

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker

Angewandte Chemie

GDCh

International Edition

www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: Single-Step Synthesis of Dimethyl Ether from Syngas over Nanoparticle-Derived Bifunctional Pd/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ Catalysts

Authors: Bing Wang, Zairan Yu, Shuang Chen, Nicola Da Roit, Dieter Schild, Michael Zimmermann, Yuemin Wang, and Silke Behrens

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2025**, e202423273

Link to VoR: <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202423273>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Single-Step Synthesis of Dimethyl Ether from Syngas over Nanoparticle-Derived Bifunctional Pd/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ Catalysts

Bing Wang,^[a] Zairan Yu,^[b] Shuang Chen,^[b] Nicola Da Roit,^[a] Dieter Schild,^[c] Michael Zimmermann,^[a] Yuemin Wang,^{[b],*} Silke Behrens^{[a],*}

[a] Dr. B. Wang, N. Da Roit, Dr. M. Zimmermann, Prof. Dr. S. Behrens
Institute of Catalysis Research and Technology (IKFT)
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)
Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen
E-mail: silke.behrens@kit.edu

[b] Z. Yu, Dr. S. Chen, Dr. Y. Wang
Institute of Functional Interfaces (IFG)
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)
Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen
E-mail: yuemin.wang@kit.edu

[c] Dr. D. Schild
Institute for Nuclear Waste Disposal (INE),
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)
Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen
Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: The single-step syngas-to-dimethyl ether (STD) process offers both economic and technical advantages over the current two-step industrial process that utilizes Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalysts. Here, we report a highly active bifunctional Pd/CeO₂/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst, in which Pd colloids dispersed in a nanoscale CeO₂ matrix serve as the key building block for the methanol-active component, efficiently catalysing the STD reaction. For Pd/CeO₂/γ-Al₂O₃ with a high Pd-CeO₂ interface concentration, CO conversion and dimethyl ether yield are significantly increased compared to Pd supported on Al₂O₃. Systematic investigations using spectroscopic and microscopic techniques reveal the formation of highly dispersed palladium clusters/particles confined within the nanoparticulate CeO₂ matrix. The superior catalytic performance in both activity and stability observed for the Pd/CeO₂/γ-Al₂O₃ in the STD reaction stems from the active cationic palladium interfacial species and the presence of adjacent O vacancies.

Introduction

The single-step syngas-to-dimethyl ether (STD) synthesis on bifunctional catalysts is of great interest for the valorization of CO₂ and syngas from biomass gasification. The economics of dimethyl ether (DME) (traditionally based on fuel use) are attracting increasing interest, in parallel with the development of various pathways for its conversion to hydrocarbons (such as olefins, oxygenates, aromatics, and liquefied petroleum gas) and H₂ production.^[1] DME is currently produced from syngas (CO/H₂) in a two-step process using Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalysts for methanol synthesis and solid acids (e.g., γ-Al₂O₃ or zeolites) for its dehydration. By taking advantage of the favorable thermodynamics, the single-step STD process leads to higher syngas conversions with economic and technical benefits (e.g., cost savings due to process simplification).^[2] The direct

conversion of syngas to DME has recently been advanced by BASF and Linde in a joint effort with a technology that is applicable to medium to large scale DME production and can be operated with a flexible syngas feedstock. The overall STD reaction can be described by equation (1) (for possible reaction pathways see also Figure S10):



The STD process requires catalysts that combine high methanol synthesis and dehydration activity, e.g., by physically combining the methanol and dehydration catalysts^[3] or by designing specific bifunctional catalysts by impregnation,^[4] coprecipitation,^[4a, 5] or sol-gel processes.^[6] Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalysts have been the focus of most studies to date, but despite their high activity and selectivity in DME synthesis, they often suffer from deactivation.^[7] Recently, Pd-based catalysts have emerged as an interesting alternative with high selectivity and good thermal stability. For example, Pd/Zn- and Pd/Ga-based nanoparticles (NPs) have been used as precursors for the preparation of bifunctional PdZn/ZnO/γ-Al₂O₃ or Pd₂Ga/Ga₂O₃/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts, respectively, for the STD process.^[8] The active Pd₂Ga or PdZn intermetallic phase for methanol hydrogenation in these catalysts is formed during reductive catalyst pre-treatment. Pd has also been shown to exhibit synergistic effects in methanol synthesis when combined with various metal oxides such as In₂O₃, Ga₂O₃, ZrO₂, La₂O₃, or CeO₂. CeO₂ is widely used in heterogeneous catalysis as a catalyst or support, due to its unique redox properties and extremely high oxygen storage capacity (OSC).^[9] CeO₂-supported Pd catalysts were highly active in CO^[10] or CO₂^[11] hydrogenation to methanol. CeO₂ was also shown to promote the STD reaction in Pd/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts.^[10d] The preparation route played a crucial role, with higher reaction rates observed for Pd/CeO₂ catalysts prepared by decomposition-

RESEARCH ARTICLE

precipitation compared to conventional impregnation.^[12] The combination of Pd/CeO₂ with solid acids in bifunctional catalysts seems to be very promising.^[13] However, achieving superior performance in the single-step synthesis of DME using Pd/CeO₂-based catalysts remains a significant challenge, and there is still a lack of profound insight into the nature of the active sites.

