



First results of the high temperature bundle test QUENCH-L3HT with optimized ZIRLO[™] claddings

J. Stuckert, M. Große, J. Moch, C. Rössger, M. Steinbrück, M. Walter

QWS-20, Karlsruhe 2014

Institute for Applied Materials; Program NUKLEAR



KIT – University of the State of Baden-Württemberg and National Large-scale Research Center of the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu





Cross-section of the QUENCH-L3HT bundle





- The use of *tungsten* heaters with smaller diameter (*4.6 mm*) instead tungsten heaters (QUENCH-L0) or tantalum heaters (QUENCH-L1) with diameter of 6 mm has allowed to reach a higher heat rate.
- 2) All rods are filled with Kr with p = 55 bar at Tpct = 800 K (similar to QUENCH-L1).



Peculiarities of the QUENCH-L3HT test



- The QUENCH-LOCA-3HT test was performed according to a typical LBLOCA transient with maximal heat-up rate 7.5 K/s. However, due to technical problems the transient was terminated at not prototypical peak cladding temperature of more than 1500 K.
- Continuous steam leakage from the test channel into the space between shroud and cooling jacket was occurred due to failed seal at the bundle top. Therefore, the porous heat insulator outside of the shroud was filled not only with argon gas but additionally with steam (higher heat conductance and heat capacity). As result, 1) during the heat-up phase took place increased *heat losses* and 2) the following cool-down phase was slow.
- The post-test inspection showed damage of insulation coating on the heater surface of one outer fuel rod simulator. The damage occurred during the heat-up phase and could be the additional reason for decreased heat-up rate for outer ring of heated rods.
- Increased rod bending due to mechanical constraints caused by higher temperatures.











1) maximal reached power (comparison): QUENCH-L1 (Ta-heaters, Ø 6 mm): 58.5 kW,

2) Temperature escalation at 850 mm on 91.4 s



Conduct of QUENCH-L3HT test on the basis of QUENCH-L1 temperature history: similar temperatures for <u>outer</u> rods on the end of transition and cool-down phases





11.11.2014 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3HT QWS-20, Karlsruhe



Maximal cladding temperatures of internal rods in hottest region of QUENCH-L1 (Zry-4, reference test) and -L3HT bundles



QL3HT vs. QL1: similar transient, higher Tpct, delayed cool-down

11.11.2014 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3HT QWS-20, Karlsruhe



QL3HT: videoscope observations







ballooning and burst of cladding tubes at elevation 950 mm (camera position)

11.11.2014 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3HT QWS-20, Karlsruhe





Rod pressure evolution during heating phase for QUENCH-L1 and -L3HT: burst time indication 60 60 21 LOCA-1 -21 LOCA-3HT 20 20 55 55 19 -19 - burst -18 18 50 50 **—**17 17 45 45 **—**16 16 internal **—**15 15 rod group 40 40 **—**14 Pressure, bar 32 30 14 **—**13 35 **Bressure, bar** 30 25 13 internal rod group **—**12 -12 -11 11 external **—**10 rod group -10 25 -9 9 **—**8 8 20 20 **—**7 7 -6 15 15 6 -5 5 10 10 ____3 external 5 5 ____2 rod group 1 0 0 1 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 40 60 70 80 90 50 100 Time, s Time, s

duration of decrease of the inner pressure to the system pressure: $\tau_0 \approx 30$ s

11.11.2014 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3HT QWS-20, Karlsruhe



QUENCH-L3HT: bundle between spacers GS3 (550 mm) and GS4 (1050 mm). Rod bending due to 1) limitation of axial thermal expansion of W-Mo heater (Tpct > 1250°C); 2) non-uniform cladding axial expansion.





