

Search for Higgsinos in final states with low-momentum lepton-track pairs at 13 TeV

A. Hayrapetyan *et al.**
(CMS Collaboration)

 (Received 20 November 2025; accepted 20 March 2026; published 13 May 2026)

We present a search for the pair production of Higgsinos in final states with large missing transverse momentum and either two reconstructed muons or a reconstructed lepton (muon or electron) and an isolated track. The analyzed data correspond to proton-proton collisions with an integrated luminosity of 137 fb^{-1} , collected by the CMS experiment at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ in 2016, 2017, and 2018. The signal scenario assumes four nearly mass degenerate Higgsino mass eigenstates: two neutralino states $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ with a small mass difference in the range 1–10 GeV and two chargino states $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ with an intermediate mass. The analysis focuses on the decay of the heavier neutralino into the lighter one and a virtual Z boson, which decays into two same-flavor leptons. The leptons have small transverse momentum and/or a small opening angle between the identified muons. An isolated track is used to recover events in which only one of the two leptons is identified. Multivariate discriminants are used to enhance the sensitivity by efficiently rejecting backgrounds from SM processes or misreconstructed tracks and/or leptons. The search explores a unique phase space and probes a previously unexplored region of the signal model parameter space. Mass differences between the two neutralinos are probed down to 1.5 GeV, assuming a Higgsino mass of 100 GeV. The maximum excluded Higgsino mass is 115 GeV.

DOI: [10.1103/w3b5-92ls](https://doi.org/10.1103/w3b5-92ls)

I. INTRODUCTION

Physics scenarios beyond the standard model (SM) that feature near-degenerate electroweak (EW) doublets or multiplets are well-motivated candidates for explaining dark matter (DM) [1,2]. For example, in inert doublet [3] and inelastic DM [4,5] models, the lightest of two or more states, if neutral and stable, may account for the observed DM relic abundance. Higgsinos in R -parity-conserving supersymmetry (SUSY) [6–14] are also viable DM candidates, offering a solution not only to the DM puzzle but also to the large [15] and small [16,17] hierarchy problems, whereby fine-tuning among the Lagrangian parameters remains unexplained. To address these issues, Higgsino mass eigenstates must typically be of the order of 100 GeV, making them potentially detectable at the CERN LHC. The viable parameter space of these models has been explored and constrained by searches for beyond-the-SM physics using the Run 2 (2016–2018) data of ATLAS [18] and CMS [19], by experiments at the CERN Large Electron-Positron Collider (LEP) [20], and by DM direct

detection experiments [21–23]. Some parameter space remains unprobed, particularly in scenarios with highly compressed mass spectra, where “highly compressed” refers to mass splittings between produced and decayed particles of or less than the scale of the J/ψ .

In the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM), the SM Lagrangian is extended to be invariant under SUSY transformations and includes a second complex scalar doublet. A total of eight Higgs and Higgsino fields before EW symmetry breaking give rise to five physical Higgs bosons and four Higgsino mass eigenstates after symmetry breaking. The Higgsinos mix with the superpartners of the W and B bosons (wino and bino) to form four chargino ($\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^\pm$) and four neutralino ($\tilde{\chi}_{1,2,3,4}^0$) states, collectively referred to as electroweakinos. In the limit where the wino and bino mass parameters are much larger than the Higgsino mass parameter, the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) emerges as the lightest state $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ among four nearly degenerate electroweakino states, which is stable. Although small relative to the mass scale, a minimal mass difference of approximately 300 MeV between the chargino and the LSP is required by radiative corrections and cosmological constraints [24].

We present a search for compressed-spectrum Higgsinos using proton-proton (pp) collision data recorded by CMS at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV, targeting events featuring the decay $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \ell\ell\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, where ℓ is a muon or electron.

*Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.




FIG. 1. Diagrams illustrating the production and decay of electroweakinos in the Higgsino simplified model via the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (left) and $\tilde{\chi}_2^0\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ (right) processes.

The analysis focuses on the so-called natural subspace, characterized by large values of the ratio between the two vacuum expectation values, $\tan\beta$, and featuring a spectrum where the mass difference $\Delta m^0 = m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0) - m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ is twice the value of that between the chargino and the LSP, $\Delta m^\pm = m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm) - m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \Delta m^0/2$. Two processes dominate the total signal production cross section and are illustrated in Fig. 1. In both cases, the decay of the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ usually proceeds via a virtual Z boson, which subsequently decays to a pair of low-momentum (soft) muons or electrons. A branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \mu\mu\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow ee\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ of 5% is assumed, an approximate upper bound in the MSSM. In the production mode featuring a chargino $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$, the $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ decays predominantly to hadrons, most often a single soft pion, via an off-shell W boson [24], to which the analysis is insensitive. The analysis object selection is not sensitive to these decays, and a branching fraction of 100% for this dominant decay mode is assumed. The search targets events with two opposite-charge, same-flavor leptons where the magnitude of the missing transverse momentum (p_T^{miss}) is large due to the two undetected LSPs with transverse momentum that recoils off of initial-state radiation (ISR).

Previous searches performed at the CERN LEP [25–30] exclude charginos with masses up to approximately 90–100 GeV. The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations have extended the exclusion reach to 205 GeV for a mass splitting Δm^\pm of 7.5 GeV, using final states with two reconstructed, isolated leptons [31–33]. However, the sensitivity decreases for smaller values of Δm^\pm and becomes negligible for splittings below 3 GeV, primarily due to kinematic and isolation-based selection requirements on the leptons, such as $p_T > 3.5$ GeV and angular separation $\Delta R(\ell_1, \ell_2) > 0.3$ [33]. For Δm^\pm values below 0.7 GeV, sensitivity is recovered by searches targeting charginos with macroscopic lifetimes using soft and isolated, as well as disappearing track signatures [34–37]. Nonetheless, a gap in sensitivity remains between the regimes targeted by track-based and soft lepton searches.

To improve sensitivity in this region, we analyze events with lepton candidates selected using relaxed kinematic thresholds and identification criteria compared to previous

analyses. Signal regions (SRs) are constructed using boosted decision trees (BDTs) trained to distinguish signal-like events in the target phase space. The SRs are mutually exclusive and statistically independent, both with respect to each other and to those used in previous CMS analyses. Three event categories are considered:

- (i) dimuon: events with two reconstructed and identified muons;
- (ii) muon + exclusive track: one reconstructed muon and an isolated exclusive track; and
- (iii) electron + exclusive track: one reconstructed electron and an isolated exclusive track.

Here, “exclusive” means that the track is not geometrically matched to any identified lepton and is used as a proxy to recover leptons that were not identified. This category recovers up to 50% of such lost leptons, but contributes only marginally to the final results because of residual background. The use of events with two identified muons where either at least one of the muons has $p_T < 3$ GeV or $\Delta R(\ell\ell) < 0.3$, as well as the lepton + exclusive track categories, make this analysis the first of its kind, establishing sensitivity to previously unexplored regions of parameter space. A study with a similar final state has been presented by the ATLAS Experiment [38].

The paper is structured as follows. Section II provides a brief description of the CMS detector, event reconstruction, and simulation. The object and event selection procedures are described in Sec. III. The background estimation methodology and the assessment of systematic uncertainties are presented in Sec. IV. Section V contains the results of the search, and a final summary can be found in Sec. VI. Tabulated results are provided in the HEPData record for this analysis [39].

II. THE CMS DETECTOR, EVENT RECONSTRUCTION, AND SIMULATION

The CMS apparatus [40,41] is a multipurpose, nearly hermetic detector, designed to trigger on [42–44] and identify electrons, muons, photons, and charged and neutral hadrons [45–47]. A global “particle-flow” (PF) algorithm [48] aims to reconstruct all individual particles in an event by combining information from the all-silicon inner tracker, crystal electromagnetic and brass-scintillator hadron

calorimeters, which operate inside a 3.8 T superconducting solenoid, and the gas-ionization muon detectors embedded in the flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. The reconstructed particles are used to build leptons and jets, as well as p_T^{miss} [49,50].

The tracking system, which plays a central role in this analysis, consists of silicon pixel and strip detectors located within the solenoid volume [40,51]. The inner tracker used during the 2016 data-taking period, referred to as the “phase 0” tracker, measured charged particles within $|\eta| < 2.5$. An upgraded pixel detector, known as the “phase 1” tracker, was installed at the beginning of 2017 and used for the 2017 and 2018 data-taking periods. The phase 1 tracker extended the coverage to $|\eta| < 3.0$. In the barrel region, charged-particle tracks pass through three (four) pixel layers within a radius of 102 (160) mm in the phase 0 (phase 1) tracker. The strip tracker provides up to ten additional tracking layers within a radius of 1.2 m. Compared to the phase 0 tracker, the phase 1 upgrade improves both tracking and vertex reconstruction performance and enhances the efficiency of algorithms identifying displaced b jets.

The electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) is a total absorption calorimeter that measures the energy of electromagnetic showers using lead tungstate crystals. The lead tungstate crystals are designed to fully contain energetic electromagnetic showers and provide high resolution. Because of the 3.8 T magnetic field from the CMS solenoid, electromagnetic showers from electrons and photons are broadened in the azimuthal angle and narrowed in the polar angle. These characteristics are used to distinguish showers from electrons and photons from those of hadrons.

The muon system comprises gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid, enabling muon reconstruction within $|\eta| < 2.4$. It consists of three types of detectors: drift tube chambers in the central region, cathode strip chambers in the forward region, and resistive-plate chambers covering both regions. The fine granularity of the end cap muon detectors provides efficient reconstruction for low- p_T muons in the high- $|\eta|$ region [46], which are important for this analysis. In contrast, muon acceptance is lower in the central region due to the significant curvature of low- p_T charged particles in the magnetic field [46].

Electron tracks are reconstructed using a Gaussian sum filter [52] that accounts for measurement errors, energy loss, and multiple scattering as the electron traverses the detector volume. The Gaussian-sum-filter tracks are matched with energy clusters in the ECAL to form electron objects [53]. Electrons that pass a loose set of selection criteria are used in this analysis because of their high reconstruction efficiency.

Jets are reconstructed offline from PF candidates clustered using the anti- k_T algorithm [54,55] with a distance parameter of 0.4. Raw jet energies are corrected to establish a uniform calorimeter response as a function of η and a

calibrated absolute response in transverse momentum p_T . Jets arising from the hadronization of b quarks, referred to as b jets, are identified by the combined secondary vertex algorithm based on deep neural networks, DeepCSV [56]. This algorithm achieves a high identification efficiency of around 80%.

The SM production of $W + \text{jets} \rightarrow \ell\nu + \text{jets}$, $Z + \text{jets} \rightarrow \nu\nu + \text{jets}$, QCD, and $t\bar{t}$ events is simulated using the MadGraph5_aMC@NLO2.2.2 [57,58] event generator at leading order (LO) precision. The $t\bar{t}$ events are generated with up to three additional partons in the matrix element calculations. The $W + \text{jets} \rightarrow \ell\nu + \text{jets}$ and $Z + \text{jets} \rightarrow \nu\nu + \text{jets}$ events are generated with up to four additional partons. Diboson events, such as those originating from WW and ZZ production, are generated with MadGraph5_aMC@NLO2.2.2 at next-to-leading order (NLO) [59], except that WW events in which both W bosons decay leptonically are generated using the POWHEGv2.0 [60–64] program at NLO. For background events, phase 0 samples use the CUETP8M1 tune [65], while phase 1 samples use the CP5 tune [66]. Parton showering and hadronization are simulated using the PYTHIA 8.205 generator [67]. The detector response is modeled with the Geant4 [68] suite of programs. Additional proton-proton collisions, distinct from the primary vertex (PV) but occurring in the same bunch crossing, are emulated at LO and superimposed onto the event.

Signal samples are generated using the CP2 tune [66]. Samples generated at LO (NLO) with the CUETP8M1 tune use the NNPDF3.0LO (NNPDF3.0NLO) parton distribution functions (PDFs) [69], while those generated with the CP2 or CP5 tune use the NNPDF3.1LO (NNPDF3.1 NNLO) PDFs [70]. Simulated signal events are generated at leading order (LO) using the PYTHIA 8.205 generator [67]. To manage computational resources, the detector response for signal events is modeled using the CMS fast simulation framework [71,72], which yields results generally consistent with those obtained from Geant4. To improve agreement with Geant4, a correction of 1% is applied to account for differences in the efficiency of the jet quality requirements [49,50], and corrections of 5%–12% are applied to account for differences in the b tagging efficiency.

III. OBJECT AND EVENT SELECTION

Signal event candidates for this analysis are recorded using triggers that require p_T^{miss} to exceed a threshold between 100 and 120 GeV, depending on the instantaneous luminosity of the LHC.

Muons are selected with p_T between 2 and 15 GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$. Electrons are selected with p_T between 5 and 15 GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. Quality criteria are applied to the track fit and to the consistency of momentum measurements across subdetectors, aiming to optimize the balance between selection efficiency and the misidentification rate. No requirements are placed on the impact parameter of reconstructed leptons with respect to the PV, to retain full

efficiency in cases where leptons are displaced by up to several millimeters from the PV. Both muons and electrons are required to have $\Delta R > 0.4$ from the leading jet and to satisfy the jet-based isolation requirement described below.

Jets are selected with $p_T > 15$ GeV and $|\eta| < 5.0$. Two definitions of missing transverse momentum are used: the standard p_T^{miss} and an alternative referred to as hard p_T^{miss} . For the hard p_T^{miss} the transverse momentum vector sum is performed over all objects with $p_T > 30$ GeV instead of over all reconstructed particles in the event. Unlike the standard p_T^{miss} , the hard p_T^{miss} is uncorrelated with the jet-based lepton isolation variable used to define the signal and control regions (CRs), as explained below, and is more robust against potential mismodeling of simultaneous proton-proton collisions in a given bunch crossing (pileup).

A custom jet-based isolation criterion is defined for leptons using a corrected set of jets. Jets are selected from all reconstructed jets with $p_T < 30$ GeV. For each such jet, the momentum of each selected lepton within the jet is vectorially subtracted from the jet momentum, resulting in the corrected jet. The isolation criterion is determined by two parameters: the lower threshold on the p_T of the nearest corrected jet and the upper threshold on the ΔR between the lepton and that jet. If the lepton does not lie within a ΔR of 0.6 of a corrected jet with $p_T > 10$ GeV, it is considered isolated.

Tracks are required to have $p_T > 1.9$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$. The relative isolation is defined as the ratio of the scalar sum of p_T of other tracks within a cone of radius 0.3 around the candidate track to the track p_T , and must be less than 0.1. Tracks must have transverse and longitudinal impact parameters $|d_{xy}| < 0.02$ cm and $|d_z| < 0.02$ cm, with respect to the PV, which is the reconstructed vertex with the largest value of summed physics-object p_T^2 . No selected muon or electron may lie within ΔR of 0.01 of the track. Tracks satisfying these criteria are referred to as exclusive tracks.

Dedicated track-picking BDT classifiers are used to identify which track in each signal event corresponds to the lepton from the neutralino decay. Four separate BDTs are trained, corresponding to the two lepton flavors and the two detector phases. All classifiers share a common structure of 200 decision trees with a maximum depth of 3. They are trained using the AdaBoost algorithm and the Gini index as the separation criterion, as implemented in the `Hocker:2007ht` package [73].

Training is performed on tracks from a dedicated signal simulation, selected using the same object criteria as the analysis but with slightly loosened requirements: a track $p_T > 1$ GeV and no vetoes based on the invariant mass of the dilepton system, which are otherwise applied in the preselection. The preselection described below is applied to the simulated events used in the training samples, which are split randomly and evenly between training and test sets. A broad range of Higgsino mass parameters corresponding to

$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm)$ is considered, spanning 100–500 GeV. The training is restricted to models with mass splittings Δm^0 in the range 0.3–4.6 GeV, prepared in Δm^0 steps of 100 MeV. Tracks are labeled as signal if they originate from leptons in the $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay, as determined by geometrical matching of trajectories, and as background otherwise. The training and test sets are compared to ensure there is no overtraining.

The jet-based isolation variable is uncorrelated with the hard p_T^{miss} because the soft jets used to define it fall below the p_T threshold used in the computation of hard p_T^{miss} . Leptons that fail the isolation requirement define a side-band CR enriched in jet-related background. Background contributions from this region are estimated as described in Sec. IV.

A. Event selection

The event preselection, common to all analysis categories, consists of the following requirements:

- (i) hard $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 220$ GeV and $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 140$ GeV, to select events efficiently with respect to both signal acceptance and trigger thresholds;
- (ii) at least one jet with $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$, consistent with the presence of ISR;
- (iii) $N_{b\text{jets}} = 0$, namely zero reconstructed b jets, to suppress $t\bar{t}$ background;
- (iv) $\min \Delta\phi(\text{hard } \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}, \vec{p}_T) > 0.4$ for all jets to reduce events with mismeasured jets contributing to hard p_T^{miss} ;
- (v) $N_\ell^{\text{hard}} = 0$, to veto isolated leptons with $p_T > 30$ GeV, suppressing backgrounds from $W \rightarrow \ell\nu$ decays; and
- (vi) $0.4 < m_{\ell\ell} < 12$ GeV, to target the compressed mass region of interest.

In the dimuon category, two reconstructed and identified muons are required, and events must satisfy the following criteria:

- (i) $N_\mu = 2$, oppositely charged;
- (ii) $p_T(\mu_2) < 3.5$ GeV or $\Delta R(\mu_1, \mu_2) < 0.3$, to ensure no overlap with the search described in Ref. [33];
- (iii) $\Delta R(\mu_{1,2}, j_1) > 0.4$, where j_1 is the leading jet;
- (iv) $m_{\ell\ell}$ outside the ranges 0.75–0.81 and 3.0–3.2 GeV, to veto ω , ρ^0 , and J/ψ resonances; and
- (v) event BDT > 0 , to enhance signal purity and reject SM backgrounds.