Herein, we report the synthesis of novel bifunctional catalysts based on Pd/CeO₂/γ-Al₂O₃ with significantly improved reaction rates and DME yields in the STD reaction. The catalysts are prepared using the 'precursor' concept, where colloidal Pd nanoparticles in a CeO₂ matrix are used as building blocks for the methanol active component and are successively deposited on the γ-Al₂O₃ dehydration catalyst. We show that the reaction rates are highly dependent on the preparation route. Catalysts obtained *via* colloidal routes resulted in a high concentration of Pd/CeO₂ interfacial sites and high reaction rates, while for Pd deposited on commercial ceria the reaction rates were much lower. The catalytic performance was evaluated in a fixed bed reactor at 50 bar using simulated, biomass-derived syngas (inert gases: CO: H₂ = 7:1.5:1.5).

Results and discussion

The bifunctional STD catalysts were prepared using the 'precursor' concept, where colloidal NPs are synthesized in solution in a first step and then deposited as methanol active component on the γ-Al₂O₃ dehydration catalyst (**Figure 1**). Pd NPs embedded in a nanoparticulate CeO₂ matrix (i.e., Pd/CeO₂ NPs) were synthesized, in addition to the Pd and CeO₂ NPs prepared for the respective reference catalysts (see **Supporting Information** (SI)). The Pd and CeO₂ NPs were obtained by thermolysis of palladium (II) or cerium (III) acetylacetonate, respectively, in oleyl amine (OLAM) in the presence of trioctylphosphine (TOP) ligands, as previously described by us for Pd NPs.^[14] The Pd/CeO₂ and Pd/ZrO₂ NPs were synthesized by a similar one-pot procedure *via* the co-decomposition of the respective palladium (II) and cerium (III) or zirconium (IV) precursors, resulting in the formation of Pd NPs dispersed in a nanoparticulate CeO₂ or ZrO₂ matrix, respectively. Similarly, Cu/CeO₂ NPs were prepared by co-decomposing copper (II) and cerium (III) precursors.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the Pd NPs (**Figure 2 a**) revealed small, uniform and spherical NPs with an average particle size of 5.5 ± 0.7 nm, while the CeO₂ NPs (**Figure 2 b**) had an average size of 13.9 ± 3 nm. For the Pd/CeO₂ NPs (**Figure 2 c**), spherical Pd particles with a mean size of 5.3 ± 0.9 nm were dispersed in the nanoparticulate CeO₂ matrix. Similarly, the Pd/ZrO₂-NPs (**Figure S1 a, b**) showed spherical Pd NPs (3.7 ± 0.7 nm) dispersed in a nanoparticulate ZrO₂ matrix. For Cu/CeO₂ NPs (**Figure S2 a**), Cu NPs could not be distinguished from nanoparticulate CeO₂. The powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern (**Figure 2 d**) of the Pd and CeO₂ NPs revealed broad reflections of low intensity assigned to the face-centered cubic (fcc) Pd phase (ICDD 00-046-1043) and the fcc CeO₂ phase (ICDD 00-034-0394), respectively. As for Pd/CeO₂ NPs, both the reflections of the fcc Pd phase and the fcc CeO₂ phase were detected, with no reflections remaining unassigned. Similarly, the reflections of metallic Pd and ZrO₂ were also detected for Pd/ZrO₂ NPs (**Figure S1 c**). For Cu/CeO₂ NPs (**Figure S2 b**), sharp reflections of metallic Cu (ICDD 01-085-1326) were observed indicating larger crystallite sizes.

In a next step, the bifunctional STD model catalysts were synthesized by incipient wetness impregnation where the NPs were deposited on the γ-Al₂O₃ dehydration catalyst (see SI). The Pd/CeO₂ NPs were used to prepare the bifunctional PdCe(8)-A catalyst, along with the reference catalysts Pd(8)-A and Ce(24)-A using only Pd or CeO₂ NPs, respectively. In addition, the Pd NPs were supported on commercial CeO₂ and then added to the γ-Al₂O₃ dehydration catalyst to generate the PdCe(8)-A_(C) reference catalyst. The PdZr(7)-A and CuCe(6)-A catalysts were prepared from Pd/ZrO₂ and Cu/CeO₂ NPs in a similar manner to PdCe(8)-A. All bifunctional catalysts were calcined at 350 °C to remove any organic residues from the NP synthesis. The Pd loading of the bifunctional catalysts ranged from 5.6 to 8.4 wt.-% (**Table S2**) with a homogeneous distribution of Pd on γ-Al₂O₃ (**Figure S3**). As expected, all catalysts except PdZr(7)-A showed lower surface areas compared to γ-Al₂O₃, with the smallest surface areas for catalysts prepared using Pd NPs and commercial ceria (PdCe(8)-A_(C)).




Figure 1. Bifunctional Pd/CeO₂/Al₂O₃ catalysts have been prepared using the 'precursor' concept where colloidal the NPs are used as building blocks for the methanol active component. The highly dispersed and spatially confined small Pd NPs, together with their strong electronic interaction with the nanoparticulate CeO₂ matrix, lead to a superior performance in terms of activity, DME selectivity, and stability for the STD reaction.

