microporous membrane (Celgard 2500) through either 9 or 5 (the latter exclusively for high active material loading) discharge/charge cycles at constant current of 20 mA $q^{-1}$  in the 0.01–2.0 V voltage range, and a final full discharge held at 0.01 V to achieve the lithiated condition. Then, the  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM electrode was recovered from the above cell in the fully discharged state, dried under vacuum for 60 minutes and employed. Galvanostatic cycling tests were performed on the fullcells within 0.1 and 2.8 V at current rates of C/5 and C/10, or within 0 and 2.8 V at  $C/20$  (1 C = 1675 mAg<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup>). All the galvanostatic cycling tests were carried out by a MACCOR series 4000 battery tests instrument in a chamber set at 30°C, with maximum fluctuation of  $\pm$  0.1 °C. *Ex-situ* SEM was performed on the electrodes with relatively low active material loading, retrieved from the full Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM | S-SM cells after cycling, using a Zeiss Gemini FESEM 460 relying on a La $B_6$  thermionic gun in secondary electrons mode. Before SEM, the retrieved electrodes were dried under vacuum for 1 hour.

# **Supporting Information Summary**

Evaluation of polysulfides retention ability of Sn and Sn: $MnO<sub>2</sub>$ 1:1 *w/w* using photographic images (Figure S1). Additional TEM image of the S-SM powder (Figure S2). Selected voltage profiles of galvanostatic cycling tests on the S-SM electrode in Li half-

1864564x

š

cell at C/3 and 1 C (Figure S3). Voltage profiles and capacity trend of galvanostatic cycling test performed on Li-S control cell at C/3 (Figure S4). Voltage profiles and capacity trend of the galvanostatic test performed on full  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM$  S-SM cell with high active materials loading at various current rates, voltage profiles and capacity trend of corresponding electrochemically lithiation of the  $Li<sub>v</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>$ -CM electrode, and capacity retention comparison of full-cells presented in the work (Figure S5).

## *Acknowledgments*

Project funded under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 04 Component 2 Investment 1.5 – NextGenerationEU, Call for tender n. 3277 dated 30/12/2021, Award Number: 0001052 dated 23/06/2022. The authors also thank the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme Graphene Flagship under grant agreement No 881603, the grant "Fondo di Ateneo per la Ricerca Scientifica, FAR 2023" University of Ferrara, and the project "Accordo di Collaborazione Quadro 2015" between University of Ferrara (Department of Chemical, Pharmaceutical and Agricultural Sciences) and Sapienza University of Rome (Department of Chemistry). The authors thank the company BeDimensional S.p.A., Lungotorrente Secca 30R, Genova, 16163, Italy, for providing FLG powder used in the S-SM electrode as carbon electron conductor. Open Access publishing facilitated by Università degli Studi di Ferrara, as part of the Wiley - CRUI-CARE agreement. Open Access publishing facilitated by Università degli Studi di Ferrara, as part of the Wiley - CRUI-CARE agreement.

# *Conflict of Interests*

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# *Data Availability Statement*

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords: Li-S battery  $\cdot$  Li-ion full-cell  $\cdot$  MnO<sub>2</sub>/Sn  $\cdot$  SiO<sub>2</sub>  $\cdot$ Graphene

- [1] *IEA (2023), Tracking Clean Energy Progress 2023, IEA, Paris, Licence: CC BY 4.0*, **2023**.
- [2] A. Manthiram, J. L. Lutkenhaus, Y. Fu, P. Bai, B. G. Kim, S. W. Lee, E. Okonkwo, R. M. Penner, *One Earth* **2022**, *5*, [203–206](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2022.02.010).
- [3] A. Manthiram, J. B. Goodenough, *Nat. Energy* **2021**, *6*, [323–323.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-020-00764-8)
- [4] M. S. Whittingham, *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, [4271–4302](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr020731c).
- [5] J. B. Goodenough, K.-S. Park, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, [1167–1176](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3091438).
- [6] A. Manthiram, *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 1550.
- [7] G. Li, S. Wang, Y. Zhang, M. Li, Z. Chen, J. Lu, *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1705590.
- [8] A. Manthiram, Y. Fu, S.-H. Chung, C. Zu, Y.-S. Su, *[Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500062v) Rev.* **2014**, *114*, [11751–11787.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500062v)
- [9] J. Hassoun, B. Scrosati, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, [2371–2374](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200907324).