The dimuon event BDT is constructed using several observables, including the leading and subleading muon p_T , the ΔR and $\Delta\eta$ between the two muons, the hard p_T^{miss} , and the differences in azimuthal angle between the hard p_T^{miss} and the muons, as input features. The full set of features is listed in Table I, ordered by their relative importance. The most discriminating variable is the invariant mass of the dimuon system, which has the characteristic end point for signal corresponding to Δm^0 compared to

TABLE I. Input variables to the event-level BDT used in the dimuon category, ranked by their importance in descending order. The symbols ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 denote the leading and subleading leptons, while the variable m_T refers to the transverse mass [74]. The minimization in $\min \Delta\phi$ is over jets.

Rank	Variable
1	$m_{\ell\ell}$
2	$p_T(\ell_1)$
3	hard p_T^{miss}
4	H_T
5	$\Delta R(\ell_1, \ell_2)$
6	$\min \Delta\phi(\text{hard } \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}, \vec{p}_T(j))$
7	$p_T(\ell_1 + \ell_2)$
8	$p_T(j_1)$
9	$p_T(\ell_2)$
10	$\eta(\ell_1)$
11	$m_T(\ell_1)$
12	$ \Delta\phi(\ell_2, \text{hard } \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}) $
13	$ \Delta\phi(\ell_1, \text{hard } \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}) $
14	$ \Delta\phi(\ell_1, \ell_2) $
15	N_{jets}
16	$\eta(j_1)$
17	$ \Delta\eta(\ell_1, \ell_2) $
18	$m_{\tau\tau}$

background. The dimuon event BDT output distributions are shown in Fig. 2. Six SRs are defined for events with BDT output scores in bins with edges of 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, and 1, given in order of increasing sensitivity. A single event BDT is used for both detector configurations. The lepton + exclusive track event-level BDT importance rankings are similar, with the ΔR being most highly ranked.

In the lepton + exclusive track categories, the track with the highest track-picking BDT score in each event is selected as the recovered lepton candidate. Events in this category must satisfy the preselection and baseline selections, as well as the following additional criteria:

- (i) $N_\ell = 1$, where the lepton passes the analysis muon or electron selection;
- (ii) maximum track BDT > 0 ;
- (iii) event BDT > 0 ; and
- (iv) $\Delta R(\ell, j_1) > 0.4$.

Event-level BDT classifiers are used to select signal candidate events in the lepton + exclusive track categories while rejecting background events. The output score of each BDT is used to define both the SRs and CRs. Separate BDTs are trained for each lepton flavor and for each detector configuration, resulting in a total of four BDTs in the exclusive track category. These classifiers take as input a similar set of variables as that listed in Table I, substituting ℓ_2 properties with equivalent track properties. The invariant mass of the track-lepton system ranks lowest, although one-dimensional distributions indicate that it still provides substantial discriminating power. The BDT captures the mass-related information encoded in the other




FIG. 2. Unweighted distributions of the event-level BDT scores for events from the signal and background training samples in the dimuon category (upper) and the muon + track category (lower), based on the phase 1 detector configuration.

lepton and track features. Thirteen SRs are defined in the output of the event BDT for each detector configuration and lepton category, with 12 intervals of width 0.05 from 0 to 0.6, and a single SR with BDT > 0.6 .

IV. BACKGROUNDS

The most significant backgrounds consist of events with leptons originating either from jets or from decays of electroweak bosons, primarily arising from $W + \text{jets} \rightarrow \ell\nu + \text{jets}$ and $Z + \text{jets} \rightarrow \nu\nu + \text{jets}$ processes. In both cases, at least one of the leptons is nonprompt and originates from jet activity. These backgrounds are grouped into two main categories, and a dedicated method is developed to estimate the contribution from each. The measured contributions of the backgrounds in the sideband regions are then used to model the background in the SRs using a maximum likelihood fit.

A. Background in dimuon category

The dominant background in the dimuon category arises from lepton-track pairs produced in association with jets, primarily from leptons originating in the electroweak decays

TABLE II. Transfer factors and their associated statistical uncertainties used to extrapolate background predictions from CRs to the SR.

Method	Flavor	Phase	$\widehat{\text{TF}}$	Statistical uncertainty	Relative uncertainty
Jetty	Muon	0	0.73	0.14	19%
Jetty	Muon	1	0.62	0.06	9%
Exclusive track	Muon	0	1.11	0.04	4%
Exclusive track	Muon	1	1.07	0.02	2%
Exclusive track	Electron	0	1.04	0.05	5%
Exclusive track	Electron	1	1.05	0.03	3%
$\tau\tau$	Muon	0	1.18	0.45	38%
$\tau\tau$	Muon	1	0.29	0.26	90%

of hadrons. This *jetty* background is estimated using an isolation sideband CR defined by inverting the jet-based isolation criterion on the leptons. This region is used to extract a template of the BDT score distribution that is consistent with the shape of the jetty background in the SRs. Although most leptons are produced within the cores of jets, the distribution of angular distance between the lepton and the jet exhibits a slowly falling tail that extends into the SR. A second CR, defined by the sideband of the event-level BDT score ($\text{BDT} < 0$), is used to normalize the background rate, accounting for differences in the jetty background production rate between the isolation sideband and main band. The SR is defined by requiring $\text{BDT} > 0$ in the isolation main band. The predicted jetty background in the SR is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_{\text{Jetty}}^{\text{SR}}(x) &= \widehat{\text{TF}}_{\text{Jetty}} N_{\text{sideband}}^{\text{SR}}(x) \\
 &= \frac{N_{\text{main band}}^{\text{norm CR}}}{N_{\text{sideband}}^{\text{norm CR}}} N_{\text{sideband}}^{\text{SR}}(x), \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where x is the binned BDT output. The ratio of the yields in the normalization regions is referred to as the transfer factor, $\widehat{\text{TF}}_{\text{Jetty}}$. The corresponding values are listed in Table II.

A small contribution to the dimuon category arises from prompt, isolated leptons originating from the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau^- \tau^+$ process. This background is estimated using simulated event samples corrected with normalization factors derived in a data CR enriched in Z/γ^* events. The CR selects events with two signal candidate leptons and requires the reconstructed ditau mass $m_{\tau\tau}$ to lie within a window of 40–130 GeV, consistent with the Z boson mass. The $m_{\tau\tau}$ observable is based on the *collinear approximation*, first described in Ref. [75] and employed in previous analyses, e.g., Refs. [76,77]. In this approximation, it is assumed that each τ lepton from the Z/γ^* decay is sufficiently boosted such that its own decay products are collinear, and that the only source of missing transverse momentum is the neutrinos from the τ lepton decays. The visible muon momenta, together with $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}}$ and the known τ lepton mass,




FIG. 3. Distributions of the reconstructed ditau invariant mass ($m_{\tau\tau}$) in the BDT sideband CR, shown for phase 0 (upper) and phase 1 (lower) detector configurations. The non- $\tau\tau$ background is estimated using the data-driven jetty background method described in the text. The gray hatching shows the statistical uncertainty in the background prediction.

are used to reconstruct the τ four-vectors and calculate $m_{\tau\tau}$. The data and background prediction are shown in the $\tau\tau$ CR in Fig. 3. The ratio of data to simulation in this region is used to extract the correction factors, denoted as $\widehat{\text{TF}}_{\tau\tau}$, which are reported in Table II. Contamination from the jetty background is subtracted from data using the method described above. The uncertainty in the normalization correction is large in phase 1 because of the large rate of jetty background in the TF measurement region.

B. Background in exclusive track category

While tracks in signal candidate events are required to have the opposite charge from the identified lepton, background events featuring same-charge tracks are otherwise kinematically similar in the overwhelming majority of cases. Backgrounds involving isolated leptons from the prompt interaction are found to contribute negligibly to the SRs. Therefore, the background in the SRs of the exclusive

track category is estimated using the same-charge CR, defined by inverting the opposite-charge requirement while applying the full analysis selection. The distribution in the same-charge data CR is adjusted with a small normalization correction derived using events with $\text{BDT} < 0$ to match the count in the opposite-sign region. The method is validated through closure tests performed in simulation, comparing the prediction from the above method to the expected result obtained directly from simulation. The resulting transfer factors are reported in Table II.

C. Systematic uncertainty

Systematic uncertainty in the background prediction is assessed based on discrepancies between observed and predicted event counts in a same-charge dilepton CR, as well as from validation studies performed using simulation. The jetty background estimation method is performed in simulated events and compared with the results from direct simulation, and a first-order polynomial is fit to the ratio in bins of the classifier. The best-fit result is found to be statistically consistent with the unit line. The fit parameters are varied by their statistical uncertainty to yield an alternate prediction, the difference of which from the nominal prediction is propagated as a systematic uncertainty. Uncertainty values range from 8% to 22% of the estimated yields, increasing with the value of the event classifier, and are treated as fully correlated across bins. An uncertainty of 100% is assigned to the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau^- \tau^+$ background estimates to cover the large variation in scale factors resulting from the subtraction of the jetty background from the $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau^- \tau^+$ CR; this assignment has negligible impact on the sensitivity. Several sources of uncertainty affecting the signal yield are also identified and estimated, including uncertainty in the modeling of the jet energy response, the p_T spectrum of ISR, the efficiency of lepton and b -tagged jet reconstruction, identification, and selection, as well as the integrated luminosity, trigger efficiency, and pileup profile. These uncertainties amount to a total of 5%–20% in the SRs and are incorporated into a maximum likelihood fit. Each source of systematic uncertainty is log-normal distributed, with a corresponding nuisance parameter that modifies the predicted rate of a given process via a multiplicative factor. The width of each log-normal distribution reflects the relative variation in the predicted yield under a one-standard-deviation (σ) shift of the associated uncertainty. Statistical uncertainties in CRs and simulated event yields are incorporated using gamma-distributed nuisance parameters. The likelihood combines all SRs in all event categories simultaneously.

V. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

The results of the analysis are shown in Fig. 4 for the dimuon category and in Fig. 5 for the lepton + exclusive track categories. No significant deviation from the SM

expectation is observed, and the background model provides a good description of the data. A small excess is observed in the most sensitive SR of the dimuon category, more pronounced in the phase 1 dataset, as seen in Fig. 4. The corresponding local significance, accounting for all SRs from both datasets, is 2.2 standard deviations.

The results are interpreted in the context of the compressed Higgsino simplified model introduced in Sec. I, using the maximum likelihood fit. Both the expected and observed limits are derived using the asymptotic approximation in a maximum likelihood framework [78]. Observed and expected counts are incorporated into the likelihood for all SRs, corresponding to bins in the results histograms with $\text{BDT} > 0$. The CL_s method [79,80] is employed to compute exclusion limits at the 95% confidence level (CL) [81].

The limits are presented in the plane of Δm^\pm and $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm)$ in Fig. 6. As discussed in Sec. I, the mass splitting between the neutralinos satisfies $\Delta m^0 = 2\Delta m^\pm$, consistent with scenarios of large $\tan\beta$. The region enclosed by the observed contour is excluded at 95% CL, while the color scale indicates the corresponding upper limits on the signal cross section. The green curve represents the minimum allowed value of Δm^\pm from theoretical calculations that include radiative corrections, following the treatment in Ref. [24]. The sensitivity peaks around $\Delta m^\pm \approx 2$ GeV, where the analysis probes charginos up to masses of about 145 GeV, with the observed exclusion reaching up to approximately 115 GeV. The observed limit is weaker than the expected limit due to the somewhat larger observed count in the tightest SR of phase 1.

VI. SUMMARY

A search for Higgsino pair production in compressed mass spectra scenarios is performed using low-momentum dimuon and lepton-track pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, based on a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 137 fb^{-1} [84–86] collected in 2016, 2017, and 2018 with the CMS detector. The results are interpreted in a simplified model featuring a dark matter candidate neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ that is nearly mass-degenerate with a slightly heavier neutralino $\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ and charginos $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$. The search targets a region of parameter space where sensitivity was limited in previous analyses [31,33], and extends the reach in $\Delta m^0 (= 2\Delta m^\pm)$ by 0.5–2 GeV in the most compressed phase space. This region, characterized by low-mass Higgsino eigenstates, is of particular theoretical interest because of its relevance for naturalness and fine-tuning arguments [15–17], addressing both the large and small hierarchy problems. Values of Δm^0 are probed down to 1.5 GeV, assuming a chargino mass of 100 GeV. The maximum excluded Higgsino mass is 115 GeV, corresponding to a Δm^0 of 3.5 GeV. These results place stringent




FIG. 4. Prefit expected and observed distributions of the event BDT output score in the SRs for the dimuon category (upper) and of the dimuon invariant mass for events with event classifier scores greater than 0.1 (lower), shown separately for phase 0 (left) and phase 1 (right) configurations. The gray hatching shows the statistical uncertainty in the background prediction. In the lower panel, the green band indicates the relative systematic uncertainty in the predicted background, while the black bars represent the total uncertainty, including both statistical and systematic components. Two example signal scenarios are also shown as colored lines.

constraints on natural supersymmetry and other models predicting electroweak multiplet dark matter.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so

effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: SC (Armenia), BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES and BNSF (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); MINCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); ERC PRG, TARISTU24-TK10 and MoER TK202 (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP




FIG. 5. Prefit expected and observed distributions of the event BDT output score in the SRs for the muon + exclusive track category (upper), and the electron + exclusive track category (lower), shown separately for phase 0 (left) and phase 1 (right). In the lower panel, the vertical black bars represent the total uncertainty, including both statistical and systematic components. Example signal benchmark scenarios are also shown as colored lines.

(Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); SRNSF (Georgia); BMFTR, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRI (Greece); NKFIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIT and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LMTLT (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MES, NSC, and NAWA (Poland); FCT (Portugal); MESTD (Serbia); MICIU/AEI and PCTI (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); MHESI (Thailand); TUBITAK and TENMAK (Türkiye); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA). Individuals have received support

from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, Contracts No. 675440, No. 724704, No. 752730, No. 758316, No. 765710, No. 824093, No. 101115353, No. 101002207, No. 101001205, and COST Action CA16108 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Science Committee, Project No. 22r1-037 (Armenia); the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA) and Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek contract No. 1228724N (Belgium); the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, the Ministry of




FIG. 6. The 95% CL upper limits on the fully degenerate Higgsino production cross section, calculated at NLO + NLL precision [82,83], based on all analysis channels studied in this paper. All relevant production modes are simulated at LO, and the Z^* boson is set to decay into either two electrons or two muons with a branching fraction of 5%. The expected (red) and observed (black) exclusion contours are shown assuming the theoretical cross section. Dashed red lines indicate the expected limits with ± 1 and ± 2 standard deviation experimental uncertainties. Dashed black lines indicate the observed limit when varying the theoretical cross section by its uncertainty. The green line represents the minimum Δm^\pm allowed by the theoretical calculation accounting for radiative corrections, as described in Ref. [24].

Science and Technology of China under Grant No. 2023YFA1605804, the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12061141002, No. 12535004, and USTC Research Funds of the Double First-Class Initiative No. YD2030002017 (China); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation, grant No. FR-22-985 (Georgia); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), among others, under Germany’s Excellence Strategy—EXC 2121

“Quantum Universe”—390833306, and under project number 400140256—GRK2497; the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI), Project Number 2288 (Greece); the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program—ÚNKP, the NKFIH research grants No. K 131991, No. K 133046, No. K 138136, No. K 143460, No. K 143477, No. K 146913, No. K 146914, No. K 147048, No. 2020-2.2.1-ED-2021-00181, No. TKP2021-NKTA-64, and No. 2021-4.1.2-NEMZ_KI-2024-00036 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; ICSC—National Research Center for High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing, FAIR—Future Artificial Intelligence Research, and CUP I53D23001070006 (Mission 4 Component 1), funded by the NextGenerationEU program (Italy); the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Education and Science, project no. 2022/WK/14, and the National Science Center, contracts No. Opus 2021/41/B/ST2/01369, No. 2021/43/B/ST2/01552, No. 2023/49/B/ST2/03273, and the NAWA contract No. BPN/PPO/2021/1/00011 (Poland); the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, grant No. CEECIND/01334/2018 (Portugal); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; MICIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ERDF/EU, “European Union NextGenerationEU/PRTR”, and Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias (Spain); the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project, the National Science, Research and Innovation Fund program No. IND_FF_68_369_2300_097, and the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, grant No. B39G680009 (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, Contract No. C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

DATA AVAILABILITY

Release and preservation of data used by the CMS Collaboration as the basis for publications is guided by the CMS data preservation, re-use and open access policy [87].

[1] F. Zwicky, On the masses of nebulae and of clusters of nebulae, *Astrophys. J.* **86**, 217 (1937).
 [2] V. C. Rubin and W. K. Ford, Jr., Rotation of the Andromeda Nebula from a spectroscopic survey of emission regions, *Astrophys. J.* **159**, 379 (1970).

[3] L. Lopez Honorez, E. Nezri, J.F. Oliver, and M.H.G. Tytgat, The inert doublet model: An archetype for dark matter, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **02** (2007) 028.
 [4] D. Tucker-Smith and N. Weiner, Inelastic dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 043502 (2001).