RESEARCH ARTICLE




Figure 2. TEM images with size histograms of (a) Pd NPs, (b) CeO₂ NPs, and (c) Pd/CeO₂ NPs. (d) XRD patterns of the nanoparticles isolated as a powder after NP synthesis. HAADF-STEM images with EDS elemental mapping of the calcined (e) Pd(8)-A, (f, g) PdCe(8)-A_(C) and (h, i) PdCe(8)-A catalysts (red: Pd; green: Ce; blue: Al).




Figure 3. XRD patterns of (a) the spent STD catalysts after the catalytic tests with magnification for Bragg angles of 30 to 60° (2θ). (b) Analysis of the activation process of PdCe(8)-A catalysts in H₂ atmosphere (2 vol.-% in N₂) by in situ XRD (XRD patterns were recorded every 30 °C for temperatures of 40–250 °C and every 10 °C for temperatures of 250–350 °C).

High-angle annular dark-field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) images with elemental mapping show the NPs (PdO after calcination) in close contact with the nanoparticulate CeO₂ matrix, both homogeneously distributed on γ -Al₂O₃ for the calcined PdCe(8)-A catalyst (**Figure 2** h, i). The HAADF-STEM images confirmed that no large PdO particles were detected for the calcined catalyst, although a few larger Pd particles were observed for the spent catalyst after 40 h of time-on-stream (TOS), which were mainly located on the γ -Al₂O₃ (**Figure S4**). Importantly, the small Pd NPs were mostly preserved in close contact with the nanoparticulate CeO₂ matrix (**Figure S4** c). However, for the calcined PdCe(8)-A_(C) (**Figure 2** f, g) reference catalyst, the PdO NPs appeared to be mainly distributed over the γ -Al₂O₃

dehydration catalyst, similar as Pd(8)-A (**Figure 2** e), while only a few were detected on the large commercial CeO₂ particles. In contrast to PdCe(8)-A, both the PdCe(8)-A_(C) and Pd(8)-A reference catalysts as well as PdZr(7)-A also showed more pronounced sintering behavior (**Figure S4** a, b and **Figure S5**).

The corresponding XRD analysis of the calcined Pd(8)-A, PdCe(8)-A and PdCe(8)-A_(C) catalysts revealed the reflections of PdO (ICDD 00-041-1107) and γ -Al₂O₃ (ICDD 00-010-0425) (**Figure S6** a). In addition, consistent with the HAADF-STEM observations, the PdCe(8)-A catalyst also showed broad, low-intensity reflections of the fcc CeO₂ phase, characteristic of small ceria crystallites. In pronounced contrast, for the PdCe(8)-A_(C) reference catalyst based on commercial CeO₂, the reflections of the CeO₂ phase were much sharper and of higher intensity due to the larger crystallite sizes (for XRD patterns of PdZr(7)-A and CuCe(6)-A, see **Figures S7** and **Figure S8**). After the catalytic tests (**Figure 3** a and **Figure S6** b), the spent Pd(8)-A and PdCe(8)-A_(C) catalysts showed reflections at 40.1° and 39.1° (2θ) of the fcc Pd and Pd_xH_y phases (ICDD 01-087-0637), in addition to those of CeO₂ and γ -Al₂O₃, confirming the PdO reduction during catalyst activation and testing. The reflections of Pd_xH_y were also detected for spent PdCe(8)-A, while the existence of metallic Pd cannot be completely excluded due to the small size of the Pd nanoparticles and some overlap with γ -Al₂O₃ reflections (see **Figure S6** c). Note that the reflections may shift depending on the amount of interstitial hydrogen. The reflections of the Pd_xH_y phase but none of the fcc Pd phase, were observed for the spent PdZr(7)-A catalysts (**Figure S7**). The Pd_xH_y crystallite sizes, calculated using the Scherrer equation and the (111) reflection (39.1° (2θ)), were smallest at 9 nm for the PdCe(8)-A catalyst and significantly larger for the two spent reference catalysts, 32 nm for PdCe(8)-A_(C) and 16 nm for

