


Measurement of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ effective lifetime from proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV



The CMS collaboration

E-mail: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: The effective lifetime of the B_s^0 meson in the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ is measured using data collected during 2016–2018 with the CMS detector in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV proton-proton collisions at the LHC, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 140 fb^{-1} . The effective lifetime is determined by performing a two-dimensional unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the B_s^0 meson invariant mass and proper decay time distributions. The resulting value of $1.59 \pm 0.07(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst}) \text{ ps}$ is the most precise measurement to date and is in good agreement with the expected value.

KEYWORDS: B Physics, Hadron-Hadron Scattering, Lifetime

ARXIV EPRINT: [2407.13441](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.13441)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The CMS detector	3
3	Data and simulation samples	3
4	Event selection	4
5	Effective lifetime measurement	5
6	Systematic uncertainties	8
7	Results	10
8	Summary	10
A	Projection plots from the 2D UML fits	12
B	Subrange projection plots	13
	The CMS collaboration	18

1 Introduction

Measurements using b hadron decays have dramatically improved our knowledge of the standard model (SM) flavor sector. An important aspect of B meson studies is determining whether the SM correctly describes the violation of charge-parity (CP) symmetry observed in nature. The oscillations of B^0 and B_s^0 mesons provide a valuable tool in the study of CP violation [1]. At hadron colliders, neutral B mesons are produced as flavor eigenstates, but they propagate as mass eigenstates, referred to as the light and heavy states, which are linear combinations of the two flavor states. In the limit of CP conservation in the flavor mixing, the mass and CP eigenstates are identical. These mass eigenstates have different lifetimes, both of which differ from the average lifetime of the corresponding B meson.

In the SM, quark flavor transitions occur through the weak interaction according to the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. Unitarity triangles can be derived from this matrix, with a nonzero area indicating CP violation [2, 3]. Measurements of the angles and sides of the unitarity triangles can be used to constrain SM parameters and search for physics beyond the SM. The parameter β is one of the angles of the most commonly used unitarity triangle and is most precisely determined by measuring the phase ϕ_d in a time-dependent CP asymmetry analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ decays, where ϕ_d arises from the interference between the direct decay of B^0 and the decay after oscillating to $\bar{B}^0$. In the SM, $\phi_d = 2\beta$. However, the value of the phase measured in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ may not exactly




Figure 1. The tree-level (left) and penguin (right) Feynman diagrams for the decays $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$.

equal ϕ_d since there is a small contribution to the decay from doubly-Cabibbo-suppressed penguin decays, with a different phase [4–7]. Since the value of $\sin(2\beta)$ is now known to better than 2% [8], understanding the penguin contribution is becoming more important in determining ϕ_d . This can be accomplished by studying the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$, where the penguin contribution is much larger, since it is not Cabibbo suppressed relative to the tree diagram, and it is related to the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ decay through the U-spin flavor symmetry of the strong interaction (swapping s and d quarks) [9–13].

In this paper, we measure the effective lifetime of the B_s^0 meson in its decay to $J/\psi K_S^0$. Charge conjugate states and decays are implied unless otherwise indicated. Figure 1 shows the tree-level and penguin Feynman diagrams for the decay modes $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$. The effective lifetime is theoretically defined as the time expectation value of the untagged decay rate [14], where untagged means that no requirements are made that would bias one B_s^0 mass eigenstate over the other. Typically, an untagged rate is characterized by two exponentials, corresponding to the two mass eigenstates with distinct lifetimes, while a single exponential fit serves as an approximation. The CMS Collaboration has measured the effective lifetime in the decay $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ [15, 16], a CP -odd final state, as well as other B_s^0 meson decay channels [17]. The ATLAS and LHCb experiments have also measured the effective lifetimes for a variety of decay modes [18–30]. The effective lifetime of a particular decay channel can be experimentally determined by fitting a single exponential function to its untagged rate. The $J/\psi K_S^0$ state can be considered a pure CP -odd state [31], with CP violation in the kaon system being neglected [32]. This allows us to accurately measure the B_s^0 heavy-state lifetime.

The $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ effective lifetime is defined as

$$\tau(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) \equiv \frac{\int_0^\infty t \{ \Gamma[B_s^0(t) \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0] + \Gamma[\bar{B}_s^0(t) \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0] \} dt}{\int_0^\infty \{ \Gamma[B_s^0(t) \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0] + \Gamma[\bar{B}_s^0(t) \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0] \} dt}, \quad (1.1)$$

where t is the proper decay time of the B_s^0 meson. The effective lifetime can also be expressed as [33]

$$\tau(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) = \frac{\tau_{B_s^0}}{1 - y_s^2} \left(\frac{1 + 2y_s A_{\Delta\Gamma} + y_s^2}{1 + y_s A_{\Delta\Gamma}} \right), \quad (1.2)$$

where $A_{\Delta\Gamma}$ is the mass eigenstate rate asymmetry, y_s is the normalized decay width difference, defined as $y_s = \tau_{B_s^0} \Delta\Gamma/2$, $\Delta\Gamma$ is the decay width difference between the heavy and light B_s^0 mass eigenstates, and $\tau_{B_s^0}$ denotes the mean B_s^0 lifetime. Using the most recent measurements [31, 34] of these observables, the SM prediction for the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ effective lifetime is 1.62 ± 0.02 ps, where the uncertainty is derived from the uncertainties in the observables in eq. (1.2). The only previous measurement of this effective lifetime is from the LHCb Collaboration, which reported $\tau(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) = 1.75 \pm 0.12$ (stat) ± 0.07 (syst) ps from data collected in 2011 [27]. The analysis described in this paper uses two variables, the $J/\psi K_S^0$ invariant mass and proper decay time, to extract the effective lifetime. The decay $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ is used as a control channel for various bias tests and validations.

2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS detector is a 6 m internal diameter superconducting solenoid that provides a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within this solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter. Each of them is composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. The silicon tracker used in 2016 measured charged particles within the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. For nonisolated particles of transverse momentum $1 < p_T < 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1.4$, the track resolutions were typically 1.5% in p_T and 25–90 (45–150) μm in the transverse (longitudinal) impact parameter [35]. At the start of 2017, a new pixel detector was installed [36]; the upgraded tracker measured particles up to $|\eta| < 3.0$ with typical resolutions of 1.5% in p_T and 20–75 μm in the transverse impact parameter [37] for nonisolated particles of $1 < p_T < 10$ GeV. Muons with $|\eta| < 2.4$ are measured with gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke that resides outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, definition of the coordinate system used, and the other relevant kinematic variables, can be found in ref. [38].

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system. The first level, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz within a fixed latency of 4 μs [39]. The second level, known as the high-level trigger (HLT), consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 1 kHz before data storage [40].

3 Data and simulation samples

Data used in the analysis correspond to an integrated luminosity of 140 fb^{-1} [41–43], collected by the CMS experiment during 2016–2018 in proton-proton (pp) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV.

The analysis uses Monte Carlo (MC) samples to estimate the detector acceptance and the trigger and reconstruction efficiencies. The MC event samples are simulated using PYTHIA v8.240 [44] and the unstable particle decays are modeled by EVTGEN v1.6.0 [45]. Final-state radiation is included in EVTGEN using PHOTOS v3.61 [46]. The particles are propagated

through a detailed model of the CMS detector using GEANT4 [47]. The simulated events follow the same reconstruction algorithm and selection criteria as the collision data. To take into account the effect of additional pp collision vertices in the same or nearby bunch crossings, the number and spatial distribution of collision vertices in simulated events are matched to those from data.

4 Event selection

The events used in this analysis were collected by an HLT path that required two oppositely charged muons with a distance of closest approach less than 0.5 cm, a vertex fit χ^2 probability greater than 0.5%, and an invariant mass in the range 2.9–3.3 GeV. The muons were required to pass within 2 mm of the beamline and the dimuon system was required to have $|\eta| < 2.5$ and $p_T > 19.9$ GeV in 2016 and $p_T > 24.9$ GeV in 2017–2018. These requirements are also applied on the corresponding offline quantities, with an additional requirement of the muon candidates satisfying the soft muon identification criteria [48].

The $J/\psi K_S^0$ candidates are reconstructed using the J/ψ meson decay to $\mu^+\mu^-$ and K_S^0 meson decay to $\pi^+\pi^-$. After the standard track selection criteria [49], the two daughter tracks assumed to be from the K_S^0 decay are given charged pion mass assignments and fit to a common vertex. The fitted two-track vertex must have a $\chi^2 < 7$ [50] and be located at a distance from the beamline that is at least 15 times larger than its uncertainty. The $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$ invariant mass distributions are each fit with a sum of two Gaussian functions with a common mean for the signal component and an exponential function for the background. The effective invariant mass resolutions are evaluated as $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{f\sigma_1^2 + (1-f)\sigma_2^2}$, where σ_1 and σ_2 are the individual widths of the two Gaussian functions and f is the fraction of events associated with the first Gaussian function. The effective mass resolutions are approximately 5.5 and 30 MeV for the K_S^0 and J/ψ , respectively. The $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$ candidates are then required to have invariant masses within $2.5\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ from the corresponding common mean value found in the fit. The contamination in the K_S^0 candidates from $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ decay, where the proton track is reconstructed as a pion, is removed using a requirement on the momentum asymmetry between the two daughters with the Armenteros-Podolanski method [51].

The two tracks from the $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ candidate decay are fit to a common vertex with the invariant mass constrained to the K_S^0 world-average mass value [34]. The B meson candidates are obtained from a vertex fit of $K_S^0 \mu^+\mu^-$, with the dimuon mass constrained to the world-average J/ψ meson mass. The refitted momenta are then used to determine the reconstructed invariant mass m of the B candidate, which is required to satisfy $4.9 < m < 6.0$ GeV.

The distance between the B and K_S^0 decay vertices in the transverse plane, referred to as the K_S^0 decay length, is required to be greater than 5 times its uncertainty. This is done to further suppress background events that do not contain genuine K_S^0 decays, such as $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*}(892)^0$ where the $K^{*}(892)^0$ decays to $K^+\pi^-$ and the K^+ is reconstructed as a π^+ .

The measurement of the B meson decay length requires a precise reconstruction of both the production and decay vertices of the B meson. The proper decay time t of the

B meson candidate is determined using

$$t = m \frac{\vec{L}_{xy} \cdot \vec{p}_T^B}{(\vec{p}_T^B)^2}, \quad (4.1)$$

where m and $\vec{p}_T^B$ are the invariant mass and transverse momentum of the B candidate, respectively, and $\vec{L}_{xy}$ is the vector in the transverse plane from the beamline to the B meson candidate fitted decay vertex. The uncertainty in the proper decay time is determined by propagating the uncertainties in the decay length, invariant mass, and momentum of the B candidate.

To reduce contributions from non-signal processes, a multivariate selection is employed, based on a boosted decision tree (BDT). This BDT relies on 8 discriminating variables, which are associated with the properties of the B meson candidate and the final-state particles. Variables with minimal correlation to the proper decay time are used. These variables are: the angle between $\vec{L}_{xy}$ and the $\vec{p}_T^B$; the B and K_S^0 vertex χ^2 fit probabilities; the p_T of the J/ψ and K_S^0 candidates; the η of the B candidate; the angle in the transverse plane between the K_S^0 momentum vector and the line joining the B and K_S^0 candidate decay vertices; and the impact parameter of the B candidate with respect to the beamline divided by its uncertainty.

