Modeling of the QUENCH-14 bundle experiment using ASTECv2.0R2p2
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Introduction

• The series of QUENCH experiments investigate the $\text{H}_2$ source term resulting from the water injection into an uncovered core of LWR as well as the high temperature behavior of core materials under transient conditions.

• The aim is to present the results of the QUENCH-14 modeling by the ASTEC code to the Polish and foreign NUTECH community. Both KIT Karlsruhe and Techn. Univ. Sofia are adopting the ASTEC code for modeling several QUENCH experiments.
In the QUENCH-14 experiment, the effect of M5\textsuperscript{®} cladding material on bundle oxidation and core re-flooding was investigated, in addition to the former QUENCH-06 test that used Zry-4. The bundle configuration of QUENCH-14 with 1 unheated rod, 20 heated rods, and 4 corner rods was identical to the design of QUENCH-06.

The QUENCH-14 test was conducted in principle with the same protocol as QUENCH-06, so that the effects of the change of cladding material could be observed more easily (a “one-parameter” investigation). QUENCH-6 was already modeled using ICARE/CATHARE in the frame of the ISP-45 (Benchmark Exercise).
The test section is enclosed by a safety containment. Superheated steam from the SG and super heater together with Ar enter test bundle at the bottom. Ar, steam and H₂ produced in the Zr-H₂O(g) reaction flow upwards inside the bundle and from the outlet at the top through a water-cooled off-gas pipe to the condenser, where the remaining steam is separated from the non-condensable gases Ar and H₂.

Fig.1 QUENCH-14 Test section
The test bundle is made up of 21 fuel rod simulators and of 4 corner rods. The fuel rod simulators are held in their positions by 5 grid spacers, 4 of Zry-4, and one of Inconel 718 in the lower bundle zone.

The rod cladding of the heated and unheated fuel rod simulator is M5® (product of AREVA).

The max. total power during the Q-14 test was 19 kW. About 40 % of it being released into the inner rod circuit (8 fuel rod simulators); 60 % in the outer one (12 fuel rod simulators).

The test bundle is surrounded by a 3.25 mm thick shroud (80 mm ID) made of Zry-4 with a 37 mm thick ZrO$_2$ fiber insulation and an annular cooling jacket made of Inconel 600 (inner tube) and SS (outer tube).
Heatup to ~873 K. Facility check.

Phase I Stabilization at ~873 K.

Phase II Heat-up with ~0.3–0.6 K/s until ~1500 K is reached.

Phase III Pre-ox of the bundle in a mix flow of 3 g/s of H₂O(g) superheated to 783K & 3 g/s Ar for ~3000 s; peak temp. of ~1500 K =const. Withdrawal of the corner rod B at the end of that Phase.

Phase IV Transient heat-up with 0.3...2.0 K/s from ~1500 to ~2050 K in the same flow conditions. Withdrawal of the corner rod D ~30 s before quench starts.

Phase V Quenching of the test bundle using a high flow of ~41 g/s of H₂O(l).

QUENCH-14 test phases
To change the QUENCH-06 ID according to the exp. conditions of QUENCH-14:

- For the temp. range (1073–1673) K, the M5® oxidation kinetics data proposed after the SET measurements at KIT (M. Große) were taken. For the temp. range (1674-2050) K the existing data for Zry-4 were used instead that of M5® since the needed –M5® values are not available.

- El. power histories for both sub circuits of heated rods were changed in accordance to Q-14 experimental results.

- Visualization: 3 figures were additionally produced: the H₂ rate [kg/s]; layer thickness evolution of the cladding [µm] vs. time and the cladding layer thicknesses [µm] for given rod elevation / according ASTEC structures were developed by S. Bertusi.

By all these implementations, changes/ improvements in the QUENCH-14 IDs -both for ASTECv2.0R2p2 and ASTECv1.3R2 several runs were performed, allowing a comparison of the results given by the older and newer ASTEC-versions, respectively.
Results using ASTECv2.0R2p2

Calculated max. temp. (right side) is close to the exp. Q-14 data at “hottest” elevation of 950 mm (left), the but max. calculated value just before quenching is ca 2000 K in comparison to 2150 K for the exp. This lower max-value may be explained with the ox correlation for Zry-4 used for the highest temp-s.
Results of ASTECv2.0R2p2: temp. histories

Rod temp. histories for 3 rods were calculated: for the unheated central fuel rod (TCRC13), for one heated rod from the inner ring (8 rods) (TFS4/9) and for one heated rod from the outer ring of 12 rods (TSH5/0).