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Pd(8)-A. This finding indicates a spatially confined effect for the PdCe(8)-A catalyst, where the nanoparticulate CeO₂ matrix limited the aggregation of Pd particles during the STD process (Table S2). Although the PdO particles of calcined PdZr(7)-A were also in intimate contact with the ZrO₂ matrix homogeneously distributed on γ -Al₂O₃ (Figure S5), the sintering of Pd_xH_y particles (crystallite size 23 nm) was more severe compared to PdCe(8)-A. It should be noted that an Pd_xH_y phase was not formed and observed by in situ XRD analysis of the PdCe(8)-A and Pd(8)-A catalysts under H₂ atmosphere (2 vol.-% H₂ in nitrogen) at atmospheric pressure (Figure 3 b and Figure S6 d), indicating Pd_xH_y formation under the conditions of the catalyst tests at high H₂ and CO pressures. For the spent CuCe(6)-A catalyst (Figure S8), metallic Cu and fcc CeO₂ phases were detected (for XRD pattern of Ce(24)-A reference see Figure S6).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed on the Pd(8)-A, PdCe(8)-A_(C) and PdCe(8)-A catalysts, which were activated in an H₂ atmosphere. Figure 4 shows the corresponding fitted Pd 3d and Ce 3d XPS data. The Pd 3d spectra for Pd(8)-A and PdCe(8)-A_(C) are dominated by a spin-orbit doublet (3d_{5/2} and 3d_{3/2}) at 335.1/340.4 eV, confirming the presence of metallic Pd⁰ species (Figure 4a). Notably, for the PdCe(8)-A catalyst, a second 3d_{5/2} and 3d_{3/2} doublet was clearly resolved at 336.9/342.2 eV, characteristic of the partially oxidized Pd²⁺ species.^[15] The Ce 3d spectra of reduced PdCe(8)-A_(C) and PdCe(8)-A were complex and could be classified into two sets of spin-orbit multiplets (Figure 4b): the "v" group and the "u" group, representing the 3d_{5/2} and 3d_{3/2} spectra of Ce, respectively. The three 3d doublets, v/u, v'/u', and v''/u'', originate from Ce⁴⁺, while the two doublets, v₀/u₀ and v'₀/u'₀, correspond to the 3d peaks of Ce³⁺.^[10a, 13a, 16] For the reduced PdCe(8)-A and PdCe(8)-A_(C) catalysts, the Ce cations in the ceria were present in a mixed valence state of both Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺, but the catalysts derived from our Pd/CeO₂ NPs on γ -Al₂O₃ revealed a higher proportion of Ce³⁺ species (49 %) compared to Pd NPs (29 %) on commercial ceria. This is most likely due to the intimate contact between Pd and the nanoparticulate CeO₂ matrix. Consequently, the strong electronic interactions between Pd and ceria lead to the formation of partially oxidized Pd²⁺ and reduced Ce³⁺ species. The Pd²⁺ concentration

was estimated to be approximately 15 %. For PdZr(7)-A, its Pd 3d and Zr 3d (Figure S9) spectra were in good agreement with metallic Pd and ZrO₂, respectively.

The structural and electronic properties of the Pd-based catalysts were further investigated using in situ UHV-FTIR spectroscopy (low-temperature IR transmission). This highly surface-sensitive and non-destructive approach is well-suited for characterizing the intrinsic properties of metal/oxide nanoparticles.^[17] As shown in Figure 5a and b, after CO adsorption at 125 K, both Pd(8)-A and PdCe(8)-A_(C) samples show a dominant IR band at approximately 1995 cm⁻¹, characteristic of bridge CO species bound to two neighboring Pd⁰ atoms.^[15, 17b] In addition, a weak band appears at about 2100 cm⁻¹ originating from linearly bonded on-top CO-Pd as minority species.^[15] These findings reveal the formation of relatively large, metallic Pd nanoparticles as the predominant species on both Al₂O₃ and commercial ceria powder samples. In pronounced contrast, the UHV-FTIRS data of CO adsorbed on PdCe(8)-A show numerous distinct IR bands with comparable intensities (Figure 5c). In addition to the bridge-bonded CO on contiguous Pd atoms (1989 cm⁻¹, 1945 cm⁻¹), the on-top CO-Pd band at 2102 cm⁻¹ becomes significantly intense. These results provide direct evidence for small Pd clusters highly dispersed on the surface of the nanoparticulate ceria matrix. More importantly, positively charged Pd cations were identified by the characteristic CO vibration at 2140 cm⁻¹,^[15] revealing strong electronic interactions between Pd and ceria matrix. This is further supported by the observation of a high-lying CO band at 2186 cm⁻¹, which is assigned to CO adsorbed at reduced Ce³⁺ sites in the vicinity of Pd²⁺ sites,^[17b] similar to observations in Pt/CeO₂ systems.^[17e] It should be emphasized that this band is absent in the IR spectra of the Pd(8)-A and PdCe(8)-A_(C) catalysts. The weak signal at 2203 cm⁻¹ is ascribed to CO bound to Al³⁺ sites on the Al₂O₃ support.

The presence of various CO species on PdCe(8)-A is further demonstrated by the temperature-resolved UHV-FTIRS data, which provide insights into the thermal stability of distinct CO adsorbates. The corresponding IR results are shown in Figure 5d. Figure 5e presents a quantitative analysis of the relative intensity of various CO bands across different temperatures. CO molecules are weakly bound to surface Al³⁺ and Ce³⁺ cations, primarily via electrostatic interactions and electron donation, and desorb almost entirely at approximately 150 K. The CO-Pd²⁺ band at 2140 cm⁻¹ vanishes after heating to 220 K, indicating a higher binding energy compared to CO adsorbed on Al³⁺ and Ce³⁺ species. This is attributed to the additional contribution of electron




Figure 4. XPS spectra with curve fits of the reduced bifunctional STD catalysts: (a) Pd 3d and (b) Ce 3d.