- [10] J. Hassoun, B. Scrosati, *Adv. Mater.* **2010**, *22*, [5198–5201.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201002584)
- [11] R. Xu, I. Belharouak, X. Zhang, R. Chamoun, C. Yu, Y. Ren, A. Nie, R. Shahbazian-Yassar, J. Lu, J. C. M. Li, K. Amine, *ACS Appl. Mater. [Interfaces](https://doi.org/10.1021/am504763p)* **2014**, *6*, [21938–21945.](https://doi.org/10.1021/am504763p)
- [12] M. Cuisinier, C. Hart, M. Balasubramanian, A. Garsuch, L. F. Nazar, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2015**, *5*, 1401801.
- [13] L. Carbone, S. G. Greenbaum, J. Hassoun, *[Sustain.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6SE00124F) Energy Fuels* **2017**, *1*, [228–247](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6SE00124F).
- [14] V. Marangon, D. Di Lecce, F. Orsatti, D. J. L. Brett, P. R. Shearing, J. Hassoun, *Sustain. Energy Fuels* **2020**, *4*, [2907–2923](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SE00134A).
- [15] V. Marangon, E. Scaduti, V. F. Vinci, J. Hassoun, *ChemElectroChem* **2022**, *9*, e202200374.
- [16] V. Marangon, E. Barcaro, L. Minnetti, W. Brehm, F. Bonaccorso, V. Pellegrini, J. Hassoun, *Nano Res.* **2023**, *16*, [8433–8447.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12274-022-5364-5)
- [17] S. Li, Z. Luo, L. Li, J. Hu, G. Zou, H. Hou, X. Ji, *Energy [Storage](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2020.07.008) Mater.* **2020**, *32*, [306–319](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2020.07.008).
- [18] J. Castillo, J. A. Coca-Clemente, J. Rikarte, A. Sáenz de Buruaga, A. Santiago, C. Li, *APL Mater.* **2023**, *11*, 010901.
- [19] D. Lin, Y. Liu, Y. Cui, *Nat. [Nanotechnol.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2017.16)* **2017**, *12*, 194–206.
- [20] L. Carbone, M. Gobet, J. Peng, M. Devany, B. Scrosati, S. Greenbaum, J. Hassoun, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, [13859–13865](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b02160).
- [21] D. Di Lecce, V. Marangon, H.-G. Jung, Y. Tominaga, S. Greenbaum, J. Hassoun, *Green Chem.* **2022**, *24*, [1021–1048](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1GC03996B).
- [22] D. Aurbach, Y. Talyosef, B. Markovsky, E. Markevich, E. Zinigrad, L. Asraf, J. S. Gnanaraj, H.-J. Kim, *[Electrochim.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2004.01.090) Acta* **2004**, *50*, 247–254.
- [23] A. Bhargav, J. He, A. Gupta, A. Manthiram, *Joule* **2020**, *4*, [285–291](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2020.01.001). [24] M. Liu, F. Ma, Z. Ge, Z. Zeng, Q. Wu, H. Yan, Y. Wu, S. Lei, Y. Zhu, S.
- Cheng, J. Xie, *Sci. China Chem.* **2023**, *67*, 724–731. [25] M. Agostini, S. Brutti, J. Hassoun, *ACS Appl. Mater. [Interfaces](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b01407)* **2016**, *8*,
- [10850–10857.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b01407) [26] C. Hernández-Rentero, V. Marangon, M. Olivares-Marín, V. Gómez-
- Serrano, Á. Caballero, J. Morales, J. Hassoun, *J. Colloid [Interface](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2020.03.092) Sci.* **2020**, *573*, [396–408.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2020.03.092)
- [27] M. Ge, C. Cao, G. M. Biesold, C. D. Sewell, S. Hao, J. Huang, W. Zhang, Y. Lai, Z. Lin, *Adv. Mater.* **2021**, *33*, 2004577.
- [28] J. Deng, M. Li, Y. Wang, *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18*, [4824–4854.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6GC01172A)
- [29] S.-K. Lee, S.-M. Oh, E. Park, B. Scrosati, J. Hassoun, M.-S. Park, Y.-J. Kim, H. Kim, I. Belharouak, Y.-K. Sun, *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, [2863–2868](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl504460s).
