

Characterization of Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness by differences

Paul Nikolaev¹ | David J. Prömel¹  | Mathias Trabs²

¹Mathematical Institute, University of Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany

²Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, University of Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany

Correspondence

David J. Prömel, Mathematical Institute, University of Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany.

Email: proemel@uni-mannheim.de

Abstract

Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness, on the product of the real line and the torus as well as bounded domains, are studied. A characterization of these function spaces in terms of differences is provided. Applications to random fields, like Gaussian fields and the stochastic heat equation, are discussed, based on a Kolmogorov criterion for Besov regularity with dominating mixed smoothness.

KEYWORDS

Besov space, function space with dominating mixed smoothness, Gaussian field, Kolmogorov criterion, Littlewood–Paley theory, Sobolev space, stochastic heat equation

1 | INTRODUCTION

Regularity concepts for functions, related to Besov and Sobolev spaces with dominating mixed smoothness, play a fundamental role in many areas of pure and applied mathematics. For instance, they appear naturally in the context of approximation theory [31], numerical analysis [28], probability theory [14], statistics [8], and the study of (stochastic) partial differential equations [4, 21]. Function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness of Sobolev type were originally introduced by Nikol'skiĭ [17, 18] in the 1960s and afterward generalized in numerous directions, like to the more general class of Besov spaces. For general introductions to Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness and their history we refer, for example, to [26, 27, 34].

Besov spaces with dominating mixed fractional smoothness are often classically defined by Fourier-analytic approaches relying, for example, on an anisotropic generalizations of the Littlewood–Paley theory, cf. for example [27, Chapter 2]. While the Fourier-analytic definitions of Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness have, with no doubts, many merits, there has been a systematic on-going effort to derive various equivalent characterizations of these function spaces, each coming with its own advantages. For instance, Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness can be equivalently defined by using atomic, wavelet and spline representations, see, for example, [34, Chapter 1.1], or characterized as tensor product of the corresponding isotropic function spaces, see [29].

In this paper, we define Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness, on the product of the real line and the torus, based on the anisotropic generalizations of the Littlewood–Paley theory, see (2.2). The main result are two equivalent characterizations of these function spaces in terms differences, see Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, assuming fractional regularity $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1)^2$, integrability $\bar{p} \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $\bar{q} \in [1, \infty]^2$. Consequently, this equivalent characterization can be deduced for Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness on bounded domains. Similar results were previously derived, either on the whole space \mathbb{R}^d , bounded domains or the torus \mathbb{T}^d , by Triebel et al., see, for example, [27, 35]. Building on these works, we present an accessible and transparent proof, taking care of domains with a mixed structure.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2025 The Author(s). *Mathematische Nachrichten* published by Wiley-VCH GmbH.

The characterization by difference is well-suited to study the Besov regularity with dominating mixed smoothness of random fields, emerging in probability theory and related fields. Note that, in contrast to their isotropic regularity, the Besov regularity of dominating mixed smoothness of many random fields does not get worse with increasing space dimension. For instance, the (fractional) Brownian sheet is, in higher dimension, much smoother when its regularity is measured with dominating mixed smoothness, see, for example, [14, 15]. This observation becomes essential, for example, when developing pathwise approaches to stochastic partial differential equations, see, for example, [9, 21]. Using the characterization by difference, we derive a Kolmogorov criterion for Besov regularity with dominating mixed smoothness of random fields. Consequently, we deduce the regularity of Gaussian fields and of the solution to the stochastic heat equation.

Organization of the paper: in Section 2, we recall the definition of Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness and present some of their elementary properties. Three equivalent characterizations of these Besov spaces are provided in Section 3. The Besov regularity of random fields, such as Gaussian fields and the stochastic heat equation, is studied in Section 4.

2 | BESOV SPACES WITH DOMINATING MIXED SMOOTHNESS

In this section, we introduce Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness on the domain $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ with complex-valued distributions, based on the corresponding anisotropic generalizations of the Littlewood–Paley theory. We shall present all results for Besov spaces with two-dimensional domains as this allows us to develop the main conceptual ideas and to avoid unnecessarily cumbersome notation. Nevertheless, let us remark that all presented results and their proofs can be modified to cover Besov spaces with higher dimensional domains as well as real-valued distributions. Moreover, the extension of the presented results to vector-valued distributions can be obtained by defining all operation componentwise.

We begin by setting up some notation. The Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^d and the corresponding scalar product are denoted by $|\cdot|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, respectively. Analogously, \mathbb{T}^d is the d -dimensional torus, which we identify with the interval $[-\pi, \pi]^d$ in \mathbb{R}^d . The space of Schwartz functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is denoted by $S(\mathbb{R}^d) := S(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C})$ and its dual by $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which is the space of tempered distributions. By abusing the notation, we also denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the dual pairing between a Schwartz distribution and a Schwartz function. For $p \in [1, \infty]$ we write $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) := L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C})$ for the Lebesgue space of p -integrable functions on \mathbb{R}^d with usual norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. For a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Fourier transform is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\langle \xi, x \rangle} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and so the inverse Fourier transform is given by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) := (2\pi)^{-d} \mathcal{F}f(-x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the usual generalization of the Fourier transform is considered. Moreover, we define the support of a distribution $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denoted by $\text{supp } f$ as the intersection of all closed sets A with the property

$$\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{supp } \varphi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \setminus A \Rightarrow \langle f, \varphi \rangle = 0.$$

Furthermore, for measurable functions $g_i : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, 2$, $h : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define the following two convolution operators by

$$g_1 * h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g_1(x - y)h(y) dy \quad \text{and} \quad g_1 *_{\pi_2} g_2(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}} g_1(x - y)g_2(y) dy.$$

We will frequently use the notation $A_\theta \lesssim B_\theta$, for a generic parameter θ , meaning that $A_\theta \leq CB_\theta$ for some constant $C > 0$ independent of θ . We write $A_\theta \sim B_\theta$ if $A_\theta \lesssim B_\theta$ and $B_\theta \lesssim A_\theta$. For integers $j_\theta, k_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$ we write $j_\theta \lesssim k_\theta$ if there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j_\theta \leq k_\theta + N$, and $j_\theta \sim k_\theta$ if $j_\theta \lesssim k_\theta$ and $k_\theta \lesssim j_\theta$.

Let us now recall the Littlewood–Paley characterization of isotropic Besov spaces. A dyadic partition of unity (χ, ρ) in dimension d is given by two smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^d satisfying $\text{supp } \chi \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| \leq \frac{4}{3}\}$, $\text{supp } \rho \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \leq |x| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ and $\chi(z) + \sum_{j \geq 0} \rho(2^{-j}z) = 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We set

$$\rho_{-1} := \chi \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_j := \rho(2^{-j}\cdot) \quad \text{for } j \geq 0.$$

Taking a dyadic partition of unity (χ, ρ) in dimension two, the *Littlewood–Paley blocks* are defined as

$$\Delta_{-1}f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\rho_{-1}\mathcal{F}f) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_j f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\rho_j\mathcal{F}f) \quad \text{for } j \geq 0.$$

Note that, by the Paley–Wiener–Schwartz theorem (see, e.g., [32, Theorem 1.2.1]), $\Delta_j f$ is a smooth function for every $j \geq -1$ and for every $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we have

$$f = \sum_{j \geq -1} \Delta_j f := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_j f \quad \text{with} \quad S_j f := \sum_{i \leq j-1} \Delta_i f.$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q \in (0, \infty]$ the *isotropic Besov space* is defined as

$$B_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2) : |f|_{\alpha,p,q} < \infty \right\}$$

$$\text{with } |f|_{\alpha,p,q} := \left\| \left(2^{j\alpha} \|\Delta_j f\|_p \right)_{j \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^q}. \quad (2.1)$$

The class of Besov spaces contains as special cases the Sobolev–Slobodeckij spaces $B_{p,p}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the Hölder spaces $B_{\infty,\infty}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as well as the Nikolskii spaces $B_{p,\infty}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

In order to introduce Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness, we need *Lebesgue spaces of mixed integrability* $\bar{p} = (p_1, p_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$, which are given by

$$L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) := \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ is measurable and } \|f\|_{\bar{p}} < \infty \right\}$$

$$\text{with } \|f\|_{\bar{p}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x,y)|^{p_2} dy \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx \right)^{1/p_1}.$$

We adopt the convention that we view functions $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ as periodic functions defined on \mathbb{R}^2 . This allows us to use the classical Fourier analysis without introducing trigonometrical polynomials.

On the Lebesgue spaces with mixed integrability the standard inequalities like Minkowski's, Hölder's, and Young's inequality are available.

Lemma 2.1.

(i) For $\bar{p} \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $f, g \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ we have

$$\|f + g\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \|f\|_{\bar{p}} + \|g\|_{\bar{p}}.$$

(ii) Let $\bar{p}, \bar{p}' \in [1, \infty]^2$ be such that $1/p_1 + 1/p_1' = 1$ and $1/p_2 + 1/p_2' = 1$. If $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ and $g \in L^{\bar{p}'}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, then $fg \in L^{(1,1)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ and

$$\|fg\|_{(1,1)} \leq \|f\|_{\bar{p}} \|g\|_{\bar{p}'}$$

(iii) Let $\bar{p}, \bar{p}', \bar{r} \in [1, \infty]^2$ be such that $r_i \geq p_i'$ and $1 + 1/r_i = 1/p_i + 1/p_i'$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ and $g \in L^{\bar{p}'}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, then

$$\|f * g\|_{\bar{r}} \leq \|f\|_{\bar{p}} \|g\|_{\bar{p}'}$$

The proofs follow straightforward by applying the corresponding inequality for the classical Lebesgue spaces and are thus omitted here.

Let us introduce the space $S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of Schwartz distributions, which are periodic in the second component. More precisely,

$$S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2) := \{f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^2) : f(x_1, x_2 + 2k\pi) = f(x_1, x_2) \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where the equality in the above definition is understood in the sense of distributions. We understand the space of the periodic Schwartz distribution as a subspace of Schwartz distributions. Following [27, Chapter 3.2.3] we can identify distributions defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ with the space $S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The motivation for identifying functions with their periodic extensions on the entire space lies in the fact that it simplifies various tasks, such as the demonstration of maximal inequalities. As highlighted in [27, Remark 3.2.3 and Chapter 3.2.4], this approach makes the many proofs more straightforward. A similar rationale applies to the Fourier transform: by considering the Fourier transform on the entire space, we can directly utilize well-established results, rather than working with Fourier coefficients and Fourier series. Ultimately, both representations are equivalent [27, Chapter 3.2.1–3.2.3]. For a dyadic partition of unity (χ, ρ) in dimension one, $f \in S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $(j, k) \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}^2$ the *anisotropic Littlewood–Paley blocks* are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j^1 f &:= \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\rho_j(\xi_1)\mathcal{F}f(\xi)], & \Delta_k^2 f &:= \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\rho_k(\xi_2)\mathcal{F}f(\xi)], & \Delta_{j,k} f &:= \Delta_j^1 \Delta_k^2 f, \\ S_j^1 f &:= \sum_{i \leq j-1} \Delta_i^1 f, & S_k^2 f &:= \sum_{l \leq k-1} \Delta_l^2 f, & \text{and } S_{j,k} f &:= S_j^1 S_k^2 f, \end{aligned}$$

cf. [1, Section 6.2.1]. It will be convenient to write

$$\rho_j \otimes \rho_k(\xi) := \rho_j(\xi_1)\rho_k(\xi_2), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

In particular, note that we have $\Delta_{j,k} f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\rho_j \otimes \rho_k] * f$ and

$$f = \sum_{j,k \geq -1} \Delta_{j,k} f := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} S_L^1 S_L^2 f \quad \text{for any } f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Indeed, for any $f \in S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we have $\langle f - S_L^1 S_L^2 f, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \varphi - S_L^1 S_L^2 \varphi \rangle$. It thus suffices to verify $\varphi = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} S_L^1 S_L^2 \varphi$ for any Schwartz function $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Since the Fourier transform is an automorphism on $S(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the convergence follows from $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j,k=-1}^L (\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)\mathcal{F}\varphi = \mathcal{F}\varphi$.