The highest temp.-s were calculated for the inner rod TCRC13, where the max. value of about 1900 K is found just before quench. For the same time the temp. of TFS4/9 is about 1500 K; the temp. of rod TSH5/0 is 900 K.

There is an acceptable difference of about 100 K for all of the three rods in comparison to the exp. Q-14 data.
The axial temp. profiles from the beginning till the quench phase are similar for the heated rod, the shroud, the unheated and the corner rod. They are higher in comparison to the temp. of the cooling jacket. The highest temp. is calculated for the heated rod in the height of about 950 mm, exactly as it was in the Q-14 experiment.

After the beginning of the quench phase, the temp.-s of the heated, unheated and the corner rods as well as that of the shroud start to decrease (here $\tau=7300s$) in correspondence to the rapid increase of the quench water level. In the end of the calc., the highest temp.-s at levels down to 1 m were calculated over the cooling jacket with a max. detected at a height ca. 750 mm ($\tau=7600$ s). At heights up to 1 m the temp.-s of the heated rod, shroud, the unheated and the corner rod remain higher in comparison to the temp. of the cooling jacket.
Results of ASTECv2.0R2p2: nodalization

ASTEC- temp. field in the beginning of pre-ox phase (3000 s)

The temp. fields for the central rod (U), one of the heated rods from inner ring (H1), one heated rod from the outer ring (H2), two corner rods (C1 and C2), shroud and insulation. The highest temp. before quenching are calculated for height of ca. 950 mm. In the end of the calculation (at 7600 s) the highest temp. are calculated over the insulation at height around 750 mm and above.

Temp field at the end of experiment (7600 s)
Results of ASTECv2.0R2p2: oxide layer

The max. oxide thickness calc. by ASTEC for the central unheated rod (*Fig. left, CLAD1*), is ca. 630 µm at a height around 950 mm in comparison to 860 µm at the same height from the Q-14 exp. At the same height, approx. equal (around 650 µm) is the max. layer thickness for inner ring rod (*CLAD2*). For the rod from the outer ring, the max. oxide thickness is about 630 µm at the height of ca. round 950 mm. In the shroud (*BZIR*) the calculated oxide thickness is of ca 650 µm: is similar to the exp. value of 590 µm.

In all the fig-s depletion of the metal–layers is visualized (abs. value being equal to the oxide thicknesses).
ASTECv2.0R2p2 results: formation of \( \alpha \)-Zr(O) and ZrO\(_2\) cladding layers

According to the height of max. calculated oxide thickness, which was at 950 mm, the cladding layer thickness evolution for the whole time of the Q-14 exp. was modeled. In the end of calc. the fraction ratio of \( \alpha \)-Zr(O) becomes the largest one for all the 3 cases – unheated rod, inner ring and outer ring rod, on the second place – the fraction of ZrO\(_2\) and in the end - the Zr contribution. In the case of inner ring rod oxidation one can postulate that the according oxide thicknesses (\( \alpha \)-Zr(O) and ZrO\(_2\)) are only a little bit larger than in the cases of the unheated rod (left) and outer ring rod oxidation (right).
The calculated H₂ production by ASTECv2.0R2p2 is about 32 g (40 g in the Q-14 experiment).

The results obtained by ASTEC are close to the exp. data in the phases before quench. Because at the high temp.-s range (1674-2050) K data of Zry-4 instead of M5 were used (mentioned two times above), the obtained results for H₂ prod. at quench itself are under-estimated.

Discussion of other possible reasons for that: - up till now no modeling of important phenomena like
1) H₂ abs. & release by the cladding material,
2) Melt oxidation in-between cladding & pellets;
3) formation of a quite thick (non-uniform?) oxide layer at the inner cladding surface in the region of melt formation.

