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## Abstract

TP2 is a FORTRAN-IV program for the calculation of the reactivity, effective delayed neutron fractions and mean generation time by the perturbation theory using the angular fluxes calculated by a two-dimensional $S_{n}$ transport code. Group cross sections, delayed neutron fractions and spectra, isotope dependent prompt neutron spectrum, and direct and adjoint angular fluxes are read from disk files. This code can treat $x-y, r-2$ and $r-\theta$ geometry in two dimensions, and the code structure is nearly the same as the TPI code for the one-dimensional geometry.

As in the TPl code, there are two main options. One is for the exact perturbation calculation of the reactivity where the direct and adjoint angular fluxes are used for unperturbed and perturbed systems respectively. The other option is for the first order perturbation calculation of the probe reactivity in which usually unperturbed direct and adjoint angular fluxes are used. In both cases, reactivities for each reaction process are printed in the energy and space dependent form according to the input specification.

The criticality factor calculated by the $S_{n}$ transport code using an isotope independent fission spectrum can be corrected by the TP2 code by taking into account an isotope dependency of the prompt fission spectrum and delayed neutron spectrum.

Numerical examples are presented to demonstrate the accuracy of the reactivity, the effect of the number of mesh points and the order of the $S_{n}$ method. Comparisons with the diffusion method are given.

## Zusammenfas sung

TP2 ist ein FORTRAN-IV Störungstheorie-Programm zur Berechnung von Reaktivitäten, effektiven verzögerten Neutronenanteilen und mittleren Generationszeiten; TP2 benutzt die Information aus Winkelflüssen eines zweidimensionalen $S_{N}$ Codes. Gruppenkonstanten, verzögerte Neutronenanteile und Spektren, isotopabhängiges promptes Neutronenspektrum und direkte und adjungierte Winkelflüsse werden als Plattendateien übergeben. Das Programm ist für zweidimensionale $x-y, r-z$ und r- $\theta$ Geometrie und besitzt eine ähnliche Struktur wie das eindimensionale Programm TP1.

Wie in TPl gibt es zwei Hauptoptionen. Die erste ist exakte Störungstheorie, d. h. für die Berechnung der Reaktivität werden die direkten Winkelflüsse des ungestörten Systems und die adjungierten Winkelflüsse des gestörten Systems verwendet. Die zweite ist Störungstheorie 1 . Ordnung, die direkte und adjungierte Winkelflüsse des ungestörten Systems benutzt. Bei beiden Optionen werden für jeden Reaktionstyp die Reaktivitäten energie- und ortsabhängig ausgegeben.

Der mit isotopunabhängigem Spaltspektrum berechnete Kritikalitätsfaktor des $S_{N}$ Programmes kann von TP2 - unter Berücksichtigung eines isotopabhängigen prompten Spaltspektrums und eines verzögerten Neutronenspektrums - korrigiert werden.

Numerische Beispiele geben einen Eindruck von der erreichbaren Genauigkeit für Reaktivitäten, dem Effekt der Anzahl der Ortspunkte und der Ordnung der $S_{N}$ Quadratur. Darüberhinaus ist ein Vergleich mit Diffusionsmethoden beschrieben.
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The transport perturbation theory is described in Ref. / / for the calculation of reactivity, effective delayed neutron fraction and mean neutron generation time. In Chap. II, only the results of the perturbation theory, the explicit expressions of these quantities are given, which are used in TP2 code. The structure of TP2 code and input and output of the code are almost the same as those of TPI code / / / .

The code TP2 reads the direct and adjoint angular fluxes which are calculated by the two dimensional $S_{n}$ transport code $S N O W / 2 /$, and group cross section table used in $S_{n}$ code. Fission cross sections and delayed neutron fraction for each fissile isotope are read separately. Isotope dependent prompt neutron fission spectra and delayed neutron spectra are read to calculate a criticality factor which is corrected for the dependence of prompt fission neutron spectra on the individual fissile isotopes and the spectrum difference between the prompt and delayed neutrons. This has to be done in TP2 code because the present version of the transport code SNOW can use only an isotope independent fission neutron spectrum.

In Chap. III details of the program and input description are given. If all input data described in Chap. III, which are read by each subroutine, are available, TP2 code can be used with any other $S_{n}$ transport code.

In Chap. IV sample calculations are given to demonstrate the accuracy of the reactivity and criticality factor with respect to the number of mesh points and the order of $S_{n}$ method. Comparison with the diffusion theory is also given there. Summary of the present work is given in Chap. V.

## II FORMULAS

## 1._Explicit_Expression_of Reactivity

The derivation of the reactivity by the perturbation theory is given in Ref. /1/. Here, only the final results are given in the following.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{\mathrm{cg}}(\vec{r})=-\delta \hat{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{cg}} \sum_{\mathrm{m}} \Delta \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}} f_{\mathrm{kg}}^{+}\left(\vec{r}_{,}, \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) f_{\mathrm{kog}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \vec{\Omega}) /[\mathrm{F}], \quad \text { (capture) } \\
& \rho_{f g}(\vec{r})=-\delta \Sigma_{f g_{m}} \sum_{\mathrm{m}} \Delta \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{kg}}^{+}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{kog}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \vec{\Omega}) /[\mathrm{F}], \quad \text { (fission) } \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{rg}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})=-\delta \hat{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{tg}} \sum_{\mathrm{m}} \Delta \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{kg}}^{+}\left(\vec{r}_{\mathrm{r}}, \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{kog}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}, \vec{\Omega}) /[\mathrm{F}], \quad \text { (removal) } \\
& \rho_{\text {sog }}(\vec{r})=-\delta \Sigma_{s g_{m}} \sum_{\mathrm{m}} \Delta \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{kg}}^{+}\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}, \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{kog}}(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega}) /[\mathrm{F}], \quad \text { (scattering out) }  \tag{4}\\
& \rho_{s i g}(\vec{r})=\sum_{g^{\prime}=1}^{g-1} \sum_{1=0}^{I_{s}} \frac{21+1}{4 \pi} \Sigma_{s 1}\left(g+g^{\prime}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{1} \varepsilon_{m}\left(f_{k g c}^{+l m}\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right) f_{k o g}{ }^{l m}\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right)+f_{k g s}{ }^{+1 m}\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right) f_{k o g}{ }^{1 m}\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right)\right) /[F] \\
& \text { (scattering in) }  \tag{5}\\
& \rho_{o i g}\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right)=\rho_{\text {sog }}\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right)+\rho_{\text {sig }}\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right) \quad \text { (scattering out }+ \text { scattering in) } \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

(scattering in from $\mathrm{g}-1$ to g )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (fission source) }  \tag{8}\\
& \rho_{a f g}\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right)=\sum_{g^{i}=1}^{G} f_{k g}^{+\infty}{ }^{+\infty}\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j} x_{g}^{j}, \delta\left(\nu_{p} \Sigma_{f}\right)_{g}^{j}+\sum_{i} x_{i g}, \delta\left(v_{d}^{i} \Sigma_{f}\right){ }_{g}\right) f_{k o g}^{00}\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right) / 4 \pi k \quad[F] \\
& \text { (adjoint fission source) }  \tag{9}\\
& \rho_{g}(\vec{r})=\rho_{r g}(\vec{r})+\rho_{s i g}(\vec{r})+\rho_{f s g}(\vec{r})  \tag{total}\\
& {[F]=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{p} \Delta V_{p} \sum_{g=1}^{G} f_{k g}^{+o o}\left(\vec{r}_{p}\right)\left(\sum_{i} x_{g}^{j} \sum_{g^{\prime}=1}^{G}\left(\nu_{p} \Sigma_{f}\right)_{g}^{j}+\sum_{i}^{j} x_{i g} \sum_{g^{\prime}=1}^{G}\left(\nu_{d}^{i} \Sigma_{f}\right)_{g}\right)^{\prime} f_{k o g}^{\prime 0}\left(\vec{r}_{p}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0}=1, \varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon_{3}=\ldots=2$, and
$\left.\hat{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{tg}}=\hat{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{ag}}+\Sigma_{\mathrm{sg}},{ }^{*}\right)$
$\hat{\Sigma}_{a g}=\Sigma_{a b s g}+D_{g} B_{g}{ }^{2}$.
The mean generation time $\bar{\Lambda}$ is
$\bar{\Lambda}=\sum_{p} \Delta V_{p} \sum_{g=1}^{G} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vg}}\left(\sum_{\mathrm{m}} \Delta \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{kg}}^{+}\left(\vec{r}_{\mathrm{p}}, \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{kog}}\left(\vec{r}_{\mathrm{p}}, \vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right) /[\mathrm{F}]$
The effective delayed neutron fractions $\bar{\beta}_{i}{ }^{j}$ are
$\bar{B}_{i}^{j}=\sum_{p} \Delta V_{p} \sum_{g=1}^{G}\left(f_{k o g}^{+o o}\left(\vec{r}_{p}\right) x_{i g}\right) \sum_{g^{\prime}=1}^{G}\left(\left(v_{d}^{i} \Sigma_{f}\right)_{g}^{j}, f_{k o g}^{00},\left(\vec{r}_{p}\right)\right) /[F]$
$\bar{\beta}_{i}=\sum_{j} \bar{\beta}_{i}{ }^{j}$
$\bar{\beta}^{j}=\sum_{i} \bar{\beta}_{i}{ }^{j}$
The index $g$ denotes energy group, and $f_{k o}(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})$ and $f_{k g}^{+}(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})$ are unperturbed direct and perturbed adjoint angular fluxes respectively. The indices $j$ and $i$ denote the isotope and the delayed neutron group respectively. The effective delayed neutron fractions are calculated for each mixture.

The spherical harmonics moments are defined by
$f^{\ln }(\vec{r})=\sum_{m} \Delta \vec{\Omega}_{m} Y_{1 n}^{*}\left(\vec{\Omega}_{m}\right) f\left(\vec{r}_{r}, \vec{\Omega}_{m}\right) \equiv f_{c}^{\ln (\vec{r})-i f_{s}^{l n}(\vec{r})}$

Then
$f_{c}^{1 n}(\vec{r})=\sum_{m} \Delta \vec{\Omega}_{m}\left[\frac{(1-n)!}{(1+n)!}\right]^{1 / 2} P_{1 n}\left(\cos \theta_{m}\right) \cos n \phi_{m}$
$f_{s}^{\ln (\vec{r})}=\sum_{m} \Delta \vec{\Omega}_{m}\left[\frac{(1-n)!}{(1+n)!}\right]^{1 / 2} P_{1 n}\left(\cos \theta_{m}\right) \sin n \phi_{m}$

In the TP2 code, the factor $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{m}}$ in Eq. (7) is included in the spherical harmonics function. Namely, the spherical harmonics function $\hat{\mathrm{Y}}_{1 \mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{c}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}}, \phi_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ and $\hat{\mathrm{Y}}_{1 \mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{s}}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{m}}, \phi_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ are defined as
$\hat{Y}_{1 n}^{c}\left(\theta_{m}, \phi_{m}\right)=\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{n}(1-n)!}{(1+n)!}\right]^{1 / 2} P_{1 n}\left(\cos \theta_{m}\right) \cos n \phi_{m}$
*) This relation which is used to determine $\Sigma_{s g}$ is essential for taking into
account ( $\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n}$ ) reactions (see also $/ 1 / \mathrm{p} .12$ ).
$\hat{Y}_{1 n}\left(\theta_{m}, \phi_{m}\right)=\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{n}(1-n)!}{(1+n)!}\right]^{1 / 2} P_{1 n}\left(\cos \theta_{m}\right) \sin n \phi_{m}$

Summing up the energy and space dependent reactivities over energy group, we obtain space dependent reactivities,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\alpha}(\vec{r})=\sum_{g} \rho_{\alpha g}(\vec{r}) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ denotes each reaction process. By integrating them over space, we obtain energy dependent reactivities,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\alpha g}=\sum_{i j} \Delta V_{i j} \rho_{\alpha g}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing them up over energy group and integrating over space, we obtain the reactivities for the whole reactor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\alpha}=\sum_{g} \sum_{i, j} \Delta V_{i j} \rho_{\alpha g}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Volume element is given as in the following.
a) $x-y$ geometry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{i j}=\Delta x_{i} \Delta y_{j} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) r-z geometry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{i j}=\pi\left(r_{i+1}^{2}-r_{i}^{2}\right) \Delta z_{j} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) r- $-\theta$ geometry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{i+1}{ }^{2}-r_{i}^{2}\right) \Delta \theta_{j} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Symmetry_of the_Angular Flux

In the case of two dimensional geometry shown in Fig. 1, there is the following symmetry for the angular flux:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{g}(\vec{r}, \theta, \phi)=f_{g}(\vec{r}, \pi-\theta, \phi) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the angular flux is expanded in the form (Ref. /1/Eq. (42)),
$f_{g}(\vec{r}, \vec{\Omega})=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{1=0}^{\infty}(21+1) \sum_{m=-1}^{1} f_{g}^{1 m}(\vec{r}) Y_{1 m}(\vec{\Omega})$
then
$f_{g}(\vec{r}, \pi-\theta, \phi)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{1=0}^{\infty}(21+1) \sum_{m=-1}^{1} f_{g}^{1_{m}}(\vec{r}) Y_{1 m}(\pi-\theta, \phi)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{1=0}^{\infty}(21+1) \sum_{m=-1}^{1} f_{g}^{1 \mathrm{~m}}(\vec{r})(-1)^{1+\mathrm{m}} Y_{1 \mathrm{~m}}(\theta, \phi) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{1+m} f_{g}^{1 m}(\vec{r})=f_{g}^{1 m}(\vec{r}) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III COMPUTER PROGRAM

## 1. Problem_Solved by_TP2_Code

The two dimensional $S_{n}$ transport perturbation code TP2 calculates two cases: exact perturbation and first order perturbation due to probe substitution according to input specification to the TP2 code. TP2 code reads direct and adjoint angular fluxes and the group cross section table from the disk (ISNOW). In the case of exact perturbation calculation, the direct equation should be solved using the unperturbed cross section and the adjoint equation using the perturbed cross section. In this case, perturbation is simply the difference of two cross section sets used for direct and adjoint equations. In the case of first order perturbation, the same cross section set should be used for direct and adjoint equation. The perturbation is the difference of the original cross sections and the substituted probe cross sections.