The BDT classifier uses two input samples containing signal and background decays, respectively. The signal sample is selected from MC events, and the background sample is made up of data events with the B meson candidate invariant mass in the high sideband region of 5.6–6.0 GeV. A distinct BDT is used for each of the three data-taking years to account for variations in the data-simulation agreement and different detector conditions. The optimization of the BDT threshold is done by minimizing the statistical uncertainty in the effective lifetime measurement based on studies using pseudo-experiments. In events with more than one B meson candidate satisfying the signal requirements, the one with the highest BDT discriminant value is chosen. To validate the reliability of the BDT approach, different kinematic distributions are compared and found to be consistent between the MC samples and the background-subtracted data for the control channel decay $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$.

5 Effective lifetime measurement

The effective lifetime for the signal B_s^0 meson is extracted using a single two-dimensional unbinned extended maximum likelihood (2D UML) fit to the $J/\psi K_S^0$ invariant mass (m) and the proper decay time (t) distributions, with the calculated uncertainty in the proper decay time (σ_t) as a conditional parameter in the fit. This fit is performed simultaneously to each of the three data-taking years, with independent parameters for each year except for the effective lifetimes of the signal and control channels, which are each represented by a single parameter. The decay time and invariant mass range used in the fit is $0.2 < t < 10$ ps and $5.17 < m < 5.57$ GeV, respectively, with the mass range not extended below 5.17 GeV to avoid contributions from partially reconstructed decays of b hadrons. The signal efficiency as a function of decay time is determined from simulated samples and is used to account for the distortion of the measured decay time distribution caused by the selection criteria.

The unnormalized probability density function (pdf) $\mathcal{L}$ is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(m, t; \sigma_t) = & N_{B_s^0} M_{B_s^0}(m) T_{B_s^0}(t; \sigma_t) \varepsilon_{B_s^0}(t) + N_{B^0} M_{B^0}(m) T_{B^0}(t; \sigma_t) \varepsilon_{B^0}(t) \\ & + N_{\text{bkg}} M_{\text{bkg}}(m) T_{\text{bkg}}(t; \sigma_t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Here, $M(m)$ and $T(t; \sigma_t)$ are the invariant mass and decay time pdfs, respectively. The pdfs for the signal, control channel, and background components are labeled with the subscripts B_s^0 , B^0 , and bkg, respectively. The signal and control channel efficiencies are given by $\varepsilon_{B_s^0}$ and ε_{B^0} , respectively. The parameters $N_{B_s^0}$, N_{B^0} , and N_{bkg} correspond to the signal, control channel, and background yields, respectively.

The invariant mass distribution from simulated $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ events is fit with a sum of three Gaussian functions with a common mean. This fitted function, with the two parameters governing the relative amplitude of each Gaussian fixed, is used in fitting the data. Before fitting the data, the function is fit to simulated $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ events with the yield, mean, and a single scale factor (B_s^0 MC) multiplying each of the widths as the free parameters. In fitting the data, the two invariant mass signal functions for B^0 and B_s^0 have a total of four free parameters: two yields, one mean, and one width scale factor. The world-average value for the difference in masses of the B_s^0 and B^0 mesons of 87.26 ± 0.24 MeV [34] is applied as a Gaussian constraint to the difference of the means of the two corresponding invariant mass functions. The width scale factor multiplies all of the width parameters of each function, with the B_s^0 signal function widths also multiplied by the B_s^0 MC scale factor. The shape of the invariant mass distribution for the background is parametrized by an exponential function with a free slope parameter.

The pdfs $T_{B_s^0}$ and T_{B^0} are exponential functions convolved with a Gaussian function to account for the detector resolution. These are multiplied by their respective efficiency functions $\varepsilon_{B_s^0}(t)$ and $\varepsilon_{B^0}(t)$. A sum of two exponential functions is used to model T_{bkg} , with all parameters left free in the fit.

The efficiencies are obtained by dividing the distribution of the reconstructed decay time in the MC by the distribution of the generated decay time convolved with the time resolution function and are fitted with the function:

$$\varepsilon(t; p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = p_0 + p_1 t + p_2 t^2 + \frac{p_3}{1 + \exp(-p_4 t)}, \quad (5.2)$$

where p_i ($i = 0-4$) are free parameters in the fit. The efficiencies, along with the fitted ε functions, are shown in figure 2 for each of the three data-taking years. The ratio of the two efficiencies for each year is consistent with unity and shows no dependence on the decay time.

The measured m and t distributions are shown in figure 3, along with the projections of the 2D UML fit, in the full fit range and summed over all three data-taking years. The invariant mass projection from the 2D UML fit yields a χ^2 value of 82 with 80 bins, while the decay time projection results in a χ^2 value of 62 with 49 bins. For a better visualization of the signal component, the proper decay time projection is shown in figure 4 for events in the signal invariant mass range $5.34 < m < 5.42$ GeV, along with individual and total pdf components. The measured effective lifetime for the B_s^0 from the simultaneous fit is




Figure 2. The signal efficiency as a function of the decay time for the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ (upper) and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ (lower) decays from simulation for each of the three data-taking years. The vertical bars indicate the statistical uncertainty, and the horizontal bars give bin widths. The curves show the fit results.




Figure 3. Distributions of the $J/\psi K_S^0$ invariant mass (left) and proper decay time (right) from data (points) and the results from the 2D UML fit projections (lines) for the 2016–2018 data set. The vertical bars on the data points indicate the statistical uncertainty. The solid, dotted-dashed, dashed, and dotted lines show the total fit, B^0 control channel, B_s^0 signal, and background contributions, respectively.




Figure 4. The proper decay time distribution from data (points) for events in the B_s^0 signal region with $J/\psi K_S^0$ invariant mass in the range 5.34–5.42 GeV and the results from the 2D UML fit projections (lines) for the 2016–2018 data set. The vertical bars on the data points indicate the statistical uncertainty. The dashed, dotted-dashed, dotted, and solid lines show the B_s^0 signal, B^0 control channel, background, and total fit contributions, respectively.

$\tau(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) = 1.59 \pm 0.07$ (stat) ps. Projections in m and t of this fit are given for each of the three data-taking years in appendix A, along with the corresponding data results.

Consistency checks are conducted, such as examining the decay time projections in different B_s^0 invariant mass ranges and the invariant mass projections for different decay time ranges. There is good agreement between the data and results from the fit in all cases. These projections are shown in appendix B.

6 Systematic uncertainties

Several sources of systematic uncertainty are investigated and their effects on the B_s^0 effective lifetime measurement are estimated.

The finite number of simulated events introduces an uncertainty due to the statistical variation in the MC samples and its effect on the determination of the efficiency functions. This is studied by generating 400 alternative efficiency functions, produced by varying the fitted parameters of the default efficiency function by their uncertainties. Each of these functions is then used in the fit to data. The standard deviation of the resulting effective lifetime measurements from these fits is 0.006 ps, which is used as an estimate of the systematic uncertainty from this source.

Another source of uncertainty comes from the choice of the function used to parametrize the efficiency as a function of the decay time. To estimate this effect, two other analytical functions (variations of eq. (5.2)) are used for efficiency modeling and each is used in the data fit. The largest deviation in the measured value of the effective lifetime from the fit to

data using these two functions with respect to the value obtained using the default efficiency function is 0.002 ps, which is taken as the uncertainty from this source.

The choice of pdfs for the modeling of the signal and background invariant mass distributions is another source of systematic uncertainty. To estimate the effect, alternative functions are used to fit the data. Three alternative signal functions for the invariant mass, the double-sided Crystal Ball function [52], the Johnson S_U function [53], and the Student's t -distribution [54], are chosen since they provide a good fit to the simulated signal events. The alternative background function for the invariant mass is a Bernstein polynomial. Additionally, the effect of the uncertainty in the B_s^0 - B^0 mass difference evaluated by varying the mass difference in the fits. The largest variation from the nominal fit, considering all combinations of nominal and alternative functions as well as the different mass difference values, is 0.022 ps, which is taken as the systematic uncertainty.

Similarly, the pdf for the decay time distribution of the background is changed to the sum of three exponential functions and the resulting variation in the result is 0.014 ps.

The B_s^0 MC scale factor that allows the widths of the three Gaussian functions to vary with equal proportion in the fit is considered as a source of uncertainty. The scale factor is changed by its uncertainty (from the fit to the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ MC events) and the variation in the B_s^0 effective lifetime is 0.004 ps.

An alternative 2D UML fit is performed in which the ratio of the B_s^0 to B^0 signal yields is constrained to be the same for all three data-taking years rather than being independent for each year. The change in the final result of 0.006 ps is taken as the corresponding systematic uncertainty. It is possible that a small number of reconstructed B_s^0 mesons may come from B_c^+ decays. A fit was performed that added a component with the same mass shape as the signal, a lifetime distribution given by an exponential with a time constant equal to the world-average B_c^+ average lifetime plus the fitted B_s^0 lifetime, and an unconstrained yield. The difference in B_s^0 lifetime from the nominal fit of 0.002 ps is taken as a systematic uncertainty.

The systematic uncertainty from the BDT is negligible; varying the BDT threshold by $\pm 5\%$ resulted in consistent lifetime measurements. The invariant mass range used in the fit is changed from the default of 5.17–5.57 to 5.1–5.6 GeV, with no effect on the result. To determine the potential uncertainty arising from an inaccurate σ_t calculation, the values of σ_t have been scaled by 0.5 and 2 in the fit. No change in the lifetime is found and therefore no systematic uncertainty is assessed.

As previously noted, the control channel $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ has the same topology and very similar kinematics to those of the signal channel, and is therefore used in defining the analysis strategy and to perform various checks. As the B^0 meson lifetime extracted in this analysis, 1.521 ± 0.007 (stat) ps, agrees with the world-average value of 1.517 ± 0.004 ps [34], we assign an uncertainty of 0.007 ps, based on the precision of this test, as an estimate of any unaccounted systematic uncertainties.

All the sources of systematic uncertainty and their estimated values are listed in table 1. The individual values are added in quadrature to obtain the total systematic uncertainty.

Source	Value (ps)
Limited MC event count	0.006
Efficiency modeling	0.002
Signal and background invariant mass model	0.022
Background decay time model	0.014
Invariant mass shape variation	0.004
Different fit strategy	0.006
Contribution of B_s^0 from B_c^+ decays	0.002
Control channel lifetime uncertainty	0.007
Total	0.029

Table 1. Sources of systematic uncertainties in the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ effective lifetime measurement and their estimated values, along with the total systematic uncertainty.

7 Results

The 2D UML fit to the complete data set determines the yields of the B_s^0 signal and B^0 control channel to be 727 ± 35 and $68\,460 \pm 270$, respectively, where the uncertainties are statistical only. The $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ effective lifetime is measured to be:

$$\tau(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) = 1.59 \pm 0.07 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.03 \text{ (syst) ps.} \quad (7.1)$$

This result is consistent with the expectation from the SM of 1.62 ± 0.02 ps and is twice as precise as the only previous measurement of $1.75 \pm 0.12 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.07 \text{ (syst) ps}$, reported by the LHCb Collaboration from data collected in 2011 [27]. Tabulated results for this analysis are provided in the HEPData record [55].

