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#### Abstract

ANGULAR CORRELATION MEASUREMENTS ON GAMMA-RAY CASGADES FOLLOWING THERMAL NEUTRON CAPTURE. An experimental arrangement for angular correlation measurements on gamma-ray cascades following thermal neutron capture which is operated on-line to a computer is described. All of the interesting information can be accumulated simultaneously so that the determination of a large number of angular correlations is possible. Systematic errors arising from the coincident background under the peaks and from the complex structure of the capture spectrum can be eliminated either by a two-dimensional spectrum stripping procedure or, with sufficient accuracy, by application of the double-window technique. Practicable handling of data and comprehensive controls are thus possible. The extensive set of data:obtained allows the results and their mutual consistency to be checked.
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## 2. General Aspects

A difficulty common to all angular correlation measurements is the fact that the results can not always be explained unambiguously. In general, five unknowns are involved in a two-step cascade, namely the spins of the initial, intermediate and final levels and the multipole mixtures, $\boldsymbol{\delta}$, of the two $\gamma$-transitions. However, only the two coefficients $A_{2}$ and $A_{4}$ of the Legendre polynomials $P_{2}, P_{4}$ in the correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\theta)=1+A_{2} P_{2}(\cos \theta)+A_{4} P_{4}(\cos \theta) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be determined. In eq. ( 1 ), $W(\theta)$ is normalized so that $A_{0}=1$. Octupole radiation is assumed to be negligible.

In many cases, the number of unknowns can be reduced either by using additional information from other experiments or by suitable choice of the experimental conditions. In neutron capture spectra primary transitions play a special role. If s-wave neutrons are captured in a target nucleus with spin $I_{t}$, the two spin states $I_{p}=I_{t} \pm 1 / 2$ are formed in the product nucleus. For even target nuclei one gets the unique capturing state spin $I_{p}=1 / 2$ and the spins of lower levels may be determined from the angular correlation of two-step cascades involving primary transitions. If the spin of the ground state is known, it is useful to measure the correlation of cascades beginning in the capturing state and ending in the ground state and to apply the well known sum coincidence technique. For such measurements, however, only cascades with intense primary transitions can be used. These transitions are of pure dipole, mostly E1, character [1]. Hence, $A_{4}=0$ and only one measurable parameter remains. An unambiguous spin determination is possible if the final state has a parity different from that of the intermediate state and if it can be assumed from the radiation intensities that the second transition also has predominantly dipole character. With odd spin target nuclei this method can be applied if the thermal neutron capture is determined mainly by a single resonance or by resonances with the same spins. But, in general, both possible spin states participate in thermal neutron capture. The extent of their contribution to a certain primary transition cannot be stated in advance, since the resonance parameters and the partial radiation widths required for the calculation are not known accurately enough. In the theoretical expression of the angular correlation another unknown parameter then occurs which describes the branching of the intensity of the primary transition between the two spin states. This precludes an unambiguous interpretation in many cases. Therefore, in even product nuclei it is of ten more advantageous to investigate the angular correlation of $\gamma$ rays in cascade with the intense ground state transitions from the first or second excited $2^{+}$levels. These transitions have pure quadrupole character and the remaining two unknown quantities can be determined, in principle, by the measurement of one correlation. The price for this advantage is the greater complexity of the spectra taken in coincidence with low-energy $Y$ rays and the higher background compared to the simpler spectra coincident with highenergy primary transitions.

The ambiguity of the information can also be removed by the measurement of a larger number of correlations, which partially involve the same levels and transitions. If, for example, in a triple cascade (Fig. 1) the spin of the lowest level is known, the six unknown quantities $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}$ can be determined from the three angular distributions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{r 1-\gamma 2}(\theta)=w\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \theta\right) \\
& w_{\gamma 2-\gamma 3}(\theta)=w\left(I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}, \theta\right) \\
& w_{\gamma 1(\gamma 2) \gamma 3}(\theta)=w\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}, \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG. 1. Unknown quantities involved in a triple cascade.