The perturbation code TP2 can be also used together with any other $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}$ transport
code, if all input data, which are read by several subroutines, are supplied. Therefore, for this purpose, it is only necessary to replace or to rewrite some subroutines for reading input data which are described in detail in the following.

## 2. Program_Chain_and Data_F1ow for TP2_Calculation

The perturbation calculation is performed by using GRUCAL /3/, DIFID /4/, SIGMUT /6/ and SNOW which are modules of the KAPROS system and the transport perturbation code TP2 in the following order.

Flow diagram of whole perturbation calculation


1) GRUCAL computes all group cross sections in the form of SIGMN file for the unperturbed and perturbed systems.
2) DIFID solves one dimensional diffusion equation using 26 group cross sections computed by GRUCAL and integrates zonewise the 26 group fluxes.
3) SIGMNC condenses the 26 group cross sections into appropriate coarse groups using the one dimensional integrated fluxes as weighting functions. This procedure is supposed to be sufficient for the present purpose. For other cases it might be more appropriate to use improved weighting functions coming e.g. from two dimensional diffusion calculation.
4) SIGMUT reads the delayed neutron data from card input and computes delayed fission cross section and adds this to SIGMN-block. The complete condensed SIGMN-file is written on the disk IGRUC by using KAPROS utility UTKS.
5) SNOW reads cross sections from the SIGMN file on a disk IGRUC and computes first the direct angular flux and criticality factor and then the adjoint angular flux and criticality factor, and writes them on a disk in three files, ISNOW1, ISNOW2 and ISNOW3. This interface file is created only by the latest version of SNOW within KAPROS system.
6) TP2 reads the direct and adjoint angular fluxes, cross section table written by SNOW, isotope dependent fission cross sections and fission spectra and delayed neutron data available in the SIGMN-block.

## 3. Explanation_of the_program_TP2

1) Flow diagram and subroutines of TP2

Flow diagram of the TP2 program is shown in the following.


RSNOWI: Integer data from SNOW are read from disk file ISNOWI.

RSNOW2: Array data from SNOW are read from disk file ISNOW1.


RSNOW3: Buckling data from SNOW are read from disk file ISNOWl.


RSNOW4: Criticality factor et al from SNOW are read from disk file ISNOWI.

READD: Isotope dependent fission spectrum et al, prepared by GRUCAL and SIGMUT codes are read together with LIES2 subroutine from disk file IGRUC.

PERT2: Direct and Adjoint angular fluxes from SNOW are read from disk file ISNOW2 and ISNOW3 respectively by use of INVAFX for adjoint case. Denominator $[F]$ and effective delayed neutron fractions etc. are computed.

PRRT: Reactivities for each reaction are computed and printed.

Stop

The following subroutines are used in TP2.
a) DIMENS: Dimension of a working array $A$ which contains integer and real number is declared.
b) RDINC: All card input data to TP2 are read.
c) RSNOW1: Following data are read from the disk ISNOW1 as a first record written by SNOW code. After reading, these are printed. All notations given here and in the following follow closely those of the SNOW code /2/.

ISCT: Order of anisotropic scattering,
ISN: $\quad$ Order of $S_{n}, 2,4,6,8$.
IGE: Geometry $(1 / 2 / 3=x-y / r-z / r-\theta)$,
IGM: Number of groups,
IXM: Number of zones in $x$ (or r) coordinate,
IYM: Number of zones in $y$ (or $z$ or $\theta$ ) coordinate,
IM: Number of intervals in $x$ (or $r$ ) coordinate,
JM: $\quad$ Number of intervals in $y$ (or $z$ or $\theta$ ) coordinate,
MBK: $\quad=0$, No buckling,
$=1$, Buckling correction using height Ho,
$= \pm 2$, Group dependent buckling, $= \pm 3$, Group and zone dependent buckling,
BF: $\quad=\mathrm{Ho}$; The height Ho for buckling correction, when $\mathrm{MBK}=1$,
RLOG: $\quad=10^{-10}$, (This is not used in TP2 code.)
MT: Length of cross section table,
MTP: Number of mixtures,
MM: $\quad=\operatorname{ISN}(\operatorname{ISN}+4) / 2$, Number of angular directions,
IHS: Location of $\sum_{s o}\left(g \leftarrow_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ in cross section table,
IHT: Location of total cross section in cross section table,
IHM: Length of cross section table,

After reading the above quantities, $I S=I S C T+1$ is computed.
d) RSNOW2: Following data are read from the disk ISNOW1 as a second record successively to the data read by RSNOWI.

TW(IS,IS,MM): spherical harmonics function multiplied by weight $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{m}}$,
C(IHM, IGM, MT): cross section table, $I H M=1 G M+5$,
$C(1, G, N)=\varepsilon_{t r g}^{m}, \quad C(2, G, N)=\varepsilon_{f g}^{m}$
$C(3, G, N)=\Sigma_{\text {ag }}^{\mathrm{m}}, \quad C(4, G, N)=\nu \Sigma_{f g}^{\mathrm{m}}, \quad$ IHS $=6$
$C(5, G, N)=\varepsilon_{t g}^{m}, \quad C\left(I H S+G-G^{\prime}, G, N\right)=\varepsilon_{\text {so }}^{m}\left(G \not G^{\prime}\right)$
$C\left(\right.$ IHS $\left.+G-G^{\prime}, N+L\right)=\Sigma_{s 1}^{m}\left(g^{\prime}+g^{\prime}\right)$ for $1 \leq L \leq I S C T \leq 6$,
where
$m$ is the mixture index and $N=|M Z(I X, J Y)|$, $I X=M A I(I), J Y=M A J(J)$.
e) RSNOW3: Buckling values are read from the disk ISNOW1,
$B(I B M): \quad B_{g}{ }^{2}$ or $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{2}$ first for direct equation and later for adjoint equation. Here,
IBM=0, if $M B K=0$ or 1 (Read statement is skipped.)
$I B M=I G M$, if $M B K= \pm 2$
$I B M=I X M \times I \Psi M \times I G M$, if $M B K= \pm 3$
If $M B K=1, B F=H_{0}$ should be read in by RSNOW1, and
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{B}^{2}=\frac{1}{3 \Sigma_{\operatorname{trg}}}\left[\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{H}_{0}+2 Z_{0} \lambda_{t r g}}\right]^{2}=\frac{\Sigma_{\operatorname{trg}}}{3}\left[\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{H}_{0} \Sigma_{t r g}+2 Z_{o}}\right]^{2}$
This $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{B}^{2}$ can be dependent on group and zone.

$$
\text { If } \begin{aligned}
M B K & =2, B_{g}^{2} \text { is read as }(B(G), G=1, I G M) \\
& =-2, D_{g} B_{g}^{2} \text { is read. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { If } \begin{aligned}
M B K= & 3,{ }_{g}{ }_{g}^{2}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right) \text { is read as } \\
& (((B(G, I, J), G=1, I G M), I=1, I X M), J=1, I Y M) . \\
= & -3, D_{g} B_{g}{ }^{2}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right) \text { is read. }
\end{aligned}
$$

f) RSNOW4: Following data are read from the disk ISNOWI first for direct equation and later for adjoint equation.

MZ (IXM, IYM) : Location of the o-th moment of scattering cross section in the cross section table.

RK: Criticality factor
After reading MZ(IXM, IYM), MZT (IXM, IYM) is calculated which is the mixture index denoting which material is in each zone.
g) $\operatorname{FCT}(N): \quad=N!$ for the normalization of the spherical harmonics function.
h) SPHF: Spherical harmonics function is stored in the following form in SNOW code.
$\begin{aligned} T(L, N, M) & \left.=P_{1-1, n-1}\left(\xi_{m}\right) \cos (n-1) \phi_{m}\right] \quad \text { for } L=1 \sim I S, N=1 \sim L, L+N=\text { even }, \\ & =0 \quad L+N=o d d .\end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} T(L, N, M) & =P_{n-1,1}\left(\xi_{m}\right) \cos 1 \phi_{m} \\ & =0 \quad \text { for } L=1 \sim(\mathrm{IS}-1), \begin{array}{l}\mathrm{N}=(\mathrm{L}+1) \sim I S, \\ \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{N}+1=\mathrm{even}, \\ \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{N}+1=\mathrm{odd} .\end{array}\end{aligned}$
$\operatorname{TW}(N, J, M)=W_{m} \times T(N, J, M), N=1, \sim I S, J=i \sim I S, M=1 \sim M M$.
In this subroutine, the array $T W$ is multiplied by the constant such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T W(L, N, M)=W_{m}\left[\frac{2(1-n)!}{(1-1+n-1)!}\right]^{1 / 2} P_{1-1, n-1}\left(\xi_{m}\right) \cos (n-1) \phi_{m}, \begin{array}{l}
\text { for } \begin{array}{l}
2 \leq N \leq L \\
2 \leq L \leq I S,
\end{array} \\
T W(L, N, M)=W_{m}\left[\frac{2(n-1-1)!}{(n-1+1)!}\right]^{1 / 2} \quad P_{n-1,1}\left(\xi_{m}\right) \sin 1 \phi_{m}, \text { for } L+1 \leq N \leq I S \\
1 \leq L \leq I S-1 .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

1) READD :

This subroutine is the same as the one used in TP1 code. Following data are read from the core memory prepared usually by GRUCAL, SIGMNC and SIGMUT codes.

SNFTJ (IGM, IFM, MTP $)=\left(\nu \Sigma_{f}\right)_{g}^{j}$; Number of total fission neutrons times fission cross section of $j$-isotope,
$\operatorname{SNFDJ}(I G M, I D M, I F M, M T P)=\left(\nu_{d}{ }^{i} \Sigma_{f}\right) \frac{j}{g}$; Number of ith-group delayed neutrons times fission cross section of $j$-isotope,

XKIJ (IGM, IFM, MTP) $=\chi_{g}^{j}$; Prompt fission spectrum of $j$-isotope,
DKI (IGM, IDM) $=X_{i g}$; Delayed neutron spectrum of $i-t h$ delayed neutron group,
where MTP, IFM and IDM are number of mixtures, number of fissile isotope and number of delayed neutron groups, respectively. After reading these data, following cross sections are computed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{SNFP}(I G M, M T P)=\left(\nu_{p} \Sigma_{f}\right)_{g}=\sum_{j}\left(\nu_{p} \Sigma_{f}\right)_{g}^{j} \\
& \operatorname{SNFPJ}(I G M, I F M, M T P)=\left(\nu_{p} \Sigma_{f}\right)_{g}^{j} \\
& \operatorname{SNFD}(I G M, I D M, M T P)=\left(v_{d}^{i} \Sigma_{f}\right)_{g}=\sum_{j}\left(\nu_{d}^{i} \Sigma_{f}\right)_{g}^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

j) LIES2: This subroutine is taken from DTK code and is rewritten such that the program for the preparation of cross section table $C$ is deleted and the SIGMN file prepared by GRUCAL and SIGMUT is read from the disk file IGRUC to the core memory to prepare only the delayed neutron data for READD.
k) WQORG: This subroutine is the same as that used in the DTK code. This code is used in READD to read cross section from SIGMN file.