8 Summary

In this paper, a measurement of the effective lifetime of the B_s^0 meson in the $J/\psi K_S^0$ decay channel is presented. The analysis is performed using data collected by the CMS detector during proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV from 2016 to 2018, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 140 fb^{-1} . The effective lifetime is extracted using a two-dimensional unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the invariant mass and proper decay time distributions of the B_s^0 meson. The decay $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$, which has a much larger event yield than the corresponding B_s^0 decay, is used as a control channel for estimating resolutions and systematic uncertainties. The measured value of the effective lifetime is $\tau(B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) = 1.59 \pm 0.07 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.03 \text{ (syst) ps}$, which is the most precise result to date. This measurement can be used to constrain the parameters that govern mixing and CP violation in the B_s^0 system and also to better understand the penguin contributions in measurements of $\sin(2\beta)$ from $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ decays.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at

other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); ERC PRG, RVTT3 and MoER TK202 (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LMTLT (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHEI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (U.S.A.).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, 101115353, 101002207, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22rl-037 (Armenia); the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l’Industrie et dans l’Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the “Excellence of Science — EOS” — be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (China); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), among others, under Germany’s Excellence Strategy — EXC 2121 “Quantum Universe” — 390833306, and under project number 400140256 — GRK2497; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program — ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, K 146913, K 146914, K 147048, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, and TKP2021-NKTA-64 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC — National Research Center for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing and FAIR — Future Artificial Intelligence Research, funded by the NextGenerationEU program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF “a way of making




Figure 5. Distributions of the $J/\psi K_S^0$ invariant mass (upper) and decay time (lower) from data (points), along with the projections from the 2D UML fit for each year of data taking. The vertical bars on the data points indicate the statistical uncertainty. The dashed, dotted-dashed, dotted, and solid lines represent the signal, control channel, background, and total fit contributions, respectively.

Europe”, and the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2017-0765 and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B39G670016 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (U.S.A.).

A Projection plots from the 2D UML fits

The invariant mass and decay time projection plots from the 2D UML fit are presented in figure 5. The three plots in the upper row correspond to invariant mass projections for the 2016, 2017, and 2018 data-taking periods, respectively. The lower row displays the decay time projections for the data collected during the same periods.




Figure 6. The decay time distribution for events with $J/\psi K_S^0$ invariant mass in the range $5.17 < m < 5.22$ (upper), $5.22 < m < 5.34$ (center), and $5.42 < m < 5.57$ (lower) GeV and the fit results for the 2016 (left), 2017 (middle), and 2018 (right) data-taking years.

B Subrange projection plots

Projections of the decay time distributions for three different $J/\psi K_S^0$ invariant mass ranges and the results of the 2D UML fits are presented in figure 6 for each of the three data-taking years. The corresponding invariant mass projections for different decay time ranges are shown in figure 7.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.




Figure 7. The invariant mass distribution for events with $J/\psi K_S^0$ decay time in the range $0.2 < t < 2.5$ (upper), $2.5 < t < 3.5$ (center), and $3.5 < t < 10.0$ (lower) ps and the fit results for the 2016 (left), 2017 (middle), and 2018 (right) data-taking years.

References

- [1] J. Albrecht, F. Bernlochner, A. Lenz and A. Rusov, *Lifetimes of b -hadrons and mixing of neutral B -mesons: theoretical and experimental status*, *Eur. Phys. J. ST* **233** (2024) 359 [[arXiv:2402.04224](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] N. Cabibbo, *Unitary symmetry and leptonic decays*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **10** (1963) 531 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, *CP violation in the renormalizable theory of weak interaction*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **49** (1973) 652 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] M. Ciuchini, M. Pierini and L. Silvestrini, *The effect of penguins in the $B_d \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$ CP asymmetry*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 221804 [[hep-ph/0507290](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [5] S. Faller, M. Jung, R. Fleischer and T. Mannel, *The golden modes $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_{S,L}$ in the era of precision flavour physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 014030 [[arXiv:0809.0842](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] M. Jung, *Determining weak phases from $B \rightarrow J/\psi P$ decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 053008 [[arXiv:1206.2050](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] P. Frings, U. Nierste and M. Wiebusch, *Penguin contributions to CP phases in $B_{d,s}$ decays to charmonium*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 061802 [[arXiv:1503.00859](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of CP violation in $B^0 \rightarrow \psi(\rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-) K_S^0(\rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$ decays*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132** (2024) 021801 [[arXiv:2309.09728](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] R. Fleischer, *Recent theoretical developments in CP violation in the B system*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **446** (2000) 1 [[hep-ph/9908340](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] K. De Bruyn, R. Fleischer and P. Koppenburg, *Extracting γ and penguin topologies through CP violation in $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$* , *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 1025 [[arXiv:1010.0089](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] K. De Bruyn and R. Fleischer, *A roadmap to control penguin effects in $B_d^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$* , *JHEP* **03** (2015) 145 [[arXiv:1412.6834](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] M.Z. Barel, K. De Bruyn, R. Fleischer and E. Malami, *In pursuit of new physics with $B_d^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ decays at the high-precision frontier*, *J. Phys. G* **48** (2021) 065002 [[arXiv:2010.14423](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] M.Z. Barel, K. De Bruyn, R. Fleischer and E. Malami, *Penguin effects in $B_d^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$* , *PoS CKM2021* (2023) 111 [[arXiv:2203.14652](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] K. De Bruyn et al., *Branching ratio measurements of B_s decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 014027 [[arXiv:1204.1735](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of the $B_S^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay properties and search for the $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **842** (2023) 137955 [[arXiv:2212.10311](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of properties of $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays and search for $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ with the CMS experiment*, *JHEP* **04** (2020) 188 [[arXiv:1910.12127](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of b hadron lifetimes in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 457 [Erratum *ibid.* **78** (2018) 561] [[arXiv:1710.08949](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu$ effective lifetime with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **09** (2023) 199 [[arXiv:2308.01171](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of τ_L using the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \eta$ decay mode*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** (2023) 629 [[arXiv:2206.03088](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] LHCb collaboration, *Analysis of neutral B-meson decays into two muons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** (2022) 041801 [[arXiv:2108.09284](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay properties and search for the $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 012010 [[arXiv:2108.09283](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ branching fraction and effective lifetime and search for $B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** (2017) 191801 [[arXiv:1703.05747](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \eta$ lifetime*, *Phys. Lett. B* **762** (2016) 484 [[arXiv:1607.06314](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [24] LHCb collaboration, *Effective lifetime measurements in the $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$, $B^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^-$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ K^-$ decays*, *Phys. Lett. B* **736** (2014) 446 [[arXiv:1406.7204](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] LHCb collaboration, *Measurements of the B^+ , B^0 , B_s^0 meson and Λ_b^0 baryon lifetimes*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 114 [[arXiv:1402.2554](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of the $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- D_s^+$ and $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D^- D_s^+$ effective lifetimes*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 111802 [[arXiv:1312.1217](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of the effective $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ lifetime*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **873** (2013) 275 [[arXiv:1304.4500](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of the effective $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ lifetime*, *Phys. Lett. B* **707** (2012) 349 [[arXiv:1111.0521](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of the B_s effective lifetime in the $J/\psi f_0(980)$ final state*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 152002 [[arXiv:1207.0878](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of the effective $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ lifetime*, *Phys. Lett. B* **716** (2012) 393 [[arXiv:1207.5993](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] HFLAV collaboration, *Averages of b-hadron, c-hadron, and τ -lepton properties as of 2021*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 052008 [[arXiv:2206.07501](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] CDF collaboration, *Observation of $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}(892)$ and $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ decays*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 052012 [[arXiv:1102.1961](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] R. Fleischer and R. Knegjens, *Effective lifetimes of B_s decays and their constraints on the B_s^0 - $\bar{B}_s^0$ mixing parameters*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1789 [[arXiv:1109.5115](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, *Review of particle physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **110** (2024) 030001 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] CMS collaboration, *Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker*, *2014 JINST* **9** P10009 [[arXiv:1405.6569](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] CMS TRACKER GROUP collaboration, *The CMS phase-1 pixel detector upgrade*, *2021 JINST* **16** P02027 [[arXiv:2012.14304](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] CMS collaboration, *Track impact parameter resolution for the full pseudo rapidity coverage in the 2017 dataset with the CMS phase-1 pixel detector*, *CMS-DP-2020-049*, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (2020).
- [38] CMS collaboration, *The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC*, *2008 JINST* **3** S08004 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] CMS collaboration, *Performance of the CMS level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *2020 JINST* **15** P10017 [[arXiv:2006.10165](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] CMS collaboration, *The CMS trigger system*, *2017 JINST* **12** P01020 [[arXiv:1609.02366](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] CMS collaboration, *Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 800 [[arXiv:2104.01927](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] CMS collaboration, *CMS luminosity measurement for the 2017 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *CMS-PAS-LUM-17-004*, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (2018).
- [43] CMS collaboration, *CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002*, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (2019).

- [44] T. Sjöstrand et al., *An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159 [[arXiv:1410.3012](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [45] D.J. Lange, *The EvtGen particle decay simulation package*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **462** (2001) 152 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] E. Barberio and Z. Was, *PHOTOS: a universal Monte Carlo for QED radiative corrections. Version 2.0*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **79** (1994) 291 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] GEANT4 collaboration, *GEANT4 — a simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] CMS collaboration, *Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, 2018 *JINST* **13** P06015 [[arXiv:1804.04528](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] CMS collaboration, *CMS tracking performance results from early LHC operation*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 1165 [[arXiv:1007.1988](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] R. Fruhwirth, *Application of Kalman filtering to track and vertex fitting*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **262** (1987) 444 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] J. Podolanski and R. Armenteros, *III. Analysis of V-events*, *Philos. Mag.* **45** (1954) 13.
- [52] J.E. Gaiser, *Charmonium spectroscopy from radiative decays of the J/ψ and ψ'* , Ph.D. thesis, SLAC report SLAC-R-255, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, U.S.A. (1982) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] N.L. Johnson, *Systems of frequency curves generated by methods of translation*, *Biometrika* **36** (1949) 149 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] S. Jackman, *Bayesian analysis for the social sciences*, Wiley, U.S.A. (2009) [[DOI:10.1002/9780470686621](#)].
- [55] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ effective lifetime from proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, [CMS-BPH-22-001](#), CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (2024).

