



Letter

Measurement of inclusive and differential cross sections for W^+W^- production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration ^{*}

CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Editor: M. Doser

Keywords:

CMS

W boson pairs

ABSTRACT

Measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV of the opposite-sign W boson pair production cross section in proton-proton collisions are presented. The data used in this study were collected with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC in 2022, and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 34.8 fb^{-1} . Events are selected by requiring one electron and one muon of opposite charge. A maximum likelihood fit is performed on signal- and background-enriched data categories defined by the flavor and charge of the leptons, the number of jets, and number of jets originating from b quarks. The overall sensitivity is significantly better than that of previous results with a similar integrated luminosity. The improvement comes from a more refined control of experimental uncertainties and an improved fit strategy. An inclusive W^+W^- production cross section of $125.7 \pm 5.6 \text{ pb}$ is measured, in agreement with standard model predictions. Cross sections are also reported in a fiducial region close to that of the detector acceptance, both inclusively and differentially, as a function of the jet multiplicity in the event. For the first time in proton-proton collisions, WW events with zero, one, and at least two jets are studied simultaneously and compared with recent theoretical predictions.

1. Introduction

The measurement of W boson pair (W^+W^- or simply WW) production cross sections is an important test of the standard model (SM). The WW production at hadron colliders is sensitive to the properties of electroweak (EW) boson self-interactions and provides a test of the predictions of perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and the EW theory. It also constitutes a large background in the measurement of Higgs boson production, as well as in searches for physics beyond the SM. It is important to model the production of WW+jets accurately in event generators. This process is also background in $t\bar{t}$ production studies and in searches for physics beyond the SM with charged leptons and jets in the final state [1]. The WW cross section has been measured inclusively and differentially in proton-antiproton ($p\bar{p}$) collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV [2–4] and in proton-proton (pp) collisions at 7 TeV [5,6], 8 TeV [7–9], and 13 TeV [10–12], and agrees with the SM predictions within uncertainties.

This letter presents the first measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV of the inclusive and differential $W^+W^- \rightarrow e^\pm \nu \mu^\mp \nu$ production cross sections. Data from pp collisions recorded by the CMS experiment in 2022 are used, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 34.8 fb^{-1} . The SM production of WW pairs proceeds mainly through three processes: the

dominant $q\bar{q}$ annihilation process; the $gg \rightarrow WW$ process; and the Higgs boson process $H \rightarrow WW$, which is approximately ten times smaller than the sum of the other two processes and is considered as a background in this analysis. A calculation of the WW production cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV using MATRIX v2.1.0 [13,14] yields the value $125.8 \pm 3.9 \text{ pb}$ at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in QCD and next-to-leading order (NLO) in EW. The calculation uses the parton distribution function (PDF) NNPDF 3.0 [15]. The uncertainties reflect the dependence of the calculation expansion on the QCD factorization and renormalization scales, and the PDFs. The $gg \rightarrow WW$ process contributes about 3%, after correcting by a factor of 1.4, which comes from the ratio of the $gg \rightarrow WW$ cross section at NLO to the same cross section at leading order (LO) [16]. The most important background processes are (i) top quark production ($t\bar{t}$ and tW), (ii) Drell–Yan production of leptonically decaying tau lepton pairs, and (iii) nonprompt leptons originating from leptonic decays of heavy quarks, hadrons misidentified as leptons, and electrons from photon conversions.

In this analysis, events are selected with one electron and one muon of opposite charge, including those originating from leptonic tau decays. Events with two charged leptons of the same flavor are not considered in the analysis because of the much higher background from Drell–Yan events. To measure the WW signal and estimate the most important

^{*} E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.

background processes, events are further categorized into a signal region (SR) and control regions (CRs) defined by kinematic requirements on the flavor and charge of the leptons, the number of jets, and the number of jets originating from b quarks (b jets). The inclusive WW cross section is extracted from a profile maximum likelihood fit [17] to the event yields in the SR and CRs. The overall sensitivity is about 25% better than the results reported in Ref. [11] at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with a similar integrated luminosity. The improvement comes from several reduced experimental uncertainties and an improved fit strategy. The WW cross section is also measured in a fiducial region close to the detector acceptance, both inclusively and differentially, as a function of the jet multiplicity in the event. Events consistent with WW production and up to two reconstructed jets inclusively are studied in pp collisions and compared with recent theoretical predictions.

2. The CMS detector and simulated samples

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. A silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) with a silicon strip preshower detector placed at the front faces of the two endcap calorimeters, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections, are installed inside the solenoid. Forward calorimeters extend the pseudorapidity (η) coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system and the relevant kinematic variables, is presented in Refs. [18,19].

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system. The first level, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz within a fixed latency of 4 μ s [20]. The second level, known as the high-level trigger, consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 5 kHz before data storage [21].

Several Monte Carlo (MC) event generators are used to simulate the signal and background processes. The simulated samples are used to optimize the event selection, evaluate selection efficiencies and systematic uncertainties, and compute expected yields. The quark-initiated nonresonant WW, other heavy vector dibosons, $t\bar{t}$ and tW processes are simulated with POWHEG v2 [22–27] at NLO accuracy for inclusive production. The MADGRAPH 2.9.9 generator [28–31] is used to simulate gluon-initiated WW production at LO accuracy. The production of a Higgs boson is also generated with POWHEG, where the Higgs bosons are decayed with JHUGEN v5.2.5 [32,33]. Production of Z+jets, W+jets, $Z\gamma$, $W\gamma$, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}Z$, $t\bar{t}WW$, and triple vector boson (VVV, $V = W/Z$) events is simulated at NLO accuracy in QCD using the MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO generator.

The NNPDF 3.1 NNLO [15] PDFs are used for simulating all samples. For all processes, the underlying event, parton showering (PS), and hadronization are simulated using PYTHIA 8.306 [34]. The modeling of the underlying event is performed using the CP5 [35] tune. All MC generated events are processed through a simulation of the CMS detector based on GEANT4 [36] and are reconstructed with the same algorithms as used for the data. Additional pp interactions in the same and nearby bunch crossings, referred to as pileup, are also simulated. The distribution of the number of pileup interactions in the simulation is adjusted to match the one observed in the data, with an average pileup of about 50 interactions per bunch crossing.

3. Object reconstruction

A particle-flow (PF) algorithm [37] reconstructs and identifies each individual particle in an event, with an optimized combination of in-

formation from the various elements of the CMS detector. The energy of photons is obtained from the ECAL measurement. The energy of electrons is determined from a combination of the electron momentum at the primary interaction vertex as determined by the tracker, the energy of the corresponding ECAL cluster, and the energy sum of all bremsstrahlung photons spatially compatible with originating from the electron track. The energy of muons is obtained from the curvature of the corresponding track. The energy of charged hadrons is determined from a combination of their momentum measured in the tracker and the matching ECAL and HCAL energy deposits, corrected for the response function of the calorimeters to hadronic showers. Finally, the energy of neutral hadrons is obtained from the corresponding corrected ECAL and HCAL energy deposits. The primary vertex (PV) is taken to be the vertex corresponding to the hardest scattering in the event, evaluated using tracking information alone, as described in Section 9.4.1 of Ref. [38].

For each event, hadronic jets are clustered from these reconstructed particles using the anti- k_T algorithm [39,40] with a distance parameter of 0.4. The jet momentum is determined as the vectorial sum of all particle momenta in the jet, and is found from simulation to differ, on average, less than 5–10% from the true momentum over the whole transverse momentum (p_T) spectrum and detector acceptance. Jet energy corrections are derived from simulation to bring, on average, the measured response of jets close to that of generator-level jets. In situ measurements of the momentum balance in dijet, γ +jet, Z+jet, and multijet events are used to account for any residual differences in the jet energy scale between data and simulation [41]. The jet energy resolution amounts typically to 15–20% at 30 GeV, 10% at 100 GeV, and 5% at 1 TeV [41]. Selection criteria are applied to remove jets potentially dominated by anomalous contributions from various subdetector components or reconstruction failures [42,43]. Pileup interactions can produce additional tracks and calorimetric energy depositions to the jet momentum. The pileup-per-particle identification algorithm (PUPPI) [44,45] is used to mitigate the effect of pileup at the reconstructed particle level, making use of local shape information, event pileup properties, and tracking information. A local shape variable is defined, which distinguishes between collinear and soft diffuse distributions [44] of other particles surrounding the particle under consideration. The former is attributed to particles originating from the hard scattering and the latter to particles originating from pileup interactions. Charged particles identified to be originating from pileup vertices are discarded. For each neutral particle, a local shape variable is computed using the surrounding charged particles compatible with the primary vertex within the tracker acceptance ($|\eta| < 2.5$), and using both charged and neutral particles in the region outside of the tracker coverage. The momenta of the neutral particles are then rescaled according to their probability to originate from the primary interaction vertex deduced from the local shape variable, superseding the need for jet-based pileup corrections [44]. The jet multiplicity N_j counts the number of reconstructed jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. Jets falling within $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2} < 0.4$ (where ϕ is azimuthal angle in radians) of a selected charged lepton, as described below, are discarded.

The missing transverse momentum vector, $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$, is computed as the negative vector p_T sum of all the PF candidates in an event, and its magnitude is denoted as p_T^{miss} [42]. The $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is corrected to account for corrections to the energy scale of the reconstructed jets in the event. The PUPPI algorithm is applied to reduce the pileup dependence of the $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ observable. The $\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ is computed from the PF candidates weighted by their probability to originate from the PV [42].

Jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ originating from b quarks are identified (“tagged”) by a multivariate algorithm called DEEPJET [46]. For the chosen working point, the efficiency to select b jets is about 90% and the rate for incorrectly tagging jets originating from the hadronization of gluons or u, d, s quarks is about 10%. The rate for mistagging jets originating from the hadronization of c quarks is about 50%.

Electrons and muons are reconstructed by associating a track reconstructed in the tracking detectors with either a cluster of energy in the

Table 1
Summary of the requirements defining the WW SR and dilepton CRs.

Quantity	WW	One/two b tags	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$	Same-sign
Number of tight leptons		Strictly 2		
Additional loose leptons		0		
Lepton charges		Opposite		Same
$p_T^{\max}$		> 25 GeV		
$p_T^{\min}$		> 20 GeV		
$m_{\ell\ell}$	> 85 GeV	> 85 GeV	< 85 GeV	> 85 GeV
$p_T^{\ell\ell}$	—	—	< 30 GeV	—
Number of b-tagged jets	0	1/2	0	0
N_j		$0/1/2/\geq 3$		

ECAL [47] or a track in the muon system [48]. Electron and muon candidates must pass certain identification criteria to be further selected in the analysis. A “loose” identification is defined by requiring $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ (2.4) for electrons (muons). A “tight” selection is required as a last step of the lepton selection following the definitions provided in Refs. [47,48], including requirements on the impact parameter of the candidates with respect to the PV and their isolation with respect to other particles in the event [49].

4. Event selection

Collision events were collected using a single-electron (single-muon) trigger that requires the presence of an isolated lepton with $p_T > 27$ (24) GeV. In addition, a set of dilepton triggers with a threshold of 23 GeV for the leading lepton and with a threshold of 8 GeV for the sub-leading lepton are used. This ensures a trigger efficiency above 99% for events that satisfy the offline selection.

In the analysis, the SR requirements are applied to isolate the signal topology while reducing the background processes. The CR requirements are applied to select regions dominated by background processes to constrain them. The main analysis strategy is to simultaneously fit all these regions to measure the signal processes and to control the normalization of the background components.

The leptonic final state in the $WW \rightarrow e^\pm \nu \mu^\mp \nu$ channel consists of two oppositely charged, high- p_T , isolated leptons. Selected events contain exactly one electron and one muon with opposite charge, including those originating from leptonic tau decays. The leading ($p_T^{\max}$) and sub-leading ($p_T^{\min}$) lepton p_T values are required to be larger than 25 and 20 GeV, respectively. Events containing any additional loosely identified lepton with $p_T > 10$ GeV are rejected to suppress backgrounds from WZ and ZZ processes. The dilepton invariant mass ($m_{\ell\ell}$) is required to be larger than 85 GeV to reject $Z \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ and Higgs boson background events. To reduce top quark background contributions, events with one or more b-tagged jets are rejected.

Several orthogonal background-enriched CRs are used to select event samples enriched with top quark (referred to as “one b tag” and “two b tags”), $Z \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ (referred to as “ $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ ”), and nonprompt-lepton background (referred to as “same-sign”) events. The top quark CRs are defined by requiring the WW SR selection with the exception of the b jet veto requirement, which require either exactly one or two b jets. The $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ CR is defined by inverting the $m_{\ell\ell}$ requirement, and by requiring $p_T^{\ell\ell} < 30$ GeV. Finally, the nonprompt-lepton CR is defined by requiring a total lepton charge of ± 2 . Table 1 summarizes the event selection used in these regions. All regions are split in events with 0, 1, 2, and ≥ 3 reconstructed jets.

In addition, two CRs enriched in $WZ \rightarrow 3\ell\nu$ and $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ events are selected in the data, as described in Table 2. The $WZ \rightarrow 3\ell\nu$ region is selected by requiring three leptons with a total charge ± 1 . One of the oppositely charged same-flavor leptons from the Z boson candidate is required to have $p_T > 25$ GeV and the other $p_T > 10$ GeV, and the invariant mass of the pair must satisfy $|m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z| < 15$ GeV. In candidate events with three same-flavor leptons, the oppositely charged leptons with the invariant mass closest to the nominal Z boson mass

m_Z [50] are selected as the Z boson candidate. The third lepton with $p_T > 20$ GeV is associated with the W boson. The trilepton invariant mass ($m_{\ell\ell\ell}$) is required to exceed 100 GeV. The p_T^{miss} associated with the undetected neutrinos is required to be greater than 30 GeV. Finally, to reduce top quark background contributions, events with one or more jets tagged as b jets are rejected. The $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ region is selected by requiring four leptons, where at least one lepton must have $p_T > 25$ GeV. Lepton pairs originating from hadronic decays are removed by requiring that all opposite-sign lepton pairs in the candidate have $m_{\ell\ell} > 5$ GeV. The Z boson candidates are built from two oppositely-charged leptons of the same flavor. Events with two of such pairs are retained. Finally, to emulate the WW SR, events with one or more b tagged jets are rejected.

5. Background estimation

A combination of methods based on CRs in data and simulation is used to estimate background contributions. The main background processes are normalized by comparing the predicted yields to data in several CRs, defined to be as close as possible to the SR.

The largest background contribution comes from top quark production, predominantly from $t\bar{t}$ and tW events. This contribution arises when b jets are not tagged either because they fall outside the kinematic region where tagging is possible or because they receive low scores from the DEEPJETb tagging algorithm. An estimate of the top quark background is obtained in each individual reconstructed jet multiplicity bin using the CRs explained in Section 4. Following the procedure used in a measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section [51], two CRs requiring exactly one and exactly two b-tagged jets are defined. By considering events in these two regions separately, the impact of the uncertainties in b tagging efficiency is reduced by about 50%. The next largest background contribution comes from the Drell-Yan process from $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ production with both τ leptons decaying leptonically. The CR described in Section 4 is used to estimate the normalization of this process.