- [5] E. Izaguirre, G. Krnjaic, and B. Shuve, Discovering inelastic thermal relic dark matter at colliders, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 063523 (2016).
- [6] P. Ramond, Dual theory for free fermions, *Phys. Rev. D* **3**, 2415 (1971).
- [7] Y. A. Gol'fand and E. P. Likhtman, Extension of the algebra of Poincare group generators and violation of P invariance, *JETP Lett.* **13**, 323 (1971).
- [8] A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, Factorizable dual model of pions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B31**, 86 (1971).
- [9] D. V. Volkov and V. P. Akulov, Possible universal neutrino interaction, *JETP Lett.* **16**, 438 (1972).
- [10] J. Wess and B. Zumino, A Lagrangian model invariant under supergauge transformations, *Phys. Lett.* **49B**, 52 (1974).
- [11] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Supergauge transformations in four dimensions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B70**, 39 (1974).
- [12] P. Fayet, Supergauge invariant extension of the Higgs mechanism and a model for the electron and its neutrino, *Nucl. Phys.* **B90**, 104 (1975).
- [13] P. Fayet and S. Ferrara, Supersymmetry, *Phys. Rep.* **32**, 249 (1977).
- [14] H. Nilles, Supersymmetry, supergravity and particle physics, *Phys. Rep.* **110**, 1 (1984).
- [15] S. P. Martin, A supersymmetry primer, *Adv. Ser. Dir. High Energy Phys.* **21**, 1 (2010).
- [16] R. Barbieri and G. F. Giudice, Upper bounds on supersymmetric particle masses, *Nucl. Phys.* **B306**, 63 (1988).
- [17] B. de Carlos and J. A. Casas, One-loop analysis of the electroweak breaking in supersymmetric models and the fine-tuning problem, *Phys. Lett. B* **309**, 320 (1993).
- [18] ATLAS Collaboration, Statistical combination of ATLAS Run 2 searches for charginos and neutralinos at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133**, 031802 (2024).
- [19] CMS Collaboration, Combined search for electroweak production of winos, binos, higgsinos, and sleptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 112001 (2024).
- [20] S. Ask, A review of the supersymmetry searches at LEP, in *Proceedings of 38th Rencontres de Moriond on Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories: Les Arcs, France* (CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2003).
- [21] J. Aalbers *et al.* (LZ Collaboration), First dark matter search results from the LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) experiment, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 041002 (2023).
- [22] E. Aprile *et al.* (XENON100 Collaboration), XENON100 dark matter results from a combination of 477 live days, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 122001 (2016).
- [23] M. Xiao *et al.* (PandaX Collaboration), First dark matter search results from the PandaX-I experiment, *Sci. China Phys., Mech. Astron.* **57**, 2024 (2014).
- [24] N. Nagata and S. Shirai, Higgsino dark matter in high-scale supersymmetry, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2015) 029.
- [25] A. Heister *et al.* (ALEPH Collaboration), Search for charginos nearly mass degenerate with the lightest neutralino in e^+e^- collisions at center-of-mass energies up to 209 GeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **533**, 223 (2002).
- [26] A. Heister *et al.* (ALEPH Collaboration), Absolute mass lower limit for the lightest neutralino of the MSSM from e^+e^- data at \sqrt{s} up to 209 GeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **583**, 247 (2004).
- [27] J. Abdallah *et al.* (DELPHI Collaboration), Searches for supersymmetric particles in e^+e^- collisions up to 208 GeV and interpretation of the results within the MSSM, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **31**, 421 (2003).
- [28] M. Acciarri *et al.* (L3 Collaboration), Search for charginos with a small mass difference with the lightest supersymmetric particle at $\sqrt{s} = 189$ GeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **482**, 31 (2000).
- [29] G. Abbiendi *et al.* (OPAL Collaboration), Search for anomalous production of di-lepton events with missing transverse momentum in e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 183$ –209 GeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **32**, 453 (2004).
- [30] G. Abbiendi *et al.* (OPAL Collaboration), Search for nearly mass-degenerate charginos and neutralinos at LEP, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **29**, 479 (2003).
- [31] ATLAS Collaboration, Searches for electroweak production of supersymmetric particles with compressed mass spectra in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 052005 (2020).
- [32] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for chargino-neutralino pair production in final states with three leptons and missing transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp collisions with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 1118 (2021).
- [33] CMS Collaboration, Search for supersymmetry in final states with two or three soft leptons and missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2022) 091.
- [34] CMS Collaboration, Search for disappearing tracks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **806**, 135502 (2020).
- [35] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for long-lived charginos based on a disappearing-track signature using 136 fb^{-1} of pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 606 (2022).
- [36] CMS Collaboration, Search for supersymmetry in final states with disappearing tracks in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 072007 (2024).
- [37] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for nearly mass-degenerate Higgsinos using low-momentum mildly displaced tracks in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 221801 (2024).
- [38] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for Higgsinos in compressed mass spectra using low-momentum tracks in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, [arXiv:2511.20042](https://arxiv.org/abs/2511.20042).
- [39] HEPData record for this analysis (2025), [10.17182/hepdata.166018](https://hepdata.net/record/10.17182/hepdata.166018).
- [40] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* **3**, S08004 (2008).
- [41] CMS Collaboration, Development of the CMS detector for the CERN LHC run 3, *J. Instrum.* **19**, P05064 (2024).
- [42] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS level-1 trigger in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **15**, P10017 (2020).
- [43] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P01020 (2017).
- [44] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS high-level trigger during LHC run 2, *J. Instrum.* **19**, P11021 (2024).
- [45] CMS Collaboration, Electron and photon reconstruction and identification with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* **16**, P05014 (2021).

- [46] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **13**, P06015 (2018).
- [47] CMS Collaboration, Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker, *J. Instrum.* **9**, P10009 (2014).
- [48] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P10003 (2017).
- [49] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, *J. Instrum.* **12**, P02014 (2017).
- [50] CMS Collaboration, Performance of missing transverse momentum reconstruction in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the CMS detector, *J. Instrum.* **14**, P07004 (2019).
- [51] W. Adam *et al.* (CMS Tracker Group), The CMS phase-I pixel detector upgrade, *J. Instrum.* **16**, P02027 (2021).
- [52] W. Adam, R. Frühwirth, A. Strandlie, and T. Todorov, Reconstruction of electrons with the Gaussian-sum filter in the CMS tracker at the LHC, *J. Phys. G* **31**, N9 (2005).
- [53] CMS Collaboration, Performance of electron reconstruction and selection with the CMS detector in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* **10**, P06005 (2015).
- [54] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2008) 063.
- [55] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 1896 (2012).
- [56] CMS Collaboration, Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV, *J. Instrum.* **13**, P05011 (2018).
- [57] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2014) 079.
- [58] J. Alwall, S. Höche, F. Krauss, N. Lavesson, L. Lönnblad, F. Maltoni, M. L. Mangano, M. Moretti, C. G. Papadopoulos, F. Piccinini, S. Schumann, M. Treccani, J. Winter, and M. Worek, Comparative study of various algorithms for the merging of parton showers and matrix elements in hadronic collisions, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **53**, 473 (2008).
- [59] R. Frederix and S. Frixione, Merging meets matching in MC@NLO, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2012) 061.
- [60] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2004) 040.
- [61] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: The POWHEG method, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2007) 070.
- [62] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2010) 043.
- [63] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: s - and t -channel contributions, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2009) 111; **02** (2010) 011(E).
- [64] E. Re, Single-top Wt -channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1547 (2011).
- [65] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 155 (2016).
- [66] CMS Collaboration, Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 4 (2020).
- [67] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA8.2, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191**, 159 (2015).
- [68] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), Geant4—A simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **506**, 250 (2003).
- [69] R. D. Ball, V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, N. P. Hartland, and J. Rojo (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions with QED corrections, *Nucl. Phys.* **B877**, 290 (2013).
- [70] R. D. Ball, V. Bertone, S. Carrazza, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, P. Groth-Merrild, A. Guffanti, N. P. Hartland, Z. Kassabov, J. I. Latorre, E. R. Nocera, J. Rojo, L. Rottoli, E. Slade, and M. Ubiali (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions from high-precision collider data, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 663 (2017).
- [71] S. Abdullin, P. Azzi, F. Beaudette, P. Janot, and A. Perrotta (CMS Collaboration), The fast simulation of the CMS detector at LHC, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **331**, 032049 (2011).
- [72] A. Giammanco, The fast simulation of the CMS experiment, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **513**, 022012 (2014).
- [73] J. Therhaag, TMVA: Toolkit for multivariate data analysis in ROOT, *Proc. Sci. ICHEP2010* (**2010**) 510.
- [74] G. Arnison *et al.* (UA1 Collaboration), Experimental observation of isolated large transverse energy electrons with associated missing energy at $\sqrt{s} = 540$ GeV, *Phys. Lett.* **122B**, 103 (1983).
- [75] R. K. Ellis, I. Hinchliffe, M. Soldate, and J. J. van der Bij, Higgs decay to $\tau^+\tau^-$: A possible signature of intermediate mass Higgs bosons at high energy hadron colliders, *Nucl. Phys.* **B297**, 221 (1988).
- [76] ATLAS Collaboration, Expected performance of the ATLAS experiment: Detector, trigger and physics (2009), [10.17181/CERN.1ME0.GHHY](https://arxiv.org/abs/1017181).
- [77] CMS Collaboration, CMS physics technical design report, volume II: Physics performance, *J. Phys. G* **34**, 995 (2007).
- [78] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1554 (2011); **73**, 2501(E) (2013).
- [79] T. Junk, Confidence level computation for combining searches with small statistics, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **434**, 435 (1999).
- [80] A. L. Read, Presentation of search results: The CL_s technique, *J. Phys. G* **28**, 2693 (2002).
- [81] CMS Collaboration, The CMS statistical analysis and combination tool: COMBINE, *Comput. Software Big Sci.* **8**, 19 (2024).
- [82] B. Fuks, M. Klasen, D. R. Lamprea, and M. Rothering, Gaugino production in proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 8 TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2012) 081.

- [83] B. Fuks, M. Klasen, D.R. Lamprea, and M. Rothering, Precision predictions for electroweak superpartner production at hadron colliders with RESUMMINO, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2480 (2013).
- [84] CMS Collaboration, Precision luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2015 and 2016 at CMS, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 800 (2021).
- [85] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurement for the 2017 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-LUM-17-004, 2018, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2621960>.
- [86] CMS Collaboration, CMS luminosity measurement for the 2018 data-taking period at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002, 2019, <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2676164>.
- [87] CMS data availability statement, [10.7483/OPENDATA.CMS.1BNU.8V1W](https://cds.cern.ch/record/107483).

A. Hayrapetyan,¹ V. Makarenko¹, A. Tumasyan^{1,b}, W. Adam², J.W. Andrejkovic,² L. Benato², T. Bergauer², M. Dragicevic², C. Giordano,² P.S. Hussain², M. Jeitler^{2,c}, N. Krammer², A. Li², D. Liko², M. Matthewman,² I. Mikulec², J. Schieck^{2,c}, R. Schöfbeck^{2,c}, D. Schwarz², M. Shooshari², M. Sonawane², W. Waltenberger², C.-E. Wulz^{2,c}, T. Janssen³, H. Kwon³, D. Ocampo Henao³, T. Van Laer³, P. Van Mechelen³, J. Bierkens⁴, N. Breugelmans,⁴ J. D'Hondt⁴, S. Dansana⁴, A. De Moor⁴, M. Delcourt⁴, F. Heyen⁴, Y. Hong⁴, P. Kashko⁴, S. Lowette⁴, I. Makarenko⁴, D. Müller⁴, J. Song⁴, S. Tavernier⁴, M. Tytgat^{4,d}, G.P. Van Onsem⁴, S. Van Putte⁴, D. Vannerom⁴, B. Bilin⁵, B. Clerbaux⁵, A. K. Das,⁵ I. De Bruyn⁵, G. De Lentdecker⁵, H. Evard⁵, L. Favart⁵, P. Gianneios⁵, A. Khalilzadeh,⁵ F. A. Khan⁵, A. Malara⁵, M. A. Shahzad,⁵ L. Thomas⁵, M. Vanden Bemden⁵, C. Vander Velde⁵, P. Vanlaer⁵, F. Zhang⁵, M. De Coen⁶, D. Dobur⁶, G. Gokbulut⁶, J. Knolle⁶, D. Marecx⁶, K. Skovpen⁶, A. M. Tomaru,⁶ N. Van Den Bossche⁶, J. van der Linden⁶, J. Vandenbroeck⁶, L. Wezenbeek⁶, S. Bein⁷, A. Benecke⁷, A. Bethani⁷, G. Bruno⁷, A. Cappati⁷, J. De Favereau De Jeneret⁷, C. Delaere⁷, A. Giammanco⁷, A. O. Guzel⁷, V. Lemaître⁷, J. Lidrych⁷, P. Malek⁷, P. Mastrapasqua⁷, S. Turckapar⁷, G. A. Alves⁸, M. Barroso Ferreira Filho⁸, E. Coelho⁸, C. Hensel⁸, T. Menezes De Oliveira⁸, C. Mora Herrera^{8,e}, P. Rebello Teles⁸, M. Soeiro⁸, E. J. Tonelli Manganote^{8,f}, A. Vilela Pereira^{8,e}, W. L. Aldá Júnior⁹, H. Brandao Malbouisson⁹, W. Carvalho⁹, J. Chinellato^{9,g}, M. Costa Reis⁹, E. M. Da Costa⁹, G. G. Da Silveira^{9,h}, D. De Jesus Damiao⁹, S. Fonseca De Souza⁹, R. Gomes De Souza⁹, S. S. Jesus⁹, T. Laux Kuhn^{9,h}, M. Macedo⁹, K. Mota Amarilo⁹, L. Mundim⁹, H. Nogima⁹, J. P. Pinheiro⁹, A. Santoro⁹, A. Sznajder⁹, M. Thiel⁹, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo^{9,i}, C. A. Bernardes^{10,h}, F. Damas¹⁰, T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,¹⁰ E. M. Gregores¹⁰, B. Lopes Da Costa¹⁰, I. Maitto Silverio¹⁰, P. G. Mercadante¹⁰, S. F. Novaes¹⁰, B. Orzari¹⁰, Sandra S. Padula¹⁰, V. Scheurer,¹⁰ A. Aleksandrov¹¹, G. Antchev¹¹, P. Danev,¹¹ R. Hadjiiska¹¹, P. Iaydjiev¹¹, M. Shopova¹¹, G. Sultanov¹¹, A. Dimitrov¹², L. Litov¹², B. Pavlov¹², P. Petkov¹², A. Petrov¹², S. Keshri¹³, D. Laroze¹³, S. Thakur¹³, W. Brooks¹⁴, T. Cheng¹⁵, T. Javaid¹⁵, L. Wang¹⁵, L. Yuan¹⁵, Z. Hu¹⁶, Z. Liang,¹⁶ J. Liu,¹⁶ X. Wang¹⁶, G. M. Chen^{17,j}, H. S. Chen^{17,j}, M. Chen^{17,j}, Y. Chen¹⁷, Q. Hou¹⁷, X. Hou,¹⁷ F. Iemmi¹⁷, C. H. Jiang,¹⁷ A. Kapoor^{17,k}, H. Liao¹⁷, G. Liu¹⁷, Z.-A. Liu^{17,j}, J. N. Song,^{17,j} S. Song,¹⁷ J. Tao¹⁷, C. Wang^{17,j}, J. Wang¹⁷, H. Zhang¹⁷, J. Zhao¹⁷, A. Agapitos¹⁸, Y. Ban¹⁸, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira¹⁸, S. Deng¹⁸, B. Guo,¹⁸ Q. Guo,¹⁸ C. Jiang¹⁸, A. Levin¹⁸, C. Li¹⁸, Q. Li¹⁸, Y. Mao,¹⁸ S. Qian,¹⁸ S. J. Qian¹⁸, X. Qin,¹⁸ C. Quaranta¹⁸, X. Sun¹⁸, D. Wang¹⁸, J. Wang¹⁸, H. Yang,¹⁸ M. Zhang,¹⁸ Y. Zhao,¹⁸ C. Zhou¹⁸, S. Yang¹⁹, Z. You²⁰, K. Jaffel²¹, N. Lu²¹, G. Bauer,^{22,l,m} Z. Cui,^{22,m} B. Li,^{22,n} H. Wang²², K. Yi^{22,o}, J. Zhang²², Y. Li,²³ Z. Lin²⁴, C. Lu²⁴, M. Xiao^{24,p}, C. Avila²⁵, D. A. Barbosa Trujillo²⁵, A. Cabrera²⁵, C. Florez²⁵, J. Fraga²⁵, J. A. Reyes Vega,²⁵ C. Rendón²⁶, M. Rodriguez²⁶, A. A. Ruales Barbosa²⁶, J. D. Ruiz Alvarez²⁶, N. Godinovic²⁷, D. Lelas²⁷, A. Sculac²⁷, M. Kovac²⁸, A. Petkovic²⁸, T. Sculac²⁸, P. Bargassa²⁹, V. Brigljevic²⁹, B. K. Chitroda²⁹, D. Ferencek²⁹, K. Jakovcic,²⁹ A. Starodumov²⁹, T. Susa²⁹, A. Attikis³⁰, K. Christoforou³⁰, A. Hadjiagapiou,³⁰ C. Leonidou³⁰, C. Nicolaou,³⁰ L. Paizanos³⁰, F. Ptochos³⁰, P. A. Razis³⁰, H. Rykaczewski,³⁰ H. Saka³⁰, A. Steppenov³⁰, M. Finger^{31,a}, M. Finger Jr.³¹, E. Ayala³², E. Carrera Jarrin³³, S. Elgammal,^{34,q} A. Ellithi Kamel^{34,r}, A. Lofy³⁵, M. A. Mahmoud³⁵, K. Ehataht³⁶, M. Kadastik³⁶, T. Lange³⁶, C. Nielsen³⁶, J. Pata³⁶, M. Raidal³⁶, N. Seeba³⁶, L. Tani³⁶, A. Milieva³⁷, K. Osterberg³⁷, M. Voutilainen³⁷, N. Bin Norjoharuddeen³⁸, E. Brücken³⁸, F. Garcia³⁸, P. Inkaew³⁸, K. T. S. Kallonen³⁸, R. Kumar Verma³⁸, T. Lampén³⁸, K. Lassila-Perini³⁸, B. Lehtela³⁸, S. Lehti³⁸, T. Lindén³⁸