The CMS collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A. Hayrapetyan, A. Tumasyan ¹

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

W. Adam , J.W. Andrejkovic , T. Bergauer , S. Chatterjee , K. Damanakis , M. Dragicevic ,
P.S. Hussain , M. Jeitler ², N. Krammer , A. Li , D. Liko , I. Mikulec , J. Schieck ²,
R. Schöfbeck , D. Schwarz , M. Sonawane , W. Waltenberger , C.-E. Wulz ²

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

T. Janssen , T. Van Laer , P. Van Mechelen 

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

N. Breugelmans , J. D'Hondt , S. Dansana , A. De Moor , M. Delcourt , F. Heyen ,
S. Lowette , I. Makarenko , D. Müller , S. Tavernier , M. Tytgat ³, G.P. Van Onsem ,
S. Van Putte , D. Vannerom 

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

B. Bilin , B. Clerbaux , A.K. Das , G. De Lentdecker , H. Evard , L. Favart , P. Gianneios ,
J. Jaramillo , A. Khalilzadeh , F.A. Khan , K. Lee , M. Mahdavihorrani , A. Malara ,
S. Paredes , M.A. Shahzad , L. Thomas , M. Vanden Bemden , C. Vander Velde , P. Vanlaer 

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

M. De Coen , D. Dobur , G. Gokbulut , Y. Hong , J. Knolle , L. Lambrecht , D. Marckx ,
K. Mota Amarilo , A. Samalan , K. Skovpen , N. Van Den Bossche , J. van der Linden ,
L. Wezenbeek 

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

A. Benecke , A. Bethani , G. Bruno , C. Caputo , J. De Favereau De Jeneret , C. Delaere ,
I.S. Donertas , A. Giammanco , A.O. Guzel , Sa. Jain , V. Lemaitre , J. Lidrych ,
P. Mastrapasqua , T.T. Tran , S. Wertz 

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves , M. Alves Gallo Pereira , E. Coelho , G. Correia Silva , C. Hensel ,
T. Menezes De Oliveira , C. Mora Herrera ⁴, A. Moraes , P. Rebello Teles , M. Soeiro ,
A. Vilela Pereira ⁴

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior , M. Barroso Ferreira Filho , H. Brandao Malbouisson , W. Carvalho ,
J. Chinellato⁵, E.M. Da Costa , G.G. Da Silveira ⁶, D. De Jesus Damiao ,
S. Fonseca De Souza , R. Gomes De Souza , M. Macedo , J. Martins ⁷, L. Mundim ,
H. Nogima , J.P. Pinheiro , A. Santoro , A. Sznajder , M. Thiel 

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes ⁶, L. Calligaris , T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei , E.M. Gregores ,
I. Maietto Silverio , P.G. Mercadante , S.F. Novaes , B. Orzari , Sandra S. Padula 

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov , G. Antchev , R. Hadjiiska , P. Iaydjiev , M. Misheva , M. Shopova ,
G. Sultanov 

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov , L. Litov , B. Pavlov , P. Petkov , A. Petrov , E. Shumka 

Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

S. Keshri , D. Laroze , S. Thakur 

Beihang University, Beijing, China

T. Cheng , T. Javaid , L. Yuan 

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Z. Hu , Z. Liang, J. Liu, K. Yi ^{8,9}

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen ¹⁰, H.S. Chen ¹⁰, M. Chen ¹⁰, F. Iemmi , C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor ¹¹, H. Liao ,
Z.-A. Liu ¹², R. Sharma ¹³, J.N. Song¹², J. Tao , C. Wang¹⁰, J. Wang , Z. Wang¹⁰, H. Zhang ,
J. Zhao 

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos , Y. Ban , S. Deng , B. Guo, C. Jiang , A. Levin , C. Li , Q. Li , Y. Mao,
S. Qian, S.J. Qian , X. Qin, X. Sun , D. Wang , H. Yang, L. Zhang , Y. Zhao, C. Zhou 

Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science and Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China

S. Yang 

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

Z. You 

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

K. Jaffel , N. Lu 

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

G. Bauer¹⁴, B. Li, J. Zhang 

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) — Fudan University, Shanghai, China

X. Gao ¹⁵, Y. Li

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Z. Lin , C. Lu , M. Xiao 

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila , D.A. Barbosa Trujillo, A. Cabrera , C. Florez , J. Fraga , J.A. Reyes Vega

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

F. Ramirez , C. Rendón, M. Rodriguez , A.A. Ruales Barbosa , J.D. Ruiz Alvarez 

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

D. Giljanovic , N. Godinovic , D. Lelas , A. Sculac 

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

M. Kovac , A. Petkovic, T. Sculac 

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

P. Bargassa , V. Brigljevic , B.K. Chitroda , D. Ferencek , K. Jakovcic, A. Starodumov ¹⁶, T. Susa 

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

A. Attikis , K. Christoforou , A. Hadjiagapiou, C. Leonidou , J. Mousa , C. Nicolaou, L. Paizanos, F. Ptochos , P.A. Razis , H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka , A. Stepennov 

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger , M. Finger Jr. , A. Kveton 

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin 

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

A.A. Abdelalim ^{17,18}, S. Elgammal¹⁹, A. Ellithi Kamel²⁰

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt

A. Lotfy , M.A. Mahmoud 

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

K. Ehataht , M. Kadastik, T. Lange , S. Nandan , C. Nielsen , J. Pata , M. Raidal , L. Tani , C. Veelken 

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

H. Kirschenmann , K. Osterberg , M. Voutilainen 

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

S. Bharthuar , N. Bin Norjoharuddeen , E. Brücken , F. Garcia , P. Inkaew ,
K.T.S. Kallonen , T. Lampén , K. Lassila-Perini , S. Lehti , T. Lindén , L. Martikainen ,
M. Myllymäki , M.m. Rantanen , H. Siikonen , J. Tuominiemi 

Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

P. Luukka , H. Petrow 

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon , F. Couderc , M. Dejardin , D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri , S. Ganjour ,
P. Gras , G. Hamel de Monchenault , M. Kumar , V. Lohezic , J. Malcles , F. Orlandi ,
L. Portales , A. Rosowsky , M.Ö. Sahin , A. Savoy-Navarro ²¹, P. Simkina , M. Titov ,
M. Tornago 

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

F. Beaudette , G. Boldrini , P. Busson , A. Cappati , C. Charlot , M. Chiusi , F. Damas ,
O. Davignon , A. De Wit , I.T. Ehle , B.A. Fontana Santos Alves , S. Ghosh , A. Gilbert ,
R. Granier de Cassagnac , A. Hakimi , B. Harikrishnan , L. Kalipoliti , G. Liu , M. Nguyen ,
C. Ochando , R. Salerno , J.B. Sauvan , Y. Sirois , L. Urda Gómez , E. Vernazza ,
A. Zabi , A. Zghiche 

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram ²², J. Andrea , D. Apparu , D. Bloch , J.-M. Brom , E.C. Chabert ,
C. Collard , S. Falke , U. Goerlach , R. Haeberle , A.-C. Le Bihan , M. Meena , O. Poncet ,
G. Saha , M.A. Sessini , P. Van Hove , P. Vaucelle 

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

A. Di Florio 

Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France

D. Amram, S. Beauceron , B. Blancon , G. Boudoul , N. Chanon , D. Contardo ,
P. Depasse , C. Dozen ²³, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay , S. Gascon , M. Gouzevitch , C. Greenberg,
G. Grenier , B. Ille , E. Jourdhuy, I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier , L. Mirabito, S. Perries,
A. Purohit , M. Vander Donckt , P. Verdier , J. Xiao 

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

D. Chokheli , I. Lomidze , Z. Tsamalaidze ¹⁶

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

V. Botta , S. Consuegra Rodríguez , L. Feld , K. Klein , M. Lipinski , D. Meuser ,
A. Pauls , D. Pérez Adán , N. Röwert , M. Teroerde 

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

S. Diekmann , A. Dodonova , N. Eich , D. Eliseev , F. Engelke , J. Erdmann ,
M. Erdmann , P. Fackeldey , B. Fischer , T. Hebbeker , K. Hoepfner , F. Ivone , A. Jung 

M.y. Lee , F. Mausolf , M. Merschmeyer , A. Meyer , S. Mukherjee , D. Noll , F. Nowotny, A. Pozdnyakov , Y. Rath, W. Redjeb , F. Rehm, H. Reithler , V. Sarkisovi , A. Schmidt , C. Seth, A. Sharma , J.L. Spah , A. Stein , F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo ²⁴, S. Wiedenbeck , S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

C. Dziwok , G. Flügge , T. Kress , A. Nowack , O. Pooth , A. Stahl , T. Ziemons , A. Zotz 

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

H. Aarup Petersen , M. Aldaya Martin , J. Alimena , S. Amoroso, Y. An , J. Bach , S. Baxter , M. Bayatmakou , H. Becerril Gonzalez , O. Behnke , A. Belvedere , F. Blekman ²⁵, K. Borrás ²⁶, A. Campbell , A. Cardini , C. Cheng, F. Colombina , G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein , L.I. Estevez Banos , O. Filatov , E. Gallo ²⁵, A. Geiser , V. Guglielmi , M. Guthoff , A. Hinzmann , L. Jeppe , B. Kaeck , M. Kasemann , C. Kleinwort , R. Kogler , M. Komm , D. Krücker , W. Lange, D. Leyva Pernia , K. Lipka ²⁷, W. Lohmann ²⁸, F. Lorkowski , R. Mankel , I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann , M. Mendizabal Morentin , A.B. Meyer , G. Milella , K. Moral Figueroa , A. Mussgiller , L.P. Nair , J. Niedziela , A. Nürnberg , Y. Otariid, J. Park , E. Ranken , A. Raspereza , D. Rastorguev , J. Rübenach, L. Rygaard, A. Saggio , M. Scham ^{29,26}, S. Schnake ²⁶, P. Schütze , C. Schwanenberger ²⁵, D. Selivanova , K. Sharko , M. Shchedrolosiev , D. Stafford, F. Vazzoler , A. Ventura Barroso , R. Walsh , D. Wang , Q. Wang , Y. Wen , K. Wichmann, L. Wiens ²⁶, C. Wissing , Y. Yang , A. Zimmermann Castro Santos 

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

A. Albrecht , S. Albrecht , M. Antonello , S. Bein , L. Benato , S. Bollweg, M. Bonanomi , P. Connor , K. El Morabit , Y. Fischer , E. Garutti , A. Grohsjean , J. Haller , H.R. Jabusch , G. Kasieczka , P. Keicher, R. Klanner , W. Korcari , T. Kramer , C.c. Kuo, V. Kutzner , F. Labe , J. Lange , A. Lobanov , C. Matthies , L. Moureaux , M. Mrowietz, A. Nigamova , Y. Nissan, A. Paasch , K.J. Pena Rodriguez , T. Quadfasel , B. Raciti , M. Rieger , D. Savoiu , J. Schindler , P. Schleper , M. Schröder , J. Schwandt , M. Sommerhalder , H. Stadie , G. Steinbrück , A. Tews, M. Wolf 

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

S. Brommer , M. Burkart, E. Butz , T. Chwalek , A. Dierlamm , A. Droll, U. Elicabuk, N. Faltermann , M. Giffels , A. Gottmann , F. Hartmann ³⁰, R. Hofsaess , M. Horzela , U. Husemann , J. Kieseler , M. Klute , R. Koppenhöfer , J.M. Lawhorn , M. Link, A. Lintuluoto , S. Maier , S. Mitra , M. Mormile , Th. Müller , M. Neukum, M. Oh , E. Pfeffer , M. Presilla , G. Quast , K. Rabbertz , B. Regnery , N. Shadskiy , I. Shvetsov , H.J. Simonis , L. Sowa, L. Stockmeier, K. Tauqeer, M. Toms , N. Trevisani , R.F. Von Cube , M. Wassmer , S. Wieland , F. Wittig, R. Wolf , X. Zuo 

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis , A. Kyriakis, A. Papadopoulos³⁰, A. Stakia 

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

P. Kontaxakis , G. Melachroinos, Z. Painesis , I. Papavergou , I. Paraskevas , N. Saoulidou , K. Theofilatos , E. Tziaferi , K. Vellidis , I. Zisopoulos 

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas , T. Chatzistavrou, G. Karapostoli , K. Kousouris , I. Papakrivopoulos , E. Siamarkou, G. Tsipolitis , A. Zacharopoulou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

K. Adamidis, I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou , C. Foudas, C. Kamtsikis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas , P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou , N. Manthos , I. Papadopoulos , J. Strologas 

HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

C. Hajdu , D. Horvath ^{31,32}, K. Márton, A.J. Rádl ³³, F. Sikler , V. Veszpremi 

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Csanád , K. Farkas , A. Fehérkúti ³⁴, M.M.A. Gadallah ³⁵, Á. Kadlecik , P. Major , G. Pásztor , G.I. Veres 