The next most important background contribution comes from events with nonprompt leptons. The simulation alone cannot be used for an accurate estimate of this contribution, but it can be used in conjunction with the data yields in the CR to determine a background estimate. A “pass-fail” control sample is defined by one lepton that passes the lepton selection criteria and another that fails the criteria but passes looser criteria. The misidentification rate f for a jet that satisfies loose lepton requirements and also passes the standard lepton requirements is determined using a sample dominated by multijet events with nonprompt leptons. This misidentification rate is parameterized as a function of lepton p_T and η and used to compute weights $f/(1-f)$ in the pass-fail sample that are used to determine the contribution of nonprompt leptons in the signal region [49,52]. The systematic uncertainty to estimate this background is dominated by the uncertainty in the determination of f , which has two sources: the dependence of f on the sample composition, and the method. The first source is estimated by modifying the jet p_T threshold in the QCD multijet sample and by requiring one b jet, which changes the composition. The uncertainty in the method is obtained from a closure test, where f is derived from simulated QCD multijet events and applied to simulated samples to predict the num-

Table 2

Summary of the requirements defining the WZ and ZZ CRs.

Variable	WZ	ZZ
Number of tight leptons	Strictly 3	Strictly 4
Additional loose leptons	—	0
Lepton p_T	$>25/10/20$ GeV	$>25/20/10/10$ GeV (p_T ordered)
$ m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z $	<15 GeV	<15 GeV (both pairs)
$m_{3\ell}$	>100 GeV	—
$m_{4\ell}$	—	>150 GeV
p_T^{miss}	>30 GeV	—
Number of b-tagged jets	0	—

ber of background events. The limited sample size of the control sample is also taken into account. In addition, the same-sign CR, enhanced in nonprompt lepton background events, is used to estimate in situ the normalization of this background, as described in Section 4.

The normalizations of the $WZ \rightarrow 3\ell\nu$ and $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ background processes are estimated using the dedicated CRs described in the previous section. The remaining minor sources of background, including triboson final states, top quarks in association with vector bosons, and Higgs-mediated WW production, are evaluated using simulations normalized to the most precise theoretical cross sections available.

6. Systematic uncertainties

Multiple sources of systematic uncertainty are considered in the measurement of the inclusive and differential cross sections. The uncertainties are included through nuisance parameters in the profile likelihood fit [17,53], described in Section 7. They can affect either the normalization or both the normalization and the shape of the distributions of the signal or background processes. For each source, the predicted event samples are used to construct corresponding template histograms that describe the expected signal and background distributions for a specific nuisance parameter variation. In the fit of the templates to the data, the best values for both the measured cross section and all nuisance parameters are determined simultaneously.

The uncertainty in the integrated luminosity measurements for the data used in this analysis is 1.4% [54]. The uncertainty in the simulation of pileup events is evaluated by varying the total inelastic pp cross section of 69.2 mb by $\pm 5\%$, which has an impact in the expected signal and background yields of about 1%.

Discrepancies in the lepton reconstruction and identification efficiencies between data and simulation are corrected by applying scale factors to all simulation samples. These scale factors, which depend on the p_T and η for both electrons and muons, are determined using $Z \rightarrow \ell\ell$ events in the Z boson peak region that were recorded with independent triggers [47,48,55], with associated uncertainties of about 0.5% for both electrons and muons. The uncertainty in the determination of the trigger efficiency leads to an uncertainty smaller than 1% in the expected signal yield. The lepton momentum scale uncertainty is computed by varying the momenta of the leptons in simulation by their uncertainties, and repeating the analysis selection. The resulting uncertainties in the yields are about 0.1% for both electrons and muons.

The uncertainty in the calibration of the jet energy scale and resolution affects the selection efficiency of the jet multiplicity requirement and the p_T^{miss} measurement. These effects are estimated by changing the jet energy in the simulation up and down by one standard deviation. The uncertainty in the jet energy scale and resolution is 2–5%, depending on the p_T and η [41], and the impact on the expected signal and background yields is 1–4% depending on the reconstructed jet multiplicity bin.

Scale factors are applied in the simulation for the b tagging efficiency of individual b jets and the mistagging efficiencies of c quark, light-flavor, and gluon jets are measured using dedicated calibration samples [56]. The statistical uncertainties in the scale factors are uncorrelated between data-taking periods, while other uncertainties in the

calibration method are treated as fully correlated. All uncertainties are treated as correlated among all regions in the fit. Each set of values results in uncertainties in the b tagging efficiency of about 1–4% to select events in the WW SR, and the impact on the expected signal and background yields is about 1%.

The dominant theoretical uncertainties for simulated processes, both signal and background, correspond to missing higher-order QCD calculations, which are estimated by varying the QCD renormalization and factorization scales independently up and down by a factor of two from their nominal values. The largest cross section variation is taken as the uncertainty, excluding the two extreme variations where one scale is varied up and the other one down. The PDF and strong coupling α_S uncertainties are evaluated according to the procedure described in Ref. [57]. The theoretical uncertainty due to the modeling of the PS is included for all the simulated samples. The uncertainty in the PS modeling is evaluated by varying the PS weights related to initial- and final-state radiation contributions computed by PYTHIA on an event-by-event basis [34], taking the uncertainty as the largest cross section variation on every analysis bin. The theoretical uncertainties are treated as fully correlated across bins for the distributions used to extract the results.

The branching fraction for leptonic decays of W bosons is $B(W \rightarrow \ell\nu) = 0.1086 \pm 0.0009$ [50], and lepton universality is assumed to hold. This is used to quote the total inclusive cross section starting from the undecayed W bosons. The uncertainty coming from this branching fraction is not included in the uncertainty to estimate the inclusive cross section, since all theoretical predictions are computed using fully leptonic decays, and a simple numerical multiplication is applied to quote the total value. A summary of all other systematic uncertainties for inclusive signal strength (μ), the inclusive cross section measurement divided by the predicted cross section, is given in Table 3.

7. Results

The results include the WW total inclusive cross section, and both the inclusive and normalized differential cross sections measured as a function of the jet multiplicity in the event, in a fiducial region that is close to the detector acceptance. The cross sections are extracted from the data using a binned maximum likelihood fit [17,53] from the observed yields in N_j using the WW SR and the one/two b tags, $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$, same-sign, WZ and ZZ CRs. In differential distributions, for each source of uncertainty, the impact in different bins is considered fully correlated. As described above, the normalization of the main background contributions is constrained for the extraction of results by the data in the CRs. In this fit, a signal strength parameter modifies the predicted signal yield defined by the central value of the theoretical cross section. The fitted value of the signal strength is expected to be close to unity if the SM is valid, and the measured cross section is the product of the signal strength and the theoretical cross section. All uncertainties considered in the analysis are treated as nuisance parameters in the fit. When building the likelihood function, each shape systematic uncertainty is associated to a nuisance parameter taken from a unit Gaussian distribution, which is used to interpolate or extrapolate using the specified histograms, and correlations between processes are also included. Statistical uncertainties in the signal and backgrounds are also treated as nuisance parameters, but are

Table 3

Systematic uncertainties in μ , the measured inclusive cross section divided by the predicted cross section. Lepton experimental uncertainties encompass the effects of calibration of lepton momentum scale and resolution, as well as lepton trigger, reconstruction, identification, and isolation efficiencies. Jet experimental uncertainties encompass the effects of the jet energy scale and resolution. The limited sample size category is related to the finite number of MC events and data events used for data-driven background estimates.

Uncertainty source	$\Delta\mu$
Integrated luminosity	0.014
Lepton experimental	0.019
Jet experimental	0.008
b tagging	0.012
Nonprompt background	0.010
Limited sample size	0.017
Background normalization	0.018
Theory	0.011
Statistical	0.018
Total	0.044

constrained by assigning a Poisson function to each analysis bin [58]. These constraints penalize the likelihood fit if the estimated nuisance parameters pull from their measured values.

The $W^+W^- \rightarrow e^\pm \nu \mu^\mp \nu$ fiducial region is defined by a common set of kinematic requirements across the muon and electron final states, with all quantities evaluated at generator level after PS and hadronization, emulating the selection performed at the reconstruction level. The measured distributions, after subtracting the contributions from the background processes, are corrected for detector resolution effects and inefficiencies. The leptons at generator level are selected at the so-called “dressed level” by combining the four-momentum of each lepton after the final-state photon radiation with that of photons found within a cone of $\Delta R = 0.1$ around the lepton [55,59]. The fiducial region is defined by requiring two opposite-sign electrons and muons with $|\eta| < 2.5$, with a leading and trailing $p_T > 25$ GeV and $p_T > 20$ GeV, respectively. Furthermore, $m_{\ell\ell}$ is required to be larger than 85 GeV. The jets at the generator level are clustered from stable particles (i.e. those with lifetimes greater than 0.3×10^{-10} s), excluding neutrinos, using the anti- k_T clustering algorithm with $R = 0.4$, and are required to have $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The jets within $\Delta R(j, \ell) < 0.4$ of the selected charged leptons are excluded. Electrons and muons produced in the decay of a τ lepton contribute about 10% of the signal sample and are excluded from the definition of the fiducial region, although they are treated as part of the signal component. All fiducial requirements are listed in Table 4. Nonfiducial events, i.e. events selected at the reconstructed level that do not satisfy the fiducial requirements, are included as background processes in the simultaneous fit.

To perform inclusive fiducial and normalized differential production cross section measurements, signal templates from different bins of the generator-level observable predicted by the event generator are built [11,60,61]. Each signal template is considered as a separate process in the simultaneous binned maximum likelihood fit; thus, the signal extraction and the unfolding of the detector effects are achieved in a single step. The ratios of the differential cross sections relative to the total fiducial cross section (normalized cross sections) and the inclusive fiducial cross section are evaluated simultaneously, and therefore, the systematic uncertainties in the individual cross sections are largely reduced. The total fiducial cross section and the fractions of events in two

Table 4

Definition of the fiducial region.

Variable	Requirement
Lepton origin	Direct decay of a prompt W boson
Lepton definition	Dressed leptons ($e^\pm \mu^\mp$)
Leading lepton p_T	$p_T^{\ell, \max} > 25$ GeV
Trailing lepton p_T	$p_T^{\ell, \min} > 20$ GeV
Additional leptons	0
$ \eta $ of leptons	$ \eta < 2.5$
Dilepton mass	$m_{\ell\ell} > 85$ GeV
Jet p_T	$p_T^j > 30$ GeV
$ \eta $ of jets	$ \eta^j < 2.5$
Jet-lepton removal	$\Delta R(j, \ell) > 0.4$

of the three jet multiplicity bins are freely floating in the fit. In this way, the normalized cross section has the same number of degrees of freedom as a differential measurement. The fraction of nonfiducial events and pileup jets for the differential measurements is below 1%. The fraction of events in a different fiducial and reconstructed jet bin is relatively small, and is 5–15% depending on the jet fiducial bin. Given that the bin migration effects are small, no regularization [53,62,63] needs to be applied for these fits.

The data, signal, and background yields in all regions used in the analysis are shown in Tables 5 and 6. In the background categories, the symbol V represents either a W or Z boson, and tVx represents final states with a top quark, a gauge boson, and possibly additional jets. The distributions of the number of reconstructed jets, and the number of events in the $WZ \rightarrow 3\ell\nu$ and $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ CRs after the fit to the data are shown in Fig. 1. Because of the anticorrelation between the yields of the signal and background processes, the total uncertainty in the different regions is smaller than the uncertainties in some of the individual contributions. The goodness-of-fit using the saturated test statistic [17,64] gives a p-value of 26% that characterizes the compatibility of the observed data and the statistical model. After the fit to data, all nuisance parameters differ by less than one standard deviation with respect to their initial predicted values. The observed normalization of the most important background, top quark production, is consistent with dedicated cross section measurements [51,65]. The measured inclusive cross section based on the simultaneous fit of all event categories is 125.7 ± 2.3 (stat) ± 4.8 (syst) ± 1.8 (lumi) pb = 125.7 ± 5.6 pb, which is consistent with the theoretical prediction within uncertainties. A summary of the inclusive fiducial cross section and normalized cross sections obtained in the analysis is shown in Table 7. Fig. 2 presents a summary of WW production cross section measurements at different center-of-mass energies and a comparison with fixed-order predictions produced via the MATRIX framework [13,66]. Results are consistent with the theoretical predictions across all center-of-mass energies. The normalized fiducial cross section measurement as a function of N_j is shown in Fig. 3. The measurement is compared to predictions with POWHEG+PYTHIA, NNLO QCD $\times$ NLO EW predictions using MATRIX calculations, and NNLO in QCD predictions using POWHEG MINNLO [67,68] v2 interfaced with PYTHIA. The measured fiducial cross sections agree with the theoretical predictions within uncertainties. Nevertheless, the predictions using POWHEG MINNLO seem to give a sizable improvement with respect to older predictions. Tabulated results are provided in HEPData [69]. The statistical model for this analysis is provided in [70].

8. Summary

First measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV of the WW production cross section in proton-proton collisions have been presented. The data used in this study were collected with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC in 2022, and correspond to an integrated luminosity of 34.8 fb^{-1} . Events were selected by requiring one electron and one muon of opposite charge. A maximum likelihood fit has been performed in event signal- and background-enriched categories defined by the flavor and charge




Fig. 1. Distributions of the number of reconstructed jets after the fit to the data in the WW SR (upper left), same-sign CR (upper right), $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ CR (center left), one b tag CR (center right), and two b tags CR (lower left), and the number of events in the $WZ \rightarrow 3\ell\nu$ and $ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell$ CRs (lower right). The histograms for tVx backgrounds include the contributions from $t\bar{t}V(V)$ processes. The histograms for $V\gamma$ backgrounds include the contributions from $W\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ processes. The overflow is included in the last bin. The bottom panel in each figure shows the ratio of the number of events observed in data to that of the total SM prediction. The grey bands represent the uncertainties in the predicted yields. The vertical bars represent the statistical uncertainties in the data.

Table 5

Data, signal, and background yields combining all reconstructed jet multiplicity bins. The combination of the statistical and systematic uncertainties is shown. The expected yields are shown with their best fit normalizations from the simultaneous fit to data.

	WW SR	Same-sign CR	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ CR	One b tag CR	Two b tags CR
Data	43 898	3 456	56 551	68 656	57 617
WW	$16\,030 \pm 160$	80.9 ± 5.0	$2\,648 \pm 37$	$2\,128 \pm 27$	227 ± 11
Top quark	$19\,900 \pm 130$	87.9 ± 3.7	$1\,131.6 \pm 8.8$	$63\,450 \pm 210$	$55\,550 \pm 210$
$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$	$2\,124 \pm 31$	57.1 ± 4.1	$45\,610 \pm 320$	226.0 ± 8.6	19.5 ± 2.3
WZ	487.7 ± 8.6	512.1 ± 9.6	97.6 ± 2.1	97.1 ± 2.1	11.8 ± 0.6
ZZ	37.1 ± 0.8	33.6 ± 0.7	66.0 ± 1.6	6.9 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.0
Nonprompt	$4\,880 \pm 140$	$2\,390 \pm 53$	$6\,580 \pm 280$	$2\,670 \pm 100$	$1\,695 \pm 98$
VVV	76.0 ± 1.6	25.8 ± 0.6	4.7 ± 0.1	33.7 ± 1.1	8.6 ± 0.4
tVx	10.7 ± 0.5	8.7 ± 1.1	0.7 ± 0.1	44.1 ± 1.4	52.0 ± 1.6
$V\gamma$	226.5 ± 7.7	233.3 ± 7.5	68.8 ± 3.4	43.4 ± 3.4	3.0 ± 0.4
Higgs boson	90.2 ± 6.4	27.2 ± 1.7	345 ± 32	29.4 ± 1.7	20.9 ± 1.2
Total	$43\,860 \pm 180$	$3\,457 \pm 53$	$56\,550 \pm 240$	$68\,720 \pm 220$	$57\,590 \pm 230$

Table 6

Data, signal and background yields in events in the WZ and ZZ CRs. The combination of the statistical and systematic uncertainties is shown. The expected yields are shown with their best fit normalizations from the simultaneous fit to data.