N. R. Mancilla Xinto³⁸, M. Myllymäki³⁸, M. m. Rantanen³⁸, S. Saariokari³⁸, N. T. Toikka³⁸, J. Tuominiemi³⁸,
H. Kirschenmann³⁹, P. Luukka³⁹, H. Petrow³⁹, M. Besancon⁴⁰, F. Couderc⁴⁰, M. De Jardin⁴⁰, D. Denegri,⁴⁰
P. Devoue,⁴⁰ J. L. Faure⁴⁰, F. Ferri⁴⁰, P. Gaigne,⁴⁰ S. Ganjour⁴⁰, P. Gras⁴⁰, G. Hamel de Monchenault⁴⁰,
M. Kumar⁴⁰, V. Lohezic⁴⁰, J. Malcles⁴⁰, F. Orlandi⁴⁰, L. Portales⁴⁰, S. Ronchi⁴⁰, M. Ö. Sahin,⁴⁰
A. Savoy-Navarro^{40,s}, P. Simkina⁴⁰, M. Titov⁴⁰, M. Tornago⁴⁰, R. Amella Ranz⁴¹, F. Beaudette⁴¹, G. Boldrini⁴¹,
P. Busson⁴¹, C. Charlot⁴¹, M. Chiusi⁴¹, T. D. Cuisset⁴¹, O. Davignon⁴¹, A. De Wit⁴¹, T. Debnath⁴¹, I. T. Ehle⁴¹,
S. Ghosh⁴¹, A. Gilbert⁴¹, R. Granier de Cassagnac⁴¹, L. Kalipoliti⁴¹, M. Manoni⁴¹, M. Nguyen⁴¹,
S. Obraztsov⁴¹, C. Ochando⁴¹, R. Salerno⁴¹, J. B. Sauvan⁴¹, Y. Sirois⁴¹, G. Sokmen,⁴¹ L. Urda Gómez⁴¹,
A. Zabi⁴¹, A. Zghiche⁴¹, J.-L. Agram^{42,t}, J. Andrea⁴², D. Bloch⁴², J.-M. Brom⁴², E. C. Chabert⁴², C. Collard⁴²,
G. Coulon,⁴² S. Falke⁴², U. Goerlach⁴², R. Haeberle⁴², A.-C. Le Bihan⁴², M. Meena⁴², O. Poncet⁴², G. Saha⁴²,
P. Vaucelle⁴², A. Di Florio⁴³, D. Amram,⁴⁴ S. Beauceron⁴⁴, B. Blancon⁴⁴, G. Boudoul⁴⁴, N. Chanon⁴⁴,
D. Contardo⁴⁴, P. Depasse⁴⁴, H. El Mamouni,⁴⁴ J. Fay⁴⁴, S. Gascon⁴⁴, M. Gouzevitch⁴⁴, C. Greenberg⁴⁴,
G. Grenier⁴⁴, B. Ille⁴⁴, E. Jourd'Huy,⁴⁴ I. B. Laktineh,⁴⁴ M. Lethuillier⁴⁴, B. Massoteau⁴⁴, L. Mirabito,⁴⁴
A. Purohit⁴⁴, M. Vander Donckt⁴⁴, J. Xiao⁴⁴, D. Chokheli⁴⁵, I. Lomidze⁴⁵, Z. Tsamalaidze^{45,u}, V. Botta⁴⁶,
S. Consuegra Rodríguez⁴⁶, L. Feld⁴⁶, K. Klein⁴⁶, M. Lipinski⁴⁶, D. Meuser⁴⁶, P. Nattland⁴⁶, V. Oppenländer,⁴⁶
A. Pauls⁴⁶, D. Pérez Adán⁴⁶, N. Röwert⁴⁶, M. Teroerde⁴⁶, C. Daumann,⁴⁷ S. Diekmann⁴⁷, A. Dodonova⁴⁷,
N. Eich⁴⁷, D. Eliseev⁴⁷, F. Engelke⁴⁷, J. Erdmann⁴⁷, M. Erdmann⁴⁷, B. Fischer⁴⁷, T. Hebbeker⁴⁷,
K. Hoepfner⁴⁷, F. Ivone⁴⁷, A. Jung⁴⁷, N. Kumar⁴⁷, M. y. Lee⁴⁷, F. Mausolf⁴⁷, M. Merschmeyer⁴⁷, A. Meyer⁴⁷,
F. Nowotny,⁴⁷ A. Pozdnyakov⁴⁷, W. Redjeb⁴⁷, H. Reithler⁴⁷, U. Sarkar⁴⁷, V. Sarkisovi⁴⁷, A. Schmidt⁴⁷, C. Seth,⁴⁷
A. Sharma⁴⁷, J. L. Spah⁴⁷, V. Vaulin,⁴⁷ S. Zaleski,⁴⁷ M. R. Beckers⁴⁸, C. Dziwok⁴⁸, G. Flügge⁴⁸, N. Hoeflich⁴⁸,
T. Kress⁴⁸, A. Nowack⁴⁸, O. Pooth⁴⁸, A. Stahl⁴⁸, A. Zotz⁴⁸, H. Aarup Petersen⁴⁹, A. Abel,⁴⁹
M. Aldaya Martin⁴⁹, J. Alimena⁴⁹, S. Amoroso,⁴⁹ Y. An⁴⁹, I. Andreev⁴⁹, J. Bach⁴⁹, S. Baxter⁴⁹,
M. Bayatmakou⁴⁹, H. Becerril Gonzalez⁴⁹, O. Behnke⁴⁹, A. Belvedere⁴⁹, F. Blekman^{49,v}, K. Borrás^{49,w},
A. Campbell⁴⁹, S. Chatterjee⁴⁹, L. X. Coll Saravia⁴⁹, G. Eckerlin,⁴⁹ D. Eckstein⁴⁹, E. Gallo^{49,v}, A. Geiser⁴⁹,
V. Guglielmi⁴⁹, M. Guthoff⁴⁹, A. Hinzmann⁴⁹, L. Jeppe⁴⁹, M. Kasemann⁴⁹, C. Kleinwort⁴⁹, R. Kogler⁴⁹,
M. Komm⁴⁹, D. Krücker⁴⁹, W. Lange,⁴⁹ D. Leyva Pernia⁴⁹, K.-Y. Lin⁴⁹, K. Lipka^{49,x}, W. Lohmann^{49,y},
J. Malvaso⁴⁹, R. Mankel⁴⁹, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann⁴⁹, M. Mendizabal Morentin⁴⁹, A. B. Meyer⁴⁹, G. Milella⁴⁹,
K. Moral Figueroa⁴⁹, A. Mussgiller⁴⁹, L. P. Nair⁴⁹, J. Niedziela⁴⁹, A. Nürnberg⁴⁹, J. Park⁴⁹, E. Ranken⁴⁹,
A. Raspereza⁴⁹, D. Rastorguev⁴⁹, L. Rygaard⁴⁹, M. Scham^{49,z,w}, S. Schnake^{49,w}, P. Schütze⁴⁹,
C. Schwanenberger^{49,v}, D. Selivanova⁴⁹, K. Sharko⁴⁹, M. Shchedrolosiev⁴⁹, D. Stafford⁴⁹, M. Torkian,⁴⁹
F. Vazzoler⁴⁹, A. Ventura Barroso⁴⁹, R. Walsh⁴⁹, D. Wang⁴⁹, Q. Wang⁴⁹, K. Wichmann,⁴⁹ L. Wiens^{49,w},
C. Wissing⁴⁹, Y. Yang⁴⁹, S. Zakharov,⁴⁹ A. Zimmermann Castro Santos⁴⁹, A. R. Alves Andrade⁵⁰, M. Antonello⁵⁰,
S. Bollweg,⁵⁰ M. Bonanomi⁵⁰, K. El Morabit⁵⁰, Y. Fischer⁵⁰, M. Frahm,⁵⁰ E. Garutti⁵⁰, A. Grohsjean⁵⁰,
A. A. Guvenli⁵⁰, J. Haller⁵⁰, D. Hundhausen,⁵⁰ G. Kasieczka⁵⁰, P. Keicher⁵⁰, R. Klanner⁵⁰, W. Korcaric⁵⁰,
T. Kramer⁵⁰, C. c. Kuo,⁵⁰ F. Labe⁵⁰, J. Lange⁵⁰, A. Lobanov⁵⁰, L. Moureaux⁵⁰, A. Nigamova⁵⁰,
K. Nikolopoulos⁵⁰, Y. Nissan,⁵⁰ A. Paasch⁵⁰, K. J. Pena Rodriguez⁵⁰, N. Prouvost,⁵⁰ T. Quadfasel⁵⁰, B. Raciti⁵⁰,
M. Rieger⁵⁰, D. Savoie⁵⁰, P. Schleper⁵⁰, M. Schröder⁵⁰, J. Schwandt⁵⁰, M. Sommerhalder⁵⁰, H. Stadie⁵⁰,
G. Steinbrück⁵⁰, R. Ward⁵⁰, B. Wiederspan,⁵⁰ M. Wolf⁵⁰, S. Brommer⁵¹, E. Butz⁵¹, Y. M. Chen⁵¹, T. Chwalek⁵¹,
A. Dierlamm⁵¹, G. G. Dincer⁵¹, U. Elicabuk,⁵¹ N. Faltermann⁵¹, M. Giffels⁵¹, A. Gottmann⁵¹, F. Hartmann^{51,aa},
M. Horzela⁵¹, F. Hummer⁵¹, U. Husemann⁵¹, J. Kieseler⁵¹, M. Klute⁵¹, R. Kunnilan Muhammed Rafeek,⁵¹
O. Lavoryk⁵¹, J. M. Lawhorn⁵¹, A. Lintuluoto⁵¹, S. Maier⁵¹, M. Mormile⁵¹, Th. Müller⁵¹, E. Pfeffer⁵¹,
M. Presilla⁵¹, G. Quast⁵¹, K. Rabbertz⁵¹, B. Regnery⁵¹, R. Schmieder,⁵¹ N. Shadskiy⁵¹, I. Shvetsov⁵¹,
H. J. Simonis⁵¹, L. Sowa⁵¹, L. Stockmeier,⁵¹ K. Tauqeer,⁵¹ M. Toms⁵¹, B. Topko⁵¹, N. Trevisani⁵¹, C. Verstege⁵¹,
T. Voigtländer⁵¹, R. F. Von Cube⁵¹, J. Von Den Driesch,⁵¹ M. Wassmer⁵¹, R. Wolf⁵¹, W. D. Zeuner⁵¹, X. Zuo⁵¹,
G. Anagnostou⁵², G. Daskalakis⁵², A. Kyriakis⁵², G. Melachroinos,⁵³ Z. Painesis⁵³, I. Paraskevas⁵³,
N. Saoulidou⁵³, K. Theofilatos⁵³, E. Tziaferi,⁵³ E. Tzovara⁵³, K. Vellidis⁵³, I. Zisopoulos⁵³, T. Chatzistavrou⁵⁴,
G. Karapostoli⁵⁴, K. Kousouris⁵⁴, E. Siamarkou,⁵⁴ G. Tsiopolitis⁵⁴, I. Bestintzanos,⁵⁵ I. Evangelou⁵⁵, C. Foudas,⁵⁵
P. Katsoulis,⁵⁵ P. Kokkas⁵⁵, P. G. Kosmoglou Kioseoglou⁵⁵, N. Manthos⁵⁵, I. Papadopoulos⁵⁵, J. Strogas⁵⁵,
D. Druzhkin⁵⁶, C. Hajdu⁵⁶, D. Horvath^{56,bb,cc}, K. Márton,⁵⁶ A. J. Rádl^{56,dd}, F. Sikler⁵⁶, V. Veszpremi⁵⁶

M. Csanád⁵⁷ K. Farkas⁵⁷ A. Fehérkuti^{57,ee} M. M. A. Gadallah^{57,ff} Á. Kadlecik⁵⁷ M. León Coello⁵⁷
 G. Pásztor⁵⁷ G. I. Veres⁵⁷ B. Ujvari⁵⁸ G. Zilizi⁵⁸ G. Bencze⁵⁹ S. Czellar⁵⁹ J. Molnar⁵⁹ Z. Szillasi⁵⁹
 T. Csorgo^{60,ee} F. Nemes^{60,ee} T. Novak⁶⁰ I. Szanyi^{60,gg} S. Bansal⁶¹ S. B. Beri⁶¹ V. Bhatnagar⁶¹
 G. Chaudhary⁶¹ S. Chauhan⁶¹ N. Dhingra^{61,hh} A. Kaur⁶¹ A. Kaur⁶¹ H. Kaur⁶¹ M. Kaur⁶¹ S. Kumar⁶¹
 T. Sheokand⁶¹ J. B. Singh⁶¹ A. Singla⁶¹ A. Bhardwaj⁶² A. Chhetri⁶² B. C. Choudhary⁶² A. Kumar⁶²
 A. Kumar⁶² M. Naimuddin⁶² S. Phor⁶² K. Ranjan⁶² M. K. Saini⁶² S. Acharya^{63,ii} B. Gomber⁶³ B. Sahu^{63,ii}
 S. Mukherjee⁶⁴ S. Baradia⁶⁵ S. Bhattacharya⁶⁵ S. Das Gupta⁶⁵ S. Dutta⁶⁵ S. Dutta⁶⁵ S. Sarkar⁶⁵
 M. M. Ameen⁶⁶ P. K. Behera⁶⁶ S. Chatterjee⁶⁶ G. Dash⁶⁶ A. Dattamunsi⁶⁶ P. Jana⁶⁶ P. Kalbhor⁶⁶
 S. Kamble⁶⁶ J. R. Komaragiri^{66,ij} T. Mishra⁶⁶ P. R. Pujahari⁶⁶ A. K. Sikdar⁶⁶ R. K. Singh⁶⁶ P. Verma⁶⁶
 S. Verma⁶⁶ A. Vijay⁶⁶ B. K. Sirasva⁶⁷ L. Bhatt⁶⁸ S. Dugad⁶⁸ G. B. Mohanty⁶⁸ M. Shelake⁶⁸ P. Suryadevara⁶⁸
 A. Bala⁶⁹ S. Banerjee⁶⁹ S. Barman^{69,kk} R. M. Chatterjee⁶⁹ M. Guchait⁶⁹ Sh. Jain⁶⁹ A. Jaiswal⁶⁹ B. M. Joshi⁶⁹
 S. Kumar⁶⁹ M. Maity^{69,kk} G. Majumder⁶⁹ K. Mazumdar⁶⁹ S. Parolia⁶⁹ R. Saxena⁶⁹ A. Thachayath⁶⁹
 S. Bahinipati^{70,li} D. Maity^{70,mm} P. Mal⁷⁰ K. Naskar^{70,mm} A. Nayak^{70,mm} S. Nayak⁷⁰ K. Pal⁷⁰ R. Raturi⁷⁰
 P. Sadangi⁷⁰ S. K. Swain⁷⁰ S. Varghese^{70,mm} D. Vats^{70,mm} A. Alpna⁷¹ S. Dube⁷¹ P. Hazarika⁷¹ B. Kansal⁷¹
 A. Laha⁷¹ R. Sharma⁷¹ S. Sharma⁷¹ K. Y. Vaish⁷¹ S. Ghosh⁷² H. Bakhshiansohi^{73,nn} A. Jafari^{73,oo}
 V. Sedighzadeh Dalavi⁷³ M. Zeinali^{73,pp} S. Bashiri⁷⁴ S. Chenarani^{74,qq} S. M. Etesami⁷⁴ Y. Hosseini⁷⁴
 M. Khakzad⁷⁴ E. Khazaie⁷⁴ M. Mohammadi Najafabadi⁷⁴ S. Tizchang^{74,rr} M. Felcini⁷⁵ M. Grunewald⁷⁵
 M. Abbrescia^{76a,76b} M. Barbieri^{76a,76b} M. Buonsante^{76a,76b} A. Colaleo^{76a,76b} D. Creanza^{76a,76c}
 N. De Filippis^{76a,76c} M. De Palma^{76a,76b} W. Elmetenawee^{76a,76b,ss} N. Ferrara^{76a,76c} L. Fiore^{76a} L. Longo^{76a}
 M. Louka^{76a,76b} G. Maggi^{76a,76c} M. Maggi^{76a} I. Margjeka^{76a} V. Mastrapasqua^{76a,76b} S. My^{76a,76b}
 F. Nenna^{76a,76b} S. Nuzzo^{76a,76b} A. Pellicchia^{76a,76b} A. Pompili^{76a,76b} G. Pugliese^{76a,76c} R. Radogna^{76a,76b}
 D. Ramos^{76a} A. Ranieri^{76a} L. Silvestris^{76a} F. M. Simone^{76a,76c} Ü. Sözbilir^{76a} A. Stamerra^{76a,76b}
 D. Troiano^{76a,76b} R. Venditti^{76a,76b} P. Verwilligen^{76a} A. Zaza^{76a,76b} G. Abbiendi^{77a} C. Battilana^{77a,77b}
 D. Bonacorsi^{77a,77b} P. Capiluppi^{77a,77b} F. R. Cavallo^{77a} M. Cuffiani^{77a,77b} G. M. Dallavalle^{77a} T. Diotallevi^{77a,77b}
 F. Fabbri^{77a} A. Fanfani^{77a,77b} D. Fasanella^{77a} C. Grandi^{77a} L. Guiducci^{77a,77b} S. Lo Meo^{77a,tt}
 M. Lorusso^{77a,77b} L. Lunerti^{77a} S. Marcellini^{77a} G. Masetti^{77a} F. L. Navarria^{77a,77b} G. Paggi^{77a,77b}
 A. Perrotta^{77a} F. Primavera^{77a,77b} A. M. Rossi^{77a,77b} S. Rossi Tisbeni^{77a,77b} T. Rovelli^{77a,77b} G. P. Siroli^{77a,77b}
 S. Costa^{78a,78b,uu} A. Di Mattia^{78a} A. Lapertosa^{78a} R. Potenza^{78a,78b} A. Tricoli^{78a,78b,uu} J. Altork^{79a,79b}
 P. Assiouras^{79a} G. Barbagli^{79a} G. Bardelli^{79a} M. Bartolini^{79a,79b} A. Calandri^{79a,79b} B. Camaiani^{79a,79b}
 A. Cassese^{79a} R. Ceccarelli^{79a} V. Ciulli^{79a,79b} C. Civinini^{79a} R. D'Alessandro^{79a,79b} L. Damenti^{79a,79b}
 E. Focardi^{79a,79b} T. Kello^{79a} G. Latino^{79a,79b} P. Lenzi^{79a,79b} M. Lizzo^{79a} M. Meschini^{79a} S. Paoletti^{79a}
 A. Papanastassiou^{79a,79b} G. Sguazzoni^{79a} L. Viliani^{79a} L. Benussi⁸⁰ S. Bianco⁸⁰ S. Meola^{80,vv} D. Piccolo⁸⁰
 M. Alves Gallo Pereira^{81a} F. Ferro^{81a} E. Robutti^{81a} S. Tosi^{81a,81b} A. Benaglia^{82a} F. Brivio^{82a}
 V. Camagni^{82a,82b} F. Cetorelli^{82a,82b} F. De Guio^{82a,82b} M. E. Dinardo^{82a,82b} P. Dini^{82a} S. Gennai^{82a}
 R. Gerosa^{82a,82b} A. Ghezzi^{82a,82b} P. Govoni^{82a,82b} L. Guzzi^{82a} M. R. Kim^{82a} G. Lavizzari^{82a,82b}
 M. T. Lucchini^{82a,82b} M. Malberti^{82a} S. Malvezzi^{82a} A. Massironi^{82a} D. Menasce^{82a} L. Moroni^{82a}
 M. Paganoni^{82a,82b} S. Palluotto^{82a,82b} D. Pedrini^{82a} A. Perego^{82a,82b} G. Pizzati^{82a,82b} S. Ragazzi^{82a,82b}
 T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{82a,82b} S. Buontempo^{83a} C. Di Fraia^{83a,83b} F. Fabozzi^{83a,83c} L. Favilla^{83a,83d}
 A. O. M. Iorio^{83a,83b} L. Lista^{83a,83b,ww} P. Paolucci^{83a,aa} B. Rossi^{83a} P. Azzi^{84a} N. Bacchetta^{84a,xx}
 D. Bisello^{84a,84b} P. Bortignon^{84a,84c} G. Bortolato^{84a,84b} A. C. M. Bulla^{84a,84c} P. Checchia^{84a} T. Dorigo^{84a,yy}
 F. Gasparini^{84a,84b} U. Gasparini^{84a,84b} S. Giorgetti^{84a} M. Gulmini^{84a,zz} E. Lusiani^{84a} M. Margoni^{84a,84b}
 A. T. Meneguzzo^{84a,84b} J. Pazzini^{84a,84b} P. Ronchese^{84a,84b} R. Rossin^{84a,84b} F. Simonetto^{84a,84b} M. Tosi^{84a,84b}
 A. Triossi^{84a,84b} S. Ventura^{84a} M. Zanetti^{84a,84b} P. Zotto^{84a,84b} A. Zucchetta^{84a,84b} A. Braghieri^{85a}
 S. Calzaferri^{85a} P. Montagna^{85a,85b} M. Pelliccioni^{85a} V. Re^{85a} C. Riccardi^{85a,85b} P. Salvini^{85a} I. Vai^{85a,85b}
 P. Vitulo^{85a,85b} S. Ajmal^{86a,86b} M. E. Ascoti^{86a,86b} G. M. Bilei^{86a} C. Carrivale^{86a,86b} D. Ciangottini^{86a,86b}
 L. Della Penna^{86a,86b} L. Fanò^{86a,86b} V. Mariani^{86a,86b} M. Menichelli^{86a} F. Moscatelli^{86a,aaa} A. Rossi^{86a,86b}
 A. Santocchia^{86a,86b} D. Spiga^{86a} T. Tedeschi^{86a,86b} C. Aimè^{87a,87b} C. A. Alexe^{87a,87c} P. Asenov^{87a,87b}
 P. Azzurri^{87a} G. Bagliesi^{87a} L. Bianchini^{87a,87b} T. Boccali^{87a} E. Bossini^{87a} D. Bruschini^{87a,87c}
 L. Calligaris^{87a,87b} R. Castaldi^{87a} F. Cattafesta^{87a,87c} M. A. Ciocci^{87a,87d} M. Cipriani^{87a,87b} R. Dell'Orso^{87a}