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

B. Ujvari , G. Zilizi 

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

G. Bencze, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary

F. Nemes ³⁴, T. Novak 

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal , S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar , G. Chaudhary , S. Chauhan , N. Dhingra ³⁶, A. Kaur , A. Kaur , H. Kaur , M. Kaur , S. Kumar , K. Sandeep , T. Sheokand, J.B. Singh , A. Singla 

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Ahmed , A. Bhardwaj , A. Chhetri , B.C. Choudhary , A. Kumar , A. Kumar , M. Naimuddin , K. Ranjan , M.K. Saini, S. Saumya 

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

S. Baradia , S. Barman ³⁷, S. Bhattacharya , S. Das Gupta, S. Dutta , S. Dutta, S. Sarkar

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

M.M. Ameen , P.K. Behera , S.C. Behera , S. Chatterjee , G. Dash , P. Jana , P. Kalbhor , S. Kamble , J.R. Komaragiri ³⁸, D. Kumar ³⁸, P.R. Pujahari , N.R. Saha , A. Sharma , A.K. Sikdar , R.K. Singh, P. Verma, S. Verma , A. Vijay

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, IndiaS. Dugad, G.B. Mohanty , B. Parida , M. Shelake, P. Suryadevara**Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India**A. Bala , S. Banerjee , R.M. Chatterjee, M. Guchait , Sh. Jain , A. Jaiswal, S. Kumar ,
G. Majumder , K. Mazumdar , S. Parolia , A. Thachayath **National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India**S. Bahinipati ³⁹, C. Kar , D. Maity ⁴⁰, P. Mal , T. Mishra ,
V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu ⁴⁰, K. Naskar ⁴⁰, A. Nayak ⁴⁰, S. Nayak, K. Pal, P. Sadangi,
S.K. Swain , S. Varghese ⁴⁰, D. Vats ⁴⁰**Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India**S. Acharya ⁴¹, A. Alpina , S. Dube , B. Gomber ⁴¹, P. Hazarika , B. Kansal , A. Laha ,
B. Sahu ⁴¹, S. Sharma , K.Y. Vaish **Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran**H. Bakhshiansohi ⁴², A. Jafari ⁴³, M. Zeinali ⁴⁴**Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran**S. Bashiri, S. Chenarani ⁴⁵, S.M. Etesami , Y. Hosseini , M. Khakzad , E. Khazaie ⁴⁶,
M. Mohammadi Najafabadi , S. Tizchang ⁴⁷**University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland**M. Felcini , M. Grunewald **INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy**M. Abbrescia ^{a,b}, A. Colaleo ^{a,b}, D. Creanza ^{a,c}, B. D'Anzi ^{a,b}, N. De Filippis ^{a,c},
M. De Palma ^{a,b}, W. Elmetenawee ^{a,b,17}, L. Fiore ^a, G. Iaselli ^{a,c}, L. Longo ^a, M. Louka ^{a,b},
G. Maggi ^{a,c}, M. Maggi ^a, I. Margjeka ^a, V. Mastrapasqua ^{a,b}, S. My ^{a,b}, S. Nuzzo ^{a,b},
A. Pellecchia ^{a,b}, A. Pompili ^{a,b}, G. Pugliese ^{a,c}, R. Radogna ^{a,b}, D. Ramos ^a, A. Ranieri ^a,
L. Silvestris ^a, F.M. Simone ^{a,c}, Ü. Sözbilir ^a, A. Stamerra ^{a,b}, D. Troiano ^{a,b}, R. Venditti ^{a,b},
P. Verwilligen ^a, A. Zaza ^{a,b}**INFN Sezione di Bologna^a, Università di Bologna^b, Bologna, Italy**G. Abbiendi ^a, C. Battilana ^{a,b}, D. Bonacorsi ^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi ^{a,b}, A. Castro ^{†,a,b},
F.R. Cavallo ^a, M. Cuffiani ^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle ^a, T. Diotallevi ^{a,b}, F. Fabbri ^a,
A. Fanfani ^{a,b}, D. Fasanella ^a, P. Giacomelli ^a, L. Giommi ^{a,b}, C. Grandi ^a, L. Guiducci ^{a,b},
S. Lo Meo ^{a,48}, M. Lorusso ^{a,b}, L. Lunerti ^a, S. Marcellini ^a, G. Masetti ^a, F.L. Navarria ^{a,b},
G. Paggi ^{a,b}, A. Perrotta ^a, F. Primavera ^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi ^{a,b}, S. Rossi Tisbeni ^{a,b},
T. Rovelli ^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli ^{a,b}**INFN Sezione di Catania^a, Università di Catania^b, Catania, Italy**S. Costa ^{a,b,49}, A. Di Mattia ^a, A. Lapertosa ^a, R. Potenza ^{a,b}, A. Tricomi ^{a,b,49}, C. Tuve ^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Firenze^a, Università di Firenze^b, Firenze, Italy

P. Assiouras^{ib}^a, G. Barbagli^{ib}^a, G. Bardelli^{ib}^{a,b}, B. Camaiani^{ib}^{a,b}, A. Cassese^{ib}^a, R. Ceccarelli^{ib}^a, V. Ciulli^{ib}^{a,b}, C. Civinini^{ib}^a, R. D'Alessandro^{ib}^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{ib}^{a,b}, T. Kello^a, G. Latino^{ib}^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{ib}^{a,b}, M. Lizzo^{ib}^a, M. Meschini^{ib}^a, S. Paoletti^{ib}^a, A. Papanastassiou^{a,b}, G. Sguazzoni^{ib}^a, L. Viliani^{ib}^a

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi^{ib}, S. Bianco^{ib}, S. Meola^{ib}⁵⁰, D. Piccolo^{ib}

INFN Sezione di Genova^a, Università di Genova^b, Genova, Italy

P. Chatagnon^{ib}^a, F. Ferro^{ib}^a, E. Robutti^{ib}^a, S. Tosi^{ib}^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^{ib}^a, F. Brivio^{ib}^a, F. Cetorelli^{ib}^{a,b}, F. De Guio^{ib}^{a,b}, M.E. Dinardo^{ib}^{a,b}, P. Dini^{ib}^a, S. Gennai^{ib}^a, R. Gerosa^{ib}^{a,b}, A. Ghezzi^{ib}^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{ib}^{a,b}, L. Guzzi^{ib}^a, M.T. Lucchini^{ib}^{a,b}, M. Malberti^{ib}^a, S. Malvezzi^{ib}^a, A. Massironi^{ib}^a, D. Menasce^{ib}^a, L. Moroni^{ib}^a, M. Paganoni^{ib}^{a,b}, S. Palluotto^{ib}^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^{ib}^a, A. Perego^{ib}^{a,b}, B.S. Pinolini^a, G. Pizzati^{a,b}, S. Ragazzi^{ib}^{a,b}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{ib}^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Napoli^a, Università di Napoli ‘Federico II’^b, Napoli, Italy; Università della Basilicata^c, Potenza, Italy; Scuola Superiore Meridionale (SSM)^d, Napoli, Italy

S. Buontempo^{ib}^a, A. Cagnotta^{ib}^{a,b}, F. Carnevali^{ib}^{a,b}, N. Cavallo^{ib}^{a,c}, F. Fabozzi^{ib}^{a,c}, A.O.M. Iorio^{ib}^{a,b}, L. Lista^{ib}^{a,b,51}, P. Paolucci^{ib}^{a,30}, B. Rossi^{ib}^a

INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy; Università di Trento^c, Trento, Italy

R. Ardino^{ib}^a, P. Azzi^{ib}^a, N. Bacchetta^{ib}^{a,52}, P. Bortignon^{ib}^a, G. Bortolato^{a,b}, A. Bragagnolo^{ib}^{a,b}, A.C.M. Bulla^{ib}^a, R. Carlin^{ib}^{a,b}, P. Checchia^{ib}^a, T. Dorigo^{ib}^a, F. Gasparini^{ib}^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{ib}^{a,b}, S. Giorgetti^a, E. Lusiani^{ib}^a, M. Margoni^{ib}^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo^{ib}^{a,b}, M. Migliorini^{ib}^{a,b}, J. Pazzini^{ib}^{a,b}, P. Ronchese^{ib}^{a,b}, R. Rossin^{ib}^{a,b}, M. Sgaravatto^{ib}^a, F. Simonetto^{ib}^{a,b}, M. Tosi^{ib}^{a,b}, A. Triossi^{ib}^{a,b}, S. Ventura^{ib}^a, M. Zanetti^{ib}^{a,b}, P. Zotto^{ib}^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta^{ib}^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{ib}^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy

C. Aimè^{ib}^a, A. Braghieri^{ib}^a, S. Calzaferri^{ib}^a, D. Fiorina^{ib}^a, P. Montagna^{ib}^{a,b}, V. Re^{ib}^a, C. Riccardi^{ib}^{a,b}, P. Salvini^{ib}^a, I. Vai^{ib}^{a,b}, P. Vitulo^{ib}^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Perugia^a, Università di Perugia^b, Perugia, Italy

S. Ajmal^{ib}^{a,b}, M.E. Ascioti^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^{ib}^a, C. Carrivale^{a,b}, D. Ciangottini^{ib}^{a,b}, L. Fanò^{ib}^{a,b}, M. Magherini^{ib}^{a,b}, V. Mariani^{ib}^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^{ib}^a, F. Moscatelli^{ib}^{a,53}, A. Rossi^{ib}^{a,b}, A. Santocchia^{ib}^{a,b}, D. Spiga^{ib}^a, T. Tedeschi^{ib}^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pisa^a, Università di Pisa^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa^c, Pisa, Italy; Università di Siena^d, Siena, Italy

C.A. Alexe^{ib}^{a,c}, P. Asenov^{ib}^{a,b}, P. Azzurri^{ib}^a, G. Bagliesi^{ib}^a, R. Bhattacharya^{ib}^a, L. Bianchini^{ib}^{a,b}, T. Boccali^{ib}^a, E. Bossini^{ib}^a, D. Bruschini^{ib}^{a,c}, R. Castaldi^{ib}^a, M.A. Ciocci^{ib}^{a,b}, M. Cipriani^{ib}^{a,b},

V. D'Amante ^{a,d}, R. Dell'Orso ^a, S. Donato ^a, A. Giassi ^a, F. Ligabue ^{a,c}, A.C. Marini ^a,
D. Matos Figueiredo ^a, A. Messineo ^{a,b}, S. Mishra ^a, M. Musich ^{a,b}, F. Palla ^a, A. Rizzi ^{a,b},
G. Rolandi ^{a,c}, S. Roy Chowdhury ^a, T. Sarkar ^a, A. Scribano ^a, P. Spagnolo ^a,
R. Tenchini ^a, G. Tonelli ^{a,b}, N. Turini ^{a,d}, F. Vaselli ^{a,c}, A. Venturi ^a, P.G. Verдини ^a

INFN Sezione di Roma^a, Sapienza Università di Roma^b, Roma, Italy

C. Baldenegro Barrera ^{a,b}, P. Barria ^a, C. Basile ^{a,b}, F. Cavallari ^a, L. Cunqueiro Mendez ^{a,b},
D. Del Re ^{a,b}, E. Di Marco ^{a,b}, M. Diemoz ^a, F. Errico ^{a,b}, E. Longo ^{a,b}, J. Mijuskovic ^{a,b},
G. Organtini ^{a,b}, F. Pandolfi ^a, R. Paramatti ^{a,b}, C. Quaranta ^{a,b}, S. Rahatlou ^{a,b},
C. Rovelli ^a, F. Santanastasio ^{a,b}, L. Soffi ^a