RESEARCH ARTICLE




Figure 5. In situ UHV-FTIR spectra of CO (1×10^{-3} mbar, 30 min) adsorbed at 125 K on various Pd-related catalysts: (a) Pd(8)-A; (b) PdCe(8)-A_(C); (c-e) PdCe(8)-A; (f-h) PdZr(7)-A. Consecutive spectra were recorded at 2-minute intervals. Thermal stability of various CO species adsorbed on (d) PdCe(8)-A and (g) PdZr(7)-A was monitored via temperature-dependent IR spectra. Evolution of CO band intensities on (e) PdCe(8)-A and (h) PdZr(7)-A is presented as a function of sample temperature.

back-donation. CO adsorbs more strongly on metallic Pd sites (both on-top and bridge sites), where the CO-Pd interactions are dominated by electron back-donation. Interestingly, the Pd⁰-related CO bands become more intense as the CO-Pd²⁺ band weakens (Figure 5e), suggesting the thermal diffusion of adsorbed CO molecules from cationic to metallic palladium sites.^[15, 18] The CO-Pd⁰ bands gradually decrease in intensity as the sample temperature rises further and are still visible at 350 K. The attenuation of the on-top and bridge-bonded CO-Pd⁰ species is accompanied by a red-shift in frequency. This coverage-induced frequency shift is typical for CO adsorption on metallic Pd sites and can be explained in terms of adsorbate-adsorbate interactions, involving chemical shift and dipole-dipole coupling

effects.^[19] In contrast, upon heating to higher temperatures (i.e., decreasing coverage), a blue shift was observed for the IR bands of CO adsorbed on Al³⁺, Ce³⁺, and Pd²⁺ cations (Figure 5d), originating from dynamic and substrate-mediated static interactions between the adsorbed CO molecules.^[20]

For comparison, Figure 5f displays the in situ UHV-FTIRS data collected during CO adsorption on PdZr(7)-A at 125 K. The IR signals correspond to CO bound to surface Al³⁺ (2199 cm⁻¹), on-top Pd⁰ (2105 cm⁻¹) and bridge Pd⁰ (1995 and 1952 cm⁻¹) sites, with cationic Pd²⁺ detected as the predominant species (2142 cm⁻¹). These assignments are further corroborated by the temperature-induced spectral evolution (see Figure 5g, h), in line with observations for PdCe(8)-A (Figure 5d, e). The presence of Pd²⁺ species indicates strong electronic interactions between Pd

RESEARCH ARTICLE




Figure 6. Catalytic performance of the bifunctional Pd(8)-A, PdCe(8)-A_(C), and PdCe(8)-A catalysts as compared to the PdZr(7)-A, CuCe(6)-A, and CuZn(12)-A^[20] catalysts: (a) CO conversion / conversion rate and (b) carbon selectivities at 250 °C; (c) DME yields as a function of temperature; (d) CO conversion and carbon selectivities on the PdCe(8)-A catalyst over TOS (reaction temperature 270 °C).

and the ZrO₂ support, as discussed above. We note that the IR band of CO adsorbed on reduced Zr⁵⁺ species may overlap with the CO-Pd⁰ band around 2100 cm⁻¹. It has been reported that this band appears at ~2085 cm⁻¹ on reduced ZrO₂ surfaces.^[21]

After activation of the STD catalysts in H₂ atmosphere, the catalytic performance was investigated in the STD reaction over a temperature range of 250 °C to 350 °C at 50 bar using a continuous fixed-bed reactor and CO-rich syngas (inert gases: CO: H₂ = 7:1.5:1.5) (Figure 6, Figure S10, Figure S11, Figure S12 and Table S3). In general, the PdCe(8)-A catalyst revealed a significantly higher catalytic activity than the two reference catalysts Pd(8)-A and PdCe(8)-A_(C), whereas Ce(24)-A showed no activity. At 250 °C, all catalysts showed a high DME selectivity in the range of 61.8 % to 66.1 % (Figure 6 b), while the PdCe(8)-A catalyst revealed a lower methanol selectivity comparable to CuCe(6)-A (S_{MeOH}: 1.9 % (PdCe(8)-A), 7.2 % (PdCe(8)-A_(C)), 8.7 % (Pd(8)-A), 5.2 % (PdZr(7)-A), 1.1 % (CuCe(6)-A)). It is known that Pd⁰ can promote the methane formation during CO hydrogenation, and methane was formed in all cases. The CH₄ selectivity increased from PdCe(8)-A (4.2 %) over PdZr(7)-A (7.9 %), PdCe(8)-A_(C) (11.3 %) to Pd(8)-A (11.5 %), indicating that the contact to the nanoparticulate CeO₂ matrix effectively limited CH₄ formation. Based on the XPS and IR analysis, this could be related to the presence of highly dispersed Pd clusters/particles with interfacial Pd^{δ+} species. In comparison, for Pd particles supported on TiO₂, the methane selectivity was up to 25 % at 260 °C in methanol synthesis *via* CO hydrogenation.^[22] In

addition, the PdCe(8)-A catalyst (X_{CO} 40.3 %) (Figure 6 a) revealed a significantly higher CO conversion than the Pd(8)-A (X_{CO} 6.0 %) and PdCe(8)-A_(C) (X_{CO} 7.5 %) reference catalysts. Consequently, the DME yield (Figure 6 c) of PdCe(8)-A (Y_{DME} 25.0 %) was much higher than those of PdCe(8)-A_(C) (Y_{DME} 4.7 %) and Pd(8)-A (Y_{DME} 3.9 %). The CO conversion of PdCe(8)-A was also higher than those of the Pd/ZrO₂-based and Cu/CeO₂-based catalysts, prepared using a similar synthesis approach. In particular, when compared to previously reported results of Cu/ZnO-based catalysts,^[23] the conversion rate (normalized to the amount of metal (Pd or Cu) in mol) (Figure 6 a) of PdCe(8)-A was four times that of the Cu/Zn catalyst. The proper addition of CeO₂ can improve the Pd dispersion and hence increase the CO conversion in the STD reaction.^[13b]