H₂ prod. – Q-14 exp. data vs. an ASTEC -line
Comparison of ASTECv2.0R2p2 and ASTECv1.3R2

In the case of ASTECv1.3R2 for the Q-14 calc. (*Fig. left*) the obtained $\text{H}_2$ masses are overestimated in the pre-ox phase and underestimated in the quench phase by the same reason as in the case of the ASTECv2.0R2p2 calc. mentioned above. The calc. integral $\text{H}_2$ prod. Given by ASTECv1.3 - 35 g in comparison to the 40 g coming directly from the experiment.
Conclusions

• The adapted ASTEC input decks for QUENCH-14 led to calculation results which are similar to experimental data. This is encouraging for our future activities. The newest version of the ASTECv2.1 code (still under development) will surely give us a further chance for even more accurate modeling of the Quench-phenomena.

• Concerning our QUENCH-14 approach: after getting some technical assistance from IRSN, the following important aspects of the QUENCH-14 process were modeled:
  • 1) the position of the hottest zone in the test bundle,
  • 2 the generation of H₂ in the different phases of the experiment,
  • 3) the thicknesses of the oxide layers both over time and height of the rod bundle.

Although some little differences in the validation of the Q-14 modeling results towards experiment exist (especially for the QUENCH phase itself) one can be optimistic looking for the next stage of modeling – i.e. the QUENCH-10 and especially QUENCH-16 modeling.
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## Addendum: a quick look QUENCH test matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Quench medium / Injection rate</th>
<th>Temp. at onset of flooding</th>
<th>Max. ZrO$_2$ before transient</th>
<th>Max. ZrO$_2$ before flooding</th>
<th>Max. ZrO$_2$ after test$^2$</th>
<th>H$_2$ production before / during cooldown</th>
<th>Remarks, objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-00</td>
<td>Water 80 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 1800 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>completely oxidized</td>
<td></td>
<td>commissioning test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-01</td>
<td>Water 52 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 1830 K</td>
<td>312 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36 / 3</td>
<td>pre-oxidized cladding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-02</td>
<td>Water 47 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2400 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>completely oxidized</td>
<td></td>
<td>COBE: no additional pre-oxidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-03</td>
<td>Water 40 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2350 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>completely oxidized</td>
<td></td>
<td>no additional pre-oxidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-04</td>
<td>Steam 50 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2160 K</td>
<td>82 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td>280 µm</td>
<td>10 / 2</td>
<td>slightly pre-oxidized cladding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-05</td>
<td>Steam 48 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2020 K</td>
<td>160 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td>420 µm</td>
<td>25 / 2</td>
<td>pre-oxidized cladding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-06</td>
<td>Water 42 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2060 K</td>
<td>207 µm</td>
<td>300 µm</td>
<td>670 µm</td>
<td>32 / 4</td>
<td>OECD-ISP 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-07</td>
<td>Steam 15 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2100 K</td>
<td>230 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td>completely oxidized</td>
<td>66 / 120</td>
<td>COLOSS: B$_4$C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-09</td>
<td>Steam 49 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2100 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>completely oxidized</td>
<td>60 / 400</td>
<td>COLOSS: B$_4$C; steam starvation, very high T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-08</td>
<td>Steam 15 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2090 K</td>
<td>274 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td>completely oxidized</td>
<td>46 / 38</td>
<td>reference to QUENCH-07 (without B$_4$C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-10</td>
<td>Water 50 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2200 K</td>
<td>514 µm</td>
<td>613 µm (at 850 mm)</td>
<td>completely oxidized</td>
<td>48 / 5</td>
<td>LACOMERA: air ingress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-11</td>
<td>Water 18 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2040 K</td>
<td>170 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td>completely oxidized</td>
<td>9 / 132</td>
<td>LACOMERA: boil-off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-12</td>
<td>Water 48 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2100 K</td>
<td>160 µm, breakaway</td>
<td>300 µm, breakaway</td>
<td>completely oxidized</td>
<td>34 / 24</td>
<td>ISTC: VVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-13</td>
<td>Water 52 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 1820 K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42 / 1</td>
<td>SARNET: Ag/In/Cd (aerosol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-14</td>
<td>Water 41 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2100 K</td>
<td>170 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td>470 µm</td>
<td>34 / 6</td>
<td>M5$^\text{®}$ cladding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUENCH-15</td>
<td>Water 48 g/s</td>
<td>≈ 2100 K</td>
<td>145 µm</td>
<td></td>
<td>320 µm</td>
<td>41 / 7</td>
<td>ZIRLO$^\text{TM}$ cladding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>