S. Donato^{87a,87b} R. Forti^{87a,87b} A. Giassi^{87a} F. Ligabue^{87a,87c} A. C. Marini^{87a,87b} D. Matos Figueiredo^{87a}
 A. Messineo^{87a,87b} S. Mishra^{87a} V. K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu^{87a,87b} S. Nandan^{87a} F. Palla^{87a}
 M. Riggirello^{87a,87c} A. Rizzi^{87a,87b} G. Rolandi^{87a,87c} S. Roy Chowdhury^{87a,bbb} T. Sarkar^{87a} A. Scribano^{87a}
 P. Solanki^{87a,87b} P. Spagnolo^{87a} F. Tenchini^{87a,87b} R. Tenchini^{87a} G. Tonelli^{87a,87b} N. Turini^{87a,87d}
 F. Vaselli^{87a,87c} A. Venturi^{87a} P. G. Verdini^{87a} P. Akrap^{88a,88b} C. Basile^{88a,88b} S. C. Behera^{88a} F. Cavallari^{88a}
 L. Cunqueiro Mendez^{88a,88b} F. De Ruggi^{88a,88b} D. Del Re^{88a,88b} E. Di Marco^{88a} M. Diemoz^{88a} F. Errico^{88a}
 L. Frosina^{88a,88b} R. Gargiulo^{88a,88b} B. Harikrishnan^{88a,88b} F. Lombardi^{88a,88b} E. Longo^{88a,88b} L. Martikainen^{88a,88b}
 J. Mijuskovic^{88a,88b} G. Organtini^{88a,88b} N. Palmeri^{88a,88b} R. Paramatti^{88a,88b} S. Rahatlou^{88a,88b} C. Rovelli^{88a}
 F. Santanastasio^{88a,88b} L. Soffi^{88a} V. Vladimirov^{88a,88b} N. Amapane^{89a,89b} R. Arcidiacono^{89a,89c} S. Argiro^{89a,89b}
 M. Arneodo^{89a,89c} N. Bartosik^{89a,89c} R. Bellan^{89a,89b} A. Bellora^{89a,89b} C. Biino^{89a} C. Borca^{89a,89b}
 N. Cartiglia^{89a} M. Costa^{89a,89b} R. Covarelli^{89a,89b} N. Demaria^{89a} L. Finco^{89a} M. Grippo^{89a,89b} B. Kiani^{89a,89b}
 L. Lanteri^{89a,89b} F. Legger^{89a} F. Luongo^{89a,89b} C. Mariotti^{89a} S. Maselli^{89a} A. Mecca^{89a,89b} L. Menzio^{89a,89b}
 P. Meridiani^{89a} E. Migliore^{89a,89b} M. Monteno^{89a} M. M. Obertino^{89a,89b} G. Ortona^{89a} L. Pacher^{89a,89b}
 N. Pastrone^{89a} M. Ruspa^{89a,89c} F. Siviero^{89a,89b} V. Sola^{89a,89b} A. Solano^{89a,89b} A. Staiano^{89a}
 C. Tarricone^{89a,89b} D. Trocino^{89a} G. Umoret^{89a,89b} E. Vlasov^{89a,89b} R. White^{89a,89b} J. Babbar^{90a,90b}
 S. Belforte^{90a} V. Candelise^{90a,90b} M. Casarsa^{90a} F. Cossutti^{90a} K. De Leo^{90a} G. Della Ricca^{90a,90b}
 R. Delli Gatti^{90a,90b} S. Dogra⁹¹ J. Hong⁹¹ J. Kim⁹¹ T. Kim⁹¹ D. Lee⁹¹ H. Lee⁹¹ J. Lee⁹¹ S. W. Lee⁹¹
 C. S. Moon⁹¹ Y. D. Oh⁹¹ S. Sekmen⁹¹ B. Tae⁹¹ Y. C. Yang⁹¹ M. S. Kim⁹² G. Bak⁹³ P. Gwak⁹³ H. Kim⁹³
 D. H. Moon⁹³ J. Seo⁹³ E. Asilar⁹⁴ F. Carnevali⁹⁴ J. Choi^{94,ccc} T. J. Kim⁹⁴ Y. Ryou⁹⁴ S. Ha⁹⁵ S. Han⁹⁵
 B. Hong⁹⁵ J. Kim⁹⁵ K. Lee⁹⁵ K. S. Lee⁹⁵ S. Lee⁹⁵ J. Yoo⁹⁵ J. Goh⁹⁶ J. Shin⁹⁶ S. Yang⁹⁶ Y. Kang⁹⁷
 H. S. Kim⁹⁷ Y. Kim⁹⁷ S. Lee⁹⁷ J. Almond⁹⁸ J. H. Bhyun⁹⁸ J. Choi⁹⁸ J. Choi⁹⁸ W. Jun⁹⁸ H. Kim⁹⁸ J. Kim⁹⁸
 T. Kim⁹⁸ Y. Kim⁹⁸ Y. W. Kim⁹⁸ S. Ko⁹⁸ H. Lee⁹⁸ J. Lee⁹⁸ J. Lee⁹⁸ B. H. Oh⁹⁸ S. B. Oh⁹⁸ J. Shin⁹⁸
 U. K. Yang⁹⁸ I. Yoon⁹⁸ W. Jang⁹⁹ D. Y. Kang⁹⁹ D. Kim⁹⁹ S. Kim⁹⁹ B. Ko⁹⁹ J. S. H. Lee⁹⁹ Y. Lee⁹⁹
 I. C. Park⁹⁹ Y. Roh⁹⁹ I. J. Watson⁹⁹ G. Cho¹⁰⁰ K. Hwang¹⁰⁰ B. Kim¹⁰⁰ S. Kim¹⁰⁰ K. Lee¹⁰⁰ H. D. Yoo¹⁰⁰
 M. Choi¹⁰¹ Y. Lee¹⁰¹ I. Yu¹⁰¹ T. Beyrouthy¹⁰² Y. Gharbia¹⁰² F. Alazemi¹⁰³ K. Dreimanis¹⁰⁴
 O. M. Eberlins¹⁰⁴ A. Gaile¹⁰⁴ C. Munoz Diaz¹⁰⁴ D. Osite¹⁰⁴ G. Pikurs¹⁰⁴ R. Plese¹⁰⁴ A. Potrebko¹⁰⁴
 M. Seidel¹⁰⁴ D. Sidiropoulos Kontos¹⁰⁴ N. R. Strautnieks¹⁰⁵ M. Ambrozas¹⁰⁶ A. Juodagalvis¹⁰⁶
 S. Nargelas¹⁰⁶ A. Rinkevicius¹⁰⁶ G. Tamulaitis¹⁰⁶ I. Yusuff^{107,ddd} Z. Zolkapli¹⁰⁷ J. F. Benitez¹⁰⁸
 A. Castaneda Hernandez¹⁰⁸ A. Cota Rodriguez¹⁰⁸ L. E. Cuevas Picos¹⁰⁸ H. A. Encinas Acosta¹⁰⁸
 L. G. Gallegos Maríñez¹⁰⁸ J. A. Murillo Quijada¹⁰⁸ L. Valencia Palomo¹⁰⁸ G. Ayala¹⁰⁹ H. Castilla-Valdez¹⁰⁹
 H. Crotte Ledesma¹⁰⁹ R. Lopez-Fernandez¹⁰⁹ J. Mejia Guisao¹⁰⁹ R. Reyes-Almanza¹⁰⁹
 A. Sánchez Hernández¹⁰⁹ C. Oropeza Barrera¹¹⁰ D. L. Ramirez Guadarrama¹¹⁰ M. Ramírez García¹¹⁰
 I. Bautista¹¹¹ F. E. Neri Huerta¹¹¹ I. Pedraza¹¹¹ H. A. Salazar Ibarguen¹¹¹ C. Uribe Estrada¹¹¹ I. Bujanja¹¹²
 N. Raicevic¹¹² P. H. Butler¹¹³ A. Ahmad¹¹⁴ M. I. Asghar¹¹⁴ A. Awais¹¹⁴ M. I. M. Awan¹¹⁴ W. A. Khan¹¹⁴
 V. Avati¹¹⁵ L. Forthomme¹¹⁵ L. Grzanka¹¹⁵ M. Malawski¹¹⁵ K. Piotrkowski¹¹⁵ M. Bluj¹¹⁶ M. Górski¹¹⁶
 M. Kazana¹¹⁶ M. Szeleper¹¹⁶ P. Zalewski¹¹⁶ K. Bunkowski¹¹⁷ K. Doroba¹¹⁷ A. Kalinowski¹¹⁷ M. Konecki¹¹⁷
 J. Krolikowski¹¹⁷ A. Muhammad¹¹⁷ P. Fokow¹¹⁸ K. Pozniak¹¹⁸ W. Zabolotny¹¹⁸ M. Araujo¹¹⁹ D. Bastos¹¹⁹
 C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva¹¹⁹ A. Boletti¹¹⁹ M. Bozzo¹¹⁹ T. Camporesi¹¹⁹ G. Da Molin¹¹⁹ M. Gallinaro¹¹⁹
 J. Hollar¹¹⁹ N. Leonardo¹¹⁹ G. B. Marozzo¹¹⁹ A. Petrilli¹¹⁹ M. Pisano¹¹⁹ J. Seixas¹¹⁹ J. Varela¹¹⁹
 J. W. Wulff¹¹⁹ P. Adzic¹²⁰ L. Markovic¹²⁰ P. Milenovic¹²⁰ V. Milosevic¹²⁰ D. Devetak¹²¹ M. Dordevic¹²¹
 J. Milosevic¹²¹ L. Nadder¹²¹ V. Rekovic¹²¹ M. Stojanovic¹²¹ M. Alcalde Martinez¹²² J. Alcaraz Maestre¹²²
 Cristina F. Bedoya¹²² J. A. Brochero Cifuentes¹²² Oliver M. Carretero¹²² M. Cepeda¹²² M. Cerrada¹²²
 N. Colino¹²² J. Cuchillo Ortega¹²² B. De La Cruz¹²² A. Delgado Peris¹²² A. Escalante Del Valle¹²²
 D. Fernández Del Val¹²² J. P. Fernández Ramos¹²² J. Flix¹²² M. C. Fouz¹²² M. Gonzalez Hernandez¹²²
 O. Gonzalez Lopez¹²² S. Goy Lopez¹²² J. M. Hernandez¹²² M. I. Josa¹²² J. Llorente Merino¹²²
 C. Martin Perez¹²² E. Martin Viscasillas¹²² D. Moran¹²² C. M. Morcillo Perez¹²² R. Paz Herrera¹²²
 C. Perez Dengra¹²² A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo¹²² J. Puerta Pelayo¹²² I. Redondo¹²² J. Vazquez Escobar¹²²
 J. F. de Trocóniz¹²³ B. Alvarez Gonzalez¹²⁴ J. Ayllon Torresano¹²⁴ A. Cardini¹²⁴ J. Cuevas¹²⁴
 J. Del Riego Badas¹²⁴ D. Estrada Acevedo¹²⁴ J. Fernandez Menendez¹²⁴ S. Folgueras¹²⁴