The method applied to this simple example can easily be extended showing that as many cascades as possible should be investigated. Knowledge of their angular distribution also allows for valuable checks and permits accurate corrections for perturbing coincidences. Furthermore, a redundancy of the results is highly desirable in view of the many possible sources of error. Since the measurements rem quire a long time to accumulate meaningful statistics, the maximum number of correlations of interest should be measured in one run. The best way to fulfil this requirement and overcome the difficulties is to perform a three parameter experiment with two parameters available for the energies of the $\gamma$ rays in cascade and one parameter for the angle defined by the detector positions.

## 3. Experimental Details

There were some other factors that determined the arrangement of our equipment in the present form. One of these was the intention to avoid systematic errors as far as possible and another one was the need for the investigation of nuclei with small neutron capture cross sections.

The setup of the equipment has been described in detail in earlier work [2]. Only the main points will be repeated below. Fig. 2 is a schematic drawing of the arrangement installed at a horizontal core channel of the Karlsruhe research reactor FR-2. Thermal neutrons are diffracted from a lead single crystal in order to remove fast neutrons and $\gamma$-ray contiamination present in the initial beam. Up to now


FIG.2. Schematic drawing of experimental arrangement at the Karlsruhe research reactor $\mathrm{FR}-2$.
the target was viewed by two gain-stabilized $\sqrt{3}$ scintillation spectrometers with $4^{\prime \prime}$ dia. $x 5^{\prime \prime}$ long NaI(Tl) crystals mounted in a plane perpendicular to the neutron beam, one detector being fixed in the vertical direction. This detector can easily be replaced by a Ge(Ii) diode. The other detector can be rotated around the axis of the beam for a range of angles from $60^{\circ}$ to $360^{\circ}$ with respect to the stationary detector. To avoid systematic errors due to incorrect adjustment of the source or of the beam and for purposes of control during the subsequent evaluation of data the movable detector is cycled through the angular range of two quadrants. The electronics include conventional coincidence circuits and sum coincidence circuits as well. The usual fast-slow technique is applied with an effective time resolution of $2 t=$ 25 nsec in the fast coincidence stage.

The entire system operates automatically. It is controlled by a neutron monitor located in front of the target in the deflected beam. A preselected number of neutron pulses determines the measuring time in one angular position. At the end of the interval the control counters are punched out, and the next angular position is set according to the selected program. The typical meesuring time in one angular position is 30 minutes. Dead times of the equipment, expecially those of the ADC, are taken into account automatically.

## 4. Data Acquisition

Recording of data is done with the dual ADC coupled to the Karlsruhe data acquisition system MIDAS (Multiple Input Data Acquisition System) [4, 5].

As shown in Fig. 3, the heart of this system consists of the coupled CDC 160 A and $C D C 8090$ computers, processor 1 and 2 , respectively. A disk storage is used for on-line totaliziñ up to 256 K channels with a length of 21 bits. A total of 68 K channels is available for the angular correlation experiment and three subroutines are at the disposal of the experimenter. In the first subroutine the digital pulse height information is accumulated on the disk in a $256 \times 256$ channel matrix without any reduction. Whenever the angle between the detectors is changed, the data are read out automatically on magnetic tape and the disk area is cleared. Further data processing is carried out on a large off-line computer. Storage in full resolution allows for application of a two-dimensional spectrum stripping procedure which has been proved to be most suitable for off-line background elimination $[67$. In most cases, a more direct processing is preferred which can be achieved by use of the double window technique [7]. This method is not as rigorous, but sufficiently accurate results are obtained faster and more easily. Fig. 4 demonstrates the application of the method. In order to systematically correct for the spectrum coincident with background underlying a peak of interest two or even three windows are needed. One window is centered on the peak, while the second and third windows, having in total the same width as the first one, select pulses of slightly higher or lower energy which might come from Compton scattering of higher-energy gamma rays or form interfering transitions (cf. below for more details). As shown in the figure the contributions of the individual primary $r$ rays to the coincidence spectra are clearly separated. For instance, in the coincidence spectrun with the 6.96 MeV transition none of the lines which are in coincidence with the strong 7.26 MeV transition appears while in the singles spectrum the 6.96 MeV transition is only a yery small bump. The technique requires a second MIDAS subroutine which allows digital windows to be set on the energy axes. The coincident pulses are routed into subsections of the disk according to these


FIG. 3. Simplified block diagram of the data-acquisition system.
window settings and according to the angles defined by the detector positions. At present, a maximum of 32 windows can be set in each energy direction and 1024 channels are available for each spectrum.