	WZ CR	ZZ CR
Data	4 732	610
WZ	$3\,470 \pm 85$	0.9 ± 0.1
ZZ	271 ± 20	599 ± 25
Nonprompt	819 ± 80	< 1
VVV	60.5 ± 2.9	5.4 ± 0.2
tVx	26.0 ± 2.3	2.3 ± 0.2
$V\gamma$	55.8 ± 6.0	< 1
Higgs boson	28.4 ± 1.9	2.4 ± 0.6
Total	$4\,729 \pm 76$	610 ± 25

Table 7

Inclusive fiducial cross section and normalized cross sections for events with $N_j = 0, 1, \geq 2$ jets. The uncertainty listed is the total uncertainty obtained from the fit to the yields. The expected predictions are obtained from POWHEG+PYTHIA. In parentheses, the split of systematic and statistical uncertainties are reported.

Observable	Expected	Observed
Cross section (fb)	$812 \pm 34 (31, 15)$	$813 \pm 35 (32, 15)$
0-jet fraction	$0.648 \pm 0.015 (0.012, 0.009)$	$0.640 \pm 0.016 (0.013, 0.009)$
1-jet fraction	$0.256 \pm 0.013 (0.008, 0.010)$	$0.243 \pm 0.013 (0.009, 0.010)$
≥ 2 -jet fraction	$0.096 \pm 0.011 (0.008, 0.008)$	$0.119 \pm 0.011 (0.008, 0.008)$

of the leptons, the number of jets, and number of jets identified as originating from b quarks. An inclusive WW production cross section of 125.7 ± 2.3 (stat) ± 4.8 (syst) ± 1.8 (lumi) pb = 125.7 ± 5.6 pb is measured, in agreement with the standard model prediction. The overall sensitivity is about 25% better than previous CMS measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with a similar integrated luminosity, because of several reduced experimental uncertainties and the improved fit strategy. Cross sections have also been reported in a fiducial region close to that of the detector acceptance, both inclusively and differentially, as a function of the jet multiplicity in the event. For the first time in proton-proton collisions, WW events with zero, one, and at least two jets are studied simultaneously and compared with recent theoretical predictions.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centres for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Fi-




Fig. 2. Results obtained in this analysis and other WW production cross section measurements at different center-of-mass energies for the CMS [6,7,11], ATLAS [5,8,71], CDF [4], and D0 [3] Collaborations are presented, and compared with the NNLO QCD $\times$ NLO EW and NLO predictions from MATRIX. The vertical error bars represent the uncertainty in the measured cross section.




Fig. 3. The upper panel shows the normalized cross section measurement for events with $N_j = 0, 1, \geq 2$ jets. The filled circles represent the unfolded data results. The black, blue, and red lines represent the predictions from POWHEG+PYTHIA, MATRIX, and POWHEG MiNNLO+PYTHIA, respectively. The lower panels show the ratio of the theoretical predictions to the measurement. In all panels, the error bars on the data points represent the total uncertainty in the measurement, and the shaded band depicts the uncertainty in the prediction.

nally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bul-

garia); CERN; CAS, MOST, and NSFC (China); Minciencias (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); ERC PRG, RVTT3 and MoER TK202 (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LMTLT (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MCIN/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MoSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TEN-MAK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 758316, 765710, 824093, 101115353, 101002207, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; The Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, project no. 22rl-037 (Armenia); the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the “Excellence of Science – EOS” – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010 and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (China); The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany’s Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 “Quantum Universe” – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program - ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants K 131991, K 133046, K 138136, K 143460, K 143477, K 146913, K 146914, K 147048, 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, and TKP2021-NKTA-64 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC – National Research Centre for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing and FAIR – Future Artificial Intelligence Research, funded by the NextGenerationEU program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369 and 2021/43/B/ST2/01552 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF “a way of making Europe”, and the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2017-0765 and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, and the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant B37G660013 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the Super-Micro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

Data availability

Release and preservation of data used by the CMS Collaboration as the basis for publications is guided by the [CMS data preservation, re-use and open access policy](#).

References

- [1] CMS Collaboration, Search for new physics in events with two soft oppositely charged leptons and missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* 782 (2018) 440, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.05.062>, arXiv:1801.01846.
- [2] V.M. Abazov, et al., D0, Measurement of the WW production cross section with dilepton final states in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV and limits on anomalous trilinear gauge couplings, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 103 (2009) 191801, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.191801>, arXiv:0904.0673.
- [3] V.M. Abazov, et al., D0, Search for Higgs boson production in oppositely charged dilepton and missing energy final states in 9.7 fb^{-1} of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* 88 (2013) 052006, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.052006>, arXiv:1301.1243.
- [4] T. Aaltonen, et al., CDF, Measurement of the W^+W^- production cross section and search for anomalous $WW\gamma$ and WWZ couplings in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 104 (2010) 201801, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.201801>, arXiv:0912.4500.
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of W^+W^- production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous WWZ and $WW\gamma$ couplings, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (2013) 112001, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.112001>, arXiv:1210.2979.
- [6] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the W^+W^- cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and limits on anomalous $WW\gamma$ and WWZ couplings, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 73 (2013) 2610, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2610-8>, arXiv:1306.1126.
- [7] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the W^+W^- cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and limits on anomalous gauge couplings, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 401, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4219-1>, arXiv:1507.03268.
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of total and differential W^+W^- production cross sections in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous triple-gauge-boson couplings, *J. High Energy Phys.* 09 (2016) 029, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2016\)029](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2016)029), arXiv:1603.01702.
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of W^+W^- production in association with one jet in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Lett. B* 763 (2016) 114, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.10.014>, arXiv:1608.03086.
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of fiducial and differential W^+W^- production cross sections at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 79 (2019) 884, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7371-6>, arXiv:1905.04242.
- [11] CMS Collaboration, W^+W^- boson pair production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* 102 (2020) 092001, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.092001>, arXiv:2009.00119.
- [12] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurements of $W^+W^- + \geq 1$ jet production cross-sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2021) 003, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2021\)003](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2021)003), arXiv:2103.10319.
- [13] M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, M. Wiesemann, Fully differential NNLO computations with MATRIX, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 78 (2018) 537, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5771-7>, arXiv:1711.06631.
- [14] M. Grazzini, S. Kallweit, J.M. Lindert, S. Pozzorini, M. Wiesemann, NNLO QCD + NLO EW with Matrix+OpenLoops: precise predictions for vector-boson pair production, *J. High Energy Phys.* 02 (2020) 087, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02\(2020\)087](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2020)087), arXiv:1912.00068.
- [15] R.D. Ball, et al., NNPDF, Parton distributions from high-precision collider data, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 77 (2017) 663, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-5199-5>, arXiv:1706.00428.
- [16] F. Caola, K. Melnikov, R. Röntsch, L. Tancredi, QCD corrections to W^+W^- production through gluon fusion, *Phys. Lett. B* 754 (2016) 275, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.01.046>, arXiv:1511.08617.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, The CMS statistical analysis and combination tool: COMBINE, *Comput. Softw. Big Sci.* 8 (2024) 19, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41781-024-00121-4>, arXiv:2404.06614.
- [18] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* 3 (2008) S08004, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- [19] CMS Collaboration, Development of the CMS detector for the CERN LHC Run 3, *J. Instrum.* 19 (2024) P05064, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/19/05/P05064>, arXiv:2309.05466.
- [20] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 15 (2020) P10017, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/15/10/P10017>, arXiv:2006.10165.
- [21] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P01020, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020>, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [22] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2004) 040, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040>, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [23] S. Frixione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2007) 070, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070>, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [24] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2010) 043, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2010\)043](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043), arXiv:1002.2581.
- [25] P. Nason, G. Zanderighi, W^+W^- , WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG-BOX-V2, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 74 (2014) 2702, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2702-5>, arXiv:1311.1365.
- [26] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, NLO vector-boson production matched with shower in POWHEG, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2008) 060, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/07/060>, arXiv:0805.4802.
- [27] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, NLO Higgs boson production via gluon fusion matched with shower in POWHEG, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2009) 002, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/002>, arXiv:0812.0578.
- [28] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2014) 079, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2014\)079](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2014)079), arXiv:1405.0301.
- [29] J. Alwall, et al., Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 53 (2008) 473, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0490-5>, arXiv:0706.2569.
- [30] R. Frederix, S. Frixione, Merging meets matching in MC@NLO, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2012) 061, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2012\)061](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2012)061), arXiv:1209.6215.
- [31] P. Artoisenet, R. Frederix, O. Mattelaer, R. Rietkerk, Automatic spin-entangled decays of heavy resonances in Monte Carlo simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* 03 (2013) 015, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2013\)015](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2013)015), arXiv:1212.3460.
- [32] I. Anderson, S. Bolognesi, F. Caola, Y. Gao, A.V. Gritsan, C.B. Martin, K. Melnikov, M. Schulze, N.V. Tran, A. Whitbeck, Y. Zhou, Constraining anomalous HVV interactions at proton and lepton colliders, *Phys. Rev. D* 89 (2014) 035007, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.035007>, arXiv:1309.4819.
- [33] A.V. Gritsan, J. Roskes, U. Sarica, M. Schulze, M. Xiao, Y. Zhou, New features in the JHU generator framework: constraining Higgs boson properties from on-shell and off-shell production, *Phys. Rev. D* 102 (2020) 056022, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.056022>, arXiv:2002.09888.
- [34] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J.R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C.O. Rasmussen, P.Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 191 (2015) 159, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024>, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [35] CMS Collaboration, Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 80 (2020) 4, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7499-4>, arXiv:1903.12179.
- [36] S. Agostinelli, et al., Geant4, GEANT4 – a simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods, A* 506 (2003) 250, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [37] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P10003, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003>, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [38] CMS Collaboration, Technical proposal for the Phase-II upgrade of the Compact Muon Solenoid, CMS Technical Proposal CERN-LHCC-2015-010, CMS-TDR-15-02, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2020886>, 2015.
- [39] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2008) 063, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063>, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [40] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (2012) 1896, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2>, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [41] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P02014, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014>, arXiv:1607.03663.
- [42] CMS Collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* 14 (2019) P07004, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/14/07/P07004>, arXiv:1903.06078.
- [43] CMS Collaboration, Jet algorithms performance in 13 TeV data, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-16-003 (2017), <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2256875>.
- [44] CMS Collaboration, Pileup mitigation at CMS in 13 TeV data, *J. Instrum.* 15 (2020) P09018, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/15/09/p09018>, arXiv:2003.00503.
- [45] D. Bertolini, P. Harris, M. Low, N. Tran, Pileup per particle identification, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2014) 059, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10\(2014\)059](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2014)059), arXiv:1407.6013.
- [46] E. Bols, J. Kiesel, M. Verzetti, M. Stoye, A. Stakia, Jet flavour classification using DeepJet, *J. Instrum.* 15 (2020) P12012, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/15/12/P12012>, arXiv:2008.10519.
- [47] CMS Collaboration, Electron and photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* 16 (2021) P05014, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/16/05/P05014>, arXiv:2012.06888.
- [48] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 13 (2018) P06015, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/06/P06015>, arXiv:1804.04528.
- [49] CMS Collaboration, Measurements of properties of the Higgs boson decaying to a W boson pair in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* 791 (2019) 96, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.12.073>, arXiv:1806.05246.
- [50] Particle Data Group, R.L. Workman, et al., Review of particle physics, *PTEP* 2022 (2022) 083C01, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptac097>.
- [51] CMS Collaboration, First measurement of the top quark pair production cross section in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 08 (2023) 204, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2023\)204](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2023)204), arXiv:2303.10680.

- [52] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of Higgs boson production and properties in the WW decay channel with leptonic final states, *J. High Energy Phys.* 01 (2014) 096, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01\(2014\)096](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2014)096), arXiv:1312.1129.
- [53] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 71 (2011) 1554, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0>, arXiv:1007.1727, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2501-z>.
- [54] CMS Collaboration, Luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at 13.6 TeV in 2022 at CMS, in: CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-22-001, 2024, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/123456>.
- [55] CMS Collaboration, Measurements of differential Z boson production cross sections in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2019) 061, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12\(2019\)061](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2019)061), arXiv:1909.04133.
- [56] CMS Collaboration, Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV, *J. Instrum.* 13 (2018) P05011, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/05/P05011>, arXiv:1712.07158.
- [57] J. Butterworth, S. Carrazza, A. Cooper-Sarkar, A. De Roeck, J. Feltesse, J. Forte, Stefano Gao, S. Glazov, J. Huston, Z. Kassabov, PDF4LHC recommendations for LHC Run II, *J. Phys. G* 43 (2016) 023001, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/43/2/023001>, arXiv:1510.03865.
- [58] R.J. Barlow, C. Beeston, Fitting using finite Monte Carlo samples, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 77 (1993) 219, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655\(93\)90005-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(93)90005-W).
- [59] CMS Collaboration, Object definitions for top quark analyses at the particle level, Technical Report CMS-NOTE-2017-004, 2017, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2267573>.
- [60] CMS Collaboration, Measurements of production cross sections of WZ and same-sign WW boson pairs in association with two jets in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* 809 (2020) 135710, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135710>, arXiv:2005.01173.
- [61] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the Z boson differential production cross section using its invisible decay mode ($Z\nu\nu$) in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 05 (2021) 205, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2021\)205](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2021)205), arXiv:2012.09254.
- [62] S. Schmitt, TUnfold: an algorithm for correcting migration effects in high energy physics, *J. Instrum.* 7 (2012) T10003, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/T10003>, arXiv:1205.6201.
- [63] G. Cowan, *Statistical Data Analysis*, Oxford University Press, 1998, p. 173.
- [64] R.D. Cousins, *Lectures on statistics in theory: prelude to statistics in practice*, arXiv:1807.05996, 2018.
- [65] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the $t\bar{t}$ cross section and its ratio to the Z production cross section using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13.6$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Lett. B* 848 (2024) 138376, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2023.138376>, arXiv:2308.09529.
- [66] S. Kallweit, M. Grazzini, M. Wiesemann, Higher-order corrections on diboson production processes with MATRIX, *PoS RADCOR2017* (2018) 075, <https://doi.org/10.22323/1.290.0075>.
- [67] P.F. Monni, P. Nason, E. Re, M. Wiesemann, G. Zanderighi, MiNNLO_{PS}: a new method to match NNLO QCD to parton showers, *J. High Energy Phys.* 05 (2020) 143, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2020\)143](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)143), arXiv:1908.06987, Erratum: [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02\(2022\)031](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2022)031).
- [68] P.F. Monni, E. Re, M. Wiesemann, MiNNLO_{PS}: optimizing $2 \rightarrow 1$ hadronic processes, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 80 (2020) 1075, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-08658-5>, arXiv:2006.04133.
- [69] HEPData record for this analysis, <https://doi.org/10.17182/hepdata.150773>, 2024.
- [70] Statistical model for this analysis, <https://doi.org/10.17181/bp9fx-6qs64>, 2024.
- [71] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the W^+W^- production cross section in pp collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment, *Phys. Lett. B* 773 (2017) 354, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.08.047>, arXiv:1702.04519.