1) CLEAR: This subroutine is used to make an array set zero.
m) PRINTI: Print one dimensional array,
n) PRINT2: Print two dimensional array,
o) PRINT3: Print three dimensional array,
p) PERT2: Denominator [F], mean generation time and effective delayed neutron fractions are computed.
q) RUIS: Higher order spherical harmonics components and a reactivity due to the higher order scattering are computed.
r) PRRT: Reactivities for each reaction are computed and printed.
s) INVAFX: Adjoint angular flux is read from the disk ISNOW3 and reordered inversely with respect to the group index. The order with respect to the angular index must also be changed because in the SNOW code, the adjoint equation is solved by replacing $\vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}}$ by $-\vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}}$. Therefore, the order of angular flux is rearranged such that $-\vec{\Omega}_{m}=\vec{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}}$, The following indexes show that, for example, $f_{g}^{+}\left(\vec{r}_{i},-\vec{\Omega}_{6}\right)$ in $S_{2}$ case is transferred to
 flux is stored in the disk IDSKI. The place where no index is given has the zero weight for $w_{m}$. Therefore those terms give no contribution to the integral over angular variable.

S2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M } \quad 1,2,3,4,5,6, \\
& M^{\prime}-, 6,5,-, 3,2,
\end{aligned}
$$

S4


S6

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M } 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16, \\
& M^{\prime}-, 22,21,20,19,18,17,-, 27,26,25,24,-, 30,29,-, \\
& \text { M } 17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30, \\
& M^{\prime} \quad 7,6,5,4,3,2,-, 12,11,10,9,-, 15,14,
\end{aligned}
$$

S8

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M } 1,2,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15, \\
& M^{\prime} \\
& \text { - }, 33,32,31,30,29,28,27,26,-, 40,39,38,37,36, \\
& \text { M } 16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30, \\
& \text { M' } 35,-, 45,44,43,42,-, 48,47,-, 9,8,7,6,5,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { M } 31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48 \text {, }
$$

$$
\text { M' }^{\prime} 4,3,2,-, 16,15,14,13,12,11,-, 21,20,19,18,-, 24,23 \text {, }
$$

2) Arrays used in TP2

| A(LAENGE): | Master array, |
| :---: | :---: |
| NAIST (2IFMP) : | Name of fissile isotopes, IFMP $=$ IFM+1 |
| MAI (IM) : | Zone index in $x$ coordinate, |
| MAJ (JM) : | Zone index in y coordinate, |
| IMAT (MTP) : | Index for mixtures, |
| MZT (IXM, IYM) : | Mixture index denoting which mixture is in each zone, |
| MZ (IXM, IYM) : | Location of the o-th moment of scattering cross section in the cross section table for each zone, |
| MZTP (IXM, IYM) : | MZT(IXM, IYM) for adjoint equation, |
| MZP (IXM, IYM) : | MZ (IXM, IYM) for adjoint equation, |
| IPLATZ (MTP) : | Location of the $0^{-t h}$ moment of scattering cross section in the cross section table, |
| V(IM, IYM) : | $=\Delta \mathrm{V}$, Volume element |
| RX (IMP) : | $=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad \mathrm{IMP}=\mathrm{IM}+1$, |
| RY (JMP) : | $=y_{j}, \quad J M P=J M+1,$ |
| W(MM) : | $=w_{m} \text {, Weight of angular quadrature, }$ |
| TW(IS, IS, MM) : | Spherical harmonics function multiplied by weight w, |
| B (IBM) : | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IBM }=0, \text { if } M B K=0 \text { or } 1, \\ & \text { IBM }=I G M, \text { if } M B K= \pm 2, \\ & I B M=I X M X I Y M X I G M, \text { if } M B K= \pm 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| BP (IBM) : | $B(I B M)$ for adjoint equation, |



3) Total number of a core memory for TP2

The total number of a core memory is reserved in the subroutine DIMENS by the array $A(L A E N G E)$. The number of the core storage can be roughly calculated by LAENGE = LMAX + IRIS

LMAX $=3 \cdot I M \cdot J M \cdot I G M+I H M \cdot I G M \cdot M T$
The last IRIS words of the array $A$ are used by the WQORG as working array to prepare the cross section table C(IHM,IGM, MT). Therefore, IRIS calculated as a difference between LAENGE and actually needed core storage should not be too small.
4) Example of input data to SNOW code for the perturbation calculation

```
ISCT = 1:
IM = 18:
JM = 16:
MU = 4: Number of zorie,
MT = 7: Total number of cross section table,
MTP = 5: Number of mixtures,
IXM = 3: Number of zone in x-coordinate,
IYM = 4: Number of zone in y-coordinate,
```

```
IMAT (MTP): = 1, -2, -3, 4, 5
IPLATZ(MTP): = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7
```

For direct equation
( $(\operatorname{MZT}(I, J), I=1, I X M), J=1, I Y M): \quad=1,2,2,1,2,3,2,2,4,2,4,4$, $((M Z(I, J), I=1, I X M), J=1, I Y M): \quad=1,-2,-2,1,-2,-4,-2,-2,6,-2,6,6$,


For adjoint equation

```
((MZTP(I,J),I=1,IXM) ,J=1,IYM): = 5, 1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 2, 2, 4, 2, 4, 4
((MZP (I,J),I=1,IXM),J=1,IYM): = 7, 1, -2, 1, -2, -4, -2, -2, 6, -2, 6, 6
```



Minus sign of the index means that the mixture has anisotropic scattering component.
5) Management of angular flux from the disk

In the disk files ISNOW2 and ISNOW3, direct and adjoint angular fluxes are stored respectively by the SNOW code. The angular fluxes are read in the subroutine PERT2 by the following program.

REWIND ISNOW2
$D \emptyset 1$ IG = I, IGM

```
READ (ISNOW2) FKO (IM, JM)
(Here, direct total flux is computed.)
1 CONTINUE
```

The order of the adjoint angular flux is rearranged with respect to the group and angular indexes and then it is written in the IDSKI by calling the subroutine INVAFX.

CALL INVAFX (FKD,IM, JM)

REWIND IDSK 1

DO 3 IG=1,IGM

DO $3 \mathrm{M}=1, \mathrm{MM}$

READ (IDSK1) FKD(IM,JM)
(Here, adjoint total flux is computed.)
3 CONTINUE

In the following routine, the product of direct and adjoint angular flux are computed.

REWIND ISNOW2
REWIND IDSK 1
DO $18 \mathrm{IG}=1$, IGM

DO $19 \mathrm{M}=1$, MM

READ (ISNOW2) FKO (IM, JM)

READ (IDSK1) FKD (IM, JM)
(Here, the product of direct and adjoint angular flux are computed.)
19 CONTINUE

18 CONTINUE

If anisotropic scattering is included, subroutine RUIS is called by the subroutine PERT2. In the subroutine RUIS, the reactivity due to the anisotropic
scattering is computed by reading the angular flux in the following way. REWLND IDSK2

WRITE (IDSK2) FTKO,FTKD

DO $31 \mathrm{~L}=1$, IS

DO $32 \mathrm{~N}=1$, IS

REWIND ISNOW2

REWIND IDSK1

DO 33 IG=1,IGM

DO $34 \mathrm{M}=1, \mathrm{MM}$

READ (ISNOW2) FKO

READ(IDSK1) FKD

34 CONTINUE

33 CONTINUE

32 CONTINUE

31 CONTINUE

REWIND IDSK2

READ (IDSK2) FTKO, FTKD

## 4. Comment_on_input_data

1) Input data to GRUCAL

Types CHI, NUSF, SCAPT, SFISS, SBE, SREM, STR, STRTR, $1 / v$, STOT and SMTOT are necessary. Isotope dependent quantities for the fissile isotopes are produced by using the GRUCAL-option 'AUSWERT' with the three 'ZUSATZ'-types CHI (microscopic), NUSF (macroscopic) and SFISS (macroscopic). All fissile isotopes are supposed to be contained in all mixtures because isotope dependent fission spectrum and cross sections are stored in the arrays XKIJ (IGM, IFM, MTP), SNFDJ (IGM,IDM, IFM, MTP) and SNFTJ(IGM,IFM,MTP). The option of microscopic fission spectrum is necessary for the CHI. The input example is shown in the Appendix II.
2) Input data to DIFID

For the condensation of 26 group cross section from GRUCAL code, we can choose appropriate one dimensional model to obtain weighting flux. Input data can be written by referring to the Ref. /4/.
3) Input data to SIGMNC

The 26 group cross section is condensed by using the one dimensional flux obtained above. Input data can be written by referring to the Ref. /5/.
4) Input data to SIGMUT

The isotope independent delayed neutron spectrum for each delayed group and the delayed neutron fraction for each isotope should be read from cards for SIGMUT code /6/.
5) Input data to SNOW

The option of $k_{\text {eff }}$ computation and $I T H=-2$ for direct and ITH=-1 for adjoint case should be chosen to create the interface file to TP2. An error criterion of $10^{-5}$ is desirable for the criticality factor and for the flux.


| IPCS: $\quad 1 \quad$ Group cross sections are printed according to the |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | arrays defined in Chap. III, 3. |

K3
NAIST(IFM) (9A8) Names of fissile isotopes
SI
End of TP2 input for $\mathrm{IFFP}=0$.
If IFFP=1 and IFPG=1, K4, if $\operatorname{IFFP}=1$ and IFPG=0, $K 5$ is read repeatedly for all cases as needed.

K4(24I3) NPR: Mixture index of the probe which replaces the mixture of unperturbed system,

IL: Left mesh index of $x$ coordinate,

IR: $\quad$ Right mesh index of $x$ coordinate,

JL: Lower mesh index of $y$ coordinate,

JU: Upper mesh index of $y$ coordinate, where the probe is inserted.

S2
End of TP2 input for $I F F P=1$ and $I F P G=1$.

K5 (24I3) NPR: Mixture index of the probe which replaces the mixture of the unperturbed system at IPT mesh boxes.*

IPT: Total number of mesh boxes.
(IPX(I), IPY(I), $\mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{IPT}):$ Indices of mesh boxes for $x$ and $y$
coordinates, where the probe is inserted.

S3
End of TP2 input for $I F F P=1$ and IFPG=0.

```
* A mesh box of the two dimensional spatial discretization grid is characterized by the grid indices of the lower left corner.
```

6._Output_Description_of_TP2_Code

The effective neutron generation time and the delayed neutron fraction for each fissile isotope and for each mixture are always printed. The criticality factor corrected for the isotope dependency of fission spectrum and delayed neutron spectrum is printed according to the input specification. The space and energy dependent reactivities are printed according to the input specification in the
following order: mesh indices, group index, reactivities due to capture $\rho_{c g}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)$, absorption by fission $\rho_{f g}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)$, removal $\rho_{r g}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)$, scattering out $\rho_{\text {sog }}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)$, scattering in $\rho_{\text {sig }}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)$, scattering out + in $\rho_{\text {sog }}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)+\rho_{\text {sig }}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)$, scattering in from $g-1, \rho_{s i g+g-1}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)$, fission source $\rho_{f s g}\left(\mathcal{F}_{i j}\right)$, adjoint fission source $\rho_{a f g}\left(\vec{r}_{i j}\right)$ and total $\rho_{g}\left(\vec{F}_{i j}\right)$. SUM means summation over energy groups and INTEGRAL means integration over space. The reactivity by probe perturbation is printed as an integral over the specified mesh box. Output example is shown in Appendix III. The integral reactivity value divided by the volume of the mesh box, therefore, represents an average value which should be attributed to the center of the corresponding mesh box. This is different from diffusion theory results, obtained e.g. by DXPERT, which refer really to the spatial position corresponding to the grid indices specified in the input. This difference (mesh-edge-diffusion values versus mesh-centered-transport values) has to be taken into account when comparing diffusion and transport local perturbation reactivities.