Each section or group of sections of the disk can be displayed on the CRT, dumped on magnetic tape or punched out by manual interrupt so that a full evaluation of the state of the experiment is possible at any time. The presence of significant anisotropy is identified immediately (Fig. 5).
5. Off-line Data Processing

Off-line computer processing of the raw data consists of the following operations (Fig. 6):

1) Sorting out of faulty data.
2) Gain shift control (Corrections for small shifts in gain and base line are made if necessary).



FIG.4. ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{n}, \gamma)^{58} \mathrm{Fe}$ coincidence spectra at $90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$. The coincident background is subtracted in each spectrum. Window settings in the spectrum of the fixed detector are shown'above.


FIG. 5. Angular correlation of the $(848 \div 850)-778 \mathrm{keV}$ cascade from ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{n}, \gamma){ }^{96} \mathrm{Mo}$ displayed on CRT. $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{T} 1)$-detector spectra taken at $90^{\circ}, 120^{\circ}, 150^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}, 210^{\circ}, 240^{\circ}$ and $270^{\circ}$. Digital window settings in the spectrum of the second detector at 850 keV . The relevant part of the ${ }^{\circ} 96 \mathrm{Mo}$ decay scheme is shown.
3) Channel by channel summing up of all coincidence spectra pertaining to the same angles (not necessary if the data are totalized on the disk).
4) Normalization of the spectra to the individual counting rates of detectors 1 and 2.
5) Subtraction of accidental coincidences measured separately.
6) Two-dimensional spectrum stripping and determination of intensities, or correction for coincident background by application of double window technique.

## FLOW CHART OF DATA ANALYSIS



FIG.6. Schematic diagram showing the off-line data handling.

The two-dimensional spectrum stripping procedure requires large computer programs and relatively long computing times. It has not been used for the evaluation of meaningful measurements up to now.

In order to correct for the coincident background by using the double window method the background coincident spectra are multiplied by appropriate factors and then subtracted from the spectra coincident with the selected peaks. The main problem of the method is the determination of these factors. They come to a first approximation from the window widths. Their exact values must be determined in a further computing step taking into account the individual shape of the spectrum and the nonlinearity of background. A check for the correctness of this procedure is the disappearance of a line not in coincidence with the selected transition. In order to get an estimate of the errors introduced by uncertainties in the background spectrum particularly if there is no other check possible - the subsequent analysis is done also with spectra resulting from the application of different "subtraction factors" which follow from an upper and lower limit of the intensity of the background. These limits are determined
from the spectrum shape. For further processing of the resulting spectra a computer program $[8]$ is employed which allows the analysis of complex structures. An important factor in the analysis is the knowledge of the $\gamma$-ray energies and their relative intensities which is obtained from the singles spectra recorded with $\mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{Li})$-detectors. It is only in this way that complex peaks in the NaI-spectra can be decomposed unambiguously and correct estimates of the contributions of single lines to a measured angular distribution obtained. A measure of the intensities of the $Y$ transitions is the area below the photopeaks. The calculation of this area with the computer program is based on the assumptions that the line shapes are Gaussian and the energy dependence of the background is a negative exponential function. The parameters of the approximation function are automatically determined by the program. Fig. 7 shows, as an example, the analysis of a doublet in 68 Zn . The intensity errors are compounded from the statistical errors of counting, contributions coming from errors in the goodness of fit and the uncertainties in determination of background underlying the measured peaks. In favourable cases the attainable accuracies are between $0.5 \%$ and $1.0 \%$. As shown in the flow diagram (Fig. 6) data analysis is supervised by means of plots which are generated after each critical computer step. These plots are of great value, particularly for the intensity analysis since it may happen that the least squares fitting procedure of unfolding the spectra might fail to obtain the absolute minimum of $\chi^{2}$. In this case an iterative procedure is used to repeat the analysis with varied input parameters for line shapes and background. As usual the intensities are fitted with the angular correlation function $W(\theta)\lceil e q .(1)]$ using the method of least squares [9]. Since the coincidence spectra are taken in at least four angular positions, it is possible to check the goouness of fit by a $x^{2}$-test. If there are indications of errors beyond the ordinary statistical uncertainties, the errors associated with the correlation coefficients $A_{k}$ are increased according to the description given by Rose [9]. The uncertainty in the determination of the background is also taken into account. The correlation coefficents are corrected for the effects of the finite size of the source and the detectors, and the interaction processes of the gamma quanta and of the neutrons in the source, using the methods of Michaelis [10] and White $[11]$. If there are contributions of interfering lines, e.g. single or double escape peaks of higher-energy $\gamma$ rays which can neither be resolved by the spectrometers nor be taken into account by application of the computer programs the correlation coefficients obtained have to be corrected for these contributions in a final step.