- [30] C. Shen, M. Ge, A. Zhang, X. Fang, Y. Liu, J. Rong, C. Zhou, *Nano [Energy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2015.11.013)* **2016**, *19*, [68–77](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2015.11.013).
- [31] R. Elazari, G. Salitra, G. Gershinsky, A. Garsuch, A. Panchenko, D. Aurbach, *[Electrochem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2011.10.020) Commun.* **2012**, *14*, 21–24.
- [32] W. Zhong, Q. Wu, Y. Wu, R. He, C. Liao, S. Cheng, J. Xie, *Energy [Storage](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2024.103318) Mater.* **2024**, *68*, [103318.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2024.103318)
- [33] X. Chen, L. Peng, L. Wang, J. Yang, Z. Hao, J. Xiang, K. Yuan, Y. Huang, B. Shan, L. Yuan, J. Xie, *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 1021.
- [34] S. Tubtimkuna, D. L. Danilov, M. Sawangphruk, P. H. L. Notten, *Small Methods* **2023**, *7*, 2300345.
- [35] E. Barcaro, V. Marangon, M. Mutarelli, J. Hassoun, *J. Power [Sources](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2024.234059)* **2024**, *595*, [234059](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2024.234059).
- [36] J. T. Frith, M. J. Lacey, U. Ulissi, *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 420.
- [37] D. Di Lecce, V. Marangon, W. Du, D. J. L. Brett, P. R. Shearing, J. Hassoun, *J. Power [Sources](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2020.228424)* **2020**, *472*, 228424.
- [38] A. Benítez, P. Márquez, M. Á. Martín, A. Caballero, *[ChemSusChem](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202101231)* **2021**, *14*, [3915–3925.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202101231)
- [39] R. Xu, I. Belharouak, X. Zhang, R. Chamoun, C. Yu, Y. Ren, A. Nie, R. Shahbazian-Yassar, J. Lu, J. C. M. Li, K. Amine, *ACS Appl. Mater. [Interfaces](https://doi.org/10.1021/am504763p)* **2014**, *6*, [21938–21945.](https://doi.org/10.1021/am504763p)
- [40] J. Xiao, J. Z. Hu, H. Chen, M. Vijayakumar, J. Zheng, H. Pan, E. D. Walter, M. Hu, X. Deng, J. Feng, B. Y. Liaw, M. Gu, Z. D. Deng, D. Lu, S. Xu, C. Wang, J. Liu, *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, [3309–3316](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b00521).
- [41] F. L. Lama, V. Marangon, Á. Caballero, J. Morales, J. Hassoun, *ChemSusChem* **2023**, *16*, e202202095.
- [42] B. Boukamp, *Solid State Ionics* **1986**, *18–19*, [136–140.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(86)90100-1)
- [43] B. Boukamp, *Solid State Ionics* **1986**, *20*, [31–44](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-2738(86)90031-7).
- [44] M. R. Busche, P. Adelhelm, H. Sommer, H. Schneider, K. Leitner, J. Janek, *J. Power Sources* **2014**, *259*, [289–299.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.02.075)
- [45] A. Benítez, Á. Caballero, E. Rodríguez-Castellón, J. Morales, J. Hassoun, *[ChemistrySelect](https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.201802529)* **2018**, *3*, 10371–10377.
- [46] V. Marangon, C. Hernández-Rentero, M. Olivares-Marín, V. Gómez-Serrano, Á. Caballero, J. Morales, J. Hassoun, *[ChemSusChem](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202101069)* **2021**, *14*, [3333–3343.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202101069)
- [47] D. Di Lecce, R. Verrelli, J. Hassoun, *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19*, [3442–3467](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7GC01328K).
- [48] A. Hadi, J. Zahirifar, J. Karimi-Sabet, A. Dastbaz, *Ultrason. [Sonochem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2018.02.028)* **2018**, *44*, [204–214.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2018.02.028)