Following [27, Chapter 2.2] we define, for parameters

$$\bar{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \bar{p} = (p_1, p_2) \in [1, \infty]^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{q} = (q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty],$$

the *Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness* as

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) &:= \left\{ f \in S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}} < \infty \right\} \quad \text{with} \\ \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}} &:= \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 j} \left\| (2^{\alpha_2 k} \|\Delta_{j,k} f\|_{\bar{p}})_{k \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \right)_{j \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

For $f \in S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ we note that the dyadic block $\Delta_{j,k} f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\rho_j \otimes \rho_k] * f$ are periodic in the second component and therefore it makes sense to take the $L^{\bar{p}}$ -norm in the second component over the torus \mathbb{T} . Moreover, we can identify $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ as a periodic distribution in the canonical way:

Lemma 2.2. *For any $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ the distribution*

$$S(\mathbb{R}^2) \ni \varphi \mapsto \langle f, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x_1, x_2)\varphi(x_1, x_2) dx_2 dx_1$$

is well-defined in $S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where f is periodically extended on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We need to show that the integral is finite. We start by deploying the monotone convergence to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(x_1, x_2)\varphi(x_1, x_2)| dx_2 dx_1 \\
 &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [-\pi(2m+1), \pi(2m+1)]} |f(x_1, x_2)\varphi(x_1, x_2)| dx_2 dx_1 \\
 &\leq \|f\varphi\|_{(1,1)} + \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \{-\pi(2m+1), -\pi\} \cup \{\pi, \pi(2m+1)\}} |f(x_1, x_2)\varphi(x_1, x_2)| dx_2 dx_1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The first term is finite by Hölder's inequality. For the right integral of the last term we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R} \times [\pi, \pi(2m+1)]} |f(x_1, x_2)\varphi(x_1, x_2)| dx_2 dx_1 \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\pi(2k-1)}^{\pi(2k+1)} |f(x_1, x_2)\varphi(x_1, x_2)| dx_2 dx_1 \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\pi(2k-1)}^{\pi(2k+1)} |f(x_1, x_2)|^{p_2} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \left(\int_{\pi(2k-1)}^{\pi(2k+1)} |\varphi(x_1, x_2)|^{q_2} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} dx_1 \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x_1, x_2)|^{p_2} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \left(\int_{\pi(2k-1)}^{\pi(2k+1)} |\varphi(x_1, x_2)|^{q_2} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} dx_1 \\
 &\leq \|f\|_{\bar{p}} \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\pi(2k-1)}^{\pi(2k+1)} |\varphi(x_1, x_2)|^{q_2} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used Hölder's inequality with $1/p_i + 1/q_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. It remains to show that the last integral is summable over k . Using the fact the φ decays faster than any polynomial we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\pi(2k-1)}^{\pi(2k+1)} |\varphi(x_1, x_2)|^{q_2} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\pi(2k-1)}^{\pi(2k+1)} (1+x_1)^{-} x_2^{-3q_2-1} \sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \left(|\varphi(x_1, x_2)|^{q_2} (1+x_1)^{\frac{3q_2}{q_1}} x_2^{3q_2+1} \right) dx_2 \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+x_1)^{-} \left(\int_{\pi(2k-1)}^{\pi(2k+1)} x_2^{-3q_2-1} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \left(|\varphi(x_1, x_2)|^{q_2} (1+x_1)^{\frac{3}{q_1}} x_2^{3+\frac{1}{q_2}} \right) \\
 &\lesssim (2k-1)^{-} \sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \left(|\varphi(x_1, x_2)|^{q_2} (1+x_1)^{\frac{3}{q_1}} x_2^{3+\frac{1}{q_2}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \times [\pi, \pi(2m+1)]} f(x_1, x_2) \varphi(x_1, x_2) dx_2 dx_1 \lesssim \sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \left(|\varphi(x_1, x_2)|^{q_2} (1 + x_1)^{\frac{3}{q_1}} x_2^{3 + \frac{1}{q_2}} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} < \infty.$$

A similar argument can be used to bound the remaining integral in (2.3). Hence, we have shown the continuity of f as a Schwartz distribution. The periodicity in the second component is obvious and therefore we obtain $f \in S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. \square

This allows us to define the Fourier transformation of a function $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ by identifying the function f as a periodic distribution in the second component. Hence, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{F}(f), \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{F}(\varphi) \rangle$$

for $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We thus understand the support of $\mathcal{F}(f)$ in the sense of distributions.

The following Bernstein-type inequalities have been proved in [3, 20]. In fact, the proof is analogous to the isotropic case.

Lemma 2.3. *Let B be a ball in \mathbb{R} and C be an annulus in \mathbb{R} . Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p'_1 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq p'_2 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$. For any $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, we have*

- (i) *If $f \in L^{(p'_1, p'_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subseteq 2^j B \times \mathbb{R}$, then $\|\partial_1^\alpha f\|_{(p_1, p_2)} \lesssim 2^{j(|\alpha| + \frac{1}{p'_1} - \frac{1}{p_1})} \|f\|_{(p'_1, p'_2)}$.*
- (ii) *If $f \in L^{(p_1, p'_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times 2^k B$, then $\|\partial_2^\beta f\|_{(p_1, p_2)} \lesssim 2^{k(|\beta| + \frac{1}{p'_2} - \frac{1}{p_2})} \|f\|_{(p_1, p'_2)}$.*
- (iii) *If $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subseteq 2^j C \times \mathbb{R}$, then $\|f\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim 2^{-jN} \|\partial_1^N f\|_{\bar{p}}$.*
- (iv) *If $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times 2^k C$, then $\|f\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim 2^{-kN} \|\partial_2^N f\|_{\bar{p}}$.*

Proof. For the sake of completeness, we will prove the second assertion. The other claims follow by a similar argument. Let $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ have compact Fourier support and $\mathcal{F}(\psi)(\xi_2) = 1$ for $\xi_2 \in B$. Define $\psi_k(y_2) := 2^k \psi(2^k y_2)$. Then, $\mathcal{F}(\psi_k)(\xi_2) = \mathcal{F}(\psi)(\xi_2/2^k)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\psi_k)(\xi_2) = 1$ for $\xi_2 \in 2^k B$. Furthermore, since f corresponds to a smooth function once can use the dominated convergence theorem to show that $\partial_2^\beta f$ has Fourier support contained in the same set. Consequently, for $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_2^\beta f(\xi_1, \xi_2) \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi_1, \xi_2) d\xi_2 d\xi_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{F}(\varphi \mathcal{F}(\psi_k)(\cdot))(\xi_1, \xi_2) \partial_2^\beta f(\xi_1, \xi_2) d\xi_2 d\xi_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i(\xi_1 x_1 + \xi_2 x_2)} \varphi(x_1, x_2) \mathcal{F}(\psi_k)(x_2) \partial_2^\beta f(\xi_1, \xi_2) dx_2 dx_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(\xi_1 x_1 + \xi_2 x_2)} e^{-ix_2 y_2} \psi_k(y_2) \varphi(x_1, x_2) \partial_2^\beta f(\xi_1, \xi_2) dy_2 dx_2 dx_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(\xi_1 x_1 + \xi_2 x_2)} e^{-ix_2 y_2} e^{ix_2 \xi_2} \psi_k(y_2 - \xi_2) \varphi(x_1, x_2) \partial_2^\beta f(\xi_1, \xi_2) dy_2 dx_2 dx_1 d\xi_2 d\xi_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i(\xi_1 x_1 + x_2 y_2)} \varphi(x_1, x_2) dx_2 dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_k(y_2 - \xi_2) \partial_2^\beta f(\xi_1, \xi_2) d\xi_2 dy_2 d\xi_1 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(\xi_1, y_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_2^\beta \psi_k(y_2 - \xi_2) f(\xi_1, \xi_2) d\xi_2 dy_2 d\xi_1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\partial_2^\beta f(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_2^\beta \psi(x_2 - y_2) f(x_1, y_2) dy_2$$

by the fundamental lemma of calculus of variation and since the Fourier transformation is an automorphism on $S(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Applying Young's inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_2^\beta f\|_{(p_1, p_2)} &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_2^\beta \psi_k(y_2)|^{r_2} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \|f\|_{(p_1, p_2')} \\ &= 2^{k(1+|\beta|)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\partial_2^\beta \psi)(2^k y_2)|^{r_2} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \|f\|_{(p_1, p_2')} \\ &= 2^{k(1+|\beta|-1/r_2)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\partial_2^\beta \psi)(y_2)|^{r_2} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \|f\|_{(p_1, p_2')} \\ &= 2^{k(|\beta| + \frac{1}{p_2'} - \frac{1}{p_2})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_2^\beta \psi(y_2)|^{r_2} dy_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{r_2}} \|f\|_{(p_1, p_2')} \end{aligned}$$

with $\frac{1}{r_2} = 1 - \frac{1}{p_2'} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. The claim follows from the fact that $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ and therefore every derivative is L^{r_2} integrable. \square

2.1 | Elementary properties

As immediate corollaries of Lemma 2.3 and the definition of the Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness, we obtain the following embedding results and the subsequent lifting property.

Lemma 2.4. For $i = 1, 2$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$ let $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\beta_i < \alpha_i$, $1 \leq p_j, q_j$ with $\bar{p} = (p_1, p_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $\bar{q} = (q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$. The following are continuous embeddings:

- (i) $B_{\bar{p}, (q_3, q_2)}^{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \subseteq B_{\bar{p}, (q_1, q_2)}^{(\beta_1, \alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ and $B_{\bar{p}, (q_1, q_3)}^{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \subseteq B_{\bar{p}, (q_1, q_2)}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$,
- (ii) $B_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \subseteq L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ for any $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in (0, \infty)^2$,
- (iii) $B_{(p_3, p_2), \bar{q}}^{(\alpha_1 + (\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{p_1}), \alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \subseteq B_{(p_1, p_2), \bar{q}}^{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ if $p_3 \leq p_1$,
- (iv) $B_{(p_1, p_3), \bar{q}}^{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + (\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{p_2}))}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \subseteq B_{(p_1, p_2), \bar{q}}^{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ if $p_3 \leq p_2$.

Proof.

- (i) First, we observe that $(2^{j(\beta_1 - \alpha_1)})_{j \geq -1} \in \ell^{(1-1/q_3)^{-1}}$, which implies

$$B_{\bar{p}, (q_3, q_2)}^{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \subseteq B_{\bar{p}, (1, q_2)}^{(\beta_1, \alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}).$$

Moreover, the monotonicity of the l^q spaces, that is, $l^q \subseteq l^{\tilde{q}}$ for $1 \leq q \leq \tilde{q}$, proves the first embedding. The second embedding can be obtained analogously.

- (ii) Since $\|f\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \sum_{j,k} \|\Delta_{j,k} f\|_{\bar{p}} = \|f\|_{(0,0),(p_1, p_2), (1,1)}$ for any $\bar{p} \in [1, \infty]^2$, we deduce from (i) that $B_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \subseteq B_{\bar{p}, (1,1)}^{(0,0)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \subseteq L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$.

(iii) and (iv) Note that due to $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}(\Delta_{j,k}f) \subseteq 2^j \mathcal{B} \times 2^k \mathcal{B}$ and $\Delta_{j,k}f$ smooth, Lemma 2.3 (i) and (ii) yield

$$\|\Delta_{j,k}f\|_{(p_1,p_2)} = 2^{j(\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{p_1})} \|\Delta_{j,k}f\|_{(p_3,p_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Delta_{j,k}f\|_{(p_1,p_2)} \lesssim 2^{k(\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{p_2})} \|\Delta_{j,k}f\|_{(p_1,p_3)}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\bar{p} = (p_1, p_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $\bar{q} = (q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$. If $f \in B_{\bar{p},\bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, then $\partial_{x_1} f \in B_{\bar{p},\bar{q}}^{(\alpha_1-1,\alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ and $\partial_{x_2} f \in B_{\bar{p},\bar{q}}^{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2-1)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$.*

Proof. Let f be a smooth function with compact support and recall

$$\|\partial_{x_1} f\|_{(\alpha_1-1,\alpha_2),\bar{p},\bar{q}} = \left\| \left(2^{(\alpha_1-1)j} \left\| (2^{\alpha_2 k} \|\Delta_{j,k}(\partial_{x_1} f)\|_{p_1,p_2})_{k \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \right)_{j \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}}.$$

First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{j,k}(\partial_{x_1} f) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\rho_j(\xi_1)\rho_k(\xi_2)\mathcal{F}(\partial_{x_1} f)) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\rho_j(\xi_1)\rho_k(\xi_2)) * (\partial_{x_1} f) = \partial_{x_1}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\rho_j(\xi_1)\rho_k(\xi_2)) * f) = \partial_{x_1} \Delta_{j,k}(f), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the properties of the convolution operator. Since $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}(\Delta_{j,k}(f)) \subseteq 2^j B \times \mathbb{R}$ for some ball $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, Lemma 2.3 (i) yields

$$\|\Delta_{j,k}(\partial_{x_1} f)\|_{p_1,p_2} = \|\partial_{x_1} \Delta_{j,k}(f)\|_{p_1,p_2} \lesssim 2^j \|\Delta_{j,k}(f)\|_{p_1,p_2}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\|\partial_{x_1} f\|_{(\alpha_1-1,\alpha_2),\bar{p},\bar{q}} \lesssim \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 j} \left\| (2^{\alpha_2 k} \|\Delta_{j,k}(f)\|_{p_1,p_2})_{k \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \right)_{j \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}} = \|f\|_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2),\bar{p},\bar{q}}$$

and thus $f \mapsto \partial_{x_1} f$ is a bounded and linear operator on C_c^∞ , which has a continuous extension from $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ to $B_{\bar{p},\bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$. \square

For the regularity analysis of the Gaussian fields in Section 4, the following generalization of Young’s inequality for convolutions will be useful.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in [1, \infty]$ satisfying*

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{p} := \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} - 1 \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \frac{1}{q} := \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} - 1 \leq 1.$$