The CMS Collaboration

A. Hayrapetyan, A. Tumasyan¹

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam¹, J.W. Andrejkovic¹, T. Bergauer¹, S. Chatterjee¹, K. Damanakis¹, M. Dragicevic¹,
P.S. Hussain¹, M. Jeitler^{1,2}, N. Krammer¹, A. Li¹, D. Liko¹, I. Mikulec¹, J. Schieck^{1,2}, R. Schöfbeck¹,
D. Schwarz¹, M. Sonawane¹, W. Waltenberger¹, C.-E. Wulz^{1,2}

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria

T. Janssen¹, T. Van Laer, P. Van Mechelen¹

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

N. Breugelmans, J. D'Hondt¹, S. Dansana¹, A. De Moor¹, M. Delcourt¹, F. Heyen, S. Lowette¹,
I. Makarenko¹, D. Müller¹, S. Tavernier¹, M. Tytgat^{1,3}, G.P. Van Onsem¹, S. Van Putte¹,
D. Vannerom¹

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

B. Bilin¹, B. Clerbaux¹, A.K. Das, G. De Lentdecker¹, H. Evard¹, L. Favart¹, P. Giannelis¹,
J. Jaramillo¹, A. Khalilzadeh, F.A. Khan¹, K. Lee¹, M. Mahdavihorrami¹, A. Malara¹, S. Paredes¹,
M.A. Shahzad, L. Thomas¹, M. Vanden Bemden¹, C. Vander Velde¹, P. Vanlaer¹

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

M. De Coen¹, D. Dobur¹, G. Gokbulut¹, Y. Hong¹, J. Knolle¹, L. Lambrecht¹, D. Marckx¹,
K. Mota Amarilo¹, A. Samalan, K. Skovpen¹, N. Van Den Bossche¹, J. van der Linden¹, L. Wezenbeek¹

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

A. Benecke¹, A. Bethani¹, G. Bruno¹, C. Caputo¹, J. De Favereau De Jeneret¹, C. Delaere¹,
I.S. Donertas¹, A. Giammanco¹, A.O. Guzel¹, Sa. Jain¹, V. Lemaître, J. Lidrych¹, P. Mastrapasqua¹,
T.T. Tran¹, S. Wertz¹

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

G.A. Alves^{ID}, M. Alves Gallo Pereira^{ID}, E. Coelho^{ID}, G. Correia Silva^{ID}, C. Hensel^{ID},
T. Menezes De Oliveira^{ID}, C. Mora Herrera^{ID,4}, A. Moraes^{ID}, P. Rebello Teles^{ID}, M. Soeiro,
A. Vilela Pereira^{ID,4}

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior^{ID}, M. Barroso Ferreira Filho^{ID}, H. Brandao Malbouisson^{ID}, W. Carvalho^{ID}, J. Chinellato⁵,
E.M. Da Costa^{ID}, G.G. Da Silveira^{ID,6}, D. De Jesus Damiao^{ID}, S. Fonseca De Souza^{ID}, R. Gomes De Souza,
M. Macedo^{ID}, J. Martins^{ID,7}, L. Mundim^{ID}, H. Nogima^{ID}, J.P. Pinheiro^{ID}, A. Santoro^{ID}, A. Sznajder^{ID},
M. Thiel^{ID}

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^{ID,6}, L. Calligaris^{ID}, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^{ID}, E.M. Gregores^{ID}, I. Maietto Silverio^{ID},
P.G. Mercadante^{ID}, S.F. Novaes^{ID}, B. Orzari^{ID}, Sandra S. Padula^{ID}

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

A. Aleksandrov^{ID}, G. Antchev^{ID}, R. Hadjiiska^{ID}, P. Iaydjiev^{ID}, M. Misheva^{ID}, M. Shopova^{ID}, G. Sultanov^{ID}

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov^{ID}, L. Litov^{ID}, B. Pavlov^{ID}, P. Petkov^{ID}, A. Petrov^{ID}, E. Shumka^{ID}

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

S. Keshri^{ID}, D. Laroze^{ID}, S. Thakur^{ID}

Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile

T. Cheng^{ID}, T. Javaid^{ID}, L. Yuan^{ID}

Beihang University, Beijing, China

Z. Hu^{ID}, Z. Liang, J. Liu, K. Yi^{ID,8,9}

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen^{ID,10}, H.S. Chen^{ID,10}, M. Chen^{ID,10}, F. Iemmi^{ID}, C.H. Jiang, A. Kapoor^{ID,11}, H. Liao^{ID}, Z.-A. Liu^{ID,12},
R. Sharma^{ID,13}, J.N. Song¹², J. Tao^{ID}, C. Wang¹⁰, J. Wang^{ID}, Z. Wang¹⁰, H. Zhang^{ID}, J. Zhao^{ID}

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos^{ID}, Y. Ban^{ID}, S. Deng^{ID}, B. Guo, C. Jiang^{ID}, A. Levin^{ID}, C. Li^{ID}, Q. Li^{ID}, Y. Mao, S. Qian,
S.J. Qian^{ID}, X. Qin, X. Sun^{ID}, D. Wang^{ID}, H. Yang, L. Zhang^{ID}, Y. Zhao, C. Zhou^{ID}

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

S. Yang^{ID}

Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science and Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China

Z. You^{ID}

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

K. Jaffel^{ID}, N. Lu^{ID}

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China

G. Bauer¹⁴, B. Li, J. Zhang^{ID}

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

X. Gao^{ID,15}, Y. Li

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Z. Lin^{1b}, C. Lu^{1b}, M. Xiao^{1b}

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

C. Avila^{1b}, D.A. Barbosa Trujillo, A. Cabrera^{1b}, C. Florez^{1b}, J. Fraga^{1b}, J.A. Reyes Vega

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

F. Ramirez^{1b}, C. Rendón, M. Rodriguez^{1b}, A.A. Ruales Barbosa^{1b}, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez^{1b}

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

D. Giljanovic^{1b}, N. Godinovic^{1b}, D. Lelas^{1b}, A. Sculac^{1b}

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

M. Kovac^{1b}, A. Petkovic, T. Sculac^{1b}

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

P. Bargassa^{1b}, V. Brigljevic^{1b}, B.K. Chitroda^{1b}, D. Ferencek^{1b}, K. Jakovcic, S. Mishra^{1b}, A. Starodumov^{1b,16},
T. Susa^{1b}

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

A. Attikis^{1b}, K. Christoforou^{1b}, A. Hadjiagapiou, C. Leonidou, J. Mousa^{1b}, C. Nicolaou, L. Paizanos,
F. Ptochos^{1b}, P.A. Razis^{1b}, H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka^{1b}, A. Stepennov^{1b}

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M. Finger^{1b}, M. Finger Jr.^{1b}, A. Kveton^{1b}

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

E. Carrera Jarrin^{1b}

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

Y. Assran^{17,18}, B. El-mahdy, S. Elgammal¹⁸

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

M. Abdullah Al-Mashad^{1b}, M.A. Mahmoud^{1b}

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt

K. Ehataht^{1b}, M. Kadastik, T. Lange^{1b}, S. Nandan^{1b}, C. Nielsen^{1b}, J. Pata^{1b}, M. Raidal^{1b}, L. Tani^{1b},
C. Veelken^{1b}

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

H. Kirschenmann^{1b}, K. Osterberg^{1b}, M. Voutilainen^{1b}

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

S. Bharthuar^{1b}, N. Bin Norjoharuddeen^{1b}, E. Brücken^{1b}, F. Garcia^{1b}, P. Inkaew^{1b}, K.T.S. Kallonen^{1b},
T. Lampén^{1b}, K. Lassila-Perini^{1b}, S. Lehti^{1b}, T. Lindén^{1b}, L. Martikainen^{1b}, M. Myllymäki^{1b},
M.m. Rantanen^{1b}, H. Siikonen^{1b}, J. Tuominiemi^{1b}

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

P. Luukka^{1b}, H. Petrow^{1b}

Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

M. Besancon^{1b}, F. Couderc^{1b}, M. Dejardin^{1b}, D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri^{1b}, S. Ganjour^{1b}, P. Gras^{1b},
G. Hamel de Monchenault^{1b}, M. Kumar^{1b}, V. Lohezic^{1b}, J. Malcles^{1b}, F. Orlandi^{1b}, L. Portales^{1b},
A. Rosowsky^{1b}, M.Ö. Sahin^{1b}, A. Savoy-Navarro^{1b,19}, P. Simkina^{1b}, M. Titov^{1b}, M. Tornago^{1b}

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

F. Beaudette^{ID}, G. Boldrini^{ID}, P. Busson^{ID}, A. Cappati^{ID}, C. Charlot^{ID}, M. Chiusi^{ID}, F. Damas^{ID},
 O. Davignon^{ID}, A. De Wit^{ID}, I.T. Ehle^{ID}, B.A. Fontana Santos Alves^{ID}, S. Ghosh^{ID}, A. Gilbert^{ID},
 R. Granier de Cassagnac^{ID}, A. Hakimi^{ID}, B. Harikrishnan^{ID}, L. Kalipoliti^{ID}, G. Liu^{ID}, M. Nguyen^{ID},
 C. Ochando^{ID}, R. Salerno^{ID}, J.B. Sauvan^{ID}, Y. Sirois^{ID}, L. Urda Gómez^{ID}, E. Vernazza^{ID}, A. Zabi^{ID},
 A. Zghiche^{ID}

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

J.-L. Agram^{ID,20}, J. Andrea^{ID}, D. Apparu^{ID}, D. Bloch^{ID}, J.-M. Brom^{ID}, E.C. Chabert^{ID}, C. Collard^{ID},
 S. Falke^{ID}, U. Goerlach^{ID}, R. Haeberle^{ID}, A.-C. Le Bihan^{ID}, M. Meena^{ID}, O. Poncet^{ID}, G. Saha^{ID},
 M.A. Sessini^{ID}, P. Van Hove^{ID}, P. Vaucelle^{ID}

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

A. Di Florio^{ID}

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

D. Amram, S. Beauceron^{ID}, B. Blancon^{ID}, G. Boudoul^{ID}, N. Chanon^{ID}, D. Contardo^{ID}, P. Depasse^{ID},
 C. Dozen^{ID,21}, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay^{ID}, S. Gascon^{ID}, M. Gouzevitch^{ID}, C. Greenberg, G. Grenier^{ID}, B. Ille^{ID},
 E. Jourdhuy, I.B. Laktineh, M. Lethuillier^{ID}, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, A. Purohit^{ID}, M. Vander Donckt^{ID},
 P. Verdier^{ID}, J. Xiao^{ID}

Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France

I. Lomidze^{ID}, T. Toriashvili^{ID,22}, Z. Tsamalaidze^{ID,16}

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

V. Botta^{ID}, S. Consuegra Rodríguez^{ID}, L. Feld^{ID}, K. Klein^{ID}, M. Lipinski^{ID}, D. Meuser^{ID}, A. Pauls^{ID},
 D. Pérez Adán^{ID}, N. Röwert^{ID}, M. Teroerde^{ID}

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

S. Diekmann^{ID}, A. Dodonova^{ID}, N. Eich^{ID}, D. Eliseev^{ID}, F. Engelke^{ID}, J. Erdmann^{ID}, M. Erdmann^{ID},
 P. Fackeldey^{ID}, B. Fischer^{ID}, T. Hebbeker^{ID}, K. Hoepfner^{ID}, F. Ivone^{ID}, A. Jung^{ID}, M.y. Lee^{ID}, F. Mausolf^{ID},
 M. Merschmeyer^{ID}, A. Meyer^{ID}, S. Mukherjee^{ID}, D. Noll^{ID}, F. Nowotny, A. Pozdnyakov^{ID}, Y. Rath,
 W. Redjeb^{ID}, F. Rehm, H. Reithler^{ID}, V. Sarkisovi^{ID}, A. Schmidt^{ID}, C. Seth, A. Sharma^{ID}, J.L. Spah^{ID},
 A. Stein^{ID}, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo^{ID,23}, S. Wiedenbeck^{ID}, S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

C. Dziwok^{ID}, G. Flügge^{ID}, T. Kress^{ID}, A. Nowack^{ID}, O. Pooth^{ID}, A. Stahl^{ID}, T. Ziemons^{ID}, A. Zotz^{ID}

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

H. Aarup Petersen^{ID}, M. Aldaya Martin^{ID}, J. Alimena^{ID}, S. Amoroso, Y. An^{ID}, J. Bach^{ID}, S. Baxter^{ID},
 M. Bayatmakou^{ID}, H. Becerril Gonzalez^{ID}, O. Behnke^{ID}, A. Belvedere^{ID}, F. Blekman^{ID,24}, K. Borras^{ID,25},
 A. Campbell^{ID}, A. Cardini^{ID}, C. Cheng, F. Colombina^{ID}, M. De Silva^{ID}, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein^{ID},
 L.I. Estevez Banos^{ID}, O. Filatov^{ID}, E. Gallo^{ID,24}, A. Geiser^{ID}, V. Guglielmi^{ID}, M. Guthoff^{ID}, A. Hinzmann^{ID},
 L. Jeppe^{ID}, B. Kaeck^{ID}, M. Kasemann^{ID}, C. Kleinwort^{ID}, R. Kogler^{ID}, M. Komm^{ID}, D. Krücker^{ID}, W. Lange,
 D. Leyva Pernia^{ID}, K. Lipka^{ID,26}, W. Lohmann^{ID,27}, F. Lorkowski^{ID}, R. Mankel^{ID}, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann^{ID},
 M. Mendizabal Morentin^{ID}, A.B. Meyer^{ID}, G. Milella^{ID}, K. Moral Figueroa^{ID}, A. Mussgiller^{ID}, L.P. Nair^{ID},
 J. Niedziela^{ID}, A. Nürnberg^{ID}, Y. Otariid, J. Park^{ID}, E. Ranken^{ID}, A. Raspereza^{ID}, D. Rastorguev^{ID},
 J. Rübenach, L. Rygaard, A. Saggio^{ID}, M. Scham^{ID,28,25}, S. Schnake^{ID,25}, P. Schütze^{ID}, C. Schwanenberger^{ID,24},
 D. Selivanova^{ID}, K. Sharko^{ID}, M. Shchedrolosiev^{ID}, D. Stafford, F. Vazzoler^{ID}, A. Ventura Barroso^{ID},

R. Walsh^{ID}, D. Wang^{ID}, Q. Wang^{ID}, Y. Wen^{ID}, K. Wichmann, L. Wiens^{ID,25}, C. Wissing^{ID}, Y. Yang^{ID},
A. Zimmermann Castro Santos^{ID}

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

A. Albrecht^{ID}, S. Albrecht^{ID}, M. Antonello^{ID}, S. Bein^{ID}, L. Benato^{ID}, S. Bollweg, M. Bonanomi^{ID}, P. Connor^{ID},
K. El Morabit^{ID}, Y. Fischer^{ID}, E. Garutti^{ID}, A. Grohsjean^{ID}, J. Haller^{ID}, H.R. Jabusch^{ID}, G. Kasieczka^{ID},
P. Keicher, R. Klanner^{ID}, W. Korcar^{ID}, T. Kramer^{ID}, C.c. Kuo, V. Kutzner^{ID}, F. Labe^{ID}, J. Lange^{ID},
A. Lobanov^{ID}, C. Matthies^{ID}, L. Moureaux^{ID}, M. Mrowietz, A. Nigamova^{ID}, Y. Nissan, A. Paasch^{ID},
K.J. Pena Rodriguez^{ID}, T. Quadfasel^{ID}, B. Raciti^{ID}, M. Rieger^{ID}, D. Savoie^{ID}, J. Schindler^{ID}, P. Schleper^{ID},
M. Schröder^{ID}, J. Schwandt^{ID}, M. Sommerhalder^{ID}, H. Stadie^{ID}, G. Steinbrück^{ID}, A. Tews, M. Wolf^{ID}