## IV NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

1.__Test_Calculation_for_TP2 Code

Exact perturbation calculations are performed for VERA-IIA /7/, a plutonium-plus-graphite critical assembly with a fairly small core region to check the accuracy of perturbation code TP2. The 26 group cross section set is computed by the GRUCAL code using the number density given in Table lof Ref. /7/ and composition (a). Since the present data used in GRUCAL code does not include isotopes Sn and Ga , isotope Sn is simply replaced by isotope Zr with the same number density as isotope Sn . The isotope Ga is approximated by two isotopes Cu and Nb with number density $0.78 \times$ number of Ga atom $=0.00035022$ and $0.22 \times$ number of Ga atom $=0.00009878$ respectively.

The 26 group constant is condensed into 4 group by using the flux from the diffusion calculation to reduce the CPU time in $S_{n}$ transport calculation. For this purpose, the spherical model given in Fig. 1 of Ref. /7/ is used. Core region of the spherical model is artificially divided into two regions: the first region is from 0 to 5 cm and the second is from 5 cm to 13.99 cm , and number of mesh intervals of 10 and 18 is used for each region.

For the blanket region, the number of mesh intervals of 86 is used from 13.99 cm to 56.99 cm . Using these region-integrated fluxes for three regions, 26 group cross sections are condensed into 4 groups, from 1 to 4 , from 5 to 8 , from 9 to 12 and from 13 to 26 groups. Mixture indexes 1 and 2 used in Tables $1 \sim 4$ are those for the core regions; the former are obtained using the flux for the first region and the latter for the second region. Mixture 3 is that for the blanket. As a perturbed cross section, mixture 4 is obtained by multiplying the number density of $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$ in mixture 1 by 1.25 .

For the two dimensional $S_{n}$ calculation, the two dimensional $R-Z$ model given in Fig. 2 of Ref. /7/ is used. Here, again the core region is artificially divided into two regions: the first region is $0 \leq r \leq 4 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $0 \leq z \leq 4 \mathrm{~cm}$, and the second region is the remaining region of the core region. Mixture index $1,2,3$ shown in Tables $1 \sim 4$ means that mixtures 1 and 2 are used for the first and the second region of the core region respectively and mixture 3 for the blanket. Similarly, mixture 4, 2, 3 means that mixtures 4 and 2 are used for the first and the second region of the core region respectively and the mixture 3 for the blanket. Number of mesh intervals $12 \times 12$ shown in the Tables means that $2+4+6$ and $2+3+7$ mesh intervals are used for $x$ and $y$ or $r$ and $z$ coordinate respectively and, for example, 2 mesh intervals for the first region, 4 for the second region, 6 for the blanket in $x$ direction. For the case of $24 \times 24$, all the numbers of mesh intervals are doubled.

In all calculations, the error criterion of $10^{-5}$ is used for the criticality factor and the total flux. Option of Tschebyscheff acceleration is used for the inner and outer iterations, and the maximum number of inner iteration per outer iteration is 1 imited to 10 .

In the Tables, four reactivities are shown which are calculated using the criticality factor calculated by SNOW code:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\mathrm{kok}}^{+}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}^{+}} \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{kok}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{kk}_{\mathrm{o}}}^{+}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}^{+}} \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{k}^{+} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}^{+}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}^{+}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}^{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}:$ the criticality factor of direct equation for unperturbed
system,
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{+}$: the criticality factor of adjoint equation for unperturbed
k: the criticality factor of direct equation for perturbed system,

## $k^{+}$: the criticality factor of adjoint equation for perturbed system.

$\rho_{p}$ is the reactivity calculated by the TP2 code and $\bar{k}$ is the criticality factor which is corrected for the isotope dependency of the fission spectrum and the delayed neutron spectrum using $\rho^{\prime}$ (Ref. /1/);

$$
\bar{k}=\frac{k_{0}}{1-\rho^{\prime} k_{0}}
$$

However, for the calculations shown in Tables $1 \sim 4$, an isotope independent fission spectrum is used in SNOW and TP2 calculations. Therefore, the correction is only done for the delayed neutron spectrum in those sample calculations.

In Table 1 are shown the results by the $S_{2}$ method for the $x-y$ geometry in which the input index only for the specification of geometry to the $S_{n}$ transport code SNOW is replaced from $R-Z$ by $x-y$ geometry. As seen in Table 1 , the criticality factor of the direct equation has a difference of $4 \times 10^{-4}$ from that of the adjoint equation in cases 1 and 2 , however, this difference decreases to less than $5 \times 10^{-5}$ by increasing the number of space mesh points as shown in cases $3 \sim 6$. The reactivity calculated by the TP2 code is almost independent of the number of mesh intervals, namely, the difference between them is only $1 \times 10^{-5}$. Agreement of the reactivities obtained from the criticality factors and the perturbation calculation is also good, the difference is about $1 \times 10^{-5}$.

In Table 2 are shown the results for VERA-11A of r-z geometry by $S_{2}$ method. There are large differences, about $1 \times 10^{-3}$ between the criticality factors of direct and adjoint equation for the same system. This is due to the nonadjointness of the discretized adjoint equation to the direct equation with respect to the angular variable as in the one dimensional case. This difference decreases as the order of $S_{n}$ increases, about $2 \times 10^{-4}$ for $S_{4}$ method and $3 \times 10^{-5}$ for $S_{8}$ method as seen in cases 5 and 6 of Tables 3 and 4.

This difference introduces a large error to the reactivity calculated from $k_{0}$ and $k^{+}$or $k$ and $k_{o}^{+}$in lower $S_{n}$ order; there are about $4 \times 10^{-3} \sim 1 \times 10^{-3}$ difference between $\rho_{\text {kok }}+$ and $\rho_{k k o}+$ in $S_{2}$ method. On the other hand, the difference between the absolute values of $\rho_{k o k}$ and $\rho_{k}{ }^{+}{ }_{k o}+$ is small, and they become close, about $9.38 \times 10^{-3}$, as the number of mesh intervals increases even in $S_{2}$ method. However, there is a large difference of $0.32 \times 10^{-3}$ between $\rho_{\text {kok }}$ and $\rho_{p}$ in $S_{2}$ method. This difference becomes small as the order $n$ of $S_{n}$ increases, $0.08 \times 10^{-3}$
for $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ and $0.04 \times 10^{-3}$ for $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ as seen in Tables 3 and 4 . Therefore, we can assume that as the order $n$ of $S_{n}$ increases, the reactivities from the criticality factors and from the perturbation calculation become closer.

The difference of $\rho_{p}$ between $S_{2}$ and $S_{8}$ method is small for this sample calculation, only $1.6 \%$. However the difference between $\rho_{\text {kok }}$ by $S_{2}$ method and $\rho_{p}$ by $S_{8}$ method is $5.3 \%$. Therefore, the reactivity calculated by the perturbation method is most probably more accurate than that by the criticality factor. The reason for this fact is not completely clear. One possible explanation is as follows: since the core (with high source density) is very small compared with the blanket (with fairly low source density), there may be a ray effect for the flux in a blanket region in $S_{2}$ method. The influence of the ray effect may be different for the direct and adjoint solutions leading to an error for the criticality factors and hence the reactivity derived from direct and adjoint cases. However, in the perturbation calculation of bilinear form of direct and adjoint angular fluxes, there may be a possibility of cancellation of the error due to the ray effect because of the difference in the boundary condition for the angular fluxes; outgoing direct and incoming adjoint fluxes vanish at the outermost boundary. This could lead to a situation at a certain position where the ray effect causes an overprediction of the direct flux and an underprediction of the adjoint flux for the same angular direction, thus resulting in a partial cancellation of the ray effect error in perturbation calculation.

In all cases of $\mathbf{r - z}$ geometry given in Tables $2 \sim 4$, the criticality factors become smaller, as the number of space mesh intervals increases. Kiefhaber /8/ calculated also the same case as the present case, and in his case, the criticality factors become larger as the number of mesh intervals increases. There are small differences in geometry and in group cross sections between both cases. However, this small difference may not cause such a difference in convergence characteristic of the criticality factor, and the reason is not clear at present.

Conclusion

1. For $x-y$ geometry, the reactivity calculated from the criticality factor agrees well with that calculated by the perturbation method within the error of $3 \times 10^{-5}$ in the case of $S_{2}$ method.
2. For $r-z$ geometry, there is a difference of about $3 \times 10^{-4}$ between the reactivities obtained from the criticality factors and the perturbation method by
the $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ method. This difference decreases to $4 \times 10^{-5}$, if $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ method is used. 3. The perturbation method gives higher accuracy for the reactivity by the $S_{2}$ method in $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{z}$ geometry, which gives an error of $1.6 \%$, than the reactivity from the criticality factors which has an error of $5.3 \%$ compared with the reactivity of $S_{8}$ method.

## 2.-_Comparison_with_the Results_of the Diffusion Calculation

Sample calculations are also performed using the two dimensional diffusion code DIXY /9/ and diffusion perturbation code DXPERT /10/ for the same reactor as performed in Tables $2 \sim 4$. The same 4 group cross sections are used except the transport cross section. GRUCAL code calculates two kinds of transport cross sections named as STR and STRTR. In the transport calculation, the transport cross section STRTR is used for the total cross section. On the other hand, in the diffusion calculation, the transport cross section STR is used to obtain the diffusion coefficient.

In addition to 4 group case, 11 group case is also calculated to check the effect of group condensation, where the lower group boundaries are 3, 4, 5, 6, $7,8,9,10,12,16$ and 26 . Computation is performed first for the coarse mesh case $(2+8+9) \times(2+7+10)$ mesh intervals with 4 group and the error criterions of $10^{-2}$ and $5 \times 10^{-2}$ for the source term and flux respectively. Then, using the fission source thus obtained, fine mesh case ( $5+13+21$ ) $\times(5+11+19)$ mesh intervals with 4 group is calculated using the error criterion of $10^{-4}$ and $2 \times 10^{-4}$ for the source term and flux and the 11 group case with the same mesh intervals and the error criterion. The results are shown in Table 5.

The criticality factor by 4 group calculation in case 2 for unperturbed reactor is 0.95686 and the difference from $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ method is $-6.2 \%$ which is larger than the difference of the criticality factor by $S_{2}$ method from $S_{8}$ method, $-2.0 \%$ The difference of the criticality factor with 4 group from that with 11 group is $1.1 \%$ which is not small. The reactivity calculated from the difference of the criticality factors agrees well with that by the perturbation method within an error of $0.3 \%$. The deviation between diffusion- and $S_{8}$-reactivity is smaller than that between $\mathrm{S}_{2}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{S}_{8}$-reactivity.

The reactivities calculated by the DXPERT code of the present version (16.11.1978) are $9.040 \times 10^{-3}$ and $9.110 \times 10^{-3}$ for 4 and 11 groups respectively. They are calculated by the equation,

$$
\rho=\text { ABSORPTION }+ \text { DIFFUSION }+\frac{1}{k_{0}} \text { FISSION }+ \text { DEGRADATION }
$$

(Footnote continued on p. 29)

The difference from $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ method is only $0.1 \%$. However this agreement may be fortuitous because the criticality factor determined by the diffusion method shows a larger difference to the $S_{8}$ method than the criticality factor of the $S_{2}$ calculation.

The transport correction to the criticality factor is fairly large. To check the effect of using the transport cross section STRTR in SNOW calculation, the same case as given in case 3 of Table 4 is recalculated by replacing STRTR by the transport cross section STR which is used in the diffusion calculation. The result is shown in Table 4 as case 7. Only in this case, isotope dependent fission spectra are used for TP2 calculation. For SNOW calculation, use is made of an average fission spectrum which is calculated in GRUCAL code by using the weighting factors proportioned to atomic number density, i.e. it is essentially the Pu239 fission spectrum. (A1l other cases, an isotope independent fission spectrum which is provided by the GRUCAL codes is used for SNOW and TP2 calculation.) However, the effect of using isotope dependent fission spectrum is small compared to the transport effect. The change of the criticality factor is about $0.1 \%$ as can be seen by comparing $k_{0}$ and $\bar{k}$ for case 7 of Table 4.

The criticality factor for unperturbed reactor is 1.00885 , which is smaller than that obtained by using the transport cross section STRTR, by $1.2 \%^{* *}$, and this criticality factor is a little bit closer to that obtained by using the diffusion method. Namely, the transport correction to the criticality factor from the diffusion method becomes smaller, $5.2 \%$ instead of the previous case of $6.3 \%$.