I. Gonzalez Caballero¹²⁴ P. Leguina¹²⁴ M. Obeso Menendez¹²⁴ E. Palencia Cortezon¹²⁴ J. Prado Pico¹²⁴
 A. Soto Rodríguez¹²⁴ C. Vico Villalba¹²⁴ P. Vischia¹²⁴ S. Blanco Fernández¹²⁵ I. J. Cabrillo¹²⁵ A. Calderon¹²⁵
 J. Duarte Campderros¹²⁵ M. Fernandez¹²⁵ G. Gomez¹²⁵ C. Lasasoa García¹²⁵ R. Lopez Ruiz¹²⁵
 C. Martinez Rivero¹²⁵ P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol¹²⁵ F. Matorras¹²⁵ P. Matorras Cuevas¹²⁵
 E. Navarrete Ramos¹²⁵ J. Piedra Gomez¹²⁵ C. Quintana San Emeterio¹²⁵ L. Scodellaro¹²⁵ I. Vila¹²⁵
 R. Vilar Cortabitarte¹²⁵ J. M. Vizan Garcia¹²⁵ B. Kailasapathy^{126,eee} D. D. C. Wickramaratna¹²⁶
 W. G. D. Dharmaratna^{127,fff} K. Liyanage¹²⁷ N. Perera¹²⁷ D. Abbaneo¹²⁸ C. Amendola¹²⁸ R. Ardino¹²⁸
 E. Auffray¹²⁸ J. Baechler¹²⁸ D. Barney¹²⁸ J. Bendavid¹²⁸ M. Bianco¹²⁸ A. Bocci¹²⁸ L. Borgonovi¹²⁸
 C. Botta¹²⁸ A. Bragagnolo¹²⁸ C. E. Brown¹²⁸ C. Caillol¹²⁸ G. Cerminara¹²⁸ P. Connor¹²⁸ D. d'Enterria¹²⁸
 A. Dabrowski¹²⁸ A. David¹²⁸ A. De Roeck¹²⁸ M. M. Defranchis¹²⁸ M. Deile¹²⁸ M. Dobson¹²⁸
 P. J. Fernández Manteca¹²⁸ B. A. Fontana Santos Alves¹²⁸ W. Funk¹²⁸ A. Gaddi¹²⁸ S. Giani¹²⁸ D. Gigi¹²⁸
 K. Gill¹²⁸ F. Glege¹²⁸ M. Glowacki¹²⁸ A. Gruber¹²⁸ J. Hegeman¹²⁸ J. K. Heikkilä¹²⁸ R. Hofsaess¹²⁸
 B. Huber¹²⁸ T. James¹²⁸ P. Janot¹²⁸ O. Kaluzinska¹²⁸ O. Karacheban^{128,y} G. Karathanasis¹²⁸ S. Laurila¹²⁸
 P. Lecoq¹²⁸ C. Lourenço¹²⁸ A.-M. Lyon¹²⁸ M. Magherini¹²⁸ L. Malgeri¹²⁸ M. Mannelli¹²⁸ A. Mehta¹²⁸
 F. Meijers¹²⁸ J. A. Merlin¹²⁸ S. Mersi¹²⁸ E. Meschi¹²⁸ M. Migliorini¹²⁸ F. Monti¹²⁸ F. Moortgat¹²⁸
 M. Mulders¹²⁸ M. Musich¹²⁸ I. Neutelings¹²⁸ S. Orfanelli¹²⁸ F. Pantaleo¹²⁸ M. Pari¹²⁸ G. Petruccianni¹²⁸
 A. Pfeiffer¹²⁸ M. Pierini¹²⁸ M. Pitt¹²⁸ H. Qu¹²⁸ D. Rabady¹²⁸ A. Reimers¹²⁸ B. Ribeiro Lopes¹²⁸ F. Riti¹²⁸
 P. Rosado¹²⁸ M. Rovere¹²⁸ H. Sakulin¹²⁸ R. Salvatico¹²⁸ S. Sanchez Cruz¹²⁸ S. Scarfi¹²⁸ M. Selvaggi¹²⁸
 A. Sharma¹²⁸ K. Shchelina¹²⁸ P. Silva¹²⁸ P. Sphicas^{128,ggg} A. G. Stahl Leiton¹²⁸ A. Steen¹²⁸ S. Summers¹²⁸
 D. Treille¹²⁸ P. Tropea¹²⁸ E. Vernazza¹²⁸ J. Wanczyk^{128,hhh} J. Wang¹²⁸ S. Wuchterl¹²⁸ M. Zarucki¹²⁸
 P. Zehetner¹²⁸ P. Zejdl¹²⁸ G. Zevi Della Porta¹²⁸ T. Bevilacqua^{129,iii} L. Caminada^{129,iii} W. Erdmann¹²⁹
 R. Horisberger¹²⁹ Q. Ingram¹²⁹ H. C. Kaestli¹²⁹ D. Kotlinski¹²⁹ C. Lange¹²⁹ U. Langenegger¹²⁹
 L. Noehte^{129,iii} T. Rohe¹²⁹ A. Samalan¹²⁹ T. K. Aarrestad¹³⁰ M. Backhaus¹³⁰ G. Bonomelli¹³⁰
 C. Cazzaniga¹³⁰ K. Datta¹³⁰ P. De Bryas Dexmiers D'Archiacchiac^{130,hhh} A. De Cosa¹³⁰ G. Dissertori¹³⁰
 M. Dittmar¹³⁰ M. Donegà¹³⁰ F. Eble¹³⁰ K. Gedia¹³⁰ F. Glessgen¹³⁰ C. Grab¹³⁰ N. Härringer¹³⁰
 T. G. Harte¹³⁰ W. Lustermann¹³⁰ M. Malucchi¹³⁰ R. A. Manzoni¹³⁰ M. Marchegiani¹³⁰ L. Marchese¹³⁰
 A. Mascellani^{130,hhh} F. Nessi-Tedaldi¹³⁰ F. Pauss¹³⁰ V. Perovic¹³⁰ B. Ristic¹³⁰ R. Seidita¹³⁰
 J. Steggemann^{130,hhh} A. Tarabini¹³⁰ D. Valsecchi¹³⁰ R. Wallny¹³⁰ C. AMSLER^{131,jjj} P. Bäertschi¹³¹
 F. Bilandzija¹³¹ M. F. Canelli¹³¹ G. Celotto¹³¹ K. Cormier¹³¹ M. Huwiler¹³¹ W. Jin¹³¹ A. Jofrehei¹³¹
 B. Kilminster¹³¹ T. H. Kwok¹³¹ S. Leontsinis¹³¹ V. Lukashenko¹³¹ A. Macchiolo¹³¹ F. Meng¹³¹
 M. Missiroli¹³¹ J. Motta¹³¹ P. Robmann¹³¹ M. Senger¹³¹ E. Shokr¹³¹ F. Stäger¹³¹ R. Tramontano¹³¹
 P. Viscone¹³¹ D. Bhowmik¹³² C. M. Kuo¹³² P. K. Rout¹³² S. Taj¹³² P. C. Tiwari^{132,jj} L. Ceard¹³³ K. F. Chen¹³³
 Z. g. Chen¹³³ A. De Iorio¹³³ W.-S. Hou¹³³ T. h. Hsu¹³³ Y. w. Kao¹³³ S. Karmakar¹³³ G. Kole¹³³ Y. y. Li¹³³
 R.-S. Lu¹³³ E. Paganis¹³³ X. f. Su¹³³ J. Thomas-Wilsker¹³³ L. s. Tsai¹³³ D. Tsionou¹³³ H. y. Wu¹³³
 E. Yazgan¹³³ C. Asawatangtrakuldee¹³⁴ N. Srimanobhas¹³⁴ Y. Maghrbi¹³⁵ D. Agyel¹³⁶ F. Dolek¹³⁶
 I. Dumanoglu^{136,kkk} Y. Guler^{136,lll} E. Gurpinar Guler^{136,lll} C. Isik¹³⁶ O. Kara¹³⁶ A. Kayis Topaksu¹³⁶
 Y. Komurcu¹³⁶ G. Onengut¹³⁶ K. Ozdemir^{136,mmm} B. Tali^{136,nnn} U. G. Tok¹³⁶ E. Uslan¹³⁶ I. S. Zorbakir¹³⁶
 M. Yalvac^{137,ooo} B. Akgun¹³⁸ I. O. Atakisi^{138,ppp} E. Gülmez¹³⁸ M. Kaya^{138,qqq} O. Kaya^{138,rrr}
 M. A. Sarkisla^{138,sss} S. Tekten^{138,ttt} A. Cakir¹³⁹ K. Cankocak^{139,kkk,uuu} S. Sen^{139,vvv} B. Haciasahinoglu¹⁴⁰
 I. Hos^{140,www} B. Kaynak¹⁴⁰ S. Ozkorucuklu¹⁴⁰ O. Potok¹⁴⁰ H. Sert¹⁴⁰ C. Simsek¹⁴⁰ C. Zorbilmez¹⁴⁰
 S. Cerci¹⁴¹ C. Dozen^{141,xxx} B. Isildak^{141,yyy} E. Simsek¹⁴¹ D. Sunar Cerci¹⁴¹ T. Yetkin^{141,xxx}
 A. Boyaryntsev¹⁴² O. Dadazhanova¹⁴² B. Grynyov¹⁴² L. Levchuk¹⁴³ J. J. Brooke¹⁴⁴ A. Bundock¹⁴⁴
 F. Bury¹⁴⁴ E. Clement¹⁴⁴ D. Cussans¹⁴⁴ D. Dharmender¹⁴⁴ H. Flacher¹⁴⁴ J. Goldstein¹⁴⁴ H. F. Heath¹⁴⁴
 M.-L. Holmberg¹⁴⁴ L. Kreczko¹⁴⁴ S. Paramesvaran¹⁴⁴ L. Robertshaw¹⁴⁴ M. S. Sanjrani^{144,nn} J. Segal¹⁴⁴
 V. J. Smith¹⁴⁴ A. H. Ball¹⁴⁵ K. W. Bell¹⁴⁵ A. Belyaev^{145,zzz} C. Brew¹⁴⁵ R. M. Brown¹⁴⁵ D. J. A. Cockerill¹⁴⁵
 A. Elliot¹⁴⁵ K. V. Ellis¹⁴⁵ J. Gajownik¹⁴⁵ K. Harder¹⁴⁵ S. Harper¹⁴⁵ J. Linacre¹⁴⁵ K. Manolopoulos¹⁴⁵
 M. Moallemi¹⁴⁵ D. M. Newbold¹⁴⁵ E. Olaiya¹⁴⁵ D. Petyt¹⁴⁵ T. Reis¹⁴⁵ A. R. Sahasransu¹⁴⁵ G. Salvi¹⁴⁵
 T. Schuh¹⁴⁵ C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous¹⁴⁵ I. R. Tomalin¹⁴⁵ K. C. Whalen¹⁴⁵ T. Williams¹⁴⁵ I. Andreou¹⁴⁶
 R. Bainbridge¹⁴⁶ P. Bloch¹⁴⁶ O. Buchmuller¹⁴⁶ C. A. Carrillo Montoya¹⁴⁶ D. Colling¹⁴⁶ J. S. Dancu¹⁴⁶ I. Das¹⁴⁶

P. Dauncey¹⁴⁶ G. Davies¹⁴⁶ M. Della Negra¹⁴⁶ S. Fayer¹⁴⁶ G. Fedi¹⁴⁶ G. Hall¹⁴⁶ H. R. Hoorani¹⁴⁶
 A. Howard¹⁴⁶ G. Iles¹⁴⁶ C. R. Knight¹⁴⁶ P. Krueper¹⁴⁶ J. Langford¹⁴⁶ K. H. Law¹⁴⁶ J. León Holgado¹⁴⁶
 E. Leutgeb¹⁴⁶ L. Lyons¹⁴⁶ A.-M. Magnan¹⁴⁶ B. Maier¹⁴⁶ S. Mallios¹⁴⁶ A. Mastronikolis¹⁴⁶
 M. Mieskolainen¹⁴⁶ J. Nash^{146,aaaa} M. Pesaresi¹⁴⁶ P. B. Pradeep¹⁴⁶ B. C. Radburn-Smith¹⁴⁶ A. Richards¹⁴⁶
 A. Rose¹⁴⁶ L. Russell¹⁴⁶ K. Savva¹⁴⁶ C. Seez¹⁴⁶ R. Shukla¹⁴⁶ A. Tapper¹⁴⁶ K. Uchida¹⁴⁶ G. P. Uttley¹⁴⁶
 T. Virdee^{146,aa} M. Vojinovic¹⁴⁶ N. Wardle¹⁴⁶ D. Winterbottom¹⁴⁶ J. E. Cole¹⁴⁷ A. Khan¹⁴⁷ P. Kyberd¹⁴⁷
 I. D. Reid¹⁴⁷ S. Abdullin¹⁴⁸ A. Brinkerhoff¹⁴⁸ E. Collins¹⁴⁸ M. R. Darwish¹⁴⁸ J. Dittmann¹⁴⁸
 K. Hatakeyama¹⁴⁸ V. Hegde¹⁴⁸ J. Hiltbrand¹⁴⁸ B. McMaster¹⁴⁸ J. Samudio¹⁴⁸ S. Sawant¹⁴⁸
 C. Sutantawibul¹⁴⁸ J. Wilson¹⁴⁸ J. M. Hogan^{149,bbbb} R. Bartek¹⁵⁰ A. Dominguez¹⁵⁰ S. Raj¹⁵⁰
 A. E. Simsek¹⁵⁰ S. S. Yu¹⁵⁰ B. Bam¹⁵¹ A. Buchot Perraguin¹⁵¹ S. Campbell¹⁵¹ R. Chudasama¹⁵¹
 S. I. Cooper¹⁵¹ C. Crovella¹⁵¹ G. Fidalgo¹⁵¹ S. V. Gleyzer¹⁵¹ A. Khukhunaishvili¹⁵¹ K. Matchev¹⁵¹
 E. Pearson¹⁵¹ C. U. Perez¹⁵¹ P. Rumerio^{151,cccc} E. Usai¹⁵¹ R. Yi¹⁵¹ S. Cholak¹⁵² G. De Castro¹⁵²
 Z. Demiragli¹⁵² C. Erice¹⁵² C. Fangmeier¹⁵² C. Fernandez Madrazo¹⁵² E. Fontanesi¹⁵² J. Fulcher¹⁵²
 F. Golf¹⁵² S. Jeon¹⁵² J. O’Cain¹⁵² I. Reed¹⁵² J. Rohlf¹⁵² K. Salyer¹⁵² D. Sperka¹⁵² D. Spitzbart¹⁵²
 I. Suarez¹⁵² A. Tsatsos¹⁵² E. Wurtz¹⁵² A. G. Zecchinelli¹⁵² G. Barone¹⁵³ G. Benelli¹⁵³ D. Cutts¹⁵³
 S. Ellis¹⁵³ L. Gouskos¹⁵³ M. Hadley¹⁵³ U. Heintz¹⁵³ K. W. Ho¹⁵³ T. Kwon¹⁵³ L. Lambrecht¹⁵³
 G. Landsberg¹⁵³ K. T. Lau¹⁵³ J. Luo¹⁵³ S. Mondal¹⁵³ J. Roloff¹⁵³ T. Russell¹⁵³ S. Sagir^{153,dddd} X. Shen¹⁵³
 M. Stamenkovic¹⁵³ N. Venkatasubramanian¹⁵³ S. Abbott¹⁵⁴ B. Barton¹⁵⁴ R. Breedon¹⁵⁴ H. Cai¹⁵⁴
 M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez¹⁵⁴ E. Cannart¹⁵⁴ M. Chertok¹⁵⁴ M. Citron¹⁵⁴ J. Conway¹⁵⁴ P. T. Cox¹⁵⁴
 R. Erbacher¹⁵⁴ O. Kukral¹⁵⁴ G. Mocellin¹⁵⁴ S. Ostrom¹⁵⁴ I. Salazar Segovia¹⁵⁴ J. S. Tafoya Vargas¹⁵⁴
 W. Wei¹⁵⁴ S. Yoo¹⁵⁴ K. Adamidis¹⁵⁵ M. Bachtis¹⁵⁵ D. Campos¹⁵⁵ R. Cousins¹⁵⁵ A. Datta¹⁵⁵
 G. Flores Avila¹⁵⁵ J. Hauser¹⁵⁵ M. Ignatenko¹⁵⁵ M. A. Iqbal¹⁵⁵ T. Lam¹⁵⁵ Y. f. Lo¹⁵⁵ E. Manca¹⁵⁵
 A. Nunez Del Prado¹⁵⁵ D. Saltzberg¹⁵⁵ V. Valuev¹⁵⁵ R. Clare¹⁵⁶ J. W. Gary¹⁵⁶ G. Hanson¹⁵⁶ A. Aportela¹⁵⁷
 A. Arora¹⁵⁷ J. G. Branson¹⁵⁷ S. Cittolin¹⁵⁷ S. Cooperstein¹⁵⁷ B. D’Anzi¹⁵⁷ D. Diaz¹⁵⁷ J. Duarte¹⁵⁷
 L. Giannini¹⁵⁷ Y. Gu¹⁵⁷ J. Guiang¹⁵⁷ V. Krutelyov¹⁵⁷ R. Lee¹⁵⁷ J. Letts¹⁵⁷ H. Li¹⁵⁷ M. Masciovecchio¹⁵⁷
 F. Mokhtar¹⁵⁷ S. Mukherjee¹⁵⁷ M. Pieri¹⁵⁷ D. Primosch¹⁵⁷ M. Quinnan¹⁵⁷ V. Sharma¹⁵⁷ M. Tadel¹⁵⁷
 E. Vourliotis¹⁵⁷ F. Würthwein¹⁵⁷ A. Yagil¹⁵⁷ Z. Zhao¹⁵⁷ A. Barzdukas¹⁵⁸ L. Brennan¹⁵⁸ C. Campagnari¹⁵⁸
 S. Carron Montero^{158,eeee} K. Downham¹⁵⁸ C. Grieco¹⁵⁸ M. M. Hussain¹⁵⁸ J. Incandela¹⁵⁸ M. W. K. Lai¹⁵⁸
 A. J. Li¹⁵⁸ P. Masterson¹⁵⁸ J. Richman¹⁵⁸ S. N. Santpur¹⁵⁸ U. Sarica¹⁵⁸ R. Schmitz¹⁵⁸ F. Setti¹⁵⁸
 J. Sheplock¹⁵⁸ D. Stuart¹⁵⁸ T. Á. Vámi¹⁵⁸ X. Yan¹⁵⁸ D. Zhang¹⁵⁸ A. Albert¹⁵⁹ S. Bhattacharya¹⁵⁹
 A. Bornheim¹⁵⁹ O. Cerri¹⁵⁹ R. Kansal¹⁵⁹ J. Mao¹⁵⁹ H. B. Newman¹⁵⁹ G. Reales Gutiérrez¹⁵⁹ T. Sievert¹⁵⁹
 M. Spiropulu¹⁵⁹ J. R. Vlimant¹⁵⁹ R. A. Wynne¹⁵⁹ S. Xie¹⁵⁹ J. Alison¹⁶⁰ S. An¹⁶⁰ M. Cremonesi¹⁶⁰
 V. Dutta¹⁶⁰ E. Y. Ertorer¹⁶⁰ T. Ferguson¹⁶⁰ T. A. Gómez Espinosa¹⁶⁰ A. Harilal¹⁶⁰ A. Kallil Tharayil¹⁶⁰
 M. Kanemura¹⁶⁰ C. Liu¹⁶⁰ P. Meiring¹⁶⁰ T. Mudholkar¹⁶⁰ S. Murthy¹⁶⁰ P. Palit¹⁶⁰ K. Park¹⁶⁰ M. Paulini¹⁶⁰
 A. Roberts¹⁶⁰ A. Sanchez¹⁶⁰ W. Terrill¹⁶⁰ J. P. Cumalat¹⁶¹ W. T. Ford¹⁶¹ A. Hart¹⁶¹ S. Kwan¹⁶¹
 J. Pearkes¹⁶¹ C. Savard¹⁶¹ N. Schonbeck¹⁶¹ K. Stenson¹⁶¹ K. A. Ulmer¹⁶¹ S. R. Wagner¹⁶¹ N. Zipper¹⁶¹
 D. Zuolo¹⁶¹ J. Alexander¹⁶² X. Chen¹⁶² J. Dickinson¹⁶² A. Duquette¹⁶² J. Fan¹⁶² X. Fan¹⁶² J. Grassi¹⁶²
 S. Hogan¹⁶² P. Kotamnives¹⁶² J. Monroy¹⁶² G. Niendorf¹⁶² M. Oshiro¹⁶² J. R. Patterson¹⁶² A. Ryd¹⁶²
 J. Thom¹⁶² P. Wittich¹⁶² R. Zou¹⁶² L. Zygala¹⁶² M. Albrow¹⁶³ M. Alyari¹⁶³ O. Amram¹⁶³ G. Apollinari¹⁶³
 A. Apresyan¹⁶³ L. A. T. Bauerdick¹⁶³ D. Berry¹⁶³ J. Berryhill¹⁶³ P. C. Bhat¹⁶³ K. Burkett¹⁶³ J. N. Butler¹⁶³
 A. Canepa¹⁶³ G. B. Cerati¹⁶³ H. W. K. Cheung¹⁶³ F. Chlebana¹⁶³ C. Cosby¹⁶³ G. Cummings¹⁶³ I. Dutta¹⁶³
 V. D. Elvira¹⁶³ J. Freeman¹⁶³ A. Gandrakota¹⁶³ Z. Gecse¹⁶³ L. Gray¹⁶³ D. Green¹⁶³ A. Grummer¹⁶³
 S. Grünendahl¹⁶³ D. Guerrero¹⁶³ O. Gutsche¹⁶³ R. M. Harris¹⁶³ T. C. Herwig¹⁶³ J. Hirschauer¹⁶³
 V. Innocente¹⁶³ B. Jayatilaka¹⁶³ S. Jindariani¹⁶³ M. Johnson¹⁶³ U. Joshi¹⁶³ T. Klijsma¹⁶³ B. Klima¹⁶³
 K. H. M. Kwok¹⁶³ S. Lammel¹⁶³ C. Lee¹⁶³ D. Lincoln¹⁶³ R. Lipton¹⁶³ T. Liu¹⁶³ K. Maeshima¹⁶³
 D. Mason¹⁶³ P. McBride¹⁶³ P. Merkel¹⁶³ S. Mrenna¹⁶³ S. Nahn¹⁶³ J. Ngadiuba¹⁶³ D. Noonan¹⁶³
 S. Norberg¹⁶³ V. Papadimitriou¹⁶³ N. Pastika¹⁶³ K. Pedro¹⁶³ C. Pena^{163,ffff} C. E. Perez Lara¹⁶³ F. Ravera¹⁶³
 A. Reinsvold Hall^{163,gggg} L. Ristori¹⁶³ M. Safdari¹⁶³ E. Sexton-Kennedy¹⁶³ N. Smith¹⁶³ A. Soha¹⁶³
 L. Spiegel¹⁶³ S. Stoynev¹⁶³ J. Strait¹⁶³ L. Taylor¹⁶³ S. Tkaczyk¹⁶³ N. V. Tran¹⁶³ L. Uplegger¹⁶³