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

S. Brommer^{ID}, M. Burkart, E. Butz^{ID}, T. Chwalek^{ID}, A. Dierlamm^{ID}, A. Droll, U. Elicabuk, N. Faltermann^{ID},
M. Giffels^{ID}, A. Gottmann^{ID}, F. Hartmann^{ID,29}, R. Hofsaess^{ID}, M. Horzela^{ID}, U. Husemann^{ID}, J. Kieseler^{ID},
M. Klute^{ID}, R. Koppenhöfer^{ID}, J.M. Lawhorn^{ID}, M. Link, A. Lintuluoto^{ID}, B. Maier^{ID}, S. Maier^{ID}, S. Mitra^{ID},
M. Mormile^{ID}, Th. Müller^{ID}, M. Neukum, M. Oh^{ID}, E. Pfeffer^{ID}, M. Presilla^{ID}, G. Quast^{ID}, K. Rabbertz^{ID},
B. Regnery^{ID}, N. Shadskiy^{ID}, I. Shvetsov^{ID}, H.J. Simonis^{ID}, L. Sowa, L. Stockmeier, K. Tauqeer, M. Toms^{ID},
N. Trevisani^{ID}, R.F. Von Cube^{ID}, M. Wassmer^{ID}, S. Wieland^{ID}, F. Wittig, R. Wolf^{ID}, X. Zuo^{ID}

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis^{ID}, A. Kyriakis, A. Papadopoulos²⁹, A. Stakia^{ID}

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

P. Kontaxakis^{ID}, G. Melachroinos, Z. Painesis^{ID}, I. Papavergou^{ID}, I. Paraskevas^{ID}, N. Saoulidou^{ID},
K. Theofilatos^{ID}, E. Tziaferi^{ID}, K. Vellidis^{ID}, I. Zisopoulos^{ID}

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas^{ID}, T. Chatzistavrou, G. Karapostoli^{ID}, K. Kousouris^{ID}, I. Papakrivopoulos^{ID}, E. Siamarkou,
G. Tsipolitis^{ID}, A. Zacharopoulou

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

K. Adamidis, I. Bestintzanos, I. Evangelou^{ID}, C. Foudas, C. Kamtsikis, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas^{ID},
P.G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou^{ID}, N. Manthos^{ID}, I. Papadopoulos^{ID}, J. Strologas^{ID}

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

C. Hajdu^{ID}, D. Horvath^{ID,30,31}, K. Márton, A.J. Rádl^{ID,32}, F. Sikler^{ID}, V. Veszpremi^{ID}

HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

M. Csanád^{ID}, K. Farkas^{ID}, A. Fehérkúti^{ID,33}, M.M.A. Gadallah^{ID,34}, Á. Kadlecik^{ID}, P. Major^{ID}, G. Pásztor^{ID},
G.I. Veres^{ID}

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

B. Ujvari^{ID}, G. Zilizi^{ID}

Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

G. Bencze, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

F. Nemes^{ID,33}, T. Novak^{ID}

Károly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary

S. Bansal^{1b}, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar^{1b}, G. Chaudhary^{1b}, S. Chauhan^{1b}, N. Dhingra^{1b,35}, A. Kaur^{1b}, A. Kaur^{1b}, H. Kaur^{1b}, M. Kaur^{1b}, S. Kumar^{1b}, K. Sandeep^{1b}, T. Sheokand, J.B. Singh^{1b}, A. Singla^{1b}

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

A. Ahmed^{1b}, A. Bhardwaj^{1b}, A. Chhetri^{1b}, B.C. Choudhary^{1b}, A. Kumar^{1b}, A. Kumar^{1b}, M. Naimuddin^{1b}, K. Ranjan^{1b}, M.K. Saini, S. Saumya^{1b}

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

S. Baradia^{1b}, S. Barman^{1b,36}, S. Bhattacharya^{1b}, S. Das Gupta, S. Dutta^{1b}, S. Dutta, S. Sarkar

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

M.M. Ameen^{1b}, P.K. Behera^{1b}, S.C. Behera^{1b}, S. Chatterjee^{1b}, G. Dash^{1b}, P. Jana^{1b}, P. Kalbhor^{1b}, S. Kamble^{1b}, J.R. Komaragiri^{1b,37}, D. Kumar^{1b,37}, P.R. Pujahari^{1b}, N.R. Saha^{1b}, A. Sharma^{1b}, A.K. Sikdar^{1b}, R.K. Singh, P. Verma, S. Verma^{1b}, A. Vijay

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

S. Dugad, G.B. Mohanty^{1b}, B. Parida^{1b}, M. Shelake, P. Suryadevara

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

A. Bala^{1b}, S. Banerjee^{1b}, R.M. Chatterjee, M. Guchait^{1b}, Sh. Jain^{1b}, A. Jaiswal, S. Kumar^{1b}, G. Majumder^{1b}, K. Mazumdar^{1b}, S. Parolia^{1b}, A. Thachayath^{1b}

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

S. Bahinipati^{1b,38}, C. Kar^{1b}, D. Maity^{1b,39}, P. Mal^{1b}, T. Mishra^{1b}, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu^{1b,39}, K. Naskar^{1b,39}, A. Nayak^{1b,39}, S. Nayak, K. Pal, P. Sadangi, S.K. Swain^{1b}, S. Varghese^{1b,39}, D. Vats^{1b,39}

National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

S. Acharya^{1b,40}, A. Alpana^{1b}, S. Dube^{1b}, B. Gomber^{1b,40}, P. Hazarika^{1b}, B. Kansal^{1b}, A. Laha^{1b}, B. Sahu^{1b,40}, S. Sharma^{1b}, K.Y. Vaish^{1b}

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

H. Bakhshiansohi^{1b,41}, A. Jafari^{1b,42}, M. Zeinali^{1b,43}

Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

S. Bashiri, S. Chenarani^{1b,44}, S.M. Etesami^{1b}, Y. Hosseini^{1b}, M. Khakzad^{1b}, E. Khazaie^{1b,45}, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi^{1b}, S. Tizchang^{1b,46}

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

M. Felcini^{1b}, M. Grunewald^{1b}

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Abbrescia^{a,b,1b}, A. Colaleo^{a,b,1b}, D. Creanza^{a,c,1b}, B. D'Anzi^{a,b,1b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c,1b}, M. De Palma^{a,b,1b}, W. Elmetenawee^{a,b,1b,47}, L. Fiore^{a,1b}, G. Iaselli^{a,c,1b}, L. Longo^{a,1b}, M. Louka^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c,1b}, M. Maggi^{a,1b}, I. Margjeka^{a,1b}, V. Mastrapasqua^{a,b,1b}, S. My^{a,b,1b}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b,1b}, A. Pellecchia^{a,b,1b}, A. Pompili^{a,b,1b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c,1b}, R. Radogna^{a,b,1b}, D. Ramos^{a,1b}, A. Ranieri^{a,1b}, L. Silvestris^{a,1b}, F.M. Simone^{a,c,1b}, Ü. Sözbilir^{a,1b}, A. Stamerra^{a,b,1b}, D. Troiano^{a,b,1b}, R. Venditti^{a,b,1b}, P. Verwilligen^{a,1b}, A. Zaza^{a,b,1b}

^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

G. Abbiendi^{a,1b}, C. Battilana^{a,b,1b}, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b,1b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b,1b}, A. Castro^{a,b,1b,†}, F.R. Cavallo^{a,1b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b,1b}, G.M. Dallavalle^{a,1b}, T. Diotallevi^{a,b,1b}, F. Fabbri^{a,1b}, A. Fanfani^{a,b,1b}, D. Fasanella^{a,1b}, P. Giacomelli^{a,1b}, L. Giommi^{a,b,1b}, C. Grandi^{a,1b}, L. Guiducci^{a,b,1b}, S. Lo Meo^{a,1b,48}, M. Lorusso^{a,b,1b},

L. Lunerti ^{a, ID}, S. Marcellini ^{a, ID}, G. Masetti ^{a, ID}, F.L. Navarria ^{a,b, ID}, G. Paggi ^{a,b, ID}, A. Perrotta ^{a, ID},
F. Primavera ^{a,b, ID}, A.M. Rossi ^{a,b, ID}, S. Rossi Tisbeni ^{a,b, ID}, T. Rovelli ^{a,b, ID}, G.P. Siroli ^{a,b, ID}

^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

S. Costa ^{a,b, ID, 49}, A. Di Mattia ^{a, ID}, A. Lapertosa ^{a, ID}, R. Potenza ^{a,b}, A. Tricomi ^{a,b, ID, 49}, C. Tuve ^{a,b, ID}

^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

^b Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

P. Assiouras ^{a, ID}, G. Barbagli ^{a, ID}, G. Bardelli ^{a,b, ID}, B. Camaiani ^{a,b, ID}, A. Cassese ^{a, ID}, R. Ceccarelli ^{a, ID},
V. Ciulli ^{a,b, ID}, C. Civinini ^{a, ID}, R. D'Alessandro ^{a,b, ID}, E. Focardi ^{a,b, ID}, T. Kello ^a, G. Latino ^{a,b, ID}, P. Lenzi ^{a,b, ID},
M. Lizzo ^{a, ID}, M. Meschini ^{a, ID}, S. Paoletti ^{a, ID}, A. Papanastassiou ^{a,b}, G. Sguazzoni ^{a, ID}, L. Viliani ^{a, ID}

^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

L. Benussi ^{ID}, S. Bianco ^{ID}, S. Meola ^{ID, 50}, D. Piccolo ^{ID}

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

P. Chatagnon ^{a, ID}, F. Ferro ^{a, ID}, E. Robutti ^{a, ID}, S. Tosi ^{a,b, ID}

^a INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

^b Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

A. Benaglia ^{a, ID}, F. Brivio ^{a, ID}, F. Cettorelli ^{a,b, ID}, F. De Guio ^{a,b, ID}, M.E. Dinardo ^{a,b, ID}, P. Dini ^{a, ID}, S. Gennai ^{a, ID},
R. Gerosa ^{a,b, ID}, A. Ghezzi ^{a,b, ID}, P. Govoni ^{a,b, ID}, L. Guzzi ^{a, ID}, M.T. Lucchini ^{a,b, ID}, M. Malberti ^{a, ID},
S. Malvezzi ^{a, ID}, A. Massironi ^{a, ID}, D. Menasce ^{a, ID}, L. Moroni ^{a, ID}, M. Paganoni ^{a,b, ID}, S. Palluotto ^{a,b, ID},
D. Pedrini ^{a, ID}, A. Perego ^{a,b, ID}, B.S. Pinolini ^a, G. Pizzati ^{a,b}, S. Ragazzi ^{a,b, ID}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis ^{a,b, ID}

^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

S. Buontempo ^{a, ID}, A. Cagnotta ^{a,b, ID}, F. Carnevali ^{a,b}, N. Cavallo ^{a,c, ID}, F. Fabozzi ^{a,c, ID}, A.O.M. Iorio ^{a,b, ID},
L. Lista ^{a,b, ID, 51}, P. Paolucci ^{a, ID, 29}, B. Rossi ^{a, ID}

^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

^b Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

^c Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

^d Scuola Superiore Meridionale (SSM), Napoli, Italy

R. Ardino ^{a, ID}, P. Azzi ^{a, ID}, N. Bacchetta ^{a, ID, 52}, D. Bisello ^{a,b, ID}, P. Bortignon ^{a, ID}, G. Bortolato ^{a,b},
A. Bragagnolo ^{a,b, ID}, A.C.M. Bulla ^{a, ID}, R. Carlin ^{a,b, ID}, P. Checchia ^{a, ID}, T. Dorigo ^{a, ID}, F. Gasparini ^{a,b, ID},
U. Gasparini ^{a,b, ID}, E. Lusiani ^{a, ID}, M. Margoni ^{a,b, ID}, A.T. Meneguzzo ^{a,b, ID}, M. Migliorini ^{a,b, ID},
F. Montecassiano ^{a, ID}, J. Pazzini ^{a,b, ID}, P. Ronchese ^{a,b, ID}, R. Rossin ^{a,b, ID}, F. Simonetto ^{a,b, ID}, M. Tosi ^{a,b, ID},
A. Triossi ^{a,b, ID}, S. Ventura ^{a, ID}, M. Zanetti ^{a,b, ID}, P. Zotto ^{a,b, ID}, A. Zucchetta ^{a,b, ID}

^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

^c Università di Trento, Trento, Italy

C. Aimè ^{a, ID}, A. Braghieri ^{a, ID}, S. Calzaferri ^{a, ID}, D. Fiorina ^{a, ID}, P. Montagna ^{a,b, ID}, V. Re ^{a, ID}, C. Riccardi ^{a,b, ID},
P. Salvini ^{a, ID}, I. Vai ^{a,b, ID}, P. Vitulo ^{a,b, ID}

^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

S. Ajmal ^{a,b, ID}, M.E. Ascoti ^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei ^{a, ID}, C. Carrivale ^{a,b}, D. Ciangottini ^{a,b, ID}, L. Fanò ^{a,b, ID},
M. Magherini ^{a,b, ID}, V. Mariani ^{a,b, ID}, M. Menichelli ^{a, ID}, F. Moscatelli ^{a, ID, 53}, A. Rossi ^{a,b, ID}, A. Santocchia ^{a,b, ID},
D. Spiga ^{a, ID}, T. Tedeschi ^{a,b, ID}

^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

C.A. Alexe ^{a,c, }, P. Asenov ^{a,b, }, P. Azzurri ^{a, }, G. Bagliesi ^{a, }, R. Bhattacharya ^{a, }, L. Bianchini ^{a,b, },
T. Boccali ^{a, }, E. Bossini ^{a, }, D. Bruschini ^{a,c, }, R. Castaldi ^{a, }, M.A. Ciocci ^{a,b, }, M. Cipriani ^{a,b, },
V. D'Amante ^{a,d, }, R. Dell'Orso ^{a, }, S. Donato ^{a, }, A. Giassi ^{a, }, F. Ligabue ^{a,c, }, A.C. Marini ^{a, },
D. Matos Figueiredo ^{a, }, A. Messineo ^{a,b, }, M. Musich ^{a,b, }, F. Palla ^{a, }, A. Rizzi ^{a,b, }, G. Rolandi ^{a,c, },
S. Roy Chowdhury ^{a, }, T. Sarkar ^{a, }, A. Scribano ^{a, }, P. Spagnolo ^{a, }, R. Tenchini ^{a, }, G. Tonelli ^{a,b, },
N. Turini ^{a,d, }, F. Vaselli ^{a,c, }, A. Venturi ^{a, }, P.G. Verдини ^{a, }

^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^b Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^d Università di Siena, Siena, Italy

C. Baldenegro Barrera ^{a,b, }, P. Barria ^{a, }, C. Basile ^{a,b, }, F. Cavallari ^{a, }, L. Cunqueiro Mendez ^{a,b, },
D. Del Re ^{a,b, }, E. Di Marco ^{a,b, }, M. Diemoz ^{a, }, F. Errico ^{a,b, }, E. Longo ^{a,b, }, J. Mijuskovic ^{a,b, },
G. Organtini ^{a,b, }, F. Pandolfi ^{a, }, R. Paramatti ^{a,b, }, C. Quaranta ^{a,b, }, S. Rahatlou ^{a,b, }, C. Rovelli ^{a, },
F. Santanastasio ^{a,b, }, L. Soffi ^{a, }