Then, for any $f \in B_{(p_1,p_1),(q_1,q_1)}^{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ and $g \in B_{(p_2,p_2),(q_2,q_2)}^{(\beta_1,\beta_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ we have

$$f *_{\pi_2} g \in B_{(p,p),(q,q)}^{(\alpha_1+\beta_1,\alpha_2+\beta_2)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$$

with

$$\|f *_{\pi_2} g\|_{(\alpha_1+\beta_1,\alpha_2+\beta_2),(p,p),(q,q)} \lesssim \|f\|_{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2),(p_1,p_1),(q_1,q_1)} \|g\|_{(\beta_1,\beta_2),(p_2,p_2),(q_2,q_2)}.$$

Proof. The Littlewood–Paley blocks of the convolution satisfy for $j, k \geq -1$

$$\Delta_{j,k}(f *_{\pi_2} g) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)\mathcal{F}f\mathcal{F}g] = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)^{1/2}\mathcal{F}f] * \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)^{1/2}\mathcal{F}g].$$

Using Young's inequality for convolutions, we bound

$$2^{j(\alpha_1+\beta_1)+k(\alpha_2+\beta_2)} \|\Delta_{j,k}(f *_{\pi_2} g)\|_{(p,p)} \leq \left(2^{j\alpha_1+k\alpha_2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}f]\|_{p_1} \right) \\ \times \left(2^{j\beta_1+k\beta_2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}g]\|_{p_2} \right).$$

Hence, by the Hölder's inequality it suffices to show

$$\left\| \left(2^{j\alpha_1} \left\| \left(2^{k\alpha_2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}f]\|_{p_1} \right)_{k \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}} \right)_{j \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (p_1, p_1), (q_1, q_2)} \quad (2.4)$$

(and consequently the analogous estimate holds true for g). To verify (2.4), we decompose $f = \sum_{j,k} \Delta_{j,k} f$. Due to the compact support of ρ and Young's inequality we obtain

$$2^{j\alpha_1+k\alpha_2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}f]\|_{p_1} \\ \leq 2^{j\alpha_1+k\alpha_2} \sum_{j',k'} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}[\Delta_{j',k'} f]]\|_{p_1} \\ \leq 2^{j\alpha_1+k\alpha_2} \sum_{j'=j-1}^{j+1} \sum_{k'=k-1}^{k+1} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)^{1/2}]\|_1 \|\Delta_{j',k'} f\|_{p_1} \\ \lesssim \sum_{j'=j-1}^{j+1} \sum_{k'=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{-(j'-j)\alpha_1} 2^{-(k'-k)\alpha_2} \left(2^{j'\alpha_1+k'\alpha_2} \|\Delta_{j',k'} f\|_{p_1} \right) \\ \lesssim \sum_{j'=j-1}^{j+1} \sum_{k'=k-1}^{k+1} 2^{j\alpha_1+k\alpha_2} \|\Delta_{j',k'} f\|_{p_1}.$$

Taking the ℓ^{q_1} - and ℓ^{q_2} -norm, we conclude

$$\left\| \left(2^{j\alpha_1} \left\| \left(2^{k\alpha_2} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_j \otimes \rho_k)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}f]\|_{p_1} \right)_{k \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}} \right)_{j \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \lesssim \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} 2^{j\alpha_1+k\alpha_2} \|\Delta_{j,k} f\|_{p_1} \\ = \|f\|_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (p_1, p_1), (q_1, q_2)}. \quad \square$$

3 | CHARACTERIZATION VIA DIFFERENCES

In this section, we derive two equivalent integral representations of the Besov norm with dominating mixed smoothness from (2.2). To give the classical background, let us recall corresponding characterization for isotropic Besov spaces. It is well-known that on the isotropic Besov spaces $B_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2)$, for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p, q \geq 1$, the norm $|f|_{\alpha,p,q}$ from (2.1) and the two norms

$$|f|_{\alpha,p,q}^{(1)} := \|f\|_p + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h|^{-\alpha q} \sup_{|r| \leq |h|} \|f(\cdot + r) - f(\cdot)\|_p^q \frac{dh}{|h|^2} \right)^{1/q}, \\ |f|_{\alpha,p,q}^{(2)} := \|f\|_p + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h|^{-\alpha q} \|f(\cdot + r) - f(\cdot)\|_p^q \frac{dh}{|h|^2} \right)^{1/q}$$

are all equivalent, for $f \in B_{p,q}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^2)$, see, for example, [32, Theorem 2.5.12].

To obtain the analogous result in the case of Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness, we define the rectangular increments

$$\square_h f(x) := f(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2) - f(x_1, x_2 + h_2) - f(x_1 + h_1, x_2) + f(x_1, x_2)$$

and the directional increments

$$\delta_{h_1} f(x) := f(x_1 + h_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2),$$

$$\delta_{h_2} f(x) := f(x_1, x_2 + h_2) - f(x_1, x_2)$$

for $h = (h_1, h_2), x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Definition 3.1. For $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in (0, 1)^2, \bar{p} = (p_1, p_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $\bar{q} = (q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)} := & \|f\|_{\bar{p}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|} \|\delta_{r_1}^1 f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_2| \leq |h_2|} \|\delta_{r_2}^2 f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ & + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq |h_2|} \|\square_{r_1, r_2} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)} := & \|f\|_{\bar{p}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \|\delta_{h_1}^1 f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \|\delta_{h_2}^2 f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ & + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \|\square_{h_1, h_2} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with the usual modification if q_1, q_2, p_1 or p_2 is equal to infinity.

It turns out that these norms are equivalent Besov norms with dominating mixed smoothness.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in (0, 1)^2, \bar{p} = (p_1, p_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $\bar{q} = (q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$. Then, $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}, \|\cdot\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}$ are equivalent norms on the space $B_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, that is,

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}, \quad \text{for } f \in S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}).$$

Furthermore, one can replace the integral domains in definitions of $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$ by $h_1, h_2 \in [-1, 1]$.

Remark 3.3. A straightforward modification of our proof yields an analogous characterization of (non-periodic) Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness on \mathbb{R}^2 by replacing the torus by the real line in the definitions of $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}$ and $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$.

3.1 | Proof of Theorem 3.2

Before we prove Theorem 3.2, we provide several auxiliary results.

Lemma 3.4. For any $f \in S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $j_1, j_2 \geq -1$ and some $a > 0$ the maximal function is defined as

$$f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f(x - y)|}{1 + (2^{2j_1} |y_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2} |y_2|^2)^{a/2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Then, we have for all $k_1, k_2 \geq -1$ and $\bar{p} \in [1, \infty]^2$ that

$$\sup_{|h_1| \leq 2^{-k_1}, |h_2| \leq 2^{-k_2}} \|\square_{(h_1, h_2)}(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \min(1, 2^{(j_1 - k_1)}) \min(1, 2^{(j_2 - k_2)}) \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}},$$

$$\sup_{|h_1| \leq 2^{-k_1}} \|\delta_{h_1}(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \min(1, 2^{(j_1 - k_1)}) \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}},$$

$$\sup_{|h_2| \leq 2^{-k_2}} \|\delta_{h_2}(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \min(1, 2^{(j_2 - k_2)}) \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}.$$

Remark 3.5. The function f_{j_1, j_2}^* is periodic in the second component. Therefore, the $L^{\bar{p}}$ -norms in Lemma 3.4 are well-defined.

Proof. Step 1: We prove in the case $j_i \leq k_i$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$ that

$$|\square_{(h_1, h_2)}(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x)| \lesssim 2^{(j_1 - k_1) + (j_2 - k_2)} f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

For $|h_i| \leq 2^{-k_i}$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |\square_{(h_1, h_2)}(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x)| \\ & \leq 2^{-k_2} \left| \int_0^1 (\partial_2(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + th_2) - \partial_2(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1, x_2 + th_2)) dt \right| \\ & \leq 2^{-(k_1 + k_2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |\partial_1 \partial_2(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 + t_1 h_1, x_2 + t_2 h_2)| dt_2 dt_1 \\ & \leq 2^{-(k_1 + k_2)} \sup_{|z_i| \leq 2^{-k_i}, i=1,2} |\partial_1 \partial_2(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 - z_1, x_2 - z_2)| \\ & \lesssim 2^{-(k_1 + k_2)} \sup_{|z_i| \leq 2^{-k_i}, i=1,2} \frac{|\partial_1 \partial_2(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 - z_1, x_2 - z_2)|}{1 + (2^{2j_1} |z_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2} |z_2|^2)^{a/2}} \\ & \leq 2^{-(k_1 + k_2)} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\partial_1 \partial_2(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 - z_1, x_2 - z_2)|}{1 + (2^{2j_1} |z_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2} |z_2|^2)^{a/2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where we have used $j_i \leq k_i$ in the fourth step. In order to estimate the supremum we proceed similar to Lemma 2.3. Let ψ be a smooth compactly supported function satisfying $\psi(\xi) = 1$ on the support of ρ . Setting $\Psi := \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi \otimes \psi] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{j_1, j_2} f &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_{j_1} \otimes \rho_{j_2}) \mathcal{F}(f)(\psi(2^{-j_1} \cdot) \otimes \psi(2^{-j_2} \cdot))] \\ &= \Delta_{j_1, j_2} f * \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi(2^{-j_1} \cdot) \otimes \psi(2^{-j_2} \cdot)] \\ &= \Delta_{j_1, j_2} f * (2^{(j_1 + j_2)} \Psi(2^{j_1} \cdot, 2^{j_2} \cdot)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_1 \partial_2 (\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 - z_1, x_2 - z_2)| \\ &= \left| 2^{2(j_1 + j_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta_{j_1, j_2} f(y) \partial_1 \partial_2 \Psi(2^{j_1}(x_1 - z_1 - y_1), 2^{j_2}(x_2 - z_2 - y_2)) \, dy \right| \\ &\lesssim 2^{2(j_1 + j_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f(x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2)| (1 + 2^{2j_1}|y_1 - z_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2}|y_2 - z_2|^2)^{-b} \, dy \\ &\leq 2^{2(j_1 + j_2)} f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(1 + (2^{2j_1}|y_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2}|y_2|^2)^{\frac{a}{2}} \right) (1 + 2^{2j_1}|y_1 - z_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2}|y_2 - z_2|^2)^{-b} \, dy \end{aligned}$$

with some arbitrary large $b > 1$. Next, applying the triangle inequality, we find

$$1 + (|2^{j_1}y_1|^2 + |2^{j_2}y_2|^2)^{a/2} \lesssim (1 + (|2^{j_1}z_1|^2 + |2^{j_2}z_2|^2)^{a/2})(1 + 2^{2j_1}|y_1 - z_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2}|y_2 - z_2|^2)^{a/2},$$

and therefore we can estimate the supremum in (3.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial_1 \partial_2 (\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 - z_1, x_2 - z_2)}{1 + (|2^{j_1}z_1|^2 + |2^{j_2}z_2|^2)^{a/2}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{2(j_1 + j_2)} f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x) \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + 2^{j_1}|y_1 - z_1| + 2^{j_2}|y_2 - z_2|)^{-c} \, dy \\ &\lesssim 2^{j_1 + j_2} f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |y_1| + |y_2|)^{-c} \, dy \end{aligned}$$

for $c = b - a/2$ which can be arbitrary large. Since the integral is finite, Step 1 is completed by combining the last line with (3.2).

Step 2: If $j_1 > k_1$ and $j_2 \leq k_2$ we show that

$$|\square_{(h_1, h_2)}(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x)| \lesssim 2^{j_2 - k_2} \left(f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x_1 + h_1, x_2) + f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x_1, x_2) \right).$$

Applying the same arguments in second coordinate only, we get for arbitrary $b > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\square_{(h_1, h_2)}(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x)| \\ &\leq |(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2) - (\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 + h_1, x_2)| \\ &\quad + |(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1, x_2 + h_2) - (\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1, x_2)| [-3pt] \\ &\lesssim 2^{-k_2} \sup_{z_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\partial_2 (\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 + h_1, x_2 - z_2)|}{1 + (2^{2j_2}|z_2|^2)^{a/2}} + 2^{-k_2} \sup_{z_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\partial_2 (\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1, x_2 - z_2)|}{1 + (2^{2j_2}|z_2|^2)^{a/2}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{j_1 + 2j_2 - k_2} \left(f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x_1 + h_1, x_2) + f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x_1, x_2) \right) \\ &\quad \sup_{z_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1 + (2^{2j_1}|y_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2}|y_2|^2)^{a/2}}{1 + (2^{2j_2}|z_2|^2)^{a/2}} (1 + 2^{2j_1}|y_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2}|y_2 - z_2|^2)^{-b/2} \, dy \\ &\lesssim 2^{j_1 + 2j_2 - k_2} \left(f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x_1 + h_1, x_2) + f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x_1, x_2) \right) \\ &\quad \sup_{z_2 \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + 2^{2j_1}|y_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2}|y_2 - z_2|^2)^{a/2} (1 + 2^{2j_1}|y_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2}|y_2 - z_2|^2)^{-b/2} \, dy \\ &\lesssim 2^{j_2 - k_2} \left(f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x_1 + h_1, x_2) + f_{j_1, j_2}^*(x_1, x_2) \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (1 + |y_1| + |y_2|)^{-c} \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

The analog statement holds true for the case $j_1 \leq k_1, j_2 > k_2$.