E. W. Vaandering¹⁶³, C. Wang,¹⁶³ I. Zoi¹⁶³, C. Aruta¹⁶⁴, P. Avery¹⁶⁴, D. Bourilkov¹⁶⁴, P. Chang¹⁶⁴,
 V. Cherepanov¹⁶⁴, R. D. Field,¹⁶⁴ C. Huh¹⁶⁴, E. Koenig¹⁶⁴, M. Kolosova¹⁶⁴, J. Konigsberg¹⁶⁴, A. Korytov¹⁶⁴,
 N. Menendez¹⁶⁴, G. Mitselmakher¹⁶⁴, K. Mohrman¹⁶⁴, A. Muthirakalayil Madhu¹⁶⁴, N. Rawal¹⁶⁴,
 S. Rosenzweig¹⁶⁴, V. Sulimov¹⁶⁴, Y. Takahashi¹⁶⁴, J. Wang,¹⁶⁴ T. Adams¹⁶⁵, A. Al Kadhim¹⁶⁵, A. Askew¹⁶⁵,
 S. Bower¹⁶⁵, R. Goff,¹⁶⁵ R. Hashmi¹⁶⁵, A. Hassani¹⁶⁵, R. S. Kim¹⁶⁵, T. Kolberg¹⁶⁵, G. Martinez¹⁶⁵, M. Mazza¹⁶⁵,
 H. Prosper¹⁶⁵, P. R. Prova,¹⁶⁵ R. Yohay¹⁶⁵, B. Alsufyani¹⁶⁶, S. Butalla¹⁶⁶, S. Das¹⁶⁶, M. Hohlmann¹⁶⁶,
 M. Lavinsky,¹⁶⁶ E. Yanes,¹⁶⁶ M. R. Adams¹⁶⁷, N. Barnett,¹⁶⁷ A. Baty¹⁶⁷, C. Bennett¹⁶⁷, R. Cavanaugh¹⁶⁷,
 R. Escobar Franco¹⁶⁷, O. Evdokimov¹⁶⁷, C. E. Gerber¹⁶⁷, H. Gupta¹⁶⁷, M. Hawksworth,¹⁶⁷ A. Hingrajiya,¹⁶⁷
 D. J. Hofman¹⁶⁷, J. h. Lee¹⁶⁷, C. Mills¹⁶⁷, S. Nanda¹⁶⁷, G. Nigmatkulov¹⁶⁷, B. Ozek¹⁶⁷, T. Phan,¹⁶⁷
 D. Pilipovic¹⁶⁷, R. Pradhan¹⁶⁷, E. Prifti,¹⁶⁷ P. Roy,¹⁶⁷ T. Roy¹⁶⁷, N. Singh,¹⁶⁷ M. B. Tonjes¹⁶⁷, N. Varelas¹⁶⁷,
 M. A. Wadud¹⁶⁷, J. Yoo¹⁶⁷, M. Alhusseini¹⁶⁸, D. Blend¹⁶⁸, K. Dilsiz^{168, hhhh}, O. K. Köseyan¹⁶⁸,
 A. Mestvirishvili^{168, iiii}, O. Neogi,¹⁶⁸ H. Ogul^{168, jjjj}, Y. Onel¹⁶⁸, A. Penzo¹⁶⁸, C. Snyder,¹⁶⁸ E. Tiras^{168, kkkk},
 B. Blumenfeld¹⁶⁹, J. Davis¹⁶⁹, A. V. Gritsan¹⁶⁹, L. Kang¹⁶⁹, S. Kyriacou¹⁶⁹, P. Maksimovic¹⁶⁹, M. Roguljic¹⁶⁹,
 S. Sekhar¹⁶⁹, M. V. Srivastav¹⁶⁹, M. Swartz¹⁶⁹, A. Abreu¹⁷⁰, L. F. Alcerro Alcerro¹⁷⁰, J. Anguiano¹⁷⁰,
 S. Arteaga Escatel¹⁷⁰, P. Baringer¹⁷⁰, A. Bean¹⁷⁰, R. Bhattacharya¹⁷⁰, Z. Flowers¹⁷⁰, D. Grove¹⁷⁰, J. King¹⁷⁰,
 G. Krintiras¹⁷⁰, M. Lazarovits¹⁷⁰, C. Le Mahieu¹⁷⁰, J. Marquez¹⁷⁰, M. Murray¹⁷⁰, M. Nickel¹⁷⁰,
 S. Popescu^{170, llll}, C. Rogan¹⁷⁰, C. Royon¹⁷⁰, S. Rudrabhatla¹⁷⁰, S. Sanders¹⁷⁰, C. Smith¹⁷⁰, G. Wilson¹⁷⁰,
 B. Allmond¹⁷¹, N. Islam,¹⁷¹ A. Ivanov¹⁷¹, K. Kaadze¹⁷¹, Y. Maravin¹⁷¹, J. Natoli¹⁷¹, G. G. Reddy¹⁷¹, D. Roy¹⁷¹,
 G. Sorrentino¹⁷¹, A. Baden¹⁷², A. Belloni¹⁷², J. Bistany-riebman,¹⁷² S. C. Eno¹⁷², N. J. Hadley¹⁷², S. Jabeen¹⁷²,
 R. G. Kellogg¹⁷², T. Koeth¹⁷², B. Kronheim,¹⁷² S. Lascio¹⁷², P. Major¹⁷², A. C. Mignerey¹⁷², C. Palmer¹⁷²,
 C. Papageorgakis¹⁷², M. M. Paranjpe,¹⁷² E. Popova^{172, mmmm}, A. Shevelev¹⁷², L. Zhang¹⁷²,
 C. Baldenegro Barrera¹⁷³, H. Bossi¹⁷³, S. Bright-Thonney¹⁷³, I. A. Cali¹⁷³, Y. c. Chen¹⁷³, P. c. Chou¹⁷³,
 M. D'Alfonso¹⁷³, J. Eysermans¹⁷³, C. Freer¹⁷³, G. Gomez-Ceballos¹⁷³, M. Goncharov,¹⁷³ G. Grosso¹⁷³, P. Harris,¹⁷³
 D. Hoang¹⁷³, G. M. Innocenti¹⁷³, D. Kovalskiy¹⁷³, J. Krupa¹⁷³, L. Lavezzo¹⁷³, Y.-J. Lee¹⁷³, K. Long¹⁷³,
 C. McGinn¹⁷³, A. Novak¹⁷³, M. I. Park¹⁷³, C. Paus¹⁷³, C. Reissel¹⁷³, C. Roland¹⁷³, G. Roland¹⁷³,
 S. Rothman¹⁷³, T. a. Sheng¹⁷³, G. S. F. Stephans¹⁷³, D. Walter¹⁷³, Z. Wang¹⁷³, B. Wyslouch¹⁷³, T. J. Yang¹⁷³,
 B. Crossman¹⁷⁴, W. J. Jackson,¹⁷⁴ C. Kapsiak¹⁷⁴, M. Krohn¹⁷⁴, D. Mahon¹⁷⁴, J. Mans¹⁷⁴, B. Marzocchi¹⁷⁴,
 R. Rusack¹⁷⁴, O. Sancar¹⁷⁴, R. Saradhy¹⁷⁴, N. Strobbe¹⁷⁴, K. Bloom¹⁷⁵, D. R. Claes¹⁷⁵, G. Haza¹⁷⁵,
 J. Hossain¹⁷⁵, C. Joo¹⁷⁵, I. Kravchenko¹⁷⁵, A. Rohilla¹⁷⁵, J. E. Siado¹⁷⁵, W. Tabb¹⁷⁵, A. Vagnerini¹⁷⁵,
 A. Wightman¹⁷⁵, F. Yan¹⁷⁵, H. Bandyopadhyay¹⁷⁶, L. Hay¹⁷⁶, H. w. Hsia¹⁷⁶, I. Iashvili¹⁷⁶,
 A. Kalogeropoulos¹⁷⁶, A. Kharchilava¹⁷⁶, A. Mandal¹⁷⁶, M. Morris¹⁷⁶, D. Nguyen¹⁷⁶, S. Rappoccio¹⁷⁶,
 H. Rejeb Sfar,¹⁷⁶ A. Williams¹⁷⁶, P. Young¹⁷⁶, D. Yu¹⁷⁶, G. Alverson¹⁷⁷, E. Barberis¹⁷⁷, J. Bonilla¹⁷⁷,
 B. Bylsma,¹⁷⁷ M. Campana¹⁷⁷, J. Dervan¹⁷⁷, Y. Haddad¹⁷⁷, Y. Han¹⁷⁷, I. Israr¹⁷⁷, A. Krishna¹⁷⁷, M. Lu¹⁷⁷,
 N. Manganelli¹⁷⁷, R. Mccarthy¹⁷⁷, D. M. Morse¹⁷⁷, T. Orimoto¹⁷⁷, L. Skinnari¹⁷⁷, C. S. Thoreson¹⁷⁷, E. Tsai¹⁷⁷,
 D. Wood¹⁷⁷, S. Dittmer¹⁷⁸, K. A. Hahn¹⁷⁸, Y. Liu¹⁷⁸, M. McGinnis¹⁷⁸, Y. Miao¹⁷⁸, D. G. Monk¹⁷⁸,
 M. H. Schmitt¹⁷⁸, A. Taliencio¹⁷⁸, M. Velasco¹⁷⁸, J. Wang,¹⁷⁸ G. Agarwal¹⁷⁹, R. Band¹⁷⁹, R. Bucci,¹⁷⁹
 S. Castells¹⁷⁹, A. Das¹⁷⁹, A. Ehnis,¹⁷⁹ R. Goldouzian¹⁷⁹, M. Hildreth¹⁷⁹, K. Hurtado Anampa¹⁷⁹, T. Ivanov¹⁷⁹,
 C. Jessop¹⁷⁹, A. Karneyeu¹⁷⁹, K. Lannon¹⁷⁹, J. Lawrence¹⁷⁹, N. Loukas¹⁷⁹, L. Luton¹⁷⁹, J. Mariano¹⁷⁹,
 N. Marinelli,¹⁷⁹ I. Mcalister,¹⁷⁹ T. McCauley¹⁷⁹, C. Mcgrady¹⁷⁹, C. Moore¹⁷⁹, Y. Musienko^{179, nnnnn}, H. Nelson¹⁷⁹,
 M. Osherson¹⁷⁹, A. Piccinelli¹⁷⁹, R. Ruchti¹⁷⁹, A. Townsend¹⁷⁹, Y. Wan,¹⁷⁹ M. Wayne¹⁷⁹, H. Yockey,¹⁷⁹
 A. Basnet¹⁸⁰, M. Carrigan¹⁸⁰, R. De Los Santos¹⁸⁰, L. S. Durkin¹⁸⁰, C. Hill¹⁸⁰, M. Joyce¹⁸⁰,
 M. Nunez Ornelas¹⁸⁰, D. A. Wenzl,¹⁸⁰ B. L. Winer¹⁸⁰, B. R. Yates¹⁸⁰, H. Bouchamaoui¹⁸¹, G. Dezoort¹⁸¹,
 P. Elmer¹⁸¹, A. Frankenthal¹⁸¹, M. Galli¹⁸¹, B. Greenberg¹⁸¹, N. Haubrich¹⁸¹, K. Kennedy,¹⁸¹ G. Kopp¹⁸¹,
 Y. Lai¹⁸¹, D. Lange¹⁸¹, A. Loeliger¹⁸¹, D. Marlow¹⁸¹, I. Ojalvo¹⁸¹, J. Olsen¹⁸¹, F. Simpson¹⁸¹, D. Stickland¹⁸¹,
 C. Tully¹⁸¹, S. Malik¹⁸², R. Sharma¹⁸², S. Chandra¹⁸³, R. Chawla¹⁸³, A. Gu¹⁸³, L. Gutay,¹⁸³ M. Jones¹⁸³,
 A. W. Jung¹⁸³, D. Kondratyev¹⁸³, M. Liu¹⁸³, G. Negro¹⁸³, N. Neumeister¹⁸³, G. Paspalaki¹⁸³, S. Piperov¹⁸³,
 N. R. Saha¹⁸³, J. F. Schulte¹⁸³, F. Wang¹⁸³, A. Wildridge¹⁸³, W. Xie¹⁸³, Y. Yao¹⁸³, Y. Zhong¹⁸³, N. Parashar¹⁸⁴,
 A. Pathak¹⁸⁴, E. Shumka¹⁸⁴, D. Acosta¹⁸⁵, A. Agrawal¹⁸⁵, C. Arbour¹⁸⁵, T. Carnahan¹⁸⁵, P. Das¹⁸⁵,
 K. M. Ecklund¹⁸⁵, S. Freed,¹⁸⁵ P. Gardner,¹⁸⁵ F. J. M. Geurts¹⁸⁵, T. Huang¹⁸⁵, I. Krommydas¹⁸⁵, N. Lewis,¹⁸⁵