^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy

^b Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy

N. Amapane ^{a,b, }, R. Arcidiacono ^{a,c, }, S. Argiro ^{a,b, }, M. Arneodo ^{a,c, }, N. Bartosik ^{a, }, R. Bellan ^{a,b, },
A. Bellora ^{a,b, }, C. Biino ^{a, }, C. Borca ^{a,b, }, N. Cartiglia ^{a, }, M. Costa ^{a,b, }, R. Covarelli ^{a,b, }, N. Demaria ^{a, },
L. Finco ^{a, }, M. Grippo ^{a,b, }, B. Kiani ^{a,b, }, F. Legger ^{a, }, F. Luongo ^{a,b, }, C. Mariotti ^{a, }, L. Markovic ^{a,b, },
S. Maselli ^{a, }, A. Mecca ^{a,b, }, L. Menzio ^{a,b, }, P. Meridiani ^{a, }, E. Migliore ^{a,b, }, M. Monteno ^{a, }, R. Mulargia ^{a, },
M.M. Obertino ^{a,b, }, G. Ortona ^{a, }, L. Pacher ^{a,b, }, N. Pastrone ^{a, }, M. Pelliccioni ^{a, }, M. Ruspa ^{a,c, },
F. Siviero ^{a,b, }, V. Sola ^{a,b, }, A. Solano ^{a,b, }, A. Staiano ^{a, }, C. Tarricone ^{a,b, }, D. Trocino ^{a, }, G. Umoret ^{a,b, },
R. White ^{a,b, }

^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy

^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy

^c Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy

J. Babbar ^{a,b, }, S. Belforte ^{a, }, V. Candelise ^{a,b, }, M. Casarsa ^{a, }, F. Cossutti ^{a, }, K. De Leo ^{a, },
G. Della Ricca ^{a,b, }

^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

S. Dogra ^{}, J. Hong ^{}, B. Kim ^{}, J. Kim, D. Lee, H. Lee, S.W. Lee ^{}, C.S. Moon ^{}, Y.D. Oh ^{}, M.S. Ryu ^{},
S. Sekmen ^{}, B. Tae, Y.C. Yang ^{}

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

M.S. Kim ^{}

Department of Mathematics and Physics - GWNu, Gangneung, Korea

G. Bak ^{}, P. Gwak ^{}, H. Kim ^{}, D.H. Moon ^{}

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

E. Asilar ^{}, J. Choi ^{}, D. Kim ^{}, T.J. Kim ^{}, J.A. Merlin, Y. Ryou

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

S. Choi ^{}, S. Han, B. Hong ^{}, K. Lee, K.S. Lee ^{}, S. Lee ^{}, J. Yoo ^{}

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

J. Goh ^{}, S. Yang ^{}

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

H.S. Kim^{ID}, Y. Kim, S. Lee

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi^{ID}, J. Choi, W. Jun^{ID}, J. Kim^{ID}, S. Ko^{ID}, H. Kwon^{ID}, H. Lee^{ID}, J. Lee^{ID}, J. Lee^{ID}, B.H. Oh^{ID}, S.B. Oh^{ID}, H. Seo^{ID}, U.K. Yang, I. Yoon^{ID}

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

W. Jang^{ID}, D.Y. Kang, Y. Kang^{ID}, S. Kim^{ID}, B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee^{ID}, Y. Lee^{ID}, I.C. Park^{ID}, Y. Roh, I.J. Watson^{ID}

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

S. Ha^{ID}, H.D. Yoo^{ID}

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea

M. Choi^{ID}, M.R. Kim^{ID}, H. Lee, Y. Lee^{ID}, I. Yu^{ID}

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

T. Beyrouthy, Y. Gharbia

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait

K. Dreimanis^{ID}, A. Gaile^{ID}, C. Munoz Diaz, D. Osite^{ID}, G. Pikurs, A. Potrebko^{ID}, M. Seidel^{ID}, D. Sidiropoulos Kontos

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

N.R. Strautnieks^{ID}

University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia

M. Ambrozias^{ID}, A. Juodagalvis^{ID}, A. Rinkevicius^{ID}, G. Tamulaitis^{ID}

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

I. Yusuff^{ID}.⁵⁴, Z. Zolkapli

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

J.F. Benitez^{ID}, A. Castaneda Hernandez^{ID}, H.A. Encinas Acosta, L.G. Gallegos Maríñez, M. León Coello^{ID}, J.A. Murillo Quijada^{ID}, A. Sehrawat^{ID}, L. Valencia Palomo^{ID}

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

G. Ayala^{ID}, H. Castilla-Valdez^{ID}, H. Crotte Ledesma, E. De La Cruz-Burelo^{ID}, I. Heredia-De La Cruz^{ID}.⁵⁵, R. Lopez-Fernandez^{ID}, J. Mejia Guisao^{ID}, C.A. Mondragon Herrera, A. Sánchez Hernández^{ID}

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

C. Oropeza Barrera^{ID}, D.L. Ramirez Guadarrama, M. Ramírez García^{ID}

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

I. Bautista^{ID}, I. Pedraza^{ID}, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen^{ID}, C. Uribe Estrada^{ID}

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

I. Bujanja^{ID}, N. Raicevic^{ID}

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

P.H. Butler^{ID}

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

A. Ahmad^{ID}, M.I. Asghar, A. Awais^{ID}, M.I.M. Awan, H.R. Hoorani^{ID}, W.A. Khan^{ID}

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

V. Avati, L. Grzanka^{ID}, M. Malawski^{ID}

AGH University of Krakow, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

H. Bialkowska^{ID}, M. Bluj^{ID}, M. Górski^{ID}, M. Kazana^{ID}, M. Szleper^{ID}, P. Zalewski^{ID}

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

K. Bunkowski^{ID}, K. Doroba^{ID}, A. Kalinowski^{ID}, M. Konecki^{ID}, J. Krolikowski^{ID}, A. Muhammad^{ID}

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Pozniak^{ID}, W. Zabolotny^{ID}

Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

M. Araujo^{ID}, D. Bastos^{ID}, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva^{ID}, A. Boletti^{ID}, M. Bozzo^{ID}, T. Camporesi^{ID},
G. Da Molin^{ID}, P. Faccioli^{ID}, M. Gallinaro^{ID}, J. Hollar^{ID}, N. Leonardo^{ID}, G.B. Marozzo, T. Niknejad^{ID},
A. Petrilli^{ID}, M. Pisano^{ID}, J. Seixas^{ID}, J. Varela^{ID}, J.W. Wulff

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

P. Adzic^{ID}, P. Milenovic^{ID}

Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

D. Devetak, M. Dordevic^{ID}, J. Milosevic^{ID}, V. Rekovic

VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

J. Alcaraz Maestre^{ID}, Cristina F. Bedoya^{ID}, Oliver M. Carretero^{ID}, M. Cepeda^{ID}, M. Cerrada^{ID}, N. Colino^{ID},
B. De La Cruz^{ID}, A. Delgado Peris^{ID}, A. Escalante Del Valle^{ID}, D. Fernández Del Val^{ID},
J.P. Fernández Ramos^{ID}, J. Flix^{ID}, M.C. Fouz^{ID}, O. Gonzalez Lopez^{ID}, S. Goy Lopez^{ID}, J.M. Hernandez^{ID},
M.I. Josa^{ID}, E. Martin Viscasillas^{ID}, D. Moran^{ID}, C.M. Morcillo Perez^{ID}, Á. Navarro Tobar^{ID},
C. Perez Dengra^{ID}, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo^{ID}, J. Puerta Pelayo^{ID}, I. Redondo^{ID}, S. Sánchez Navas^{ID},
J. Sastre^{ID}, J. Vazquez Escobar^{ID}

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

J.F. de Trocóniz^{ID}

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez^{ID}, J. Cuevas^{ID}, J. Fernandez Menendez^{ID}, S. Folgueras^{ID}, I. Gonzalez Caballero^{ID},
J.R. González Fernández^{ID}, P. Leguina^{ID}, E. Palencia Cortezon^{ID}, J. Prado Pico, C. Ramón Álvarez^{ID},
V. Rodríguez Bouza^{ID}, A. Soto Rodríguez^{ID}, A. Trapote^{ID}, C. Vico Villalba^{ID}, P. Vischia^{ID}

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

S. Bhowmik^{ID}, S. Blanco Fernández^{ID}, J.A. Brochero Cifuentes^{ID}, I.J. Cabrillo^{ID}, A. Calderon^{ID},
J. Duarte Campderros^{ID}, M. Fernandez^{ID}, G. Gomez^{ID}, C. Lasasa García^{ID}, R. Lopez Ruiz^{ID},
C. Martinez Rivero^{ID}, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol^{ID}, F. Matorras^{ID}, P. Matorras Cuevas^{ID},
E. Navarrete Ramos^{ID}, J. Piedra Gomez^{ID}, L. Scodellaro^{ID}, I. Vila^{ID}, J.M. Vizan Garcia^{ID}

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

B. Kailasapathy^{ID,56}, D.D.C. Wickramaratna^{ID}

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna^{ID,57}, K. Liyanage^{ID}, N. Perera^{ID}

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

D. Abbaneo^{ID}, C. Amendola^{ID}, E. Auffray^{ID}, G. Auzinger^{ID}, J. Baechler, D. Barney^{ID},
A. Bermúdez Martínez^{ID}, M. Bianco^{ID}, A.A. Bin Anuar^{ID}, A. Bocci^{ID}, L. Borgonovi^{ID}, C. Botta^{ID},
E. Brondolin^{ID}, C. Caillol^{ID}, G. Cerminara^{ID}, N. Chernyavskaya^{ID}, D. d'Enterria^{ID}, A. Dabrowski^{ID},

A. David^{ID}, A. De Roeck^{ID}, M.M. Defranchis^{ID}, M. Deile^{ID}, M. Dobson^{ID}, G. Franzoni^{ID}, W. Funk^{ID}, S. Giani^{ID}, D. Gigi^{ID}, K. Gill^{ID}, F. Glege^{ID}, J. Hegeman^{ID}, J.K. Heikkilä^{ID}, B. Huber^{ID}, V. Innocente^{ID}, T. James^{ID}, P. Janot^{ID}, O. Kaluzinska^{ID}, O. Karacheban^{ID},²⁷ S. Laurila^{ID}, P. Lecoq^{ID}, E. Leutgeb^{ID}, C. Lourenço^{ID}, L. Malgeri^{ID}, M. Mannelli^{ID}, M. Matthewman^{ID}, A. Mehta^{ID}, F. Meijers^{ID}, S. Mersi^{ID}, E. Meschi^{ID}, V. Milosevic^{ID}, F. Monti^{ID}, F. Moortgat^{ID}, M. Mulders^{ID}, I. Neutelings^{ID}, S. Orfanelli^{ID}, F. Pantaleo^{ID}, G. Petrucciani^{ID}, A. Pfeiffer^{ID}, M. Pierini^{ID}, H. Qu^{ID}, D. Rabadý^{ID}, B. Ribeiro Lopes^{ID}, M. Rovere^{ID}, H. Sakulin^{ID}, S. Sanchez Cruz^{ID}, S. Scarfi^{ID}, C. Schwick^{ID}, M. Selvaggi^{ID}, A. Sharma^{ID}, K. Shchelina^{ID}, P. Silva^{ID}, P. Sphicas^{ID},⁵⁸ A.G. Stahl Leitner^{ID}, A. Steen^{ID}, S. Summers^{ID}, D. Treille^{ID}, P. Tropea^{ID}, D. Walter^{ID}, J. Wanczyk^{ID},⁵⁹ J. Wang^{ID}, K.A. Wozniak^{ID},⁶⁰ S. Wuchterl^{ID}, P. Zehetner^{ID}, P. Zejdl^{ID}, W.D. Zeuner^{ID}

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

T. Bevilacqua^{ID},⁶¹ L. Caminada^{ID},⁶¹ A. Ebrahimi^{ID}, W. Erdmann^{ID}, R. Horisberger^{ID}, Q. Ingram^{ID}, H.C. Kaestli^{ID}, D. Kotlinski^{ID}, C. Lange^{ID}, M. Missiroli^{ID},⁶¹ L. Noehte^{ID},⁶¹ T. Rohe^{ID}

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad^{ID}, K. Androsov^{ID},⁵⁹ M. Backhaus^{ID}, G. Bonomelli^{ID}, A. Calandri^{ID}, C. Cazzaniga^{ID}, K. Datta^{ID}, P. De Bryas D'archiac^{ID},⁵⁹ A. De Cosa^{ID}, G. Dissertori^{ID}, M. Dittmar^{ID}, M. Donegà^{ID}, F. Eble^{ID}, M. Galli^{ID}, K. Gedia^{ID}, F. Glessgen^{ID}, C. Grab^{ID}, N. Härringer^{ID}, T.G. Harte^{ID}, D. Hits^{ID}, W. Lustermann^{ID}, A.-M. Lyon^{ID}, R.A. Manzoni^{ID}, M. Marchegiani^{ID}, L. Marchese^{ID}, C. Martin Perez^{ID}, A. Mascellani^{ID},⁵⁹ F. Nessi-Tedaldi^{ID}, F. Pauss^{ID}, V. Perovic^{ID}, S. Pigazzini^{ID}, B. Ristic^{ID}, F. Riti^{ID}, R. Seidita^{ID}, J. Steggemann^{ID},⁵⁹ A. Tarabini^{ID}, D. Valsecchi^{ID}, R. Wallny^{ID}

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler^{ID},⁶² P. Bärtschi^{ID}, M.F. Canelli^{ID}, K. Cormier^{ID}, M. Huwiler^{ID}, W. Jin^{ID}, A. Jofrehei^{ID}, B. Kilminster^{ID}, S. Leontsinis^{ID}, S.P. Liechi^{ID}, A. Macchiolo^{ID}, P. Meiring^{ID}, F. Meng^{ID}, U. Molinatti^{ID}, J. Motta^{ID}, A. Reimers^{ID}, P. Robmann^{ID}, M. Senger^{ID}, E. Shokr^{ID}, F. Stäger^{ID}, R. Tramontano^{ID}

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Adloff^{ID},⁶³ D. Bhowmik^{ID}, C.M. Kuo^{ID}, W. Lin^{ID}, P.K. Rout^{ID}, P.C. Tiwari^{ID},³⁷ S.S. Yu^{ID}

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

L. Ceard^{ID}, K.F. Chen^{ID}, P.s. Chen^{ID}, Z.g. Chen^{ID}, A. De Iorio^{ID}, W.-S. Hou^{ID}, T.h. Hsu^{ID}, Y.w. Kao^{ID}, S. Karmakar^{ID}, G. Kole^{ID}, Y.y. Li^{ID}, R.-S. Lu^{ID}, E. Paganis^{ID}, X.f. Su^{ID}, J. Thomas-Wilsker^{ID}, L.s. Tsai^{ID}, D. Tsionou^{ID}, H.y. Wu^{ID}, E. Yazgan^{ID}

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

C. Asawatangkuldee^{ID}, N. Srimanobhas^{ID}, V. Wachirapusanand^{ID}

High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

D. Agyel^{ID}, F. Boran^{ID}, F. Dolek^{ID}, I. Dumanoglu^{ID},⁶⁴ E. Eskut^{ID}, Y. Guler^{ID},⁶⁵ E. Gurpınar Guler^{ID},⁶⁵ C. Isik^{ID}, O. Kara^{ID}, A. Kayis Topaksu^{ID}, U. Kiminsu^{ID}, G. Onengut^{ID}, K. Ozdemir^{ID},⁶⁶ A. Polatoz^{ID}, B. Tali^{ID},⁶⁷ U.G. Tok^{ID}, S. Turkcapar^{ID}, E. Uslan^{ID}, I.S. Zorbakir^{ID}