Step 3: We conclude the first inequality of the lemma.

First note, that in the case $j_i > k_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ we can use the translation invariance of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$ such that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} &\geq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\|\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f(\cdot - y)\|_{\bar{p}}}{1 + (2^{j_1}|y_1| + 2^{j_2}|y_2|)^a} \\ &= \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\|\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f\|_{\bar{p}}}{1 + (2^{j_1}|y_1| + 2^{j_2}|y_2|)^a} = \|\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f\|_{\bar{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the assertion using the triangle inequality. Next, the case $j_i \leq k_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ follows from Step 1, while the case $j_1 > k_1$ and $j_2 \leq k_2$ follows from Step 2 by taking $L^{\bar{p}}$ -norm on both sides. Similarly, for $j_1 \leq k_1$ and $j_2 > k_2$.

Step 4: We conclude the second and third inequalities.

For $|h_1| \leq 2^{-k_1}$ and $j_1 \leq k_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_{h_1}| &\leq 2^{-k_1} \int_0^1 \partial_1(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 + h_1 t, x_2) dt \\ &\leq 2^{-k_1} \sup_{|z_1| \leq 2^{-k_1}} \partial_1(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)(x_1 - z_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

But at this moment we can repeat the arguments from Steps 1 and 2 to obtain

$$\sup_{|h_1| \leq 2^{-k_1}} \|\delta_{h_1}(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim 2^{(j_1 - k_1)} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}.$$

Moreover, for $j_1 > k_1$ the triangle inequality (see Step 3) implies

$$\sup_{|h_1| \leq 2^{-k_1}} \|\delta_{h_1}(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}.$$

Consequently, applying similar arguments for $\delta_{h_2} f$ we conclude the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a compact set, $\bar{p} = (p_1, p_2) \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. Assume further that $g \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) \cap S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}g \subseteq \Omega$. Then, the following inequality holds:*

$$\left\| \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(\cdot - y)}{1 + |y|^{2/r}} \right\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \|g\|_{\bar{p}}.$$

Remark 3.7. The function g should be interpreted as a periodic function in the second component defined on \mathbb{R}^2 . This way the assumption $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}g \subseteq \Omega$ is reasonable in the sense of distributions.

Proof. Let $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ and $x = (x_1, x_2)$. We define the iterated maximal function

$$M(f)(x) := \sup_{I_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1} \frac{1}{|I_1|} \int_{I_1} \sup_{I_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2} \frac{1}{|I_2|} \int_{I_2} |f(z_1, z_2)| dz_1 dz_2,$$

where \mathcal{I}_k denotes the set of all intervals containing x_k .

Step 1: We first show that the inequality

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\varphi(x - y)|}{1 + |y|^{2/r}} \lesssim (M(|\varphi|^r)(x))^{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{3.3}$$

holds for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\varphi \subseteq \Omega$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Denote the cube around the origin with side length $l \in (0, 1]$ by $Q_l := [-l, l] \times [-l, l]$. For any continuous differentiable function h which is defined on Q_1 the mean value theorem yields

$$|h(a)| \lesssim \inf_{z \in Q_1} |h(z)| + \sup_{z \in Q_1} |\nabla h(z)| \lesssim \left(\int_{Q_1} |h(z)|^r dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \sup_{z \in Q_1} |\nabla h(z)|, \quad a \in Q_1.$$

A simple scaling argument shows that for all functions h , which are continuously differentiable on Q_l , we have

$$|h(0)| = |h(l \cdot 0)| \lesssim l^{-\frac{2}{r}} \left(\int_{Q_l} |h(z)|^r dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + l \sup_{z \in Q_l} |\nabla h(z)|.$$

Next, let us fix $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $l \in (0, 1)$ and set $h(a) := \varphi(x - y - a)$. We also denote by Q_l^{x-y} the cube with side length l and center $x - y$. Then, using the previous inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x - y)| = |h(0)| &\lesssim l^{-\frac{2}{r}} \left(\int_{Q_l} |h(z)|^r dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + l \sup_{z \in Q_l} |\nabla h(z)| \\ &= l^{-\frac{2}{r}} \left(\int_{Q_l} |\varphi(x - y - z)|^r dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + l \sup_{z \in Q_l} |\nabla \varphi(x - y - z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the integral on the right-hand side can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{Q_l^{x-y}} |\varphi(z)|^r dz \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} &= \left(\int_{[x_1-y_1-1, x_1-y_1+1]} \int_{[x_2-y_2-1, x_2-y_2+1]} |\varphi(z)|^r dz_2 dz_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{[x_1-|y_1|-1, x_1+|y_1|+1]} \int_{[x_2-|y_2|-1, x_2+|y_2|+1]} |\varphi(z)|^r dz_2 dz_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\leq (4(1 + |y_1|)(1 + |y_2|))^{\frac{1}{r}} (M(|\varphi|^r)(x))^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\lesssim (1 + |y|^{2/r}) (M(|\varphi|^r)(x))^{\frac{1}{r}}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the inequality

$$(1 + |y_1|)^{\frac{1}{r}} (1 + |y_2|)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq (1 + |y|)^{\frac{2}{r}} \lesssim (1 + |y|^{2/r}).$$

As a result, dividing by $(1 + |y|^{2/r})$ and then taking the supremum over $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we obtain

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\varphi(x - y)|}{1 + |y|^{2/r}} \lesssim l^{-\frac{2}{r}} (M(|\varphi|^r)(x))^{\frac{1}{r}} + l \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\nabla \varphi(x - y)|}{1 + |y|^{2/r}}.$$

The assumptions on φ allow us to insert [33, Theorem 1.3.1], that is,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\nabla \varphi(x - y)|}{1 + |y|^{2/r}} \lesssim \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\varphi(x - y)|}{1 + |y|^{2/r}}$$

in the previous inequality in order to obtain

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\varphi(x-y)|}{1+|y|^{2/r}} \lesssim l^{-\frac{2}{r}} (M(|\varphi|^r)(x))^{\frac{1}{r}} + l \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\varphi(x-y)|}{1+|y|^{2/r}}.$$

Choosing $l > 0$ small enough and absorbing the term on the right-hand side proves (3.3).

Step 2: In this step, we want to prove the claim of the lemma. For $p = \infty$, the claim is obvious. For $1 \leq p_i < \infty$ let $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\psi(0) = 1$ and $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\psi \subseteq B_1(0)$. Define $g_\tau(x) := \psi(\tau x)g(x) \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and observe that the function $g_\tau(x)$ is in $S(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by the Paley–Wiener–Schwartz theorem. Then, since the Fourier transformation transforms products of two functions into convolutions we have $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}g_\tau \subseteq B_{\text{diam}(\Omega)+1}(0)$. Consequently, (3.3) implies

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|g_\tau(x-y)|}{1+|y|^{2/r}} \lesssim (M(|g_\tau|^r)(x))^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim (M(|g|^r)(x))^{\frac{1}{r}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, g_τ converges in L^∞ to g as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be a Schwartz function such that $\mathcal{F}\tilde{\varphi} = 1$ on $B_{\text{diam}(\Omega)+1}(0)$. Then, we have

$$g_\tau(x) - g_{\tau'}(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}g_\tau \mathcal{F}\tilde{\varphi} - \mathcal{F}g_{\tau'} \mathcal{F}\tilde{\varphi})(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(g_\tau * \tilde{\varphi}) - \mathcal{F}(g_{\tau'} * \tilde{\varphi}))(x) = (g_\tau - g_{\tau'}) * \tilde{\varphi}(x).$$

By Young's inequality and dominated convergence, this implies that the family $(g_\tau, \tau > 0)$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^∞ . Hence, it converges to some $\tilde{g} \in L^\infty$. By the fundamental lemma of calculus of variations, it is straightforward to show that $\tilde{g} = g$ almost everywhere. Hence, after taking $\tau \rightarrow 0$ in (3.4), we integrate both sides to find

$$\left\| \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(\cdot - y)}{1+|y|^{2/r}} \right\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \| (M(|g|^r))^{\frac{1}{r}} \|_{\bar{p}}.$$

Since $p_i/r > 1$ we can apply [13, Theorem 2.16] (after reducing it to the \mathbb{R}^2 case in the style of [27, Proposition 3.2.4.]), which states that the iterated maximal function is a bounded operator from $L^{\frac{\bar{p}}{r}} \rightarrow L^{\bar{p}}$, and we obtain

$$\left\| \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{g(\cdot - y)}{1+|y|^{2/r}} \right\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \|g\|_{\bar{p}},$$

which proves the lemma. □

Corollary 3.8. *For $a > 2$ and $\bar{p} \in [1, \infty]^2$, the function f_{j_1, j_2}^* from (3.1) satisfies*

$$\|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \leq c \|\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f\|_{\bar{p}},$$

for a constant $c > 0$ that does not depend on f, j_1, j_2 .

Proof. Rescaling $\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f$, we define

$$g_{j_1, j_2}(x_1, x_2) := \Delta_{j_1, j_2} f(2^{-j_1} x_1, 2^{-j_2} x_2)$$

such that the Fourier transform of g_{j_1, j_2} is supported in $[-C, C]^2$ for some fixed C depending only on ρ . Then, we calculate

$$\|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f(x-y)|^{p_2}}{(1+(2^{2j_1}|y_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2}|y_2|^2)^{a/2})^{p_2}} dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{1/p_1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|g_{j_1, j_2}(2^{j_1}x_1 - 2^{j_1}y_1, 2^{j_2}x_2 - 2^{j_2}y_2)|^{p_2}}{(1 + (2^{2j_1}|y_1|^2 + 2^{2j_2}|y_2|^2)^{a/2})^{p_2}} dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{1/p_1} \\
 & = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|g_{j_1, j_2}(2^{j_1}x_1 - y_1, 2^{j_2}x_2 - y_2)|^{p_2}}{(1 + |y_1|^2 + |y_2|^2)^{a/2})^{p_2}} dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{1/p_1} \\
 & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|g_{j_1, j_2}(2^{j_1}x_1 - y_1, 2^{j_2}x_2 - y_2)|^{p_2}}{(1 + |y|^a)^{p_2}} dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{1/p_1} \\
 & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} M(|g|^r)^{\frac{p_2}{r}}(2^{j_1}x_1, 2^{j_2}x_2) dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{1/p_1} \\
 & = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} M(|\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f|^r)^{\frac{p_2}{r}}(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{1/p_1} \\
 & \lesssim \|\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f\|_{\bar{p}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used inequality (3.4) with $r = \frac{2}{a}$, $r \leq \min(p_1, p_2)$ in the fifth step and the strong continuity of the maximal operator similar to Lemma 3.6. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\bar{p} \in [1, \infty]^2$. For every $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ there exists a sequence $(g_{j_1, j_2})_{j_1, j_2 \geq 1} \subset L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ with $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}g_{j_1, j_2} \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi_1| \leq 2^{j_1} \text{ and } |\xi_2| \leq 2^{j_2}\}$ such that $\lim_{j_1 \wedge j_2 \rightarrow \infty} g_{j_1, j_2} = f$ in $S'(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Furthermore, there is a constant c such that*

$$\|g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}\|_{\bar{p}} \leq c \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-j_i}} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\|g_{j_1, 1} - g_{j_1-1, 1}\|_{\bar{p}} \leq c \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-j_1}} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\|g_{1, j_2} - g_{1, j_2-1}\|_{\bar{p}} \leq c \sup_{|r_2| \leq 2^{-j_2}} \|\delta_{r_2} f\|_{\bar{p}}, \tag{3.7}$$

for $j_1, j_2 \geq 2$, and

$$g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square} := g_{j_1, j_2} - g_{j_1-1, j_2} - g_{j_1, j_2-1} + g_{j_1-1, j_2-1}.$$

Proof. Step 1: We show a first estimate of $\|g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}\|_{\bar{p}}$ in terms of $\|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}}$. To this end, we generalize the approach by [19, Chapter 5.2.1] to the rectangular increments. Let $K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function with smooth Fourier transform supported in $[-1, 1]$ and $\int K_b(x) dx = 1$. Set $K_a := a^{-1}K(a^{-1}\cdot)$ for $a > 0$. Then, for $b_1, b_2 \in (0, 1)$, the function

$$g_{b_1, b_2}(x) := (K_{b_1} \otimes K_{b_2}) * f$$

satisfies $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}g_{b_1, b_2} \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi_1| \leq b_1^{-1} \text{ and } |\xi_2| \leq b_2^{-1}\}$ as well as the convergence to f in $S'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $b_1 \vee b_2 \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, the sequence is periodic in the second component, which follows by a simple calculation. Choosing $b = (2^{-j_1}, 2^{-j_2})$, we obtain the sequence $(g_{j_1, j_2})_{j_1, j_2 \geq 1}$ and the first part of the lemma. Now, for the second part we notice that for $h = (h_1, h_2)$ we have

$$K_{2^{-j_i}}(h_i) = 2^{j_i}K(2^{j_i}h_i) = 2^{2j_i-1}K(2^{2j_i-1}h_i) = 2K_{2^{-(j_i-1)}}(2h_i)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, we can compute the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{2^{-(j_1-1)}} \otimes K_{2^{-j_2}}) * f &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{2^{-(j_1-1)}}(h_1) K_{2^{-j_2}}(h_2) f(x_1 - h_1, x_2 - h_2) dh_1 dh_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2K_{2^{-(j_1-1)}}(2h_1) K_{2^{-j_2}}(h_2) f(x_1 - 2h_1, x_2 - h_2) dh_1 dh_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{2^{-j_1}}(h_1) K_{2^{-j_2}}(h_2) f(x_1 - 2h_1, x_2 - h_2) dh_1 dh_2. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner, we obtain

$$(K_{2^{-j_1}} \otimes K_{2^{-(j_2-1)}}) * f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{2^{-j_1}}(h_1) K_{2^{-j_2}}(h_2) f(x_1 - h_1, x_2 - 2h_2) dh_1 dh_2$$

and

$$(K_{2^{-(j_1-1)}} \otimes K_{2^{-(j_2-1)}}) * f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{2^{-j_1}}(h_1) K_{2^{-j_2}}(h_2) f(x_1 - 2h_1, x_2 - 2h_2) dh_1 dh_2.$$