Cladding oxidation degree for QL3HT and QL1: total thickness of outer ZrO₂ and α-Zr(O) layers (tangential average of eddy-current measurements)





QWS-20, Karlsruhe











Length and axial position of burst openings







Rod number

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10111213141516171819202122



J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3HT

QWS-20, Karlsruhe

11.11.2014

790

770



Burst parameters

LOCA-1



Rod group	Rod #	Burst time, s	Burst temperature, interpolated, K
Inner rods	4	55.2	1154
	6	55.2	1110
	1	55.6	1169 (Max)
	5	57.2	1104
	2	57.2	1132
	8	58.6	1132
	3	59.0	1118
	7	59.8	1074 (Min)
	9	62.6	1162
Outer rods	15	64.4	1159
	17	67.6	1104
	11	67.6	1056
	14	68.6	1154
	16	68.8	1156
	18	72.6	1081
	13	73.6	1147
	20	76.0	1105
	12	76.8	1092
	21	80.6	1140
	19	83.6	1163
	10	87.6	1143

average burst T : 1126 ± 33 K = 853 ± 33 °C

11.11.2014 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3HT QWS-20, Karlsruhe

Rod group	Rod #	Burst time, s	Burst temperature, interpolated, K
Inner rods	1	50.2	1112
	4	51.4	1123
	6	51.6	1030
	5	54.4	1121
	2	54.6	1122
	3	55.6	1153
	8	56.2	1167
	<u>7</u>	57	1169
	9	58.2	1147
Outer rods	15	56.4	1114
	14	63	1155
	13	65.8	1143
	11	68.2	1176
	12	68.6	1187
	17	69	1090
	18	71 (short circuit)	-
	21	73.6	1039
	10	75.2	1161
	20	75.2	1066
	19	77	1073
	16	81	1198

LOCA-3HT

average burst T : 1127 ± 48 K = 854 ± 48 °C



QL3HT; tensile tests (at room temperature): ruptures due to stress concentration, moderate influence of secondary hydrogenation

G 00 91 00 C CC) 6 8 5 9 00 00 00 QL1 #9 (1270 wppm H): #14: crack at H spot; #5: crack at H band (>2000 wppm H); fracture stress 307 MPa, fracture stress 75 MPa, fracture stress 185 MPa, strain 0.1% strain 0.6% fracture strain 0.3% 6 00 ∞ 00 5 00 80 #13 stress conc. and H spot, #15 no H, #16 no H. fracture data: stress 73 MPa, fracture data: stress 172 MPa, fracture data: stress 492 MPa, strain 0.2% strain 0.15% clad #13 strain 12% 11.11.2014 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3HT 19/21



Summary



- The first stage (transient) of the QUENCH-LOCA-3HT test was performed according to a typical LBLOCA transient with maximal heat-up rate 7.5 K/s. However, due to technical problems the transient was terminated at *not prototypical* peak cladding temperature of more than 1500 K (at bundle elevation 850 mm). As result, increased cladding oxidation was occur.
- Not prototypical increased rod bending occurred due to axial limitation of thermal expansion.
- Due to low ballooning degree the maximum of virtual coplanar blockage ratio of cooling channel (35%) was lower in comparison to QUENCH-L1 (46%). Due to moderate blockage a good bundle coolability was kept for both bundles.
- The cladding burst occurred at temperatures between 1030 and 1200 K; the average burst temperature was 1127 ± 48 K (QUENCH-L1: 1126 ± 33 K). The inner rod pressure relief to the system pressure during about 30 s.
- During quenching, following the high-temperature phase, no fragmentation of claddings was observed (<u>residual strengths or ductility is sufficient for reflood</u>) – consistently with previous tests.
- Five claddings were tested (one from inner rods and four from outer rods) in tensile tests and failed due to stress concentration at the burst position – similar to rods of the QUENCH-L1 bundles. Two claddings showed additionally circumferential crack propagation probably at positions of secondary tube hydrogenation.





Acknowledgment

The QUENCH-LOCA experiments are supported and partly sponsored by the association of the German utilities (VGB). The optimized ZIRLOTM claddings and Zircaloy-4 spacer material was provided by Westinghouse Electric Sweden.

The authors would like to thank Mrs. J. Laier and Mrs. U. Peters for intensive work during test preparation and post-test investigations.

Thank you for your attention

https://www.iam.kit.edu/wpt/loca/ http://www.iam.kit.edu/wpt/471.php http://quench.forschung.kit.edu/

11.11.2014 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3HT QWS-20, Karlsruhe