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

G. Sokmen^{ID}, M. Yalvac^{ID},⁶⁸

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

B. Akgun^{ID}, I.O. Atakisi^{ID}, E. Gülmez^{ID}, M. Kaya^{ID},⁶⁹ O. Kaya^{ID},⁷⁰ S. Tekten^{ID},⁷¹

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir^{id}, K. Cankocak^{id,64,72}, G.G. Dincer^{id,64}, Y. Komurcu^{id}, S. Sen^{id,73}

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

O. Aydilek^{id,74}, B. Haciosahinoglu^{id}, I. Hos^{id,75}, B. Kaynak^{id}, S. Ozkorucuklu^{id}, O. Potok^{id}, H. Sert^{id},
C. Simsek^{id}, C. Zorbilmez^{id}

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

S. Cerci^{id,67}, B. Isildak^{id,76}, D. Sunar Cerci^{id}, T. Yetkin^{id}

Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Boyaryntsev^{id}, B. Grynyov^{id}

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine

L. Levchuk^{id}

National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine

D. Anthony^{id}, J.J. Brooke^{id}, A. Bundock^{id}, F. Bury^{id}, E. Clement^{id}, D. Cussans^{id}, H. Flacher^{id},
M. Glowacki, J. Goldstein^{id}, H.F. Heath^{id}, M.-L. Holmberg^{id}, L. Kreczko^{id}, S. Paramesvaran^{id},
L. Robertshaw, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith^{id}, N. Stylianou^{id,77}, K. Walkingshaw Pass

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

A.H. Ball, K.W. Bell^{id}, A. Belyaev^{id,78}, C. Brew^{id}, R.M. Brown^{id}, D.J.A. Cockerill^{id}, C. Cooke^{id}, A. Elliot^{id},
K.V. Ellis, K. Harder^{id}, S. Harper^{id}, J. Linacre^{id}, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold^{id}, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt^{id},
T. Reis^{id}, A.R. Sahasransu^{id}, G. Salvi^{id}, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous^{id}, I.R. Tomalin^{id},
K.C. Whalen^{id}, T. Williams^{id}

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

I. Andreou^{id}, R. Bainbridge^{id}, P. Bloch^{id}, C.E. Brown^{id}, O. Buchmuller, V. Cacchio, C.A. Carrillo Montoya^{id},
G.S. Chahal^{id,79}, D. Colling^{id}, J.S. Dancu, I. Das^{id}, P. Dauncey^{id}, G. Davies^{id}, J. Davies, M. Della Negra^{id},
S. Fayer, G. Fedi^{id}, G. Hall^{id}, M.H. Hassanshahi^{id}, A. Howard, G. Iles^{id}, C.R. Knight^{id}, J. Langford^{id},
J. León Holgado^{id}, L. Lyons^{id}, A.-M. Magnan^{id}, S. Mallios, M. Mieskolainen^{id}, J. Nash^{id,80}, M. Pesaresi^{id},
P.B. Pradeep, B.C. Radburn-Smith^{id}, A. Richards, A. Rose^{id}, K. Savva^{id}, C. Seez^{id}, R. Shukla^{id}, A. Tapper^{id},
K. Uchida^{id}, G.P. Uttley^{id}, L.H. Vage, T. Virdee^{id,29}, M. Vojinovic^{id}, N. Wardle^{id}, D. Winterbottom^{id}

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

K. Coldham, J.E. Cole^{id}, A. Khan, P. Kyberd^{id}, I.D. Reid^{id}

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

S. Abdullin^{id}, A. Brinkerhoff^{id}, E. Collins^{id}, M.R. Darwish^{id,81}, J. Dittmann^{id}, K. Hatakeyama^{id},
J. Hiltbrand^{id}, B. McMaster^{id}, J. Samudio^{id}, S. Sawant^{id}, C. Sutantawibul^{id}, J. Wilson^{id}

Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA

R. Bartek^{id}, A. Dominguez^{id}, C. Huerta Escamilla, A.E. Simsek^{id}, R. Uniyal^{id}, A.M. Vargas Hernandez^{id}

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

B. Bam^{id}, A. Buchot Perraguin^{id}, R. Chudasama^{id}, S.I. Cooper^{id}, C. Crovella^{id}, S.V. Gleyzer^{id}, E. Pearson,
C.U. Perez^{id}, P. Rumerio^{id,82}, E. Usai^{id}, R. Yi^{id}

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, USA

A. Akpinar^{ID}, C. Cosby^{ID}, G. De Castro, Z. Demiragli^{ID}, C. Erice^{ID}, C. Fangmeier^{ID}, C. Fernandez Madrazo^{ID}, E. Fontanesi^{ID}, D. Gastler^{ID}, F. Golf^{ID}, S. Jeon^{ID}, J. O'cain, I. Reed^{ID}, J. Rohlf^{ID}, K. Salyer^{ID}, D. Sperka^{ID}, D. Spitzbart^{ID}, I. Suarez^{ID}, A. Tsatsos^{ID}, A.G. Zecchinelli^{ID}

Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

G. Benelli^{ID}, D. Cutts^{ID}, L. Gouskos^{ID}, M. Hadley^{ID}, U. Heintz^{ID}, J.M. Hogan^{ID},⁸³ T. Kwon^{ID}, G. Landsberg^{ID}, K.T. Lau^{ID}, D. Li^{ID}, J. Luo^{ID}, S. Mondal^{ID}, N. Pervan^{ID}, T. Russell, S. Sagir^{ID},⁸⁴ X. Shen, F. Simpson^{ID}, M. Stamenkovic^{ID}, N. Venkatasubramanian, X. Yan^{ID}

Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

S. Abbott^{ID}, C. Brainerd^{ID}, R. Breedon^{ID}, H. Cai^{ID}, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez^{ID}, M. Chertok^{ID}, M. Citron^{ID}, J. Conway^{ID}, P.T. Cox^{ID}, R. Erbacher^{ID}, F. Jensen^{ID}, O. Kukral^{ID}, G. Mocellin^{ID}, M. Mulhearn^{ID}, S. Ostrom^{ID}, W. Wei^{ID}, S. Yoo^{ID}, F. Zhang^{ID}

University of California, Davis, Davis, CA, USA

M. Bachtis^{ID}, R. Cousins^{ID}, A. Datta^{ID}, G. Flores Avila^{ID}, J. Hauser^{ID}, M. Ignatenko^{ID}, M.A. Iqbal^{ID}, T. Lam^{ID}, E. Manca^{ID}, A. Nunez Del Prado, D. Saltzberg^{ID}, V. Valuev^{ID}

University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

R. Clare^{ID}, J.W. Gary^{ID}, M. Gordon, G. Hanson^{ID}, W. Si^{ID}

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA

A. Aportela, A. Arora^{ID}, J.G. Branson^{ID}, S. Cittolin^{ID}, S. Cooperstein^{ID}, D. Diaz^{ID}, J. Duarte^{ID}, L. Giannini^{ID}, Y. Gu, J. Guiang^{ID}, R. Kansal^{ID}, V. Krutelyov^{ID}, R. Lee^{ID}, J. Letts^{ID}, M. Masciovecchio^{ID}, F. Mokhtar^{ID}, S. Mukherjee^{ID}, M. Pieri^{ID}, M. Quinnan^{ID}, B.V. Sathia Narayanan^{ID}, V. Sharma^{ID}, M. Tadel^{ID}, E. Vourliotis^{ID}, F. Würthwein^{ID}, Y. Xiang^{ID}, A. Yagil^{ID}

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

A. Barzdukas^{ID}, L. Brennan^{ID}, C. Campagnari^{ID}, K. Downham^{ID}, C. Grieco^{ID}, J. Incandela^{ID}, J. Kim^{ID}, A.J. Li^{ID}, P. Masterson^{ID}, H. Mei^{ID}, J. Richman^{ID}, S.N. Santpur^{ID}, U. Sarica^{ID}, R. Schmitz^{ID}, F. Setti^{ID}, J. Sheplock^{ID}, D. Stuart^{ID}, T.Á. Vámi^{ID}, S. Wang^{ID}, D. Zhang

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

S. Bhattacharya^{ID}, A. Bornheim^{ID}, O. Cerri, A. Latorre, J. Mao^{ID}, H.B. Newman^{ID}, G. Reales Gutiérrez, M. Spiropulu^{ID}, J.R. Vlimant^{ID}, C. Wang^{ID}, S. Xie^{ID}, R.Y. Zhu^{ID}

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

J. Alison^{ID}, S. An^{ID}, P. Bryant^{ID}, M. Cremonesi, V. Dutta^{ID}, T. Ferguson^{ID}, T.A. Gómez Espinosa^{ID}, A. Harilal^{ID}, A. Kallil Tharayil, C. Liu^{ID}, T. Mudholkar^{ID}, S. Murthy^{ID}, P. Palit^{ID}, K. Park, M. Paulini^{ID}, A. Roberts^{ID}, A. Sanchez^{ID}, W. Terrill^{ID}

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

J.P. Cumalat^{ID}, W.T. Ford^{ID}, A. Hart^{ID}, A. Hassani^{ID}, G. Karathanasis^{ID}, N. Manganelli^{ID}, J. Pearkes^{ID}, C. Savard^{ID}, N. Schonbeck^{ID}, K. Stenson^{ID}, K.A. Ulmer^{ID}, S.R. Wagner^{ID}, N. Zipper^{ID}, D. Zuolo^{ID}

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

J. Alexander^{ID}, S. Bright-Thonney^{ID}, X. Chen^{ID}, D.J. Cranshaw^{ID}, J. Fan^{ID}, X. Fan^{ID}, S. Hogan^{ID}, P. Kotamnives, J. Monroy^{ID}, M. Oshiro^{ID}, J.R. Patterson^{ID}, M. Reid^{ID}, A. Ryd^{ID}, J. Thom^{ID}, P. Wittich^{ID}, R. Zou^{ID}

Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

M. Albrow^{ID}, M. Alyari^{ID}, O. Amram^{ID}, G. Apollinari^{ID}, A. Apresyan^{ID}, L.A.T. Bauerdick^{ID}, D. Berry^{ID}, J. Berryhill^{ID}, P.C. Bhat^{ID}, K. Burkett^{ID}, J.N. Butler^{ID}, A. Canepa^{ID}, G.B. Cerati^{ID}, H.W.K. Cheung^{ID}, F. Chlebana^{ID}, G. Cummings^{ID}, J. Dickinson^{ID}, I. Dutta^{ID}, V.D. Elvira^{ID}, Y. Feng^{ID}, J. Freeman^{ID}, A. Gandrakota^{ID}, Z. Gecse^{ID}, L. Gray^{ID}, D. Green, A. Grummer^{ID}, S. Grünendahl^{ID}, D. Guerrero^{ID}, O. Gutsche^{ID}, R.M. Harris^{ID}, R. Heller^{ID}, T.C. Herwig^{ID}, J. Hirschauer^{ID}, B. Jayatilaka^{ID}, S. Jindariani^{ID}, M. Johnson^{ID}, U. Joshi^{ID}, T. Klijnsma^{ID}, B. Klima^{ID}, K.H.M. Kwok^{ID}, S. Lammel^{ID}, D. Lincoln^{ID}, R. Lipton^{ID}, T. Liu^{ID}, C. Madrid^{ID}, K. Maeshima^{ID}, C. Mantilla^{ID}, D. Mason^{ID}, P. McBride^{ID}, P. Merkel^{ID}, S. Mrenna^{ID}, S. Nahn^{ID}, J. Ngadiuba^{ID}, D. Noonan^{ID}, S. Norberg, V. Papadimitriou^{ID}, N. Pastika^{ID}, K. Pedro^{ID}, C. Pena^{ID},⁸⁵ F. Ravera^{ID}, A. Reinsvold Hall^{ID},⁸⁶ L. Ristori^{ID}, M. Safdari^{ID}, E. Sexton-Kennedy^{ID}, N. Smith^{ID}, A. Soha^{ID}, L. Spiegel^{ID}, S. Stoynev^{ID}, J. Strait^{ID}, L. Taylor^{ID}, S. Tkaczyk^{ID}, N.V. Tran^{ID}, L. Uplegger^{ID}, E.W. Vaandering^{ID}, I. Zoi^{ID}

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, USA

C. Aruta^{ID}, P. Avery^{ID}, D. Bourilkov^{ID}, P. Chang^{ID}, V. Cherepanov^{ID}, R.D. Field, C. Huh^{ID}, E. Koenig^{ID}, M. Kolosova^{ID}, J. Konigsberg^{ID}, A. Korytov^{ID}, K. Matchev^{ID}, N. Menendez^{ID}, G. Mitselmakher^{ID}, K. Mohrman^{ID}, A. Muthirakalayil Madhu^{ID}, N. Rawal^{ID}, S. Rosenzweig^{ID}, Y. Takahashi^{ID}, J. Wang^{ID}

University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

T. Adams^{ID}, A. Al Kadhimi^{ID}, A. Askew^{ID}, S. Bower^{ID}, V. Hagopian^{ID}, R. Hashmi^{ID}, R.S. Kim^{ID}, S. Kim^{ID}, T. Kolberg^{ID}, G. Martinez, H. Prosper^{ID}, P.R. Prova, M. Wulansatiti^{ID}, R. Yohay^{ID}, J. Zhang

Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA

B. Alsufyani, M.M. Baarmand^{ID}, S. Butalla^{ID}, S. Das^{ID}, T. Elkafrawy^{ID},⁸⁷ M. Hohlmann^{ID}, E. Yanes

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL, USA

M.R. Adams^{ID}, A. Baty^{ID}, C. Bennett, R. Cavanaugh^{ID}, R. Escobar Franco^{ID}, O. Evdokimov^{ID}, C.E. Gerber^{ID}, M. Hawksworth, A. Hingrajiya, D.J. Hofman^{ID}, J.h. Lee^{ID}, D.S. Lemos^{ID}, A.H. Merit^{ID}, C. Mills^{ID}, S. Nanda^{ID}, G. Oh^{ID}, B. Ozek^{ID}, D. Pilipovic^{ID}, R. Pradhan^{ID}, E. Prifti, T. Roy^{ID}, S. Rudrabhatla^{ID}, M.B. Tonjes^{ID}, N. Varelas^{ID}, M.A. Wadud^{ID}, Z. Ye^{ID}, J. Yoo^{ID}

University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

M. Alhusseini^{ID}, D. Blend, K. Dilsiz^{ID},⁸⁸ L. Emediato^{ID}, G. Karaman^{ID}, O.K. Köseyan^{ID}, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili^{ID},⁸⁹ O. Neogi, H. Ogul^{ID},⁹⁰ Y. Onel^{ID}, A. Penzo^{ID}, C. Snyder, E. Tiras^{ID},⁹¹

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

B. Blumenfeld^{ID}, L. Corcodilos^{ID}, J. Davis^{ID}, A.V. Gritsan^{ID}, L. Kang^{ID}, S. Kyriacou^{ID}, P. Maksimovic^{ID}, M. Roguljic^{ID}, J. Roskes^{ID}, S. Sekhar^{ID}, M. Swartz^{ID}

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

A. Abreu^{ID}, L.F. Alcerro Alcerro^{ID}, J. Anguiano^{ID}, S. Arteaga Escatel^{ID}, P. Baringer^{ID}, A. Bean^{ID}, Z. Flowers^{ID}, D. Grove^{ID}, J. King^{ID}, G. Krintiras^{ID}, M. Lazarovits^{ID}, C. Le Mahieu^{ID}, J. Marquez^{ID}, M. Murray^{ID}, M. Nickel^{ID}, M. Pitt^{ID}, S. Popescu^{ID},⁹² C. Rogan^{ID}, C. Royon^{ID}, R. Salvatico^{ID}, S. Sanders^{ID}, C. Smith^{ID}, G. Wilson^{ID}