Consequently, we find

$$\begin{aligned} g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square} &= (K_{2^{-j_1}} \otimes K_{2^{-j_2}}) * f - (K_{2^{-(j_1-1)}} \otimes K_{2^{-j_2}}) * f - (K_{2^{-j_1}} \otimes K_{2^{-(j_2-1)}}) * f \\ &\quad + (K_{2^{-(j_1-1)}} \otimes K_{2^{-(j_2-1)}}) * f \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{2^{-j_1}}(h_1) K_{2^{-j_2}}(h_2) (f(x_1 - h_1, x_2 - h_2) \\ &\quad - f(x_1 - 2h_1, x_2 - h_2) - f(x_1 - h_1, x_2 - 2h_2) + f(x_1 - 2h_1, x_2 - 2h_2)) dh_1 dh_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{2^{-j_1}}(-h_1) K_{2^{-j_2}}(-h_2) (f(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2) \\ &\quad - f(x_1 + 2h_1, x_2 + h_2) - f(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + 2h_2) + f(x_1 + 2h_1, x_2 + 2h_2)) dh_1 dh_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{2^{-j_1}}(-h_1) K_{2^{-j_2}}(-h_2) \square_h f(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2) dh_1 dh_2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, Minkowski's integral inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}\|_{\bar{p}} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (K_{2^{-j_1}} \otimes K_{2^{-j_2}})(-h) \square_h f(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2) dh \right|^{p_2} dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(K_{b_1} \otimes K_{b_2})(-h)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\square_h f(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2)|^{p_2} dx_2 \right)^{1/p_2} dh \right)^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(K_{2^{-j_1}} \otimes K_{2^{-j_2}})(-h)| \|\square_h f(\cdot + h_1, \cdot + h_2)\|_{\bar{p}} dh \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(K_{2^{-j_1}} \otimes K_{2^{-j_2}})(-h)| \|\square_h f\|_{\bar{p}} dh \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(K_{2^{-j_1}} \otimes K_{2^{-j_2}})(-h)| \sup_{|r_i| \leq |h_i|} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} dh \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(K \otimes K)(-h)| \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-j_i} |h_i|} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} dh. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: We will prove (3.5). In order to estimate $\sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-j_i} |h_i|} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}}$ in the previous line, we need to establish the following property:

$$\sup_{|r_i| \leq h_i l_i} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}} \leq (1 + h_1)(1 + h_2) \sup_{|r_i| \leq l_i} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}} \tag{3.8}$$

for $h = (h_1, h_2), l = (l_1, l_2) \in (0, \infty)^2$ and $\tilde{f} \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. First, we note that, for integers $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|r_i| \leq n_i l_i$, we obtain with $\tilde{r}_i := r_i/n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}} &= \|\delta_{r_2}(\tilde{f}(\cdot + r_1, \cdot) - \tilde{f}(\cdot, \cdot))\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &= \|\delta_{r_2}(\tilde{f}(\cdot + \tilde{r}_1 n_1, \cdot) - \tilde{f}(\cdot, \cdot))\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &= \left\| \delta_{r_2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \tilde{f}(\cdot + j\tilde{r}_1, \cdot) - \tilde{f}(\cdot + (j-1)\tilde{r}_1, \cdot) \right) \right\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \|\delta_{r_2}(\tilde{f}(\cdot + j\tilde{r}_1, \cdot) - \tilde{f}(\cdot + (j-1)\tilde{r}_1, \cdot))\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &= n_1 \|\delta_{r_2}(\tilde{f}(\cdot + \tilde{r}_1, \cdot) - \tilde{f}(\cdot, \cdot))\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &= n_1 \|\delta_{\tilde{r}_1}(\tilde{f}(\cdot, \cdot + n_2 \tilde{r}_2) - \tilde{f}(\cdot, \cdot))\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &\leq n_1 \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \|\delta_{\tilde{r}_1}(\tilde{f}(\cdot, \cdot + j\tilde{r}_2) - \tilde{f}(\cdot, \cdot + (j-1)\tilde{r}_2))\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &= n_1 n_2 \|\square_{(\tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2)} \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\sup_{|r_i| \leq n_i l_i} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}} \leq n_1 n_2 \sup_{|r_i| \leq l_i} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}}$. Using this estimate, we deduce (3.8) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|r_i| \leq h_i l_i} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}} &\leq \sup_{|r_i| \leq \lceil h_i \rceil l_i} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &\leq \lceil h_1 \rceil \lceil h_2 \rceil \sup_{|r_i| \leq l_i} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &\leq (1 + h_1)(1 + h_2) \sup_{|r_i| \leq l_i} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} \tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lceil z \rceil := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq z\}$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$. In combination with Step 1 we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{j_1, j_2}^\square\|_{\bar{p}} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(K \otimes K)(-h)| \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-j_i} |h_i|} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} dh \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(K \otimes K)(-h)|(1 + |h_1|)(1 + |h_2|) \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-j_i}} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} dh \\ &= \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-j_i}} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(h)(1 + |h|) dh \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since K is rapidly decaying the last integral is finite and the estimate (3.5) is done.

Step 3: We want to show (3.6) and (3.7). We use the same representation as before, namely

$$(K_{2^{-(j_1-1)}} \otimes K_{2^{-j_2}}) * f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{2^{-j_1}}(h_1) K_{2^{-j_2}}(h_2) f(x_1 - 2h_1, x_2 - h_2) dh_1 dh_2$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_{j_1,1} - g_{j_1-1,1} &= (K_{2^{-j_1}} \otimes K_{2^{-1}}) * f - (K_{2^{-(j_1-1)}} \otimes K_{2^{-1}}) * f \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{2^{-j_1}}(h_1) K_{2^{-1}}(h_2) (f(x_1 - h_1, x_2 - h_2) - f(x_1 - 2h_1, x_2 - h_2)) dh_1 dh_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} K_{2^{-j_1}}(-h_1) K_{2^{-1}}(-h_2) \delta_{h_1} f(x_1 + h_1, x_2 + h_2) dh_1 dh_2. \end{aligned}$$

Again, applying Minkowski's integral inequality and a variable transformation in x_1 we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_{j_1,1} - g_{j_1-1,1}\|_{\bar{p}} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(K_{2^{-j_1}} \otimes K_{2^{-1}})(-h)| \|\delta_{h_1} f\|_{\bar{p}} dh \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_{2^{-j_1}}(-h_1)| \|\delta_{h_1} f\|_{\bar{p}} dh_1 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K(-h_1)| \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-j_1} |h_1|} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}} dh_1. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously to (3.8) or using [19, Chapter 4.2, Eq. (8)] we have

$$\sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-j_1} |h_1|} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}} \leq (1 + |h_1|) \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-j_1}} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\|g_{j_1,1} - g_{j_1-1,1}\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-j_1}} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K(-h_1)| (1 + |h_1|) dh.$$

As before, the integral on the right-hand side is finite since K is rapidly decreasing and therefore (3.6) is proved. In the same way, we can verify (3.7). \square

With these auxiliary lemmata at hand we can prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Step 1: We show $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}$ for all $f \in B_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$.

Let $f \in B_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ with anisotropic Littlewood–Paley decomposition $f = \sum_{j,k \geq -1} \Delta_{j,k} f$. Due to Lemma 2.4, we first note that $f \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ and $\|f\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}$.

By the triangle inequality and Lemma 3.4, we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-k_i}} \|\square_{r_1, r_2} f\|_{\bar{p}} &= \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-k_i}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f(x_1, x_2)|^{p_2} dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{1/p_1} \\ &\leq \sum_{j_1, j_2 \geq -1} \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-k_i}} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)}(\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f)\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j_1, j_2 \geq -1} \min(1, 2^{(j_1 - k_1)}) \min(1, 2^{(j_2 - k_2)}) \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Discretizing the integrals, we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq h_2} \|\square_{r_1, r_2} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-k_i}} \|\square_{r_1, r_2} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \right)^{1/q_1} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=-1}^{k_2} 2^{(j_1-k_1)+(j_2-k_2)} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \right)^{1/q_1} \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2 > k_2} 2^{(j_1-k_1)} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \right)^{1/q_1} \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1 > k_1} \sum_{j_2=-1}^{k_2} 2^{(j_2-k_2)} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \right)^{1/q_1} \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1 > k_1} \sum_{j_2 > k_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \right)^{1/q_1} \\ & =: T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4. \end{aligned}$$

For some $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{1 - \alpha_1, 1 - \alpha_2\})$, we can bound with Jensen's inequality (or Hölder inequality)

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^{q_1} &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=-1}^{k_2} 2^{((1-\alpha_1)(j_1-k_1)+(1-\alpha_2)(j_2-k_2))} 2^{(\alpha_1 j_1 + \alpha_2 j_2)} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=-1}^{k_2} 2^{((1-\alpha_1-\varepsilon)(j_1-k_1)+(1-\alpha_2-\varepsilon)(j_2-k_2))} 2^{(\alpha_1 j_1 + \alpha_2 j_2) q_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \\ &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} 2^{(1-\alpha_1-\varepsilon)(j_1-k_1) q_2} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1 q_2} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2 \leq k_2} 2^{(1-\alpha_2-\varepsilon)(j_2-k_2) q_2} 2^{\alpha_2 j_2 q_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} 2^{(1-\alpha_1-\varepsilon)(j_1-k_1) q_2} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1 q_2} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 j_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{j_2} \right\|_{\ell_{q_2}}^{q_2} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 j_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 j_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{j_2} \right\|_{\ell_{q_2}} \right)_{j_1} \right\|_{\ell_{q_1}}^{q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for some $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{1 - \alpha_1, \alpha_2\})$ we have

$$T_2^{q_1} = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2 > k_2} 2^{((1-\alpha_1)(j_1-k_1)-\alpha_2(j_2-k_2))} 2^{(\alpha_1 j_1 + \alpha_2 j_2)} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} 2^{(1-\alpha_1-\varepsilon)(j_1-k_1)q_2} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1 q_2} \sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=-1}^{j_2-1} 2^{-(\alpha_2-\varepsilon)(j_2-k_2)q_2} 2^{\alpha_2 j_2 q_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \\ &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} 2^{(1-\alpha_1-\varepsilon)(j_1-k_1)q_2} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1 q_2} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 j_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{j_2} \right\|_{\ell_{q_2}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 j_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 j_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{j_2} \right\|_{\ell_{q_2}} \right)_{j_1} \right\|_{\ell_{q_1}}^{q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining two terms can be estimated analogously. Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq h_2} \|\square_{r_1, r_2} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 j_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 j_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{j_2} \right\|_{\ell_{q_2}} \right)_{j_1} \right\|_{\ell_{q_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the increments in the one direction, we discretize the one-dimensional integral and apply Lemma 3.4 to find

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|} \|\delta_{h_1} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k_1 q_1} \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-k_1}} \|\delta_{h_1} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \min(1, 2^{(j_1-k_1)}) \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} \sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{(j_1-k_1)} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=k_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to treat the first term, we fix some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1 - \alpha_1)$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} 2^{(j_1-k_1)} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} 2^{j_2 \alpha_2} 2^{(j_1-k_1)} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha_2 \frac{q_2}{q_2-1} j_2} \right)^{\frac{q_2-1}{q_2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} 2^{\alpha_1 k_1} 2^{(j_1-k_1)} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \left(2^{j_2 \alpha_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1} 2^{(1-\alpha_1-\varepsilon)(j_1-k_1)} 2^{\varepsilon(j_1-k_1)} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \left(2^{j_2 \alpha_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1=-1}^{k_1} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1 q_1} 2^{(1-\alpha_1-\varepsilon)(j_1-k_1)q_1} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \left(2^{j_2 \alpha_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \left(2^{j_2 \alpha_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \left(\sum_{k_1=j_1}^{\infty} 2^{-(1-\alpha_1-\varepsilon)k_1-j_1 q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \lesssim \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \left(2^{j_2 \alpha_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used Hölder’s inequality in the first and fourth steps and the triangle inequality in the second step. Similar, for some $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha_1)$ we can estimate the second term as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=k_1+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=k_1+1}^{\infty} 2^{j_2 \alpha_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \leq \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=k_1+1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{j_2 \alpha_2 q_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j_1=k_1+1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1} 2^{-(\alpha_1-\varepsilon)(j_1-k_1)} 2^{-\varepsilon(j_1-k_1)} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{j_2 \alpha_2 q_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_1=k_1+1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1 q_1} 2^{-(\alpha_1-\varepsilon)(j_1-k_1)q_1} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{j_2 \alpha_2 q_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{j_2 \alpha_2 q_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \left(\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(\alpha_1 - \varepsilon) k_1 q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{j_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 j_1 q_1} \left(\sum_{j_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{j_2 \alpha_2 q_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Hölder’s inequality in the first and fourth steps and the triangle inequality in the second step. Hence, we arrive at

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \|\delta_{h_1} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \lesssim \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 j_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 j_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{j_2} \right\|_{\ell_{q_2}} \right)_{j_1} \right\|_{\ell_{q_1}}.$$

Performing the analogously steps with the integrand $\delta_{h_2} f$ and combining these estimations with Corollary 3.8, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)} &\lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{p}} + \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 j_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 j_2} \|f_{j_1, j_2}^*\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{j_2} \right\|_{\ell_{q_2}} \right)_{j_1} \right\|_{\ell_{q_1}} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 j_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 j_2} \|\Delta_{j_1, j_2} f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{j_2} \right\|_{\ell_{q_2}} \right)_{j_1} \right\|_{\ell_{q_1}} = \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: We prove $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}$, which shows together with Step 1 the equivalence of $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}$ and $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}$.