With increasing temperature, the DME selectivity and DME yield experienced a decrease due to methane formation (Figure S11 c-g), although its conversion was promoted. Moreover, PdCe(8)-A experienced a slower decrease in DME selectivity compared to the PdCe(8)-A_(C) and Pd(8)-A reference catalysts, again suggesting that the intimate contact between Pd NPs and nanoparticulate CeO₂ limited the CH₄ formation. Based on this increase in CO conversion (X_{CO} 48.1%), PdCe(8)-A reached the highest DME yield at 270 °C (Y_{DME} 28.1 %), approaching the equilibrium CO conversion (X_{eq} 54.1%), while the maximum DME yield was 4.0 % (at 270 °C), 4.7 % (at 250 °C) and 15.9 % (at

RESEARCH ARTICLE

250 °C) for the Pd(8)-A and PdCe(8)-A_(C) reference catalysts and PdZr(7)-A, respectively. As the temperature continued to increase, the DME yield decreased, with CH₄ becoming the main reaction product ($S_{\text{CH}_4} > 45\%$, **Table S3**). Temperature played a critical role in CH₄ formation and no CH₄ ($S_{\text{CH}_4} 0\%$) was formed on the PdCe(8)-A catalyst at 220 °C (**Figure S11 h**). In addition to the reaction temperature, a decrease in residence time ($\tau = m_{\text{catalyst}} \cdot V_{\text{total gas flow}}^{-1}$) increased the DME selectivity (**Figure S13**). By decreasing τ from 2.4 g·s⁻¹·mL⁻¹ to 0.8 g·s⁻¹·mL⁻¹, the DME selectivity of PdCe(8)-A increased from 52.6 % to 62.1 % (280 °C), while the methane selectivity decreased from 11.0 % to 4.1 %.

The stability of the PdCe(8)-A catalyst over TOS was investigated at 270 °C, where the DME yield was maximum in the previous experiments. Only a slight decrease in CO conversion from initially 50.6 % to 44.0 % was observed after 130 h TOS (**Figure 6 d**), revealing the high catalyst stability. The HAADF-STEM images (**Figure 2 h**, **Figure S4 c** in the SI) showed few sintered Pd NPs after catalytic tests, which may cause catalyst deactivation along with coke deposition on active sites due to degradation of reaction intermediates.^[24] The CO₂, MeOH and C₂-C₄ selectivities remained stable, while the CH₄ selectivity slightly decreased from 9.9 % to 6.0 % and the DME selectivity gradually increased from 53.6 % to 60.0 % over TOS, reaching a steady state after 80 h TOS. Such an induction period has been previously reported for methanol synthesis from syngas over Pd/CeO₂ catalysts.^[10b, 13a]

For PdCe(8)-A, the Pd particles were well dispersed in the CeO₂ matrix as visualized by HAADF-STEM (**Figure 2 h, i**). Furthermore, the XPS and UHV-FTIRS data (**Figure 4** and **Figure 5**) consistently revealed the strong electronic interactions between Pd and ceria matrix in the PdCe(8)-A catalyst, yielding positively charged Pd²⁺ and reduced Ce³⁺ species. Importantly, the reduction of Ce cations was accompanied by the creation of O vacancies. Cationic Pd species have been suggested to be the active species for the methanol synthesis from CO.^[25] Moreover, the interfacial contact area between Pd and ceria provides CO adsorption sites, which is crucial for CO conversion.^[10c, 26] Overall, our comprehensive experimental results suggest that the highly dispersed Pd^{δ+} species, along with the O vacancies at the Pd-ceria interface, account for the high CO conversion and stability in the STD reaction observed with the PdCe(8)-A catalyst.

Conclusion

Pd/CeO₂ particles were synthesized in which small and uniform Pd nanoparticles with mean size of 5.3 nm were dispersed in a matrix of nanoparticulate CeO₂. They were used as the building units for the methanol-active component and immobilized on γ -Al₂O₃ as the dehydration catalyst to obtain bifunctional model catalysts for the direct single-step synthesis of DME from syngas. The bifunctional Pd/CeO₂/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst showed a superior catalytic activity and stability in the STD reaction, reaching a DME yield of 28.1 % at 270 °C, more than six times higher than the respective reference catalysts where Pd nanoparticles were

supported either directly on γ -Al₂O₃ or on commercial CeO₂ and combined with γ -Al₂O₃. In particular, at low reaction temperatures (250 °C), the DME yield was significantly higher for the Pd/CeO₂/ γ -Al₂O₃ compared to the respective Cu/ZnO/ γ -Al₂O₃ and Cu/CeO₂/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts. When normalized by the active metal content (in mol), its reaction rate is more than four times higher than that of the conventional Cu/Zn-based STD reference catalyst prepared using Cu/Zn-NPs synthesized by reductive stabilization.^[23] The superior performance of the bifunctional Pd/CeO₂/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst in activity, DME selectivity, and stability for the STD reaction was attributed to the presence of highly dispersed and spatially confined small Pd clusters/particles, along with their strong electronic interaction with the nanoparticulate CeO₂ matrix. In general, grafting highly defective CeO_x islands onto high surface area supports is a promising strategy not only for stabilizing and trapping active noble metal species, but also for generating highly active bifunctional catalysts. We foresee that the co-decomposition of metal precursors to form metal particles isolated within a nanoparticulate matrix is an effective approach for producing such bifunctional catalysts for other important reactions.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 426888090 – SFB 1441 (projects A4, B2 and C2). We thank Armin Lautenbach, Dr. Heike Störmer, Dr. Martin Peterlechner, Doreen Neumann-Walter, Nikolaj Slaby, Dr. Thomas Otto, and Christian Schmitt for experimental support. B.W. acknowledges his scholarship from the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC). S.C. is grateful for a Postdoc fellowship donated by the Helmholtz Association and China Postdoctoral Council (OCPC).

Keywords: palladium; ceria; direct dimethyl ether synthesis; nanoparticles; IR spectroscopy



- [1] a) J. T. Sun, I. S. Metcalfe, M. Sahibzada, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *38*, 3868-3872; b) A. M. Namasivayam, T. Korakianitis, R. J. Crookes, K. D. H. Bob-Manuel, J. Olsen, *Appl. Energy* **2010**, *87*, 769-778; c) K. Saravanan, H. Ham, N. Tsubaki, J. W. Bae, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2017**, *217*, 494-522.
- [2] M.-H. Huang, H.-M. Lee, K.-C. Liang, C.-C. Tzeng, W.-H. Chen, *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy* **2015**, *40*, 13583-13593.
- [3] G. R. Moradi, M. Nazari, F. Yaripour, *Fuel Process. Technol.* **2008**, *89*, 1287-1296.
- [4] a) Q. Ge, Y. Huang, F. Qiu, S. Li, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **1998**, *167*, 23-30; b) R. Ahmad, D. Schrempf, S. Behrens, J. Sauer, M. Döring, U. Arnold, *Fuel Process. Technol.* **2014**, *121*, 38-46.
- [5] a) X.-J. Tang, J.-H. Fei, Z.-Y. Hou, X.-M. Zheng, H. Lou, *Energy Fuels* **2008**, *22*, 2877-2884; b) J. Ereña, R. Garoña, J. M. Arandes, A. T. Aguayo, J. Bilbao, *Catal. Today* **2005**, *107-108*, 467-473.
- [6] G. R. Moradi, S. Nosrati, F. Yaripour, *Catal. Commun.* **2007**, *8*, 598-606.
- [7] A. Beck, M. A. Newton, L. G. A. van de Water, J. A. van Bokhoven, *Chem. Rev.* **2024**, *124*, 4543-4678.
- [8] a) M. Gentzen, D. E. Doronkin, T. L. Sheppard, A. Zimina, H. Li, J. Jelic, F. Studt, J. D. Grunwaldt, J. Sauer, S. Behrens, *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 15802-15806; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**,