As a conclusion, it can be said that the transport correction to the criticality factor from the diffusion method is large for this assembly with a small core region, however, the reactivity by the uniform change of the number density of ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu}$ in the central part of the core can be well calculated by the diffusion method.
where $k_{0}$ is a criticality factor of the direct equation for unperturbed system and other terms correspond to the output quantities from DXPERT code. It is found that the reactivity should be calculated by the equation,

$$
\rho=-\left(\text { ABSORPTION }+ \text { DIFFUSION }+\frac{1}{k^{+}} \text {FISSION + DEGRADATION }\right)
$$

where $k^{+}$is a criticality factor of adjoint equation for perturbed system, and this reactivity is given in Table 5.
The author wishes to express his thanks to Drs. Höbel and Kiefhaber for their help in obtaining correct value from DXPERT calculation.
**) Part of this difference may be due to inconsistencies in the resonance selfshielding factors (f-factond taken from the KFRINR-group constant set to determine STR and STRTR respectively.

## 3._ Material_worthsi_Results_for_Assembly VERA-11A

Material worths at the center of VERA-11A are calculated for $U^{235}, \mathrm{U}^{238}$ and Pu ${ }^{239}$ by TP2 and DXPERT using the first order perturbation option and they are given in Table 6. Use is made of the direct and adjoint angular fluxes for the unperturbed system. As a perturbation, the number density of each material in the central mesh interval of the core region is increased by $0.000072 \times 10^{24} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, which is about $1 \%$ of that of $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$. For TP2 calculation, $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ method and the number of spatial mesh intervals of $(4+8+12) \times(4+6+14)$ are used. As a comparison, the material worths by the diffusion method are also calculated by using DXPERT code. In this case 11 group calculation is also performed as well as 4 group. In Table 6 the experimental value taken from Ref. /7/ which is normalized to that of $\mathrm{U}^{235}$ is also shown.

As seen in Table 6, the material worth for $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$ calculated by the diffusion theory is nearly the same as that by the transport method, the difference is less than $2 \%$. In this case, the difference between 4 group and 11 group by the diffusion theory is also small, about $0.6 \%$. However, there is about $9 \%$ difference from experimental value.

The material worth for $U^{238}$ by the diffusion method is larger by $58 \%$ and by $128 \%$ than that by the transport method in the case of 4 group and 11 group respectively. Therefore, the effect of group condensation from 11 group to 4 group is nearly the same as the transport correction. The experimental worth for $U^{238}$ is nearer to the transport worth, the difference is about $11 \%$, than the diffusion value. However, this may be fortuitous because the effect of group condensation is large, and many group transport calculations should be performed.

To see the spatial dependency of the material worth of $U^{238}$, the material worth is calculated along the radial direction at the middle plane in axial direction by changing the number density of $\mathrm{U}^{238}$ at each mesh interval by $0.72 \times 10^{20} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, and the result is shown in Fig. 2 and 3. The increase of material worth from the core center to core blanket boundary is due to the reflector effect of the $\mathrm{U}^{238}$. Neutrons may be scattered back into the core region by the $U^{238}$. This is directly observed in the DXPERT calculation, in which the positive reactivity of the diffusion term increases from the center of the core to the boundary of the core and blanket, on the other hand, all other terms of absorption and creation by fission decrease monotonically from the core center to the outer boundary
of the core. As expected, the difference of the transport value from the diffusion value becomes larger at the boundary of the core and blanket. (see Fig. 3)
As a conclusion, it can be said that it is necessary to use a transport perturbation method with sufficient energy groups to obtain the material worth of $\mathrm{U}^{238}$ with a good accuracy for this small assembly with hard neutron spectrum.

V SUMMARY

TP2 is a FORTRAN-IV program for the calculation of the reactivity, effective delayed neutron fractions and mean generation time by the transport perturbation theory.

This code has two main options. One is for the exact perturbation calculation of the reactivity where the direct and adjoint angular fluxes are used for unperturbed and perturbed systems respectively. The other ontion is for the first order perturbation calculation of the probe reactivity in which usually unperturbed direct and adjoint angular fluxes are used.

The criticality factor calculated by $S_{n}$ transport code using an isotope independent fission spectrum can be corrected by TP2 code by taking into account an isotope dependency of the prompt fission spectrum and delayed neutron spectra.

Sample calculations are performed to check the accuracy of TP2 code for VERA-11A, a small plutonium-plus-graphite critical assembly. The conclusions are as follows:

1. For $x-y$ geometry, the reactivity calculated from the criticality factor agrees well with that calculated by the exact perturbation method independent of the order of $S_{n}$.
2. For $r-z$ geometry, there is an appreciable difference between the reactivities obtained from criticality factors and the exact perturbation method by the $S_{2}$ method. This difference decreases as the order of $S_{n}$ method increases.
3. The reactivity by the perturbation method with $S_{2}$ method has higher accuracy than the reactivity from the criticality factor with $S_{2}$ method in $r-z$ geometry.
4. The transport correction to the criticality factor from the diffusion method is large for this assembly with a small core region. However, the material worth of $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$ in the center of the core can be well calculated by the diffusion method.
5. The material worth of $U^{238}$ by the transport perturbation method is largely different from that by the diffusion method. It is necessary to use a transport perturbation method with a sufficient number of energy groups to obtain the material worth of $\mathrm{U}^{238}$ with good accuracy.
6. A typical CPU time by IBM $370 / 168$ is 25 min . with $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ method, 24 x 24 mesh intervals and 4 group for both direct and adjoint $S_{n}$ calculation using SNOW code. Number of core memory used is 26 K words. For TP2 calculation, CPU time is 10 sec and number of core memory is 9.6 K words.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\Omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_{x}=\sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \\
& \eta=\Omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_{y}=\sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \\
& \xi=\Omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_{z}=\cos \vartheta
\end{aligned}
$$

$x-y$ and triangular geometries on $x-y$ plane


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\Omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}=\sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \\
& \eta=\Omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{z}}=\sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \\
& \xi=\Omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}=\cos \vartheta
\end{aligned}
$$

r-z geometry


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\Omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}}=\sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \\
& \eta=\boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\theta}=\sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \\
& \xi=\Omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{z}}=\cos \vartheta
\end{aligned}
$$

$r-\theta$ geometry
Fig. 1 Coordinate system for two dimensional geometry


Fig. 2 Reactivity traverse $\rho\left(\vec{r}_{i}\right)$ by the increase of the number density of $U^{238}$ by $0.72 \times 10^{20} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ along radial coordinate of the center horizontal plane


Fig. 3 Ratio of reactivities by the diffusion and transport methods along radial coordinate of the center horizontal plane shown in $\mathrm{Fig}_{\mathrm{Ig}} 2$

Table 1. Reactivity ${ }^{1)}$ for $x-y$ geometry by SNOW and TP2 codes with $S_{2}$ method by the exact perturbation method

|  |  | SNOW |  |  |  |  |  |  | TP2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| case | Number of mesh intervals ( $x$ coordinate $x$ y coordinate) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ & \mathrm{k}^{+} \\ & \mathrm{k} \\ & \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{+} \end{aligned}$ | Mixture index | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPU } \\ \text { time } \\ \text { (min) } \end{gathered}$ | Number of outer iteration | Number of inner iteration | Number of core memory (K words) | $\begin{aligned} & \rho_{\mathrm{kok}^{+}} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{kok}} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{kko}} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}^{+}} \mathrm{k}^{+} \\ & \left(\times 10^{-2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho_{P} \\ \left(\times 10^{-2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho^{\prime} \\ \left(\times 10^{-4}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPU } \\ & \text { time } \\ & \text { ( } \mathrm{sec} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Number of core memory (K words) |
| 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 1.36201 | 1, 2, 3 |  | 14 | 411 | 3.3 | 1.353 | 1.373 | 1.36172 | -1.58 | 1.7 | 3.4 |
|  |  | 1.38759 | 4, 2, 3 |  | 14 | 467 |  | 1.374 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 1.38799 | 4, 2, 3 |  | 13 | 426 | 3.3 | -1.395 | -1.373 | 1.38768 | $-1.62$ | 1.7 | 3.4 |
|  |  | 1.36162 | 1, 2, 3 |  | 16 | 516 |  | -1.375 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $24 \times 24$ | 1.36278 | 1, 2, 3 |  | 15 | 461 | 9.0 | 1.373 | 1.372 | 1.36249 | $-1.57$ | 3.4 | 9.5 |
|  |  | 1.38878 | 4, 2, 3 |  | 18 | 612 |  | 1.372 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $24 \times 24$ | 1.38875 | 4, 2, 3 |  | 14 | 444 | 9.0 | -1.371 | -1.372 | 1.38844 | -1.61 | 3.4 | 9.5 |
|  |  | 1.36280 | 1, 2, 3 |  | 20 | 655 |  | -1.373 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $48 \times 48$ | 1.36317 | 1, 2, 3 | 8.5 | 22 | 681 | 29.0 | 1.369 | 1.372 | 1.36288 | -1.57 | 11 | 33.9 |
|  |  | 1.38910 | 4, 2, 3 | 6.6 | 16 | 530 |  | 1.371 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $48 \times 48$ | 1.38913 | 4, 2, 3 | 6.1 | 16 | 489 | 29.0 | -1.373 | -1.372 | 1.38882 | -1.61 | 11 | 33.9 |
|  |  | 1.36312 | 1, 2, 2 | 6.8 | 17 | 546 |  | -1.372 |  |  |  |  |  |

1) Reactivity caused by an increase of the number density of $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$ by $25 \%$ in the central core region of $4 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Table 2. Reactivity ${ }^{1)}$ for VERA-11A of r-z geometry by SNOW and TP2 with $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ method by the exact perturbation method

|  |  | SNOW |  |  |  |  |  |  | TP2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| case | Number of mesh intervals ( $r$ coordinate $\times$ $z$ coordinate) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ & \mathrm{k} \pm \\ & \mathrm{k} \\ & \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}+ \end{aligned}$ | Mixture <br> index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPU } \\ & \text { (time } \\ & (\min ) \end{aligned}$ | Number of outer iterations | Number of inner iterations | Number of core memory ( $k$ words) | $\begin{aligned} & \rho_{\mathrm{okok}^{+}} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{kok}} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{kok}}{ }^{+} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{kk}{ }^{+}} \mathrm{\rho}^{+} \mathrm{ko}^{+} \\ & \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho_{p} \\ \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{k}}$ | $\left(\times 10^{-4}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPU } \\ & \text { time } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Number of core memory (k words) |
| I | $12 \times 12$ | 1.04330 | 1, 2, 3 | 0.6 | 21 | 722 | 3.3 | 7.13 | 8.913 | 1.04296 | -3.11 | 1.7 | 3.4 |
|  |  | 1.05112 | 4, 2, 3 | 0.4 | 15 | 478 |  | 9.46 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 1.05370 | 4, 2, 3 | 0.6 | 21 | 727 | 3.3 | -11.83 | -8.903 | 1.05335 | -3.16 | 1.7 | 3.4 |
|  |  | 1.04073 | 1, 2, 3 | 0.4 | 15 | 475 |  | -9.50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $24 \times 24$ | 1.04038 | 1, 2, 3 | 2.3 | 21 | 717 | 9.0 | 8.46 | 9.033 | 1.04005 | -3.05 | 3.5 | 9.5 |
|  |  | 1.04961 | 4, 2, 3 | 1.6 | 15 | 474 |  | 9.40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $24 \times 24$ | 1.05066 | 4, 2, 3 | 2.3 | 19 | 700 | 9.0 | -10.36 | -9.027 | 1.05032 | -3.09 | 3.5 | 9.5 |
|  |  | 1.03935 | 1, 2, 3 | 1.5 | 14 | 462 |  | -9.41 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $48 \times 48$ | 1.03994 | 1, 2, 3 | 7.8 | 18 | 621 | 29.0 | 8.47 | 9.056 | 1.03961 | -3.04 | 10.5 | 33.9 |
|  |  | 1.04918 | 4, 2, 3 | 8.0 | 16 | 623 |  | 9.38 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $48 \times 48$ | 1.05019 | 4, 2, 3 | 9.6 | 21 | 765 | 29.0 | -10.30 | -9.051 | 1.04985 | -3.08 | 10.5 | 33.9 |
|  |  | 1.03895 | 1, 2, 3 | 7.9 | 16 | 624 |  | -9.39 |  |  |  |  |  |