Spins and multipole mixtures are determined from the angular correlation coefficients by application of well-known analytical and graphical methods. For the analysis of correlations with one mixed transition the method recommended by Coleman [12] has proved to be most convenient. This method allows the representation of a larger number of results in one diagram thereby giving a good idea of the precision of the measurements. The method is also used for the analysis of correlations with two mixed transitions. With the aid of a computer and an incremental plotter it is easy to plot the necessary series of ellipses each of which represents the variation of one mixture while the other is fixed at a particular value. In other cases, a new procedure $[2]$ is applied which is developed from the method described by Poletti and Warburton [13].



FIG.7. ${ }^{67} \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{n}, \gamma){ }^{68} \mathrm{Zn}$ angular correlation analysis of the complex peak at $1300 \mathrm{keV}[18]$.

The equipment was carefully tested for mechanical asymme-. tries, e.g. eccentricity of the target position and eccentricity and inhomogeneity of the neutron beam. With various $\gamma$-ray sources the change in counting rate at the different angles was in general less than $\pm 0.5 \%$. As a further test, the angular distribution of the $2.38-0.84 \mathrm{MeV}$ cascade from the reaction $32 \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{n}, \gamma) 33 \mathrm{~S}$ was investigated. This cascade goes through an intermediate state with spin $1 / 2$ and hence has an isotropic distribution. The correlation measured with a sample of 7.13 g of pure sulfur in a sample holder of 1.8 cm diameter and 1.9 cm length resulted in values of the corrected angular correlation coefficient of $A_{2}=0.002 \pm 0.004$ and $A_{4}=0.008 \pm 0.012$. Thus, the distortion of the angular distribution by mechanical asymmetries can generally be regarded as small relative to the other sources of error. The equipment was tested and the program checked in a further series of measurements using 60 Co sources of various diameters. The correlations observed gave the expected results for the correlation function which is known to be $W(\theta)=1+0.102 \mathrm{P}_{2}(\cos \theta)+0.009 \mathrm{P}_{4}(\cos \theta)$. With a source of 2.6 cm diameter and 2.7 cm length the correlation function obtained was $W(\theta)=1+(0.107 \pm 0.006) \mathrm{P}_{2}(\cos \theta)+(0.009 \pm$ $0.015) \mathrm{P}_{4}(\cos \theta)$ showing that proper corrections were applied by the program.

## 7. Results

Some of the results obtained from angular correlation measurements on $\gamma$-ray cascades following thermal neutron capture in $57 \mathrm{Fe}, 61 \mathrm{Ni}, 67 \mathrm{Zn}, 95 \mathrm{Mo}$ and 97 Mo are presented in these proceedings $[17-20]$. From the systematics of even mass nuclei between $A=50$ and $A=100$ it is expected that the low energy levels of these nuclei are adequately described by the vibrational model. Recently reported shell-model celculations $[14-16]$ have also been very successful in predicting correct level energies. In order to clarify the level structure, knowledge of the multipole mixtures of the transitions is of great importance. Therefore, angular correlation measurements are particularly valuable in this mass region.