INFN Sezione di Torino^a, Università di Torino^b, Torino, Italy; Università del Piemonte Orientale^c, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane ^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono ^{a,c}, S. Argiro ^{a,b}, M. Arneodo ^{a,c}, N. Bartosik ^a,
R. Bellan ^{a,b}, A. Bellora ^{a,b}, C. Biino ^a, C. Borca ^{a,b}, N. Cartiglia ^a, M. Costa ^{a,b},
R. Covarelli ^{a,b}, N. Demaria ^a, L. Finco ^a, M. Grippo ^{a,b}, B. Kiani ^{a,b}, F. Legger ^a,
F. Luongo ^{a,b}, C. Mariotti ^a, L. Markovic ^{a,b}, S. Maselli ^a, A. Mecca ^{a,b}, L. Menzio ^{a,b},
P. Meridiani ^a, E. Migliore ^{a,b}, M. Monteno ^a, R. Mulargia ^a, M.M. Obertino ^{a,b},
G. Ortona ^a, L. Pacher ^{a,b}, N. Pastrone ^a, M. Pelliccioni ^a, M. Ruspa ^{a,c}, F. Siviero ^{a,b},
V. Sola ^{a,b}, A. Solano ^{a,b}, A. Staiano ^a, C. Tarricone ^{a,b}, D. Trocino ^a, G. Umoret ^{a,b},
R. White ^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Trieste^a, Università di Trieste^b, Trieste, Italy

J. Babbar ^{a,b}, S. Belforte ^a, V. Candelise ^{a,b}, M. Casarsa ^a, F. Cossutti ^a, K. De Leo ^a,
G. Della Ricca ^{a,b}

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

S. Dogra ^a, J. Hong ^a, B. Kim ^a, J. Kim, D. Lee, H. Lee, S.W. Lee ^a, C.S. Moon ^a, Y.D. Oh ^a,
M.S. Ryu ^a, S. Sekmen ^a, B. Tae, Y.C. Yang ^a

Department of Mathematics and Physics — GWNu, Gangneung, Korea

M.S. Kim ^a

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

G. Bak ^a, P. Gwak ^a, H. Kim ^a, D.H. Moon ^a

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

E. Asilar ^a, J. Choi ^a, D. Kim ^a, T.J. Kim ^a, J.A. Merlin, Y. Ryou

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi ^a, S. Han, B. Hong ^a, K. Lee, K.S. Lee ^a, S. Lee ^a, J. Yoo ^a

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh ^a, S. Yang ^a

Sejong University, Seoul, KoreaH. S. Kim , Y. Kim, S. Lee**Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea**J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi , J. Choi, W. Jun , J. Kim , S. Ko , H. Kwon , H. Lee ,
J. Lee , J. Lee , B.H. Oh , S.B. Oh , H. Seo , U.K. Yang, I. Yoon **University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea**W. Jang , D.Y. Kang, Y. Kang , S. Kim , B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee , Y. Lee , I.C. Park , Y. Roh,
I.J. Watson **Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea**S. Ha , H.D. Yoo **Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea**M. Choi , M.R. Kim , H. Lee, Y. Lee , I. Yu **College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait**

T. Beyrouthy

Kuwait University — College of Science — Department of Physics, Safat, KuwaitF. Alazemi **Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia**K. Dreimanis , A. Gaile , C. Munoz Diaz, D. Osite , G. Pikurs, A. Potrebko , M. Seidel ,
D. Sidiropoulos Kontos**University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia**N.R. Strautnieks **Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania**M. Ambrozias , A. Juodagalvis , A. Rinkevicius , G. Tamulaitis **National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia**I. Yusuff ⁵⁴, Z. Zolkapli**Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico**J.F. Benitez , A. Castaneda Hernandez , H.A. Encinas Acosta, L.G. Gallegos Maríñez,
M. León Coello , J.A. Murillo Quijada , A. Sehrawat , L. Valencia Palomo **Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico**G. Ayala , H. Castilla-Valdez , H. Crotte Ledesma, E. De La Cruz-Burelo ,
I. Heredia-De La Cruz ⁵⁵, R. Lopez-Fernandez , J. Mejia Guisao , C.A. Mondragon Herrera,
A. Sánchez Hernández 

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, MexicoC. Oropeza Barrera , D.L. Ramirez Guadarrama, M. Ramírez García **Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico**I. Bautista , I. Pedraza , H.A. Salazar Ibarguen , C. Uribe Estrada **University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro**I. Bubanja , N. Raicevic **University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand**P.H. Butler **National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan**A. Ahmad , M.I. Asghar, A. Awais , M.I.M. Awan, H.R. Hoorani , W.A. Khan **AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland**V. Avati, L. Grzanka , M. Malawski **National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland**H. Bialkowska , M. Bluj , M. Górski , M. Kazana , M. Szleper , P. Zalewski **Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland**K. Bunkowski , K. Doroba , A. Kalinowski , M. Konecki , J. Krolikowski , A. Muhammad **Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland**K. Pozniak , W. Zabolotny **Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal**M. Araujo , D. Bastos , C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva , A. Boletti , M. Bozzo , T. Camporesi , G. Da Molin , P. Faccioli , M. Gallinaro , J. Hollar , N. Leonardo , G.B. Marozzo, T. Niknejad , A. Petrilli , M. Pisano , J. Seixas , J. Varela , J.W. Wulff**Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia**P. Adzic , P. Milenovic **VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia**D. Devetak, M. Dordevic , J. Milosevic , V. Rekovic**Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain**J. Alcaraz Maestre , Cristina F. Bedoya , J.A. Brochero Cifuentes , Oliver M. Carretero , M. Cepeda , M. Cerrada , N. Colino , B. De La Cruz , A. Delgado Peris , A. Escalante Del Valle , D. Fernández Del Val , J.P. Fernández Ramos , J. Flix , M.C. Fouz , O. Gonzalez Lopez , S. Goy Lopez , J.M. Hernandez , M.I. Josa , E. Martin Viscasillas 

D. Moran , C. M. Morcillo Perez , Á. Navarro Tobar , C. Perez Dengra ,
A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo , J. Puerta Pelayo , I. Redondo , S. Sánchez Navas , J. Sastre ,
J. Vazquez Escobar 

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz 

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez , J. Cuevas , J. Fernandez Menendez , S. Folgueras ,
I. Gonzalez Caballero , J.R. González Fernández , P. Leguina , E. Palencia Cortezon ,
J. Prado Pico, C. Ramón Álvarez , V. Rodríguez Bouza , A. Soto Rodríguez , A. Trapote ,
C. Vico Villalba , P. Vischia 

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

S. Bhowmik , S. Blanco Fernández , I.J. Cabrillo , A. Calderon , J. Duarte Campderros ,
M. Fernandez , G. Gomez , C. Lasasoa García , R. Lopez Ruiz , C. Martinez Rivero ,
P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol , F. Matorras , P. Matorras Cuevas , E. Navarrete Ramos ,
J. Piedra Gomez , L. Scodellaro , I. Vila , J.M. Vizan Garcia 

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

B. Kailasapathy ⁵⁶, D.D.C. Wickramaratna 

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna ⁵⁷, K. Liyanage , N. Perera 

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

D. Abbaneo , C. Amendola , E. Auffray , G. Auzinger , J. Baechler, D. Barney ,
A. Bermúdez Martínez , M. Bianco , A.A. Bin Anuar , A. Bocci , L. Borgonovi , C. Botta ,
E. Brondolin , C. Caillol , G. Cerminara , N. Chernyavskaya , D. d'Enterria , A. Dabrowski ,
A. David , A. De Roeck , M.M. Defranchis , M. Deile , M. Dobson , G. Franzoni ,
W. Funk , S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill , F. Glege , J. Hegeman , J.K. Heikkilä , B. Huber,
V. Innocente , T. James , P. Janot , O. Kaluzinska , O. Karacheban ²⁸, S. Laurila ,
P. Lecoq , E. Leutgeb , C. Lourenço , L. Malgeri , M. Mannelli , M. Matthewman,
A. Mehta , F. Meijers , S. Mersi , E. Meschi , V. Milosevic , F. Monti , F. Moortgat ,
M. Mulders , I. Neutelings , S. Orfanelli, F. Pantaleo , G. Petrucciani , A. Pfeiffer ,
M. Pierini , H. Qu , D. Rabady , B. Ribeiro Lopes , M. Rovere , H. Sakulin ,
S. Sanchez Cruz , S. Scarfi , C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi , A. Sharma , K. Shchelina , P. Silva ,
P. Sphicas ⁵⁸, A.G. Stahl Leiton , A. Steen , S. Summers , D. Treille , P. Tropea ,
D. Walter , J. Wanczyk ⁵⁹, J. Wang, K.A. Wozniak ⁶⁰, S. Wuchterl , P. Zehetner , P. Zejd ,
W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

T. Bevilacqua ⁶¹, L. Caminada ⁶¹, A. Ebrahimi , W. Erdmann , R. Horisberger , Q. Ingram ,
H.C. Kaestli , D. Kotlinski , C. Lange , M. Missiroli ⁶¹, L. Noehte ⁶¹, T. Rohe 

ETH Zurich — Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad , K. Androsov ⁵⁹, M. Backhaus , G. Bonomelli, A. Calandri , C. Cazzaniga , K. Datta , P. De Bryas Dexmiers D'archiac ⁵⁹, A. De Cosa , G. Dissertori , M. Dittmar, M. Donegà , F. Eble , M. Galli , K. Gedia , F. Glessgen , C. Grab , N. Härringer , T.G. Harte, D. Hits , W. Lustermann , A.-M. Lyon , R.A. Manzoni , M. Marchegiani , L. Marchese , C. Martin Perez , A. Mascellani ⁵⁹, F. Nessi-Tedaldi , F. Pauss , V. Perovic , S. Pigazzini , B. Ristic , F. Riti , R. Seidita , J. Stegmann ⁵⁹, A. Tarabini , D. Valsecchi , R. Wallny 

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler ⁶², P. Bäertschi , M.F. Canelli , K. Cormier , M. Huwiler , W. Jin , A. Jofrehei , B. Kilminster , S. Leontsinis , S.P. Liechti , A. Macchiolo , P. Meiring , F. Meng , U. Molinatti , J. Motta , A. Reimers , P. Robmann, M. Senger , E. Shokr, F. Stäger , R. Tramontano 

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

C. Adloff⁶³, D. Bhowmik, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, P.K. Rout , P.C. Tiwari ³⁸, S.S. Yu 

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

L. Ceard, K.F. Chen , P.s. Chen, Z.g. Chen, A. De Iorio , W.-S. Hou , T.h. Hsu, Y.w. Kao, S. Karmakar , G. Kole , Y.y. Li , R.-S. Lu , E. Paganis , X.f. Su , J. Thomas-Wilsker , L.s. Tsai, D. Tsionou, H.y. Wu, E. Yazgan 

High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

C. Asawatangtrakuldee , N. Srimanobhas , V. Wachirapusanand 

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

D. Agyel , F. Boran , F. Dolek , I. Dumanoglu ⁶⁴, E. Eskut , Y. Guler ⁶⁵, E. Gurpinar Guler ⁶⁵, C. Isik , O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu , U. Kiminsu , G. Onengut , K. Ozdemir ⁶⁶, A. Polatoz , B. Tali ⁶⁷, U.G. Tok , S. Turkapar , E. Uslan , I.S. Zorbakir 