1) Reactivity caused by an increase of the number density of $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$ by $25 \%$ in the central core region of $4 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Table 3. Reactivity ${ }^{1)}$ for VERA-11A of r-z geometry by SNOW and TP2 with $S_{4}$ method by the exact perturbation method

|  |  | SNOW |  |  |  |  |  |  | TP2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| case | Number of mesh intervals (r coordinate $\times$ z coordinate) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ & \mathrm{k}^{+} \\ & \mathrm{k} \\ & \mathrm{k}_{0}+ \end{aligned}$ | Mixture <br> index | $\begin{gathered} \text { CPU } \\ \text { time } \\ (\min ) \end{gathered}$ | Number of outer iterations | Number of inner iterations | Number of core memory (k words) | $\begin{aligned} & \rho_{\mathrm{kok}^{+}} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{kok}} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{kk}}{ }^{+} \\ & \mathrm{\rho k}^{+}{ }^{+} \mathrm{ko}^{+} \\ & \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho_{P} \\ \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\left(\times 10^{-4}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPU } \\ & \text { time } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Number of core memory (k words) |
| 1 | $12 \times 12$ | 1.03087 | 1, 2, 3 | 1.3 | 19 | 648 | 5.4 | 2.389 | 8.601 | 1.03068 | -1.74 | 2.1 | 3.4 |
|  |  | 1.03341 | 4, 2, 3 | 1.0 | 16 | 503 |  | 8.792 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $12 \times 12$ | 1.04030 | 4, 2, 3 | 1.6 | 22 | 738 | 5.4 | -15.438 | -8.595 | 1.03994 | -3.33 | 2.1 | 3.4 |
|  |  | 1.02385 | 1, 2, 3 | 0.9 | 14 | 435 |  | -9.035 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $24 \times 24$ | 1.02258 | 1, 2, 3 | 5.8 | 23 | 752 | 13.1 | 8.683 | 8.841 | 1.02225 | -3.14 | 4.8 | 9.5 |
|  |  | 1.03174 | 4, 2, 3 | 3.4 | 14 | 440 |  | 8.969 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $24 \times 24$ | 1.03205 | 4, 2, 3 | 5.9 | 23 | 763 | 13.1 | -9.252 | -8.839 | 1.03171 | -3.19 | 4.8 | 9.5 |
|  |  | 1.02228 | 1, 2, 3 | 3.3 | 14 | 428 |  | 8.966 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $48 \times 48$ | 1.02210 | 1, 2, 3 | 22.2 | 20 | 694 | 37.1 | 8.732 | 8.865 | 1.02177 | -3.13 | 15.8 | 33.9 |
|  |  | 1.03130 | 4, 2, 3 | 14.1 | 14 | 441 |  | 8.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $48 \times 48$ | 1.03153 | 4, 2, 3 | 22.2 | 21 | 705 | 37.1 | -9.164 | -8.864 | 1.03120 | -3.18 | 15.8 | 33.9 |
|  |  | 1.02187 | 1, 2, 3 | 13.8 | 14 | 439 |  | -8.946 |  |  |  |  |  |

1) Reactivity caused by an increase of the number density of $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$ by $25 \%$ in the central core region of $4 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Table 4. Reactivity ${ }^{1)}$ for VERA-11A of r-z geometry by SNOW and TP2 with $S_{8}$ method by the exact perturbation method (In cases 1-6, an isotope independent fission spectrum is used in SNOW and TP2.)

|  |  | SNOW |  |  |  |  |  |  | TP2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| case | Number of mesh intervals ( $r$ coordinate $x$ $z$ coordinate) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{o}} \\ & \mathbf{k}^{+} \\ & \mathbf{k} \\ & \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{o}}+ \end{aligned}$ | Mixture index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPU } \\ & \text { time } \\ & \text { (min) } \end{aligned}$ | Number of outer iterations | Number of inner iterations | Number <br> of core memory (k words) | $\begin{aligned} & \rho_{\mathrm{kok}^{+}} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{kok}} \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{kko}}{ }^{+} \\ & \rho \mathrm{k}^{+} \mathrm{ko}^{+} \\ & \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \\ \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho^{\prime} \\ \left(\times 10^{-4}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPU } \\ & \text { time } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Number of core memory (k words) |
| 12 | $12 \times 12$ | 1.02772 | 1, 2, 3 | 3.9 | 19 | 650 | 12.1 | 3.45 | 8.664 | 1.02737 | -3.28 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
|  |  | 1.03137 | 4, 2, 3 | 2.5 | 14 | 430 |  | 8.81 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 1.03711 | 4, 2, 3 | 4.5 | 22 | 734 | 12.1 | -14.38 | -8.660 | 1.03675 | -3.33 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
|  |  | 1.02186 | 1, 2, 3 | 2.9 | 14 | 452 |  | -9.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | $24 \times 24$ | 1.02083 | 1,2,3 | 15.3 | 20 | 676 | 26.3 | 8.40 | 8.876 | 1.02050 | -3.16 | 10.1 | 9.6 |
|  |  | 1.02965 | 4, 2, 3 | 10.1 | 14 | 445 |  | 8.94 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $24 \times 24$ | 1.03023 | 4, 2, 3 | 15.6 | 20 | 687 | 26.3 | -9.52 | -8.875 | 1.02989 | -3.21 | 10.0 | 9.6 |
|  |  | 1.02023 | 1, 2, 3 | 10.4 | 14 | 461 |  | -8.97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | $48 \times 48$ | 1.01988 | 1,2,3 | 73.4 | 24 | 787 | 63.4 | 8.87 | 8.910 | 1.01956 | -3.15 | 35.8 | 34.0 |
|  |  | 1.02920 | 4, 2, 3 | 46.2 | 15 | 501 |  | 8.95 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $48 \times 48$ | 1.02927 | 4, 2, 3 | 71.7 | 23 | 778 | 63.4 | -9.02 | -8.910 | 1.02894 | -3. 20 | 35.3 | 34.0 |
|  |  | 1.01981 | 1, 2, 3 | 46.3 | 15 | 504 |  | -8.95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $72)$ | $24 \times 24$ | 1.00885 | 1,2,3 | 14.2 | 18 | 608 | 26.3 | 8.53 | 8.973 | 1.00778 | -10.54 | 10.4 | 9.9 |
|  |  | 1.01761 | 4, 2, 3 | 10.5 | 15 | 455 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1) Reactivity caused by an increase of the number density of $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$ by $25 \%$ in the central core region of $4 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4 \mathrm{~cm}$.
2) Transport cross section $S T R$ is used instead of STRTR. Only in this case, isotope dependent fission spectra are used.

Table 5. Reactivity ${ }^{1)}$ for VERA-IlA of $r^{-z}$ geometry by the diffusion theory and the exact perturbation method

| case | Number of energy group | Number of mesh intervals ( $x$ coordinate $x$ $z$ coordinate) | DXDIFF |  |  |  |  | DXPERT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & k_{o} \text { (upper) } \\ & k^{+}(\text {lower }) \end{aligned}$ | Mixture index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPU } \\ & \text { time } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Number of outer iterations | $\begin{gathered} \rho_{\text {kok }}{ }^{+} \\ \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reactivity } \\ \rho \mathrm{p} \\ \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPU } \\ & \text { time } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 4 | $(2+8+9) \times$ | 0.95055 | 1, 2, 3 | 7 | 11 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $(2+7+10)$ | 0.95876 | 4, 2, 3 | 7 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4 | $(5+13+21) \times$ | 0.95686 | 1, 2, 3 | 59 | 15 | 8.89 | 8.920 | 1.3 |
|  |  | $(5+11+19)$ | 0.96506 | 4, 2, 3 | 57 | 16 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 11 | $(5+13+21) \times$ | 0.94623 | 1, 2, 3 | 137 | 9 | 8.98 | 8.989 | 1.2 |
|  |  | $(5+11+19)$ | 0.95434 | 4, 2, 3 | 152 | 9 |  |  |  |

1) Reactivity caused by an increase of the number density of $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$ by $25 \%$ in the central core region of $4 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Table 6. Material worth at the core center of VERA-11A by an increase of the number density of $0.72 \times 10^{20} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$

| Materia1 | Experiment <br> ratio | Diffusion (4 group) <br> ratio | Diffusion (11 group) <br> ratio | Transport (4 group) <br> ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{U}^{235}$ | 1.000 | $1.150 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.000 | $1.151 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.000 | $1.101 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $\mathrm{U}^{238}$ | $1.262 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.061 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.793 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.992 \times 10^{-8}$ | $2.598 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.379 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$ | 1.814 | $1.885 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.640 | $1.900 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.650 | $1.836 \times 10^{-6}$ |

1) Experimental values are normalized to 1901 mb for $\mathrm{U}^{235}$ in Ref. /7/.

## REFERENCES

/1/ Keisuke Kobayashi, "TPI, a Computer Program for the Calculation of Reactivity and Kinetic Parameters by the One Dimensional Neutron Transport Perturbation Theory", KfK 2738 (1979).
/2/ C. Günther and W. Kinnebrock, "SNOW, ein zweidimensionales $S_{N}$-Programm zur Lösung der Neutronentransportgleichung in Platten- und Zylindergeometrie", KFK 1826 (1973).
/3/ D. Wo11, "GRUCAL, Ein Programmsystem zur Berechnung makroskopischer Gruppenkonstanten", KFK 2108 (1975).
/4/ E. Wiegner, "Kurzbeschreibung der KAPROS-Moduln DIFID und PRDIFI", (1977), unpublished.
/5/ H. Bachmann and D. Wo11, "Kurzbeschreibung der KAPROS-Moduln SIGMNC und PRSIGC', (1978), unpublished.
/6/ H. Bachmann and W. Höbel, "KAPROS short description of the KAPROS module SIGMUT", (1978), unpublished.
/7/ H. Alter et al., "ENDF-202, Cross Section Evaluation Working Group Benchmark Specifications", BNL 19302 (ENDF-202), (1974).
/8/ E. Kiefhaber, "The KFKINR-Set of Group Constants; Nuclear Data Basis and First Results of its Application to the Recalculation of Fast Zero-Power Reactors", KFK 1572 (1972).
/9/ W. Hoebel, "Numerical Methods Used in the Two-Dimensional Neutron Diffusion Program DIXY", Fast Elliptic Solvers (1978) Advance Publications, 30 Craven Street, W.C.Z. England.
/10/ W. Höbel and M. Ott, "Kurzbeschreibung der KAPROS-Diffusionsprozedur DIXY mit den Moduln DXDIFF, DIXIN, DXEVA, DXPERT, PRDIXY", (1978) unpublished.

## APPENDIX I Structure of the Interface Files from SNOW Code

The following data are read from the interface file İSNOWI.
(Detailed explanations of the meaning of the various quantities are given in Chapter III.3)

```
Ist record: ISCT, ISN, IGE, IGM, IXM, IYM, IM, JM, MBK, BF, RL\emptysetG, MT,
    MTP, MM, IHS, IHT, IHM
2nd record: V(IM,IYM), RX(IM), RY(JM), MAI(IM), MAJ (JM), IMAT (MTP),
    W(MM), TW(IS,IS,MM), C(IHM,IGM,MT)
3rd record: B(IBM), if MBK = \pm2 or MBK = \pm3
4th record: MZ(IXM,IYM), RK
5th record: BP(IBM)
6th record: MZP(IXM,IYM), RKP
```

2) The direct angular fluxes are read from the interface file ISNOW2 in the following program in subroutine PERT2.
$\mathrm{D} \varnothing 1 \mathrm{IG}=1$, IGM
D $\emptyset$ | $M=1, \mathrm{MM}$
1 READ (ISNOW2) FKØ(IM,JM)
3) The adjoint angular fluxes are'read from the interface file ISNOW3 by a subroutine INVAFX with inverse order with respect to the group and angular index. This adjoint angular fluxes are written by the SNOW code in the following order.

DO 1 IG=1, IGM
$\mathrm{D} \emptyset 1 \mathrm{M}=1$, MM
1 WRITE(ISNOW3) FKD(IM,JM)

Input data for VERA-11A of $r-z$ geometry is given in the following for the calculation of the reactivity caused by an increase of the number density of $\mathrm{Pu}^{239}$ by $25 \%$ in the central core region of $4 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4 \mathrm{~cm}$ by the exact perturbation method. In the input data to SIGMUT code, an option is used to replace the transport cross section STRTR by STR which is read from input cards. In the SNOW calculation, $S_{2}$ method and a number of spatial mesh intervals of $(2+4+6) \times(2+3+7)$ are used.