*ChemSusChem* **2024**, e202400615 (15 of 16) © 2024 The Authors. ChemSusChem published by Wiley-VCH GmbH



1864564x

- [49] W. Li, Y. Xu, Y. Zhou, W. Ma, S. Wang, Y. Dai, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2012**, *7*, 485.
- [50] P. Verma, P. Maire, P. Novák, *[Electrochim.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2010.05.072) Acta* **2010**, *55*, 6332–6341.
- [51] W.-S. Chang, C.-M. Park, J.-H. Kim, Y.-U. Kim, G. Jeong, H.-J. Sohn, *[Energy](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee00003b) [Environ.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee00003b) Sci.* **2012**, *5*, 6895. [52] Z. Huang, Z. Deng, Y. Zhong, M. Xu, S. Li, X. Liu, Y. Zhou, K. Huang, Y.
- Shen, Y. Huang, *Carbon Energy* **2022**, *4*, [1107–1132](https://doi.org/10.1002/cey2.256). [53] Q. Meng, G. Li, J. Yue, Q. Xu, Y.-X. Yin, Y.-G. Guo, *ACS Appl. [Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b12086)*
- *Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, [32062–32068.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b12086)
- [54] V. Marangon, D. Di Lecce, D. J. L. Brett, P. R. Shearing, J. Hassoun, *[J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2021.04.025) Energy Chem.* **2022**, *64*, [116–128.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jechem.2021.04.025)
- [55] A. E. Del Rio Castillo, V. Pellegrini, A. Ansaldo, F. Ricciardella, H. Sun, L. Marasco, J. Buha, Z. Dang, L. Gagliani, E. Lago, N. Curreli, S. Gentiluomo,

F. Palazon, M. Prato, R. Oropesa-Nuñez, P. S. Toth, E. Mantero, M. Crugliano, A. Gamucci, A. Tomadin, M. Polini, F. Bonaccorso, *[Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8MH00487K) Horiz.* **2018**, *5*, [890–904.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8MH00487K)

[56] D. Di Lecce, V. Marangon, A. Benítez, Á. Caballero, J. Morales, E. Rodríguez-Castellón, J. Hassoun, *J. Power Sources* **2019**, *412*, [575–585](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2018.11.068).

Manuscript received: March 21, 2024 Revised manuscript received: July 24, 2024 Version of record online: ■■, ■

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Scalable Li-ion sulfur cell combines composite cathode added with Sn and MnO 2 , and pre-lithiated silicon oxide/carbon anode. The Li<sub>y</sub>SiO<sub>x</sub>-CM j S-SM full-cell enables at 1.8 V the multi-step sulfur conversion and the anode (de)alloying/(de)insertion over 400 cycles, with initial capacity exceeding 1000 mAh $\rm\,g_{\rm s}^{-1}$ , and retention depending on the cell setup.



*E. Barcaro, V. Marangon, D. Bresser, J. Hassoun\**

### $1 - 17$

**Scalable Li-Ion Battery with Metal/ Metal Oxide Sulfur Cathode and Lithiated Silicon Oxide/Carbon Anode**

 $\Box$