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

G. Sokmen, M. Yalvac ⁶⁸

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Akgun , I.O. Atakisi , E. Gülmez , M. Kaya ⁶⁹, O. Kaya ⁷⁰, S. Tekten ⁷¹

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir , K. Cankocak ^{64,72}, G.G. Dincer ⁶⁴, Y. Komurcu , S. Sen ⁷³

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

O. Aydilek ⁷⁴, B. Hacisahinoglu , I. Hos ⁷⁵, B. Kaynak , S. Ozkorucuklu , O. Potok , H. Sert , C. Simsek , C. Zorbilmez 

Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, TurkeyS. Cerci , B. Isildak , D. Sunar Cerci , T. Yetkin **Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine**A. Boyaryntsev , B. Grynyov **National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine**L. Levchuk **University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom**D. Anthony , J.J. Brooke , A. Bundock , F. Bury , E. Clement , D. Cussans , H. Flacher , M. Glowacki, J. Goldstein , H.F. Heath , M.-L. Holmberg , L. Kreczko , S. Paramesvaran , L. Robertshaw, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith , N. Stylianou ⁷⁷, K. Walkingshaw Pass**Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom**A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell , A. Belyaev ⁷⁸, C. Brew , R.M. Brown , D.J.A. Cockerill , C. Cooke , A. Elliot , K.V. Ellis, K. Harder , S. Harper , J. Linacre , K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold , E. Olaiya, D. Petyt , T. Reis , A.R. Sahasransu , G. Salvi , T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous , I.R. Tomalin , K.C. Whalen , T. Williams **Imperial College, London, United Kingdom**I. Andreou , R. Bainbridge , P. Bloch , C.E. Brown , O. Buchmuller, V. Cacchio, C.A. Carrillo Montoya , G.S. Chahal ⁷⁹, D. Colling , J.S. Dancu, I. Das , P. Dauncey , G. Davies , J. Davies, M. Della Negra , S. Fayer, G. Fedi , G. Hall , M.H. Hassanshahi , A. Howard, G. Iles , C.R. Knight , J. Langford , J. León Holgado , L. Lyons , A.-M. Magnan , B. Maier , S. Mallios, M. Mieskolainen , J. Nash ⁸⁰, M. Pesaresi , P.B. Pradeep, B.C. Radburn-Smith , A. Richards, A. Rose , K. Savva , C. Seez , R. Shukla , A. Tapper , K. Uchida , G.P. Uttley , L.H. Vage, T. Virdee ³⁰, M. Vojinovic , N. Wardle , D. Winterbottom **Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom**K. Coldham, J.E. Cole , A. Khan, P. Kyberd , I.D. Reid **Baylor University, Waco, Texas, U.S.A.**S. Abdullin , A. Brinkerhoff , E. Collins , M.R. Darwish ⁸¹, J. Dittmann , K. Hatakeyama , J. Hiltbrand , B. McMaster , J. Samudio , S. Sawant , C. Sutantawibul , J. Wilson **Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, U.S.A.**R. Bartek , A. Dominguez , C. Huerta Escamilla, A.E. Simsek , R. Uniyal , A.M. Vargas Hernandez **The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, U.S.A.**B. Bam , A. Buchot Perraguin , R. Chudasama , S.I. Cooper , C. Crovella , S.V. Gleyzer , E. Pearson, C.U. Perez , P. Rumerio ⁸², E. Usai , R. Yi 

Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

A. Akpinar , C. Cosby , G. De Castro, Z. Demiragli , C. Erice , C. Fangmeier ,
C. Fernandez Madrazo , E. Fontanesi , D. Gastler , F. Golf , S. Jeon , J. O'cain, I. Reed ,
J. Rohlf , K. Salyer , D. Sperka , D. Spitzbart , I. Suarez , A. Tsatsos , A.G. Zecchinelli 

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, U.S.A.

G. Benelli , D. Cutts , L. Gouskos , M. Hadley , U. Heintz , J.M. Hogan ⁸³, T. Kwon ,
G. Landsberg , K.T. Lau , D. Li , J. Luo , S. Mondal , N. Pervan , T. Russell, S. Sagir ⁸⁴,
X. Shen, F. Simpson , M. Stamenkovic , N. Venkatasubramanian, X. Yan 

University of California, Davis, Davis, California, U.S.A.

S. Abbott , C. Brainerd , R. Breedon , H. Cai , M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez ,
M. Chertok , M. Citron , J. Conway , P.T. Cox , R. Erbacher , F. Jensen , O. Kukral ,
G. Mocellin , M. Mulhearn , S. Ostrom , W. Wei , S. Yoo , F. Zhang 

University of California, Los Angeles, California, U.S.A.

M. Bachtis , R. Cousins , A. Datta , G. Flores Avila , J. Hauser , M. Ignatenko ,
M.A. Iqbal , T. Lam , E. Manca , A. Nunez Del Prado, D. Saltzberg , V. Valuev 

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, U.S.A.

R. Clare , J.W. Gary , M. Gordon, G. Hanson , W. Si 

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, U.S.A.

A. Aportela, A. Arora , J.G. Branson , S. Cittolin , S. Cooperstein , D. Diaz , J. Duarte ,
L. Giannini , Y. Gu, J. Guiang , R. Kansal , V. Krutelyov , R. Lee , J. Letts ,
M. Masciovecchio , F. Mokhtar , S. Mukherjee , M. Pieri , M. Quinnan ,
B.V. Sathia Narayanan , V. Sharma , M. Tadel , E. Vourliotis , F. Würthwein , Y. Xiang ,
A. Yagil 

University of California, Santa Barbara — Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, U.S.A.

A. Barzdukas , L. Brennan , C. Campagnari , K. Downham , C. Grieco , J. Incandela ,
J. Kim , A.J. Li , P. Masterson , H. Mei , J. Richman , S.N. Santpur , U. Sarica ,
R. Schmitz , F. Setti , J. Sheplock , D. Stuart , T.Á. Vámi , S. Wang , D. Zhang

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, U.S.A.

S. Bhattacharya , A. Bornheim , O. Cerri, A. Latorre, J. Mao , H.B. Newman ,
G. Reales Gutiérrez, M. Spiropulu , J.R. Vlimant , C. Wang , S. Xie , R.Y. Zhu 

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

J. Alison , S. An , P. Bryant , M. Cremonesi, V. Dutta , T. Ferguson ,
T.A. Gómez Espinosa , A. Harilal , A. Kallil Tharayil, C. Liu , T. Mudholkar , S. Murthy ,
P. Palit , K. Park, M. Paulini , A. Roberts , A. Sanchez , W. Terrill 

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A.

J.P. Cumalat , W.T. Ford , A. Hart , A. Hassani , G. Karathanasis , N. Manganello ,
J. Pearkes , C. Savard , N. Schonbeck , K. Stenson , K.A. Ulmer , S.R. Wagner ,
N. Zipper , D. Zuolo 

Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, U.S.A.

J. Alexander , S. Bright-Thonney , X. Chen , D.J. Cranshaw , J. Fan , X. Fan , S. Hogan ,
P. Kotamnives, J. Monroy , M. Oshiro , J.R. Patterson , M. Reid , A. Ryd , J. Thom ,
P. Wittich , R. Zou 

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, U.S.A.

M. Albrow , M. Alyari , O. Amram , G. Apollinari , A. Apresyan , L.A.T. Bauerick ,
D. Berry , J. Berryhill , P.C. Bhat , K. Burkett , J.N. Butler , A. Canepa , G.B. Cerati ,
H.W.K. Cheung , F. Chlebana , G. Cummings , J. Dickinson , I. Dutta , V.D. Elvira ,
Y. Feng , J. Freeman , A. Gandrakota , Z. Gecse , L. Gray , D. Green, A. Grummer ,
S. Grünendahl , D. Guerrero , O. Gutsche , R.M. Harris , R. Heller , T.C. Herwig ,
J. Hirschauer , B. Jayatilaka , S. Jindariani , M. Johnson , U. Joshi , T. Klijnsma ,
B. Klima , K.H.M. Kwok , S. Lammel , D. Lincoln , R. Lipton , T. Liu , C. Madrid ,
K. Maeshima , C. Mantilla , D. Mason , P. McBride , P. Merkel , S. Mrenna , S. Nahn ,
J. Ngadiuba , D. Noonan , S. Norberg, V. Papadimitriou , N. Pastika , K. Pedro ,
C. Pena ⁸⁵, F. Ravera , A. Reinsvold Hall ⁸⁶, L. Ristori , M. Safdari , E. Sexton-Kennedy ,
N. Smith , A. Soha , L. Spiegel , S. Stoynev , J. Strait , L. Taylor , S. Tkaczyk ,
N.V. Tran , L. Uplegger , E.W. Vaandering , I. Zoi 

University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, U.S.A.

C. Aruta , P. Avery , D. Bourilkov , P. Chang , V. Cherepanov , R.D. Field, C. Huh ,
E. Koenig , M. Kolosova , J. Konigsberg , A. Korytov , K. Matchev , N. Menendez ,
G. Mitselmakher , K. Mohrman , A. Muthirakalayil Madhu , N. Rawal , S. Rosenzweig ,
Y. Takahashi , J. Wang 

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, U.S.A.

T. Adams , A. Al Kadhimi , A. Askew , S. Bower , V. Hagopian , R. Hashmi , R.S. Kim ,
S. Kim , T. Kolberg , G. Martinez, H. Prosper , P.R. Prova, M. Wulansatiti , R. Yohay ,
J. Zhang

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, U.S.A.

B. Alsufyani, M.M. Baarmand , S. Butalla , S. Das , T. Elkafrawy ⁸⁷, M. Hohlmann ,
E. Yanes

University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, U.S.A., Chicago, U.S.A.

M.R. Adams , A. Baty , C. Bennett, R. Cavanaugh , R. Escobar Franco , O. Evdokimov ,
C.E. Gerber , M. Hawsworth, A. Hingrajiya, D.J. Hofman , J.h. Lee , D. S. Lemos ,
A.H. Merrit , C. Mills , S. Nanda , G. Oh , B. Ozek , D. Pilipovic , R. Pradhan , E. Prifti,
T. Roy , S. Rudrabhatla , M.B. Tonjes , N. Varelas , M.A. Wadud , Z. Ye , J. Yoo 

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, U.S.A.

M. Alhusseini , D. Blend, K. Dilsiz ⁸⁸, L. Emediato , G. Karaman , O.K. Köseyan ,
J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili ⁸⁹, O. Neogi, H. Ogul ⁹⁰, Y. Onel , A. Penzo , C. Snyder,
E. Tiras ⁹¹

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, U.S.A.

B. Blumenfeld , L. Corcodilos , J. Davis , A.V. Gritsan , L. Kang , S. Kyriacou ,
P. Maksimovic , M. Roguljic , J. Roskes , S. Sekhar , M. Swartz 

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, U.S.A.

A. Abreu , L.F. Alcerro Alcerro , J. Anguiano , S. Arteaga Escatel , P. Baringer , A. Bean ,
Z. Flowers , D. Grove , J. King , G. Krintiras , M. Lazarovits , C. Le Mahieu ,
J. Marquez , M. Murray , M. Nickel , M. Pitt , S. Popescu ⁹², C. Rogan , C. Royon ,
R. Salvatico , S. Sanders , C. Smith , G. Wilson 

Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, U.S.A.

B. Allmond , R. Gujju Gurunadha , A. Ivanov , K. Kaadze , Y. Maravin , J. Natoli ,
D. Roy , G. Sorrentino 

University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, U.S.A.