The first part (job INR65920) concerns the preparation of the complete condensed SIGMN block (Here the old NUSYS-version ( 06731 ) of the code DIFID has been applied together with the code 02761 to generate the weighting functions. Presently, the KAPROS-program-chain DIFID, FLINTl would be more appropriate for that purpose.). The second part of the sample input concerns the SNOW- and TP2-calculations.

```
//INR65920 JOB (0659,101,P6M2B),KOBAYASHI,
// REGION=80OK
//*MAIN LINES=10
//*FORMAT PR,DDNAME=FT42F001 00000100
//*FORMAT PR,DDNAME=SYSPRINL 00000110
//*FORMAT PR,DDNAME =,FORMS=REPRO
// EXEC PGM=IEFBR14
//SIGMN DD UNIT=3330,VOL=SER=TSTLIB,
// DISP=(OLD,DELETE),DSN=DATA.INR659.SIGMN4
//KAPSNOW EXEC KSCLG
00000120
//K.FT44F001 DD UNIT=SYSDA,SPACE=(3064,200)
00000130
//K.FTO1FOO1 DD UNIT=3330,VOL=SER=KAPROS,DISP=SHR,DSN=KSDA.GRUBA.KFKINR 00000140
//K.FTO9F001 DD UNIT=3330,VOL=SER=KAPROS,DISP=SHR,DSN=KSDA.JBGRUC 00000150
//K.FTO4F001 DD DSN=GROUCO,VOL=SER=KAPROS,UNIT=3330,DISP=SHR
//K0FTIOFOO1 DD UNIT=3330,VOL=SER=KAPROS,DISP=SHR,DSN=GRSTAB
//K0FT11F001 DD UNIT=3330,VOL=SER=KAPROS,DISP=SHR,DSN=F26
//K.FT16F001 DO UNIT=3330,DSN=DATA.INR659.SIGMN4,SPACE=(TRK,(5,1)),
// DISP=(NEW,KEEP),DCB=(RECFM=VBS,BLKSIZE=13030),VOL=SER=TSTLIB
//K.FT38F001 DD UNIT=SYSDA,DSN=EEINTFAC,DISP=(NEW,PASS),
// DCB=(RECFM=VBS,BLKSIZE=13030),SPACE=(TRK,(50,10))
//K.FT37F001 DD UNIT=SYSDA,DSN=G&ANGFLR,DISP=(NEW,PASS),
// DCB=(RECFM=VBS,BLKSIZE=13030),SPACE=(TRK,20)
//K.FT36FOO1 DD UNIT=SYSDA,DSN=6GANGFLA,DISP=(NEW,PASS), 00000220
// DCB=(RECFM=VBS,BLKSIZE=13030),SPACE=(TRK,20)
//K0FT39F001 DD UNIT=SYSDA,SPACE=(TRK,10)
//K.FT14F001 DD UNIT=SYSDA,SPAZE=(TRK,200)
//KOSYSIN DD *
*COMPILE G
SUBROUTINE STR
C
    REAL*4 GR(4),SIG(4),SC(4),S26(4),S11(4),UT(4),CO(4)
    REAL*4 SA(4),SP(4),NU(4),TO(4),SU(4),SO(4)
    DATA
    * GR/'GRUC','AL ',' 1,' 1/,
    * SIG/'SIGMI.'N ',' 1,' 1/,
    * s26/lsig '.'26 1.1 1,1 1/,
    * sil/'sig ','11 ',' 1,' 1/,
    * UT/IINPU',IT UTI,'KS 1,1 1/,
    * SC/'SIGM','NC ',' ',' 1/,
    * CO/'COND','ENSA',ITIONI:I 1/
    DATA
    * SA/'SIGM','A 1,2*1 1/,
    * SP/ISPEK',1T 1,2*: 1/,
    * NU/INUDA',IBL 1,2*' 1/,
    * TO/'INUM1,IORD 1,2*1 1/,
    * SU/'INPU','T SI','GMUT',' 1/,
    * SO/ISIGMI,IN NEI,IW 1,1 1/
C
    CALL KSINIT(N2,N2,N3,N4,N5)
C
    CALL KSEXEC ('GRUCAL 1,2,0,
```

00000500
00000510
00000520
00000530


|  |  | 'KFKINR |  | 1. |  | , | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'fe | 1 | 300. |  | 0.0065 |  |  | 2 |
| ' CR | 1 | 300. |  | 0.0017 |  |  | 3 |
| iNI | 1 | 300. |  | 0.00071 |  |  | 4 |
| 1U 235 | 1 | 300. |  | 0.00025 |  |  | 5 |
| 1 4238 | 1 | 300. |  | 0.03440 |  |  | 6 |
| 1 PU239 | 1 | 300. |  | 0.0 |  |  | 7 |
| 1 PU240 | 1 | 300. |  | 0.0 |  |  | 8 |
| 1 Pu241 | 1 | 300. |  | 0.0 |  |  | 9 |
| 13 |  | 'KFKINR | 1 | , | 1 | , | 1 |
| 1 pu239 | 1 | 300. |  | 0.00901625 |  |  | 2 |
| 1 PU240 | , | 300. |  | 0.000370 |  |  | 3 |
| 1 PU241 | 1 | 300. |  | 0.000028 |  |  | 4 |
| 'NB | 1 | 300. |  | 9.878E-5 |  |  | 5 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | 300. |  | 0.046204 |  |  | 6 |
| IFE | 1 | 300. |  | 0.006084 |  |  | 7 |
| ${ }^{\prime}$ CR | 1 | 300. |  | 0.001579 |  |  | 8 |
| 'N1 | 1 | 300. |  | 0.000665 |  |  | 9 |
| 'CU | 1 | 300. |  | 0.00775222 |  |  | 10 |
| 1 PB | 1 | 300. |  | 0.000035 |  |  | 11 |
| 12 R | 1 | 300. |  | 0.000043 |  |  | 12 |
| 1U 235 | ' | 300. |  | 0.0 |  |  | 13 |
| 1 4238 | , | 300. |  | 0.0 |  |  | 14 |
| 'GEW.CH! | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Pu239 | 1 | 0.007213 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1PU240 | 1 | 0.000370 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 PU 241 | 1 | 0.000028 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1U 235 | 1 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1U238 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1Pu239 | 1 | 0.007213 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Pu240 | 1 | 0.000370 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1pu241 | 1 | 0.000028 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'U. 235 | , | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1U 238 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1U 235 | , | 0.00025 |  |  |  |  |  |
| UU 238 | 1 | 0.03440 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - pu239 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 PU240 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 PU241 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 PU239 | 1 | 0.007213 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 PU240 | 1 | 0.000370 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 PU 241 | 1 | 0.000028 |  |  |  |  |  |
| U 4235 | 1 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| U 238 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 TYP | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 00001230 |
| 'allein | 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  | 00001240 |
| ' CHI | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 00001250 |
| ' NUSF |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00001260 |
| ISCAPT |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00001270 |
| 'SFISS |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00001280 |
| 'SBE |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00001290 |
| 'SREM |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00001300 |
| 'STR |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00001310 |
| 'StRTR |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00001320 |
| 1ı/V |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00001330 |
| ' STOT |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00001340 |
| ISMTOT |  |  |  |  |  |  | 00001350 |
| ' AUSWERT | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 00001360 |


'BWRITE' ISIGMN NEW ' 16 'STRUCINU' 00001760'END UTKS'

$$
00001770
$$



$$
00001780
$$

*GO SM=STR

$$
00002060
$$

```
//INR659TP JOB (0659,101,P6M2B),KOBAYASHI.
// REGION=1023K,TIME=9
1/*MAIN SYSTEM=M168
//*MAIN LINES=4 00000090
//*FORMAT PR,DDNAME=FT42F001 00000100
//*FORMAT PR,DDNAME:=SYSPRINL
//*FORMAT PR,DDNAME =,FORMS=REPRO
//KAPSNOW EXEC KSCLG
    00000120
//K0FT44F001 DD UNIT=SYSDA,SPACE=(3064,200)
    00000130
//K.FTO1FOO1 DD UNIT=3330,VOL=SER=KAPROS,DISP=SHR,DSN=KSDA.GRUBA.KFKINR
//K.FTO9F001 DD UNIT=3330,VOL=SER=KAPROS,DISP=SHR,DSN=KSDA.JBGRUC
//K.FTO4FOO1 DD DSN=GROUCO,VOL=SER=KAPROS,UNIT=3330,DISP=SHR
//K.FT1OFOO1 DD UNIT=3330,VOL=SER=KAPROS,DISP=SHR,DSN=GRSTAB
//K.FT11F001 DD UNIT=3330,VOL=SER=KAPROS,DISP=SHR,DSN=F26
//K.FT16F001 DD UNIT=3330,DSN=DATA.INR659.SIGMN4,SPACE=(TRK,10),
// DISP=SHR,VOL=SER=TSTLIB
//K.FT38FOO1 DD UNIT=SYSDA,DSN=&GINTFAC,DISP=(NEW,PASS),
// DCB=(RECFM=VBS,BLKSIZE=13030),SPACE=(TRK,(50,10))
//K0FT37F001 DD UNIT=SYSDA,DSN=EGANGFLR,DISP=(NEW,PASS),
// DCB=(RECFM=VBS,BLKSIZE=13030),SPACE=(TRK,150)
//K.FT36FOO1 DD UNIT=SYSDA,DSN=&GANGFLA,DISP=(NEW,PASS),
// DCB=(RECFM=VBS,BLKS12E=13030),SPACE=(TRK,150)
//K.FT39F001 DD UNIT=SYSDA,SPACE=(TRK,10)
//K.FT14F001 DD UNIT=SYSDA,SPACE=(TRK,200)
//KOSYSINDD*
* COMPILE G
            SUBROUTINE DUMMY
            COMMON NACOM,A(100000)
            NACOM=100000
            COMMON/FIX/NICOM,IA(1000)
            NICOM=1000
            RETURN
            END
*5*5
*LINK MAP.LIST
    INCLUDE KSBIBIKASNOW)
    ENTRY KASNOW
    NAME KASNOW
*S*$
*KSIOX DBN=KASNOW,LMN=READSN,PMN=CHECK,TYP=CARD,IND=1
    00001790
VERA-11A ZWEIDIMENSIONALE SN-RECHNUNG ZYLINDERGEOMETRIE (REELL) 00001800
000001 
    00001820
    000001830
    00001840
```



```
        1870
        1880
        1890
        1900
    00001910
    00001920
    00001930
VERA-1IA ZWEIDIMENSIONALE SNGREGHNUNG ZYLINDERGEOMETRIE (ADJUNGIERT)
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrrr}
000001 & -1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\
10 & 10 & -1 & 0 & -16 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 00001940 \\
00001950
\end{tabular}
    00001950
```



APPENDIX III Sample Problem Output

Output from TP2 code is shown in the following for the input data given in Appendix II. The present case is different from the case 1 of Table 2 with two respects; the transport cross section $S T R$ is used instead of STRTR, and an average fission spectrum derived from isotope dependent fission spectra is used in SNOW calculation and isotope dependent fission spectra are used to calculate the correction factor of the criticality factor in TP2.
reactivity，aean generaticn tipe anc effective delayedneutron fractiun by transport perturbaticn ccee jpa

IEST DAIA FCR TP2（13．1．157E）

```
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { NUMBER CF FISSILE ISCTJDE } & \text { IFA= } \\ \text { NUYBER CF DELAYED NELTRCNS GFCLIPS } & \text { IEY }=\end{array}\)
NAME OF ISOTSPE PU239 PL240 PU241 U 235 U 239
INPUT CAT/ FRCN SNCW
```



```
        \(B F=2.0 \quad\) RLCG \(=\) 9.98j9ア3E-11
LAENGE \(=10 \cap C O C \quad\) LAST \(=3652 \quad\) IRIS \(=95348\)
```



**** als tctaler wirkuncscuerschaitt hird jer nusys-typ s itrtrs statt astra benletit ****
crgss secticns correctily pfepared
fluxes anc adjoints fer all fellching peqtureaticn caluculations are obtaineg by lsing the fcliching cciplsitions
fluxes anc adjoints fer all fcllewing peqtureaticn caluculations are obtained by lsing the fellehing cerpcsitions
for direct ejuaticn

```
Mz(IXP,IYH)=
    lll
4ZT(IXM.IYM)=
    lll
Fgr acJCint EGUATION
HZ(IXN,IYH)=
    4}\begin{array}{lll}{2}&{3}\\{2}&{2}\\{3}&{3}\\{3}&{2}
MZT(IXM.IYN)=
llll
```

GRITICALIIY FACTOR FOR CIRECI EGLATICA Criticality facter fer adjcint eclation REACTIVIIY facm tre criticality factica

