



First results of the bundle test QUENCH-L3 with optimized ZIRLO[™] claddings

J. Stuckert, M. Große, J. Moch, C. Rössger, M. Steinbrück, M. Walter

QWS-21, Karlsruhe 2015

Institute for Applied Materials; Program NUKLEAR



Cross-section of the QUENCH-L3 bundle





- The use of *tungsten* heaters with smaller diameter (*4.6 mm*) instead tungsten heaters (QUENCH-L0) or tantalum heaters (QUENCH-L1) with diameter of 6 mm has allowed to reach a higher heat rate.
- 2) All rods are filled with Kr with p=55 bar at T_{pct} =800 K (similar to QUENCH-L1).



QUENCH-L3: test progress







Maximal cladding temperatures of internal rods in hottest region of QUENCH–L1 (Zry-4, reference test) and –L3 bundles (elevation 950 mm)





QUENCH-L3: radial temperature gradient ΔT for rod #7 at hottest elevations 850 mm (7/12) and 950 mm (7/13) Karlsruhe Institute of Technology



Rod pressure evolution <u>during heating phase</u> for QUENCH-L1 (reference test with Zry-4) and QUENCH-L3: burst time indication

27.10.2015 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3 QWS-21, Karlsruhe Institute for Applied Materials

Karlsruhe Institute of Technolog

QUENCH-L3: Ballooning and burst of cladding tubes at elevation 950 mm (videoscope)

Burst parameters

LOCA-1

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Rod group	Rod #	Burst time, s	Burst temperature, interpolated, K
Inner rods	1	55.6	1169 (Max)
	2	57.2	1132
	3	59.0	1118
	4	55.2	1154
	5	57.2	1104
	6	55.2	1110
	7	59.8	1074 (Min)
	8	58.6	1132
	9	62.6	1162
Outer rods	10	87.6	1143
	11	67.6	1056
	12	76.8	1092
	13	73.6	1147
	14	68.6	1154
	15	64.4	1159
	16	68.8	1156
	17	67.6	1104
	18	72.6	1081
	19	83.6	1163
	20	76.0	1105
	21	80.6	1140

Rod group	Rod #	Burst time, s	Burst temperature, interpolated, K
Inner rods	1	47.8	1103
	2	51.6	1140
	3	53	1111
	4	55	1108
	5	52	1109
	6	51.8	1112
	7	53.6	1124
	8	49.6	1107
	9	53.2	1132
Outer rods	10	68	1188 (Max)
	11	65.6	1126
	12	65.8	1175
	13	61.8	1138
	14	59.4	1124
	15	54.4	1105
	16	62	1142
	17	60	1094
	18	63	1114
	19	66.2	1073
	20	64	1064 (Min)
	21	67.2	1073

LOCA-3

average burst T: 1117 ± 30 K = 844 ± 30 °C

27.10.2015 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3

average burst T: 1126 ± 33 K = 853 ± 33 °C

QWS-21, Karlsruhe

QUENCH-L3: 12 outer rods

small scattering of axial positions and dimensions of burst openings

Length and axial position of burst openings

Circumferential position of burst openings

burst openings oriented predominantly to bundle center due to pronounced radial temperature gradient

QUENCH-L3: Circumferential strain (laser scanner)

27.10.2015 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3

QWS-21, Karlsruhe

QUENCH-L3: Circumferential strain (laser scanner) and burst position overview

QWS-21, Karlsruhe

Cooling channel blockage for QL-1 and QL-3 bundles

QWS-21, Karlsruhe

27.10.2015

J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3

Comparison of oxidation degree for QL-1, -3, -3HT (eddy current measurements at outer clad surface)

Test set-up for tensile tests with claddings from QUENCH-LOCA bundles

QUENCH-L3: tensile tests at RT with inner rods, fractures at H-bands (1 rod), through opening and due to necking (7 rods)

QUENCH-L3: tensile tests at RT with outer rods, fractures due to micro-cracks at the burst opening edges (6 rods) and necking (6 rods)

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Failure behaviour of QUENCH-LOCA claddings tested in tension

QL3 (opt. ZIRLO™)

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Tensile properties of opt. ZIRLO[™] claddings tested after QUENCH-L3

elongation at ultimate tensile fracture sample failure behaviour stress [MPa] stress [MPa] fracture [%] rod #1 fracture after necking 516 384 8.4 373 9.0 fracture after necking rod #2 526 9.7 fracture after necking rod #3 515 379 fracture after necking rod #4 532 379 7.9 520 386 8.7 fracture after necking rod #5 5.8 rod #6 531 529 stress concentration at opening middle 4.7 H-band rod #7 521 521 rod #8 518 384 9.6 fracture after necking rod #9 9.1 fracture after necking 520 372 rod #10 14.2 fracture after necking 521 392 524 387 15.7 fracture after necking rod #11 10.9 rod #12 511 492 stress concentration at opening tips rod #13 520 517 12.2 stress concentration at opening tips 520 388 10.5 fracture after necking rod #14 rod #15 514 393 11.9 fracture after necking rod #16 509 459 8.6 stress concentration at opening tips 501 7.5 stress concentration at opening tips rod #17 498 10.2 stress concentration at opening tips rod #18 512 506 fracture after necking rod #19 523 391 13.7 14.0 fracture after necking rod #20 517 401 517 9.1 rod #21 503 stress concentration at opening tips

fracture of clads H-band: 1 clad, stress conc.: 7 clads, necking: 13 clads

27.10.2015 J. Stuckert – QUENCH-LOCA-3

Summary

- ➤ The QUENCH-LOCA-3 test with as-received opt. ZIRLO[™] claddings was performed according to a temperature/time-scenario typical for a LBLOCA in a German PWR with similar test parameters as the QUENCH-LOCA-1 test with fresh Zry-4 claddings: maximal heat-up rate 8 K/s, cooling phase lasted 120 s and terminated with 3.3 g/s/rod water flooding.
- Similar to QUENCH-LOCA-1, the maximum temperature of 1350 K was reached on the end of the heat-up phase at elevation 950 mm. Circumferential temperature gradient across a rod was up to 70 K on the burst onset.
- The maximum blockage ratio of cooling channel (21% at 918 mm) was slightly lower in comparison to QUENCH-L1 (25% at 946 mm). Due to moderate blockage a good bundle coolability was kept for both bundles.
- The cladding burst occurred at temperatures between 1064 and 1188 K (QUENCH-L1: 1074 and 1169 K). Average burst temperatures: 1126 K (853°C) for QUENCH-L1 and 1117 K (844°C) for QUENCH-L3.
- During quenching, following the high-temperature phase, no fragmentation of claddings was observed (residual strengths or ductility is sufficient).
- Influence of secondary hydrogenation on results of tensile tests at RT: only one cladding failed at hydrogen band; seven claddings failed due to stress concentration at edges of burst opening (similar to all QL1 clads with <1500 wppm hydrogen); thirteen clads failed after necking far away from burst opening.</p>

Acknowledgment

The QUENCH-LOCA experiments are supported and partly sponsored by the association of the German utilities (VGB). The unirradiated optimized ZIRLO[™] claddings and spacer material were provided by WESTINGHOUSE.

The authors would like to thank Mrs. J. Laier and Mrs. U. Peters for intensive work during test preparation and post-test investigations.

Thank you for your attention

<u>https://www.iam.kit.edu/wpt/loca/</u> <u>http://www.iam.kit.edu/wpt/471.php</u> <u>http://quench.forschung.kit.edu/</u>

> IAM Institute for Applied Materials