Let $(g_{j_1, j_2})_{j_1, j_2 \geq 1} \subseteq L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ be a sequence of functions fulfilling $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}g_{j_1, j_2} \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi_1| \leq 2^{j_1} \text{ and } |\xi_2| \leq 2^{j_2}\}$ and $f = \lim_{j_1 \wedge j_2 \rightarrow \infty} g_{j_1, j_2}$ in $S'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as constructed in Lemma 3.9.

Setting $g_{j_1, j_2} = 0$ if $j_1 = 0$ or $j_2 = 0$ we find, for any $J_1, J_2 \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j_1=1}^{J_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{J_2} (g_{j_1, j_2} - g_{j_1-1, j_2} - g_{j_1, j_2-1} + g_{j_1-1, j_2-1}) &= \sum_{j_1=1}^{J_1} (g_{j_1, J_2} - g_{j_1, 0} - g_{j_1-1, J_2} + g_{j_1-1, 0}) \\ &= \sum_{j_1=1}^{J_1} (g_{j_1, J_2} - g_{j_1-1, J_2}) = g_{J_1, J_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we can write f as a telescope sum

$$f = \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} (g_{j_1, j_2} - g_{j_1-1, j_2} - g_{j_1, j_2-1} + g_{j_1-1, j_2-1}) = \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}$$

with

$$g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square} := g_{j_1, j_2} - g_{j_1-1, j_2} - g_{j_1, j_2-1} + g_{j_1-1, j_2-1}.$$

Next, let $k_1, k_2 \geq -1$ be the indices from the Littlewood–Paley characterization. Then, the convergence of the telescope sum in $S'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ implies

$$\|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} f\|_{\bar{p}} = \left\| \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{k_1, k_2} (g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}) \right\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} (g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square})\|_{\bar{p}}.$$

As previously, $g_{j_1, j_2}^\square \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ implies the periodicity in the second component of the Littlewood–Paley block $\Delta_{k_1, k_2}(g_{j_1, j_2}^\square)$ and therefore the $L^{\bar{p}}$ -norm is well-defined. Now, the idea is to split the double sum into four terms

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1=1}^\infty \sum_{j_2=1}^\infty \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{j_1, j_2}^\square\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &= \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{1,1}^\square\|_{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j_1=2}^\infty \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{j_1,1}^\square\|_{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j_2=2}^\infty \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{1, j_2}^\square\|_{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j_1=2}^\infty \sum_{j_2=2}^\infty \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{j_1, j_2}^\square\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &= \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{1,1}\|_{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j_1=2}^\infty \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2}(g_{j_1,1} - g_{j_1-1,1})\|_{\bar{p}} \\ & \quad + \sum_{j_2=2}^\infty \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2}(g_{1, j_2} - g_{1, j_2-1})\|_{\bar{p}} + \sum_{j_1=2}^\infty \sum_{j_2=2}^\infty \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{j_1, j_2}^\square\|_{\bar{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and to analyze each one individually. More precisely, we want to estimate them in the $\ell^{q_1}(\ell^{q_2})$ norm. By no surprise each term is going to correspond to one term in $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}$. Before we start with each term, let us make the following observation.

For some $\tilde{f} \in L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2}(\tilde{f})\|_{\bar{p}} &= \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_{k_1} \otimes \rho_{k_2}) * \tilde{f}]\|_{\bar{p}} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\rho_{k_1} \otimes \rho_{k_2})]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|\tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\rho]\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \|\tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \|\tilde{f}\|_{\bar{p}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where we used Young inequality for $L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ (Lemma 2.1) in the second step.

Let us now start with the first term. By Lemma 3.9 we have $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}g_{1,1} \subseteq Q_2$, where Q_2 is a cube with side length 2 centered at the origin. Therefore, by the support of the Littlewood–Paley decomposition we have

$$\|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{1,1}\|_{\bar{p}} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((\rho_{k_1} \otimes \rho_{k_2})\mathcal{F}g_{1,1})\|_{\bar{p}} = 0 \quad \text{for } k_1 \geq 2 \text{ or } k_2 \geq 2.$$

Together with (3.9) and an application of Young’s inequality for $L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^\infty 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^\infty 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{1,1}\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^1 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^1 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{1,1}\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ &\lesssim \|g_{1,1}\|_{\bar{p}} = \|(K_1 \otimes K_1) * f\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |K_1(h)| dh \right)^2 \|f\|_{\bar{p}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

This completes the treatment of the first term.

For the second term, we note that by the support of the Littlewood–Paley decomposition and $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}g_{j_1,1} \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi_1| \leq 2^{j_1} \text{ and } |\xi_2| \leq 2\}$ we have

$$\|\Delta_{k_1, k_2}(g_{j_1,1} - g_{j_1-1,1})\|_{\bar{p}} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((\rho_{k_1} \otimes \rho_{k_2})\mathcal{F}(g_{j_1,1} - g_{j_1-1,1}))\|_{\bar{p}} = 0$$

for $k_1 \geq j_1 + 2$ or $k_2 \geq 2$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1=2}^{\infty} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbf{g}_{j_1, 1} - \mathbf{g}_{j-1, 1})\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \leq \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^1 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \mathbf{1}_{\{k_1-1 \leq j_1\}} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbf{g}_{j_1, 1} - \mathbf{g}_{j-1, 1})\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & = \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=\max(k_1-1, 2)}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^1 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbf{g}_{j_1, 1} - \mathbf{g}_{j-1, 1})\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=\max(k_1-1, 2)}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^1 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \|\mathbf{g}_{j_1, 1} - \mathbf{g}_{j-1, 1}\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=\max(k_1-1, 2)}^{\infty} \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-j_1}} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \leq \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=k_1-1}^{\infty} \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-j_1}} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \leq \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-(j_1+k_1-2)}} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha_1 j_1} \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 (k_1-2+j_1)} \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-(j_1+k_1-2)}} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \leq \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha_1 j_1} \left(\sum_{k_1=-2}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-k_1}} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=-2}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \sup_{|r_1| \leq 2^{-k_1}} \|\delta_{r_1} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.9) in the third step and (3.6) in the fourth step. We also utilized multiple times the Fourier support of the functions as well as multiple index shifts.

Now, a Riemann sum argument reveals that

$$\left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \sum_{j_1=2}^{\infty} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbf{g}_{j_1, 1} - \mathbf{g}_{j-1, 1})\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|} \|\delta_{r_1}^1 f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}. \tag{3.11}$$

Going through the same steps with the third term we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \sum_{j_2=2}^{\infty} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbf{g}_{1, j_2} - \mathbf{g}_{1, j_2-1})\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_2| \leq |h_2|} \|\delta_{r_2}^1 f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Hence, it remains to estimate the last term in our telescope sum decomposition. Again, we observe that by the support of the Littlewood–Paley decomposition and $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}g_{j_1, j_2} \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi_1| \leq 2^{j_1} \text{ and } |\xi_2| \leq 2^{j_2}\}$ we have

$$\|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} \mathbf{g}_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}\|_{\bar{p}} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}((\rho_{k_1} \otimes \rho_{k_2}) \mathcal{F}g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square})\|_{\bar{p}} = 0 \quad \text{for } k_1 \geq j_1 + 2 \text{ or } k_2 \geq j_2 + 2.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=2}^{\infty} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} \mathbf{g}_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ & = \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{k_1-1 \leq j_1\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{k_2-1 \leq j_2\}} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} \mathbf{g}_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{k_1-1 \leq j_1\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{k_2-1 \leq j_2\}} \|\mathbf{g}_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{k_1-1 \leq j_1\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{k_2-1 \leq j_2\}} \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-j_i}} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=k_1-1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_2=k_2-1}^{\infty} \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-j_i}} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} \sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-(j_i+k_i-2)}} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \right)^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-(j_i+k_i-2)}} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha_1 j_1} 2^{-\alpha_2 j_2} \left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 (j_1+k_1-2)} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 (j_2+k_2-2)} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \times \left(\sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-(j_i+k_i-2)}} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &\leq \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha_1 j_1} 2^{-\alpha_2 j_2} \left(\sum_{k_1=-2}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-2}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-k_i}} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k_1=-2}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-2}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sup_{|r_i| \leq 2^{-k_i}} \|\square_r f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.9) in the second step and (3.5) in the third step. After that, we used multiple index shifts as well as the triangle inequality for the ℓ^{q_1}, ℓ^{q_2} -norms in the seventh step. Employing Riemann sum arguments yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\sum_{k_1=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_1 q_1 k_1} \left(\sum_{k_2=-1}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha_2 q_2 k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=2}^{\infty} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} \mathbf{g}_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}\|_{\bar{p}} \right)^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\
 &\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq h_2} \|\square_{r_1, r_2} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{\frac{q_2}{p}} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1}. \tag{3.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting (3.10)–(3.13) together we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 k_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 k_2} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} f\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{k_1 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \right)_{k_2 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}} \\
 &= \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 k_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 k_2} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} \left(\sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{g}_{j_1, j_2}^{\square} \right)\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{k_1 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \right)_{k_2 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}} \\
 &\leq \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 k_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 k_2} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} \mathbf{g}_{1, 1}\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{k_1 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \right)_{k_2 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}} \\
 &\quad + \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 k_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 k_2} \sum_{j_1=2}^{\infty} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} (\mathbf{g}_{j_1, 1} - \mathbf{g}_{j_1-1, 1})\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{k_1 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \right)_{k_2 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}} \\
 &\quad + \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 k_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 k_2} \sum_{j_2=2}^{\infty} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} (\mathbf{g}_{1, j_2} - \mathbf{g}_{1, j_2-1})\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{k_1 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \right)_{k_2 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_1 k_1} \left\| \left(2^{\alpha_2 k_2} \sum_{j_1=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=2}^{\infty} \|\Delta_{k_1, k_2} g_{j_1, j_2}^{\square}\|_{\bar{p}} \right)_{k_1 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_2}} \right)_{k_2 \geq -1} \right\|_{\ell^{q_1}} \\
 & \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: We show the equivalence of $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}$ and $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$. Since $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}$ is obvious, it remains to verify $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$. We start with by estimating the square integrands in the norms $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}$ and $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$. Moreover, we notice that the only difficulty happens for h_i near zero. Therefore, we are only interested in a small rectangle near zero. Let $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $|h_i| \leq |r_i| \leq 2|h_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$. We write the rectangular increments as

$$\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f(x_1, x_2) = \square_{(r_1, \frac{r_2}{|r_2|} |h_2|)} f(x_1, x_2) + \square_{(r_1, r_2 - \frac{r_2}{|r_2|} |h_2|)} f\left(x_1, x_2 + \frac{r_2}{|r_2|} |h_2|\right).$$

Owing to $|r_2 - \frac{|h_2|}{|r_2|} r_2| = |r_2|(1 - \frac{|h_2|}{|r_2|}) = |r_2| - |h_2| \leq |h_2|$, we obtain

$$\|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \sup_{\tau: |\tau|=1} \|\square_{(r_1, \tau h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} + \sup_{|s_2| \leq |h_2|} \|\square_{(r_1, s_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}.$$

The above inequality is obviously true for $r_2 \leq |h_2|$, too. Therefore,

$$\sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq 2|h_2|} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |\tau|=1} \|\square_{(r_1, \tau h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} + \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq |h_2|} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}.$$

Starting with $q_1, q_2 < \infty$, integrating both sides leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq 2|h_2|} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\
 & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |\tau|=1} \|\square_{(r_1, \tau h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\
 & + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq |h_2|} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, a change of variable $2h_2 \rightarrow h_2$ reveals

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2^{\alpha_2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq h_2} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\
 & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |\tau|=1} \|\square_{(r_1, \tau h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\
 & + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq |h_2|} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq |h_2|} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2^{\alpha_2 - 1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |\tau|=1} \|\square_{(r_1, \tau h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\
 & \leq \frac{2}{2^{\alpha_2 - 1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|} \|\square_{(r_1, h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1}, \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last estimated follows from $\sup_{|\tau|=1} \|\square_{(r_1, \tau h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \|\square_{(r_1, h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} + \|\square_{(r_1, -h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}$ and substituting $-h_2 \rightarrow h_2$ for the second term. Proceeding similarly in the first coordinate, we deduce from

$$\sup_{|r_1| \leq 2|h_1|} \|\square_{(r_1, h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \sup_{|\tau|=1} \|\square_{(\tau h_1, h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} + \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|} \|\square_{(r_1, h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq h_1} \|\square_{(r_1, h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\
 & \leq \frac{2}{2^{\alpha_1 - 1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \|\square_{(h_1, h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1}. \tag{3.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combing (3.14) and (3.15), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \sup_{|r_1| \leq |h_1|, |r_2| \leq |h_2|} \|\square_{(r_1, r_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\
 & \leq \frac{2}{2^{\alpha_1 - 1}} \frac{2}{2^{\alpha_2 - 1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |h_1|^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |h_2|^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \|\square_{(h_1, h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\
 & = \frac{2}{2^{\alpha_1 - 1}} \frac{2}{2^{\alpha_2 - 1}} \left\| \frac{\|\square_{(h_1, h_2)} f\|_{\bar{p}}}{|h_1|^{\alpha_1 + 1/q_1} |h_2|^{\alpha_2 + 1/q_2}} \right\|_{(q_1, q_2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we obtain the corresponding result for $q_1 = \infty$ or $q_2 = \infty$ by canonical modifications of the above formulas.