RESEARCH ARTICLE

- 58, 15655-15659; b) M. Gentzen, D. E. Doronkin, T. L. Sheppard, J. D. Grunwaldt, J. Sauer, S. Behrens, *Appl Catal A-Gen.* **2018**, *562*, 206-214.
- [9] a) A. Trovarelli, J. Llorca, *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7*, 4716-4735; b) T. Montini, M. Melchionna, M. Monai, P. Fornasiero, *Chem Rev* **2016**, *116*, 5987-6041; c) M. V. Ganduglia-Pirovano, A. Hofmann, J. Sauer, *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2007**, *62*, 219-270; d) A. F. Trovarelli, Paolo, *Catalysis by Ceria and Related Materials*, Imperial College Press, **2013**; e) C. Yang, H. Idriss, Y. Wang, C. Wöll, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2024**, *57*, 3316-3326.
- [10] a) Y. Matsumura, W. J. Shen, Y. Ichihashi, M. Okumura, *J. Catal.* **2001**, *197*, 267-272; b) S. Naito, T. Kasahara, T. Miyao, *Catal. Today* **2002**, *74*, 201-206; c) W.-J. Shen, M. Okumura, Y. Matsumura, M. Haruta, *Appl Catal A-Gen.* **2001**, *213*, 225-232; d) X. L. Meng, Y. F. Liu, Z. C. Zhang, R. Z. Chu, Z. M. Zong, X. Y. Wei, *Applied Mechanics and Materials* **2011**, *66-68*, 1193-1198.
- [11] a) R. Khobragade, M. Roškarič, G. Žerjav, M. Košček, J. Zavašnik, N. Van de Velde, I. Jerman, N. N. Tušar, A. Pintar, *Appl Catal A-Gen.* **2021**, *627*, 118394; b) T. Fujitani, I. Nakamura, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2002**, *75*, 1393-1398.
- [12] W. J. Shen, Y. Ichihashi, M. Okumura, Y. Matsumura, *Catal. Lett.* **2000**, *64*, 23-25.
- [13] a) Y. Ma, Q. Ge, W. Li, H. Xu, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2009**, *90*, 99-104; b) S. Imamura, H. Yamane, H. Kanai, Y. Saito, K. Utani, *J. Jpn. Petrol. Inst.* **2002**, *45*, 222-229.
- [14] a) V. R. Naina, S. Wang, D. I. Sharapa, M. Zimmermann, M. Hähsler, L. Niebl-Eibenstein, J. Wang, C. Wöll, Y. Wang, S. K. Singh, F. Studt, S. Behrens, *ACS Catal.* **2021**, *11*, 2288-2301; b) S. Wang, D. E. Doronkin, M. Hähsler, X. Huang, D. Wang, J.-D. Grunwaldt, S. Behrens, *ChemSusChem* **2020**, *13*, 1-10.
- [15] G. Tofighi, X. Yu, H. Lichtenberg, D. E. Doronkin, W. Wang, C. Wöll, Y. Wang, J.-D. Grunwaldt, *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 5462-5473.
- [16] a) M. Romeo, K. Bak, J. El Fallah, F. Le Normand, L. Hilaire, *Surf. Interface Anal.* **1993**, *20*, 508-512; b) E. Paparazzo, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2018**, *30*, 343003.
- [17] a) Y. Wang, C. Wöll, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 1875-1932; b) D. Gashnikova, F. Maurer, E. Sauter, S. Bernart, J. Jelic, P. Dolcet, C. B. Maliakkal, Y. Wang, C. Wöll, F. Studt, C. Kubel, M. Casapu, J. D. Grunwaldt, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2024**, *63*, e202408511; c) C. Yang, M. Capdevila-Cortada, C. Dong, Y. Zhou, J. Wang, X. Yu, A. Nefedov, S. Heissler, N. Lopez, W. Shen, C. Woll, Y. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2020**, *11*, 7925-7931; d) F. Maurer, J. Jelic, J. Wang, A. Gänzler, P. Dolcet, C. Wöll, Y. Wang, F. Studt, M. Casapu, J.-D. Grunwaldt, *Nat. Catal.* **2020**, *3*, 824-833; e) S. Liu, Y. Li, X. Yu, S. Han, Y. Zhou, Y. Yang, H. Zhang, Z. Jiang, C. Zhu, W. X. Li, C. Wöll, Y. Wang, W. Shen, *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 4559.
- [18] C. Yang, X. Yu, S. Heißler, A. Nefedov, S. Colussi, J. Llorca, A. Trovarelli, Y. Wang, C. Wöll, *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 382-387; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 375-379 382-387.
- [19] a) A. Crossley, D. A. King, *Surf. Sci.* **1977**, *68*, 528-538; b) B. N. J. Persson, R. Ryberg, *Phys. Rev. B* **1981**, *24*, 6954.
- [20] M. Buchholz, X. Yu, C. Yang, S. Heißler, A. Nefedov, Y. Wang, C. Wöll, *Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *652*, 247-252.
- [21] S. Chen, P. N. Plessow, Z. Yu, E. Sauter, L. Caulfield, A. Nefedov, F. Studt, Y. Wang, C. Wöll, *Angew. Chem.* **2024**, *136*, e202404775; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2024**, *63*, e202404775.
- [22] W.-Y. Kim, H. Hayashi, M. Kishida, H. Nagata, K. Wakabayashi, *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **1998**, *169*, 157-164.
- [23] M. Gentzen, W. Habicht, D. E. Doronkin, J. D. Grunwaldt, J. Sauer, S. Behrens, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *6*, 1054-1063.
- [24] a) A. T. Aguayo, J. Erefia, I. Sierra, M. Olazar, J. Bilbao, *Catal. Today* **2005**, *106*, 265-270; b) Z. Azizi, M. Rezaeimanesh, T. Tohidian, M. R. Rahimpour, *Chem. Eng. Process.: Process Intensif.* **2014**, *82*, 150-172.
- [25] a) J. Driessen, *J. Catal.* **1983**, *82*, 26-34; b) R. Burch, P. Hollins, *Catal. Today* **1992**, *12*, 107-111; c) V. Ponec, *Surf. Sci.* **1992**, *272*, 111-117.
- [26] a) W. J. Shen, Y. Ichihashi, H. Ando, Y. Matsumura, M. Okumura, M. Haruta, *Appl Catal A-Gen.* **2001**, *217*, 231-239; b) W. J. Shen, A. Kobayashi, Y. Ichihashi, Y. Matsumura, M. Haruta, *Catal. Lett.* **2001**, *73*, 161-165.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Entry for the Table of Contents



We report the synthesis of a bifunctional Pd/CeO₂/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst that exhibits high activity, selectivity, and stability in the single-step syngas-to-dimethyl ether reaction. Comprehensive results obtained from HAADF-STEM, XPS, and in situ UHV-FTIRS demonstrate the formation of highly dispersed Pd clusters/particles confined on a nanoparticulate ceria matrix with interfacial Pd²⁺ sites, accounting for the superior catalytic performance.