



Conclusion

The idea of simply staple a peptide to improve in general its biofunctional properties is recently heavily discussed. Supporters of peptide stapling often defend studies showing no improvement in cell permeability with the lack of evidence that the introduced staple has really increased helicity. Furthermore, stabilizing a peptide may lower the energy barrier for binding via reducing entropic efforts, but it is making them not necessarily cell-penetrant. We could show for our successful stapled peptide that even with an induced α-helical formation in solution that there is no general improvement in cellular uptake and it even seems the stapling is disturbing the natural formation of the helix in a membrane environment. We could also demonstrate in divers experiments that membrane attraction by the stapled analogues seems to be degraded. Nevertheless, stapled peptides have shown potential as therapeutic agents and therefore it is worth investigating further in this field of peptide science.

References

- de Araujo, A. D. et al. Comparative alpha-helicity of cyclic pentapeptides in water. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 53, 6965-6969 (2014).
- 1) 2) Lau, Y. H., de Andrade, P., Wu, Y. & Spring, D. R. Peptide stapling techniques based on different macrocyclisation chemistries. Chem Soc Rev (2014)

Roche Symposium of the Doct doc Fellowship Drogram