Moreover, the directional integrands $\delta_{h_1} f$, $\delta_{h_2} f$ in the norms $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}$, $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$ behave as in the isotropic case. Therefore, one can repeat [32, Theorem 2.5.12, Step 3] in a similar fashion as above to obtain the corresponding estimates. This implies the equivalence of $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(1)}$, $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$ and the theorem is proven. \square

3.2 | Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness on compact domains

Functions spaces on domains play a crucial role, for example, in the study of partial differential equations and of stochastic processes, cf. Section 4. In view of the difference characterizations of Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness, presented in Theorem 3.2, there is a natural localized version of the Besov norm with dominating mixed smoothness as

well. We define for the domain $D := [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}$ the local Besov norms with dominating mixed smoothness on D by

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)} := & \|f\|_{D, \bar{p}} + \left(\int_0^1 h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_0^1 h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} (\|\square_{(h_1, h_2)} f\|_{D-h_1, \bar{p}})^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}} \\ & + \left(\int_0^1 h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} (\|\delta_{h_2} f\|_{D, \bar{p}})^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} + \left(\int_0^1 h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} (\|\delta_{h_1} f\|_{D-h_1, \bar{p}})^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|f\|_{D, \bar{p}} := \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x_1, x_2)|^{p_2} dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}}$$

and $D - h_1 := [0, \min\{T - h_1, 0\}] \times \mathbb{T}$. Following, for example, [32, Section 4.3.2], we introduce

$$B_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(D) := \{f \in B_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}) : \text{supp } f \subseteq D\}$$

and

$$S'_{\pi_2}(D) := \{f \in S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2) : \text{supp } f \subseteq D\}.$$

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2 and verifies that $\|f\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$ is indeed a localized version of $\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}$:

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\bar{p}, \bar{q} \in [1, \infty]^2$ and $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1)^2$. Then, $\|\cdot\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}$ are equivalent norms in $B_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(D)$, that is,*

$$\|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}, \quad \text{for } f \in S'(D) \cap L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Remark 3.11. Another way to define a local version of the Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness is to introduce the norm

$$\|f\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}} := \inf\{\|g\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}} : g \in S'(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ s.t. } g = f \text{ on } D\}.$$

Unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge in the case with dominating mixed smoothness not many results are known regarding the above norm. However, there are many results regarding these type of norms in the isotropic case, see, for instance, [23, 32]. The alternative characterization of the Besov spaces (Theorem 3.2) could be a crucial tool to obtain the corresponding results in the case with dominating mixed smoothness, which appears to be an interesting question for future research.

The global Besov norm with dominating mixed smoothness and its corresponding local version are additionally related by following multiplier theorem.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $f \in S'_{\pi_2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\|f\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)} < \infty$ for some $D := [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}$, where $T \in (0, \infty)$, $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, 1)^2$, $\bar{p}, \bar{q} \in [1, \infty]^2$. Then for any $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq [0, T]$ we have $(\varphi \otimes 1)f \in B_{\bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and*

$$\|(\varphi \otimes 1)f\|_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{C^1} \|f\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$$

for $\|\varphi\|_{C^1} := \|\varphi\|_{\infty} + \|\varphi'\|_{\infty}$.

Proof. For the $L^{\bar{p}}$ -norm, the support assumption on φ immediately implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varphi \otimes 1)f\|_{\bar{p}} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\varphi(x_1)f(x_1, x_2)|^{p_2} dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{1/p_1} \\ &= \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\varphi(x_1)|^{p_2} |f(x_1, x_2)|^{p_2} dx_2 \right)^{p_1/p_2} dx_1 \right)^{1/p_1} \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \|f\|_{D, \bar{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we have to estimate $\|\square_{h_1, h_2}((\varphi \otimes 1)f)\|_{D-h_1, \bar{p}}$ for any $h_1 \in [0, 1]^2$. We can write with directional increments

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{h_1, h_2}((\varphi \otimes 1)f)(x_1, x_2) &= \delta_{h_1}^1 \delta_{h_2}^2 ((\varphi \otimes 1)f)(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \delta_{h_1}^1 \left(\varphi(x_1) \delta_{h_2}^2 f(x_1, x_2) \right) \\ &= \varphi(x_1 + h_1) \square_{h_1, h_2} f(x_1, x_2) + \delta_{h_1}^1 \varphi(x_1) \delta_{h_2}^2 f(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

The first term we can estimate as follows:

$$|\varphi(x_1 + h_1) \square_{h_1, h_2} f(x_1, x_2)| \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} |\square_{h_1, h_2} f(x_1, x_2)|.$$

For the second term, we use the mean value theorem in order to find

$$|\delta_{h_1}^1 \varphi(x_1) \delta_{h_2}^2 f(x_1, x_2)| \leq \|\varphi'\|_{L^\infty} h_1 |\delta_{h_2}^2 f(x_1, x_2)|.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\square_{h_1, h_2}((\varphi \otimes 1)f)(x_1, x_2)\|_{D-h_1, \bar{p}} \\ \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|\square_{h_1, h_2} f\|_{D-h_1, \bar{p}} + h_1 \|\varphi'\|_{L^\infty} \|\delta_{h_2}^2 f\|_{D-h_1, \bar{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have to integrate over h_1, h_2 with the associated weights/powers (see definition of $\|f\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}$). We notice that $h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \frac{h_1^{q_1}}{|h_1|}$ is integrable over $[0, 1]$ for $i = 1, 2$ since $1 - \alpha_i > 0$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_0^1 h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} (\|\square_{h_1, h_2}((\varphi \otimes 1)f)\|_{D-h_1, \bar{p}})^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{C^1}^{q_1} \left(\int_0^1 h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_0^1 h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} (\|\square_{h_1, h_2} f\|_{D-h_1, \bar{p}})^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int_0^1 h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} (\|\delta_{h_2}^2 f\|_{D, \bar{p}})^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{q_1/q_2} \right) \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{C^1}^{q_1} \left(\|f\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)} \right)^{q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\delta_{h_2}((\varphi \otimes 1)f)\|_{D,\bar{p}} = \|\varphi\delta_{h_2}f\|_{D,\bar{p}} \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty \|\delta_{h_2}f\|_{D,\bar{p}},$$

it remains to examine the term $\|\delta_{h_1}((\varphi \otimes 1)f)\|_{D-h_1,\bar{p}}$. Using the mean-value theorem, we find

$$|\delta_{h_1}((\varphi \otimes 1)f)| \leq |f(x_1 + h_1, x_2)\delta_{h_1}\varphi| + |\varphi\delta_{h_1}f| \leq \|\varphi'\|_{L^\infty} |h_1| |f(x_1 + h_1, x_2)| + \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} |\delta_{h_1}f|.$$

Consequently, multiplying with $h_1^{-q_1\alpha_1}$ and integrating over h_1 we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \|\delta_{h_1}(\varphi f)\|_{D-h_1,\bar{p}}^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \\ & \leq \|\varphi'\|_{L^\infty}^{q_1} \int_0^1 h_1^{(1-\alpha_1)q_1} \|f(\cdot + h_1, x_2)\|_{D-h_1,\bar{p}}^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} + \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}^{q_1} \int_0^1 h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \|\delta_{h_1}f\|_{D-h_1,\bar{p}}^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \\ & \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{C^1}^{q_1} \left(\|f\|_{D,\bar{p}}^{q_1} + \int_0^1 h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \|\delta_{h_1}f\|_{D-h_1,\bar{p}}^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the first integral over h_1 is finite since $\|f(\cdot + h_1, x_2)\|_{D-h_1,\bar{p}} \leq \|f\|_{D,\bar{p}}$ and $h_1^{(1-\alpha_1)q_1-1}$ is integrable over $[0, 1]$. Combining this with the previous estimates we establish the result. \square

4 | REGULARITY OF RANDOM FIELDS

While having access to various equivalent characterizations of Besov spaces comes with many advantages, in this section, we want to briefly discuss one in the context of probability. As a rather straightforward consequence of the presented characterization of Besov spaces with mixed dominating smoothness by differences (Theorem 3.2), we shall derive a version of Kolmogorov’s continuity criterion for the Besov regularity with dominating mixed smoothness of random fields. Recall that the Kolmogorov’s continuity criterion is a standard tool to verify the sample path regularity of stochastic processes, see e.g. [30]. Moreover, let us remark that the study of Besov, Sobolev, and Hölder regularity of stochastic processes and random fields is a classical topic of on-going interest, see, for example, [2, 7, 14, 22, 24, 25], and references therein as well as [10] for a more detailed discussion on related works.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with expectation operator \mathbb{E} , $T \in [1, \infty)$, and $(\mathbb{R}^m, |\cdot|)$ be the Euclidean space. Furthermore, let $X : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a continuous random field which is periodic in the second argument, that is, for each $x_1, x_2 \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}$, $X(x_1, x_2)$ is a real-valued random variable and the mapping $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto X(x_1, x_2)(\omega)$ is continuous for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $X : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a continuous random field. If $\bar{p} = (p_1, p_2), \bar{q} = (q_1, q_2) \in [1, \infty)^2$ with $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq p_1 \leq p_2$ and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in (0, 1)^2$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[|\square_{(h_1, h_2)} X(x_1, x_2)|^{p_2}] \lesssim h_1^{(1+\alpha_1 q_1) \frac{p_2}{q_1}} h_2^{(1+\alpha_2 q_2) \frac{p_2}{q_2}}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[|\delta_{h_1} X(x)|^{p_2}] \lesssim h_1^{(1+\alpha_1 q_1) \frac{p_2}{q_1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[|\delta_{h_2} X(x)|^{p_2}] \lesssim h_2^{(1+\alpha_2 q_2) \frac{p_2}{q_2}},$$

for all $x_1 \in [0, T - h_1], x_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ and $h_1, h_2 \in [0, T]$, then $\|X\|_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)} < \infty$ almost surely, where $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

Proof. Using Fubini's theorem, Jensen's inequality the assumption of the proposition, we get for $D = [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_0^1 h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} (\|\square_{(h_1, h_2)} X\|_{D-h_1, \bar{p}})^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right] \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{T-h_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}[|\square_{(h_1, h_2)} X(x_1, x_2)|^{p_2}] dx_2 \right)^{\frac{p_1}{p_2}} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p_1}} \frac{dh_2}{h_2^{1+\alpha_2 q_2}} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \frac{dh_1}{h_1^{1+\alpha_1 q_1}} \\ & \lesssim \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 h_1^{(1+\alpha_1 q_1) \frac{p_2}{q_1} \frac{p_1}{p_2} \frac{q_2}{p_1}} h_2^{(1+\alpha_2 q_2) \frac{p_2}{q_2} \frac{p_1}{p_2} \frac{q_2}{p_1}} \frac{dh_2}{h_2^{1+\alpha_2 q_2}} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q_2}} \frac{dh_1}{h_1^{1+\alpha_1 q_1}} \lesssim 1, \\ & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} (\|\delta_{h_1} X\|_{D-h_1, \bar{p}})^{q_1} \frac{dh_1}{|h_1|} \right] \\ & = \int_0^1 h_1^{-\alpha_1 q_1} \left(\int_0^{T-h_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}[|\delta_{h_1} X(x_1, x_2)|^{p_2}] dx_2 \right)^{\frac{p_1}{p_2}} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{q_1}{p_1}} \frac{dh_1}{h_1} \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^1 h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} (\|\delta_{h_2} X\|_{D, \bar{p}})^{q_2} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \right] \\ & = \int_0^1 h_2^{-\alpha_2 q_2} \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{E}[|\delta_{h_2} X(x_1, x_2)|^{p_2}] dx_2 \right)^{\frac{p_1}{p_2}} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{q_2}{p_1}} \frac{dh_2}{|h_2|} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since X is continuous on $[0, T]^2$, we have $\|X\|_{D, \bar{p}} < \infty$ almost surely.