W. Li¹⁸⁵, J. Lin¹⁸⁵, O. Miguel Colin¹⁸⁵, B. P. Padley¹⁸⁵, R. Redjimi¹⁸⁵, J. Rotter¹⁸⁵, M. Wulansatiti¹⁸⁵,
 E. Yigitbasi¹⁸⁵, Y. Zhang¹⁸⁵, O. Bessidskaia Bylund¹⁸⁶, A. Bodek¹⁸⁶, P. de Barbaro^{186,a}, R. Demina¹⁸⁶,
 A. Garcia-Bellido¹⁸⁶, H. S. Hare¹⁸⁶, O. Hindrichs¹⁸⁶, N. Parmar¹⁸⁶, P. Parygin^{186,mmmm}, H. Seo¹⁸⁶, R. Taus¹⁸⁶,
 B. Chiarito¹⁸⁷, J. P. Chou¹⁸⁷, S. V. Clark¹⁸⁷, S. Donnelly¹⁸⁷, D. Gadkari¹⁸⁷, Y. Gershtein¹⁸⁷, E. Halkiadakis¹⁸⁷,
 C. Houghton¹⁸⁷, D. Jaroslawski¹⁸⁷, A. Kobert¹⁸⁷, S. Konstantinou¹⁸⁷, I. Laflotte¹⁸⁷, A. Lath¹⁸⁷, J. Martins¹⁸⁷,
 M. Perez Prada¹⁸⁷, B. Rand¹⁸⁷, J. Reichert¹⁸⁷, P. Saha¹⁸⁷, S. Salur¹⁸⁷, S. Schnetzer¹⁸⁷, S. Somalwar¹⁸⁷,
 R. Stone¹⁸⁷, S. A. Thayil¹⁸⁷, S. Thomas¹⁸⁷, J. Vora¹⁸⁷, D. Ally¹⁸⁸, A. G. Delannoy¹⁸⁸, S. Fiorendi¹⁸⁸, J. Harris¹⁸⁸,
 T. Holmes¹⁸⁸, A. R. Kanuganti¹⁸⁸, N. Karunaratna¹⁸⁸, J. Lawless¹⁸⁸, L. Lee¹⁸⁸, E. Nibigira¹⁸⁸, B. Skipworth¹⁸⁸,
 S. Spanier¹⁸⁸, D. Aebi¹⁸⁹, M. Ahmad¹⁸⁹, T. Akhter¹⁸⁹, K. Androsov¹⁸⁹, A. Bolshov¹⁸⁹, O. Bouhali^{189,nnnn},
 A. Cagnotta¹⁸⁹, V. D'Amante¹⁸⁹, R. Eusebi¹⁸⁹, P. Flanagan¹⁸⁹, J. Gilmore¹⁸⁹, Y. Guo¹⁸⁹, T. Kamon¹⁸⁹, S. Luo¹⁸⁹,
 R. Mueller¹⁸⁹, A. Safonov¹⁸⁹, N. Akchurin¹⁹⁰, J. Damgov¹⁹⁰, Y. Feng¹⁹⁰, N. Gogate¹⁹⁰, Y. Kazhykarim¹⁹⁰,
 K. Lamichhane¹⁹⁰, S. W. Lee¹⁹⁰, C. Madrid¹⁹⁰, A. Mankel¹⁹⁰, T. Peltola¹⁹⁰, I. Volobouev¹⁹⁰, E. Appelt¹⁹¹,
 Y. Chen¹⁹¹, S. Greene¹⁹¹, A. Gurrola¹⁹¹, W. Johns¹⁹¹, R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli¹⁹¹, A. Melo¹⁹¹, D. Rathjens¹⁹¹,
 F. Romeo¹⁹¹, P. Sheldon¹⁹¹, S. Tuo¹⁹¹, J. Velkovska¹⁹¹, J. Viinikainen¹⁹¹, J. Zhang¹⁹¹, B. Cardwell¹⁹²,
 H. Chung¹⁹², B. Cox¹⁹², J. Hakala¹⁹², G. Hamilton Ilha Machado¹⁹², R. Hirosky¹⁹², M. Jose¹⁹², A. Ledovskoy¹⁹²,
 C. Mantilla¹⁹², C. Neu¹⁹², C. Ramón Álvarez¹⁹², Z. Wu¹⁹², S. Bhattacharya¹⁹³, P. E. Karchin¹⁹³, A. Aravind¹⁹⁴,
 S. Banerjee¹⁹⁴, K. Black¹⁹⁴, T. Bose¹⁹⁴, E. Chavez¹⁹⁴, S. Dasu¹⁹⁴, P. Everaerts¹⁹⁴, C. Galloni¹⁹⁴, H. He¹⁹⁴,
 M. Herndon¹⁹⁴, A. Herve¹⁹⁴, C. K. Koraka¹⁹⁴, S. Lomte¹⁹⁴, R. Loveless¹⁹⁴, A. Mallampalli¹⁹⁴,
 A. Mohammadi¹⁹⁴, S. Mondal¹⁹⁴, T. Nelson¹⁹⁴, G. Parida¹⁹⁴, L. Pétre¹⁹⁴, D. Pinna¹⁹⁴, A. Savin¹⁹⁴, V. Shang¹⁹⁴,
 V. Sharma¹⁹⁴, W. H. Smith¹⁹⁴, D. Teague¹⁹⁴, H. F. Tsoi¹⁹⁴, W. Vetens¹⁹⁴, A. Warden¹⁹⁴, S. Afanasiev¹⁹⁵,
 V. Alexakhin¹⁹⁵, Yu. Andreev¹⁹⁵, T. Aushev¹⁹⁵, D. Budkouski¹⁹⁵, R. Chistov¹⁹⁵, M. Danilov¹⁹⁵, T. Dimova¹⁹⁵,
 A. Ershov¹⁹⁵, S. Gninenko¹⁹⁵, I. Gorbunov¹⁹⁵, A. Gribushin¹⁹⁵, A. Kamenev¹⁹⁵, V. Karjavine¹⁹⁵,
 M. Kirsanov¹⁹⁵, V. Klyukhin¹⁹⁵, O. Kodolova^{195,oooo}, V. Korenkov¹⁹⁵, I. Korsakov¹⁹⁵, A. Kozyrev¹⁹⁵,
 N. Krasnikov¹⁹⁵, A. Lanev¹⁹⁵, A. Malakhov¹⁹⁵, V. Matveev¹⁹⁵, A. Nikitenko^{195,pppp,oooo}, V. Palichik¹⁹⁵,
 V. Perelygin¹⁹⁵, S. Petrushanko¹⁹⁵, S. Polikarpov¹⁹⁵, O. Radchenko¹⁹⁵, M. Savina¹⁹⁵, V. Shalaev¹⁹⁵,
 S. Shmatov¹⁹⁵, S. Shulha¹⁹⁵, Y. Skovpen¹⁹⁵, K. Slizhevskiy¹⁹⁵, V. Smirnov¹⁹⁵, O. Teryaev¹⁹⁵, I. Tlisova¹⁹⁵,
 A. Toropin¹⁹⁵, N. Voytishin¹⁹⁵, A. Zarubin¹⁹⁵, I. Zhizhin¹⁹⁵, L. Dudko¹⁹⁶, K. Ivanov¹⁹⁶, V. Kim^{196,mmmm},
 V. Murzin¹⁹⁶, V. Oreshkin¹⁹⁶, D. Sosnov¹⁹⁶, E. Boos¹⁹⁶, V. Bunichev¹⁹⁶, M. Dubinin^{196,ffff},
 V. Savrin¹⁹⁶ and A. Snigirev¹⁹⁶

(CMS Collaboration)

¹*Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia*²*Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Vienna, Austria*³*Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium*⁴*Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium*⁵*Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium*⁶*Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium*⁷*Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*⁸*Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*⁹*Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*¹⁰*Universidade Estadual Paulista, Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*¹¹*Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria*¹²*University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria*¹³*Instituto De Alta Investigación, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7 D, Arica, Chile*¹⁴*Universidad Tecnica Federico Santa Maria, Valparaiso, Chile*¹⁵*Beihang University, Beijing, China*¹⁶*Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*¹⁷*Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China*¹⁸*State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China*¹⁹*State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China**Normal University, Guangzhou, China*²⁰*Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China*

- ²¹University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China
- ²²Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China
- ²³Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE)—
Fudan University, Shanghai, China
- ²⁴Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China
- ²⁵Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia
- ²⁶Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia
- ²⁷University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering
and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia
- ²⁸University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia
- ²⁹Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia
- ³⁰University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus
- ³¹Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
- ³²Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador
- ³³Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador
- ³⁴Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt,
Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt
- ³⁵Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt
- ³⁶National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
- ³⁷Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- ³⁸Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland
- ³⁹Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland
- ⁴⁰IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- ⁴¹Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique,
Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France
- ⁴²Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France
- ⁴³Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/
IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France
- ⁴⁴Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France
- ⁴⁵Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- ⁴⁶RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany
- ⁴⁷RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
- ⁴⁸RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany
- ⁴⁹Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany
- ⁵⁰University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- ⁵¹Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany
- ⁵²Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece
- ⁵³National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁵⁴National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁵⁵University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece
- ⁵⁶HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- ⁵⁷MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University,
Budapest, Hungary
- ⁵⁸Faculty of Informatics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
- ⁵⁹HUN-REN ATOMKI—Institute of Nuclear Research, Debrecen, Hungary
- ⁶⁰Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Gyongyos, Hungary
- ⁶¹Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
- ⁶²University of Delhi, Delhi, India
- ⁶³University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- ⁶⁴Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur, India
- ⁶⁵Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India
- ⁶⁶Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India
- ⁶⁷IISER Mohali, India, Mohali, India
- ⁶⁸Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India
- ⁶⁹Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India
- ⁷⁰National Institute of Science Education and Research, An OCC of Homi Bhabha National Institute,
Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India
- ⁷¹Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India
- ⁷²Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Telangana, India
- ⁷³Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

- ⁷⁴*Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran*
- ⁷⁵*University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland*
- ^{76a}*INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ^{76b}*Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ^{76c}*Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ^{77a}*INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ^{77b}*Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- ^{78a}*INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- ^{78b}*Università di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- ^{79a}*INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ^{79b}*Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ⁸⁰*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- ^{81a}*INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- ^{81b}*Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- ^{82a}*INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
- ^{82b}*Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
- ^{83a}*INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy*
- ^{83b}*Università di Napoli “Federico II,” Napoli, Italy*
- ^{83c}*Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy*
- ^{83d}*Scuola Superiore Meridionale (SSM), Napoli, Italy*
- ^{84a}*INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- ^{84b}*Università di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- ^{84c}*Università degli Studi di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*
- ^{85a}*INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- ^{85b}*Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- ^{86a}*INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
- ^{86b}*Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy*
- ^{87a}*INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ^{87b}*Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ^{87c}*Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ^{87d}*Università di Siena, Siena, Italy*
- ^{88a}*INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy*
- ^{88b}*Sapienza Università di Roma, Roma, Italy*
- ^{89a}*INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy*
- ^{89b}*Università di Torino, Torino, Italy*
- ^{89c}*Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy*
- ^{90a}*INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
- ^{90b}*Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
- ⁹¹*Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea*
- ⁹²*Department of Mathematics and Physics—GWNNU, Gangneung, Korea*
- ⁹³*Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea*
- ⁹⁴*Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁹⁵*Korea University, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁹⁶*Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁹⁷*Sejong University, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁹⁸*Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea*
- ⁹⁹*University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea*
- ¹⁰⁰*Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Korea*
- ¹⁰¹*Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea*
- ¹⁰²*College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Dasman, Kuwait*
- ¹⁰³*Kuwait University—College of Science—Department of Physics, Safat, Kuwait*
- ¹⁰⁴*Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia*
- ¹⁰⁵*University of Latvia (LU), Riga, Latvia*
- ¹⁰⁶*Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania*
- ¹⁰⁷*National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*
- ¹⁰⁸*Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico*
- ¹⁰⁹*Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico*
- ¹¹⁰*Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico*
- ¹¹¹*Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*

- ¹¹²*University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro*
¹¹³*University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand*
¹¹⁴*National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan*
¹¹⁵*AGH University of Krakow, Krakow, Poland*
¹¹⁶*National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland*
¹¹⁷*Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland*
¹¹⁸*Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland*
¹¹⁹*Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal*
¹²⁰*Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia*
¹²¹*VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia*
¹²²*Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain*
¹²³*Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain*
¹²⁴*Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain*
¹²⁵*Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain*
¹²⁶*University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka*
¹²⁷*University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matarara, Sri Lanka*
¹²⁸*CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland*
¹²⁹*PSI Center for Neutron and Muon Sciences, Villigen, Switzerland*
¹³⁰*ETH Zurich—Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland*
¹³¹*Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland*
¹³²*National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan*
¹³³*National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan*
¹³⁴*High Energy Physics Research Unit, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand*
¹³⁵*Tunis El Manar University, Tunis, Tunisia*
¹³⁶*Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey*
¹³⁷*Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey*
¹³⁸*Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey*
¹³⁹*Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*
¹⁴⁰*Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey*
¹⁴¹*Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey*
¹⁴²*Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkiv, Ukraine*
¹⁴³*National Science Centre, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkiv, Ukraine*
¹⁴⁴*University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
¹⁴⁵*Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
¹⁴⁶*Imperial College, London, United Kingdom*
¹⁴⁷*Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom*
¹⁴⁸*Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA*
¹⁴⁹*Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA*
¹⁵⁰*Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA*
¹⁵¹*The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA*
¹⁵²*Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*
¹⁵³*Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA*
¹⁵⁴*University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA*
¹⁵⁵*University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA*
¹⁵⁶*University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA*
¹⁵⁷*University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA*
¹⁵⁸*University of California, Santa Barbara—Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA*
¹⁵⁹*California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA*
¹⁶⁰*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA*
¹⁶¹*University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA*
¹⁶²*Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA*
¹⁶³*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA*
¹⁶⁴*University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA*
¹⁶⁵*Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA*
¹⁶⁶*Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA*
¹⁶⁷*University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA*
¹⁶⁸*The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA*
¹⁶⁹*Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA*

- ¹⁷⁰*The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA*
¹⁷¹*Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA*
¹⁷²*University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA*
¹⁷³*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA*
¹⁷⁴*University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA*
¹⁷⁵*University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA*
¹⁷⁶*State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA*
¹⁷⁷*Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA*
¹⁷⁸*Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA*
¹⁷⁹*University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA*
¹⁸⁰*The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA*
¹⁸¹*Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA*
¹⁸²*University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA*
¹⁸³*Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA*
¹⁸⁴*Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA*
¹⁸⁵*Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA*
¹⁸⁶*University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA*
¹⁸⁷*Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA*
¹⁸⁸*University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA*
¹⁸⁹*Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA*
¹⁹⁰*Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA*
¹⁹¹*Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA*
¹⁹²*University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA*
¹⁹³*Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA*
¹⁹⁴*University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA*
¹⁹⁵*An institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN*
¹⁹⁶*An institute formerly covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN*

^aDeceased.

^bAlso at Yerevan State University, Yerevan, Armenia.

^cAlso at TU Wien, Vienna, Austria.

^dAlso at Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium.

^eAlso at Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

^fAlso at FACAMP—Faculdades de Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

^gAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

^hAlso at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

ⁱAlso at The University of the State of Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil.

^jAlso at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

^kAlso at China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing, China.

^lAlso at School of Physics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China.

^mPresent address: Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, China.

ⁿAlso at University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China.

^oPresent address: The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA.

^pAlso at Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing, China.

^qPresent address: British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

^rPresent address: Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

^sAlso at Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.

^tAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

^uAlso at Another institute or international laboratory covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.

^vAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.

^wAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.

^xAlso at Bergische University Wuppertal (BUW), Wuppertal, Germany.

^yAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.

^zAlso at Forschungszentrum Jülich, Juelich, Germany.

^{aa}Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.

^{bb}Also at HUN-REN ATOMKI—Institute of Nuclear Research, Debrecen, Hungary.

^{cc}Present address: Universitatea Babeș-Bolyai—Facultatea de Fizică, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

^{dd}Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.

- ^{ce} Also at HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^{ff} Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.
- ^{eg} Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA.
- ^{hh} Also at Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.
- ⁱⁱ Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.
- ^{jj} Also at Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India.
- ^{kk} Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- ^{ll} Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ^{mm} Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ⁿⁿ Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany.
- ^{oo} Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
- ^{pp} Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.
- ^{qq} Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran.
- ^{rr} Also at Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Arak University, Arak, Iran.
- ^{ss} Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^{tt} Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy.
- ^{uu} Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy.
- ^{vv} Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy.
- ^{ww} Also at Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Università di Napoli “Federico II,” Napoli, Italy.
- ^{xx} Also at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA.
- ^{yy} Also at Lulea University of Technology, Lulea, Sweden.
- ^{zz} Also at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell’INFN, Legnaro, Italy.
- ^{aaa} Also at Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche—Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Perugia, Italy.
- ^{bbb} Also at UPES—University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun, India.
- ^{ccc} Also at Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France.
- ^{ddd} Also at Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia.
- ^{eee} Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka.
- ^{fff} Also at Saegis Campus, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka.
- ^{ggg} Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ^{hhh} Also at Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.
- ⁱⁱⁱ Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- ^{jjj} Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria.
- ^{kkk} Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{lll} Also at Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey.
- ^{mmm} Also at Izmir Bakircay University, Izmir, Turkey.
- ⁿⁿⁿ Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ^{ooo} Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey.
- ^{ppp} Also at Istanbul Sabahattin Zaim University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{qqq} Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{rrr} Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{sss} Also at Informatics and Information Security Research Center, Gebze/Kocaeli, Turkey.
- ^{ttt} Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ^{uuu} Present address: Istanbul Okan University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{vvv} Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ^{www} Also at Istanbul University—Cerrahpasa, Faculty of Engineering, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{xxx} Also at Istinye University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{yyy} Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{zzz} Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ^{aaaa} Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- ^{bbbb} Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- ^{cccc} Also at Università di Torino, Torino, Italy.
- ^{dddd} Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- ^{eeee} Also at California Lutheran University, Thousand Oaks, California, USA.
- ^{fff} Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA.
- ^{ggg} Also at United States Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, USA.
- ^{hhh} Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱ Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ^{jjj} Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.

^{kkkk} Also at Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey.

^{llll} Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering (IFIN-HH), Bucharest, Romania.

^{mmmm} Present address: Another institute formerly covered by a cooperation agreement with CERN.

ⁿⁿⁿⁿ Also at Hamad Bin Khalifa University (HBKU), Doha, Qatar.

^{oooo} Also at Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia.

^{pppp} Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.