A. Baden , A. Belloni , J. Bistany-riebman, Y.M. Chen , S.C. Eno , N.J. Hadley , S. Jabeen ,
R.G. Kellogg , T. Koeth , B. Kronheim, Y. Lai , S. Lascio , A.C. Mignerey , S. Nabili ,
C. Palmer , C. Papageorgakis , M.M. Paranjpe, E. Popova ⁹³, A. Shevelev , L. Wang 

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

J. Bendavid , I.A. Cali , P.c. Chou , M. D'Alfonso , J. Eysermans , C. Freer ,
G. Gomez-Ceballos , M. Goncharov, G. Grosso, P. Harris, D. Hoang, D. Kovalskyi , J. Krupa ,
L. Lavezzo , Y.-J. Lee , K. Long , C. Mcginn, A. Novak , C. Paus , C. Reissel , C. Roland ,
G. Roland , S. Rothman , G.S.F. Stephans , Z. Wang , B. Wyslouch , T. J. Yang 

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, U.S.A.

B. Crossman , B.M. Joshi , C. Kapsiak , M. Krohn , D. Mahon , J. Mans , B. Marzocchi ,
M. Revering , R. Rusack , R. Saradhy , N. Strobbe 

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, U.S.A.

K. Bloom , D.R. Claes , G. Haza , J. Hossain , C. Joo , I. Kravchenko , J.E. Siado ,
W. Tabb , A. Vagnerini , A. Wightman , F. Yan , D. Yu 

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, U.S.A.

H. Bandyopadhyay , L. Hay , H.w. Hsia, I. Iashvili , A. Kalogeropoulos , A. Kharchilava ,
M. Morris , D. Nguyen , J. Pekkanen , S. Rappoccio , H. Rejeb Sfar, A. Williams , P. Young 

Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

G. Alverson , E. Barberis , J. Bonilla , M. Campana , J. Dervan, Y. Haddad , Y. Han ,
A. Krishna , J. Li , M. Lu , G. Madigan , R. McCarthy , D.M. Morse , V. Nguyen ,
T. Orimoto , A. Parker , L. Skinnari , D. Wood 

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, U.S.A.

J. Bueghly, S. Dittmer , K.A. Hahn , Y. Liu , Y. Miao , D.G. Monk , M.H. Schmitt ,
A. Taliencio , M. Velasco

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, U.S.A.

G. Agarwal , R. Band , R. Bucci, S. Castells , A. Das , R. Goldouzian , M. Hildreth ,
K.W. Ho , K. Hurtado Anampa , T. Ivanov , C. Jessop , K. Lannon , J. Lawrence ,
N. Loukas , L. Lutton , J. Mariano, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley , C. Mcgrady ,
C. Moore , Y. Musienko ¹⁶, H. Nelson , M. Osherson , A. Piccinelli , R. Ruchti ,
A. Townsend , Y. Wan, M. Wayne , H. Yockey, M. Zarucki , L. Zygala 

The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, U.S.A.

A. Basnet , B. Bylsma, M. Carrigan , L.S. Durkin , C. Hill , M. Joyce , M. Nunez Ornelas ,
K. Wei, B.L. Winer , B. R. Yates 

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, U.S.A.

H. Bouchamaoui , P. Das , G. Dezoort , P. Elmer , A. Frankenthal , B. Greenberg ,
N. Haubrich , K. Kennedy, G. Kopp , S. Kwan , D. Lange , A. Loeliger , D. Marlow ,
I. Ojalvo , J. Olsen , D. Stickland , C. Tully 

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, U.S.A.

S. Malik 

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, U.S.A.

A.S. Bakshi , S. Chandra , R. Chawla , A. Gu , L. Gutay, M. Jones , A.W. Jung ,
A.M. Koshy, M. Liu , G. Negro , N. Neumeister , G. Paspalaki , S. Piperov , V. Scheurer,
J.F. Schulte , M. Stojanovic , J. Thieman , A. K. Virdi , F. Wang , A. Wildridge , W. Xie ,
Y. Yao 

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, U.S.A.

J. Dolen , N. Parashar , A. Pathak 

Rice University, Houston, Texas, U.S.A.

D. Acosta , T. Carnahan , K.M. Ecklund , P.J. Fernández Manteca , S. Freed, P. Gardner,
F.J.M. Geurts , I. Krommydas , W. Li , J. Lin , O. Miguel Colin , B.P. Padley , R. Redjimi,
J. Rotter , E. Yigitbasi , Y. Zhang 

University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, U.S.A.

A. Bodek , P. de Barbaro , R. Demina , J.L. Dulemba , A. Garcia-Bellido , O. Hindrichs ,
A. Khukhunaishvili , N. Parmar, P. Parygin ⁹³, R. Taus 

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, U.S.A.

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou , S.V. Clark , D. Gadkari , Y. Gershtein , E. Halkiadakis ,
M. Heindl , C. Houghton , D. Jaroslawski , S. Konstantinou , I. Laflotte , A. Lath ,
R. Montalvo, K. Nash, J. Reichert , H. Routray , P. Saha , S. Salur , S. Schnetzer,
S. Somalwar , R. Stone , S.A. Thayil , S. Thomas, J. Vora , H. Wang 

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, U.S.A.

D. Ally , A.G. Delannoy , S. Fiorendi , S. Higginbotham , T. Holmes , A.R. Kanuganti ,
N. Karunaratna , L. Lee , E. Nibigira , S. Spanier 

Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, U.S.A.

D. Aebi , M. Ahmad , T. Akhter , O. Bouhali ⁹⁴, R. Eusebi , J. Gilmore , T. Huang ,
T. Kamon ⁹⁵, H. Kim , S. Luo , R. Mueller , D. Overton , D. Rathjens , A. Safonov 

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, U.S.A.

N. Akchurin , J. Damgov , N. Gogate , V. Hegde , A. Hussain , Y. Kazhykarim,
K. Lamichhane , S.W. Lee , A. Mankel , T. Peltola , I. Volobouev 

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, U.S.A.

E. Appelt , Y. Chen , S. Greene, A. Gurrola , W. Johns , R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli ,
A. Melo , F. Romeo , P. Sheldon , S. Tuo , J. Velkovska , J. Viinikainen 

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, U.S.A.

B. Cardwell , H. Chung, B. Cox , J. Hakala , R. Hirosky , A. Ledovskoy , C. Neu 

Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, U.S.A.

S. Bhattacharya , P.E. Karchin 

University of Wisconsin — Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.

A. Aravind, S. Banerjee , K. Black , T. Bose , S. Dasu , I. De Bruyn , P. Everaerts ,
C. Galloni, H. He , M. Herndon , A. Herve , C.K. Koraka , A. Lanaro, R. Loveless ,
J. Madhusudanan Sreekala , A. Mallampalli , A. Mohammadi , S. Mondal, G. Parida ,
L. Pétré , D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang , V. Sharma , W.H. Smith , D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi ,
W. Vetens , A. Warden 

Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

S. Afanasiev , V. Alexakhin , D. Budkouski , I. Golutvin [†], I. Gorbunov , V. Karjavine ,
V. Korenkov , A. Lanev , A. Malakhov , V. Matveev ⁹⁶, V. Palichik , V. Perelygin ,
M. Savina , V. Shalaev , S. Shmatov , S. Shulha , V. Smirnov , O. Teryaev , N. Voytishin ,
B.S. Yuldashev⁹⁷, A. Zarubin , I. Zhizhin , G. Gavrilo , V. Golovtcov , Y. Ivanov ,
V. Kim ⁹⁶, P. Levchenko ⁹⁸, V. Murzin , V. Oreshkin , D. Sosnov , V. Sulimov , L. Uvarov ,
A. Vorobyev[†], Yu. Andreev , A. Dermenev , S. Gninenko , N. Golubev , A. Karneyev ,
D. Kirpichnikov , M. Kirsanov , N. Krasnikov , I. Tlisova , A. Toropin , T. Aushev ,
V. Gavrilo , N. Lychkovskaya , A. Nikitenko ^{99,100}, V. Popov , A. Zhokin , R. Chistov ⁹⁶,
M. Danilov ⁹⁶, S. Polikarpov ⁹⁶, V. Andreev , M. Azarkin , M. Kirakosyan, A. Terkulov ,
E. Boos , V. Bunichev , M. Dubinin ⁸⁵, L. Dudko , A. Ershov , A. Gribushin ,
V. Klyukhin , O. Kodolova ¹⁰⁰, S. Obraztsov , S. Petrushanko , V. Savrin , A. Snigirev ,
V. Blinov⁹⁶, T. Dimova ⁹⁶, A. Kozyrev ⁹⁶, O. Radchenko ⁹⁶, Y. Skovpen ⁹⁶, V. Kachanov ,
D. Konstantinov , S. Slabospitskii , A. Uzunian , A. Babaev , V. Borshch , D. Druzhkin ¹⁰¹

Authors affiliated with an institute formerly covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

V. Chekhovsky, V. Makarenko 

[†] Deceased

¹ Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia

² Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

³ Also at Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁴ Also at Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁵ Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

⁶ Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

⁷ Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil

⁸ Also at Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

⁹ Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, U.S.A.

¹⁰ Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹¹ Also at China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China

¹² Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

¹³ Also at China Spallation Neutron Source, Guangdong, China

¹⁴ Now at Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China

¹⁵ Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

¹⁶ Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

¹⁷ Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt

¹⁸ Now at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt

¹⁹ Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt

²⁰ Now at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt

²¹ Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, U.S.A.

²² Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France

²³ Also at Istinye University, Istanbul, Turkey

²⁴ Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil

²⁵ Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

²⁶ Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

²⁷ Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany

²⁸ Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany

²⁹ Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany

³⁰ Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

³¹ Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

³² Now at Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai — Facultatea de Fizică, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

³³ Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

³⁴ Also at HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

³⁵ Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

³⁶ Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India

³⁷ Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India

³⁸ Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

³⁹ Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India

⁴⁰ Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India

⁴¹ Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India

⁴² Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

⁴³ Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

⁴⁴ Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

⁴⁵ Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran

⁴⁶ Also at Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

⁴⁷ Also at Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Arak University, ARAK, Iran

- ⁴⁸ Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- ⁴⁹ Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- ⁵⁰ Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy
- ⁵¹ Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli ‘Federico II’, Napoli, Italy
- ⁵² Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, U.S.A.
- ⁵³ Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche — Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy
- ⁵⁴ Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia
- ⁵⁵ Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- ⁵⁶ Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka
- ⁵⁷ Also at Saegis Campus, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka
- ⁵⁸ Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁵⁹ Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
- ⁶⁰ Also at University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- ⁶¹ Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁶² Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria
- ⁶³ Also at Laboratoire d’Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France
- ⁶⁴ Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey
- ⁶⁵ Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey
- ⁶⁶ Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey
- ⁶⁷ Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- ⁶⁸ Also at Bozok Universiteleri Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey
- ⁶⁹ Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁰ Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷¹ Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- ⁷² Now at Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷³ Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁷⁴ Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- ⁷⁵ Also at Istanbul University — Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁶ Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
- ⁷⁷ Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
- ⁷⁸ Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- ⁷⁹ Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
- ⁸⁰ Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- ⁸¹ Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt
- ⁸² Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy
- ⁸³ Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, U.S.A.
- ⁸⁴ Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
- ⁸⁵ Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, U.S.A.
- ⁸⁶ Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, U.S.A.
- ⁸⁷ Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- ⁸⁸ Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey
- ⁸⁹ Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- ⁹⁰ Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey
- ⁹¹ Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey
- ⁹² Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania
- ⁹³ Now at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN
- ⁹⁴ Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
- ⁹⁵ Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea
- ⁹⁶ Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

⁹⁷ *Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan*

⁹⁸ *Also at Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, U.S.A.*

⁹⁹ *Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom*

¹⁰⁰ *Now at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia*

¹⁰¹ *Also at Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium*