

1 **Indirect Escapism and the Parent as an “Indirect Player”: A Family-Level**
2 **Perspective on Games**
3

4 MESHAIEL M. ALSHEAIL, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany
5

6 KATHRIN GERLING, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany
7

8 Parents often turn to children’s digital gameplay not only as entertainment for the child, but also as a way to manage their own needs.
9 This position paper explores how children’s engagement with games can function as a form of indirect escapism for parents, offering
10 moments of quiet, uninterrupted time to recover from stress, complete household tasks, or decompress emotionally. When used
11 intentionally and with clear boundaries, this practice may support family well-being by providing short breaks without compromising
12 the child’s safety or engagement. However, over-reliance on games to regulate household stress can create new challenges, particularly
13 when it leads to negative emotion or changes patterns in parenting. Reflecting upon our previous work that explored how children’s
14 engagement with games is mediated by parents and drawing from research on escapism, we suggest viewing this dynamic as part of a
15 broader, family-level coping system. We call for further exploration of how parents manage children’s engagement with games, and
16 how game design might better support both children’s and parental well-being.
17

18 CCS Concepts: • Human-centered computing → Empirical studies in HCI; • Applied computing → Computer games.
19

20 Additional Key Words and Phrases: Escapism, Indirect escapism, Indirect play, Children playing, Parents
21

22 **ACM Reference Format:**

23 Meshaiel M. Alsheail and Kathrin Gerling. 2018. Indirect Escapism and the Parent as an “Indirect Player”: A Family-Level Perspective
24 on Games. In *Proceedings of Make sure to enter the correct conference title from your rights confirmation email (Conference acronym 'XX)*.
25 ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXX.XXXXXXX>
26

27 **1 Introduction and Background**
28

29 Parents turn to children’s digital play as a way to carve out moments of rest or manage daily responsibilities by allowing
30 children to engage in digital play as parents carry out chores or simply relax, which they often described as a short
31 respite from responsibility, bringing relief from stress and even a feeling of calm [1]. Similar patterns appear in studies
32 describing media as a “distractor” or “babysitter” during meals, bedtime, or moments when parents need time for
33 themselves [4, 8, 9]. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, there are accounts of parents turning to games to
34 occupy their children to secure time and emotional space for themselves [11]. This suggests that children’s gameplay
35 serves not only as entertainment for the child but also as a form of **indirect escapism** for parents, who use it to carve
36 out moments of rest or relief from daily responsibilities. Therefore, in this position paper, **we argue that escapism in**
37 **games should be considered not only from the perspective of the individual player, but also within the wider**
38 **family context, where parental reliance on children’s digital play becomes part of a shared coping system.**
39

40 Authors’ Contact Information: Meshaiel M. Alsheail, meshaiel.alsheail@kit.edu, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany; Kathrin Gerling,
41 kathrin.gerling@kit.edu, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany.
42

43 Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not
44 made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components
45 of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on
46 servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.
47

48 © 2018 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.
49

50 Manuscript submitted to ACM
51

52 Manuscript submitted to ACM

53 Previously, the study of escapism in gaming has largely been framed from a player-centric perspective, with prior
54 work noting that it has “predominantly been examined from the player’s perspective” while continuing to explore
55 individual players by linking specific game aspects to coping strategies during difficult times [7]. Other research in this
56 area has explored escapism by drawing on large-scale data such as Steam reviews to examine how players describe
57 games as tools for recovery [12]. Other studies have approached the topic through diary accounts and laddering
58 interviews, focusing on how players themselves articulate strategies for using games after work [6]. Similarly, the
59 topic has been approached through survey studies, empirically testing a dualistic model of escapism that showed some
60 forms of engagement are associated with positive affect and well-being, whereas others are linked to avoidance and
61 problematic use [14]. Within this body of work, players are positioned as active agents who deliberately select games
62 to achieve recovery outcomes such as detachment and relaxation [6]. However, actors beyond players are not yet
63 considered when discussing escapism.
64
65

66 67 2 The Double-Edged Sword: Games as a Parental Coping Tool and Examples of Indirect Escapism

68 The phenomenon of **indirect escapism** is evident in how parents employ digital games as a practical tool to manage their
69 children. Here, we report anecdotal evidence from our previous work that addressed how children and parents structure
70 children’s disengagement from games through a qualitative study with N=13 child and N=12 parent participants [1]. In
71 this work, we observed multiple instances in which parents employed games to occupy their children, allowing them to
72 remove themselves from hands-on parenting activities.
73
74

75 For example, one parent described, “*Her playtime is usually during my nap after school! So I can rest assured that
76 she’s not alone doing things that might hurt her and that she doesn’t bother me*” (P5). Another parent expressed a similar
77 sentiment, noting, “*I probably sometimes feel calmer because they’re occupied, and I can get on with stuff myself*” (P7).
78 These accounts illustrate how games provided parents not only with practical relief but also with a sense of reassurance.
79
80

81 However, relief is not always reliable. When a game is used to meet a parental need rather than aligning with the
82 child’s genuine interest, it may fail to provide the intended calm [11]. In our earlier study [1], we found that parents also
83 describe guilt or unease, particularly when children end play in a negative mood [1]. As one parent explained, “*Using
84 games was a necessity, not my preferred choice. I really need it sometimes, but I dislike how my children feel after playing,
85 often in a bad mood*” (P1). Another parent noted, “*So, when I am busy! I am happy that he is busy playing, but if it takes a
86 long time, I feel guilty*” (P4), which illustrates how the relief parents gain from these moments is often intertwined with
87 feelings of guilt. Furthermore, transitions away from gameplay often become flashpoints for conflict: meltdowns and
88 arguments are common when parents attempt to end sessions [1, 13]. In our work [1], parents emphasized how the very
89 strategy that offered them relief could also become a source of strain, a tension that aligns with studies showing how
90 momentary breaks gained through media use may increase overall parenting stress [3, 15]. One parent noted, “*Most
91 likely, she’s deeply engaged in playing, and when I ask her to study for school, or it’s bedtime... she might cry and ask to play
92 more*” (P6). Another reflected, “*The feeling that I am forcing her to finish consumes my energy a lot*” (P3). Together, these
93 accounts highlight the double-edged nature of **indirect escapism**, where moments of rest for parents can quickly give
94 way to stress. Research confirms that high levels of screen use are associated with increased parent-child conflict [2],
95 and that abrupt interruptions escalate stress across the family system [1, 13].
96
97

98 This framing connects directly to the broader distinction between healthy and problematic escapism. Prior work has
99 shown that escapism can support restoration when practiced intentionally, but risks becoming problematic when driven
100 by avoidance or over-reliance [5]. Extending this perspective to indirect escapism, we propose that while parents may
101
102

105 seek short-term relief by relying on children’s gameplay, over-reliance on this strategy risks generating parent-child
106 conflict alongside guilt, strain, and stress for parents.
107

108 109 **3 Position and Argument: A Call for a New Perspective**

110 The traditional definition of a “player,” understood as an individual who actively interacts with a game [10], is insufficient
111 for understanding gaming in family contexts. While our earlier work [1] did not explicitly describe parents as players,
112 our interpretation of the findings suggests that parents’ reliance on games for quiet time, along with their emotional
113 responses to children’s gameplay and disengagement, position them as distant, **indirect players** in their children’s play
114 experiences, a role distinctly different from that of a more involved spectator. Parents described feelings of calm when
115 games occupied their children, but also spoke about unease, guilt, and conflict when sessions stretched too long or
116 ended in distress [1]. Recognizing the **indirect player** offers an opportunity to better understand parental perspectives
117 on children’s engagement with games. It prompts us to ask why parents turn to games in the first place: whether
118 as moments of family fun or as a means of relief. Understanding this use as a form of **indirect escapism** helps to
119 destigmatize parental needs and opens the door to more intentional use. Seen from this angle, conflicts around children’s
120 gaming stem less from screen time itself than from the clash between children’s immersion and parents’ search for
121 relief and quiet time, suggesting that games need to be structured in a way that works for both parties. Thus, **we call**
122 **on researchers and practitioners to study escapism in games beyond the individual, to include parent-child**
123 **dynamics and the indirect player**. Designing for this broader reality requires recognizing that escapism can be both
124 healthy and problematic, and that supporting the needs of direct and indirect players must be considered in game
125 design and in the study of player experience.
126

127 128 **4 Author Biographies**

129 **Meshaiel M. Alsheail** is a PhD student in Computer Science at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany (website:
130 https://hci.iar.kit.edu/21_60.php), specializing in Human-Computer Interaction and Game Design. Her research focuses
131 on children’s experiences with digital games, particularly disengagement, parent-child dynamics, and deceptive patterns.
132

133 **Kathrin Gerling** is a Professor of Human-Computer Interaction and Accessibility at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology,
134 Germany (website: <https://hci.iar.kit.edu>). Central her research is the question of how interactive technology can
135 be designed to support human self-determination, and how games can be designed in a way that they are accessible
136 and enriching for broad groups of players. Recently, her team has focused on designing for disengagement from games,
137 exploring how players plan for and experience the exit from a play session, and the role of game design in this process.
138