Hence, we get $\mathbb{E}[\|X\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)}] < \infty$ and thus $\|X\|_{D, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}}^{(2)} < \infty$ almost surely. □

Remark 4.2. The assumption in Proposition 4.1 that the random field X is continuous can be replaced by a suitable condition on the parameters $\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{\alpha}$. Indeed, since

$$\mathbb{E}[|X(x+h) - X(x)|^{p_2}] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[|\square_h X(x)|^{p_2}] + \mathbb{E}[|\delta_{h_1} X(x)|^{p_2}] + \mathbb{E}[|\delta_{h_2} X(x)|^{p_2}],$$

one can apply the classical Kolmogorov continuity theorem for random fields (see, e.g., [16, Theorem 2.3.1]) to obtain a continuous modification of X for suitable $\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{\alpha}$.

Remark 4.3. The special case $p_1 = p_2 = q_1 = q_2 = \infty$, which corresponds to Hölder regularity with mixed dominating smoothness, is a common tool in probability theory, used, for example, in the context of stochastic partial differentiable equations [21] and of general random fields [12]. For the special case of Hölder regularity, a Kolmogorov continuity criterion, related to Proposition 4.1, was presented in [12, Theorem 3.1].

Remark 4.4. Proposition 4.1 holds analogously for random fields X on the domains $[0, T] \times [0, T]$ and $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$, respectively.

To conclude this section, we provide two exemplary applications of the presented Kolmogorov's continuity criterion for Besov regularity with dominating mixed smoothness.

4.1 | Exemplary application: Gaussian fields

Gaussian fields constitute a central object in probability theory, see, for example, [16]. In the following, we consider a continuous centred Gaussian random field W with covariance function

$$Q(x, y) := \mathbb{E}[W(x)W(y)] \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}.$$

In particular, for each $x \in [0, T]$, $W(x)$ is a Gaussian distributed random variable with mean zero and variance $Q(x, x)$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in (0, 1)^2$. Let W be continuous centered Gaussian random field such that*

$$Q(x, y) = Q_1(x_1, y_1)Q_2(x_2, y_2), \quad x, y \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{T},$$

for some continuous functions $Q_1, Q_2 : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|\square_{(h_i, h_i)} Q_i(x_i, x_i)| \lesssim h_i^{2(1+\alpha_i)/p}, \quad h_1, h_2 \in [0, 1], x_1 \in [0, T - h_1], x_2 \in \mathbb{T},$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Then, $\|W\|_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{p}, \bar{p}}^{(2)} < \infty$ almost surely with $\bar{p} = (p, p)$.

Proof. Since W is a Gaussian field, we know that $\square_h W(x)$ is a Gaussian random variable for $h = (h_1, h_2) \in [0, 1]^2$, $x_1 \in [0, T - h_1]$ and $x_2 \in \mathbb{T}$. By the equivalence of Gaussian moments, the assumption of the lemma and [12, Proposition 4.1], we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[|\square_h W(x)|^p] = C_p \mathbb{E}[|\square_h W(x)|^2]^{p/2} = C_p \prod_{i=1}^2 |\square_{(h_i, h_i)} Q_i(x_i, x_i)|^{p/2} \lesssim h_1^{1+\alpha_1} h_2^{1+\alpha_2}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|\delta_{h_1} W(x)|^p] &= C_p \mathbb{E}[|\delta_{h_1} W(x)|^2]^{p/2} \\ &= C_p \mathbb{E}[W^2(x_1 + h_1, x_2) - 2W(x_1 + h_1, x_2)W(x_1, x_2) + W^2(x_1, x_2)]^{p/2} \\ &= C_p |Q_2(x_2, x_2) \square_{(h_1, h_1)} Q_1(x_1, x_1)|^{p/2} \lesssim h_2^{1+\alpha_2}. \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_p depending only on p . The bound for $\mathbb{E}[|\delta_{h_2} W(x)|^p]$ follows analogously. Hence, by Proposition 4.1 we get $\|W\|_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}, \bar{\alpha}, (p, p), (p, p)}^{(2)} < \infty$ almost surely. □

4.2 | Exemplary application: stochastic heat equation

Random fields are often modelled by stochastic partial differential equations, see, for example, [5]. To illustrate the previous result, let us characterize the regularity of the solution to the stochastic heat equation on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [-\pi, \pi]$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) &= \Delta u(t, x) + \xi(t, x), \\ u(t, -\pi) &= u(t, \pi) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ u(0, x) &= 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}, \end{cases}$$

with spacetime white noise ξ . The latter can be realized as time derivative $\xi = dW_t(x)$ of a cylindrical Brownian motion $W_t(x) = \sum_{j \geq 1} B_j(t) \varphi_j(x)$, where $B_j = (B_j(t), t \geq 1)$ are independent Brownian motions on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and $(\varphi_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is the orthonormal basis given by the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on $\{g \in H^2((-\pi, \pi)) : g(-\pi) = g(\pi) = 0\}$.

It is well known that the weak solution to stochastic heat equation is almost $1/2$ -Hölder in space and almost $1/4$ -Hölder regular in time. The mixed regularity allows us to characterize the interplay between these two directional regularities. To apply Proposition 4.1, we can exploit that the solution field u is a centered Gaussian field admitting precise estimates of the rectangular increments, cf. [11].

Corollary 4.6. *Let $T > 0$, $a \in (0, 1)$ and $1 \leq q \leq p$ with even p . If $\bar{\alpha} = (\frac{a}{4} - \frac{1}{q}, \frac{1-a}{2} - \frac{1}{q}) \in (0, 1]^2$, then $u \in B_{\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{p, q}}^{\bar{\alpha}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T})$ almost surely with $\bar{p} = (p, p)$ and $\bar{q} = (q, q)$.*

Proof. Since u is a Gaussian field, we know that $\square_h u(x)$ is a Gaussian random variable for $h = (h_1, h_2) \in [0, 1]^2$, $t \in [0, T - h_1]$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}$. By the equivalence of Gaussian moments and [11, Proposition 3.5], we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[|\square_h u(t, x)|^p] = C_p \mathbb{E}[|\square_h u(t, x)|^2]^{p/2} \lesssim \min\{\sqrt{h_1}, h_2\}^{p/2} \leq h_1^{ap/4} h_2^{(1-a)p/2}$$

for any $a \in (0, 1)$ and where $C_p > 0$ is some constant depending only on p . Note that the upper bound in $\min\{\sqrt{h_1}, h_2\}$ is sharp. We obtain (4.1) for $\alpha_1 = \frac{a}{4} - \frac{1}{q}$ and $\alpha_2 = \frac{1-a}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$. The necessary bounds for $\mathbb{E}[|\square_{h_i} u(t, x)|^p]$, $i = 1, 2$, follow analogously using $\mathbb{E}[(u(t + h_1, x) - u(t, x))^2] \lesssim \sqrt{h_1}$ and $\mathbb{E}[(u(t, x + h_2) - u(t, x))^2] \lesssim h_2$ [6, Lemma 5.21]. Hence, Proposition 4.1 yields $\|u\|_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{T}, \bar{\alpha}, (p, p), (p, p)}^{(2)} < \infty$ almost surely. \square

ORCID

David J. Prömel  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7028-7500>

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, and R. Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Springer, 2011.
- [2] Z. Ciesielski, G. Kerkycharian, and B. Roynette, *Quelques espaces fonctionnels associés à des processus Gaussiens*, *Studia Math.* **107** (1993), no. 2, 171–204.
- [3] J.-Y. Chemin and P. Zhang, *On the global wellposedness to the 3-D incompressible anisotropic Navier–Stokes equations*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **272** (2007), no. 2, 529–566.
- [4] J.-Y. Chemin, P. Zhang, and Z. Zhang, *On the critical one component regularity for 3-D Navier–Stokes system: general case*, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **224** (2017), no. 3, 871–905.
- [5] R. Dalang, D. Khoshnevisan, C. Mueller, D. Nualart, and Y. Xiao, *A minicourse on stochastic partial differential equations*, in: D. Khoshnevisan, F. Rassoul-Agha, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1962, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009, Held at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, May 8–19, 2006.
- [6] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [7] J. Fageot, M. Unser, and J. P. Ward, *On the Besov regularity of periodic Lévy noises*, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **42** (2017), no. 1, 21–36.
- [8] A. Goldenshluger and O. Lepski, *Bandwidth selection in kernel density estimation: oracle inequalities and adaptive minimax optimality*, *Ann. Statist.* **39** (2011), no. 3, 1608–1632.
- [9] M. Hairer, *A theory of regularity structures*, *Invent. Math.* **198** (2014), no. 2, 269–504.
- [10] I. Henderson, *Sobolev regularity of Gaussian random fields*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **286** (2024), no. 3, 110241.
- [11] F. Hildebrandt and M. Trabs, *Parameter estimation for SPDEs based on discrete observations in time and space*, *Electron. J. Stat.* **15** (2021), no. 1, 2716–2776.
- [12] Y. Hu and K. Le, *A multiparameter Garsia–Rodemich–Rumsey inequality and some applications*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **123** (2013), no. 9, 3359–3377.
- [13] L. Huang and D. Yang, *On function spaces with mixed norms—a survey*, *J. Math. Study.* **54** (2021), no. 3, 262–336.
- [14] F. Hummel, *Sample paths of white noise in spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **15** (2021), no. 3, 54.
- [15] A. Kamont, *On the fractional anisotropic Wiener field*, *Probab. Math. Statist.* **16** (1996), no. 1, 85–98.
- [16] D. Khoshnevisan, *Multiparameter processes. An introduction to random fields*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [17] S. M. Nikol’skiĭ, *The first boundary-value problem for a general linear equation*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **146** (1962), 767–769.
- [18] S. M. Nikol’skiĭ, *Stable boundary-value problems of a differentiable function of several variables*, *Mat. Sb.* **61** (1963), no. 103, 224–252.
- [19] S. M. Nikol’skiĭ, *Approximation of functions of several variables and imbedding theorems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975, Translated from the Russian by J. M. Danskin, Jr., *Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 205.
- [20] M. Paicu, *Équation anisotrope de Navier–Stokes dans des espaces critiques*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **21** (2005), no. 1, 179–235.
- [21] L. Quer-Sardanyons and S. Tindel, *The 1-D stochastic wave equation driven by a fractional Brownian sheet*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **117** (2007), no. 10, 1448–1472.

- [22] B. Roynette, *Mouvement brownien et espaces de Besov*, Stochastics Stochastics Rep. **43** (1993), no. 3–4, 221–260.
- [23] V. S. Rychkov, *On restrictions and extensions of the Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces with respect to Lipschitz domains*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **60** (1999), no. 1, 237–257.
- [24] R. L. Schilling, *On Feller processes with sample paths in Besov spaces*, Math. Ann. **309** (1997), no. 4, 663–675.
- [25] R. L. Schilling, *Growth and Hölder conditions for the sample paths of Feller processes*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **112** (1998), no. 4, 565–611.
- [26] H.-J. Schmeisser, *Recent developments in the theory of function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*, NAFSA 8—Nonlinear analysis, function spaces and applications, vol. 8, Czech. Acad. Sci., Prague, 2007, pp. 144–204.
- [27] H.-J. Schmeisser and H. Triebel, *Topics in Fourier analysis and function spaces*, Mathematik und ihre Anwendungen in Physik und Technik [Mathematics and its Applications in Physics and Technology], vol. 42, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft Geest & Portig K.-G., Leipzig, 1987.
- [28] C. Schwab, E. Süli, and R. A. Todor, *Sparse finite element approximation of high-dimensional transport-dominated diffusion problems*, Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **42** (2008), no. 5, 777–819.
- [29] W. Sickel and T. Ullrich, *Tensor products of Sobolev–Besov spaces and applications to approximation from the hyperbolic cross*, J. Approx. Theory. **161** (2009), no. 2, 748–786.
- [30] D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan, *Multidimensional diffusion processes*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2006 (reprint of the 1997 edition).
- [31] V. N. Temlyakov, *Approximation of periodic functions*, Computational Mathematics and Analysis Series, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Commack, NY, 1993.
- [32] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 18, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1978.
- [33] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010 (reprint of the 1983 edition).
- [34] H. Triebel, *Function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2019.
- [35] T. Ullrich, *Function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness characterization by differences*, Jenaer Schriften zur Mathematik und Informatik, 2006.

How to cite this article: P. Nikolaev, D. J. Prömel, and M. Trabs, *Characterization of Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness by differences*, Math. Nachr. **298** (2025), 2116–2151.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.202400122>