Our investigations $[20]$ on $\gamma$-ray cascades following thermal neutron capture in 57 Fe have been selected as an example to demonstrate the efficiency of the method. In this case, more than 40 correlations have been measured, 33 of which have been evaluated. An extensive set of results was obtained which allowed the mutually consistent determination of large number of level spins and multipole mixtures. Some of the results are shown in Fig. 8 (Part of the decay scheme relevant to the angular correlation measurements under discussion is shown in Fig. 9). The upper row in Fig. 8 represents the analysis of cascades involving a primary transition. The capturing state of 58 Fe is an unknown mixture of $\mathrm{O}^{-}$and $1^{-}$states. $P$ is the parameter indicating the relative contribution (in \%) of the spin 0 states to a particular primary $\gamma$ ray. The mixture parameter $\delta$ of the second member of these cascades is taken as the abscissa; the angular correlation coefficients are the ordinates. In all cases, the spin of the final state is 2. It can be concluded from the intensities that the primary transitions have an E1 character. Therefore, the following three alternative spin sequences are possible 0-1-2, 1-1-2, and 1-2-2. The sequence 1-0-2 was ruled out because of the nonzero anisotropies observed in these measurements. The middle and bottom rows of the diagrams refer to cascades with ground state transitions. The first member of each of these cascades is the same $\gamma$ ray




FIG. 8. ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{n}, \gamma)^{58} \mathrm{Fe}$ angular correlation measurements. Hatched bands represent the experimental results. Change in the sign of $\delta$ has been taken into account (cf. text).
as the second member of the cascades in which primary transitions are involved. With the definition adopted for $\delta[21]$ a change in sign of $\delta$ is necessary because of the different $\gamma$-ray order in these cascades. This has been taken into account by reversing the sign of the abscissa in the middle and the bottom rows. The observed $\gamma$ rray intensities and the neutron orbital momenta known from ( $d, p$ ) reactions restrict the possible spin sequences in the low-energy cascades to 1-2-0 and 2-2-0. The spin 1 assignment of the 2782 keV level and the branching of the 7262 keV transition between the two capture state spins is determined from the angular correlation of the $7262-2782 \mathrm{keV}$ twostep cascade to the ground state (Fig. 9). This branching ( $p=76 \pm 14$ ) and the spin assignment is adopted to determine the multipole mixtures of the 1971 keV and the 1107 keV transitions from the 7262-1971 keV and the $7262-1107 \mathrm{keV}$ correlations, respectively. The results obtained are in excellent agreement with the values found from the analysis of the $1971-810 \mathrm{keV}$ and $1107-1674 \mathrm{keV}$ correlations (Fig. 8a).

It was not possible to determine the branching percentages of the 6505 keV , the 5493 keV and the 5042 keV transitions (Fig. 8b) and 8 c) directly through a two-step cascade to the ground state. These branchings were determined from the angular correlation measurements taking into account the mixture parameter $\delta$ of the second member of each cascade and the spin assignments of the intermediate states which are known from the analysis of the low-energy cascades to the ground state. In this way, for about $70 \%$ of the observed intensity, the contributions of the two capture state spins ( $0-11^{-}$) to the intensities of the primary transitions have been accounted for.


FIG. 9. Partial decay scheme relevant to the angular correlation measurements shown in Fig. 8.
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[^0]:    1. Introduction

    Angular correlation measurements are of particular interest in experimental nuclear physics, because they supply information on characteristic properties of nuclear states that are necessary for the interpretation of nuclear level schemes and of ten constitute the basis for comparison with suggested nuclear models. Difficulties encountered in angular correlation measurements on $\gamma$ ray cascades following thermal neutron capture may arise mainly from the large number of $\gamma$ rays usually present in the capture spectra and the high background coming from Compton scattered high-energy $\gamma$ rays. In many cases, the capture cross sections are small and only small amounts of target material are available, thus requiring long measuring periods. Since the stability of the experimental equipment is limited, overly long measuring periods may also introduce errors, and one is forced to accumulate as much useful information as possible in a preset time. Another problem is the elimination of the coincident background underlying the peaks. This background is the main source of systematic errors in these measurements.