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA

B. Allmond^{ID}, R. Gujju Gurunadha^{ID}, A. Ivanov^{ID}, K. Kaadze^{ID}, Y. Maravin^{ID}, J. Natoli^{ID}, D. Roy^{ID}, G. Sorrentino^{ID}

Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

A. Baden^{ID}, A. Belloni^{ID}, J. Bistany-riebman, Y.M. Chen^{ID}, S.C. Eno^{ID}, N.J. Hadley^{ID}, S. Jabeen^{ID},
 R.G. Kellogg^{ID}, T. Koeth^{ID}, B. Kronheim, Y. Lai^{ID}, S. Lascio^{ID}, A.C. Mignerey^{ID}, S. Nabili^{ID}, C. Palmer^{ID},
 C. Papageorgakis^{ID}, M.M. Paranjpe, E. Popova^{ID},⁹³ A. Shevelev^{ID}, L. Wang^{ID}

University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

J. Bendavid^{ID}, I.A. Cali^{ID}, P.c. Chou^{ID}, M. D'Alfonso^{ID}, J. Eysermans^{ID}, C. Freer^{ID}, G. Gomez-Ceballos^{ID},
 M. Goncharov, G. Grosso, P. Harris, D. Hoang, D. Kovalskyi^{ID}, J. Krupa^{ID}, L. Lavezzo^{ID}, Y.-J. Lee^{ID},
 K. Long^{ID}, C. McGinn, A. Novak^{ID}, C. Paus^{ID}, C. Reissel^{ID}, C. Roland^{ID}, G. Roland^{ID}, S. Rothman^{ID},
 G.S.F. Stephans^{ID}, Z. Wang^{ID}, B. Wyslouch^{ID}, T.J. Yang^{ID}

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

B. Crossman^{ID}, B.M. Joshi^{ID}, C. Kapsiak^{ID}, M. Krohn^{ID}, D. Mahon^{ID}, J. Mans^{ID}, B. Marzocchi^{ID},
 M. Revering^{ID}, R. Rusack^{ID}, R. Saradhy^{ID}, N. Strobbe^{ID}

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

K. Bloom^{ID}, D.R. Claes^{ID}, G. Haza^{ID}, J. Hossain^{ID}, C. Joo^{ID}, I. Kravchenko^{ID}, J.E. Siado^{ID}, W. Tabb^{ID},
 A. Vagnerini^{ID}, A. Wightman^{ID}, F. Yan^{ID}, D. Yu^{ID}

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA

H. Bandyopadhyay^{ID}, L. Hay^{ID}, H.w. Hsia, I. Iashvili^{ID}, A. Kalogeropoulos^{ID}, A. Kharchilava^{ID}, M. Morris^{ID},
 D. Nguyen^{ID}, J. Pekkanen^{ID}, S. Rappoccio^{ID}, H. Rejeb Sfar, A. Williams^{ID}, P. Young^{ID}

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

G. Alverson^{ID}, E. Barberis^{ID}, J. Bonilla^{ID}, M. Campana^{ID}, J. Dervan, Y. Haddad^{ID}, Y. Han^{ID}, A. Krishna^{ID},
 J. Li^{ID}, M. Lu^{ID}, G. Madigan^{ID}, R. McCarthy^{ID}, D.M. Morse^{ID}, V. Nguyen^{ID}, T. Orimoto^{ID}, A. Parker^{ID},
 L. Skinnari^{ID}, D. Wood^{ID}

Northeastern University, Boston, MA, USA

J. Bueghly, S. Dittmer^{ID}, K.A. Hahn^{ID}, Y. Liu^{ID}, Y. Miao^{ID}, D.G. Monk^{ID}, M.H. Schmitt^{ID}, A. Taliencio^{ID},
 M. Velasco

Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA

G. Agarwal^{ID}, R. Band^{ID}, R. Bucci, S. Castells^{ID}, A. Das^{ID}, R. Goldouzian^{ID}, M. Hildreth^{ID}, K.W. Ho^{ID},
 K. Hurtado Anampa^{ID}, T. Ivanov^{ID}, C. Jessop^{ID}, K. Lannon^{ID}, J. Lawrence^{ID}, N. Loukas^{ID}, L. Lutton^{ID},
 J. Mariano, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, T. McCauley^{ID}, C. Mcgrady^{ID}, C. Moore^{ID}, Y. Musienko^{ID},¹⁶
 H. Nelson^{ID}, M. Osherson^{ID}, A. Piccinelli^{ID}, R. Ruchti^{ID}, A. Townsend^{ID}, Y. Wan, M. Wayne^{ID}, H. Yockey,
 M. Zarucki^{ID}, L. Zygala^{ID}

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA

A. Basnet^{ID}, B. Bylsma, M. Carrigan^{ID}, L.S. Durkin^{ID}, C. Hill^{ID}, M. Joyce^{ID}, M. Nunez Ornelas^{ID}, K. Wei,
 B.L. Winer^{ID}, B.R. Yates^{ID}

The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

H. Bouchamaoui^{ID}, P. Das^{ID}, G. Dezoort^{ID}, P. Elmer^{ID}, A. Frankenthal^{ID}, B. Greenberg^{ID}, N. Haubrich^{ID},
 K. Kennedy, G. Kopp^{ID}, S. Kwan^{ID}, D. Lange^{ID}, A. Loeliger^{ID}, D. Marlow^{ID}, I. Ojalvo^{ID}, J. Olsen^{ID},
 D. Stickland^{ID}, C. Tully^{ID}

Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

S. Malik^{ID}

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, PR, USA

A.S. Bakshi^{ID}, S. Chandra^{ID}, R. Chawla^{ID}, A. Gu^{ID}, L. Gutay, M. Jones^{ID}, A.W. Jung^{ID}, A.M. Koshy, M. Liu^{ID}, G. Negro^{ID}, N. Neumeister^{ID}, G. Paspalaki^{ID}, S. Piperov^{ID}, V. Scheurer, J.F. Schulte^{ID}, M. Stojanovic^{ID}, J. Thieman^{ID}, A.K. Viridi^{ID}, F. Wang^{ID}, A. Wildridge^{ID}, W. Xie^{ID}, Y. Yao^{ID}

Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

J. Dolen^{ID}, N. Parashar^{ID}, A. Pathak^{ID}

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, IN, USA

D. Acosta^{ID}, T. Carnahan^{ID}, K.M. Ecklund^{ID}, P.J. Fernández Manteca^{ID}, S. Freed, P. Gardner, F.J.M. Geurts^{ID}, I. Krommydas^{ID}, W. Li^{ID}, J. Lin^{ID}, O. Miguel Colin^{ID}, B.P. Padley^{ID}, R. Redjimi, J. Rotter^{ID}, E. Yigitbasi^{ID}, Y. Zhang^{ID}

Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

A. Bodek^{ID}, P. de Barbaro^{ID}, R. Demina^{ID}, J.L. Dulemba^{ID}, A. Garcia-Bellido^{ID}, O. Hindrichs^{ID}, A. Khukhunaishvili^{ID}, N. Parmar, P. Parygin^{ID,93}, R. Taus^{ID}

University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou^{ID}, S.V. Clark^{ID}, D. Gadkari^{ID}, Y. Gershtein^{ID}, E. Halkiadakis^{ID}, M. Heindl^{ID}, C. Houghton^{ID}, D. Jaroslawski^{ID}, S. Konstantinou^{ID}, I. Laflotte^{ID}, A. Lath^{ID}, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, J. Reichert^{ID}, H. Routray^{ID}, P. Saha^{ID}, S. Salur^{ID}, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar^{ID}, R. Stone^{ID}, S.A. Thayil^{ID}, S. Thomas, J. Vora^{ID}, H. Wang^{ID}

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ, USA

D. Ally^{ID}, A.G. Delannoy^{ID}, S. Fiorendi^{ID}, S. Higginbotham^{ID}, T. Holmes^{ID}, A.R. Kanuganti^{ID}, N. Karunarathna^{ID}, L. Lee^{ID}, E. Nibigira^{ID}, S. Spanier^{ID}

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

D. Aebi^{ID}, M. Ahmad^{ID}, T. Akhter^{ID}, O. Bouhali^{ID,94}, R. Eusebi^{ID}, J. Gilmore^{ID}, T. Huang^{ID}, T. Kamon^{ID,95}, H. Kim^{ID}, S. Luo^{ID}, R. Mueller^{ID}, D. Overton^{ID}, D. Rathjens^{ID}, A. Safonov^{ID}

Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

N. Akchurin^{ID}, J. Damgov^{ID}, N. Gogate^{ID}, V. Hegde^{ID}, A. Hussain^{ID}, Y. Kazhykarim, K. Lamichhane^{ID}, S.W. Lee^{ID}, A. Mankel^{ID}, T. Peltola^{ID}, I. Volobouev^{ID}

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA

E. Appelt^{ID}, Y. Chen^{ID}, S. Greene, A. Gurrola^{ID}, W. Johns^{ID}, R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli^{ID}, A. Melo^{ID}, F. Romeo^{ID}, P. Sheldon^{ID}, S. Tuo^{ID}, J. Velkovska^{ID}, J. Viinikainen^{ID}

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

B. Cardwell^{ID}, H. Chung, B. Cox^{ID}, J. Hakala^{ID}, R. Hirosky^{ID}, A. Ledovsky^{ID}, C. Neu^{ID}

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

S. Bhattacharya^{ID}, P.E. Karchin^{ID}

Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA

A. Aravind, S. Banerjee^{ID}, K. Black^{ID}, T. Bose^{ID}, S. Dasu^{ID}, I. De Bruyn^{ID}, P. Everaerts^{ID}, C. Galloni, H. He^{ID}, M. Herndon^{ID}, A. Herve^{ID}, C.K. Koraka^{ID}, A. Lanaro, R. Loveless^{ID}, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala^{ID}, A. Mallampalli^{ID}, A. Mohammadi^{ID}, S. Mondal, G. Parida^{ID}, L. Pétré^{ID}, D. Pinna, A. Savin, V. Shang^{ID}, V. Sharma^{ID}, W.H. Smith^{ID}, D. Teague, H.F. Tsoi^{ID}, W. Vetens^{ID}, A. Warden^{ID}

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA

S. Afanasiev^{1b}, V. Alexakhin^{1b}, V. Andreev^{1b}, Yu. Andreev^{1b}, T. Aushev^{1b}, M. Azarkin^{1b}, A. Babaev^{1b}, V. Blinov⁹⁶, E. Boos^{1b}, V. Borshch^{1b}, D. Budkouski^{1b}, V. Bunichev^{1b}, M. Chadeeva^{1b,96}, V. Chekhovsky, R. Chistov^{1b,96}, A. Dermenev^{1b}, T. Dimova^{1b,96}, D. Druzhkin^{1b,97}, M. Dubinin^{1b,85}, L. Dudko^{1b}, G. Gavrillov^{1b}, V. Gavrillov^{1b}, S. Gninenko^{1b}, V. Golovtsov^{1b}, N. Golubev^{1b}, I. Golutvin^{1b,†}, I. Gorbunov^{1b}, A. Gribushin^{1b}, Y. Ivanov^{1b}, V. Kachanov^{1b}, V. Karjavine^{1b}, A. Karneyeu^{1b}, V. Kim^{1b,96}, M. Kirakosyan, D. Kirpichnikov^{1b}, M. Kirsanov^{1b}, V. Klyukhin^{1b}, O. Kodolova^{1b,98}, D. Konstantinov^{1b}, V. Korenkov^{1b}, A. Kozyrev^{1b,96}, N. Krasnikov^{1b}, A. Lanev^{1b}, P. Levchenko^{1b,99}, O. Lukina^{1b}, N. Lychkovskaya^{1b}, V. Makarenko^{1b}, A. Malakhov^{1b}, V. Matveev^{1b,96}, V. Murzin^{1b}, A. Nikitenko^{1b,100,98}, S. Obraztsov^{1b}, V. Oreshkin^{1b}, V. Palichik^{1b}, V. Perelygin^{1b}, M. Perfilov, S. Polikarpov^{1b,96}, V. Popov^{1b}, O. Radchenko^{1b,96}, M. Savina^{1b}, V. Savrin^{1b}, V. Shalaev^{1b}, S. Shmatov^{1b}, S. Shulha^{1b}, Y. Skovpen^{1b,96}, S. Slabospitskii^{1b}, V. Smirnov^{1b}, A. Snigirev^{1b}, D. Sosnov^{1b}, V. Sulimov^{1b}, A. Terkulov^{1b}, O. Teryaev^{1b}, I. Tlisova^{1b}, A. Toropin^{1b}, L. Uvarov^{1b}, A. Uzunian^{1b}, A. Vorobyev[†], N. Voytishin^{1b}, B.S. Yuldashev¹⁰¹, A. Zarubin^{1b}, I. Zhizhin^{1b}, A. Zhokin^{1b}

Authors affiliated with an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN

[†] Deceased.

¹ Also at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia.

² Also at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria.

³ Also at Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.

⁴ Also at Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

⁵ Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

⁶ Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

⁷ Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil.

⁸ Also at Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China.

⁹ Now at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA.

¹⁰ Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

¹¹ Also at China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China.

¹² Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

¹³ Also at China Spallation Neutron Source, Guangdong, China.

¹⁴ Now at Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China.

¹⁵ Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.

¹⁶ Also at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.

¹⁷ Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt.

¹⁸ Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

¹⁹ Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.

²⁰ Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

²¹ Also at Istinye University, Istanbul, Turkey.

²² Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

²³ Also at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil.

²⁴ Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.

²⁵ Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.

²⁶ Also at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany.

²⁷ Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.

²⁸ Also at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany.

²⁹ Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.

³⁰ Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.

³¹ Now at Universitatea Babes-Bolyai - Facultatea de Fizica, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

³² Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.

³³ Also at HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.

³⁴ Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.

³⁵ Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.

³⁶ Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.

³⁷ Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India.

³⁸ Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.

³⁹ Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.

⁴⁰ Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.

⁴¹ Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany.

⁴² Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

⁴³ Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.

⁴⁴ Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran.

⁴⁵ Also at Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

⁴⁶ Also at Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Arak University, ARAK, Iran.

⁴⁷ Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.

⁴⁸ Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy.

⁴⁹ Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy.

⁵⁰ Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy.

⁵¹ Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy.

- ⁵² Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA.
- ⁵³ Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy.
- ⁵⁴ Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia.
- ⁵⁵ Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico.
- ⁵⁶ Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka.
- ⁵⁷ Also at Saegis Campus, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka.
- ⁵⁸ Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ⁵⁹ Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- ⁶⁰ Also at University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.
- ⁶¹ Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- ⁶² Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.
- ⁶³ Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France.
- ⁶⁴ Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey.
- ⁶⁵ Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey.
- ⁶⁶ Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey.
- ⁶⁷ Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ⁶⁸ Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey.
- ⁶⁹ Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷⁰ Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷¹ Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ⁷² Now at Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷³ Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ⁷⁴ Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- ⁷⁵ Also at Istanbul University - Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷⁶ Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷⁷ Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium.
- ⁷⁸ Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ⁷⁹ Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.
- ⁸⁰ Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- ⁸¹ Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt.
- ⁸² Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy.
- ⁸³ Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- ⁸⁴ Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- ⁸⁵ Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.
- ⁸⁶ Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA.
- ⁸⁷ Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ⁸⁸ Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
- ⁸⁹ Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ⁹⁰ Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- ⁹¹ Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey.
- ⁹² Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania.
- ⁹³ Now at an institute or an international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ⁹⁴ Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ⁹⁵ Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.
- ⁹⁶ Also at another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.
- ⁹⁷ Also at Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium.
- ⁹⁸ Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia.
- ⁹⁹ Also at Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
- ¹⁰⁰ Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.
- ¹⁰¹ Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.