139 140 **References**

- 141 [1] Meshaiel M. Alsheail, Kathrin Gerling, and Zeynep Yildiz. 2025. A Qualitative Exploration of How Children and Parents Experience and Structure
142 Disengagement from Games. In *Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play (CHI PLAY '25)*. ACM, Pittsburgh, PA,
143 USA, Article 19. doi:10.1145/3748614
- 144 [2] Ine Beyens and Kathleen Beullens. 2017. Parent–Child Conflict about Children’s Tablet Use: The Role of Parental Mediation. *Journal of Communication*
145 19, 12 (2017), 2075–2093. doi:10.1177/1461444816655099
- 146 [3] Aliye B. Cepni, Tracey A. Ledoux, and Craig A. Johnston. 2019. Screen Media: A Powerful Reinforcement. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine* 14,
147 2 (2019), 126–129. doi:10.1177/1559827619892543
- 148 [4] Hilda K. Kabali, Matilde M. Irigoyen, Rosemary Nunez-Davis, Jennifer G. Budacki, Sweta H. Mohanty, Kristin P. Leister, and Robert L. Bonner. 2015.
149 Exposure and Use of Mobile Media Devices by Young Children. *Pediatrics* 136, 6 (2015), 1044–1050. doi:10.1542/peds.2015-2151
- 150 [5] Mehmet Kosa and Ahmet Uysal. 2020. Four Pillars of Healthy Escapism in Games: Emotion Regulation, Mood Management, Coping, and Recovery.
151 In *Video Games and Well-Being: Press Start*, Rachel Kowert (Ed.). Springer, Cham, 63–76. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-37643-7_4

- 157 [6] Jonathan Mella, Ioanna Iacovides, and Anna Cox. 2024. “Jumping Out from the Pressure of Work and into the Game”: Curating Immersive Digital
158 Game Experiences for Post-Work Recovery. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 2, 3, Article 21 (2024), 21:1–21:20 pages.
159 doi:10.1145/3659465
- 160 [7] Sahar Mirhadi, Ioanna Iacovides, and Alena Denisova. 2024. Playing Through Tough Times: Exploring the Relationship Between Game Aspects
161 and Coping Strategies During Difficult Life Challenges. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 8, CHI PLAY, Article 332 (2024),
162 332:1–332:25 pages. doi:10.1145/3677097
- 163 [8] Peter Nikken. 2019. Parents’ Instrumental Use of Media in Childrearing: Relationships with Confidence in Parenting, and Health and Conduct
164 Problems in Children. *Journal of Child and Family Studies* 28, 2 (2019), 531–546. doi:10.1007/s10826-018-1281-3
- 165 [9] Peter Nikken and Marjon Schols. 2015. How and Why Parents Guide the Media Use of Young Children. *Journal of Child and Family Studies* 24, 11
166 (2015), 3423–3435. doi:10.1007/s10826-015-0144-4
- 167 [10] Damijan Novak, Domen Verber, Jani Dugonik, and Iztok Fister. 2023. Action-Based Digital Characterization of a Game Player. *Mathematics* 11, 5
168 (2023), 1243. doi:10.3390/math11051243
- 169 [11] Katy E. Pearce, Jason C. Yip, Jin Ha Lee, Jesse J. Martinez, Travis W. Windleharth, Arpita Bhattacharya, and Qisheng Li. 2022. Families Playing
170 Animal Crossing Together: Coping with Video Games During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Games and Culture* 17, 5 (2022), 773–794. doi:10.1177/
171 15554120211056125
- 172 [12] Cody Phillips, Madison Klarkowski, Julian Frommel, Carl Gutwin, and Regan Mandryk. 2021. Identifying Commercial Games with Therapeutic
173 Potential through a Content Analysis of Steam Reviews. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CHI PLAY, Article 3474682
174 (2021). doi:10.1145/3474682
- 175 [13] Janet C. Read, Matthew Horton, Suzanne Clarke, Rhia Jones, Dan Fitton, and Gavin Sim. 2018. Designing for the ‘at Home’ Experience of Parents
176 and Children with Tablet Games. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM Conference on Interaction Design and Children (IDC ’18)*. ACM, Trondheim, Norway,
177 441–448. doi:10.1145/3202185.3202769
- 178 [14] Frode Stenseng, Jonas Falch-Madsen, and Beate Hygen. 2021. Are There Two Types of Escapism? Exploring a Dualistic Model of Escapism in Digital
179 Gaming and Online Streaming. *Psychology of Popular Media* (2021). doi:10.1037/ppm0000339
- 180 [15] Bolim Suh, Heather Kirkorian, Rachel Barr, Sarah C. Kucker, Chioma Torres, and Jenny S. Radesky. 2024. Measuring Parents’ Regulatory Media Use
181 for Themselves and Their Children. *Frontiers in Digital Pediatrics* 1 (2024), 1377998. doi:10.3389/fdphys.2024.1377998

182 Received 20 February 2007; revised 12 March 2009; accepted 5 June 2009

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

Manuscript submitted to ACM