1．C316ク日E＋30
$.039451 \mathrm{E}+30$
7． 31414 ヒE－53

DENCMINATCR $=4.332828 E+C 4$
CORrectign to the criticality factcr cF snow hhere an isotope injependent fissicin spectrum is usec fer prompt ano delayed FISSICN NELTACNS
CIRRECTED GRITICALIIY FACTCR FCR CIRECT EGLATICN 1.030524 e＋0．
（ $\mathrm{RO}=-1.019 \mathrm{E}-03$ ）
neutron mean generaticn time ramia $=0.34444 \mathrm{E}-08 \mathrm{SEC}$
effective oelayed neltacn fractign for each isotcpe and mixture
mixture index＝

| Group ple3g | Pu240 | PU241 | 235 | U 238 | ROW Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | C．C | 3．J | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| －． | 0.0 | c．c | J． 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | C． 0 | J．0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | c．${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 3.5 | c． 0 | 3．2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ）． 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SUM 0.0 | 0.0 | c．o | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| mixture index $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| griup puz3a | PU240 | PU241 | 」 235 | 238 | ROW Suy |
| $6.12952 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1．28403E－2t | 1．7EE2CE－C7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 6．21620E－05 |
| $4.487 \leq 5 E-04$ | 1．243E3E－CS | 4．C6日ESE－Ct | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4．65299E－）4 |
| 3 3．46758E－ち4 | 8．76C17E－ct | 3．C7837E－CE | 3．） | 2.0 | $3.59596 E-04$ |
| 4 5．29E14E－C4 | 1．6iSçe－C5 | t．SEC57E－CE | 3.3 | 0.0 | $5.52654 \mathrm{E}-34$ |
| $1.65533 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 5．84603E－0t | 3．24235E－C6 | 0．J | 0.0 | $1.74621 E-34$ |
| 5．62506E－¢5 | 1．32449E－Ct | 2．E5C4EE－C7 | 3．3 | 0.0 | 5．78601E－05 |
| Suit l．6C825E－03 | 4．5711CE－CE | 1．78336E－05 | 2．3 | 0.0 | 1．67179E－03 |
| mixture index $=$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Grcup pl239 | PL240 | PU241 | $\checkmark 235$ | U 238 | ROW SU4 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | c． 0 | 3． 710 C9E－C6 | 1．42526E－05 | 1．80227E－05 |
| 20.0 | 0.0 | c． 0 | 2．？1223E－05 | $1.54927 E-04$ | 1．77049E－04 |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | c． 0 | 1． $33202 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.818 \mathrm{daE-04}$ | 2．01207E－04 |
| 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $4.35222 \mathrm{E}-55$ | $4.45634 \mathrm{E}-34$ | $4.932 \mathrm{C6E}-14$ |
| 0.0 | 0.0 | c． 0 | 1．305 $38 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.59883 \mathrm{E}-94$ | 2．73542E－04 |
| 60.0 | 0.0 | c．c | 2．11437E－C6 | $8.66273 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 8．94017E－05 |
| SuM 0.0 | 0.0 | c． C | $1.05168 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1．14726E－03 | 1．25243E－03 |
| MIXTURE INDEX $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| gajup puz39 | Pu240 | PU241 | 4235 | U 238 | ROw Suy |
| 6．02826E－06 | 1．058145－07 | $1.38652 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}$ 3 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 6．15194E－06 |
| 4．38¢S1E－C5 | 1．058C8E－C6 | $3.13865 \mathrm{E}_{-\mathrm{C} 7}$ | J．c | 0.0 | ＋．52710E－05 |
| $3.39614 \mathrm{E}-55$ | $7.46228 \mathrm{E}-37$ | $2.37777 E-C 7$ | 2.3 | 0.0 | $3.49454 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $45.157 E 1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1．36557E－C6 | 5．36129E－C7 | 0．J | 0.0 | $5.34748 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| $51.613 \mathrm{C4E}-\mathrm{C5}$ | $4.95551 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 2．45174E－C7 | 1．0 | 0.0 | 1．68752E－35 |
| 6 5．48138E－C6 | 1．12273E－C7 | 2．15054E－69 | 7.0 | 0.0 | $5.61556 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| SUA L．57C 79E－C4 | 3.3 251E－ct | $1.37272 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C} 6$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1．62334E－34 |
| Sum over mixture |  |  |  |  |  |
| group pulz9 | PU240 | PU241 | $\cup 235$ | U 238 | ROW SuM |
| 6．732：4E－r 5 | 1．39385E－Ct | 1．52689E－C7 | 3．71ここSE－C6 | 1．42526E－05 | 8．69367E－35 |
| $24.72654 E-04$ | 1．34544E－65 | 4．3E2E1E－CE | 2.2122 3E－こ5 | 1．5492TE－04 | 6．37020E－04 |
| $33.9 \mathrm{C719E-C4}$ | 9．50639E－Ct | 3． $31615 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C6}$ | 1．93202E－J5 | $1.81836 \mathrm{E}-17$ | $5.94748 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| 5．al192E－04 | 1．742C5E－C5 | 7．5167CE－CE | 4．35222E－C5 | $4.45634 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1．09933E－03 |
|  | 0．3415 EE－06 | $3.49156 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C} 6$ | 1．36538E－65 | $2.59983 \mathrm{E}-04$ | ＋．05J38E－04 |
| －．17320E－C5 | 1.436 TEE－Ct | こ．CtSsiE－C7 | $2.77437 E-C 6$ | 8．66273E－05 | 1．52877E－04 |
| SUM L． $76533 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.95535 E-$－ 5 | 1．92065E－C5 | $1.05168 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 1.14726 E－03 | 3．3865E－03 |


| I | $J$ | group | CAPTURE | Fission | RE 10 VaL | catt.out | SCATT.IN | OUT+IN | G-I TC | FISS.solr | 15 S | CE total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |  | -3.0217E-08 | 7. 3536 E -Ct | -1.3711E-05 | -1.1328E-05 | $6.4247 \mathrm{E}-36$ | -4.9030E-06 | c. 0 | $4.5614 E-05$ | $2.3060 E-05$ | $3.3327 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{D} 5$ |
| 1 | 1 |  | -9.1 254 E -C7 | -1.3213E-05 | -5.6174E-05 | -4.2042E-05 | $4.5814 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.7711E-06 | 4.5201E-C6 | 3.1495E-05 | 3. $8803 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.1134E-05 |
| 1 | 1 |  | $1.7325 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C6}$ | -5.4891E-C6 | -4.3316E-05 | -3.5794E-05 | 3.6870E-05 | 1.0763E-06 | $8.2101 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $1.1345 \mathrm{E}-66$ | 1.4677E-05 | -5.2108E-06 |
| 1 | 1 |  | -5.5205E-C7 | -6.5315E-C7 | -3.0711E-36 | -1.8279E-06 | $1.8934 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.5582 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C9}$ | $6.26 C B E-C 8$ | C.C | $1.7041 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.1776E-06 |
| 1 | 1 | Sum | -3. $2313 \mathrm{E}-0 \epsilon$ | -2.6749E-05 | -1.2397E-C4 | -9.0392E-05 | 9.1002E-05 | $9.9735 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $5.4037 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $7.8244 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}$ | 7.8244E-05 | 4.8273E-05 |
| 1 | 2 |  | -2.7621E-CB | -6.7218E-06 | -1.7104E-05 | -1.0354E-05 | $5.9014 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -4.4530E-06 | c. 0 | 4.ट452E-05 | $2.1164 E-05$ | 3.1290E-05 |
| 1 | 2 |  | -8.5576E-07 | -1.2310E-C5 | -5.233 jE-05 | -3.9169E-05 | $4.2691 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.5222E-06 | 4.1435E-06 | $2.9280 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.6265 E-05$ | $1.9637 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 1 | 2 |  | -1.6277E-06 | -5.1571E-0t | 4.0414E-05 | -3.3629E-05 | 3.4652E-05 | 1.0228E-06 | $7.6580 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 1.C538E-06 | 1.3826 E-05 | -4.7081E-06 |
| 1 | 2 |  | -5.053 ${ }^{\text {E }}$-07 | -6.3686E-07 | 2.3217E-06 | -1.6794E-C6 | $1.7428 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.3418 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $5.8824 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $0 . C$ | $1.5716 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -1.0788E-06 |
| 1 | 2 | SUM | -3.016 5E-06 | 2.4826E-05 | 1.1267E-04 | -8.4832E-C5 | 8.4787E-05 | $1.5549 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $4.9681 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 7.2827E-05 | 7.2827E-05 | 4.5140E-05 |
| 2 | 1 |  | -2.921 9E-C8 | -6.8674E-CE | -1.747tE-C5 | -1.0579E-05 | $6.9193 \mathrm{E}-96$ | -4.5589E-06 | 0.0 | $4.2516 E-C 5$ | $2.1578 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.1462E-05 |
| 2 | 1 |  | 8.6069E-07 | -1.238LE-05 | -5.2636E-05 | -3.9394E-05 | $4.2971 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.5771E-06 | 4.2221E-06 | 2.5541E-C5 | 3.6477E-05 | 1.9876E-05 |
| 2 | 1 |  | $1.6311 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -5.1677E-C6 | -4.3457E-05 | -3.3658E-05 | $3.4723 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.0244E-06 | $7.66566-C 7$ | 1.c615E-06 | $1.3883 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -4.7129E-06 |
| 2 | 1 |  | -5.0742E-C7 | -6.3944E-C7 | -2.8331E-C6 | -1.6862E-06 | $1.7493 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.3113 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{9} 8$ | 5.8964E-C8 | $0 . C$ | $1.5803 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -1.0837E-06 |
| 2 | 1 | SUM | -3.0274E-06 | 2.5056E-C5 | 1.1344E-C4 | . 5 357E-05 | 9. $5463 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.0567 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.0496E-C6 | 7.3519E-05 | $7.3519 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.5541 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 2 | 2 |  | -2.6033E-08 | -6.335 2E-C6 | -1.6123E-C5 | -5.7589E-0 | 5.5774E-06 | -4.1815E-06 | C.C | 4.C255E-05 | $1.9966 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.9712 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 2 | 2 |  | -8.0538E-07 | -1.16C0E-05 | -4.9315E-05 | -3.6908E-05 | 4.0268E-05 | 3.3594E-V6 | 3.899 ${ }^{\text {PE-06 }}$ | 2.7623 E - 55 | $3.4296 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.8577 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
|  | 2 |  | -1.5374E-C6 | 4.87C BE-06 | $3.8171 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -3.1763E-05 | $3.2741 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 9.7792E-07 | $7.26395-C 7$ | 9.5129 | $1.3135 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -4.4390E-06 |
| 2 | 2 |  | -4.7036E-07 | -5.9273E-C7 | -2.6261E-06 | -1.5630E-06 | $1.6238 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $6.7733 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 5.5584 E - 68 | 0.0 | $1.4714 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -1.0024E-06 |
| 2 | 2 | SUM | -2.840 2E-C6 | -2.3399E-C5 | -1.0523E-04 | 7.9.993E-05 | 9. D210E-05 | 2.1659E-07 | 4.6757E-06 | 6.8870E-05 | $6.8870 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.2847E-05 |
| INTE | Gral |  | -5.5441E-C6 | -1.3492E-C3 | -3.4331E-03 | -2.0784E-03 | 1.1842E-03 | -8.9415E-04 | 0.0 | $8.4853 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $4.2439 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 6.2364E-03 |
| NTE | gral |  | -1.7029E-94 | -2.4496E-03 | -1.0414E-02 | -7.7941E-03 | 3. $5034 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 7.063DE-04 | 8.3012E-04 | 5.8375E-03 | $7.2228 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.9239E-63 |
| NTE | gral |  | -3.233 5E-C4 | -1.0245E-C3 | -8.0292E-03 | -6.6804E-03 | 6.3342E-03 | $2.0372 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 1.5214E-C4 | 2.csi7e-c4 | $2.7535 E-03$ | -9.3431E-04 |
| NTE | GRaL |  | 1.0025E-04 | -1.2633E-04 | -5.5571E-04 | -3.3313E-04 | $3.4571 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.2580 \mathrm{E}-195$ | 1.1609E-C5 | 0.0 | $3.1242 E-04$ | 2.1400E-04 |
| I NTE | gral | SUM | -5.9943E-04 | -4.9496E-03 | -2.2435E-C2 | -1.6686E-02 | 1.6914E-02 | 2.8456E-C5 | $9.9355 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C4}$ | $1.4533 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.4533 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $9.0121 \mathrm{E-03}$ |


[^0]:    * On leave from Kyoto University, Department of Nuclear Engineering, Yoshida, Kyoto, Japan.

